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Abstract—This paper will discuss work-in-progress in the development and evaluation of an online collaborative learning system. The context is a study of a course in an on-campus weekend part-time program attended by students who share similar professional engineering backgrounds but living far apart from each other with no opportunities to meet for discussions between weekends. The course requires students to tackle problems based on real life scenarios within small online groups after having attended lectures over the weekend. The research will look at ways in which group work can be conducted, and the contribution of the instructor. The approach to be taken will be an interpretive case study using questionnaire survey, text analysis and interviews. The main findings from the study will be reported, with focus on the strengths of, and difficulties in, using the research methods.

1 INTRODUCTION

Researchers (Stacy, 1999; Harasim, 1990; Wallace, 2003) basically agreed that online collaborative learning can be a successful learning environment as students acquire rich knowledge through an online interactive social process. Students’ with varied personal views, in the form of messages, unfold them out to discuss with their learning peers and collectively derive new shared perspectives (Puntambekar, 2006). Diverse views, strategies and approaches in learning concepts, put together form a rich environment of knowledge for students to collaboratively work with (Garrison, 1993; Hiltz, 1994). A student with scant understanding of a concept, enters a collaborative discussion and acquires collective hypothesis, then may emerge to have deeper comprehension of it, and, be able to separately use it to solve a related problem. This learning shift from the inadequate to the adequate personal understanding through collaborative discussions need
to be documented and analyzed to rationalize its importance in fostering deep learning, as mentioned.

Evaluation of online discussion regarding its acceptance and student learning, rely on similar sources of evidence, namely, questionnaire survey, interviews, and message analysis. Some researchers have adopted experimental, or at least comparative, methods (eg. Hubscher-Younger & Narayanan, 2003; Koory, 2003). Some have tried to engage students in formative evaluation of their online experiences (eg. Collings & Pearce 2002; Hawkey, 2003).

There have also been reports which relied on a single method, for example, a student survey (eg. Yang & Tang, 2003; Biesenbach-Lucas, 2003), or message analysis (Aviv et al, 2003; Cook & Ralston 2003; Martinez et al, 2003; Swan, 2002; Watson & Prestridge 2003). There are also reports in which two methods of data collection have been used; for example, survey and interview (Galanouli & Collins, 2000), message analysis and interview (Light & White 2000), message analysis and survey (Seabrooks, Kenney & LaMontagne, 2000; Thomas, 2002; Tolmie & Boyle, 2000).

The most striking feature of this research are, firstly, the use of message analysis, often cited as a unique method for those researching asynchronous online discussion, and, secondly, over reliance on other qualitative methods to support resulting theories gleaned from the discussion messages archived in the system.

II BACKGROUND

This will be a study of a course in a program for part-time students in the topic of Physics within an engineering program at a local university. The part-time students, who originate from different parts of the country, will converge to attend traditional lectures on-campus over weekends. They are provided with learning materials during these lectures and will be assigned into closed online groups of four or five members with the instructor as a facilitator. The online venue will be a custom-made learning system, specifically designed for these part-time students. Since the students can only meet over weekends, the online meeting point will provide an opportunity for students to interact especially between weekends, either, to complete their group assignments, or discuss their readings.
III PURPOSE OF STUDY

This paper will describe the design and development of the online collaborative learning system to enable the evaluation of students’ online experience and learning within the Physics course of an Engineering program.

A couple of questions of research pertaining to this paper is: (i) how should the online system be designed to permit students’ uncomplicated storage of materials, easy access to instructor’s materials and easy movement into public discussion? (ii) does the system help to improve students’ learning?

IV METHOD

Students are placed into three online groups of four to five members, after they register into this web-based system. Each group is given assignments in the form of questions and/or scenarios, posed online by the instructor, to discuss and answer, at three junctures throughout the semester. The assignments will be based on two to three previously lectured topics, and students are required to apply their understanding to solve the assignment problems. Every group is placed in different chat venues for isolated group discussions, facilitated by the instructor.

Learning materials provided during the weekend classes are supplemented by more online materials uploaded by the instructor and made available to every student in each group, to download into their personal folders. Every member student is provided with an online editor to type, edit, re-edit and review their entries and also provided with an upload button to share their work with other members in the chat venue.

As an impetus, the instructor will pose first messages into each group’s chat venue to persuade each member to contribute text ideas to complete each assignment over a stipulated period. These assignments are then submitted to the instructor to be graded.

All forms of the individual student’s preparation stored in the personal folders, as well as, all messages posed in the public chat venues are archived in the system’s web folder. These form the sources of data for formative and summative evaluation of the online learning system, in terms of students’ comments about the online facility, and, as importantly, students’ learning processes.

Comments and critical messages about any students’ difficulties to use the downloading or uploading buttons, or use of the editor, will be noted and rectified to allow ease in
students’ movement and use. This entails formative evaluation of the online learning system.

The archived messages will be analyzed qualitatively. Themes or common descriptions are identified, to trace out students’ flow of ideas or concepts before arriving to their communal understanding. After every group’s submission of assignment, every student will take an in-class concept test to be held during the weekend classes. These tests comprise of questions or items related to the respective assignments, and are designed to elicit students’ understanding after the online collaborative learning. The archived message analysis and the concept test constitute the summative evaluation of the online learning system.

V THE SYSTEM

Perkins (2005) suggested that reflection on problem solutions that focuses on understanding abstract concepts indicate improved learning. In advocating this, all of the images in Figure 1 show how the prototype system will allow students to write ideas, edit previous entries, create and organize materials, tag them, and store for future review. Figure 1 (a) shows how students can create and edit their work and store them. Figure 1(b) shows how students can store their edited work, as well as, organize their downloaded materials.

(a) Student’s personal editor  (b) storage of edited work and downloads
Debate and discussions will take place in the designated chat rooms which will engage students in online discussions. Instructors will be able to post conferences, and students will be able to post questions, ideas, ways and perspectives to solve problems posted earlier. Figure 1(c) and 1(d) show how and where students may do so in the system.

(c) chat venue entry    (d) posing of messages

It was also suggested that better learning take place when students articulate thus reviewing their understanding. Reflective articulation will be an important function of this tool, allowing students to write down, review and revise their prior concepts appropriate to responses they receive from the collaborative discussions. Concept transformation may occur and may be discerned upon comparison to prior concepts recorded in earlier personal brainstorming. Figure 1(e) shows the personal folders in which students can keep their work to be retrieved for future review.
VI SUMMARY

Since the transformation of students’ concepts in the course of their online learning will be studied, identifying and categorizing students’ responses will be the major task in this research. Selected individual students’ learning responses will be categorized into their prior, shared and constructed knowledge. We will examine for any change or richness in students’ concepts or ideas, their attitudes and/or perceptions during the whole course of collaborative learning. This may also be materialized in the form of students’ reflective articulation, or, compositions written stored in their personal folders. Students will write in their own way about what they have learned from the collaborative discussions and compare with their prior thoughts about the concepts of the learning content. Instructors will prompt in each student’s conference to cast students’ awareness about the changes in their learning.
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