

DEVELOPMENT AND IDENTIFICATION OF PETROPHYSICAL ROCK
TYPING FOR EFFECTIVE RESERVOIR CHARACTERIZATION

BIOR ATEM BIOR BARACH

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Petroleum Engineering

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2019

DEDICATION

This Thesis work is dedicated to my dear wife, for her unconditional love and support.

To my lovely sons and daughters, for the joy they gave me in overcoming the hard times.

To my beloved parents and siblings, for continuously encouraging me to explore new areas.

To my supportive parents-in-law, for their deep love and care of my family.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to express my greatest appreciation and respect to my supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Zaidi Bin Jaafar for his advice and guidance during the course of this research. His enthusiasm and humour made these years at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) enjoyable.

My appreciation goes to Professor Dr. Ariffin Samsuri, Associate Professor Dr. Muhammad A. Manan and Associate Professor Dr. Issham B. Ismail of UTM, who generously accepted to be members of my thesis committee and all made significant contribution to this work. Their continuous and constructive critiques and suggestions have helped me a lot to improve this work.

Special thanks go to the School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Department of Petroleum Engineering for their support including the time to share their knowledge with me.

My profound gratitude is expended to my family; my wife and my children for their constant support (morally and emotionally) and encouragement to move forward through both good and bad times.

I am also very grateful to my Course-mates; Abdul Hakim, Azureen Alya, Siti Rodhiah, Buwaneswari Nagayan, Ahmad Fikri and Mohd Fahmi for providing a friendly environment and helpful discussions during the past two years.

Most importantly, I would like to express my sincere gratefulness to my friends and brothers. Andrew Ariik Arok and Peter Mayom Kuir, who have been a blessing to me and family. I live thousands of miles away from them, but I have always counted on their emotional support guided by our principles of understanding, trust and wholehearted encouragement we have been showering one another. Every minute spend with these guys is often both meaningful and impactful.

ABSTRACT

Rock typing is an essential tool used to distribute reservoir rock and fluid properties in reservoir models. It provides more accurate estimates of oil reserves during field studies and prediction of reservoir performance. These properties are required inputs for static and dynamic models to populate porosity, permeability, and shale volume which influence reservoir productivity. During field development studies (FDP), the technical main aim is to design a fit for purpose project within budget to produce commercial volume of hydrocarbons in the field and reduce residual oil in the reservoirs. However, geomodellers frequently faced challenges in integrating geological facies with rock characteristics and fluid flow to predict petrophysical properties due to limited correlation between geological features and engineering concepts. This thesis examined Petrophysics rock types based rock classification scheme by comparing the approaches using rock samples. Among the trimmed approaches are Hydraulic Flow Unit(HFU), Global Hydraulic Elements(GHE), Winland R35, Pore Geometry Structure (PGS). Also presented is the use of electrical and nuclear log data obtained from the well Neutron-Density to produce relationships that tie pore geometric attributes, pore structures, and hydraulic flow characteristics. The study selected Hydraulic Units and GHE methods among others to be robust in Rock Typing based on consistencies observed between porosity and permeability relationships in typical clastics reservoirs. Thus, it reduces the uncertainties in reservoir models. Using capillary data to derive saturation height functions, the Hydraulic units demonstrated consistent results of rock types that integrates geological description with engineering hydraulic features.

ABSTRAK

Pengkelasan batuan adalah satu keperluan untuk mengagihkan jenis atau kelas batuan dalam model takungan. Ini akan membantu anggaran simpanan minyak dan ramalan pencapaian takungan dengan lebih tepat. Jenis batuan berkait rapat dengan sifat takungan seperti keliangan, keterlapan, jumlah shale dan semua ini mempengaruhi pengeluaran sesebuah takungan. Semasa kajian pembangunan takungan, tujuan utama ialah untuk membuat projek yang memenuhi kehendak optima ekonomi. Tetapi ahli model kajibumi sering berhadapan dengan masalah mengabung data geologi, sifat batu batuan, keboleh aliran, sifat petrofizik disebabkan kurang pemahaman di antara sifat geologi and konsep kejuruteraan. Thesis ini mengkaji skim pengkelasan batuan berlandaskan Petrofizik dengan membandingkan pendekatan yang menggunakan sampel batuan. Antara pendekatan yang dikaji ialah Unit Aliran Hidraulik, Elemen Hidraulik Sejagat, Kaedah Winland R35 dan Struktur Geometri Liang. Turut dikajikan ialah penggunaan data berlandaskan elektrik dan nuklear yang didapati dari telaga, dan mengaitkan hubungan antara skim ini dengan tujuan memahami hubungan antara asas geometri, struktur keliangan dan sifat aliran hidraulik. Kajian ini memilih Unit Aliran Hidraulik, dan Elemen Hidraulik Sejagat sebagai skim pengkelasan yang sesuai digunakan dalam takungan jenis batuan klastik berdasarkan hubungan yang konsisten dianantara keliangan dan kebolehaliran. Oleh itu ia boleh mengkurangkan ketidakpastian dalam model takungan. Menbanding keputusan ketepuan dari model kapilariti dan Unit Aliran Hidraulik, ia menunjukkan keputusan yang seragam atau konsisten, membolehkan teknik ini boleh dipercayai. Ini menunjukkan ia mampu menggabung asas geologi dan sifat kejuruteraan

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1.....	1
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Problem Statement.....	3
1.3. Objectives	3
1.4. Hypotheses.....	4
1.5. Research Scope	4
1.6. Significance of Study.....	5
1.7. Thesis Summary.....	6
CHAPTER 2.....	9
2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	9
2.1. Reservoir Characterization.....	9
2.1.1. Hydraulic Flow Unit (HFU).....	9
2.1.2. Global Hydraulic Elements(GHE).....	14
2.1.3. Winland R35 Method.....	15
2.1.4. Pore Geometry Structure (PGS).....	20
2.1.5. Jennings and Lucia – Reservoir Fabric Number(RFN).....	21
2.1.6. Log-Based Rock Typing Approach (Electrofacies).....	23
2.2. Capillary Pressure and J-Function using SCAL data.....	25
2.3. Pore Throat Size and Distribution Effect.....	26
CHAPTER 3.....	29
3. METHODOLOGY.....	29
3.1. Introduction to the Methods of Rock Typing	29
3.2. Methods and Work Flows.....	30
3.2.1. Routine Core Routine Rock Properties Core Analysis Data.....	30
3.2.2. Special Core Properties Core Data Analysis.....	30

3.2.3. Geological Facies	31
3.2.4. Petrophysical Rock Typing using Hydraulic Flow Unit	31
3.2.5. Petrophysical Rock Typing using Electrofacies	32
1.1. Project Progress	36
CHAPTER 4.....	37
4. RESULTS	37
4.1. Discussion of Results.....	37
4.2. Core- Based Rock Typing and Validation.....	37
4.2.1. Lithofacies from core using Geological Aspect	38
4.2.2. SEM –XRD Analysis	39
4.3. Permeability	41
4.3.1. Permeability Prediction from Capillary Pressure	42
4.3.2. Hydraulic Flow Unit(HFU) Method	44
4.3.3. Global Hydraulic Elements(GHE) Method	47
4.3.4. Interrelation between Pore Geometry, Geological Facies and Flow Units	49
4.3.5. Winland R35 Method	51
4.3.6. Log-Based Rock Classification using Neutron-Density	53
4.4. Lithofacies and Electrofacies comparison	55
4.5. Capillary Pressure from Core data.....	57
4.5.1. Water Saturation Height Modelling	60
4.5.2. Irreducible Water Saturation	64
4.6. Integrating Flow units into Saturation-Height Modelling	64
4.7. Review of Functions, Guidelines, Conversion and Corrections used.	66
4.7.1. Core-Based Function Characteristics	66
4.7.2. Log-Based Functions Characteristics	66
4.7.3. Guidelines for Saturation Height Function Selection	67
4.7.4. Conversion from Laboratory to Reservoir Conditions	68
4.7.5. Stress Corrections	69
4.7.6. Choice of functional form and degrees of freedom	71
CHAPTER 5.....	73
5. CONCLUSION	73
5.1. Conclusion	73
5.2. Summary.....	74
5.3. Recommendations.....	75
REFERENCES.....	76
APPENDIX.....	83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: HFU Method of Rock Typing (Merandy Palabiran,2016).....	12
Figure 2-2: Porosity vs Permeability for different HUs using A (Corbett et al,2004)13	13
Figure 2-3: GHE Rock Typing Approach(Corbett et al,2004)	15
Figure 2-4: Winland R35 Rock Typing plot(Merandy et al,2016)	17
Figure 2-5: MICP plot for pore size distribution(Semi-log plot).....	18
Figure 2-6: PGS Approach from Rock Type Curve.....	21
Figure 2-7: Jennings-Lucia Rock Typing Method	23
Figure 2-8: Permeability Range from 1mD – 1D at same porosity value, Wanida(2016	25
Figure 2-9: Tube model to represent Height above FWL on sturation profile	27
Figure 3-1: Rock Typing using Geological and Petrophysical Data by Ebanks <i>et al</i> ,(1987).....	34
Figure 3-2: Data Gathering and Research Work Flow.....	35
Figure 3-3: Rock Typing Methods and J-Function Construction	35
Figure 3-4: Gantt Chart for Master’s Project I and II	36
Figure 4-1: Thin section and SEM photographs for different rock types	40
Figure 4-2: Core Permeability versus Core Porosity Pooled into 3 Lithofacies groups	40
Figure 4-3: Core Permeability versus Core Porosity for pooled lithofacies.	42
Figure 4-4: Permeability versus Porosity pooled lithofacies from core data	43
Figure 4-5: Probabilistic approach to rock typing using log(FZI)	45
Figure 4-6: RQI versus PHI _z for different HU identified from FZI	46
Figure 4-7: GHE Method of Rock Typing using Hydraulic Units.....	48
Figure 4-8: Permeability ranges with Hydraulic Units	50
Figure 4-9: Permeability ranges from Geological Facies.....	51
Figure 4-10: Winland R35 Rock Typing Method	52

Figure 4-11: Neutron-Density Rock Typing from well logs.....	54
Figure 4-12: Comparison between Lithofacies and Electrofaies	56
Figure 4-13: Capillary Pressure Versus Brine Saturation	58
Figure 4-14: Capillary Pressure for Hydraulic Units 1 and 2	59
Figure 4-15: Capillary Pressure for Hydraulic Units 2 and 3	59
Figure 4-16: Capillary Pressure for Hydraulic units 2, 3, 4 and 5	60
Figure 4-17: J function – Normalized Water Saturation fit to core data from J, K & M sands.	61
Figure 4-18: Swi vs Porosity by Lithofacies.....	62
Figure 4-19: Swi vs Porosity by Rock Quality	63
Figure 4-20: Saturation Height Functions according to HU 1, 2 and 3	65
Figure C-1: GHE Method of Rock Typing using Hydraulic Units.....	98
Figure D-2: Capillary Pressure data Groupings	102

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Summarizing HU and GHE using FZI values	14
Table 2-2: R35 values distribution for rock type classification(Merandy et al, 2016)	17
Table 2-3: Empirical and Theoretical Petrophysical Rock Typing by Mirzaei-Paiaman et al, (2018)	28
Table 4-1: Permeability estimates for lithotype classes for SHF	43
Table 4-2: Statistical End Points of FZI used in determining HU	46
Table 4-3: FZI values for each HFU methods identified	47
Table 4-4: FZI values for each HFU methods identified	48
Table 4-5: R35 values according to pore size distribution.....	52
Table 4-6: Petrophysical Rock Typing using Density-Neutron Logs.....	55
Table 4-7: Lithofacies Color definition.....	57
Table 4-8: Saturation Height-Functions for rock types 1 to 3	66

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PRT	Petrophysical Rock Typing
PTR	Pore Throat Radius
RCA	Routine Core Analysis
SEM	Scanning Electron Micrograph
SCAL	Special Core Analysis
HFU	Hydraulic Flow unit
RQI	Reservoir quality index
Φ_z	normalized porosity
FZI	Flow Zone Indicator
MICP	Mercury Injection Capillary Pressure
SHF	Saturation Height Function
GHE	Global Hydraulic Elements
PGS	Pore Geometry Structure
K	permeability
core perm	core permeability
PHID	density porosity
S _{gv}	surface area per grain volume
ϕ	porosity

CHAPTER 1

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Reservoir characterization has always been a challenging domain in oil and gas industry for a long time. There are many approaches and methodologies that are applied with the aim of establishing statistically significant correlations between reservoir storage and fluid flow characteristics. Ranking of obtained correlations and their optimized clustering are used for rock typing that aims to derive representative model equations for static modelling. However, selection and validation of these methods of clustering the similarities still face hurdles due to complexities in pore-space conditions and reservoir geometry. Petrophysicists need to adequately understand these complexities in order to derive representative models for accurate predictions of petrophysical characteristics, mainly, between fluid flow (permeability) and reservoir storage (porosity) across the field.

It has become Industry standard to come up with Petrophysical Rock Types that are used as inputs in saturation height models and in three dimensional (3D) reservoir characterization models. The ultimate goal is for accurate initial water saturation distribution, hydrocarbon volumes determination, fluid contacts determination (hydrocarbon-water contacts), free water level confirmation and evaluation of various uncertainties.

Integration of routine core analysis data, mineralogical studies such as XRD, petrographical studies as thin-sections, SEM, CT-Scan, and pore geometry information from SEM analysis are used to determine Petrophysical Rock Types (PRTs). Other important information is obtained by incorporating Special Core

Analysis (SCAL) mercury injection capillary pressure (MICP or HPMI) data. In complex lithologies, such as carbonate formation, NMR T2 distributions on water saturated samples are valuable in detecting connected vugs, that will reveal large pore body sizes in the absence of large pore throats on MICP. On the other hand, mercury injection experiments are carried out on core samples, and the results are used to determine pore-size-distributions for subject rocks. As for confirmation, the pore size distributions obtained from RFT and core analyses are compared. The information on pore-throat-size distribution, can only be used for rock typing when comparison indicates appreciable agreement.

The most well-known petrophysical rock type characterization based on pore throat radius indicators (PTRi) are: Winland, Pittman, Leverett k-PHI Ratio, Lucia Rock Fabric Number (RFN), Flow Zone Indicators (FZI), Reservoir Quality Index (RQI), and Aguilera. In this characterization, four rock properties to be studied are Permeability, Lithology, Porosity and Lithofacies. It is imperative to note that none of the standard Pore Throat Radius indicators (PTRi) directly account for multi-modal pore geometries leading to poor representation and typing for complex reservoir rocks. Static and dynamic models are mostly depending on reservoir energy through facies classification that are driven by shape and pore geometry. The objective is to distribute porosity, permeability, thickness and net-to-gross in three dimensions using various mapping techniques provided that the similarity grouping (i.e. rock typing) is done appropriately. However, distributing initial and irreducible water saturation remains extremely challenging for reservoir engineers because of several factors such as diagenesis that often affect flow characteristics which is therefore the cause of volumetric reduction.

The ultimate goal of PRTs is to provide users with transforms for flow characteristics and volumetric parameters that are important inputs for three dimensional dynamic reservoir simulators and reservoir characterization software. This thesis aims to study effects pore-throat-size-distribution in defining Petrophysical Rock Typing by using both core and log data and enhance the relationship between capillary properties, permeability and porosity correlations and hydraulic flow units.

1.2. Problem Statement

Clastic formations often have variation in rock properties as a function of location. This rock character is termed as reservoir rock heterogeneity. It's a property of the reservoir rocks that has a huge impact on petroleum system modeling, formation evaluation and reservoir simulations which are critical in maximizing production from shaley reservoir sands. Static and dynamic models are incapable of modelling volume of shale (silt and clay) due to this heterogeneity. In order to build a robust static and dynamic reservoir models, it therefore becomes essential to come up with Petrophysical Rock Types. These Petrophysical Rock Types act as the bases of relating permeability and porosity to execute a successful drilling, production, injection, reservoir studies and simulation models.

Rock Types give crucial insights on how pore size and pore throat size distribution relates to saturation height models that helps in calculating saturation away from well location in a 3D sense based on the established physics of buoyancy and capillary pressure in a rock-fluid system. Also, a correlation between permeability and porosity can be established to distinguish reservoirs based on rock quality. In such correlations, we expect to see small pore-throat size represents poorer rocks and large pore-throat-size indicates better rock quality. Armed with these valuable informations, the team can characterize formations that may contain large amount of hydrocarbons but not commercial due to low permeability across the field. This study will provide essential tools to recommend suitable approach to recover these hydrocarbons.

1.3. Objectives

The main of this thesis is to integrate core data and well logs to enhance reservoir characterization through reservoir rocks classification in a geologically and petrophysically consistent manner. The main objective is to investigate scientific

approaches of utilizing rock data at different time and length scales to describe reservoir rock-fluid systems consistent.

- a. To determine Petrophysical Rock Types through quantitative methods that derive pore-sized distribution functions from MICP data to characterize complex pore system.
- b. To integrate multiple pore system attributes defined in (a) to detect petrophysical variation between pore systems that improves petrophysical ranking of rock types.
- c. To recommend the best petrophysical rock typing approach that reflects coherency in saturation height functions (consistency in J-Function).

1.4. Hypotheses

- a. Pore Throat Size distribution relate pore geometry to reservoir properties (Controls of conduit size for effective fluid flow). Small Pore Throat Radius indicates poor quality rock.
- b. Petrophysical Rock Typing provides more accurate reservoir characterization to minimize errors in reserves estimation.
- c. Provide important insights to recommend proper development strategies to produce economical amount of hydrocarbons in particular formations to reduce residual oil.

1.5. Research Scope

Conventional and Special Core analysis data will be used to calibrate well log data. Capillary pressure curves(from MICP data) will be used to distinguish

Petrophysical Rock Types into poor and good quality rock as a function of Pore Throat Radius.

Scope 1: Characterizing PRTs using core description

- a. Petrographic analysis (Mineralogical study such as XRD, thin section, SEM and CT-scan)
- b. Establishing relationship between pore geometry and pore size distribution using core description and analysis (lab measurement- MICP)
 - i. Using RQI/FZI equations
 - ii. Poro-perm transform to predict permeability away from well location.

Scope 2: Integrating lithofacies from core data with log characters to group rocks into:

- a. Rocks with similar flow behavior and rocks with same reservoir storage
- b. Selecting rock with similar geological attributes to relate rocks-fluid interactions

Scope 3: Using Special Core Analysis to construct Cap Pressure curves and construct SHF according to:

- a. Cluster rocks that exhibit similar fluid flow behavior
- b. Determine consistency in J-Function curves for a given saturation (S_w) for any height above free water level in reservoir
- c. Comparing the permeability generated from other empirical equations with log derived permeability to recommend a suitable approach for clastic formations in Sabah fields.

1.6. Significance of Study

Modern volumetric estimation approach requires petrophysical rock typing which are controlled by porosity and permeability. Each rock type represents

distinctive pore geometry/morphology that signifies specific pore throat size distributions. For a particular rock type there is a single saturation height function that exhibits a certain set porosity and permeability is constructed.

Although pore throat radius is not the only thing, but one of the controls of permeability since it shapes up the conduit size for the flow. Permeability has no direct downhole measurement other than complex and lengthy pressure testing and flow measurements because it is a dynamic reservoir property. Permeability controls fluid flow because it is a function of the rock's microscopic properties such as pore size, grain sorting, tortuosity, cementation, and compaction. If pore throat radius distributions derived from mercury injection are similar, the rock pore geometry shows similar trends. These trends are characteristics of permeability which is intrinsic hydraulic property that control fluid flow. High permeability rocks result in high production rate as a function of pressure drop during drawdown.

Thus, it would be of great advantage if the most of reservoirs parameters can be predicted within great certainty. This project will therefore, assist in:

- a. Providing accurate reservoir characterisation by assigning representative Petrophysical parameters for hydrocarbons volumetric calculations.
- b. Establishing appropriate correlation to predict fluid flow characteristics far away from well location in a field wide.
- c. Recommend proper development strategies to produce economical amount of hydrocarbons in these particular formations to reduce residual oil.

1.7. Thesis Summary

This project will be organized by covering the sections summarized below:

- a. Sufficient, for data acquisition and analysis on each procedures & compilation
- b. No equipment or lab experiment needed

- c. Using Capillary Pressure data to established the relationship between PRTs and PTR
- d. Sufficient research SPE papers/journals: One petro website
- e. Reference from industry standards, books & manual available.

REFERENCES

- A. A. Taghavi, A. Mørk and E. Kazemzadeh. Flow Unit Classification for Geological Modelling of a Heterogeneous Carbonate Reservoir: Cretaceous Sarvak Formation, Dehluran Field, SW IRAN. *Journal of Petroleum Geology*. 21 Mar 2007, Vol. 30, 2, pp. 129-146.
- Abbaszadeh, M.D., Hikari Fujii, Fujio Fujimoto: “Permeability Prediction by Hydraulic Flow Units-Theory and Applications,” *SPE Formation Evaluation* (Dec. 1996), 263-271.
- Abedini, A., Torabi, F., 2015. Pore Size Determination Using Normalized J-function for Different Hydraulic Flow Units *Petroleum* 1. pp. 106–111.
- Archie, G.E., 1950. Introduction to petrophysics of reservoir rocks. *AAPG (Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol.) Bull.* 34, 943–961.
- Amaefule, J.O., Altunbay, D., Tiab, D., Kersey, D.G., and Keelan, D.K.: “Enhanced Reservoir Description: Using Core and Log Data to Identify Hydraulic (Flow) Units and Predict Permeability in Uncored Intervals/Wells,” *SPE* 26436 (1993).
- Amaefule, J.O., Altunbay, M., Tiab, D., Kersey, D.G., Keelan, D.K., 1993. Enhanced reservoir description using core and log data to identify hydraulic flow units and predict permeability in uncored intervals/wells. In: *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*, 3–6 October, Houston, Texas.
- Archie, G.E. (1950): Introduction to Petrophysics of Reservoir Rocks, *Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists*, vol. 34, no. 5, 943 – 961.
- Archie, G.E. (1952): Classification of Carbonate Reservoir Rocks and Petrophysical Consideration, *Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists*, vol. 36, no. 2, 278 – 298.
- Askari, A.A., Behrouz, T., 2011. A fully integrated method for dynamic rock type characterization development in one of Iranian off-shore oil reservoir. *J. Chem. Petroleum Eng. Univ. Tehran* 45 (2), 83–96.

Bacskey I, Sepsey A, Felinger A (2014) Determination of the pore size distribution of high-performance liquid chromatography stationary phases via inverse size exclusion chromatography. *J Chromatograph A* 1339:110–117

Basins. DE-PS26-04NT42072. University of Kansas Center for Research, Inc. project.

Boada, E., Barbato, R., Porras, J.C., Quaglia, A., 2001. Rock Typing: key Approach for Maximizing Use of Old Well Log Data in Mature Fields, Santa Rosa Field, Case Study. SPE 69459. pp. 7.

Byrnes, A.P., Cluff, R.M., Webb, J.C., 2009. Analysis of Critical Permeability, Capillary and Electrical Properties for Mesaverde Tight Gas Sandstones from Western U.S.

Carmen, P.C.: “Fluid Flow through Granular Beds,” *Trans. AIChE* (1937) V. 15, 150-166.

Chopra A.K, Stein M.H., Ader J.C. 1987. Development of Reservoir Descriptions to Aid in Design of EOR Projects. SPE California Regional Meeting. Paper 16370.

Corbett, P.W.M., dan Potter, D.K. (2004): Petrotyping: A Basemap and Atlas for Navigating Through Permeability and Porosity Data for Reservoir Comparison and Permeability Prediction, *this paper was prepared for presentation at the International Symposium of the Society of Core Analysts held in Abu Dhabi, UAE, 5 – 9 October, 2004.*

Corey, A.T., 1954. The interrelation between gas and oil relative permeabilities. *Prod. Mon.* 38–41.

Craig, F.F. The Reservoir Engineering Aspects of Waterflooding. SPE Monograph. 1972, Vol. 3, pp. 63-66.

Doublet, L.E., 2001. An Integrated Geologic and Engineering Reservoir Characterization of the North Robertson (Clear Folk) Unit, Gaines County. Texas PhD Dissertation. Texas A&M University.

Dukhin A, Swasey S, Thommes M (2013) A method for pore size and porosity analysis of porous materials using electro-acoustic and high frequency conductivity. *Colloids Surf A* 437:127–132

Early Determination of Reservoir Flow Units Using an Integrated Petrophysical Method, SPE 38679.

El Sharawya, Mohamed S, Gaafar, Gamal R., 2018. Pore - Throat size distribution indices and their relationships with the petrophysical properties of conventional and unconventional clastic reservoirs. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*. Accepted 5 October 2018 0264-8172/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd.

El-Khatib, N., 1995. Development of a Modified Capillary Pressure J-function Paper SPE 29890 Presented at the SPE Middle East Oil Show in Bahrain 547-562.

Gunter, G (2003): Integrated Petrophysics and Reservoir Characterization; Rock Types, Flow Unit, and Applied Case Studies, NExT Tulsa Geoscience and Petrophysics Center of Excellence, Jakarta

Gunter, G.W., Finneran, J.M., Hartman, D.J. dan Miller, J.D. (1997): Early Determination of Reservoir Flow Units Using an Integrated Petrophysical Method, Paper SPE 38679 presented at SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition held in San Antonio, Texas, October 5 – 8.

Gunter, G.W., Spain, D.R., Viro, E.J., Thomas, J.B., Potter, G., & Williams, J. 2014. Winland Pore Throat Prediction Method - A Proper Retrospect: New Examples from Carbonates and Complex Systems. Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts SPWLA-2014-KKK, SPWLA 55th Annual Logging Symposium, 18-22 May, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.

Guoping Xue, Datta-Gupta, A., Peter Valko, and Balsingame T.: “Optimal Transformations for Multiple Regression: Application to Permeability Estimation from Well Logs,” SPE 35412 presented at the Improved Oil Recovery Symposium, Tulsa, Ok, 21 April 1996.

H. Saboorian-Jooybari, G. H. Mowazi, S. R. Jaber. A new approach for rock typing used in one of the Iranian carbonate reservoir (a case study). Paper SPE 131915 presented at the International Oil and Gas Conference and Exhibition, Beijing, China, 8–10 June 2010. DOI: 10.2118/131915-MS.

H. Saboorian-Jooybari, G. H. Mowazi, S. R. Jaber. Improved Method to Identify Hydraulic Flow Units for Reservoir Characterization. *Energy Technol.* 2015, 3, 726 – 733 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH&Co. KGaA, Weinheim. DOI: 10.1002/ente.201500010

Hasan's, Deddy *et al* (2014): Application of Electrical Image Derived Porosity and Permeability in Heterogenous Carbonates for Characterization, Quantification, Identification of Reservoir Flow Unit- Case Study Senoro Field, Indonesia, SPWLA 55th Annual logging Symposium, May 18-22, 2014

Huet, C.C., Rushing, J.A., Newsham, K.E., Blasingame, T.A., 2005. A modified Purcell/Burdine model for estimating absolute permeability from mercury- injection capillary pressure data. IPTC 12p 10994.

Jennings, J.B., 1987. Capillary pressure techniques: application to exploration and development geology. AAPG (Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol.) Bull. 71, 1196–1209.

Jennings, JW and Lucia JF (2003).: Predicting permeability from well logs in carbonates with a link to geology for inter-well mapping, SPE 84942

Katz, A.J., Thompson, A.H. Quantitative Prediction of Permeability in Pours Rock: *Physical Review*. Vol. 34, pp. 8179-8181. 92.

Kolodzie, S.J. The Analysis of Pore Throat Size and Use of Waxman-Smit to Determine OOIP in Spindle Field Colorado 1980. SPE 55th Annual Fall Tech. Conf. and Exhib. SPE Paper 0382

Lake, L.W., Kasap, E., and Shook, M. Pseudofunctions—The Key to Practical Use of Reservoir Description. [book auth.] Graham and Trotman. 1990. 35. The Use of Vertical Equilibrium in Two-Dimensional Simulation of Three-Dimensional Reservoir Performance. Coats, K.H., Dempsey, J.R., and Henderson, J.H. March 1971, SPEJ, Vol. 63.

Leverett, M.C, “Capillary Behaviour in Porous Solids” Trans AIME (1941) 142, 152-69

Martin, A.J., Solomon, S.T., Hartmann, D.J. Characterization of Petrophysical Flow Units in Carbonate Reservoirs. AAPG Bulletin. 1997, Vol. 81, 5, pp. 734-759.

Muggeridge, A.H. Generation of Effective Relative Permeabilities from Detailed Simulation of Flow in Heterogeneous Porous Media. [ed.] H.B. Carroll, and T.C. Wesson L.W. Lake. Reservoir Characterization II. San Diego, California: Academic Press, 1991, pp. 197-225.

Ngo, V.T., Lu, V.D., Nguyen, M.H., Hoang, T.M., Nguyen, H.M., Le, V.M., 2015. A Comparison of permeability prediction methods using core analysis data. In: SPE-175650-MS, SPE Reservoir Characterization and Simulation Conference and Exhibition, 14–16 September, Abu Dhabi, UAE.

Gunter G.W., Pinch J.J., Finneran J.M., Bryant W.T. Overview of an Integrated Process Model to Develop Petrophysical Based Reservoir Descriptions. 1997. SPE Annual Tech. Conf. and Exhibition. SPE Paper 38748.

Peng S, Hassan A, Loucks RG. Permeability estimation based on thin-section image analysis and 2D flow modeling in grain-dominated carbonates. Mar Petrol Geol 2016; 77:763–75.

Permadi, P. dan Susilo, A. (2009): Permeability Prediction and Characteristics of Pore structure and Geometry as Inferred from Core Data, Paper SPE 125350 presented at

SPE/EAGE Reservoir Characterization and Simulation Conference held in Abu Dhabi, UEA, October 19 – 21.

Pittman, E.D. Relationship of Porosity and Permeability to Various Parameters Derived from Mercury Injection Capillary Pressure Curves for Sandstones. AAPG Bulletin. 1992, Vol. 76, 2, pp. 191-198.

Porras, J.C., Campos, O., 2001. Rock typing: a key for petrophysical characterization and definition of flow units, Santa Barbara Field, Eastern Venezuela Basin. In: Paper SPE.

Rabiller, P., 2017. Combining porosimetry and Purcell permeability modeling to calibrate FZI and define a dynamic permeability cut-off. In: International Symposium of the Society of Core Analysis, Vienna, Austria, 27–30 August 2017.

Rabiller, Ph.; “An integrated workflow for MICP integration, pore typing and saturation height modeling – Poster # 37”; 2017; International Symposium of the Society of Core Analysts.

Porras, J.C., Campos, O Rock Typing: A Key Approach for Petrophysical Characterization and Definition of Flow Units, Santa Barbara Field, Eastern Venezuela Basin. Buenos Aires, Argentina: s.n., 25–28 March 2001. SPE 69458.

Salazarluna, J.M. Assessment of permeability from well logs based on core calibration and simulation of mud-filtrate. The University of Texas at Austin, May 2004.

Skalinski, M., Zeh, S.G., dan Moss, B. (2005): Defining and Predicting Rock Types in Carbonates – Preliminary Results from an Integrated Approach Using Core and Log Data in Tengiz Field, *SPWLA 46th Annual Logging Symposium*, June 26 – 29.

Slatt, R. M. Stratigraphic reservoir characterization for petroleum geologists, geophysicists, and engineers. s.l.: Elsevier, 2006.

Spearing, M., Allen, T., McAulay, G., 2001. Review of the Winland R35 Method for Net Pay Definition and its Application in Low Permeability Sands: Special Core Analysis Symposium Volume 2001, Society of Core Analysts, Edinburgh 63. pp.

Tiab, D., Marschall, D. M. and Altunbay, M. H. Method for Identifying and Characterizing Hydraulic Units of Saturated Porous Media: Tri-Kappa Zoning Process. March 9, 1993. U.S. Patent No. 5,193,059.

Wibowo, A.S. and Permadi, P. 2013. A Type Curve for Carbonates Rock Typing. Paper IPTC 16663 presented at The International Petroleum Technology Conference held in Beijing, China. March 25-28.

Wu, T., 2004. Permeability Prediction and Drainage Capillary Pressure Simulation in Sandstone Reservoirs. PhD Dissertation. Texas A&M University.

Wu, T., Berg, R.R., 2003. A Method for Synthesizing and Averaging Capillary Pressure Curves AAPG Annual Meeting, Salt Lake City, Extended Abstract. pp. 3p.

Wyllie, M.R.J., and Gardner, G.H.F.: "The Generalized Kozeny-Carmen Equation," World Oil, March and April 1958.