Homology modelling and in silico substrate-binding analysis of a *Rhizobium* sp. RC1 haloalkanoic acid permease
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**ABSTRACT**

*Rhizobium* sp. RC1 grows on haloalkanoic acid (haloacid) pollutants and expresses a haloacid permease (DehrP), which mediates the uptake of haloacids into the cells. For the first time, we report the homology model and docking analysis of DehrP and propose its putative binding residues. Ligand structures were retrieved from the ChemSpider database. The three-dimensional (3D) structure of DehrP was modelled based on the structure of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* glucose: H+ symporter (GlcPse) by Phyre2, refined by 3Dreeve and evaluated by ProSA z-score, ERRAT and RAMPAGE. The 3D structure of the DehrP protein has 12 transmembrane helices. The overall quality factor of the model is ~91%, with 93.6% of the residues in the favoured region and the z-score (−2.86) falls within the range (≤10) for a good model. Subsequent docking of monobromoacetate, monochloroacetate, dibromoacetate, dichloroacetate, trichloroacetate and 2,2-dichloropropionate ligands via AutoDock Vina1.1.2 showed that residues Gln133, Asp36 and Arg38 are the putative H+-binding site, while the probable haloacid interacting residues are Glu13, Trp37, Phe38, Gln165 and Glu370. The DehrP-haloacid complexes exhibited binding affinities between −2.9 and −4.0 kcal/mol. Both the putative H+ and haloacid-binding sites of DehrP possibly aided in co-transportation of substrates H+ and haloacids into the bacterial cells through the alternating access mechanism, which occurs by formation of halogen bonds and van der Waals interactions with the substrates. Hence, site-directed mutagenesis on the DehrP binding residues could improve the haloacid-binding affinity for efficient haloacid degradation.

**Introduction**

The microbial metabolism of haloalkanoic acid (haloacid) pollutants depends on haloacid transport [1,2] and dehalogenation by the micro-organisms [3,4]. Some micro-organisms are unable to degrade haloacids [5,6] because the metabolism of these compounds does not support cell growth [7]. Likewise, cases of haloacid selectivity by cells [8–10] and haloacid cytotoxicity [11,12] have been reported. It is understood that dehalogenase-associated inducible haloacid transport proteins are involved in the uptake of haloacids into the cytoplasm of bacteria [13–15]. Kinetics studies on *Burkholderia caribensis* MBA4 haloacid transporter (Dehp2) have shown that the protein prefers chloropropionate over monochloroacetate [16]. Therefore, to carry out an efficient haloacid biodegradation process, it requires a firm understanding of the structure and mechanism of the haloacid transport proteins. So far, the three-dimensional (3-D) structure of haloacid transport proteins and their mechanism of action remain unknown.

*Rhizobium* sp. RC1 utilizes haloacids as the carbon and energy source by producing three distinct dehalogenases (D-2-haloacid dehalogenase; DehD, L-2-haloacid dehalogenase; DehL and dual isomeric haloacid dehalogenase; DehE) to cleave the carbon-halogen (C-X) bonds [17–19]. *Rhizobium* sp. RC1 haloacid permease gene *dehrP* is located 511 bases upstream of the D-2-haloacid dehalogenase gene *dehD*, and encodes the haloacid transport protein (DehrP) [15]. DehrP has the Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) transport protein conserved domain that is comprised of a sugar signature [15] and is closely related to the Metabolite:H+ Symporter (MHS) family of haloacid transporters [20] (Deh4p and Dehp2) from *Burkholderia caribensis* MBA4, a subfamily of the MFS [21,22].

Proteins from the six subfamilies of the MFS show low sequence similarities with unique substrate specificities, and distinct transport-coupling mechanisms. All of them share a common structural motif, known as the MFS fold [23]. Therefore, these transporters conform to the same
3D structural arrangement, which consists of 12 transmembrane helices (TM)s arranged into two folded domains (N and C), in which each domain has six TM{s} [24–31].

In this study, for the first time, we report the homology modelling and haloacid-binding analysis of *Rhizobium* sp. RC1 haloacid permease (DehrP). The 3D structure of DehrP was modelled based on the crystal structure of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* glucose:H$^+$ symporter (GlcPse) [32]. We conducted a comparative binding analysis of the putative haloacid-binding site of DehrP with six haloacid ligands (monobromoacetate, MBA; monochloroacetate, MCA; dibromoacetate DBA; dichloroacetate, DCA; trichloroacetate, TCA; and 2,2-dichloropropionate, 2,2-DCP) to identify the putative residues involved in haloacid transport. In this work, we also proposed the mechanism of haloacid transport carried out by DehrP.

**Materials and methods**

**Sequence retrieval and alignment**
The amino acid sequence of *Rhizobium* sp. RC1 haloacid permease (DehrP) [15] was downloaded from the UniProtKB Database [33] with accession number Q1M2W6. NCBI-Blastp [34], PSI-Blast [35] and UniProt-Blastp [33] were used to analyse the amino acid sequence of DehrP for sequence homology. The DehrP sequence was aligned with *S. epidermidis* glucose:H$^+$ symporter (GlcPse, Uniprot number: Q5HKL0) [32] using Clustal Omega [36].

**Homology modelling and model evaluation**
The 3D structure of DehrP was modelled based on the crystal structure of *S. epidermidis* glucose:H$^+$ symporter (GlcPse, PDB accession number: 4LDS B) using the Phyre2 server [37]. The 3D structure of DehrP (PDB file) was then submitted to 3Drefine server to optimize the hydrogen-binding network and to apply atomic-level energy minimization on the optimized model using physics and knowledge-based force fields [38]. ProSA-web was used to calculate the z-score of the 3-D structure from a score and energy plot in order to check for potential errors in the model using its atomic coordinates [39]. RAMPAGE server [40] was used to generate a Ramachandran 2-D contour plot between $\Psi$ (psi) and $\Phi$ (phi) torsion angles of each amino acid residue, to predict the stereochemical quality of the 3-D structure. Similarly, ERRAT (https://www.Shannon.mbi.ucla.edu/DOE/services/EVRT/) [41] was used to evaluate the overall quality of the protein structure. The software uses an error function that is based on the statistics of non-bonded atom–atom interactions in the modelled structure in comparison to a database of reliable high-resolution structures. The DehrP 3D structure was then superimposed with the GlcPse (template) 3-D structure by the Chimera 1.11.2rc software [42]. Based on the longer length of the GlcPse structure (425 residues), the stretch of residues from the C-terminus of *Agrobacterium* sp. NHG3 (with 98% sequence identity, see supplementary Figure S1) without corresponding residues in DehrP was added to the DehrP C-terminus before modelling. This is a technique typically adopted when an indirect threading approach to model proteins of unequal sequence length with the template of interest is used [43].

**Molecular docking**

**Ligand and receptor preparation**
The 3D structures of D-glucose (DGlc), monobromoacetate (MBA), monochloroacetate (MCA), dichloroacetate (DCA), dibromoacetate (DBA), trichloroacetate (TCA) and 2,2-dichloropropionate (2,2-DCP) were downloaded from the ChemSpider [44] ligand database under the following ChemSpider IDs: 96749, 5991, 452706, 24195, 5602522, 106518 and 2815701, respectively. The ligands were used as input files for AutoDock Tools 1.5.6 [45]. The ligand rigid roots were automatically set and all possible rotatable bonds and torsions were defined as active. The docking simulation used the modelled DehrP 3-D structure (receptor) which consists of one single chain B (419 residues). DehrP in PDB format was submitted to the AutoDock Tools 1.5.6 [45], after which polar hydrogen atoms were added and Kollman charges, atomic solvation parameters and fragmental volumes were assigned to the protein. After that, polar hydrogen atoms were added and Kollman charges, atomic solvation parameters and fragmental volumes were assigned to the protein. Chain B (425 residues) of the 3D structure of *S. epidermidis* glucose transporter (GlcPse, PDB: 4LDS) downloaded from PDB was also prepared as the control to be used in the docking procedure.

**Docking procedure**

Docking simulation was performed using AutoDock Vina 1.1.2 [46], in which hydrogens and Kollman charges were assigned to the DehrP receptor protein, followed by conversion of the PDB file to pdbqt. Ligands were assigned with Gasteiger charges and non-polar hydrogens. Docking simulations were run using the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA), which is known to be the most efficient and reliable method of AutoDock. The ligand cantered maps were generated by the AutoGrid program with a spacing of 0.200 Å and dimensions of 100 x 100 x 100 points. The grid box centre was set to
coordinates 3.01841, 48.2993 and 67.4807 in x, y and z, respectively. The default settings were used for all other parameters. For each docking simulation, nine different conformers were generated, for which Chimera 1.11.2 software [42] was used to visualize the obtained docking conformations. The AutoDock Vina 1.1.2 [46] binding free energies ($\Delta G_b$ in kcal/mol) for the seven ligand–receptor complexes for DehrP and GlcPse were then compared. The results were visualized in UCSF Chimera v.1.11.2rc [42] and Discovery Studio v.16.1.0 [47]. The different DehrP-haloacid binding conformations generated from this assessment were then used to propose the haloacid binding site and mechanism of haloacid transport.

**Results and discussion**

**Sequence alignment**

Comparative analysis of protein sequences by sequence alignment provides useful information during structural and functional analysis by revealing sequence–structure–function relationships [48,49]. PSI-Blast of the *Rhizobium* sp. RC1 haloacid permease sequence in the NCBI database affirmed that DehrP has 30% sequence identity with *S. epidermidis* glucose:H$^+$ symporter (GlcPse, NCBI accession number: WP_002486092.1). An alignment of the two sequences revealed that DehrP and GlcPse share some common secondary structural elements (helices) and amino acids at specific locations (Figure 1), including some glucose and H$^+$-binding site residues.

**Homology modelling**

As reported by Abramson et al. [23], transporter proteins that belong to the MFS conform to the same 3D structure. Since DehrP is a member of the MFS [15,22], the protein is expected to follow a similar structure to the experimentally determined 3D structures of microbial肩负

![Figure 1. Alignment of DehrP sequence with glucose symporter (GlcPse) template.](image)

Note: The secondary structural elements (helices) are shown above the aligned sequence. TMs are shown in gold while the cytoplasmic helical loop is shown in green. Asterisks (*) indicate highly conserved amino acid residues, double dots (:) and single dot (.) indicates moderate and low conservation, respectively. The conserved glucose binding residue (Gln$^{137}$) and H$^+$-binding residues (Asp$^{22}$ and Arg$^{102}$) are highlighted in blue colour and the Uniprot accession numbers for the transporters are in parentheses. The alignment was generated by Clustal Omega [36].
MFS transporters. The DehrP sequence was threaded onto the crystal structure (at 3.2 Å resolution) of GlcPse (PDB accession number: 4LDS) by Phyre² software [37].

The homology model (Figure 2) of DehrP has 12 TMs that are interlinked by six periplasmic loops on the extracellular face and five intracellular loops on the cytoplasmic face of the membrane. However, the large periplasmic loop between TMs 9 and 10 proposed by Tse et al. [21] was not modelled by the Phyre² server [37], specifically because the template does not have such a loop and the model is comprised of 12 TMs, typical of an MFS transporter. Therefore, removal of the large loop would allow for the modelling of more haloacid transporters transmembrane helices based on the existing experimentally determined 3D structures of MFS transporters in the PDB. The relatively large cytoplasmic α-helical loop connecting TM6 and TM7 (Figure 2) serves as a linker between the two domains (N and C) of the 3D structure of DehrP and is within the 30–100 residues that connect the two domains of MFS transporters [24–30,32]. Like all known 3D structures of MFS transporters, the intracellular loops between TMs 2 and 3, and 8 and 9 of DehrP appear quite short. Their role is presumably to reduce the flexibility of the loops on the cytoplasmic face of the MFS proteins [31]. The two DehrP domains (N and C) are superimposable (Figure 3) with the inward facing structure of GlcPse (template), and the common binding site residues in the cavity of the domains are well aligned.

Validity of the 3-D model

The quality and reliability of the DehrP structure was assessed using z-score ProSA-web [39], RAMPAGE [40] Ramachandran plot and ERRAT [41]. The DehrP protein obtained a z-score of −2.86, which is well within the range of values observed for experimentally resolved native protein structures of a similar size (z-score ≤ 10) [39], (supplementary Figure S2). It is worth noting that the calculated protein z-score for DehrP is comparable to scores obtained for PDB proteins whose structures were resolved by X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance. The result, therefore, indicates that the obtained model was of good quality. Further, the stereochemical quality (Φ and Ψ angles) of the model by the Ramachandran plot revealed that most of the residues (93.6%) are in the favoured region (supplementary Figure S3), whereas the remaining 3.9% and 2.4% are in the allowed and outlier regions, respectively. The data indicate that the generated DehrP protein model was good. To check the reliability of the model, we performed a statistical analysis of the non-bonded

Figure 2. Overview of DehrP structural arrangement. (A) Side-view representation of the 12 TMs as two-fold pseudosymmetrical domains connected by a relatively large cytoplasmic α-helical loop between TM6 and TM7. (B) Periplasmic-view showing the cavity that leads into the periplasmic space with TM7 at the middle, while TMs 3, 6, 9, and 12 farther away from the cavity. (C) Cytoplasmic-face showing the cavity that leads into the cytoplasm with TM7 at the middle, while TMs 3, 6, 9, and 12 farther away from the cavity.
interactions between different atom types by ERRAT [41]. The ERRAT plot (supplementary Figure S4) shows that the overall quality factor (OQF) of the model is $\sim 91\%$. The average OQF of low resolutions (2.5–3 Å) structures is found to be 91% [41], hence affirming the reliability of the obtained 3D protein model.

**Putative binding residues and docking analysis**

Transporters are membrane proteins that mediate the uptake of substrates into and out of the cells. The transport process is possible because of the presence of key residues that coordinate the passage of substrates across the membrane [50,51]. Based on the sequence similarity, some of these essential residues were found conserved among the characterised MFS transporters [27,52]. Superposition of the 3-D structure of DehrP on that of GlcPse revealed the presence of common binding site residues (Figure 3). Asp$^{36}$, Arg$^{130}$ and Gln$^{133}$ in DehrP overlapped, respectively, with the H$^{+}$-binding site residues Ile$^{105}$, Asp$^{22}$ and Arg$^{102}$ in GlcPse, whereas Gln$^{165}$ overlapped with the glucose-binding site residue Gln$^{137}$. Studies have shown that these residues play important roles in the transport of H$^{+}$ into the cells [27,32]. *B. caribensis* MBA4 haloacid transporters (Deh4p and Dehp2) are reportedly dependent on pH and transmembrane electrochemical gradient for transport activities [1]. Previous comparative analysis showed that DehrP is a member of the MHS as seen in its high sequence similarity with known members of MHS [20]. It is possible that these residues could be the binding sites for H$^{+}$ during haloacid transport into the bacterial cell, considering that residues Asp$^{36}$, Arg$^{130}$ and Gln$^{133}$ are a part of the DehrP sequence motifs [20]. Conversely, a previous biochemical study revealed that glutamine is important for transport activity and exofacial ligand binding [53]. In this perspective, the Gln$^{165}$ in DehrP may have an important role in haloacid binding, too.

Docking analyses of the 3D structure of DehrP with substrates, DGlc, MBA, MCA, DBA, DCA, TCA, and 2,2-DCP, show that, in addition to Gln$^{165}$, there are at least four other possible residues involved in haloacid binding. The complexes with the best conformation were selected from nine docking poses for each ligand. The visual screening of the docking poses showed that residues Gln$^{165}$, Thr$^{19}$, Gly$^{20}$, Asn$^{23}$, Gly$^{24}$, Gln$^{137}$ and Asn$^{256}$ in GlcPse. It is important to mention here that both Gln$^{137}$ and Asn$^{256}$ are among the five known glucose-binding residues in GlcPse (Figure 3) [27]. Other residues, Glu$^{13}$, Trp$^{34}$, Phe$^{37}$, Gln$^{165}$ and Glu$^{170}$ are potential binding sites in the DehrP cavity (Figure 4) and these residues correspond, respectively, to Thr$^{19}$, Gly$^{20}$, Asn$^{23}$, Gly$^{24}$, Gln$^{137}$ and Asn$^{256}$ in GlcPse. It is important to mention here that both Gln$^{137}$ and Asn$^{256}$ are among the five known glucose-binding residues in GlcPse (Figure 5) [27]. Other residues, Glu$^{13}$, Trp$^{34}$, Phe$^{37}$ and

![Figure 3](image-url)
Figure 4. Docked conformation of DehrP (model) with DGlc. (A) Putative DehrP binding site in the inward-facing conformation (rainbow) complexed with D-glucose (DGlc), monobromoacetate (MBA), monochloroacetate (MCA), dibromoacetate (DBA), dichloroacetate (DCA), trichloroacetate (TCA) and 2,2-dichloropropionate (2,2-DCP) shown as rectangular grey shading. (B) Expanded view of the binding site residues Glu33, Trp34, Phe37, Phe18, Gln165 and Glu370 (stick model) and DGlc (purple), MBA (yellow), MCA (blue), DBA (green), DCA (pink), TCA (black) and 2,2-DCP (red) in the ball and stick representation.

Note: The figure was prepared using the UCSF Chimera 1.11.2rc software [42].

Figure 5. Docked conformation of GlcPse (template). (A) The glucose binding site in the inward-facing conformation (rainbow) complexed with D-glucose (DGlc) and monobromoacetate (MBA), monochloroacetate (MCA) shown as rectangular grey shading. (B) Expanded view of the binding site residues (Gln137, Gln250, Gln251, Asn256 and Trp167) complexed with DGlc (purple), MBA (yellow) and MCA (blue) in the ball and stick representation.

Note: Blue lines represent hydrogen bonds as computed by the UCSF Chimera 1.11.2rc software [42].
Phe\textsuperscript{38} are found on TM1. Gln\textsuperscript{165} and Glu\textsuperscript{370} are located on TMs 5 and 7, respectively. Some residues on TMs 1, 4, 5, 7 and 10 have been reported to contribute to substrate binding in the MFS transporters [24–30,32]. It was demonstrated that Glu\textsuperscript{33}, Trp\textsuperscript{34}, Phe\textsuperscript{37}, Phe\textsuperscript{38} and Gln\textsuperscript{165} are part of DehrP sequence motifs [20].

The study observed some disparities in the binding free energy values calculated in AutoDock Vina [46] (see supplementary Table S1) for seven DehrP–ligands complexes. The best docked conformation and affinity of the DehrP–DGlc complex is comparable to that of the GlcPse–DGlc complex. The DehrP–MBA and DehrP–MCA complexes showed the lowest binding affinity which correlates well with the fact that the two ligands are less substituted with halogen and both are not substrates for growth of *Rhizobium* sp. RC1. The GlcPse–MBA and GlcPse–MCA complexes showed similar affinity, whereby an increase in the number of halogens leads to an increase in the affinity of DehrP for the ligands. The study believes the halogens formed weak interactions with the binding site residues as illustrated in Figure 6. Halogen bonds (C–X···D–Z) between halogenated ligands and binding-site residues containing polar nitrogen and oxygen atoms can significantly alter the ligand-binding affinity to the target protein receptor [54], depending on the lipophilicity substituent constant (\(\tau\)) of the halogen [55]. The higher affinity of DehrP for DBA as compared to DCA might be due to the higher lipophilicity substituent constant (\(\tau\)) of the bromine atom.

Docking of DCA, DBA, TCA and 2,2-DCP with GlcPse
showed that the ligand could not interact with the glucose binding site residues. This is consistent with the fact that GlcPse is not a transporter of haloacids, attributable to differences in the binding site residues in DehrP and GlcPse. The presence of electron-rich halogens in haloacids and the halogen bond-acceptor side chains in DehrP (Trp34, Phe37, Phe38, Glu33 and Glu370) may have contributed to the greater affinity of DehrP for haloacids. This is consistent with reports by previous works which described the affinity of halogens for O and aromatic rings [56–58]. Among all the haloacids docked with DehrP, 2,2-DCP showed the highest binding affinity, consistent with the fact that 2,2-DCP is the natural substrate for growth of *Rhizobium* sp. RC1. The DehrP binding site conformations were further analysed using Discovery Studio v.16.1.0 [47] and it was revealed that the halocacid ligands predominantly interact with the DehrP binding site residues through van der Waals interactions (Figure 7). In addition to the six putative binding site residues (Glu33, Trp34, Phe37, Phe38, Gln165 and Glu370), it appears that the Ala165 result was somewhat anticipated, as involvement of Leu and Ala in halogen bond formation is well reported in the literature [59]. As a matter of fact, Leu is considered to be an important halogen interaction initiator [60].

**Proposed haloacid transport mechanism**

Based on the *in silico* data, we herein propose the mechanism of haloacid transport for DehrP via the previously described alternative access transport model of *E. coli* lactose permease (LacY) [24] and GlcPse glucose permease [32]. In this mechanism, the sugar- and H⁺-binding sites are alternately exposed to either side of the membrane (Figure 8(A) I-III). Unlike LacY and GlcPse, the side chains that are important for haloacid- and H⁺- binding in DehrP are usually located in the N-terminal helix bundle (Figure 8(B)). In the absence of H⁺, Asp36 and Arg130 form a salt bridge that consequently leads to the widening of the binding cavity following the shift in positions of TMs 1 and 4 (Figure 8(B) IV). When H⁺ is present, Asp36 is protonated and the salt bridge is disrupted. This
causes the TMs 1 and 4 to undergo rearrangement which decreases the size of the binding cavity (Figure 8(B)). Most importantly, the smaller binding cavity results in a concomitant lowering of the energetic barrier of the transporter’s conformations [32], hence permitting the rapid translocation of the haloacid (Figure 8(B VI)). The N- and C-domains are also brought closer when the haloacids and residues between the two domains interact (Figure 8(B VI)).

**Conclusions**

This work demonstrates that haloacid transport proteins are implicated in the coordination of haloacids from the environment into the cells of *Rhizobium* sp. RC1 during haloacid degradation. The results from this study represent a target for improved haloacid uptake. The 3-D structure shows that DehrP is MFS that can be folded into 12 TMs, constructed by two-fold pseudosymmetrical domains connected by a relatively large cytoplasmic loop between TMs 6 and 7. Docking analysis with haloacid ligands revealed that the halide atoms and carboxylic groups in the ligands typically participated in the formation of halogen bonds and van der Waals interactions through residues Glu^{36}, Trp^{34}, Phe^{37}, Phe^{38}, Gln^{165} and Glu^{370} of the DehrP protein. The study also revealed that residues Ala^{165} and Leu^{373} could be important in protein–ligand interactions and that the transport of haloacid possibly occurs via coupling of the compound with H⁺ transport through the H⁺-binding site residues Asp^{36}, Arg^{130} and Gln^{133}. Based on the well-studied LacY and GlcPse models, we propose that the DehrP haloacid transport in *Rhizobium* sp. RC1 takes place via the alternative access mechanism of substrate transport by the MFS proteins. Hence, the present work was successful in identifying the haloacid binding site on DehrP. The data obtained in this study can be used for future work involving site-directed mutagenesis on haloacid...
transporters to enhance transport of haloacids from the environment into bacterial cells for improved rate of haloacid degradation.
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