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ABSTRACT

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the most polluting greenhouse gas released into the atmosphere in large quantities causing global warming. Immobilization of amine groups containing compounds on a solid substrate is a straightforward approach for CO₂ adsorption. The main aim of this study is to prepare new amine-containing adsorbents having nanofibrous structures for efficient capturing of CO₂ from different environments. The adsorbent preparation involved i) electrospinning of syndiotactic polypropylene (s-PP) solution, ii) radiation induced graft copolymerization of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) onto the electrospun nanofibers, and iii) functionalization of poly-GMA grafted s-PP nanofibrous mats with different amines (ethanolamine, diethylamine and triethylamine). The effect of various electrospinning parameters such as voltage, needle tip to collector distance and flow rate on the morphological properties of the produced nanofibers was studied using the response surface method (RSM). The effects of grafting parameters such as absorbed dose, monomer concentration, time and temperature on the degree of grafting (DG) were also investigated. The Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR), Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) techniques were used to determine morphological, chemical, thermal properties and stability, changes in the structure of the nanofibers after each modification step. Finally, the amine-bearing nanofibers were tested for CO₂ adsorption in a fixed bed column under different operating parameters such as DG in adsorbent, amine type, initial CO₂ concentration and temperature. The highest CO₂ adsorption capacity of 2.87 mmol CO₂/g was achieved in an adsorbent having 300 % DG and functionalized with ethanolamine at 15 % initial CO₂ concentration, atmospheric pressure and 30 °C. This study showed that new class of CO₂ adsorbents can be successfully prepared by combining electrospinning with radiation induced grafting techniques followed by amine loading.
ABSTRAK

Karbon dioksida (CO₂) merupakan gas rumah hijau paling mencemar yang dilepaskan dalam kuantiti yang tinggi ke dalam atmosfera dan menyebabkan pemanasan global. Immobilisasi kumpulan amina pada substrat pepejal merupakan pendekatan mudah untuk menjerap CO₂. Matlamat utama kajian ini ialah menyediakan penjerap amina baru yang mempunyai struktur gentian nano untuk menjerap CO₂ dengan efisien dari pelbagai persekitaran. Penyediaan bahan penjerap melibatkan i) elektroputar larutan polipropilena sindiotaktik (s-PP), ii) pengkopolimeran cangkuk aruhan sinaran glisid metakrilat (GMA) pada gentian nano elektroputar dan iii) pengfungsian poli-GMA cangkukan PP gentian nano dengan pelbagai amina (etanolamina, dietilamina dan trietilamina). Kesan daripada pelbagai parameter elektroputar seperti voltan, jarak antara hujung jarum dan drum pengumpulan dan kadar aliran ke atas sifat-sifat morfologi gentian nano yang dihasilkan dikaji menggunakan kaedah gerak balas permukaan (RSM). Kesan parameter cangkukan seperti dos terserap, kepekatan monomer, masa dan suhu ke atas kadar cangkukan (DG) juga disiasat. Teknik mikroskop pengimbasan electron (SEM), inframerah spektrometer transformasi Fourier (FT-IR), kalorimetri pengimbasan pembezaan (DSC), analisis termogravimetri (TGA) dan Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) telah digunakan untuk menentukan sifat morfologi, sifat kimia, sifat haba dan kestabilan, perubahan dalam struktur gentian nano selepas setiap langkah pengubahsuaian. Akhirnya, gentian nano mengandungi amina telah diuji untuk penjerapan CO₂ menggunakan turus lapisan tetap di bawah parameter operasi yang berbeza seperti DG dalam penjerap, jenis amina, kepekatan asal CO₂ dan suhu. Kapasiti jerapan tertinggi iaitu 2.87 mmol CO₂/g dicapai pada penjerap yang mempunyai 300 % DG dan difungsikan dengan etanolamina pada 15 % kepekatan asal CO₂, tekanan atmosfera dan 30 °C. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa penjerap CO₂ yang baru berjaya disediakan dengan menggabungkan elektroputar dan teknik cangkukan aruhan sinaran diikuti dengan pemuatan amina.
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWNTs</td>
<td>Multiwalled carbon nanotubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSS</td>
<td>Sodium styrene sulfonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFC</td>
<td>Nanofibrillated cellulose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFs</td>
<td>Nanofibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMS</td>
<td>Octahedral molecular sieve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>Polyacrylonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCLEEP</td>
<td>Poly(ε-caprolactone-co-ethyl ethylene phosphate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Polyethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEGMA</td>
<td>Poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>Polyethyleneimine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEO</td>
<td>Poly (ethylene oxide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES</td>
<td>Poly ether sulfone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Polyethylene terephthalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA</td>
<td>Polyglycolic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>Poly-L-lactide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>Polyolefin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Polypropylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP150-g-Poly-GMA</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 150% poly(GMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP150-g-Poly-GMA-EA30</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 150% poly(GMA) and 30% aminated by EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP150-g-Poly-GMA-EA60</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 150% poly(GMA) and 60% aminated by EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP150-g-Poly-GMA-EA94</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 150% poly(GMA) and 94% aminated by EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP300-g-Poly-GMA</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP300-g-Poly-GMA-DEA30</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 30% aminated by DEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP300-g-Poly-GMA-DEA85</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 85% aminated by DEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP300-g-Poly-GMA</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEA93</td>
<td>Poly(GMA) and 93% aminated by DEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP300-g-Poly-GMA-</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 30% aminated by EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA30</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 60% aminated by EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP300-g-Poly-GMA-</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 94% aminated by EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA60</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 22% aminated by TEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP300-g-Poly-GMA-</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 50% aminated by TEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA94</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 300% poly(GMA) and 82% aminated by TEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP400-g-Poly-GMA</td>
<td>Polypropylene nanofibers grafted with 400% poly(GMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPNF</td>
<td>Polypropylene Nanofibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Polystyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSU</td>
<td>Polysulfone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>Polytetrafluoroethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA</td>
<td>Polyvinyl alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVDF</td>
<td>Polyvinylidene fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP</td>
<td>Polyvinylpyrrolidone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QF</td>
<td>Quality factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGP</td>
<td>Radiation induced graft polymerization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning electron microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Silk fibroin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-PP</td>
<td>Syndiotactic polypropylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBO</td>
<td>Toluidine Blue O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TETA</td>
<td>Triethylenetetramine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFC</td>
<td>Thin film composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>Thermogravimetric analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THMAM</td>
<td>Tri(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>Trimethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UF</td>
<td>Ultrafiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULPA</td>
<td>Ultra-Low Penetration Air Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td>Vinyl pyrrolidone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WK</td>
<td>Wool Keratose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Global warming is one of the most crucial problems faced by human being for the past 50 years. This phenomenon is caused mainly by emission of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), sulfur hexafluoride (SF$_6$), methane (CH$_4$), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), water vapor, nitrous oxide (N$_2$O), and perfluorocarbons (PFCs) are the most critical greenhouse gases which accumulate in the atmosphere, preventing the heat from reflecting back to the space, i.e. act like an “earth blanket” and result in an increase in the global temperature [1].

A certain level of these gases is naturally available in the atmosphere to keep the earth surface warm and inhabitable. Before industrial age in mid-17$^{th}$ century, there was a delicate balance between these gases in the atmosphere and the gases absorbed by natural waters (oceans, seas, etc.). However, the emergence of industrial era and increased need of human being to energy lead to the emission of large amounts of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere, disturbing this balance and increasing earth temperature; nowadays this phenomenon is known as “global warming”.

Greenhouse gases can stay in the atmosphere for about a century. In the latest U.S. climate action report (2014), global warming is mentioned as “no longer a distant threat”. According to this report, the average temperature of the United States
has increased by about 0.8°C since 1895 from which more than 80% is for the time period after 1980. If serious actions are not taken to reduce the increasing trend of CO₂ emission to the atmosphere, the average temperature of the earth is predicted to increase by 1.4-5.8°C until 2100. This temperature increase, either at the lower or at the higher end, will cause tremendous climate changes resulting in water expansion and sea level rise due to glacier melting. Intense tornados, hurricanes, floods, droughts, acidic oceans and spread of tropical diseases to new areas are just some of the examples of the effect of this climate change on earth. A recent study shows that 1°C rise in global temperature results in two to seven folds increase in the number of the events in the scale of Katrina [2]. In 2012 only, there were 11 climate disasters in the United States with estimated damage of more than 11 billion USD [3].

CO₂ is the most important greenhouse gas released into the atmosphere in large quantities. The effect of CO₂ on the earth temperature was first suggested by Svante Arrhenius in his landmark paper published in 1896 [4]. In his theory, earth receives energy from sunlight and re-emits some part of this energy as IR irradiation into the space. Greenhouse gases such as CO₂ accumulated in the atmosphere absorb this IR radiation and prevent it from re-emitting into the space and hence, help to increase the earth temperature. According to the U.S. climate action report 2014, carbon dioxide accounts for more than 80% of greenhouse gases (Figure 1.1) [3].

![Figure 1.1 Greenhouse percentages based on teragram CO₂ equivalent (CO₂e)](image)
Because of the growing concern on climate change driven by this man-made carbon emission, governments all over the world are looking at ways to reduce or stabilize their carbon footprint. United Kingdom has set a plan to reduce its carbon emission by 60 percent by 2050 and European Union has agreed to cut its emission by 20 percent until 2020. In 2009, the United States also committed to reduce its greenhouse gases emissions to the range of 17% below its level in 2005 by 2020 [3].

Burning of fossil fuels such as coal, natural gas and oil comprises 87% of all CO₂ emissions by human being. Deforestation, i.e. clearing the forests and other land use changes are responsible for 9% of CO₂ emission increase into the atmosphere. The remaining 4% is the result of industrial activities such as cement manufacturing [5]. Furthermore, production of electricity and heat represented 42% of global CO₂ emissions in 2013. Transportation, industry and residential use were placed in subsequent ranks with 23, 19 and 6% of global CO₂ emissions, respectively. The remaining 10% was for services and other sectors such as agriculture [6].

Generally, there are three methods for reducing CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere: 1) more efficient uses of energy, 2) using alternative energy resources such as renewable energy sources e.g. solar energy and wind energy, and 3) using CO₂ capture and sequestration (CCS) technologies. More efficient use of energy and using alternative energy resources are far from real-world applications. Therefore, CCS technologies currently are the most commonly used methods in large scales to diminish CO₂ emission.

Carbon sequestration which is defined as long-term storage of carbon dioxide or other forms of carbon to mitigate or delay global warming, is a useful technique of slowing down global warming without any necessary reduction in fossil fuels consumption. The first step in this process is capturing and separating carbon dioxide from waste gas. Four main methods have been developed for CO₂ separation and capture including cryogenics, membrane diffusion, solution absorption and solid adsorption.

Cryogenic distillation is widely used for the separation of other gases, but for CO₂ capturing from flue gas, this method is costly and not practical. Separation of CO₂ from a mixture of gases using membranes is very useful when the concentration
of CO₂ is very high. In the case of capturing carbon dioxide from post-combustion flue gas, the content of CO₂ is very dilute and this method is not very efficient. Liquid amine based process (also known as amine scrubbing) is the most commonly used method for commercial removal of CO₂. This method involves using monoethanolamine, diethanolamine, or methyl-diethanolamine solutions and can remove carbon dioxide effectively [7].

Recently, there has been a great interest on solid adsorbents for CO₂ capturing. These materials can be categorized into physi-sorbents and chemi-sorbents. In physi-sorbents including activated carbon and zeolites, CO₂ is attached onto the surface of the adsorbent material through physical weak interactions. Even though the adsorption capacity of these materials is high and they can operate in near ambient temperatures, humidity which is usually available in CO₂ adsorption environments has negative effect on their performance and furthermore, their adsorption capacity decreases with temperature increase [8, 9].

In chemi-sorbents, usually amine functional groups are immobilized on organic or hybrid solid substrates using physical or chemical interactions. Impregnation of amines on organic substrates such as zeolites results in the formation of the first group of amine-containing solid adsorbents. In these materials adsorption capacity is high, but amine functional groups are washed away after a few cycle of adsorption/desorption [10]. Another group of chemi-sorbents can be synthesized by covalent bonding of amine-containing molecules onto silica base material. Adsorption capacity of the synthesized adsorbent is usually high, but amine efficiency is low and adsorption kinetics is slow.

1.2 Problem Statement

CO₂ is the most critical greenhouse gas released into the atmosphere in large quantities. This large amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere increases the earth temperature and prompts to tremendous problems that affect the existence of the human being such as intense tornados, hurricanes, floods, droughts and acidic rains. The main part of the CO₂ emission comes from combustion of fossil fuels which are
the main source of energy used to meet energy demands of humanity for now and the near future. Approximately 85% of human energy consumption comes from fossil fuels. It was estimated that more than 32 billion tons of CO₂ was released into the air as a result of burning of fossil fuels in 2013 [6].

Currently, liquid amine absorption is the main commercial method used for CO₂ capturing. However, this process involves some challenges including high regeneration energy, large equipment size, solvent degradation, and equipment corrosion which limit its application in the future [11, 12].

Solid adsorption is an alternative technique attracting more attention recently. Among solid adsorbents, polymeric microfibers containing amine functional groups have shown some advantages over other adsorbents based on other kinds of substrates. Particularly, fibrous adsorbent have flexibility, low temperature function, humidity-aided adsorption mechanism and easy regeneration [13]. However, the adsorption capacity of these adsorbents is low because of incomplete access to amine functional groups. Furthermore, the work capacity of the adsorbent, pressure drop and production cost need to be improved.

One of the possible approached to improve the work capacity and the efficiency of microfibrous adsorbents is to decrease the size of the fibers and use nano-scale fibers i.e. nanofibers. Single nanofibers are one-dimensional structures made from various organic (polymers) and inorganic materials. Polymeric nanofibers usually are formed as non-woven mats, forming 3-D structures. Like microfibrous non-woven mats, nanofibrous mats have porous interconnected architecture formed due to the overlapping single fibers. Recently there has been an increasing interest on these structures because of their fascinating properties. Although there are many ways for producing nanofibers, such as phase separation [14], and template synthesis [15], electrospinning is the most versatile and commonly used method.

The nanofibrous mats or sheets have a 3-D porous structure and because of very small diameter of its fibers, the surface area is very high. Since adsorption occurs on the surface of materials, thus surface area is a very important factor in adsorption based technologies. On the other hand, because of the dominance of slip flow in nanofibrous structures, the pressure drop across filters made from nanofibers
is presumably lower than microfibrous counterparts. So, electrospun nanofibrous mats are very good candidate substrates for producing CO₂ polymeric adsorbent which is the main aim of this project. Several studies have already been conducted on using functionalized micro- and nano- fibers for removing different pollutants from solutions such as heavy metal ions and dyes [16-19]. The results showed that these ionically functionalized fibers are very promising chelating materials for adsorption and separation applications.

While nanofibers are very promising materials in different applications, they usually need to be modified via different techniques to impart functional or ionic groups and desired characteristics for a particular application. There are several methods for modifying polymeric nanofibers such as dip-coating, interfacial polymerization, and graft polymerization. Amongst these techniques, radiation induced graft polymerization (RIGP) or radiation induced grafting is very promising modification technique because of its potency to modify chemical and physical characteristics of polymers substrates in various physical forms (films, particles or fibers) without changing their inherent properties [20].

Combining these two fascinating techniques (electrospinning and RIGP) could provide a versatile and convenient way for producing polymeric nanofibrous mats for removing CO₂ from different environments. An intensive search in literature revealed that preparation of functionalized nanofibrous adsorbent for CO₂ capturing has not been reported yet.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The objective of this work is to develop a new nanofibrous amine bearing adsorbent for CO₂ separation from different environments at ambient conditions using electrospinning of s-PP followed by radiation induced graft polymerization of an acrylate monomer followed by functionalization with aminating agents.

The specific objective of the study is sub-divided into the following:
1. To prepare s-PP nanofibrous mats with controlled diameter by optimization of electrospinning parameters.

2. To modify the obtained nanofibrous mats by radiation induced grafting of controlled amount of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) under controlled parameters.

3. To functionalize the obtained poly(GMA) grafted nanofibrous mats with appropriate amine agents.

4. To determine the properties of the obtained functional adsorbent using chemical and materials research aspects in correlation with the performed modification.

5. To evaluate the performance of the adsorbent for CO2 capturing in a fixed column under different operating conditions.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of the study involves 8 stages. Dissolving polymeric material (PP here) in an adequate solvent system to obtain a polymer solution suitable for electrospinning was the first step. Then, nanofibrous mats were produced with electrospinning using as prepared polymeric solution and the effect of different parameters including voltage (8-16 kV), flow rate (1-4 ml/h) and distance between needle tip and collector (10-20 cm) on fibers properties (diameter and morphology) was studied.

Characterization of electrospun nanofibers was carried out using various techniques including scanning electron microscope (SEM), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller porosity analysis (BET). In the next stage, electrospun nanofibers were modified using radiation induced graft polymerization of GMA onto s-PP nanofibers in order to introduce functional groups to the polymeric backbone. This includes irradiation of the fibers with electron beam
and investigation of the effect of the grafting parameters including monomer concentration (2.5-20 vol%), absorbed dose (40-200 kGy), time (20-120 min) and temperature (40-70°C) on the degree of grafting.

After grafting the obtained poly(GMA) grafted s-PP nanofibers also were characterized to identify their morphological (SEM), chemical (FTIR) and thermal (DSC and TGA) properties and evaluate their surface area and pore characteristics (BET).

Functionalization of poly(GMA) grafted s-PP nanofibers using three aminating agents such as ethanolamine, diethylamine or triethylamine was the next step to introduce amine groups for CO₂ adsorption. This includes conversion of the epoxy groups of GMA to various amine groups by treatment with the amine-containing compounds under controlled conditions of amine concentration (20-100 vol%), temperature (30-80 °C) and time (7 min- 24 h). After Amination, the same Characterization techniques were used to identify their morphological (SEM), chemical (FTIR) and thermal (DSC and TGA) properties and evaluate their surface area and pore characteristics (BET);

Finally, CO₂ adsorption capacity of the modified nanofibers was evaluated in a fixed bed column and the effect of different operating parameters including degree of grafting (150-400%), amination agent (EA, DEA or TEA), initial concentration of CO₂ in the feed gas (5-15%) and temperature (30-50°C) on its adsorption capacity was studied. The breakthrough curves were also established for the three types of adsorbents. The adsorption and desorption cycles were established.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This work provides a new amine-containing polymeric adsorbent for CO₂ capturing having nanofibrous structure for the first time using a unique combination of two fascinating methods involving electrospinning and radiation induced graft copolymerization. The newly obtained adsorbent is capable of capturing CO₂ from various environments in a relatively low temperature and pressure. Moreover, it
could be recovered very easily and using less energy without any need to complex and expensive processes. The adsorbent can be used in capturing CO₂ from atmosphere and help mitigate greenhouse effect.

The obtained adsorbent has smaller in fiber diameters and is lighter in weight than commercial products. This can be harnessed in the development of smaller adsorbent columns with larger surface area and higher operating capacity and certainly can lead to an improvement in the economy of the process. This could help industries to cut their CO₂ emission following stagnant regulation imposed by environmental authorities in various countries. Besides, the column filter that can be developed based on this adsorbent can be possibly used in improving in-door air quality in work places and homes. Such filters can be used in air-condition manufacturing and health and medical care industries.

The electrospinning of s-pp leading to the formation of substrate mats that was used for grafting of GMA is rarely reported in literature. Thus, the work reported herein is an interesting contribution for electrospinning of thermoplastic polymers such as PP. The RSM used for optimization of electrospinning parameters provide a statistical tool to design and predict the morphology of the nanofibers.

This work also provided an opportunity to optimize the parameters of radiation induced grafting of GMA onto s-PP to obtain graft copolymer capable of hosting various amine groups. This paves the way for introducing other functional groups that can further enhance the adsorption capacity of the obtained adsorbents.

1.6 Contribution

Contributions of the study are as follows:

- Optimization of electrospinning of s-PP in near room temperature was reported for the first time and a linear model was established for various electrospinning parameters.
• Radiation induced grafting of GMA on electrospun s-PP nanofibers using pre-irradiation technique is reported for the first time and the effect of various parameters is studied.

• Amination of grafted electrospun s-PP nanofibers is studied and the effect of various parameters on amination degree is researched.

• A new nanofibrous solid adsorbent containing various types of amine functional group was prepared capable of capturing CO₂ in room temperature with very high amine efficiency.

• The application of the adsorbent can be extended to remove various species from air using various functional groups during functionalization stage.

1.7 Thesis Outline

The current thesis is presented in five chapters. Chapter 1 is an introduction that covers background of the study and problem statement and describes objectives, scope and significance of the study. In chapter 2, a comprehensive literature review including CO₂ removal techniques and their advantages and limitations, electrospinning and its parameters and applications, radiation induced grafting, and nanofiber characterization techniques is given. Chapter 3 contains the methodology used for fabrication, modification, characterization and testing of nanofibers for CO₂ adsorption. In Chapter 4 the results are presented and discussed with reference to previous works, obtained data are analyzed and interpreted. Chapter 5 presents the final conclusions and recommendations to improve the work in future studies. Finally, the references used in preparing this thesis are listed.
foggy, showing that some of the polymer molecules are solidifying in the solution. It leads to the formation of some small beads in all electrospun sample. To get better results, it is suggested to use a heating system such as infra-red illumination heater or syringe jacket heater to hold the temperature of the solution at 60°C all the time during electrospinning. Even though increasing the chamber temperature up to 45°C, as was done in this work, reduced the solidification effect of the s-PP in the solution, but it did not stop the process completely. It leads to the formation of some beads, decreasing the surface area and affecting final fibers properties.

The adsorption capacity of the synthesized materials was in the range of low to moderate. It was due to the high weight ratio of the carrier non-active polymer (s-PP) to the total weight of the final adsorbent. Any modification that can reduce this ratio and increase the active, amine containing portion of the material could result in an improvement in the adsorption capacity and put the material in the group of high adsorption capacity adsorbents. One of the recommended approaches is to use amination agents having more than one amine group in the molecule. For example, using diamines (e.g. ethylenediamine: EDA), triamines (Diethylenetriamine: DETA) tetramines (e.g. Triethylenetetramine: TETA) or even pentamines (Tetraethylenepentamine: TEPA) [13] could improve the adsorption capacity by increasing the ratio of the active part of the adsorbent.

Another approach for increasing adsorption capacity of the nanofibrous adsorbent is incorporation of physical enhancers such as carbon nanotubes, graphene, carbon nanoparticles, etc. into the electrospun nanofibers. Incorporation could be performed during electrospinning process by blending these carbon-containing particles into the electrospinning solution before nanofiber formation. These nanomaterials are known to adsorb carbon dioxide molecules through physical adsorption and it is expected to increase adsorption capacity of the synthesized material by having a synergic effect on the adsorption process by combining physisorption with chemisorption.

Finally, different types of grafting monomers such as vinyl benzyl chloride could be used in grafting stage as an alternative for GMA to see the effect of including aromatic species in the adsorbent capacity of the final adsorbent. It is
expected to see improvement in the adsorption performance of the material through incorporation of aromatic rings into its molecular structure.
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