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ABSTRACT

Floating structures play an important role in sea transportation as well as exploration, drilling and production of oil and gas around the world. Hydrodynamic interaction of two platforms floating close to each other affects their motion responses which hinder the loading, unloading and production operation of the floating structures. The hydrodynamic interaction between two floating bodies may lead to large amplitude motions due to low damping in low frequency. This behavior induces enormous loading in mooring system and thus possibility of the mooring system failure increases. In this research, 3D source distribution panel technique was used to find a numerical solution in frequency domain based on the potential flow theory. It was developed to compute the motions of offshore structure. For computations of the motions and relative motions of two floating offshore structures, HydroStar (Hydrodynamic analysis software developed by Bureau Veritas) was also used. In order to validate the results, model tests were carried out at the Towing Tank, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for a semisubmersible in the vicinity of a Tension Leg Platform. The tests were conducted in a head sea condition at regular wave. Due to the limitation of water depth in the towing tank, vertical forces of the moorings were ignored and horizontal forces were modeled by horizontal mechanical spring connectors. The results obtained from the developed code, HydroStar and experimental results for a semisubmersible show a very good agreement which proves the efficiency and effectiveness of the 3D source distribution technique. Computations were also carried out for two bodies at different separation distances, wave headings and wave frequencies by using HydroStar. The results show an increase in the relative motion owing to the sheltering effect. It implies that decreasing the distance would increase the probability of accidents. From extensive numerical computations, experiments, it is concluded that a minimum gap must be maintained in order to perform safe operation. An empirical formula is also proposed from the current research for finding the minimum gap between the two structures.
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\( x, y, z \) - Investigating point on the wetted surface of the body

\( X_1, Y_1, Z_1 \) - Coordinate of connector at sea

\( X_2, Y_2, Z_2 \) - Coordinate of connector at fairlead

\( x_m, y_m, z_m \) - Investigating point on the wetted surface of the body \( m \)

\( x_G, y_G, z_G \) - Coordinate of the center of gravity of the body

\( X_j \) - A vector containing the three translational and three rotational oscillations about the coordinate axes in \( j \) mode

\( Y_0 \) - Bessel functions of the second kind of order zero

\( \alpha \) or \( \mu \) - Wave heading angle from \( X \) axis

\( \Re \) - Pontoons volume to semisubmersible displacement ratio

\( (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \) - Point on surface \( S \)

\( \sigma(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \) - Unknown source distribution

\( \sigma_a, \sigma_b \) - Source intensity of wetted structure surface \( a \) and \( b \)

\( \sigma_{a1}, \sigma_{b1} \) - Source intensity on the wetted surface \( a \) and \( b \) due to \( j^{th} \) mode motion of structures \( a \) and \( b \)

\( \Omega \) - Complex time independent quantity.

\( \phi_j \) - Incident wave potential and for long crested harmonic progressive waves

\( \phi_i \) - Diffraction waves potential on the body
\( \phi_j \) - Potential due to motion of the body in \( j^{th} \) mode

\( \phi_j^m \) - Potential due to motion of body \( m \) in \( j^{th} \) mode i.e. radiation wave potentials

\( \phi_{ba}, \phi_{bb} \) - Potential of incident waves on structure \( a \) and structure \( b \)

\( \phi_{iaa}, \phi_{iba} \) - Diffraction potential on structure \( a \) due to structure \( a \) or structure \( b \)

\( \phi_{iab}, \phi_{iab} \) - Diffraction potential on structure \( b \) due to structure \( b \) or structure \( a \)

\( \phi_{jRaa}, \phi_{jRab} \) - Radiation potential on structure \( a \) or structure \( b \) due to oscillation of structure \( a \) while structure \( b \) is fixed

\( \phi_{jRba}, \phi_{jRbb} \) - Radiation potential on structure \( a \) or structure \( b \) due to oscillation of structure \( b \) while structure \( a \) is fixed

\( \lambda \) - Wave length

\( \omega \) - Circular frequency of incident wave \( (2\pi/T) \)
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Tender Assist Drilling (TADs) units were the rig of choice in the 1950s and early 1960s in the Gulf of Mexico for development drilling off fixed platforms. Although they are used less commonly now, they are appropriate for certain situations such as new drilling from an aging platform near shore. The monohull tenders tended to lose location with mooring failures during storms. This occurrence, along with severe motions of the tender, resulted in their losing favor, except for use in very mild or benign environments, such as in the Far East and West Africa. The TAD advantage is that its DES (Drilling Equipment Set) is relatively lightweight, one-quarter to one-fifth the weight and one-third the space of a standard platform rig. Most TADs carry the DES on the tender hull and are self-erecting, so no workboat or derrick barge is required. They are particularly attractive for situations in which there is an old platform with reduced load-carry ability and/or space, such as when a platform is drilled with a standard platform rig, and then production equipment is loaded onto the platform, eliminating space and load-carry capacity (PetroWiki, 2014). In this research, TLP as a well head platform works with a semisubmersible for Tender Assist Drilling (TAD) operation.

It is not unusual for a platform to deteriorate with age, and then be unable to hold up a standard platform rig when additional wells need to be drilled. The TAD is an option for this situation. For spars and TLPs in deep water where weight and space are at an absolute premium, TADs, particularly semi TADs with their
lightweight DES, have significant advantages in some cases over a modular platform rig. Semi TADs also have the advantage of acting as construction barges for platforms that are commissioning production equipment. They offer a relatively inexpensive construction platform compared with a construction derrick barge due to the large rig-up crane, open decks where the DES is stored and transported, accommodations, general facilities and amenities.

The reliable prediction of the seakeeping behavior of platforms in real seas is a demanding task for naval architects and ocean engineers. It is also of great practical interest to ship owners and users, as it affects both the design and operation of ships and Platforms. There are different theories for studying motion of floating structures such as strip theory and potential flow theory. In this research work, a 3D source density distribution technique was used to get the potential flow amounts over the floating structure. The method utilizes a source density distribution on the surface of the body and solves the distribution necessary to make the normal component of the fluid velocity zero on the boundary. The underwater part of the floating structure is divided into a number of panels.

Plane quadrilateral surface elements are used to approximate the body surface, and the integral equation for the source density is replaced by a set of linear algebraic equations for the values of the source density on the quadrilateral elements. When this set of equations has been solved, the flow velocity both on and off the body surface is calculated. In this way, incident wave potential, scattered wave potential and radiation wave potential are calculated at each panel. All related velocity potentials applied in the calculations are in 3D form.

By having the flow velocity potentials on and off the panels, we can predict the hydrodynamic coefficients of floating structure. Using Bernoulli’s equation leads to calculation of pressure distribution and forces over the floating structure and gets amount of motion. One of the most concerned problems on the development of floating structures is an undesirable large motion response between two or more floating structures.
In general, many offshore operations involve the use of two or more floating structures which are positioned close to transfer oil or gas during offloading so that they affect each other’s motion response through hydrodynamic interaction in waves. Consequently, the large motions between two floating bodies may cause them to collide with each other and hence damage the operation systems. Because of these serious problems during operation, it is very important to study the motion behaviors between two floating bodies due to the hydrodynamic interaction effect.

Floating structures such as ships, semisubmersibles, Floating Production Storage and Offloading (FPSO), Tension Leg Platforms (TLP), Tender Assist Drilling (TADs) units, breakwater and other free floating or moored structures, are subject to waves, winds and currents at sea. They have six-coupled degrees of freedom of motions. Namely, translational motions are the surge, sway and heave, and angular motions are the roll, pitch and yaw (Figure 1.1). Oscillation of the floating structures affects the operation systems.

![Figure 1.1](image)

**Figure 1.1**  Motions of a floating body in six degrees of freedom
1.2 Problem statement

Nowadays, Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) products based on solving Reynolds-Averaged-Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations have demonstrated their capabilities in almost every aspect of ship/platform hydrodynamic problems, nevertheless they are still very time-consuming and even with the utilization of the most advanced computational power they are still not able to conduct seakeeping assessments with proper accuracy and within desirable time. Thus the development of alternative methods based on potential flow theory is still attractive and necessary as they are much more efficient to implement. According to the study in literature review, most researchers have mainly focused on the interaction and relative motion of ship shaped structures while they have very rarely paid attention to the platforms.

1.3 Objectives of the study

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- To investigate the hydrodynamic forces of one and two floating platforms in regular waves.
- To identify motion and relative motion of single and two floating platforms in waves.
- To develop a computational tool for calculating hydrodynamic forces and motions.
- To conduct experiment for validating the numerical calculation results.

1.4 Scope of the study

The aim of the present project is to study the hydrodynamic characteristics of single and double floating platforms. This investigation has been carried out by
numerical and experimental methods, each consisting of several steps. The scopes of this research work are as follows:

- The literature review was carried out on hydrodynamic characteristics of the usual type of oil and gas offshore platforms. This literature revealed the current work of researchers on platform types and their hydrodynamic behaviors in deep water. This step made a useful guideline for the present research work.

- The potential flow technique was used for the numerical analysis of the hydrodynamic characteristics of the floating structures. Three steps, preprocessing, solving, and post processing were discovered in potential flow theory method.
  
  - Preprocessing included designation of the platform model and the computational domain, mesh generation, definition of fluid properties, selecting the governing equations, and the definition of boundary condition of domain boundary.
  
  - Discretization of the integral equations to find algebraic equations.
  
  - The solution of the algebraic equations by an iterative method has been determined.
  
  - Discussions and analysis have been performed with plots and contours of the results in the post processing stage.

- Numerical simulations were carried out at different wave directions and wave frequencies.

- The hydrodynamic forces of single and double bodies have been measured by experiments in the wave heading at different wave frequencies and different wave heights in the towing tank of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. The hydrodynamic forces and motions were measured with a six component dynamometer system and Qualisys camera.

- The tests models were built from wood at the Marine Technology Center.
Computations of hydrodynamics forces and motions were made by HydroStar, commercial well-known software.

The results were evaluated by experimental works.

1.5 Significance of the study

Since the demand for oil and gas is growing, deeper and deeper waters are to be explored, and the chance of multi-body operation at close proximity is increasing, so investigating the reliability of a numerical analysis method for hydrodynamic interaction is worthwhile. The present research focuses both numerically and experimentally on the hydrodynamic interaction between two offshore platforms in regular waves. While conducting the test is very expensive, numerical study is not and still acceptable. In this research a systematic procedure is followed to study the dynamics of floating structures under incident, radiation, and scattered waves and to find their hydrodynamic interactions and relative motions. Besides developing a computer code for single body, two floating structures were simulated in offshore engineering software in different separation distances, in different wave slopes and in different incident wave frequencies. Finally, model test was carried out to validate the numerical results. The tests were done individually and two bodies in the vicinity of each other. The results of this project can be used for design of floating offshore platforms.

1.6 Organization of the thesis

This thesis is organized in nine chapters. The present chapter provides an overview of the present research work. Based on the literature review, the objectives and scope of the present study are also explained in this chapter. Additionally, the significance of this investigation is provided.

Chapter two presents a detailed review of the earlier research work related to the present investigation. For the clarity of presentation, the literature review has
been grouped under different headings namely, oil and gas structures including semisubmersibles, TLPs and spars, Pontoons and columns size effects, classification of structures based on hydrodynamic behavior, double bodies and sheltering effects, motion and relative motion.

In chapter three numerical and experimental research methods are presented. It describes the mathematical model, its assumptions and limitations, numerical simulation, and analyzing in the frequency domain. The experimental methodology firstly gives a background about towing tank and introduces the low speed towing tank of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Next, some description is given about the facilities, preliminary tests, set-up of experiment and procedure of test.

Chapter four presents the basic concepts, techniques and numerical procedures used for the evaluation of hydrodynamic forces and motions for the multi-body systems subject to wave motion. The mathematical model in this chapter is derived based on Newton’s second law. The numerical procedure for calculating first order forces and motions based on the three-dimensional source distribution technique are described in detail.

Chapter five explains the numerical code which is developed in FOTRAN based on Boundary Element Method (BEM).

Chapter six shows the numerical results for the first order motions responses of a single moored semisubmersible in a head sea using a home program. It shows model particulars, explains on decay test, and calculates natural periods of the floating body. The motion of the semisubmersible is also obtained by using the well-known commercial offshore-engineering software HydroStar (Chen, 2010) and model tests. The comparison of the results shows a very good agreement.

The hydrodynamic interaction and relative motion of the semisubmersible and a TLP are experimentally measured in chapter seven. There are some comparisons between the semisubmersible and the TLP motions in surge, heave and pitch direction at different encounter frequencies.
In chapter eight the simulation of the two platforms is carried out at vicinity of each other. The procedure of simulation in the HydroStar is explained briefly. The motions and relative motions of two floating bodies are studied individually and together at different separation distances and incident wave heads. The obtained results are compared with the model test results and achieve a very good agreement. In some frequencies the model test is carried out at different wave slope and found that the more slope wave has higher responses.

Chapter nine presents the summary and discussion on the basis of the present investigation which can be a valuable tool for the future design of a multi-body system or for huge floating structures as a drilling and exploration, a floating airport or bases.

Finally, chapter ten presents the major conclusions drawn from the numerical simulation and model test experiments on single and double bodies. In addition, recommendations for future studies in this field have also been presented in this chapter.
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