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Abstract

Over the last few decades, numerical simulation has fast become an effective research tool in analyzing internal and external fluid flow. Much of the unknowns associated with microscopic bounded and unbounded fluid behavior generally not obtainable via experimental approach can now be explained in details with computational fluid dynamics modeling. This has much assist designers and engineers in developing better engineering designs. However, the choice of the computational domain selected plays an important role in exhibiting the correct flow patterns associated with changes in certain parameters. This research looked at the outcomes when two computational domains were chosen to represent a system of parallel stack plates in a thermoacoustic resonator. Since the stack region is considered the “heart” of the system, accurate modeling is crucial in understanding the complex thermoacoustic solid-fluid interactions that occur. Results showed that although the general flow pattern and trends have been produced with the single and double plate stack system, details of a neighboring solid wall do affect the developments of vortices in the stack region. The symmetric assumption in the computational domain may result in the absence of details that could generate an incomplete explanation of the patterns observed such as shown in this study. This is significant in understanding the solid-fluid interactions that is thermoacoustic phenomena.
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Abstrak

Sejak beberapa dekad yang lalu, simulasi berangka pantas menjadi satu alat penyelesaikan yang berkesan dalam menganalisis aliran bendalir dalam dan luaran. Banyak yang tidak diketahui berkaitan kelakuhan bendalir mikroskopik disempadani dan tidak disempadani yang tidak dipelajari melalui pendekatan eksperimen sekarang dapat dijalaskan secara terperinci dengan model pengiraan dinamik bendalir. Ini telah banyak membantu pereka dan juruterata dalam membangunkan rekabentuk kejureretaan yang lebih baik. Walau bagaimanapun, pilihan domain pengiraan diperlukan memainkan peranan yang penting dalam mempamerkan corak aliran yang betul dikaitkan dengan perubahan dalam parameter tertentu. Kertas kerja ini membicangkan hasil dari dua domain pengiraan diperlukan untuk mewakili sistem plat timbunan selari dalam resonator termoakustik. Oleh kerana kawasan timbunan dianggap sebagai “jantung” sistem, pemodelan tepat adalah penting dalam memahami interaksi pepejal-cecair termoakustik yang berlaku. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa walaupun corak aliran serta trend telah dihasilkan dengan sistem plat timbunan satu dan dua, butir-butir dinding pepejal jiran jelas memberi kesan kepada perkembangan pusaran di rantau timbunan. Andaian simetri dalam domain pengiraan boleh menyebabkan ketidakkan butir-butir yang boleh menjana penjelasan tidak lengkap corak yang diperhatikan seperti yang ditunjukkan dalam kajian ini. Ini penting dalam memahami interaksi pepejal-cecair fenomena termoakustik.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Although the first successful thermoacoustic refrigerator was completed about thirty years ago [1], much is still unknown about the thermoacoustic phenomena which occurs due to the fluid-solid interactions of oscillating fluid particles passing over solid walls. The flow behavior affects the degree of cooling attainable and studies have been completed experimentally and numerically to investigate the effects of the solid walls; thickness and separation gap. However, since the performance of the thermoacoustic refrigerator to date is still low, particularly the standing wave type, research continues to better understand the flow pattern surrounding the stack plate, the "heart" of the system. Experimental techniques with flow visualization such as the holographic interferometry, laser Doppler anemometer (LDA) and particle image velocimetry (PIV), though non-intrusive, limit the stack geometry design and thickness [2-7]. The experimental set-ups involved larger than that recommended plate thickness and separation gap in order to generate acceptable and significant visuals to be captured by the related measuring apparatus. In particular, the desired stack plate thickness should be as thin as possible to avoid a vertical temperature gradient across the plate thickness.

The first numerical simulation of the thermoacoustic effects was by Cao et al. [8] but the study with a negligible thickness plate assumed the standing wave as a priori. The first simulation on the whole resonator where the stack is encased was probably by Mohd-Ghazali [9], where many complex behaviors were reported as the acoustics were generated and progressed. Zoontjens et al. [10] utilized a commercial CFD package, FLUENT, to model the flow behavior near a single plate. Experimental and numerical studies have shown streaming effects near the stack which could be the reason for the low performance of the thermoacoustic refrigerator [2-7, 9-12]. This and the presence of vortices removed the kinetic energy otherwise absorbed by the stack for the heat transfer processes. These studies on single- and two-plate stack region have not focused on the differences resulted from the choice of the computational domain where the general macroscopic behavior of the vortices and streaming are always observed. Thus, this study has been undertaken to look at the flow surrounding a single-plate and a double-plate stack to identify if there is any difference that exist in the development of the streaming effects and vortices.

2.0 THEORETICAL FORMULATION

The working fluid in the present model is air, assumed as an ideal Newtonian gas operating at atmospheric pressure and 298K. The unsteady flow considered is two-dimensional, inviscid and incompressible with the absence of any external forces. The governing equations for the fluid are the conservation of mass, momentum, and energy, given by,

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0 \] (1)

\[ \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\nabla p + \rho \frac{\partial (kT)}{\partial t} \] (2)

\[ \rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) \] (3)

where \( \rho, c_p, k, p, T, \) and \( t \) each stands for the density, constant pressure specific heat, pressure, temperature, and time, and \( u \) represents the velocity vector. Together with the ideal gas equation,

\[ p = \rho RT \] (4)

and an unsteady conduction within the stack gives,

\[ \rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) \] (5)

Due to the compression and expansion of the gas particles, the density, pressure, velocity, and temperature are defined as [10],

\[ \rho = \rho_m + \rho^* \] (6)

\[ p = p_m + p^* \] (7)

\[ u = u_m + u^* \] (8)

\[ T = T_m + T^* \] (9)

Equations (4) and (6) through (9) are substituted into equations (1), (2), (3) and (5). The terms \( \rho_m, p_m \) and \( T_m \) are the constants which are 101.325kPa, 298K and 0.1637 kg/m\(^3\) respectively. They are the mean operating conditions based on the work of Mohd-Ghazali [9]. The \( \rho^*, p^* \) and \( T^* \) are the fluctuating parts to be determined. Subsequently upon simplifications, all the fluctuating terms hereafter are used without the "asterisk", the unknowns. The Boussinesq approximation is applied which states that the change in the density can be neglected which is \( \rho = \rho_m \). Details of the derivation may be found in Mohd-Ghazali [9] as well as in Liew [13].

The physical domain of the thermoacoustic resonator is shown schematically in Figure 1. The overall length of the resonator, \( L \), is taken to be 0.635m, which is \( \lambda/4 \), \( \lambda \) being the wavelength of the acoustic wave generated. This quarter wavelength resonator is chosen due to the lesser resonator wall losses compared to a longer one. The stack center position from the driver end, \( x_c \), is taken to be 0.09m (=\( \lambda/25 \)). The region within the dashed line is the computational domain which has a length of 0.250m. Its height is set according to the stack thickness, \( d \), and the stack separation, \( h \), both of which are related to the blockage ratio [14].
The computational domain of a single plate and double plate configurations modeled in this study is shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 respectively. The initial conditions (at time, $t=0$) are $u=0$, $v=0$ and $T=T_m$ for all computational domains. These mean that the fluid particles are stationary with a mean temperature in the resonator. The boundary conditions of the computational domain are set-up such that a quarter wavelength standing wave is set-up in the computational domain:

- AC : $u = u_0 \cos(kx_0) \sin(\omega t), \; v = 0, T = T_m$
- BD : $u = 0, v = 0, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$
- EF : $u = 0, v = 0, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \gamma a (\nabla^2 T)$
- AB and CD : $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0, v = 0, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$

The model follows that of to Tijani’s physical system [15], the working gas used being Helium at the operating frequency of 400Hz. The drive ratio, Dr, which is defined as the ratio of pressure amplitude to the system pressure is set at 0.5%. With the relation of velocity amplitude to the pressure amplitude, 3m/s is determined to be used as the velocity amplitude, $u_0$. The length of the stack and the stack separation are 95mm and 2mm respectively.

### 3.0 NUMERICAL FORMULATION

The second-order partial derivatives are converted into algebraic forms using the second-order finite difference scheme for each dependent variable, $\theta$, and independent variable, $s$,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial s^2} \cong \frac{\theta_{i,j+1} - 2\theta_{i,j} + \theta_{i,j-1}}{(\Delta s)^2}$$

(10)

where $\theta$ may represent the velocity, pressure, and temperature, the unknowns. The subscripts $i$ and $j$ refer to the axial and vertical spatial difference while $k$ refers to the temporal difference. The first-order partial derivative is represented by,

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s} \cong \frac{\theta_{i,j+1} - \theta_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta s}$$

(11)

and the mixed derivative by,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial s \partial x} \cong \frac{\theta_{i+1,j} - \theta_{i-1,j} - \theta_{i+1,j+1} + \theta_{i-1,j+1}}{4\Delta s \Delta x}$$

(12)

with $s1$ and $s2$ for $x$ and $y$ respectively. As for the value at the boundaries, second order forward difference is used at the $x=0$ and $y=0$ and second order backward difference is used at $x = L$ and $x = h + d$, which are,

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \cong -\frac{\theta_{i+1,j} + \theta_{i-1,j} - 2\theta_{i,j}}{(\Delta x)^2}$$

(13)

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \cong -\frac{3\theta_{i,j+1} - \theta_{i,j-1}}{(\Delta y)^2}$$

(14)

For two-dimensional computational domain simulation, the grid spacing for $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ are 0.0005m and 0.0002m respectively. The time step, $\Delta t$ is 31.25µs in order to make explicit equations stable since the period of a cycle is 2.5ms. Four cases are investigated as shown in Table 1.

#### Table 1 Cases investigated for different computational domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Number of plates</th>
<th>Plate spacing</th>
<th>Plate thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 4 shows the comparison made between the one-dimensional inviscid and viscous simulation that exhibits no significant difference. A quarter-wavelength standing wave is progressively being developed with time in the resonator.
Thus, simulation for the two-dimensional computational domain is continued under the inviscid assumption. Outcomes of the simulation for the single- and two-plate stack system are shown in Figures 5 through 10, captured at different time to show the temporal development of the velocity profiles.

Figure 5 Case 1 - Vector plot and streamline at 7T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 6 Case 1 - Vector plot and streamline at 8T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 7 Case 1 - Vector plot and streamline at 9T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 8 Case 1 - Vector plot and streamline at 12T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 9 Case 1 - Vector plot and streamline at 14T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 10 Case 1 - Vector plot and streamline at 16T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

At first look, the velocity profiles seem to be the same, and they are almost, as discussed in Liew and Mohd-Ghazali [12]. The development of the streaming and edge effects before, within, and after the plate(s) is distinctly observed here. Double vortices are seen...
before the stack plate(s) at and before the half cycle, 8T/16, disappeared at 9T/16, appearing again later. However, the “purging” of the elongated vortices between the two plates is only clear in the two-plate computational domain of Figures 6b, 7b, and 8b. This progress is not discerned in Figures 6a, 7a, and 8a. The flow pattern is symmetric with respect to the system centerline. In particular, the existence of a neighboring plate affects the behavior of the flow close to the plate edges. Simplification through a symmetric assumption at the plate centerline in this case would result in some missing understanding of the thermoacoustic phenomena of heat transfer by the oscillating fluid particles. As seen here in Figure 7 and Figure 8, the adjacent plate resulted in a different velocity and vector profiles between the single-plate and double plate stack system.

Figures 11 through 16 shows that the flow patterns are asymmetrical along the plate centerline, in this case a thicker plate than that in Figures 5 through 10 with double the grid size. It seems that with a thicker solid stack domain shows a more obvious difference between the single-plate and double-plate stack computational domain as seen in Figures 11 and 12. There are missing details in Figures 13(a) and 16(a) which are observed in 13(b) and 16(b) in-between the plates. It is believed that the effects may be intensified as time progresses in the simulation and much more details could be missed.

Figure 11 Case 2 - Vector plot and streamline at 7T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 12 Case 2 - Vector plot and streamline at 8T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 13 Case 2 - Vector plot and streamline at 9T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack

Figure 14 Case 2 - Vector plot and streamline at 12T/16 for a (a) single-plate, and (b) two-plate stack
important when many solid walls are present in the direction of the oscillating fluid flow. Results showed that although the general flow pattern and trends have been produced with the single and double plate stack system, details of a neighboring solid wall do affect the developments of vortices in the stack region. This is significant in understanding the solid-fluid interactions that is thermoacoustic phenomena.
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