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ABSTRACT

Aluminium castings offer significant weight reduction and improved fuel efficiency. Nowadays, aluminium recycling is widely practiced so impurity-related problems has become more important. Bismuth is one of the alloying elements added to aluminium alloys to improve their machinability, but little is known about its effect as a modifier or refiner. There has also been little investigation on the effect of low strontium contents (0.001wt% to 0.006wt%) on porosity formation. In the present work both sand and permanent moulds were used to produce bars containing varying strontium-bismuth ratios with some being treated with 0.2wt% antimony to investigate the interaction between these elements. A quench-during-solidification technique had been performed to study the effect of low strontium content on nucleation and growth of porosity in A356 alloy. Optical microscope, image analyzer, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDX) and x-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis were used to characterize the eutectic silicon, porosity and other phases. Strontium content as low as 0.004wt% was found to bring upon modification to the morphology of the eutectic silicon, whereas an addition of 0.005wt% bismuth refined the eutectic silicon. Beyond this level of bismuth the silicon phase was found to undergo coarsening. A strontium-bismuth ratio of at least 0.5 is suggested to be necessary to ensure a modified silicon morphology, whereas the refining effect of antimony was not affected by bismuth addition. Percentage area of porosity and pore roundness were found to increase with increasing strontium content, reasonably due to earlier pore growth and less shrinkage-type porosity in the castings. The nucleation of new pores occurred at the solid fraction of around 75%, regardless of strontium content. In the present work, the effect of low strontium content, cooling rate and heat treatment (T6) on the mechanical properties was also studied. The results showed that the mechanical properties were less affected by the strontium level but more by heat treatment and cooling rates.
ABSTRAK

Tuangan aluminium memberikan pengurangan berat yang ketara serta kecekapan penggunaan bahan api yang tinggi. Kini, kitar-semula aluminium telah dijalankan secara meluas dan masalah yang berkaitan dengan bendasing semakin penting. Bismuth merupakan salah satu unsur pengaloian untuk meningkatkan ciri kebolehmesinan aloi aluminium tetapi kesannya sebagai suatu pengubahsuai dan penghalus kurang diketahui. Kajian tentang kesan kandungan strontium yang rendah (0.001%bt to 0.006%bt) terhadap pembentukan keliangan juga sedikit. Dalam kerja ini, kedua-dua acuan pasir dan acuan kekal digunakan untuk menghasilkan bar-bar yang mengandungi nisbah strontium-bismuth yang berbeza dan sesetengahnya dirawat dengan 0.2%bt antimoni untuk mengkaji interaksi antara unsur-unsur ini. Suatu teknik lindap kejut-semasa-pemelihan telah dilakukan untuk mengkaji kesan kandungan strontium yang rendah ke atas penukleusan dan pertumbuhan keliangan dalam aloi A356. Mikroskopi optik, penganalisis imej, mikroskopi imbasan elektron (SEM), analisis sinar-x serakan tenaga (EDX) dan belauan sinar-x (XRD) telah digunakan untuk mencirikan silikon eutektik, keliangan dan fasa-fasa lain. Kandungan strontium serendah 0.004%bt didapati mampu memberikan pengubahsuaian ke atas morfologi silikon eutektik. Manakala penambahan pada 0.005%bt bismuth menghaluskan silikon eutektik. Melebihi paras ini fasa silikon didapati menjadi lebih kasar. Nisbah strontium-bismuth sekurang-kurangnya 0.5 dicadangkan adalah perlu untuk memastikan morfologi silikon yang terubahsuai manakala kesan penghalusan daripada antimoni tidak dipengaruhi oleh penambahan bismuth. Peratusan keliangan serta keliangan yang semakin membulat didapati bertambah apabila paras strontium meningkat, disebabkan oleh pertumbuhan keliangan yang lebih awal dan keliangan jenis-tenaga yang kurang dalam tuangan. Penukleusan keliangan baru berlaku pada 75% bahagian-pepejal, tanpa mengira kandungan strontium. Dalam kerja ini, kesan daripada kandungan strontium yang rendah, kadar penyeludupan dan rawatan haba (T6) ke atas sifat mekanik juga telah dikaji. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa sifat-sifat mekanik kurang dipengaruhi oleh paras strontium tetapi lebih dipengaruhi oleh rawatan haba serta kadar penyeludupan.
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CHAPTER 1

RESEARCH BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction

Aluminium alloys have been widely used in the automotive industry, as the trend nowadays is to achieve higher performance without increasing the weight. Therefore, more and more automotive components are made of aluminium alloys in order to reduce weight, at the same time maintaining or improving mechanical properties. Apart from their excellent casting characteristics, wear and corrosion resistance, aluminium-silicon casting alloys are used extensively because they also impart a wide range of mechanical properties and high strength to weight ratio.

Aluminium silicon foundry alloys with hypoeutectic (<12.7%) and eutectic (~12.7%) ranges are more commonly used due to their exceptional casting properties. Al-Si-Mg alloys such as A356 or LM 25 (Al—7Si-0.3Mg) are widely used for sand and permanent mould castings and they are found to be particularly useful for automotive applications. Sand casting offers high versatility and it is more economically feasible while permanent mould and die casting yield better mechanical properties in the castings.

The increasing demand and use of these aluminium foundry alloys, particularly in those critical service environments, have prompted a more in-depth research and development to enhance the casting and mechanical properties. Besides controlling the inclusions and gas, silicon modification is another important area that catches the interest of many researchers ever since its discovery by Pacz in the 1920s.
(Polmear, 1981). It was found that silicon modification is able to improve the mechanical properties of Al-Si alloys by altering the structure of the silicon phase. Modification induces the change in silicon structure from a coarse acicular morphology, which can cause brittleness in the casting to a fine, interconnected, fibrous morphology that increases the tensile strength and ductility of the casting. There are many types of modifiers available in the market but the more commonly used modifiers are sodium and strontium. Antimony that is used to refine the silicon structures has not gained wide popularity if compared with the former modifiers due to its health hazard potential. Apart from modification through chemical additions, quenching or chill modification also enhances the mechanical properties of the castings.

Nowadays, in one of the environmental conservation efforts, aluminium-recycling operation is acquiring more and more momentum. The scrap metals are not only resourced from the return of aluminium castings but also from wrought aluminium. Bismuth has constantly been added as one of the alloying elements in aluminium wrought alloys with the purpose to improve the machinability of the alloys. However, little is known about the effect of bismuth on the microstructure of aluminium cast alloy (i.e. A356 (AA) or LM25 (BS) alloy in this research) and its interaction with the addition of other modifiers such as strontium and sodium. Some suggested that the presence of bismuth might actually interfere with strontium modification effect on the alloy. Moreover, the presence of antimony that is originally added as a refiner in some aluminium scrap materials also constitutes some poisoning effect especially when strontium modification is much intended in the subsequent process. Therefore, additional work is required to investigate the effect of bismuth addition in A356 alloy and its interactions with other modifiers and/ or refiners.

Casting process has often been the economical means of achieving high volume production of complex automotive parts. Aluminium castings offer significant weight reduction that eventually generates into improved fuel efficiency. As to attain sound castings, a good control of the melt treatment and casting processes in order to produce the desired microstructures has become an
utmost important task. The quality of the castings is often related to features such as silicon shape and sizes, porosity, inclusions and intermetallics phases. In the case of aluminium castings, porosity formation has always been a quality issue since it is extremely difficult to produce an entirely pore-free casting. Although a number of researchers have attempted to explain the nucleation and growth mechanism of porosity in aluminium castings using different approaches, there is still limited understanding on the subject. Most of the work relates porosity formation with modification in which strontium was added in higher amount (approximately 0.02wt%). Less investigation has been done on the effect of low strontium contents (less than 0.01wt%) on porosity formation in the aluminium cast alloys, even though modification could have been attained at lower strontium contents. Hence, more work has to be carried out in order to gain better understanding as well as to ascertain what others have postulated.

Heat treatment or thermal modification has long been practiced as one of the feasible means to enhance the mechanical properties of aluminium castings through spheroidising the plate-like silicon, apart from the usual chemical modification. This treatment improves the mechanical properties such as tensile strength, ductility and impact strength. Heat treatment often follows suit after casting process in order to maintain optimum mechanical properties of the castings, especially for those used in areas where structural integrity is a key concern. Some combine the chemical and thermal treatment processes to achieve greater improvement. Therefore, it is reasonable to perform heat treatment on the castings added with low strontium contents and their mechanical properties being evaluated against those in the as-cast condition. In addition, it is not uncommon to find varying cooling rates within a casting during solidification, particularly in an intricately designed casting. As solidification rate affects the mechanical properties of the cast section, the cooling rate factor should also be taken into consideration during the evaluation of the quality of the castings.

1.2 Objectives of Study
In response to the concerns identified above, the present research is aimed at:

1. Investigating the effect of bismuth addition and its interactions with strontium (modifier) and antimony (refiner) in aluminium foundry alloy (i.e. A356).

2. To study the evolution process of the porosity in order to gain a better understanding of the nucleation and growth characteristics of porosity with respect to strontium additions

3. To evaluate the mechanical properties of the castings produced and examine the effect of process parameters such, cooling rate of the casting, melt treatment and heat treatment.

1.3 Scope of Work

1. Examination of the effect of bismuth, strontium and antimony, which are added in different proportions, on the microstructure of the castings.

2. Study of nucleation and growth of porosity in aluminium silicon castings by conducting quench during solidification experiments.

3. Effect of process factors such strontium concentration, cooling rate and heat treatment (T6) on the mechanical properties of the castings.
5. The nucleation of new shrinkage pores occurs at the fraction solid of about 75%, where the feeding resistance builds up. Porosity formation process starts with the nucleation and growth of inherently-present baseline pores through hydrogen diffusion. Strontium reduces the fraction of solid where baseline pores starts to form, or reduces the hydrogen threshold value and promotes earlier pore growth.

6. Heat treatment (T6), through fragmentation and spheroidization of silicon, enhances as well as moderate the strontium effect on the mechanical properties if compared to the as-cast alloys. The effects of low strontium content and cooling rate are lessened through heat treatment and the heat-treated castings always show higher quality index compared to those of the as-cast, for both slow and fast cooling conditions.
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