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ABSTRACT

The current trend in mass housing provision in Malaysia is providing housing unit designs which are not easily adaptable with the changes in lifestyle of end users. As a result, various architectural elements of housing unit including windows subject to modification. This phenomenon is evident when 42% of the respondents of this research experienced windows replacement in the housing. This study investigates flexible window design with particular focus on users’ reasons for having flexible windows, their tendency to be involved in the process of windows replacement and the expected characteristics of flexible window. To achieve these, quantitative surveys were carried out among Universiti Teknologi Malaysia’s staff. The results indicate that 74.4% of the users show enthusiasm for adopting flexible windows in their houses. Sixty nine percent (69%) of the respondents believe that applying flexible windows would increase their level of satisfaction with their houses. Respondents’ reasons for having flexible windows are mainly to fulfill their housing needs within the physical and psychological levels. The results also demonstrate that existing problems with the window designs are derived from the installation method, window structure and material. Systematic design of flexible window can be achieved by simplifying its structure and layout, having versatile frame and simplified installation and self-locating techniques. In addition, the results suggest that permanent integration, heavy weight and sharp window components should be eliminated. Materials for flexible windows need to be non-toxic, reusable as well as recyclable which offers users variety of design, ease of maintenance and preservation. In conclusion, flexible windows could revolutionize the fenestration industry, and this can be an approach to meet individual housing needs to enhance housing satisfaction.
ABSTRAK

Tren semasa dalam penyediaan perumahan besar-besaran di Malaysia ialah dengan menyediakan rekabentuk unit rumah yang tidak mudah diadaptasikan dengan perubahan gaya hidup pengguna. Akhirnya, pelbagai elemen binaan unit rumah termasuklah tingkap tertakluk kepada pengubahsuaian. Fenomena ini amat jelas apabila 42% daripada responden kajian ini mempunyai pengalaman dalam pengubahsuaian tingkap di rumah mereka. Kajian ini menyelidik rekabentuk tingkap fleksibel dengan fokus khusus ke atas sebab-sebab pengguna menggunakan tingkap fleksibel, kecenderungan mereka untuk terlibat dalam proses penggantian tingkap, dan ciri-ciri yang diharapkan daripada tingkap fleksibel. Untuk mencapai matlamat ini, kajian kuantitatif telah dijalankan melibatkan staf-staf Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Hasil kajian mendapati 74.4% pengguna menunjukkan minat untuk menggunakan tingkap fleksibel di rumah mereka. Enam puluh sembilan peratus (69%) daripada responden pula percaya bahawa dengan menggunakan tingkap fleksibel, ia akan meningkatkan tahap kepuasan mereka terhadap rumah mereka sendiri. Sebab-sebab utama responden memiliki tingkap fleksibel ialah untuk memenuhi keperluan perumahan mereka dari segi tahap fizikal dan psikologikal. Hasil kajian juga memperlihatkan masalah-masalah yang wujud berkaitan rekabentuk tingkap adalah berasal daripada kaedah pemasangan, struktur tingkap, dan bahan. Rekabentuk tingkap fleksibel yang sistematik dapat dibuat dengan memudahkan struktur dan susun aturnya, menggunakan bingkai serba boleh/versatil, dan teknik pencarian sendiri (‘self-locating technique’). Seterusnya, hasil kajian juga mencadangkan bahawa integrasi kekal, beban yang berat, dan komponen tingkap yang tajam harus dihapuskan. Bahan-bahan untuk tingkap fleksibel perlu terdiri daripada bahan yang bukan toksik, boleh diguna semula dan dikitar semula di samping menawarkan kepada pengguna kepelbagaian rekabentuk, kemudahan
penyelenggaraan, dan pemeliharaan. Kesimpulannya, tingkap fleksibel mampu merevolusikan industri bukaan, dan ini boleh menjadi satu pendekatan untuk memenuhi keperluan perumahan individu yang meningkatkan kepuasan perumahan.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Constructing adaptable living environment with minimal conflicts is one of the critical factors in the current architecture. These types of buildings aim to promote abilities of accepting new technologies, new life styles and being much more harmonious to residents’ desires (Kendal, 1999). The issues of flexible housing within the 20th century has been debated under three main titles: “1920s Modernity and the minimal dwelling”, “1930s-1960s The industrialization of housing” and finally “1970s Participation and user choice”. Limited space standards, minimum dwellings, standardization, polyvalent organizations, base structures, convertible space, modular design prefabrication, support and infill system, customization, user participation and future intended designs are themes which are related to flexible housing according to Schneider & Till (2007).

The prosperity of Malaysia in providing adequate houses by employing modernity and industrialization of mass housing is undeniable but the salient importance of users participation within the living environment has not been noticed remarkably. As a result, living in a house rather than a home is experienced. Therefore, in order to relieve the stress and discomfort within their living environments, users should leave the house or experience a difficult process of housing personalization which not only brings forward several disadvantages in economical aspects but also in environmental and social one. Consequently, housing
personalization is seen as a culture among Malaysians. In fact, necessity of flexibility within Malaysia becomes really tangible due to illustrating considerable amount of personalization within this country by abundances of literatures. (Jusan, 2007; Omar et al., 2010; Omar et al., 2012; Nurdalila Binti Saji, 2012; Rahim & Hashim, 2012). Within the mentioned researches, there are several minor and major types of personalization such as physical and horizontal extension, spatial modification, increasing the number of bedrooms, facade components modification and etc. However, rigidity within the current construction method does not let an easy process of housing personalization as well as modification.

Studying the area of housing personalization identified the fenestration as one of the renovated building components. Within the all aforesaid researches, windows are one of those sorts of building components which had been personalized purposely either affected due to unintentional incident during housing personalization. Windows personalization has been experienced due to various reasons such as unwanted occurrence during spatial modifications, changing the windows design, physical condition defects, changing the number of windows within a specific area, using windows for enlargement of spaces and etc. However, in spite of windows personalization intention within dwellings, available difficulties as well as product shortcomings put obstacles in the way. As a result, this might be asked, while windows need to be personalized “Why shouldn’t new building components be improved by promoting the feasibilities of assembling and disassembling to enable an easier process of personalization?”

Jusan (2010: 94) believes that modification and personalization of the dwellings will be much more perfect and efficient, when demolition process and other components disturbances are at the lowest level. In addition, accommodating easier future modification or personalization would not be possible unless current rigid construction industry would be transferred to flexible ones by enrichment of disassembling and assembling techniques. As a result, easier spatial arrangements would be available. However, could easier spatial arrangements be successful without availability of those flexible interconnected building components? Innovating in building structure design leads to applying flexible structure.
Moreover, transferring rigid conventional walls to the movable ones have promoted the possibility of flexibility in spatial arrangements. However there is insufficient discussion on the potential of flexible fenestration (windows) and user expectations and motivations for the mentioned component. A flexible design that facilitates easy changing of door and window (fenestration) must be developed.

(Jusan, 2010: 94)

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Actually, exigency of considering flexibility within fenestrations arises from two main issues. This necessity first and foremost originates from neglecting the users’ needs, demands, and preferences within shaping their environments which has brought forward remarkable housing personalization. The second issue which is the subject of debate derived from insufficient consideration of the design for disassembly principles and producing huge amount of damaged windows components.

In order to solve housing needs over the past forty years the amount of construction throughout Malaysia had been noticeable but it has not been exactly what the residents expected. Actually, “one design fits all is not logical” (Omar et al., 2010). In fact, issues arise from the rejection of the fact that users are central to prepare a home. House can be called the physical structure but a home is a structure which fulfills humans’ needs in terms of physical, psychological and social. A suitable living environment should give the chance of personalization to its residents (Jusan, 2010). As housing designs are not easily accepted in tandem with the changes in lifestyles of the people, housing personalization has become monotonous and acknowledged as a Malaysian culture (Ahmad Hariza & Zaiton, 2010). Virtually, Malaysians are not satisfied with their houses so, they try to make it fit in accordance with their desires rather than fitting themselves according to the situation. Residents have been doing both minor and major process of personalization before and after occupancy in different level of intensity such as physical and horizontal
extension, spatial modification, increasing the number of bedrooms, facade components modification which includes windows, doors, fencing, and etc.

Generally, previous experiences lead to influencing the occupants’ attitudes towards window preferences. This illustrates that various social and cultural factors result in different expectations and responses (Lau et al., 2006). There are several researches which studied windows as one of renovated building components both purposely and unintentionally. Different sorts of reasons were the roots of windows personalization such as windows physical condition defects, changing the windows design or type, increasing or decreasing the windows number within specific areas, using as an enlargement tools of spatial dimension, unwanted disturbances due to physical modification and etc. (Jusan, 2007; Omar et al., 2010; Omar et al., 2012; Nurdalila Binti Saji, 2012; Rahim & Hashim, 2012). Despite the fact that windows are renovated remarkably, the current rigid construction method for interconnected building components such as windows is imperfect. Although, the aforesaid reasons were some of the roots of windows replacement, there might be other sorts of reasons which users look for windows replacement within their dwellings. However, this incident is not possible easily due to available problems which have resulted in decreasing the level of windows replacement.

As, the second main issue, this might be asked “why is there lack of further reusability?” “Why windows components are damaged during the process of renovation?” In fact, building components have a different lifespan and expectancy. As a result, some sorts of building components are affected unintentionally due to just being interconnected to the expired building attributes. As the scope of this research, this question might be asked “are windows produced and installed to be as durable as walls?” No. In fact, marketing survey by American Architectural Manufacturers Association (AAMA) within the USA reveals that the replacement window manufacturing was almost as enormous as manufacturing for the new constructions (Katsaros & Hardman, 2007). Besides that, by this huge amount of renovation and replacement “is the current method of windows installations economic efficient and perfect?” Moreover “could current design of building components affect the quality of them for further decision making?” Unfortunately,
damaged windows components such as timbers, aluminum, PVC, glasses, sealants, coatings, membranes, flashings and etc. that had been affected during the process of windows replacement are land filled which this event leads to insufficient possibility of further reusability and environmental side effects. The reason of such an event has been imputed to the lack of flexibility within the fenestration (Hurley, 2003). Because of this, the author wondered: why should not it be as easy to replace a fenestration product as it is to replace other less durable components of the wall, such as light fixtures? Shouldn’t the ability of removing a fenestration without causing destruction to a wall be expected?

Living environment studies show that each building attributes characteristics can influence the users housing satisfaction (Zavei et al., 2012). Windows have been listed as one of the components which can lead to residential satisfaction (Onibokun, 1974). Dwellings windows have renovated as well as modified several times throughout Malaysian. Living environment studies reveal that fulfillment of users’ needs and wishes within their living environment can increase the level of housing satisfaction. Therefore, it is plausible to conclude that identifying the intention of windows replacements through employing flexible fenestration for an easy manipulating living environment may result in enhancing the level of housing satisfaction and supporting housing development. In fact, housing satisfaction and sustainable housing development are inseparable as Nooraini Yusoff (1993) states that residential satisfactory is a critical factor in the sustainable housing development. So, a combination of the aforesaid facts highlights the necessities of the objectives. Therefore, investigating possibility of promoting flexibility within buildings components such as windows, doors, walls and etc. in order to increase the capability of being easier fit in accordance with the residents’ desires and preferences becomes necessary.
1.3 **Purpose of the Study**

Several user-uncontrolled housing developments, noticeable amount of housing personalization as well as the trends toward to this phenomenon within those housing developments in Malaysia make necessity of developing flexible building components more required. This is a precautionary approach to make the process of personalization much easier as well as to keep the users in a safe position from the social, environmental as well as economic side effects.

Although, there have been some researches about tracking the amount and the areas of personalization in residential buildings within Malaysia, there is insufficient study on the reasons of demanding flexible windows as well as seeking appropriate features of these kinds of product based on user expectations. If, users expectations of flexible building product and personal factors of demanding flexible building components within those attributes and components which create a living environment would be recognized, the idea of providing an environment which is in congruence with the users’ needs hence a suitable living environment in housing would be achieved. In fact, if the problems and shortcomings of today’s windows which decrease the possibilities of flexibility as well as user involvement would be recognized and improved, the idea of flexible windows can be prospered. It can be summarized that flexible building components develop a fully flexible housing which can prosper a good housing and sustainable housing developments.

1.4 **Objective of the Study**

In order to achieve the goals, the following objectives are formulated:

i. To establish the level of user interest in the needs of flexible windows.
ii. To explore those factors that lead to the importance of flexible windows.

iii. To identify which particular window’s components should be optimized to be much more related to the flexibility.

iv. To investigate windows design that match to the flexibility.

1.5. Research Questions

This study seeks to address the answers of these questions:

i. Are users interested in installing new windows by themselves?

ii. Why is a flexible window important to the users?

iii. Which components of a window should be optimized?

iv. How does the available windows’ design match the needs for flexible design?

1.6 Significance of the Study

Although, today there is no evidence to show exactly how a flexible window can influence human’s lives, the findings from the relevant literatures show that, generally, flexibility is a great supporter of sustainable housing development. In addition, when we talk about flexible housing, how can the needs of flexible buildings’ components as needed instruments of prosperity be overlooked? Developing a flexible window enhances enrichment of flexibility within the houses, decreases unintentional incidents and expands the residents control on their living environment. As a result, increasing level of housing satisfaction as one of the cornerstone of sustainable housing development is plausible. Moreover, success of Person Environment Congruence as one of the main tools of achieving the sustainable housing development will be in a better secured situation. Finally, results
of this research can be valuable for Malaysian construction as well as fenestration industry.

1.7. **Scope of the Research**

This research basically includes the following aspects; examining the Malaysians’ participation interest in installing and removing their building windows based on their reasons, finding out the level of users’ tendency to have flexible windows in their dwellings, realizing those factors which users look for flexible windows, problems that affect their desires due to probability of insufficient consideration of flexibility in the current design of windows and finally, seeking an appropriate flexible window by studying the existing problems. It should be notified that everything within this research is based upon users’ expectations on a flexible window and the scope is not to design a flexible window which can be done by mechanical engineers. This research goes deeply through users’ expectations and preferences. There is a close tie between user's preferences and the success of a product (Baxter, 1995). Considering the users’ expectations in different areas can also help the designer to have an easier way of producing a flexible window.

The area was chosen based upon the pervious study relating to the problems and difficulties that the residents have faced due to lack of flexibility in their living environment. This study has been conducted in Malaysia- Johor Bahru- Skudai which users can be categorised in to two groups, academic and non-academic UTM staff. The subject of the study has been considered as the possibility of considering the flexibility in windows which would be able to be installed and removed by the users as a normal people based on the user expectations.
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