

DESIGN VERIFICATION OF DRIVEN PILES IN SOFT GROUND USING
MAINTAIN LOAD TEST AND HIGH STRAIN DYNAMIC LOAD TEST

AHMAD SYAZWAN MOHD SUKARNO

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DESIGN VERIFICATION OF DRIVEN PILES IN SOFT GROUND USING
MAINTAIN LOAD TEST AND HIGH STRAIN DYNAMIC LOAD TEST

AHMAD SYAZWAN MOHD SUKARNO

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil – Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

To the people I love

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank to the people who have contributed to the preparation of this report. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts.

First and foremost I want to thank my supervisor Professor Dr. Khairul Anuar Kassim. He has taught me a lot about Maintain Load Test and High Strain Dynamic Load Test. Throughout my dissertation writing period, he has given lots of good ideas, encouragement and advice which truly help the progression and smoothness of the report writing. The joy and enthusiasm he has for the research was motivational for me, even during tough times in the Master pursuit.

Great deals appreciated go to the contribution of my friends and colleagues for providing me the pile load test data and related information which help the progression and smoothness of this study. The co-operation is much indeed appreciated.

Lastly, and most importantly, I wish to thank to my family for all their love and encouragement. For my parents, who raised me, love me and support me in all my pursuits. To them I dedicate this thesis. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

In Malaysia, piling activities are very active due to the numerous development projects that are on-going now. Types of piles used for these development projects can broadly be divided into displacement and replacement piles. Given many uncertainties inherent in the design and construction of piles, it is difficult to predict the performance of a pile. In order to mitigate and prevent such occurrence, a comprehensive pile-testing program must be conducted. Load testing can be carried out on preliminary piles to confirm the pile data and design. Although pile load tests add to the cost of foundation, the saving can be substantial in the event that the improvement of the foundation design can be materialized. Pile test can be generally be divided into three (3) main categories, which are Static Load Test such as Maintain Load Test, Dynamic Load Test such as High Strain Dynamic Load Test and Statnamic Load Test which is the combination of the latter. For pile foundation projects, it is usually necessary to confirm the capacity and to verify the behavior of the piles agrees with the assumptions of the design. The foundations design requires a good knowledge of the soil behavior, the methods of pile testing and the analysis of piles used. In this study, the methods employed for Maintain Load Test are such as Davisson's Method, Chin's Method, Mazurkiewicz's Method and De Beer's Method. Meanwhile, CAPWAP analysis is employed for High Strain Dynamic Load Test. Based from the comparison it was found that De Beer's Method provide best capability to predict ultimate pile capacity. From the CAPWAP analysis, it shows that the mobilised capacity is approximately 30% to 60% higher than the ultimate pile capacity from Maintain Load Test.

ABSTRAK

Di Malaysia misalnya, aktiviti pembangunan yang pesat menyebabkan peningkatan terhadap aktiviti kerja-kerja cerucuk. Jenis cerucuk yang biasanya digunakan untuk projek-projek pembangunan secara umumnya boleh dikategorikan kepada dua bahagian iaitu cerucuk anjakan dan cerucuk gantian. Memandangkan banyak ketidakpastian yang wujud dalam rekabentuk, adalah sukar untuk meramal ketepatan prestasi sesebuah cerucuk. Dalam usaha untuk mengurangkan risiko ketidaktepatan, maka program ujian beban yang komprehensif perlu dilaksanakan. Ujian beban yang dijalankan pada cerucuk awalan adalah untuk mengesahkan data dan kaedah reka bentuk cerucuk. Walaupun ujian beban cerucuk meningkatkan kos asas, penjimatan boleh berlaku sekiranya rekabentuk asas boleh diperbaiki. Ujian cerucuk secara umumnya boleh dibahagikan kepada tiga (3) kategori utama iaitu Ujian Beban Statik seperti Ujian Beban Tetap (MLT), Ujian Beban Dinamik seperti “High Strain Dynamic Load Test” (HSDLT) dan Ujian Beban “Statnamic” iaitu gabungan ujian-ujian sebelumnya. Bagi projek-projek yang melibatkan cerucuk, kapasiti cerucuk perlu ditentukan sahkan bagi memastikan kelakuan cerucuk tersebut supaya selari dengan reka bentuk. Reka bentuk asas cerucuk memerlukan satu pengetahuan yang sangat baik tentang keadaan dan tingkah laku tanah. Kaedah ujian cerucuk. Kaedah ujian cerucuk dan analisa yang digunakan untuk MLT dalam kajian ini adalah seperti Kaedah Davisson, Kaedah Chin, Kaedah Mazurkiewicz dan Kaedah De Beer. Manakala, analisa CAPWAP digunakan untuk HSDLT. Berdasarkan kepada analisis yang telah dijalankan, Kaedah De Beer memberi hasil keputusan ramalan yang hampir sama dengan kapasiti dari Ujian Beban Statik (MLT). Manakala daripada analisa CAPWAP, ianya menunjukkan bahawa kapasiti cerucuk adalah 30% hingga 60% lebih tinggi daripada kapasiti cerucuk yang diperolehi dari Ujian Beban Statik (MLT).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Statement of Problem	2
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Significant of the Study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 General	6
	2.2 Subsurface Exploration	7
	2.3 Types of Piles	9

2.4	Method of Piling Installation	11
2.5	Pile Testing	11
2.6	Pile Load Test	12
2.6.1	Classification of Pile Test	13
2.6.2	Maintain Load Test	14
2.6.3	Dynamic Load Test	16
2.6.3.1	High Strain Dynamic Load Testing (HSDLT)	16
2.6.3.2	Statnamic Load Test	17
2.6.4	Pile Shaft Integrity Test	19
2.7	Determination of Failure Ultimate Load	20
2.8	Interpretation of Pile Load Test Results	22
2.8.1	Davisson's Method	22
2.8.2	Hansen's Method	24
2.8.3	Chin-Kondner and Modified Chin Method	26
2.8.4	Mazurkiewicz's Method	27
2.8.5	De Beer's Method	28
2.8.6	Decourt's Extrapolation Method	29
2.8.7	Choice of Evaluation Method	31
3	METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	General	33
3.2	Research Design and Procedure	33
3.2.1	Data Acquisition	35
3.2.2	Compilation and Analysis of Data	35
4	ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	37
4.1	General	37
4.2	Analysis of Maintain Load Test (MLT)	37
4.3	Load vs Settlement Curve from Maintain Load Test	38
4.4	Analysis of 200x200 RC Square using MLT for Pile D117	40
4.4.1	Analysis using Davisson's Method	40

4.4.2 Analysis using Chin's Method	41	
4.4.3 Analysis using De Beer's Method	41	
4.4.4 Analysis using Mazurkiewicz's Method	42	
4.5 Results of Maintain Load Test (MLT)	43	
Interpretation for Pile D117		
4.6 Analysis of 200x200 RC Square Pile using HSDLT	44	
for Pile D117		
4.7 Results of High Strain Dynamic Load Test	45	
(HSDLT) Interpretation for Pile D117		
5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	46
5.1 General		46
5.2 Discussion of Interpretation		46
5.3 Summary Analysis Results of Interpretation of		47
Ultimate Pile Capacity		
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	50
6.1 Conclusion		50
6.2 Recommendation		51
REFERENCES		52
APPENDICES A-O		54-86

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Summary of Collected Maintain Load Test (MLT) and High Strain Dynamic Load Test (HSDLT) Data	35
4.1	Compressive Load Test – Data from Maintain Load Test for Pile No. D117	38
4.2	Summary of Determination of Ultimate Pile Capacity	43
4.4	Field and CAPWAP Results Summary for Pile No. D117	45
5.1	Summary of Ultimate Pile Capacity using MLT	48
5.2	Summary of Ultimate Pile Capacity using HSDLT	48
5.3	Description of Evaluation Method	49

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Flow of the subsurface exploration stages	8
2.2	Classification of Piles	10
2.3	Classification of Pile Test	14
2.4	Picture of Maintain Load Test (MLT) at site	15
2.5	Failure Interpretation (Graph Load versus Settlement)	21
2.6	Ultimate failure load according to Davisson	24
2.7	Ultimate failure load according to Brinch Hansen 80% criterion	25
2.8	Ultimate failure load according to Chin-Kondner's extrapolation	27
2.9	Ultimate failure load according to Mazurkiewicz	28
2.10	Yielding limit load	29
2.11	Decourt's Extrapolation Method	30
2.12	Observed and calculated curves by Decourt Extrapolation	30
3.1	Flow chart of the research methodology	34
4.1	Load vs Settlement graph for Pile No. D117	39
4.2	Graph Load vs Settlement for Davisson's Method	40
4.3	Graph Settlement/Load vs Settlement for Chin's Method	41
4.4	Graph Load vs Settlement for De Beer's Method	42

4.5	Graph Load vs Settlement for Mazurkiewicz's Method	43
5.1	Failure Interpretation (Graph Load versus Settlement	47

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Q	-	Applied load
L	-	Length of pile
A	-	Cross section area of pile
E	-	Modulus elasticity of pile
D	-	Diameter of pile
Q_u	-	Ultimate failure load
S_u	-	Ultimate settlement
C	-	Slope gradient
S	-	Settlement

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Field Record of Maintain Load Test for Pile C315	54
B	Field Record of Maintain Load Test for Pile D117	58
C	Field Record of Maintain Load Test for Pile C707	61
D	Field Record of Maintain Load Test for Pile C89	66
E	Field Record of High Strain Dynamic Load Test for Pile C315 and D117	72
F	Field Record of High Strain Dynamic Load Test for Pile C707	73
G	Field Record of High Strain Dynamic Load Test for Pile C89	74
H	Interpretation of Ultimate Pile Capacity using MLT for Pile C315	75
I	Interpretation of Ultimate Pile Capacity using MLT for Pile D117	77
J	Interpretation of Ultimate Pile Capacity using MLT for Pile C707	79
K	Interpretation of Ultimate Pile Capacity using MLT for Pile C89	81
L	Analysis of Ultimate Pile Capacity using CAPWAP for Pile C315	83
M	Analysis of Ultimate Pile Capacity using CAPWAP for Pile D117	84

N	Analysis of Ultimate Pile Capacity using CAPWAP for Pile C707	85
O	Analysis of Ultimate Pile Capacity using CAPWAP for Pile C89	86

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Since structure began rising above the ground, there are needs of foundation. The use of foundation is to transmit the loads directly to the underlying soil or rock and play an important role to interact favorably with the soil to carry components of a structure to the ground. Generally, there are two types of foundation which is deep foundation and shallow foundation. Different type of foundations have its own usage. Based on Neoh C.A., the choice of the foundation designs are based on loads per column, bearing type, bearing layer, type of intermediate layer and location of water level.

In the cases of heavy construction, it is not practical and economical to use shallow foundation carrying heavy and variable column loads in structure. Hence, deep foundation is more suitable to be used to such extend of depth of soil to achieve adequate shearing strength. In addition, pile foundation is also used to resist vertical, lateral and uplift load. Therefore, this study will focus to the design of pile foundation.

In the past, numerous studies have been conducted on the procedure of pile foundation design, i.e. from site investigation, selecting the type of pile foundation, design of pile foundation, driving criteria and load test. The studies show that choosing various options in each step as mentioned above will affect the ultimate bearing capacity of the piles. For example, different method in pile driven, different formula used in pile foundation design, type of pile used give different results in pile bearing capacity.

However, these findings are not enough to find the most conservative way in the method use in pile foundation design in different type of soil condition. Therefore, more in-depth studies need to be carried out. In this study, the relationship between methods used in pile foundation design and ultimate bearing capacity will be investigated.

1.2 Statement of Problem

As a geotechnical engineer, it is his/her responsibility to design the foundation according to the stipulated criteria and achieve the target performance with the reasonable cost. The design of every foundation presents a unique challenge to the engineer.

“Pile technology is probably as old as human existence” (L. Mastikian, 1974). As the time move forward, there are rapid develop in pile foundation engineering. Of note are the advent of new methods in pile foundation design, the maturity of the concept of soil-structure interaction, the development in the technical in pile driven and others.

Nowadays, a number of equations develop in pile foundation design to include parameters dependent on soil properties. However, according to Casagrande (1964) state that the risk is always involved in geotechnical engineering because of inability to get real information about behavior of soil. In addition, Peck (1967) addressed the source of failure of foundations include the theory used in the design may be wrong or may not apply and the soil conditions used in the design may differ from the actual soil condition.

Because of the non-availability of consistent procedures for accessing the load transfer mechanisms between piles and surrounding soil, the full-scale load tests are conducted. By estimating the ultimate capacity of pile when it is driven into the ground, it will result in equations where none of the equation is consistently dependable. Therefore, to solve this problem, the best resolution in predicting the pile capacity is by dynamic means consist in driving a pile, recording the driving history and load testing the pile as described by Bowles (1997).

The number of activities involving piling in a country normally corresponds with the development of that particular country. In Malaysia, piling activities are currently active all around the country due to the numerous development projects that are on-going. Types of piles used for these development projects can broadly divided into displacement and replacement piles.

Given the many uncertainties inherent in the design and construction of piles, it is difficult to predict with accuracy the performance of a pile. In order to mitigate and prevent such occurrence, a comprehensive pile testing program must be conducted. Load testing can be carried out on preliminary piles to confirm the pile design on working as a proof of loading test.

Although pile load test add to the cost of foundation, the savings can be substantial in the event that improvement to the foundation design can be

materialized. Pile test can generally be divided into two (2) main categories, which are static load test, such as Maintain Load Test (MLT) and dynamic load test, such as High Strain Dynamic Load Test (HSDLT).

1.3 Objectives of Study

The objectives of the study are:

- i. To determine the ultimate capacity of pile foundation using Maintain Load Test (MLT).
- ii. To determine the ultimate capacity of pile foundation using High Strain Dynamic Load Test (HSDLT).
- iii. To compare the ultimate capacity of pile from Maintain Load Test (MLT) and High Strain Dynamic Load Test (HSDLT).

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of works and the limitation in this study will only deploy Maintain Load Test (MLT) and High Strain Dynamic Load Test (HSDLT) results. This includes the testing procedure. The scope is limited to the analysis of pile load test data for axially loaded piles. Pile load test give the load settlement behavior up to some pre-assigned load or load up to failure.

For Maintain Load Test (MLT), the method for analyzing the settlement is by using the graphical or semi-empirical methods available such as Davisson's Method, Chin's Method, De Beer's Method and Mazurkiewicz's Method. Meanwhile,

REFERENCES

- Arvind V. Shroff, et al. (2003). Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering. A.A. Balkema.
- Bowles, J.E. (1996). Foundation Analysis and Design – 5th Edition. Illinois.
- Braja M. Das. (2007). Principles of Foundation Engineering. Sixth Edition. A division of Thomson Canada Limited.
- British Standard. Code for Practice for Foundation. BS 8004:1986.
- Chan J.Y.(2010). Comparison of Different Method in Pile Foundation Design. UTM.
- Chin, F.K. Estimation of the ultimate load of piles from test not carried to failure. Proceedings of Second SE Asian Conference on Soil Engineering, Singapore, 11-15th June 1970, pp.81-95, 1970.
- Craig, R.F. (2001). Soil Mechanics – 6th Edition. London, UK. Spon Press.
- Das, B.M. (2004). Principles of Foundation Engineering – 5th Edition. California, USA.
- Fellenius, B.H. (2001). What capacity value to choose from the results a static loading test, Deep Foundation Institute, pp. 19-22 and fall 2001, pp. 23-26.
- Geotechnical Engineering Office (2006). Foundation Design and Construction. Government of Hong Kong.
- Gue See Sew. (2007). Design and Construction of Piled Foundation. Public Lecture at Kuala Lumpur Infrastructure College.
- Nurly Gofar. (2011). Wave Propagation in Elastic Media Lecture Notes. UTM.
- Ramli Nazir. (2011). Advance Foundation Engineering Lecture Notes. UTM.