

STABILITY OF A SIX STOREY STEEL FRAME STRUCTURE

SHAMSHINAR BINTI SALEHUDDIN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering ( Civil - Structure )

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY, 2011

To my beloved husband, family, lecturers and friends

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the process of preparing and completing this report, I was in contact either directly or indirectly with many people, academicians and wholesaler. They have contributed towards my understanding and thought.

In particular, I wish to express my greatest appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Suhaimi Abu Bakar for his encouragement, guidance, critics, and motivations. Without his continued support and interest, this report would have been the same as presented here.

At the same moment, I am grateful to all my family members for their support and encouragement. My sincere appreciations also to my beloved husband, Mohd Faisal bin Mohd Azlan and my father in law, Dr. Mohd Azlan bin Yahya who have provided assistance and useful tips indeed. Finally, special thank dedicated to Universiti Malaysia Perlis and Ministry of Higher Education for giving opportunity to sponsor my master and those who directly or indirectly involve in the process of producing this study.

I hope my findings in this study will expand the knowledge in this field and contribute to all of us in future.

## ABSTRACT

The world nowadays requires more tall buildings to overcome limited land space and creating high esthetic value. However, these high rise buildings require high frame structure stability for safety and design purposes. This research focused on non linear geometric analysis to be compared to previous studies on linear analysis. The linear analysis did not consider deformed configuration which can be considered as least accurate. On top of this, several designers did not incorporate the wind load which could lead to sway effect to tall buildings. In this study, a six storey 2-D steel frame structure with twenty four meter height has been selected to be idealized as tall building model. The model was analyzed by using SAP2000 structural analysis software with the consideration of geometric non linear effect. At the same time, several factors including the use of bracing, varying distributed loads on beam's element and an increased in column size at bottom part of the building were also applied to study the sway and stability of the building. In addition, several cases including placing a fully bracing, bracing at half height of the building and alternate bracing were also studied. This study showed that a steel frame with the consideration of wind load produce greater sway value as compared to the steel frame without wind load. The sway prediction by using linear analysis was found to be less than 4% compared to the sway prediction from non linear analysis. This indicates that the non linear analysis is vital and significant element to be adopted for the analysis of tall building. The study also found that the use of bracing system results in small sway values compared to the frame without bracing system. As for consideration to costing aspect, the use of alternate bracing provide better option compared to half bracing in terms of stability of the building. The analysis results also showed that the adjustment of distributed load at upper part of steel frame structure able to provide different sway values, creating higher stiffness at lower part of the building which reduces the sway values and increases the stability of the building. SAP2000 software is found as reliable tool in evaluating structural analysis especially when involving non linear analysis.

## ABSTRAK

Pada masa sekarang, dunia memerlukan lebih bangunan tinggi untuk mengatasi masalah kekurangan ruang tanah dan untuk mencipta nilai estetika yang tinggi. Walaubagaimanapun, bangunan tinggi ini memerlukan lebih kestabilan dalam struktur kerangka untuk tujuan keselamatan dan rekabentuk. Kajian ini menumpu lebih kepada analisis geometrik tak linear kerana kajian sebelumnya lebih kepada analisis linear. Analisis linear tidak mengambil kira perubahan bentuk yang boleh di anggap kurang tepat. Selain daripada itu, ramai jurutera kadang kala tidak mengendahkan beban angin yang juga boleh menyumbang kesan huyung kepada bangunan tinggi. Dalam kajian ini, enam tingkat struktur kerangka keluli 2-D setinggi dua puluh empat meter dipilih sebagai bahan kajian untuk bangunan tinggi. Model ini telah dianalisis menggunakan perisian SAP2000 dengan mengambil kira analisis geometrik tak linear. Pada masa yang sama, beberapa pendekatan dilakukan seperti meletak perambat, mempelbagai beban teragih seragam di atas rasuk dan menambah saiz tiang di bahagian bawah di aplikasi untuk mengkaji huyung atau kestabilan bangunan. Tambahan lagi, beberapa kes seperti meletak keseluruhan kerangka dengan perambat, hanya separuh di bahagian atas dan berselang seli juga dikaji. Jelas menunjukkan bahawa kerangka keluli dengan beban angin memberi lebih tinggi nilai huyung dari kerangka keluli tanpa beban angin. Ramalan huyung menggunakan analisis linear didapati kurang melebihi 4% dari ramalan huyung oleh analisis tak linear. Ini menunjukkan analisis tak linear adalah keperluan dan penting digunakan untuk menganalisis bangunan tinggi. Sistem kerangka berperambat memberi nilai huyung yang lebih kecil berbanding sistem kerangka tanpa berperambat. Dari aspek kos pembinaan, pilihan antara hanya separuh perambat di bahagian atas dan berselang seli menunjukkan kerangka berselang seli adalah lebih baik untuk meningkatkan kestabilan bangunan. Keputusan analisis jelas menunjukkan ubahsuai beban teragih seragam di atas rasuk di bahagian atas bangunan boleh memberi nilai huyung yang sedikit. Tambahan lagi, meningkatkan kekukuhan bangunan pada bahagian bawah juga boleh mengurangkan nilai huyung dan meningkatkan kestabilan bangunan. Perisian SAP2000 didapati adalah alat yang boleh digunakan untuk menganalisis struktur terutama yang melibatkan analisis non linear.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>THESIS TITLE</b>	i
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
	<b>NOTATIONS</b>	xvi
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Statement of Problems	2
1.2	Objectives of the Research	3
1.3	Scope of the Research	3
1.4	Significance of the Research	4
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.0	Introduction	5
2.1	Structural Stability	7
2.2	Linear and Non Linear Analysis	8

2.3	Theoretical Formula of Non Linear Analysis	9
2.4	Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Frame Structure	12
2.5	Brace System	14
2.6	Wind Loading	15
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>VERIFICATION OF STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS SOFTWARE SAP2000</b>	<b>18</b>
3.0	Introduction	18
3.1	Manual Calculation Using Mathcad	20
3.2	Analysis of Frame Structure Using SAP2000	33
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>LINEAR AND GEOMETRIC NON LINEAR ANALYSIS OF STEEL FRAME STRUCTURE</b>	<b>35</b>
3.0	Introduction	35
4.1	The Distributed Load on Beam	35
4.2	Wind calculation using CP3, Chapter V ( Part 2)	39
4.3	Linear and Geometric Non Linear Analysis Using SAP2000	44
4.4	Cases to be Analyzed Using SAP2000	48
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>ANALYSIS RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>56</b>
5.0	Introduction	56
5.1	Results for Linear and Geometric Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame	56

5.1.1	Case 1 - Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame without Wind Load	57
5.1.2	Case 2 – Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Wind Load Consideration	61
5.1.3	Case 2(a) – Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Maximum Wind Load Consideration	64
5.1.4	Case 3 – Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Fully Bracing	66
5.1.5	Case 4 – Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Bracing Placed at Half Top Side of Building	69
5.1.6	Case 5 – Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Alternate Bracing	72
5.1.7	Case 6 – Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Increasing of Distributed Load at Upper Half Portion of the Building	75
5.1.8	Case 7 – Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Decreasing of Distributed Load at Upper Half Portion of the Building	78
5.1.9	Case 8 - Linear and Non Linear Analysis of Steel Frame with Increasing of Column Size at Lower Portion of the Building	81
5.2	Discussion of the Results	84
5.2.1	Analysis 1 – Comparison of Wind Effect for Linear and Non Linear Analysis	84
5.2.2	Analysis 2 – Comparison of Sway Prediction from Linear and Geometric Non Linear Analysis	86

5.2.3	Analysis 3 - Approach Applied to Study Sway Effect	87
5.2.4	Analysis 4 - The SAP2000 Software Checking	92
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>93</b>
6.0	Introduction	93
6.1	Conclusions	93
6.2	Recommendations	94
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>95</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
4.1	Topography factor, $S_1$	39
4.2	Ground roughness, building size and height above ground, factor $S_2$	40
4.3	Force coefficients $C_f$ for rectangular clad buildings with flat roofs (acting in the direction of the wind)	42
4.4	Wind calculation result using CP3, Chapter V ( Part 2 )	44
5.1	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame without wind load - Case 1	58
5.2	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame with the consideration of wind load - Case 2	61
5.3	Sway for non linear analysis of steel frame with the maximum consideration of wind load - Case 2(a)	64
5.4	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame with fully bracing - Case 3	66
5.5	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame with bracing system placed at half of building ( top side ) - Case 4	69
5.6	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame with alternate bracing - Case 5	72
5.7	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame with increase distributed load at half building ( top side ) - Case 6	75
5.8	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame with	

	decrease distributed load at half building ( top side ) - Case 7	78
5.9	Sway for linear and non linear analysis of steel frame by increasing of column size at lower half portion of the building - Case 8	81
5.10	Sway value due to wind and no wind effect predicted from linear analysis	85
5.11	Sway value due to wind and no wind effect predicted from linear analysis	85
5.12	Sway result of steel frame structure from linear and geometric non linear analysis prediction ( Case 2 )	86
5.13	Comparison of sway for several methods of bracing system	88
5.14	Higher and lower distributed load on a beam	89
5.15	Comparison of sway due to standard and higher column size	91

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Instability of frame structure under horizontal loads	7
2.2	Pictorial representation of bar with second order effect	8
2.3	Load deformation curves of one storey frame	13
2.4	Deformation of a brace	14
2.5	A wind load assume to hit the building	16
3.1	Arrangement of number for calculation at a trial steel frame structure	19
3.2	A trial steel frame structure illustrate in SAP2000	33
3.3	Result of displacement, U1 at top joint at left hand in unit millimeter	34
4.1	The plan view of building to be calculated for distributed load	36
4.2	The frame model to be analyzed	38
4.3	The plan shape of the steel frame structure that hit by the wind	43
4.4	New model form	45
4.5	Joint restraint	46
4.6	Load Case Data form	47
4.7	Analytical model for Case 1	48
4.8	Analytical model for Case 2	49

4.9	Analytical model for Case 3 : Steel frame structure with fully braced	50
4.10	Analytical model for Case 4 : Partially braced steel frame structure	51
4.11	Analytical model for Case 5 : Steel frame structure with alternate bracing system	52
4.12	Analytical model for Case 6 : Higher value of distributed load	53
4.13	Analytical model for Case 7 : Lower value of distributed load	54
4.14	Analytical model for Case 8 : Higher stiffness of the column base	55
5.1(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure without wind load ( linear analysis )	59
5.1(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure without wind load ( non linear analysis )	60
5.2(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with wind load consideration ( linear analysis )	62
5.2(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with wind load consideration ( non linear analysis )	63
5.2(c)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with maximum wind load consideration ( non linear analysis )	65
5.3(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with fully bracing ( linear analysis )	67
5.3(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with fully bracing ( non linear analysis )	68
5.4(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame with half of top side of the building be placed with bracing system ( linear analysis )	70
5.4(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame with half of top side of the building be placed with bracing system ( non linear	

	analysis )	71
5.5(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame with alternate bracing ( linear analysis )	73
5.5(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame with alternate bracing ( non linear analysis )	74
5.6(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with increasing of applied distributed load acted at upper of the building ( linear analysis )	76
5.6(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with increasing of applied distributed load acted at upper of the building ( non linear analysis )	77
5.7(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with decreasing of applied distributed load acted at upper of the building ( linear analysis )	79
5.7(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure with decreasing of applied distributed load acted at upper of the building ( non linear analysis )	80
5.8(a)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure by increasing the stiffness of the column at lower portion ( linear analysis )	82
5.8(b)	Sway at different level of steel frame structure by increasing the stiffness of the column at lower portion ( non linear analysis )	83
5.9	Comparison between the Results from Linear and Geometric Non Linear Analysis	87
5.10	Comparison of Sway Prediction ( Geometric Non Linear Analysis ) due to Different Type of Bracing System	88
5.11	Comparison of Sway due to Different Distributed Load from Geometric Non Linear Analysis	90
5.12	Comparison of Sway for Standard Column Size and Higher Column Size ( Non Linear Analysis Prediction )	91

**NOTATIONS**

$P$	- Axial load
$P - \delta$	- Non linear effect
$A$	- Area
$I$	- Moment of inertia
$\Phi$	- Angle
$2D$	- Two dimensional
$BS$	- British Standard
$V$	- Wind speed
$V_s$	- Design wind speed
$S_1$	- Topography factor
$S_2$	- Ground roughness, building size and height above ground
$S_3$	- Exposure to wind
$q$	- Dynamic pressure of wind
$k$	- Wind coefficient
$W_k$	- Wind load
$C_f$	- Force coefficient
$k_e$	- Linear elastic stiffness matrix
$k_g$	- Geometric stiffness matrix
$\Gamma$	- Diagonal matrix

$K_e$	- Global linear elastic stiffness matrix
$T$	- Transform
$u$	- Member displacement for local
$v$	- Member displacement for global
$Q$	- Member force
$E$	- Modulus of elasticity
$W$	- Distributed load
$L$	- Length
$U1$	- Displacement value in X direction
$U2$	- Displacement value in Y direction
$U3$	- Displacement value in Z direction
$R1$	- Rotation value in X direction
$R2$	- Rotation value in Y direction
$R3$	- Rotation value in Z direction

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

There are several types of structure in this modern world. Most of the structures behaves like linear elastic under a service loads. Slender structures such as suspension systems, arches and tall buildings can be considered as non linear elastic. In general, buildings having 30 to 50 stories can be classified as tall buildings. While, for buildings with 50 stories or more can be considered as super tall buildings.

Tall building is the most structure that requires stability because it consist a lot of frame structure with different width and height. Building will be unstable if inadequate of lateral support and may resulted to collapse. Buildings and structures are considered stable with lateral supports by using either bracing system or shear system or both such as wall to ensure the stability of the building. Moreover, the important thing to consider are the software to be used to analysis the tall building structure and a wind speed at construction area to avoid any problem in future.

## 1.1 Statement of Problems

There are several problems that require scientific explanation in this study:

1. A linear analysis is more prefer as compare to non linear analysis in structural design. This is because it can be simplify by design, time and cost saving, and at the same time it does not require the use of computer software for non linear case. Furthermore, a non linear analysis is more complicated than linear analysis in structural problem solving. Therefore it is important to understand the difference between both non linear and linear analysis and able to justify the application of these approach. At the same time the verification of the software is necessary to identify the reliability of the tool.
2. The wind speed in Malaysia is less than 35 km/h at 10 m height refer to Malaysia Standard. Eventhough the wind speed is small, it can still give adverse effect to the tall building. Therefore, the identification of suitable wind speed is important to ensure the stability of the steel frame.
3. Client or project initiator always focuses on capital cost and not the quality of the building construction. Intergration of additional structure such as bracing may increase the operation cost but at the same time it will improve the sway and crack and therefore increase the life time of the building. Hence, it is important to identify the requirement and the positioning of the braces in steel frame structure.

## **1.2 Objectives of Study**

The objectives of this study are:

1. To analyze the steel frame structure subjected to wind and without wind load by using linear and geometric non linear analysis and to observe the effect.
2. To compare the difference of lateral displacement or sway values between linear and geometric non linear analysis prediction.
3. To observe if there is an alternative approach to decrease sway for steel frame that being idealized as tall building.
4. To verify whether SAP2000 software is reliable tool for the evaluation of tall building structure.

## **1.3 Scope of the Study**

The scope of works in this research includes:

1. A six storey building which made up of steel material and was analyzed by using SAP2000 software for linear and geometric non linear analysis.
2. The atmospheric wind speeds which will be use to study the wind behavior. The evaluation will be based on data from Malaysia Standard and wind calculation by using CP3, Chapter V ( Part 2 ).

#### **1.4 Significance of the Study**

This study can be used as a reference to other researchers and designers to explore the stability in frame structure and design application. It can also provide a good design, more stable and longer last tall building which able to provide better service to consumers.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel L. Schodek ( 2004 ). *Structures*, Fifth Edition, Pearson Prentice Hall, page 15 -21.
- [2] Mc Guire, W., Gallagher, R.H. and Ziemian, R.D. (2000). *Matrix Structural Analysis Second Edition*, John Wiley & Sons Publication, page 217-218.
- [3] Robert D. Cook, David S. Malkus, Michael E. Plesha and Robert J. Witt (2002). *Concepts and Applications of Finite Element Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons Publication.
- [4] E.S. Kameshki, M.P. Saka (2003). *Genetic Algorithm based Optimum Design of Nonlinear Planar Steel Frames with Various Semi – Rigid Connections*, Journal of Construction Steel Research, Volume 59.
- [5] El Zanaty, M. H and Murray, D.W (1983). *Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis of Steel Frames*, Journal of Structural Engineering, Volume 109, No. 1 - 4.
- [6] Hingginbotham , A.B. and Hanson (1976). *Axial Behaviour of Steel Members*, Journal of the Structural Engineering Division, ASCE, Volume 106.
- [7] Emil Simiu and Robert H. Scanlan (1996). *Wind Effects on Structures*, 1996, John Wiley & Sons Publication.
- [8] Seshasayee Ankireddi and Henry T. Y. Yang (1996). *Simple ATMD Control Methodology for Tall Buildings Subject to Wind Load*, Journal of Structural Engineering, Volume 122, No. 1 - 3.
- [9] British Standard (1972), CP3 Chapter V ( Part 2 ). *Wind Loads*, London.
- [10] Yi Kwei Wen (1983). *Wind Direction and Structural Reliability*, Journal of Structural Engineering, Volume 109, No. 1 - 4.

- [11] K. C. Mehta, J. E. Minor and T. A. Reinhold (1983). *Wind Speed Damage Correlation in Hurricane Frederic*, Journal of Structural Engineering, Volume 109, No. 1 - 4.
- [12] W F Chen (1999). *Practical Analysis for Semi-Rigid Frame Design*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore, page 2.
- [13] M. Farshad (1994). *Stability of Structures*, Elsevier Science B.V, page 2.
- [14] Malaysia Standard (2002). *Code of Practice on Wind Loading for Building Structure*, Malaysia.