

COMPRESSIBILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF FIBROUS PEAT SOIL

YULINDASARI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2006

To my beloved Father (Sutedjo), to my beloved mother (Rohyati), to my beloved brother (Andi Kurniawan, SE.), and to my beloved sister (Melya Kurniati, SE.).

There's nothing in life that makes me happier than loving all of you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to deeply praise the **ALLAH SWT** for allowing me passing all of this moment. I also would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have contributed in completing this project.

First of all, I would like to thank with my supervisor, Dr. Nurly Gofar for guiding me through the research process in the writing of this thesis. Her personal kindness, skill, patience and guidance are highly appreciated. As my supervisor, she also becomes my foster parent. This research is partly funded by UTM Fundamental Research Grant Vot No. 75137 head by Dr. Nurly Gofar. Special acknowledgement is also extended to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khairul Anuar Kassim and Dr. Kamarudin Ahmad for their help during the course of this study.

Beside that, I would like to say thank you to my parents and my family for their support and encouragement. Their encouragements provide the energy for me to concentrate on my Master study.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to Wong Leong Sing for sharing research data and for friendship. Sincere gratitude also goes to all technicians in UTM Geotechnical Laboratory, especially En. Zulkiflee Wahid for his assistance in my laboratory work. Without their help, this research and thesis will not be a success.

A special thank to En. Azman Kassim and Lee Min Lee and also to undergraduate student: Eng Chun Wei, Bong Ting Ting, and Ushaa Nair.

Lastly, I am very thankful to my friends in KTHO-L12 for their support and motivation especially Vivi, Kak Jati, Kak Isal, Yuk Mala, Kak Hilma, Farah, Ika, Sylvia, Aliya, Lilian, Ema, Aina and Uliya.

ABSTRACT

Peat has been identified as one of major groups of soils found in Malaysia. Peat deposit covers large area of West Johore especially Pontian, Batu Pahat, and Muar. Despite of this fact, not much research has been focused on the compression behavior of peat. This study is focused on the compressibility characteristics of fibrous peat based on time-compression curves derived from consolidation tests. The peat samples were collected from Kampung Bahru, Pontian, West Johore by block sampling method. The laboratory testing program included the standard laboratory testing for identification and classification purposes, i.e., Scanning Electron Micrograph (SEM), shear box test, constant head permeability test, Oedometer tests, and large strain consolidation test using Rowe cell. The results of the study show that the peat soil can be classified as fibrous peat with low to medium degree of decomposition (H_4 in von Post scale) and of very high organic content (97 %) and fiber content (90 %). The natural water content of the peat is 608 % which corresponds to initial void ratio of about 9. The undrained shear strength of peat is 10.10 kPa, with sensitivity of 5.64. The initial permeability is high, but it decreases significantly with applied pressures. The fibrous peat has a high compressibility with significant secondary compression stage, which is not constant with the logarithmic of time. Eventhough the duration of the primary consolidation was short, but the settlement was high. This is due to high initial void ratio. Besides, the magnitude of the secondary compression of fibrous peat is also significant with respect to the design life of a structure. The comparison between the results of the consolidation test using Rowe and Oedometer cells show that the use of Rowe cell for the evaluation of the consolidation characteristics of soil exhibiting secondary compression is advantageous because it enables the observation of the large deformation. The compression index (c_c) obtained from consolidation test on Rowe cell was 3.128, while the coefficient of secondary compression (c_{α}) range from 0.102 to 0.304. The settlement analysis performed for the hypothetical case of an embankment on peat deposit showed that the compression of fibrous peat deposit can be estimated based on the time-compression and the time-excess pore water pressure curves.

ABSTRAK

Tanah gambut dikenal pasti sebagai salah satu kumpulan utama tanah di Malaysia. Kawasan tanah gambut terdapat di Johor bahagian barat terutamanya Pontian, Batu Pahat, dan Muar. Walaupun demikian, tidak banyak penyelidikan tertumpu pada kelakuan pemampatan tanah gambut. Kajian ini tertumpu pada analisis sifat kebolehampatan tanah gambut berdasarkan lengkung masa-pemampatan yang diperoleh daripada ujian pengukuhan. Sampel tanah gambut dari Kampung Bahru, Pontian, Johor barat dengan kaedah pensampelan blok. Program ujian makmal termasuk ujian piawai makmal digunakan bagi tujuan mengenal pasti dan pengelasan, iaitu mikrograf elektron imbasan (SEM), ujian kotak ricih, ujian kebolehtelapan turus malar, ujian pengukuhan Oedometer, dan ujian terikan tinggi menggunakan sel Rowe. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa tanah gambut boleh dikelaskan sebagai gambut gentian dengan darjah penguraian rendah ke sederhana (H_4 pada skala von Post) dan kandungan organik (97 %) dan kandungan gentian (90 %) yang tinggi. Kandungan lembapan asli bagi tanah gambut tersebut adalah 608 % dengan nisbah lompong mula 9. Kekuatan ricih tak bersalir gambut adalah 10.10 kPa dengan kepekaan 5.64. Kebolehtelapan mula adalah tinggi, tetapi nilainya berkurangan dengan tekanan. Gambut gentian mempunyai kebolehampatan yang tinggi pada peringkat mampatan sekunder dan tidak malar dengan logaritma masa. Walaupun, tempoh pengukuhan utama adalah pendek tetapi enapan adalah tinggi. Ini disebabkan nisbah lompong mula yang tinggi. Selain itu, magnitud mampatan kedua bagi gambut gentian adalah penting juga untuk hayat rekabentuk sesuatu struktur. Perbandingan antara keputusan ujian pengukuhan sel Rowe dan sel Oedometer menunjukkan penggunaan sel Rowe untuk penaksiran sifat pengukuhan pada mampatan punya kelebihan kerana ia boleh meninjau ubah bentuk yang besar. Indeks mampatan (c_c) yang diperoleh dari ujian pengukuhan pada sel Rowe ialah 3.128, manakala julat mampatan sekunder (c_o) ialah 0.102 hingga 0.304. Analisis enapan yang dilakukan untuk kes hipotesis benteng di kawasan tanah gambut menunjukkan bahawa kebolehampatan gambut gentian dapat dianggar berdasarkan kepada lengkung masa-pemampatan dan lengkung masa-tekanan air liang lebihan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	THESIS TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Scopes	5
	1.5 Significance of the Study	6
	1.6 Thesis Structure	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1 Fibrous Peat	8
	2.1.1 Definition	8
	2.1.2 Sampling of Peat	9
	2.1.3 Structural Arrangement	11

2.1.4	Physical and Chemical Properties	14
2.1.5	Classification	18
2.1.6	Shear Strength	21
2.1.7	Compressibility	22
2.1.8	Permeability	25
2.2	Soil Compressibility	25
2.2.1	Primary Consolidation	26
2.2.2	Secondary Compression	34
2.3	Compressibility of Fibrous Peat	36
2.4	Consolidation Test	40
2.4.1	Problems Related to Conventional Test	40
2.4.2	Large Strain Consolidation Tests (Rowe Cell)	42
2.5	Evaluation of Compression Curves derived from Consolidation Test	45
2.5.1	Time-Compression Curve	48
2.5.2	The e-log p' Curve	56
3	METHODOLOGY	58
3.1	Introduction	58
3.2	Sampling of Peat	60
3.3	Preliminary Tests	62
3.3.1	Physical Properties and Classification	62
3.3.2	Classification	62
3.3.3	Fiber Content and Fiber Orientation	63
3.3.4	Shear Strength	64
3.3.5	Permeability	65
3.3.6	Standard Consolidation Test	66
3.4	Large Strain Consolidation Tests (Rowe Cell)	67
3.4.1	Calibration	71
3.4.2	Cell Assembly and Connections	75
3.4.3	Consolidation Test	80
	3.4.3.1 Preliminaries	81

3.4.3.2	Saturation	81
3.4.3.3	Loading Stage	81
3.4.3.4	Consolidation Stage	82
3.4.3.5	Further Load Increments	82
3.4.3.6	Unloading	82
3.4.3.7	Conclusion of Test	83
3.4.3.8	Measurement and Removal of Sample	83
3.4.4	Consolidation Test with Horizontal Drainage	84
3.4.5	Permeability Tests	87
3.4.6	Permeability Test for Horizontal Drainage	91
3.5	Data Analysis	92
3.5.1	Time-Compression Curve	93
3.5.2	The e -log p' Curve	93
3.5.3	Settlement Analysis	94
4	GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS	95
4.1	Soil Identification	95
4.2	Classification	98
4.3	Fiber Orientation	100
4.4	Shear Strength	101
4.5	Initial Permeability	103
4.6	Compressibility	104
4.6.1	Analysis of Time-Compression Curve	105
4.6.2	Analysis of the e -log p' Curve	110
4.6.3	Coefficient of Permeability based on the Standard Consolidation Test	114
4.6.4	Summary	115
5	COMPRESSIBILITY CHARACTERISTICS	116
5.1	Introduction	116
5.2	Test Results and Analysis	117
5.2.1	Analysis of Time-Compression Curve	117

5.2.2	Analysis of the e-log p' Curve	127
5.2.3	Evaluation of Permeability	131
5.2.4	Summary	132
5.3	Comparison with Oedometer Data	133
5.4	Comparison with Published Data	139
5.5	Effect of fiber	143
5.6	Settlement Estimation	147
5.6.1	Introduction	147
5.6.2	Hypothetical Problem	148
5.6.3	Settlement Analysis by Cassagrande (1936) Method	150
5.6.4	Settlement Analysis by Robinson (2003) Method	152
5.6.5	Discussion	155
6	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATION	157
6.1	Summary	157
6.2	Conclusion	158
6.3	Recommendation	160
	REFERENCES	162
	Appendices A-H	170-212

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Physical properties of peat based on location (Huat, 2004)	15
2.2	Important physical and chemical properties for some peat deposits (Ajlouni, 2000)	16
2.3	Classification of peat based on degree of decomposition (von Post, 1922)	19
2.4	Classification of peat based on organic and fiber content	20
2.5	Compressibility characteristics of some peat deposit (Ajlouni, 2000)	23
2.6	Curve fitting data for evaluation of coefficient of rate of consolidation (Head, 1986)	47
4.1	The summary of index properties of peat soil in West Malaysia	96
4.2	The summary classification test results in West Malaysia peat	100
4.3	Compressibility parameters obtained from consolidation curves	107
4.4	The average coefficient of volume compressibility	114
4.5	Average coefficient of permeability for each consolidation pressure	114
4.6	The summary of data obtained from Oedometer test	115
5.1	Average time for end of primary consolidation (t_{100}) and the beginning of secondary compression (t_p) obtained from Rowe test results	123
5.2	Average coefficient of rate of consolidation for each pressure	125

5.3	Average coefficient of secondary compression	126
5.4	Average time of secondary compression	127
5.5	The average coefficient of volume compressibility	130
5.6	Vertical coefficient of permeability based on large strain consolidation test	132
5.7	The summary of large strain consolidation data	132
5.8	Compressibility parameters obtained from Rowe cell and Oedometer tests	136
5.9	Comparison of the data obtained from the analysis of data obtained in the present study with published data	143
5.10	Coefficient of volume compressibility and coefficient of permeability based on large strain consolidation test	145
5.11	Effect of consolidation pressure on coefficient of permeability	145
5.12	The properties of fibrous peat deposit obtained from large strain consolidation test and Oedometer test for consolidation pressure 50 kPa	149
5.13	The results of settlement calculated based on Rowe consolidation test	151
5.14	The results of settlement calculated based on Robinson's method	154

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Schematic diagram of (a) deposition and (b) multi-phase system of fibrous peat (Kogure et al., 1993)	12
2.2	Scanning Electron Micrographs of Middleton fibrous peat; (a) horizontal plane, (b) vertical plane (Fox and Edil, 1996)	13
2.3	Plot of Void ratio versus pressure in linear scale (Nurly Gofar and Khairul Anuar Kassim, 2005)	27
2.4	Plot of void ratio versus pressure in logarithmic scale (Nurly Gofar and Khairul Anuar Kassim, 2005)	27
2.5	Consolidation curve for two-way vertical drainage (Head, 1982)	31
2.6	Determination of coefficient of rate of consolidation by Cassagrande's method (Nurly Gofar and Khairul Anuar Kassim, 2005)	33
2.7	Determination of coefficient of rate of consolidation by Taylor method (Nurly Gofar and Khairul Anuar Kassim, 2005)	34
2.8	Determination of the coefficient of rate of secondary compression from consolidation curve (Cassagrande's method) (Nurly Gofar and Khairul Anuar Kassim, 2005)	35
2.9	Rheological model used for soil undergoing secondary compression	39
2.10	Schematic diagram of Oedometer cell (Bardet, 1997)	41
2.11	Schematic diagram of Rowe consolidation cell (Head, 1986)	43

2.12	Drainage and loading conditions for consolidations tests in Rowe cell: (a), (c), (e), (g) with 'free strain' loading, (b), (d), (f), (h) with 'equal strain' loading (Head, 1986)	46
2.13	Types of compression versus logarithmic of time curve derived from consolidation test (Leonards and Girault, 1961)	48
2.14	Vertical strain versus logarithmic of time curve of fibrous peat for one-dimensional consolidation (Dhowian and Edil, 1980)	49
2.15	Sridharan and Prakash $\log \delta \log t$ curve (Sridharan and Prakash, 1998)	50
2.16	(a) Compression-time curves, and (b) Degree of consolidation-time from the measured pore water pressure dissipation curves for peat (Robinson, 2003)	52
2.17	Degree of consolidation from the pore water pressure dissipation curves plotted against compression for several consolidation data for peat (Robinson, 2003)	53
2.18	(a) Total settlement-time curves for peat and (b) Primary settlement-time curve after removing the secondary compression (Robinson, 2003)	55
2.19	Secondary compression versus logarithmic of time curve for evaluation of coefficient of secondary compression (Robinson, 2003)	56
2.20	Typical Laboratory consolidation curve (Fox, 2003)	57
3.1	Flow chart of the study	59
3.2	Sampling methods (a) block sample, (b) piston sample	61
3.3	The equipment for the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	63
3.4	Shear strength tests (a) Vane shear test carried out at site (b) Shear box apparatus	64
3.5	Constan Head permeability test	65

3.6	Piston sampler (a) pushed in vertical direction (b) pushed in horizontal direction	66
3.7	Standard consolidation test (a) Oedometer cell (b) Assembly of all components of Oedometer test	67
3.8	Rowe consolidation cell	67
3.9	50 mm Linear Variable Displacement Transducer (LVDT)	68
3.10	1500 kPa Pressure transducer	68
3.11	Main page of the GDSLAB v 2.0.6 program for collecting data system	69
3.12	Serial pad 1	70
3.13	The schematic arrangement of control system for the Rowe consolidation tests	70
3.14	Linear Displacement Transducer (LVDT) calibration process	71
3.15	The transducer object	73
3.16	The advanced tab for the transducer	73
3.17	The transducer calibrations (a) The calibration detail tab (b) The results of transducer calibrations	74
3.18	Cutting rings containing soil sample are fitted on top of the Rowe cell	76
3.19	A porous disc is used to slowly and steadily push the soil sample vertically downward into the Rowe cell body	76
3.20	Schematic diagram of filling of distilled water into the diaphragm (Head, 1986)	77
3.21	Realistic view of filling of distilled water into the diaphragm	77
3.22	Diaphragm inserted into Rowe cell body (Head, 1986)	78
3.23	Diaphragm is correctly seated (Head, 1986)	79

3.24	Arrangement of Rowe cell for consolidation test with two-way vertical drainage (Head, 1986)	80
3.25	Arrangement of Rowe cell for consolidation test with horizontal drainage to periphery; excess pore pressure measurement from centre of base of sample (Head, 1986)	84
3.26	Fitting porous plastic liner in Rowe cell: (a) initial fitting and marking, (b) locating line of cut, (c) final fitting (Head, 1986)	85
3.27	Peripheral drain fitted into the Rowe cell body	86
3.28	Arrangement of Rowe cell for permeability test with horizontal outward drainage (Head, 1986)	88
3.29	Downward vertical flow condition for permeability test in Rowe cell (Head, 1986)	88
3.30	Arrangement for vertical permeability test using one back pressure system for downward flow (Head, 1986)	90
3.31	Arrangement of Rowe cell for permeability test with horizontal outward drainage (Head, 1986)	91
3.32	Hypothetical problem for analysis of settlement	94
4.1	Correlation of bulk density, water content, specific gravity, and degree of saturation of fibrous peat (Hobbs, 1986)	97
4.2	Correlation of dry density and natural water content for West Malaysian peat (Al-Raziqi et al., 2003)	97
4.3	The range of organic content of fibrous peat based on specific gravity (Lechowicz et al., 1996)	99
4.4	The range of organic content of fibrous peat based on water content (Al- Raziqi et al., 2003)	99
4.5	The Scanning Electron Microphotographs (SEM) of fibrous peat samples at initial state (a) horizontal section x 400, (b) vertical section x 400	102

4.6	The Scanning Electron Microphotographs (SEM) of fibrous peat samples under consolidation pressure of 200 kPa (a) horizontal section x 400 (b) vertical section x 400	102
4.7	Results of the shear box test	103
4.8	Effect of initial void ratio (e_0) on the initial permeability of soil (Hobbs, 1986)	104
4.9	Typical compression versus logarithmic of time curves from Oedometer test	106
4.10	Analysis of compression versus logarithmic of time curves from Oedometer test	107
4.11	Variation of the time of completion of primary consolidation with consolidation pressure	108
4.12	Variation of the time of completion of secondary compression versus consolidation pressure	109
4.13	Variation of the coefficient of rate of consolidation with consolidation pressure	109
4.14	Variation coefficient of secondary compression with consolidation pressure	110
4.15	The e -log p curves obtained from the standard consolidation test on Oedometer cell	111
4.16	Relationship between pre-consolidation pressure and in-situ void ratio (Kogure and Ohira, 1977)	112
4.17	Relationship between compression index and natural water content (Kogure and Ohira, 1977)	113
5.1	The compression versus logarithmic of time curve obtained from large strain consolidation tests on Rowe cell	118
5.2	Compression versus logarithmic of time curves for Test 4	121
5.3	Excess pore water pressure versus logarithmic of time curves for Test 4	121
5.4	Typical compression versus degree of consolidation curve from large strain consolidation test with two-way vertical drainage	122

5.5	Average time of completion of primary consolidation versus consolidation pressure	124
5.6	Variation of the beginning of secondary compression with consolidation pressure for sample tested under vertical consolidation	124
5.7	Variation coefficient of rate of consolidation with consolidation pressure	125
5.8	Variation coefficient of secondary compression versus consolidation pressure	126
5.9	The consolidation curve from large strain consolidation test on Rowe cell based on primary and total settlement (a) typical $e-p'$ curve, (b) typical $e-\log p'$ curve	128
5.10	The void ratio versus logarithmic of consolidation pressure curve of large strain consolidation test on Rowe cell based on primary settlement	129
5.11	Variation of coefficient of volume compressibility versus consolidation pressure	131
5.12	The typical strain versus logarithmic of time curve from Rowe cell and Oedometer test	133
5.13	Void ratio versus consolidation pressure curve from Rowe cell and Oedometer test (a) typical $e-p'$ curve, (b) typical $e-\log p'$ curve	135
5.14	Strain versus logarithmic of time curves	139
5.15	Excess pore water pressure versus logarithmic of time curves	141
5.16	Void ratio versus consolidation pressure (logarithmic scale)	142
5.17	Void ratio versus consolidation pressure	142
5.18	The relationship between the void ratio and the coefficient of permeability in horizontal and vertical direction	146
5.19	Geometry and soil properties for the hypothetical problem	149

5.20	The curve of settlement with time based on Rowe consolidation test	152
5.21	Settlement versus logarithmic of time curve based on Robinson's method (2003)	155

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Area of sample
a	-	Primary compressibility (based on Rheological model)
AC	-	Ash content
a_v		Coefficient of axial compressibility, Coefficient of volume compressibility
B	-	Pore pressure parameter
b	-	Coefficient of secondary compressibility (based on Rheological model)
c'	-	Effective cohesion
c_u	-	Undrained shear strength
c_c	-	Compression index
c_r	-	Recompression index
c_v	-	Coefficient of rate of consolidation
c_{v0}	-	Coefficient of rate of consolidation
c_α	-	Rate of secondary compression; Slope, Coefficient of secondary compression
$c_{\alpha1}$	-	Coefficient of secondary compression
$c_{\alpha2}$	-	Coefficient of tertiary compression
D	-	Diameter of sample
D_0	-	Initial reading; Deformation
D_{100}	-	Deformation corresponds to $U = 100\%$
d_z	-	Elemental layer of thickness at depth z
e	-	Void ratio

e_o	-	Initial void ratio
e_{op}	-	Void ratio at the beginning of secondary compression
e_c	-	Corrected void ratio
e_m	-	Measured void ratio
e_1	-	Void ratio of the compressible soil layer corresponding to compression δ_1 at time t_1
e_2	-	Void ratio of the compressible soil layer corresponding to compression δ_2 at time t_2
FC	-	Fiber content
G_s	-	Specific gravity
H	-	Thickness of consolidation soil layers; Initial thickness
H_d	-	Length of drainage path for a particular pressure increment
h	-	Height from the top of the sample to the level of water in the header tank; Head loss due to the height of water in the burette
i	-	Hydraulic gradient
k	-	Coefficient of permeability
k_v	-	Vertical coefficient of permeability
k_{vo}	-	Vertical coefficient of permeability
k_h	-	Horizontal coefficient of permeability
L	-	Longest drainage path in consolidating soil layer; equal to half of H with top and bottom drainage; and equal to H with top drainage only
LIR	-	Load increment ratio
m	-	Secondary compression factor
m_v	-	Coefficient of volume compressibility
OC	-	Organic content
pH	-	Acidity
p'	-	Consolidation pressure

p_0	-	Initial pressure; Seating pressure
p_1	-	Inlet pressure
p_2	-	Outlet pressure
Q	-	Cumulative flow
q	-	Rate of flow
q_v	-	Rate of vertical flow
q_h	-	Rate of horizontal flow
r	-	Radius of sample
S_t	-	Sensitivity
S_c	-	Consolidation settlement
S_s	-	Secondary compression
T	-	Time
T_v	-	Vertical theoretical time factor, Time factor
T_c, T_{ro}, T_r	-	Theoretical time factors
T_{50}, T_{90}	-	Theoretical time factors
$t^{0.5}, t^{0.465}$	-	Time function
t	-	Time
t_0	-	Beginning of secondary compression
t_p	-	Beginning of secondary compression; End of primary consolidation; Time for primary consolidation; Time of the completion of primary consolidation
t_s	-	Time of secondary compression; End of secondary compression
t_f	-	Time for the secondary compression settlement
t_{100}	-	End of primary consolidation; Time of the completion of primary consolidation
U_h	-	Average degree of consolidation due to horizontal drainage

U_v	-	Average degree of consolidation due to vertical drainage
u	-	Excess pore water pressure at any point and any time
u_0	-	Initial excess pore water pressure
u_e	-	Excess pore water pressure
u_{avg}	-	Average degree of consolidation
μ_e	-	Excess pore water pressure
$\omega_0; \omega$	-	Natural water content
x	-	Difference in the dial reading
Δe	-	Change of void ratio from t_p to t_f
ΔH	-	Consolidation settlement
ΔV	-	Change in volume
Δp	-	Pressure difference
$\Delta \sigma'$	-	Additional stress, The change in the effective in e-p' curve
β	-	Degree of compression
ϵ_i	-	Instantaneous strain
ϵ_p	-	Primary strain
ϵ_s	-	Secondary strain; Measured compression strain during sampling
ϵ_t	-	Tertiary strain
γ	-	Unit weight
γ_w	-	Unit weight of water
σ	-	Effective stress
σ'_v	-	Effective vertical stress
σ'_o	-	Existing overburden pressure
σ'_p	-	In-situ effective stress
σ'_c	-	Pre-consolidation pressure

τ'_f	-	Shear strength
ϕ'	-	Effective internal friction, Friction angle
δ	-	Total compression
δ_p	-	Primary consolidation settlement
δ_s	-	Secondary compression
Z	-	Geometry factor, Depth
\dagger	-	Drain ratio 1/20

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Sampling procedure	170
B	Index tests data	174
C	Soil fabric	178
D	Shear strength	183
E	Initial permeability test	186
F	Standard consolidation tests	191
G	System calibration for consolidation test on Rowe cell	196
H	Large strain consolidation and permeability (Rowe cell)	203

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Peat has been identified as one of the major groups of soils found in Malaysia. Three million hectares or 8 % of the area is covered with peat (Huat, 2004). Some 6300 Hectares of the peat-land is found in Pontian, Batu Pahat and Muar, West Johore area. On the west coast of Malaysian peninsular, the peat deposits are formed in depressions consisting predominantly of marine clay deposits or a mixture of marine and river deposits especially in areas along river courses. There are two types of peat deposit, the shallow deposit usually less than 3 m thick while the thickness of deep peat deposit in Malaysia exceeds 5 m. The underlying materials is usually consists of marine clay (Muttalib et al., 1991).

Recently, the utilization of peat-land in Malaysia is quite low although construction on marginal land such as peat has become increasingly necessary for economic reasons. Engineers are reluctant to construct on peat because of difficulty to access the site and other problems related to unique characteristics of peat. Therefore, not much research has been focused on the behavior of peat and the development of soil improvement method for construction on peat soil area.

Replacing the peat with good quality soil is still a common practice when construction has to take place on peat deposit even though most probably this effort will lead to uneconomic design. Approaches have been developed to address the problems associated with construction over peat deposits (Lea and Brawer, 1963; Berry, 1983; Hansbo, 1991). Alternative construction and stabilization methods such as surface reinforcement, preloading, chemical stabilization, sand or stone column, pre-fabricated vertical drains, and the use of piles were discussed in literatures (Noto, 1991; Hartlen and Wolsky, 1996; Huat, 2004, and others). The selection of the most appropriate method should be based on the examination of the index and engineering characteristics of the soil. The knowledge on the shear strength and compression behavior is essential as it enables designers to understand the response of the soil to load and to suggest proper engineering solutions to overcome the problem.

There are two types of peat: amorphous peat and fibrous peat (ASTM D4427). The compressibility behavior of the amorphous peat is known to be similar with clay soil which can be evaluated based on Terzaghi's theory of consolidation. Fibrous peat is peat with high organic and fiber content with low degree of humification. The behavior of fibrous peat is different from mineral soil because of different phase properties and microstructure (Edil, 2003), thus Terzaghi's theory of consolidation cannot be applied to predict the compression behavior of fibrous peat.

The compression behavior of fibrous peat consists of two phases i.e.: primary consolidation and secondary compression. The primary consolidation of fibrous peat is much larger than that of other soils due to high initial water content, while the secondary compression occurs due to not only compression of solid particles, but also the plastic yielding (buckling, bending, and squeezing) of the particles (Samson and La Rochelle, 1972). The magnitude of secondary compression takes more significant part of the compression of peat and plays an important role in determining the total settlement of the peat because the secondary compression occurs during the design life of a structure after the rapid primary consolidation. Tertiary compression was reported by several researchers (e.g. Candler and Chartres, 1988; Fox et al., 1992; Mitchell, 1993), but other researchers (e.g. Edil and Dhowian, 1979; Hansbo, 1991; Fox and Edil, 1994) argued that this part of compression can be neglected because it generally started after the design life of structure.

Fiber orientation is identified as a dominant factor in the structure of fibrous peat. The application of consolidation pressure may induce a rearrangement of fiber orientation and drastically reduces the void, causing a significant reduction in the vertical permeability. Moreover, fiber content appears to be a major compositional factor in determining the way in which peat soils behave (Dhowian and Edil, 1980). The higher the fiber content, the more the peat will differ from an inorganic soil in its behavior. In order to develop a visual appreciation of the fiber content and orientation, the microstructure of the peat was examined under a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

Many researchers (Berry and Poskitt, 1972; Ajlouni, 2000; Robinson, 2003) have examined fibrous peat from different parts of the world and their findings are quite different from one and another due to different content of peat soils. The properties of peat soils such as natural water content, acidity, degree of humification, fiber content, shear strength, and compressibility is affected by the formation of peat deposit. This indicates that in term of content, fibrous peat is different from one location to another location and detailed soil investigations need to be conducted for fibrous peat at a particular site where a building is intended to be constructed. The difference becomes particularly apparent especially under low vertical stresses or shallow depth. Thus assessment on the response of peat deposit to loading should be made before any construction has to take place at a particular site.

Most of the methods to predict compressibility characteristics of soil are developed based on the results of laboratory consolidation test. Several test methods have been used to study the compressibility of different type of soil including peat. The oldest and the most popular one is the conventional Oedometer test. This test is still used as a standard consolidation test method in Malaysia as well as in many parts of the world. More advanced testing methods have also been developed such as for example the Rowe cell or large strain consolidometer, and constant rate of strain (CRS) test. Among these testing methods, Rowe cell has the capability of testing large diameter sample to provide more reliable data for settlement analysis (Head, 1986).

1.2 Problem Statement

The compressibility behavior of fibrous peat is different from that of clay soil. The behavior is controlled by several factors including the initial water content, fiber arrangement, and fiber content. The condition in which the fibrous peat is deposited is also an important factor to be considered.

The large compressibility of peat results in a large deformations and strains. Accordingly, equipment capable of measuring large strain consolidometer is needed to study the compressibility characteristics of peat. Several consolidation parameters of the peat under study will be determined. The results are useful for identification of the compressibility characteristics and predicting the compression behavior of fibrous peat.

1.3 Objectives

Based on the uniqueness of the properties of fibrous peat and the importance of compressibility of the peat in the evaluation of its response to loading, the following objectives were set forth:

1. To identify the type and engineering properties of peat found in Kampung Bahru, Pontian, West Johore.
2. To study the compressibility characteristics of the fibrous peat based on the results of consolidation test using large strain consolidometer (Rowe Cell).
3. To investigate the suitable method for predicting compression behavior of fibrous peat and estimating settlement based on the time-compression curve derived from the test.

1.4 Scopes

The study focuses on the compressibility characteristics of peat soil found in Kampung Bahru, Pontian, West Johore. Therefore, the interpretation of the results of the study was limited as indicated in the followings:

1. Peat soil found in Kampung Bahru, Pontian, West Johore.
2. Samples were obtained using block sampling method (procedure outlined in Appendix A).
3. Identification of index properties of soil includes: water content, specific gravity, sieve analysis, and acidity.
4. Classification of peat was made based on degree of humification (von Post) as well as the fiber and organic content.
5. Evaluation of shear strength of the peat was made by vane shear (field) and shear box tests (laboratory).
6. Evaluation of permeability based on constant head permeability test.
7. The use of the standard consolidation test (Oedometer) data to determine the range of pressure and estimate the length of primary consolidation to be applied in large strain consolidation test (Rowe Cell).
8. Evaluation of compressibility characteristics was made based on the results of large strain consolidation test (Rowe Cell)
9. Comparison of the data obtained from large strain consolidation test with those obtained from the standard consolidation test.
10. Evaluation of the effect of fabric on the compressibility characteristics based on Scanning Electron Micrograph (SEM) and consolidation test done with horizontal drainage.
11. Evaluation of the settlement was made on a hypothetical problem.

1.5 Significance of Study

This research will enrich the knowledge on the characteristics of peat soil and the results will be used in the development of suitable soil improvement for fibrous peat in Kampung Bahru, Pontian, West Johore as foundation as well as construction material.

1.6 Thesis Structure

The thesis is composed of six chapters. Chapter 1 presents general information regarding background, problem statement, objectives, scope, and significance of the study, and thesis structure. Chapter 2 provides the background of the study on different topics related to the research. This chapter outlines information on the general characteristics of fibrous peat, the theory of consolidation, the compressibility of fibrous peat, and the theories and models developed by researchers for the study of the compressibility of peat. Chapter 2 also covers review on the standard consolidation test as well as the large strain consolidation test on Rowe cell.

Chapter 3 provides the overall experimental program including laboratory tests and data analysis. The experimental program includes sampling of peat and laboratory soil tests performed to classify the soil and to determine the engineering properties of peats. This chapter also discuss the detail set up and procedures of large strain consolidation test on Rowe cell and analysis of the data obtained from the test.

Chapter 4 presents general characteristics of the peat derived from the results of preliminary test. These include soil identification, soil classification, fiber content, shear strength, initial permeability, and compressibility data obtained from the standard consolidation test on Oedometer cell.

Chapter 5 presents the results obtained from large strain consolidation test on Rowe cell. Analysis of the test data for determining the compressibility parameters are presented and discussed in detail in this chapter. Comparisons of the results of large strain consolidation test with data obtained from the standard consolidation test on Oedometer cell are also presented. Furthermore, the compression behavior obtained from Rowe consolidation test were compared to published data in terms of time-compression curve, consolidation curve, and the range of compressibility parameters. Effect of fiber on the compressibility of the soil is also highlighted in this chapter. Finally, the applications of consolidation parameters from large strain consolidation test for settlement analysis based on hypothetical problem are also discussed in Chapter 5.

Chapter 6 presents the summary and conclusions of major findings of this research and recommendation for future work on the topic related to the present study.

REFERENCES

- Adams, J. (1965). The Engineering Behavior of a Canadian Muskeg. *Proc., 6th Int. Conf. Soil and Found. Engrg.* Montreal, Canada, 1: 3-7.
- ASTM Annual Book (1985). Standard Classification of Peat Samples by Laboratory Testing (D 4427), ASTM, 4: 883-884.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (1994). *Annual Book of ASTM Standard*. Vol. 04.08 and 04.09.
- Ajlouni, M. A. (2000). *Geotechnical Properties of Peat and Related Engineering Problems*. Thesis. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Al-Raziqi, A. A., Huat, B. B. K. and Munzir, H. A. (2003). Potential Usage of Hyperbolic Method for Prediction of Organics Soil Settlement. In *Proceeding of 2nd International Conferences on Advances in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology*, ed. Huat et al., Putrajaya Malaysia, 439-445.
- Barden, L. (1965). Consolidation of Clay with non-linear Viscosity. *Geotechnique*, London, England, 15(4): 345-362.
- Barden, L. (1968). Primary and Secondary Consolidation of Clay and Peat. *Geotechnique*, London, England, 18(1): 1-24.
- Berry, P. L. and Poskitt, T. J. (1972). The Consolidation of Peat. *Geotechnique*, London, England, 22(1): 27-52.
- Berry, P. L. and Vickers, B. (1975). Consolidation of Fibrous Peat. *J. Geotech. Engrg.*, ASCE, 101(8): 741-753.
- British Standards Institution (1981). *Methods of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes*. London, BS 1377.
- Berry, P. L. (1983). Application of Consolidation Theory for Peat to the Design of a Reclamation Scheme by Preloading. *Q. J. Eng. Geol.*, London, 16(9): 103-112.
- Bardet, Jean-Pierre (1997). *Experimental Soil Mechanics*. Civil Engineering Department, University of Southern California, Los Angeles.

- Cassagrande, A. (1936). The Determination of the Pre-Consolidation Load and its Practical Significance. *Proc., 1st Int. Conf. On Soil Mech.* Cambridge, Mass. 3:60-64.
- Colley, B. E. (1950). Construction of Highways Over Peat and Muck Areas. *American Highway*, 29(1): 3-7.
- Cassagrande, L. (1966). Construction of Embankments Across Peaty Soils. *J. Boston Soc. Civil Engineers.*, BSCE, 53(3):272-317.
- Chynoweth, D. P. (1983). A Novel Process for Biogasification of Peat. *Proc. Int. Symp. On Peat Utilization*, Bemidji, Minnesota, 159-171.
- Candler, C. J. and Chartres, F. R. D. (1988). Settlement and Analysis of Three Trial Embankments on Soft Peaty Ground, *Proc. 2nd Baltic Conf. On Soil Mech. and Fnd. Engrg.*, Tallinn, USSR, 1:268-272.
- Cameron, C. C., Esterle, J. S. and Palmer, C. A. (1989). The Geology, Botany and Chemistry of Selected Peat-Forming Environments from Temperate and Tropical Latitudes, *Int. J. Coal Geology*, (12): 105-156.
- Colleseli, F., Cortellazzo, G. and Cola, S. (2000). Laboratory Testing of Italian Peaty Soils, *Geotechnics of High Water Contents Materials*, ASTM STP1374, ed. Edil and Fox, 226-242.
- Dhowian, A.W. and Edil, T. B. (1980). Consolidation Behavior of Peats. *Geotech. Testing J.*, 3(3): 105-114.
- den Hann, E. J. (1994). Vertical Compression of Soils. Ph.D. Thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands.
- den Hann, E. J. (1996). An Investigation of Some Physical Properties of Peat. *Geotechnique*, London, England, 46(1): 1-16.
- Davis, J. H. (1997). The Peat Deposits of Florida their Occurrence, Development and Uses. *Florida Geological Survey. Geological Bulletin*, 3.
- Edil, T. B. and Dhowian, A. W. (1979). Analysis of Long-Term Compression of Peats. *Geotechnical Engineering*. 10.
- Edil, T. B. and Dhowian, A. W. (1981). At-rest Lateral Pressure of Peat Soils. *Conf. on Sedimentation and Consolidation Model*, ASCE, San Fransisco, 411-424.
- Edil, T. B. and Mochtar, N. E. (1984). Prediction of Peat Settlement. *Proc. Sedimentation Consolidation Models Symp. Prediction and Validation*, ASCE, San Fransisco. California, 411-424.

- Edil, T. B. (2001). Site Characterization in Peat and Organic Soils. In *Proceeding of the International Conference on In-situ Measurement of Soil Properties and Case Histories*, 49-59, Bali, Indonesia.
- Edil, T. B. (2003). Recent Advances in Geotechnical Characterization and Construction Over Peats and Organic Soils. *Putrajaya (Malaysia): 2nd International Conferences in Soft Soil Engineering and Technology*.
- Fox, P. J., Edil, T. B. and Lan, L. T. (1992). c_α/c_c Concept applied to Compression of Peat. *J. Geotech. Engrg.*, ASCE, 118(8): 1256-1263.
- Fox, P. J. and Edil, T. B. (1994). Temperature-Induced One Dimensional Creep of Peat. *Proc., International Workshop on Advances in Understanding and Modeling the Mechanical Behavior of Peat, Delft, Netherlands*, 27-34.
- Fox, P. J. and Edil, T. B. (1996). Effect of Stress and Temperature on Secondary Compression of Peat. *Geotech. J.* 33: 405-415.
- Fox, P. J. (2003). Consolidation and Settlement Analysis. *The Civil Engineering Handbook Second Edition*. Ed. Chen, W.F. and Liew, J.Y.R. Washington, D.C.
- Gibson, R. E. and Lo, K. Y. (1961). A Theory of Consolidation for Soils Exhibiting Secondary Compression, *Acta Polytech, Scandinavia*, v. 10, 296.
- GDS Instruments Ltd. (2003). *GDSLAB v2 Handbook*. United Kingdom. v. 4.
- Hanrahan, E. T. (1954). An Investigation of Some Physical Properties of Peat. *Geotechnique*, London, England, 4(2): 108-123.
- Hillis, C. F. and Browner, C. O. (1961). The Compressibility of Peat with References to Major Highway Construction in British Columbia. *Proceeding. Muskeg Res. Conf., NRC, ACSSM Tech. Memo.* 71:204-227.
- Head, K. H. (1981). *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing*, Volume 1, 2, and 3. Pentech Press, London.
- Holtz, R. D. and Kovacs, W. D. (1981). *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Head, K. H. (1982). *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing*, Volume 2: Permeability, Shear Strength and Compressibility Tests. London: Pentech Press Limited.
- Head, K. H. (1986). *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing*, Volume 3: Effective Stress Tests. London: Pentech Press Limited.
- Hobbs, N. B. (1986). Mire Morphology and the Properties and Behavior of Some British and Foreign Peats. *Q. J. Eng. Geol.*, London, 19(1): 7-80.

- Hansbo, S. (1991). Full-scale Investigations of the Effect of Vertical Drains on the Consolidation of a Peat Deposit Overlying Clay. *De Mello Volume*, Published by Editoria Edgard Bldcher LTDA, Caixa Postal 5450, 01051 SAo Paolo-sp Brasil.
- Hartlen, J. and Wolski, J. (1996). *Embankments on Organic Soils*. Developemnet in Geotechnical Engineering, Elsevier. 425.
- Huat, B. B. K. (2004). *Organic and Peat Soil Engineering*. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press.
- Jones, D. B., Beasley, D. H. and Pollock, D. J. (1986). Ground Treatment by Surcharging on Deposits of Soft Clays and Peat. *Proc. Conf. on Building on Marginal and derelict land, L. C. E., Glasgow*, 679-695.
- Keene, P. and Zawodniak, C. D. (1968). Embankment Construction on Peat Utilizing Hydraulic Fill. *Proc., Advances in Peatlands Engineering*, Ottawa, Canada, 45-50.
- Kogure, K. and Ohira, Y. (1977). Statistical Forecasting of Compressibility of Peaty Ground. *Can. Geotech. J.*, Ottawa, Canada, 14(4): 562-570.
- Kogure, K., Yomuguchi, H., Ohira, Y. and Ishioroshi, H. (1986). Physical and Engineering Properties of Peat Ground. *Proceeding Advances in Peatland Engineering*. Ottawa, Canada. 95-100.
- Kogure, Yomuguchi and Shogaki. (1993). Physical and Pore Properties of Fibrous Peat Deposit. *Proceeding of the 11th South East Asian Geotechnical Conferences*. Singapore. 135-139.
- Lea, F. M. (1956). In the Chemistry of Cement and Concrete, ed. Lea and Desch, p.637. London : Edwar Arnold Ltd.
- Lewis, W. A. (1956). The Settlement of the Approach Embankments to a New Road Bridge at Lockford, West Suffolk. *Geotechnique*, London, England, 6(3): 106-114.
- Leonards, G. A. and Girault, P. (1961). A Study of the One-dimensional Consolidation Test. *Proceeding 9th ICSMFE*, Paris, 1:116-130.
- Lea, N. D. and Browner, C. O. (1963). Highway Design and Construction Over Peat Deposits in the Lower British Colombia. *Highway Research Record*, (7): 1-32.
- Levesqe, M., Jacquin, F. and Polo, A. (1980). Comparative Bodegradability of Shagnum and Sedge Peat from France. *Proc., 6th Int. Peat Congress*, Duluth, Minnesota, 584-590.

- Lishtvan, I. I. (1981). Physicochemical Fundamentals of Chemical Technology of Peat. *Proc., Int. Peat Symp.*, Bemidji, Minnesota (USA), 321-334.
- Landva, A. O. and La Rochelle, P. (1983). Compressibility and Shear Characteristics of Radforth Peats. *Testing of Peat and Organic Soils, ASTV STP 820*. 157-191.
- Lefebvre, G. K., Langlois, P., Lupien, C. and Lavalde, J. G. (1984). Laboratory Testing and in-situ Behavior of Peat as Embankment Foundation. *Can. Geotech. I.*, Ottawa, Canada, 21(2): 101-108.
- Lan, L. T. (1992). A Model for One Dimensional Compression of Peat. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Lechowicz, Z., Szymanski, A. and Baranski, T. (1996). Laboratory Investigation. *Proc. Embankments on Organic Soils, Delft*, Netherlands, 167-179.
- Macfarlane, I. C. (1969). Engineering Characteristics of Peat. *Muskeg Engineering Handbook. Proc.*, Ottawa, Canada, 3-30.
- Muskeg Engineering Handbook. (1969). University of Toronto Press.
- McGown, A., Barden, L., Lee, S. H. and Wilby, P. (1974). Sample Disturbance in Soft Alluvial Clyde Estuary Clay. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 11:651.
- Mesri, G. and Rokhsar, A. (1974). Theory of Consolidation for Clays. *J. of Geotech. Engrg.*, ASCE, 100(8): 889-904.
- Mesri, G. and Godlewski, P. M. (1977). Time and Stress Compressibility Interrelationship. *J. of Geotech. Engrg.*, ASCE, 105 (1), 106-113.
- Mesri, G. and Choi, Y. K. (1985a). Settlement Analysis of Embankments on Soft Clays. *J. of Geotech. Engrg.*, ASCE, 111 (4): 441-464.
- Mesri, G. and Choi, Y. K. (1985b). The Uniqueness of the end-of-primary (EOP) Void Ratio-Effective Stress Relationship. *Proc., 11th Int. Conf. on Soil Mech. and Found. Engrg.*, San Francisco, 2: 587-590.
- Mesri, G. and Lo, D. O. (1991). Field performance of Prefabricated Vertical Drains. *Proc., Int. Conf. on Geotech. Eng. for Coastal Development-Theory to practice*, Yokohama, 1: 231-236.
- Muttalib, A. A., Lim, J. S., Wong, M. H. and Koonvai, L. (1991). Characterization, Distribution, and Utilization of Peat in Malaysia, In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Tropical Peatland*, ed. Aminuddid, Kuching, Sarawak. 7-16.
- Mitchell, J. K. (1993). *Fundamental of Soil Behavior*, 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

- Mesri, G., Stark, T. D. and Chen, C. S. (1994). c_c/c_α Concept Applied to Compression of Peat. Discussion, *J. of Geotech. Engrg.*, ASCE, 118(8): 764-766.
- Molenkamp, F. (1994). Investigation of Requirements for Plane Strain Elements Tests on Peat. In *Advances in Understanding and Modeling the Mechanical Behavior of Peat*, ed. den Haan et al. Balkema.
- Mesri, G., Stark, T. D., Ajlouni, M. A. and Chen, C. S. (1997). Secondary Compression of Peat with or without Surcharging. *J. Geotech. Geoev. Engr.* 123(5): 411-421.
- Mochtar, Noor Endah. (1997). Perbedaan Perilaku Teknis Tanah Lempung dan Tanah Gambut (Peat Soil), *Jurnal Geoteknik*, Himpunan Ahli Teknik Tanah Indonesia, 3(1): 16-34.
- NG, S. Y. and Eischens, G.R. (1983). Repeated Short-Term Consolidation of Peats. *Testing of Peat and Organic Soils, ASTM STP 820*, 192-206.
- Nakayama, M., Yamaguchi, H. and Kougra, K. (1990). Change in Pore Size Distribution of Fibrous Peat Under Various One-Dimensional Consolidation Conditions. *Memories on Defense Academy*. 30(1):1-27.
- Noto, Shigeyuki. (1991). *Peat Engineering Handbook*. Civil Engineering Research.
- Nurly Gofar and Yulindasari Sutejo. (2005). Engineering Properties of Fibrous Peat, *Proc. Seminar Penyelidikan Kej. Awam (SEPKA)*, Johor Bahru. 119-129.
- Nurly Gofar and Khairul Anuar Kassim (2005). *Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering Part 1*. University Technology Malaysia, Prentice Hall. 95-128
- Olson. R. E. and Mesri, G. (1970). Mechanisms Controlling Compressibility of Clays. *J. of Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, ASCE*, 96 (SM6), 1863-1878. Institute, Hokkaido development Agency, Prime Minister's Office, Japan.
- Rowe, P. W. and Barden, L. (1966). A New Consolidation Cell. *Geotechnique*. 16: 162-169.
- Rowe, P. W. (1968). The Influence of Geological Features of Clay Deposits on the Design and Performance of Sand Drains. *Proc. I. C. E. Supplementary Paper*. 7058S.
- Rowe, P. W. (1972). The Relevance of Soil Fabric to Site Investigation Practice. Twelfth Rankine Lecture. *Geotechnique*. 22:2:195.
- Raymond, G. P. and Wahls, H. E. (1976). Estimating One-Dimensional Consolidation, Including Secondary Compression of Clay Loaded from

- Overconsolidated to Normally Consolidated State. *Special Report 163*, Transportation Research Board, 17-23.
- Robinson, R. G. (1997). Determination of Radial Coefficient of Consolidation by the Inflection Point Method, *Geotechnique*, 47(5): 1079-1081.
- Robinson, R. G. (1999). Consolidation Analysis with Pore Pressure Measurements. *Geotechnique*. 49(1): 127-132.
- Robinson, R. G. (2003). A Study on the Beginning of Secondary Compression of Soils. *Journal of Testing and Evaluation*. 31(5): 1-10.
- Soper, E. K. and Obson, C. C. (1922). The Occurrence and uses of Peat in the United States, *U. S.G.S. Bulletin*. 728: 1-207.
- Samson, L. and La Rochelle, P. (1972). Design and Performance of an Expressway Constructed Over Peat by Preloading. *Can. Geotech. J.*, Ottawa, Canada, 9: 447-466.
- Schelkoph, G. M., Hasset, D. J. and Weber, B. J. (1983). A Comparative Study of Preparation and Analytical Methods for Peat. *Testing of Peat and Organic Soils*, ASTM STP 820, 99-110.
- Sridharan, A. and Prakash, K. (1998). Secondary Compression Factor. *Geotechnical Engineering*. 131(2): 96-103.
- Termaat, R. and Topolnicki, M. (1994). Biaxial Tests with Natural and Artificial Peat. *Proc., International Workshop on Advances in Understanding and Modeling the Mechanical Behavior of Peat, Delft, Netherlands*, 241-251.
- von Post, L. (1922). Sveriges Geologiska Undersoknings Torvinventering Och Nagre av Dess Hittills Vunna Resultat, Sr. Mosskulturfor. *Tidskr* 1: 1-27.
- Weber, W. G. (1969). Performance of Embankments Constructed Over Peat. *J. Soil Afech. Found Div.*, ASCE, 95(1): 53-76.
- Wong Leong Sing. (2005). *Laboratory Evaluation of Horizontal Coefficient of Consolidation c_h of Fibrous Peat Soil*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master Thesis.
- Yamaguchi, H., Ohira, Y., Kogure, K. and Mori, S. (1985a). Deformation and Strength Properties of Peat. *Proc., 11th Int. Conf. on Soil Mech. and Found Engrg.*, San Francisco, 2: 2461-2464.
- Yamaguchi, H., Ohira, Y., Kogure, K. and Mori, S. (1985b). Undrained Shear Characteristics of Normally Consolidated Peat Under Triaxial Compression and

Extension Conditions. *Japanese Society of Soil Mech. and Found. Engrg.*, 25(3): 1-18.

Yamaguchi, H., Yamauchi, K. and Kawano, K. (1987). Simple Shear Properties of Peat. *Proc. 6th. Int. Symp. Geotech. Engrg. Soft Soils*, Ciudad, Mexico, 163-170.

Yamaguchi, H. (1990). Physicochemical and Mechanical Properties of Peats and Peaty Ground. *Proc. 6th. Int. Congress Int. Assoc. Eng. Geol.*, Balkema, Rotterdam, 521-526.