ABSTRAK

Sektor pembinaan merupakan bahagian yang penting dalam ekonomi sesebuah negara tetapi ia secara umum dikategorikan sebagai industri yang bahaya. Oleh sebab perhatian yang kurang kepada kecederaan di kawasan tapak bina, statistic kemalangan masih berada di tahap yang membimbangkan. Pekerja pembinaan adalah dua kalin ganda terlibat dalam kemalangan semasa jerja berbanding dengan industri yang lain. Profesional pembinaan dan pekerja yang terlibat dalam pembinaan mempunyai kewajipan dari segi professional atau undang-undang. Objektif kajian ini ialah menentukan posisi dari segi undang-undang bagi pihak-pihak dalam kontrak pembinaan berkaitan dengan liability untuk keselamatan pekerja di tapak bina. Kajian ini akan menjawab semua persoalan tentang keraguan liability setiap pihak berkaitan dengan keselamatan di tapak bina. Pelbagai pihak terlibat dalam pembinaan seperti kontrator, majikan, pereka dan perkerja sendiri. Setiap peringkat pembinaan melibatkan bahaya yang berbagai. Setiap pihak pembinaan professional mempunyai kewajipan dan tanggungjawab dari segi undang-undang dan professional untuk memastikan segala proses pembinaan dalam keadaan yang selamat dan teratur. Mengikut kajian, pihak-pihak yang terlibat dalam pembinaan adalah bertanggungjawab dalam hubungan majikan dan pekerja, kecuaian, kecuaian sumbangan, liability pemilikan dan liabiliti permerhatian.
The construction sector is an important part of the economy in most countries, yet is generally considered to be dangerous, dirty, hard and unreliable. In spite of the low attention often given to construction sites injuries in many countries, the statistics continue to be alarming. Construction workers are two to three times more likely to die on the job than workers in other industries while the risk of serious injury is almost three times higher. The construction professionals and the workers concerned with the construction process have both professional and legal duties to take care, not only of their own health and safety at work, but the health and safety of others who might be put at risk by their acts and omissions. This research aims to determine the legal position of parties in construction contract with respect to their liabilities for safety of construction worker during construction. This study will answer most of the uncertain issues that are arisen on the legal liabilities for the construction site safety of the workers. Various party involve in construction such as contractors, employers, designers, and workers himself would eager to know their liability according to construction site safety of the workers. Murdoch and Hughes (1998) had separated the parties into five groups; builders, designers, regulators, purchasers and users of buildings. Each stage in the life of a building or civil engineering structure presents hazards, some of which are common to all work in construction or unique to the particular type of project. All civil engineers and other construction professionals concerned with the construction process have both professional and legal duties to take care, not only of their own health and safety at work, but the health and safety of others who might be put at risk by their acts or omissions. Basically from the research, the construction parties will be liable for master and servant relationship, negligence, contributory negligence, occupier liability, vicarious liability and supervision liabiliti.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The construction sector is an important part of the economy in most countries, yet is generally considered to be dangerous, dirty, hard and unreliable\(^1\). In spite of the low attention often given to construction sites injuries in many countries, the statistics continue to be alarming.

For instance, fatal accidental injury rates in the United Kingdom and Japan are reported to be four times higher in the construction industry when compared to the manufacturing industry\(^2\). According to the National Safety Council, there are an estimated 2,200 deaths and 220,000 disabling injuries each year\(^3\). In Malaysia, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) chairman Tan Sri Lee Lam Thye said the country

---

recorded 6.7 accidents per 1,000 workers in 2005 while the average in developed nations stood at only three to four accidents per 1,000 workers.

Construction workers are two to three times more likely to die on the job than workers in other industries while the risk of serious injury is almost three times higher\(^4\). The industry has not been unresponsive to this state of affairs, recognizing that consistent excellence in worker safety requires a concerted effort on the part of everyone engaged in construction related activities\(^5\). The facts show that construction is indeed a dangerous industry. The tragic incidents showed that the construction industry only paid lip service to safety\(^6\). Department of Occupational Health and Safety (DOSH) director-general Abu Bakar Che Man said that at least 30% of construction sites have safety ratings of unsatisfactory or lower\(^7\).

A construction site normally considered as a dangerous place. A large number of people die in them every year. Many site injuries result from people falling from structures like roofs and scaffolds, or being hit by falling objects. Many others are caused by the misuse of mechanical plant and site transport, including hoists\(^8\). There is nearly always keen competition for new contracts and site personnel are often under pressure to work to tight time and cost constraints. It is hardly surprising that safety is often neglected.

The attitudes and behaviour of construction workers and managers towards safety is undoubtedly a major factor in the poor accident record of the industry. Construction workers accept that their work is demanding and risky, although they usually underestimate the risk. Group norms may cause individuals to ignore safety measures for fear of appearing cowardly to their workmates\(^9\). Many managers and workers resent outside pressures on them to comply with safety regulations and sometimes make collusive arrangements to avoid them.

\(^6\) The Star Press. Many not Committed to Safety. 3 April 2005
\(^7\) Bernama Press. Workplace Accidents Must Be Reduced Further. 3 January 2006
\(^8\) Frayer, B., 1995, the Practise of Construction Management (London: Collins)
Construction safety is always a grave concern of both practitioners and researchers. A number of causes influencing safety performance in the construction industry have been identified including worker’ attitudes\textsuperscript{10}, safety policy, project coordination, economic pressure\textsuperscript{11}, management training and safety culture.

Due to the construction is considered as one of the most hazardous industries in the world\textsuperscript{12}. The equal concern to those who are involved in construction is the matter of legal liability, should damage or destruction occur as a result of construction work. No one connected with the industry wants to see coworkers killed or injured on the job. Everyone working on the job must make a personal commitment to perform in a manner that does not endanger the lives and property of others. This is especially true for the various management groups involved in the construction project because they are responsible for managing the safety environment\textsuperscript{13}.

The construction professionals and the workers concerned with the construction process have both professional and legal duties to take care, not only of their own health and safety at work, but the health and safety of others who might be put at risk by their acts and omissions. Professional and legal duties should be compatible. As a general principle, the professional duty incorporates the legal duty. Construction parties therefore need to be aware of the existence and scope of the legal duties, and the standards of care required, to ensure that they, and their employers, discharge the duties and thereby avoid civil and criminal liability for breach of the duty. Construction parties need to keep up to date with relevant legislation and regulations and interpretations of legislation and regulations by the courts, and to be aware of the impact of changes.

\textsuperscript{10} Hinze, J., 1981, Human Aspects of Construction Safety. Journal of the Construction Division, ASCE, 107, pp. 61-72
\textsuperscript{11} Hinze, J., Raboud, P., 1988, Safety on large building construction projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, ASCE, 114, pp. 286-293
Construction parties should learn and share any lessons learnt from accidents that do occur. These additional concerns could be a source of conflict who health and safety law. Therefore the aim of this research is to identify the legal issues that relating to the liabilities of various party in construction sites regarding to the construction site safety.

Measures taken to prevent occupational injuries and improve safety performance have been extensively explored\(^\text{14}\). However, there are not many researches about the liabilities of each construction player regarding to the construction safety.

1.2 Problem statement

A safety culture was lacking in the industry though its players were aware of the laws and regulations under the Occupational Safety and Health Act\(^\text{15}\). Malaysia has very good laws on safety policies, but lack enforcement from the authorities\(^\text{16}\). There was also a need to intensify enforcement of the law pertaining to occupational safety and health and to take stern action against errant employers who flout the law. The divergent objectives of the major contracting parties, namely the client and constructor are within respect to the traditional project parameters of time, cost and quality. Safety is one of the first areas to be sacrificed in the effort to bring about equilibrium in these divergent objectives.

A general trend in the courts to increasing frequency of lawsuits and increasingly large jury awards has also been causing problems for projects. The tentacles of liability have been reaching out to ensnarl parties with only loose connections to the administration of a


\(^{15}\) Sin Chew Jit Poh Press. Site Safety. 19 December 2005

project. Therefore it is important that managers of construction organizations stay abreast of
trends in liability suits, including those that at present appear frivolous, and take steps to
minimize liability expense on projects\textsuperscript{17}.

The contractor of the condominium-cum-office project at Plaza Damas along Jalan
Sri Hartamas will be charged for non-compliance of the Occupational Safety and Health Act
(OSHA) that resulted in the death of corporate figure Dr Liew Boon-Horng\textsuperscript{18}. When
accidents occurred at construction sites, contractors will be the one that general people will
think he is the person to be liable.

However, a contractor’s responsibility for safety on a construction job does not,
however, reduce or remove from the designer and his agents and consultants any
responsibility for the design of the work and for the safety of persons and property as it
relates to the design of the work\textsuperscript{19}. Responsibility for protection has become increasingly
onerous in recent years, and it is possible that legal responsibility may extend to
specification and use of hazardous materials\textsuperscript{20}.

All the parties involve in the construction process is responsible for the
construction’s safety. All parties maybe liable to the construction accidents of the workers
which will be depend on certain circumstances.

The most recent significant ruling on design professionals' job site safety
responsibilities comes from New Jersey, in Carvalho v. Toll Brothers & Developers\textsuperscript{21}. It is
a United Cases but the decision from this case has cause the attention to the construction
parties worldwide. The state's Supreme Court ruled that an engineer has a legal duty to
exercise reasonable care for the safety of workers on a construction site when the engineer

\textsuperscript{17} Donald. S. 1984. Professional Construction Management. United States. pp. 374
\textsuperscript{18} The Star Press. Many not Committed to Safety. 3 April 2005
Malaysia
\textsuperscript{21} 143 N.J. 56,675 A.2d 209 (1996)
has a contractual responsibility for the work's progress but not for the safety conditions, but becomes aware of site conditions that create a risk of injury.

A site supervisor will be charged in the Sessions Court over the death of well-known corporate consultant Dr Liew Boon-Horng. The supervisor, who was arrested and released on bond yesterday, will also face a second or alternative charge of causing death by negligence. If found guilty on the first charge, he faces a jail term of up to 20 years; and on the second charge, up to two years. It again showed that the other parties of the construction industry will be liable for the negligence purpose.

The equal concern to those who are involved in construction is the matter of legal liability, should damage or destruction occur as a result of construction work. No one connected with the industry wants to see coworkers killed or injured on the job. Everyone working on the job must make a personal commitment to perform in a manner that does not endanger the lives and property of others.

1.3 Research objective:

1. To determine the legal position of parties in construction contract with respect to their liabilities for safety of construction worker during construction.

---

22 The Star Press. Supervisor to be charged. 5 April 2006
1.4 Scope of study:

1. Scope of construction site safety is limited to the liabilities of employers, main contractors, subcontractors, designers and workers.
2. Workers here referred to the general labour and labour for subcontractors only.
3. Court cases referred in study include Malaysia, Singapore, and English cases.
4. Court cases analysed are worker’s accident cases caused by human. The other safety issues such as incident caused by force majeure and diseases will not be discussed.
5. There is no limit to the cases chosen in terms of time frame, as long as it has never been overruled by higher court and still establishing a good law.

1.5 Significance of the study:

This study will answer most of the uncertain issues that are arisen on the legal liabilities for the construction site safety of the workers. Various party involve in construction such as contractors, employers, designers, and workers himself would eager to know their liability according to construction site safety of the workers. It will become guidance for construction players when undergoing a construction project. With the legal basis provided by this study, he construction players will know what to do and what defense that they could apply if any accidents may be happen to their workers. This study aims to increase the awareness of the construction parties about the importance of the construction site safety which has become hot topic in current construction industry.
1.6 Previous research

There are some previous researches that are studying about the topic regarding construction site safety. But the issues regarding liability of construction parties in the construction site safety have never been studied. The topics of previous researches are as below:

1. Effectiveness of site safety relation act
   a. Author: Mohd. Zakim Md., Tahir
   b. Year: 1997
   c. Objective: Determine the effectiveness of the act related to construction safety

2. Safety at construction sites and construction’s workers’ rights in Malaysia
   a. Author: Soh heng Nin
   b. Year: 1977
   c. Objective: Identify the actions to introducing safety during construction

3. Application of safety aspect in the building contract
   a. Author: Mohd. Nazri Bin. Zakaria
   b. Year: 2003
   c. Objective: Study on safety aspect provided in construction building contract.
1.7 Research methodology:

1.7.1 Problems identification

A wide area of topic will be studied. It is basically concentrated on the legal basis of the construction law because of the requirement of the master degree programme. It can be studied from the secondary source such as journals, previous research, articles, books and decided court cases. The problems occurred from certain issue arisen from certain topic will being analysed. Then, the research’s topic will be formulated.

1.7.2 Formation of objectives and scope of research

After the literature review of the wide area of topic and formation of the topic, the objectives will be formed. This will be formed according to the problem statement that has been identified earlier. The scope of research will be stated to narrow the area of the research due to the time limit. It is important for the study to be concentrated to the relevant area. Then, the methodology of the study will be outlined and the tentative of the study will be identified as guideline for the whole study progress.
1.7.3 Data collection

The relevant data for the literature review part will be collected from reference book, seminar papers, articles, journals and from the related website. It will be analysed in detail in order to achieve the objective of the study.

Data for the analysis part will be collected from decided court cases from Lexis-Nexis database and other resources of case law. The cases will be selected according to the critical issues that have been identified during the literature study. The cases will be sorted according to the critical issues.

1.7.4 Data analysis

The data analysis process will be begun by conducting the study of cases on related legal decided court cases. Some issues of the phenomenon will be selected to be studied and then intensively investigating the characteristics of those issues. The judgment by the judge in each case will be stated clearly to differentiate each type of situation. Then, it will be followed by the learning about significant features of the issues and how it varies under different circumstances. After focusing on issues presented, discussion and comparison would be done. Finally, the consequences will be studied for each course of action, whether to the parties or to the society, and evaluate all points of view as well as developing the arguments that can be made further and against each of the various points of view.
1.7.5 Summary formation and report writing

The summary of the study will be formed according to the analysis made before. The objective of research will be achieved based on the analysis. Some recommendation will be suggested for the future study. The finally, the final report will be formatted accordingly.
1.8 Flow chart of research methodology

Stage 1: Topic selection
- Identify a broad area of study
- Select the research topic
  - Literature review
  - Problem identification
  - Formation of objective and scope of research

Stage 2: Research proposal
- Decision of research methodology
  - Formation of data-gathering techniques
  - Data collection
  - Primary data
    - Decided court cases
  - Secondary data
    - Article
    - Journal
    - Research paper
    - Books
    - Website

Stage 3: Data Gathering and processing
- Data analysis

Stage 4: Paper writing and summary
- Summary and suggestions
  - Final report preparation
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