

SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF ETHANOL AUTOTHERMAL
REFORMER FOR FUEL CELL APPLICATIONS

MUHAMAD SYAFIQ BIN ADAM

A report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Bachelor of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Natural Resources Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2006

ABSTRACT

Fuel cell application from hydrogen was one of alternative energy that being studied and widely accepted in industry. This case study focused on optimization of hydrogen production for fuel cell applications. In this case study, ethanol was chosen as a raw material and with autothermal reforming as a process of produce hydrogen. Using a commercial dynamic flow sheeting software, HYSYS 3.2, the process of hydrogen production was successfully simulated. In this research, fuel processor consists of an autothermal reactor, three water gas shift reactors and a preferential oxidation reactor was successfully developed. The purpose of this case study is to identify the effect of various operating parameters such as air-to-fuel (A/F) ratio and steam-to-fuel (S/F) ratio to get the optimum hydrogen production while made carbon monoxide lower than 10 ppm. From the results, an optimum A/F and S/F ratio are 5.5 and 1.5, respectively to produce 34 % of hydrogen and 10.055 ppm of CO. Under these optimum conditions, 83.6% of fuel processor efficiency was achieved.

ABSTRAK

Penggunaan sel bahan api daripada hidrogen merupakan salah satu tenaga yang masih dikaji dan diterima dalam kebanyakan industri. Kajian ini memfokuskan tentang pengeluaran hidrogen untuk penggunaan sel bahan api secara dinamik. Dalam kajian ini, etanol dipilih sebagai bahan mentah dan pembentukan autoterma (*auto thermal reforming*) merupakan proses untuk menghasilkan hidrogen. Dengan menggunakan perisian 'HYSYS 3.2, proses pengeluaran hidrogen ini berjaya dilakukan secara simulasi. Dalam kajian ini, pemproses minyak mengandungi reaktor autoterma,, tiga reaktor anjakan air gas dan reaktor pilihan pengoksidaan telah berjaya dihasilkan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti kesan pengandelaian parameter yang berlainan seperti ratio udara-ke-minyak (A/F) dan ratio stim-ke-minyak (S/F) untuk mendapatkan pengeluaran hydrogen yang optimum sementara CO dihasilkan rendah dari 10 ppm. Daripada keputusan ujikaji, nilai ratio A/F dan S/F yang optima adalah 5.5 dan 1.5 masing-masing. Dengan ratio tersebut,34% hydrogen dan 10.055 ppm CO dapat dihasilkan. Dibawah keadaan pengoptimaan ini, sebanyak 83.6 % kecekapan pemproses minyak didapati.

LIST OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	Title Page	i
	Declaration	ii
	Dedication	iii
	Acknowledgements	iv
	Abstract	v
	Abstrak	vi
	List of Contents	vii
	List of Figures	xi
	List of Tables	xiii
	List of Symbols	xiv
 I	 INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background Research	1
	1.2 Problems Statement	2
	1.3 Research Objective	2
	1.4 Scopes of study	3
	1.5 Thesis Organizations	4
 II	 LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Hydrogen Production for Fuel Cell Application in	
	General	6
	2.2.1 Natural Gas	7

2.2.1.1	Methane	7
2.2.1.2	Ethane	8
2.2.1.3	Propane	9
2.2.1.4	Butane	9
2.2.2	Alcohol	9
2.2.2.1	Methanol	10
2.2.2.2	Ethanol	10
2.2.2.3	Propanol	11
2.2.3	Petroleum Fractional	12
2.2.3.1	Kerosene	12
2.2.3.2	Gasoline	12
2.2.3.3	Diesel	13
2.3	Hydrogen Production for Fuel Cell from Ethanol	13
2.3.1	Steam Reforming	14
2.3.2	Partial Oxidation	15
2.4	Steam Reforming of Ethanol for Hydrogen Production	16
2.5	Optimization simulation of Hydrogen Production	17
2.6	Summary	17

III

METHODOLOGY

3.1	Research Tools	18
3.1.1	Aspen HYSYS	18
3.2	Research Activities	19
3.2.1	Data Collection	19
3.2.2	Base Case Stoichiometry	19
3.2.3	Base Case Validation	21
3.2.4	Auto-thermal Reactor Optimization	21
3.2.5	Heat Integration	21
3.2.6	Carbon Monoxide Clean Up	22
3.2.6.1	Water Gas Shift	22
3.2.6.2	Preferential Oxidation	22
3.2.7	Plant Wide Optimization	23

3.2.7.1	ATR Optimization	23
3.2.7.2	Water Gas Shift Optimization	23
3.2.7.3	Preferential Oxidation Optimization	24
3.2.8	Temperature and Component Profile	24
3.2.9	Fuel Processor Efficiency	24
3.3	Summary	25

IV SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF HYDROGEN PRODUCTION PLANT FROM ETHANOL FOR FUEL CELL APPLICATION

4.1	Process Description of Hydrogen Production from Ethanol	26
4.2	Modelling and Simulation of Hydrogen Production From Ethanol for Fuel Cell	27
4.2.1	Thermodynamic Properties	31
4.2.2	Physical Properties	32
4.2.3	Integration Algorithm	33
4.2.4	Mathematical Modelling of the Reactor Operating	33
4.2.4.1	Linear and Non-Linear System	33
4.2.4.2	Material Balance	34
4.2.4.3	Component Balance	35
4.2.4.4	Energy Balance	36
4.2.5	Degree of Freedom Analysis	38
4.2.6	Analysis of Optimization Response	38
4.3	Summary	39

V RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1	Results for Base Case Study	40
5.2	Results for Validation	43
5.3	Results for Heat Integration	44
5.4	Results for Carbon Monoxide Clean Up	46
5.4.1	Water Gas Shift	46

5.4.2	Preferential Oxidation	47
5.5	Plant Wide Optimization	48
5.5.1	ATR Optimization	49
5.5.2	Water Gas Shift Optimization	50
5.5.3	Preferential Oxidation Optimization	53
5.6	Temperature Profile of fuel Processor System	55
5.7	Component Profile of the Fuel Processor System	56
5.8	Fuel Processor Efficiency	57
5.9	Summary	57
VI	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	Summary	58
6.2	Conclusion	59
6.3	Recommendation	59
	REFERENCES	61
	APPENDIX	
	APPENDIX A Final result of simulation HYSYS 3.2	66

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Algorithm for methodology.	25
4.1	The operation conditions for the major unit operation	27
4.2	The whole plant system by Aspen HYSYS 3.2	29
4.3	HYSYS simulation environment	30
4.4	Reactor operating	35
4.5	Block diagram of the simulation of hydrogen plant using Aspen HYSYS 3.2	39
5.1	Process flow diagram of the base case	41
5.2	The heater attachment on the ATR reactor	45
5.3	The heaters at the feed streams were exchange with the heat exchanger	46
5.4	The WGS reactor	47
5.5	The PROX reactor	48

5.6	Temperature of ATR vapour for varies air feed molar flow	49
5.7	Molar flow of CO and H ₂ effluent for varies air feed molar flow	50
5.8	Molar flow of CO and H ₂ effluent for varies water feed molar flow	51
5.9	Temperature to ATR outlet for varies water feed molar flow	52
5.10	CO Molar flow in PROX effluent for varies air feed molar flow	54
5.11	Temperature profile for the whole unit operation	55
5.12	H ₂ and CO profile for the whole unit operation	56

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Physical property of the component	32
5.1	Molar flow of ATR effluent for base case	43
5.2	Validation for simulation effluent compare with calculated effluent	44
5.3	Effluent molar flow after water gas shift reaction for each reactor	47
5.4	Effluent molar flow after preferential oxidation reaction	48
5.5	Molar flow of the effluent before optimization for ATR,HTS, MTS and LTS.	52
5.6	Molar flow of the effluent after optimization for ATR,HTS, MTS and LTS.	53
5.7	Molar flow of the effluent before and after optimization for PROX	54

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Heat transfer area
a	Parameter, cubic equation of state
b	Parameter, cubic equation of state
C	Concentration
F	Volumetric flow rate
g	Local acceleration of gravity
H	Molar or specific enthalpy
h	Step size
k	Kinetic energy
\dot{m}	Mass flow rate
MW	Molecular weight
N_m	Number of independent variables
N_{om}	Number of manipulated variables with no steady state effect
N_{oy}	Number of variables that need to be controlled from N_m
N_{ss}	Number of variables needed to be specified
P	Absolute pressure
P_o	Reference pressure
P_{ci}	Critical pressure, species i
P_{ri}	Reduced pressure, species i
Q	Heat
Q_r	Heat generated by reaction
R	Universal gas constant
r	Rate of reaction
t	Time
u	Internal energy
V	Volume

Y Process Variable

Greek letters

α Function, cubic equation of state
 ε Error
 μ Viscosity
 ρ Density
 φ Potential energy
 ω Acentric factor

Abbreviations

ATR Auto thermal reforming
ca. at approximate
CO Carbon Monoxide
CO₂ Carbon Dioxide
et al. et alias: and others
etc. et cetera
H₂ Hydrogen
HTS High Temperature Shift
LTS Lower Temperature Shift
PROX Preferential Oxidation
MTS Medium Temperature Shift
WGS Water Gas Shift

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Research

Hydrogen was expected to become an important energy carrier for sustainable energy consumption with a significantly reduced impact on the environment. Hydrogen's benefit and disadvantages differ from the fossil fuels common place in advanced energy utilizing society. It is because characteristics of hydrogen that cheap, easy to obtain, high efficiency, virtually silent operation and less pollutant emissions. (Fuel cell store website, 2006)

From that perspective, researcher over the world tries to make use the hydrogen as an alternative energy by converting into fuel cell. Hydrogen as fuel cell technology currently needed in large quantities, and is projected to be the fuel of choice for a number of advanced technologies that are being pursued. Fuel cell will supply the energy that a global society requires to support the growing number of people that demanding on fuel cell technology using hydrogen. (Fuel cell store website, 2006)

For that purpose, some fossil fuels which have high hydrogen to oxygen ratio were the best candidates to produce hydrogen. The more hydrogen present and the fewer extraneous compounds was the idea to get it. One of the methods which commonly being used was the steam reforming. Other established methods include partial oxidation of residual oil, coal gasification, water electrolysis and etc. The new

technologies such as high-temperature electrolysis of steam, thermal cracking of natural gas, thermo chemical water splitting, solar photovoltaic water electrolysis, and plasma decomposition of water is still investigated its efficiency. These technologies can be classified as thermal, thermo chemical, electrochemical, photochemical, and plasma chemical methods. (Fuel cell store website, 2006)

Seven common fuels are the postulated hydrogen sources studied in this work alcohol, natural gas, gasoline, diesel fuel, aviation jet fuel, and hydrogen itself. Among the bio-fuel candidates for carriers of hydrogen, ethanol is of particular interest because its low toxicity, low production costs, the fact that is a relative clean fuel in terms of composition, relatively high hydrogen content and availability and ease of handling. Hydrogen can be obtained directly from ethanol by two main processes; partial oxidation and steam reforming. (Fuel cell store website, 2006)

1.2 Problem Statement

In reality, chemical plants are never truly at steady state. Feed and environmental disturbances, heat exchanger fouling, and catalytic degradation continuously upset the conditions of a smooth running process. Optimization simulation can help researcher to make better design, optimize, and operate process or refining plant. In this research, ethanol is the main focus to study the steady state behaviour. Furthermore, the optimization is the main case study that will make more yield selectivity hydrogen. The important of this study is to identify design parameters and also to estimate fuel processor efficiency.

1.3 Research Objectives

The main objective of this research is to simulate and optimize the hydrogen production plant for fuel cell application using ethanol via autothermal reformer.

1.4 Scope of Study

To achieve above objective, several scopes has be drawn:

- i. Base case simulation development
By using Aspen HYSYS 3.2, hydrogen production simulation plant was being developed with data from Akande et al. (2005)
- ii. Base case simulation validation
From base case simulation that being developed with Aspen HYSYS 3.2, it was validated using theoretically data from total reactions stoichiometry coefficient.
- iii. ATR optimization
ATR was optimizing by optimized the air feed molar that enter the ATR while monitoring the production of hydrogen and carbon monoxide (CO) in a certain range of temperature.
- iv. Heat integration
This system is used to increase the efficiency of the plant by using heat exchanger to cool down the ATR vapour out with the hot stream from the feed.
- v. Carbon monoxide clean up
Carbon monoxide that produced by the total reaction in ATR need to be reduced their concentration by introducing water gas shift reaction and preferential oxidation reactions.
 - a. Water gas shift
Equilibrium reactors were placed to the plant to convert CO into carbon dioxide (CO₂). Three reactors were needed for conversion with water gas shift (WGS) reaction as the main reaction.

b. Preferential oxidation

To maximum reducing CO, preferential oxidation (PROX) reaction was introduced.

vi. Plant wide optimization

It was develop to optimized all the reactors used in the plant developed using Aspen HYSYS 3.2 and to reduced CO concentration to the specific requirement.

a. ATR optimization

It's used to optimize the ATR temperature outlet for heat integration.

b. Water gas shift optimization

It's used to optimize water molar flow to the ATR and reduces the CO concentration with WGS reaction.

c. Preferential oxidation optimization

It was formed to maintain the amount of air into PROX reactor that reduced the CO concentration to the specification.

vii. Temperature and component profile

The profile of temperature and components for every unit operations involve in this research was analyzed.

1.5 Thesis Organizations

This thesis involves the conclusion of the several tasks to achieve the objective. Chapter Two is discuss about the literature survey that related in synthesis of hydrogen for fuel cell applications. In this chapter, internal researched of hydrogen production using ethanol by autothermal reforming was been concentrated. This chapter is the major chapter because the development of the of hydrogen production are based on the literature survey that we had researched.

Chapter Three is about the methodology for the methods that we need in scope. Fundamentally, there are five methods that we carried out. The next chapter; Chapter Four, is optimization simulation of hydrogen production plant from ethanol for fuel cell application. We are using Aspen HYSYS 3.2 as a simulator to simulate the plant.

Chapter Five is the results and discussion based on the methodology that we use and developed from chapter four. Finally, Chapter Six is the conclusion all what we have done in this entire thesis.

REFERENCES

- Aartun, I., Silberova, B., Venvik, H., Pfeifer, P., Goörke, O., Schubert, K. and Holmen, A. (2005). "Hydrogen production from propane in Rh-impregnated metallic microchannel reactors and alumina foams". *Catalysis Today*. **105**. 469–478.
- Akande, A.J., Raphael O. Idem, R.O. and Dalai, A.K. (2005). "Synthesis, characterization and performance evaluation of Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts for reforming of crude ethanol for hydrogen production". *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **287**. 159–175.
- Aupretre, F., Descorme. C., Duprez, D., Casanave, D. and Uzio, D. (2005). "Ethanol steam reforming over Mg_xNi_{1-x}Al₂O₃ spinel oxide-supported Rh catalysts". *Journal of Catalysis*. **233**. 464–477.
- Avci, A.K., Trimm, D.L., Aksoylu, A.E. and Önsan, Z.I. (2003). "Hydrogen production by steam eforming of *n*-butane over supported Ni and Pt-Ni catalysts". *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **258**. 235–240.
- Basile, A., Gallucci, F. and Paturzo, L. (2005). "Hydrogen production from methanol by oxidative steam reforming carried out in a membrane reactor". *Catalysis Today*. **104**. 251–259.
- Bingue, J.P., Saveliev, A.V. and Lawrence ans Kennedy, A.L. (2004). "Optimization of hydrogen production by filtration combustion of methane by oxygen enrichment and depletion". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **29**. 1365 – 1370.
- Brown, L.F. (2001). "A comparative study of fuels for on-board hydrogen production for fuel-cell-powered automobiles". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **26**. 381–397.
- Cheekatamarla, P.K. and Lane, A.M. (2005). "Efficient bimetallic catalysts for hydrogen generation from diesel fuel". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **30**. 1277–1285.

- Chin, S.Y., Chin, Y.H. and Amiridis, M.D. (2005). "Hydrogen production via the catalytic cracking of ethane over Ni/SiO₂ catalysts". *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **300**. 8–13.
- Comas, J., Laborde, M. and Amadeo, N. (2004). "Thermodynamic analysis of hydrogen production from ethanol using CaO as a CO₂ sorbent". *Journal of Power Sources*. **138**. 61–67.
- Cook, B.(2001). "An introduction to fuel cells and hydrogen technology".
Heliocentris, 652 West 5th Avenue, Vancouver, BC V6R-1S2, Canada.
- Ferna'ndez, E.O., Rusten, H.K., Jakobsen, H.A., Rønning, M., Holmen, A. and Chen, D., (2005). "Sorption enhanced hydrogen production by steam methane reforming using Li₂ZrO₃ as sorbent: Sorption kinetics and reactor simulation". *Catalysis Today*.**106**. 41–46.
- Fierro, V., Akdim, O., Provendier, H. and Mirodatos, C. (2005). "Ethanol oxidative steam reforming over Ni-based catalysts". *Journal of Power Sources*. **145**. 659–666.
- Freni, S., Cavallaro, S., Mondello, N., Spadaro , L. and Frusteri, F. (2003).
"Production of hydrogen for MC fuel cell by steam reforming of ethanol over MgO supported Ni and Co catalysts". *Catalysis Communications*. **4**. 259–268.
- Fuel Cell Store Website. <http://www.fuelcellstore.com>. Accessed on 21st March 2006 at 4:39 AM.
- Galvita, V. and Sundmacher, K. (2005). "Hydrogen production from methane by steam reforming in a periodically operated two-layer catalytic reactor". *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **289**. 121–127.
- HYSYS 2.2 Documentation (2000), Hyprotech Ltd. Calgary, Canada.
- Jiménez, M.O. (2006). "Hydrogen production study using autothermal reforming of biodiesel and other hydrocarbons for fuel cell applications". University of Puerto Rico Mayagüez Campus.
- Lee, K.K., Han, G.Y., Yoon, K.J. and Lee, B.K. (2004). "Thermocatalytic hydrogen production from the methane in a fluidized bed with activated carbon catalyst". *Catalysis Today*. **93–95**. 81–86.
- Lenz, B. and Aicher, T. (2005). "Catalytic autothermal reforming of Jet fuel". *Journal of Power Sources*. **149**. 44–52.

- Liguras, D.K., Kondarides, D.I. and Verykios, X.E. (2003). "Production of hydrogen for fuel cells by steam reforming of ethanol over supported noble metal catalysts". *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. **43**. 345–354.
- Liu, Z.W., Jun, K.W., Roh and H.S., Park, S.E. (2002). "Hydrogen production for fuel cells through methane reforming at low temperatures". *Journal of Power Sources*. **111**. 283-287.
- Mattos, L.V. and Noronha, F.B. (2005a). "Partial oxidation of ethanol on supported Pt catalysts". *Journal of Power Sources*. **145**. 10–15.
- Mattos, L.V. and Noronha, F.B. (2005b). "Hydrogen production for fuel cell applications by ethanol partial oxidation on Pt/CeO₂ catalysts: the effect of the reaction conditions and reaction mechanism". *Journal of Catalysis*. **233**. 453–463.
- Minutillo, M. (2005). "On-board fuel processor modeling for hydrogen-enriched gasoline fuelled engine". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **30**. 1483-1490.
- Mizuno, T., Matsumura, Y., Nakajima, T. and Mishima, S. (2003). "Effect of support on catalytic properties of Rh catalysts for steam reforming of 2-propanol". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **28**. 1393 – 1399.
- Nakagawa, K., Gamo, K.N. and Ando, T. (2004). "Hydrogen production from methane for fuel cell using oxidized diamond-supported catalysts". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **30**. 201–207.
- Navarro, R.M., Galva'n, M.C.A., Sa'nchez, M.C.S., Rosa, F. and Fierro, J.L.G. (2004). "Production of hydrogen by oxidative reforming of ethanol over Pt catalysts supported on Al₂O₃ modified with Ce and La". *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. **55**. 229–241.
- Otsuka, K., Shigeta, Y. and Takenaka, S. (2002). "Production of hydrogen from gasoline range alkanes with reduced CO₂ emission". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **27**. 11–18.
- Ozdogan, S., Ersoz, A., and Olgun, H.(2006).. "Smulation study of a PEM fuel cell system fed by hydrogen produced by partial oxidation". *Journal of Power Sources* 2003;**118**; 384-392.
- Perry, R.H. and Green, D. (1997). "Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook". *McGraw-Hill*. 7th ed. Sec. 2.

- Resini, C., Delgado, M.C.H., Arrighi, L., Alemany, L.J., Marazza, R. and Busca, G. (2005). "Propene versus propane steam reforming for hydrogen production over Pd-based and Ni-based catalysts". *Catalysis Communications*. **6**. 441–445.
- Silberova, B., Venvik, H.J., Walmsley, J.C. and Holmen, A. (2005) "Small-scale hydrogen production from propane". *Catalysis Today*. **100**. 457–462.
- Shebaro, L., Abbott, B., Hong, T., Slenczka, A., Friedrich, B. and Herschbach, D. (1997). "Facile production of higher hydrocarbons from ethane in a catalytic supersonic nozzle" *Chemical Physics Letters*. **271**. 73-78.
- Shetian Liu, S., Takahashi, K., Uematsu, K. and Ayabe, M. (2004). "Hydrogen production by oxidative methanol reforming on Pd/ZnO". *Applied Catalysis A: General*. **283**. 125–135.
- Stephanopoulos, G. (1984). "Chemical Process Control: An Introduction to Theory and Practice." *Eaglewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall*.
- Sun, J., Qiu, X.P., Wu, F. and Zhu, W.T. (2005). "H₂ from steam reforming of ethanol at low temperature over Ni/Y₂O₃, Ni/La₂O₃ and Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts for fuel-cell application". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **30**. 437–445.
- Suzuki, T., Iwanami, H. and Yoshinari, T. (2000). "Steam reforming of kerosene on Ru/Al₂O₃ catalyst to yield hydrogen". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **25**. 119-126.
- Tsolakis, A. and Megaritis, A. (2004). "Catalytic exhaust gas fuel reforming for diesel engines-effects of water addition on hydrogen production and fuel conversion efficiency". *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **29**. 1409 – 1419.
- Vaidya, P.D. and Rodrigues, A.E. (2005). "Insight into steam reforming of ethanol to produce hydrogen for fuel cells". *Chemical Engineering Journal*. **117**. 39–49.
- Wanat, E.C., Suman, B. and Schmidt, L.D. (2005). "Partial oxidation of alcohols to produce hydrogen and chemicals in millisecond-contact time reactors". *Journal of Catalysis*. **235**. 18–27.
- Wang, L., Murata, K. and Inaba, M. (2003). "Production of pure hydrogen and more valuable hydrocarbons from ethane on a novel highly active catalyst system with a Pd-based membrane reactor". *Catalysis Today*. **82**. 99–104.

Xu, Y., Kameoka, S., Kishida, K., Demura, M., Tsai, A. and Hirano, T. (2004).
“Catalytic properties of alkali-leached Ni₃Al for hydrogen production from
methanol”. *Intermetallics*. **13**. 151–155.