

FOOD SECURITY ISSUES  
AND ARCHITECTURAL INTERVENTION  
OF URBAN FARMING  
IN SINGAPORE

NIK AHMAD MUNAWWAR BIN NIK DIN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

FOOD SECURITY ISSUES AND ARCHITECTURAL INTERVENTION  
OF URBAN FARMING IN SINGAPORE

NIK AHMAD MUNAWWAR BIN NIK DIN

A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Architecture

Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2022

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to my mother, who encouraged me to pursue my passion in Architecture, my father, who believed that I could achieve more than I thought I could and my wife who has always been my biggest supporter throughout the journey.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my dissertation supervisor, Ar. Norshahida binti Azili, for her encouragement, guidance, critics, and trust. I am also very thankful to my supervisor in office, Wang Lai Meng for her support, guidance and the technical knowledge shared. Without their continued support and interest, this dissertation would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing the flexibility for me to pursue my Masters in Architecture programme while being employed professionally, which is not offered in any other institutions currently.

Recognition should also go to my fellow postgraduate students for their support and camaraderie. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues at work and at the university who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

Last but not least, I have to thank my family members, especially my wife for sacrificing her time and effort to allow me to pursue this programme. The gratitude is also extended to my extremely supportive parents and siblings who have kept me going all these years.

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research paper is to better understand the issue of self-sufficiency in Singapore, in terms of food supply and potential means for the city-state to become more self-reliant in terms of food production. Singapore is a city-state with no natural resources and very limited agricultural land whereby only 1% of the total land mass in Singapore is currently available for farming activities, and 90% of the food consumed is imported from all around the world. However, the Singapore government has embarked on the 30 by 30 initiative, which aims to enable Singapore to produce 30 percent of its own food by the year 2030 in order to address food security concerns. The issue of food security was particularly evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, when panic buying at supermarkets were prevalent due to uncertainties in food supply following the closure of international borders. Research has revealed however that the panic buying was mainly caused by fear and perception rather than actual supply shortage in the market. Therefore, the objectives of this research paper include attempting to understand means to manage public perception with regards to food supply, identifying alternative methods of agricultural production in Singapore and the general supply and demand of food in the city-state. The four main research questions that has guided the study include understanding how dependent the city-state is, understanding why food security is a priority concern in Singapore, investigating the public perception on food security as well as identifying key elements that would help Singapore to achieve its 30 by 30 goal. The research employed a mixed research method, whereby both qualitative and quantitative data were collected and analysed. Qualitative data were collected from literature review, case studies and photographic evidence, whereas quantitative data was obtained through a 28-question online survey questionnaire. The survey results were analysed using statistical methods such as the Chi-Square Test of Independence in the IBM SPSS software. Based on the results of both quantitative and qualitative analysis, it can be concluded that public participation is vital to ensure the success of the 30 by 30 initiative, and that the public's demographic characteristic could have an impact on the participation rate and the perception of urban farming activities. These conclusions culminate in recommendations that could potentially add value to Singapore's self-sufficiency goals in the long run.

## ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dilakukan bertujuan untuk memahami isu kebergantungan sumber makanan di Singapura, dan kaedah-kaedah munasabah bagi negara itu untuk menjadi lebih berdikari dari segi pengeluaran makanan. Singapura adalah sebuah negara-kota tanpa sumber asli dan tanah pertanian yang sangat terhad di mana hanya 1% daripada tanah di Singapura pada masa kini digunakan untuk aktiviti pertanian, dan 90% daripada makanan adalah diimport dari sumber-sumber luar di serata dunia. Justeru, kerajaan Singapura telah memulakan inisiatif “30 by 30”, yang bertujuan membolehkan Singapura menghasilkan 30 peratus makanannya sendiri menjelang tahun 2030 untuk menangani kebimbangan jaminan makanan. Isu jaminan makanan amat ketara semasa bermulanya pandemik COVID-19, apabila pembelian panik di pasar raya berleluasa disebabkan ketidaktentuan dalam bekalan makanan berikutan penutupan sempadan antarabangsa. Walau bagaimanapun, penyelidikan telah mendedahkan bahawa pembelian panik sebenarnya adalah hanya disebabkan oleh persepsi rakyat dan bukan disebabkan oleh kekurangan bekalan sebenar di pasaran. Oleh itu, objektif kertas penyelidikan ini adalah untuk memahami cara untuk menguruskan persepsi orang ramai berhubung dengan bekalan makanan, mengenal pasti kaedah alternatif pengeluaran pertanian di Singapura dan juga memahami bekalan dan permintaan umum makanan di negara itu. Empat soalan kajian utama yang telah membimbing kajian ini termasuk memahami kadar kebergantungan negara kota itu dari segi sumber makanan, memahami mengapa keselamatan makanan menjadi keutamaan di Singapura, menyiasat persepsi orang ramai terhadap isu jaminan makanan serta mengenal pasti elemen-elemen utama yang akan membantu Singapura mencapai matlamat “30 by 30”. Penyelidikan ini menggunakan kaedah penyelidikan campuran, di mana kedua-dua data kualitatif dan kuantitatif dikumpulkan dan dianalisa. Data kualitatif dikumpul daripada kajian literatur, kajian kes dan bukti fotografi, manakala data kuantitatif diperolehi melalui borang soal selidik yang diedarkan di atas talian yang mengandungi 28 soalan. Hasil soal selidik telah dianalisa menggunakan kaedah statistik seperti ujian chi-square menggunakan perisian IBM SPSS. Berdasarkan keputusan analisis kuantitatif dan kualitatif tersebut, dapat disimpulkan bahawa penglibatan orang ramai adalah penting untuk memastikan kejayaan inisiatif “30 by 30”, dan ciri demografi orang ramai boleh memberi kesan ke atas kadar penglibatan dan persepsi orang ramai terhadap teknik-teknik pertanian moden. Penyelidikan ini disimpulkan dengan cadangan-cadangan yang berpotensi membantu Singapura untuk mencapai matlamat untuk menjadi negara berdikari dari segi sumber makanan dalam jangka masa panjang.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Problem Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Questions	2
1.3.1	How Dependent is Singapore?	3
1.3.2	Why is Food Security Important?	3
1.3.3	What Does the Public Think about Food Security?	4
1.3.4	What Would It Take to Reach the Goal?	5
1.4	Research Goal	5
1.4.1	Research Objectives	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Singapore Food Supply	7
2.1.1	Local Sources – “Self-Reliance”	8
2.1.2	Import Sources “Resilience”	11

2.2	Singapore Food Culture	14
2.2.1	Singapore Hawker Centres	15
2.2.1.1	1819-1967: On the Street	16
2.2.1.2	1968-2016: Hawker Centres	18
2.2.1.3	2016 – present: New Generation of “Hawkerpreneurs”	19
2.2.2	Healthy Eating	21
2.3	Urban Farming	22
2.3.1	Types of Urban Farming	23
2.3.1.1	Rooftop Gardens	23
2.3.1.2	Vertical Farming (Indoor)	24
2.3.1.3	Aquaponics (Indoor)	27
2.3.2	Urban Farming Requirements	28
2.3.3	HDB Mini Farms	30
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Mixed (QUAN-qual) Method	33
3.2	Research Framework	34
3.3	Survey Questionnaire	34
3.4	Data Sources - Literature Review	36
3.5	Observations	36
3.5.1	Photographic Evidence	37
3.5.2	Site Visit	37
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1	Online Survey Results	39
4.1.1	Demographic Features of Respondents	39
4.1.1.1	Respondents’ Age	40
4.1.1.2	Ethnicity	41
4.1.1.3	Nationality	41
4.1.1.4	Occupation	42
4.1.1.5	Total Household Income	43
4.1.1.6	Type of Dwelling	44

4.1.1.7	Qualification	45
4.1.1.8	Marital Status	46
4.1.2	Food Consumption by Singapore Residents	46
4.1.2.1	Home Cook vs. Take Out	47
4.1.2.2	Hawker Centres as Singapore's Culture & Heritage	48
4.1.2.3	Dine Out Preferences	49
4.1.2.4	Food Preferences	50
4.1.3	Food Security in Singapore	51
4.1.3.1	Food Supply Awareness	51
4.1.3.2	Food Supply Awareness (Frequency of Mentions)	52
4.1.3.3	Opinion on COVID-19 Panic Buying	52
4.1.3.4	Opinion on COVID-19 Panic Buying (Frequency of Mentions)	53
4.1.3.5	Opinion on Panic Buying Caused by Future Events	54
4.1.3.6	Food Shortage Preparedness	54
4.1.3.7	Food Shortage Preparedness (Frequency of Mentions)	55
4.1.4	Urban Farming in Singapore	56
4.1.4.1	"30 by 30" Awareness	57
4.1.4.2	Feasibility of "30 by 30"	57
4.1.4.3	Feasibility of "30 by 30" (Frequency of Mentions)	58
4.1.4.4	Urban Farm Interest & Participation	59
4.1.4.5	HDB "Mini Farms"	59
4.1.4.6	Urban Farming and Pollution	60
4.2	Photographic Evidence	61
4.2.1	HDB Corridor Gardening	61
4.2.2	Urban Farming Initiatives	66

<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>69</b>
5.1	Online Survey Analysis	69
5.1.1	Preference between Home Cooking or Dining Out	70
5.1.2	Food Source Awareness	76
5.1.3	Panic Buying Likelihood	79
5.1.4	30 by 30 Awareness	83
5.1.5	Opinion on Feasibility of 30 by 30	86
5.1.6	Concerns Regarding Urban Farming	89
5.1.7	Probability of Participating in Urban Farming	94
5.2	Summary of Discussion	99
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>101</b>
6.1	Introduction	101
6.2	Conclusion	101
6.3	Research Outcomes	102
6.4	Research Gaps Addressed	104
6.5	Recommendations to Ensure Success of 30 by 30 Goal	104
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>106</b>
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>Online Survey Questionnaire</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>Questionnaire Results</b>	<b>119</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 5. 1	Age Group vs. Preference between Home Cooking / Buying from Outside Crosstabulation	71
Table 5. 2	Chi-Square Tests for Age Group vs. Preference between Home Cooking / Buying from Outside	71
Table 5. 3	Household Income vs. Preference between Home Cooking / Buying from Outside Crosstabulation	72
Table 5. 4	Chi-Square Test for Household Income vs. Preference between Home Cooking / Buying from Outside	73
Table 5. 5	Marital Status vs. Preference between Home Cooking / Buying from Outside Crosstabulation	73
Table 5. 6	Chi-Square Test for Marital Status vs. Preference between Home Cooking / Buying from Outside	74
Table 5. 7	Preference between Home Cooking vs. Buying from Outside Crosstabulation	75
Table 5. 8	Chi-Square Test for Preference between Home Cooking vs. Buying from Outside	75
Table 5. 9	Age Group vs. Food Source Awareness Crosstabulation	76
Table 5. 10	Chi-Square Test for Age Group vs. Food Source Awareness	76
Table 5. 11	Household Income vs. Food Source Awareness Crosstabulation	77
Table 5. 12	Chi-Square Test for Household Income vs. Food Source Awareness	77
Table 5. 13	Type of Dwelling vs. Food Source Awareness Crosstabulation	78
Table 5. 14	Chi-Square Test for Type of Dwelling vs. Food Source Awareness	78
Table 5. 15	Age Group vs. Panic Buying Likelihood Crosstabulation	79
Table 5. 16	Chi-Square Test for Age Group vs. Panic Buying Likelihood	80
Table 5. 17	Household Income vs. Panic Buying Likelihood Crosstabulation	80

Table 5. 18	Chi-Square Test for Household Income vs. Panic Buying Likelihood	81
Table 5. 19	Marital Status vs. Panic Buying Likelihood Crosstabulation	81
Table 5. 20	Chi-Square Test for Marital Status vs. Panic Buying Likelihood	82
Table 5. 21	Type of Dwelling vs. Panic Buying Likelihood Crosstabulation	82
Table 5. 22	Chi-Square Test for Type of Dwelling vs. Panic Buying Likelihood	83
Table 5. 23	Age Group vs. 30 by 30 Awareness Crosstabulation	83
Table 5. 24	Chi-Square Test for Age Group vs. 30 by 30 Awareness	84
Table 5. 25	Household Income vs. 30 by 30 Awareness Crosstabulation	84
Table 5. 26	Chi-Square Test for Household Income vs. 30 by 30 Awareness	85
Table 5. 27	Type of Dwelling vs. 30 by 30 Awareness Crosstabulation	85
Table 5. 28	Chi-Square Test for Type of Dwelling vs. 30 by 30 Awareness	86
Table 5. 29	Age Group vs. 30 by 30 Awareness Crosstabulation	86
Table 5. 30	Chi-Square Test for Age Group vs. 30 by 30 Awareness	87
Table 5. 31	Household Income vs. 30 by 30 Awareness Crosstabulation	87
Table 5. 32	Chi-Square Test for Household Income vs. 30 by 30 Awareness	88
Table 5. 33	Type of Dwelling vs. 30 by 30 Awareness Crosstabulation	88
Table 5. 34	Chi-Square Test for Type of Dwelling vs. 30 by 30 Awareness	89
Table 5. 35	Age Group vs. Urban Farming Concerns Crosstabulation	90
Table 5. 36	Chi-Square Test for Age Group vs. Urban Farming Concerns	90
Table 5. 37	Household Income vs. Urban Farming Concerns Crosstabulation	91
Table 5. 38	Chi-Square Test for Household Income vs. Urban Farming Concerns	91
Table 5. 39	Marital Status vs. Urban Farming Concerns Crosstabulation	92
Table 5. 40	Chi-Square Test for Marital Status vs. Urban Farming Concerns	92

Table 5. 41	Type of Dwelling vs. Urban Farming Concerns Crosstabulation	93
Table 5. 42	Chi-Square Test for Type of Dwelling vs. Urban Farming Concerns	93
Table 5. 43	Age Group vs. Urban Farming Participation Crosstabulation	94
Table 5. 44	Chi-Square Test for Age Group vs. Urban Farming Participation	95
Table 5. 45	Household Income vs. Urban Farming Participation Crosstabulation	96
Table 5. 46	Chi-Square Test for Household Income vs. Urban Farming Participation	96
Table 5. 47	Marital Status vs. Urban Farming Participation Crosstabulation	96
Table 5. 48	Chi-Square Test for Marital Status vs. Urban Farming Participation	97
Table 5. 49	Type of Dwelling vs. Urban Farming Participation	98
Table 5. 50	Chi-Square Test for Type of Dwelling vs. Urban Farming Participation	99

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2. 1	Local Farm Production & Licensed Food Farms in Singapore	9
Figure 2. 2	Per Capita Consumption and Import Volumes of the Commonly Consumed Food Items 2020	12
Figure 2. 3	Major Sources of Supply of the Most Commonly Consumed Food Items	13
Figure 2. 4	Jurong Fishery Port	14
Figure 2. 5	Unregulated Street Hawkers in Early 20 <sup>th</sup> Century	16
Figure 2. 6	An Early Example of a Hawker Centre After Regulation	18
Figure 2. 7	Example of a Modern Hawker Centre Today	19
Figure 2. 8	Rooftop Farming at Thammasat University, Thailand	23
Figure 2. 9	Hydroponic System	25
Figure 2. 10	Aeroponic System	26
Figure 2. 11	Aquaponic System	28
Figure 2. 12	Crop Rotation Calendar to Maintain Balance of Nutrients in Soil	29
Figure 2. 13	HDB Corridor Blocked by Planting.	30
Figure 3. 1	Research Framework	34
Figure 3. 3	Example of Price Movements in the Market	37
Figure 3. 2	Photographic Evidence of Panic Buying at Supermarkets	37
Figure 4. 1	Pie Chart Representing Distribution of Age of Respondents	40
Figure 4. 2	Pie Chart Representing Ethnicity of Respondents	41
Figure 4. 3	Pie Chart Representing Nationality of Respondents	42
Figure 4. 4	Pie Chart Representing Occupation of Respondents	43
Figure 4. 5	Pie Chart Representing Household Income of Respondents	44
Figure 4. 6	Pie Chart Representing Respondents' Type of Dwelling	45
Figure 4. 7	Pie Chart Representing Qualification of Respondents	45
Figure 4. 8	Pie Chart Representing Marital Status of Respondents	46

Figure 4. 9	Pie Chart Representing Respondents' Choice between Home Cooking or Dining Out	47
Figure 4. 10	Bar Chart Representing Respondents Agreement to Hawker Centres	48
Figure 4. 11	Summary of Respondents' Dining Out Preference	49
Figure 4. 12	Summary of Respondents' Dietary Preferences	50
Figure 4. 13	Pie Chart Representing Awareness of Food Source	51
Figure 4. 14	Bar Chart Representing Frequency of Mentions for Food Awareness	52
Figure 4. 15	Pie Chart Representing Opinion on Panic Buying	53
Figure 4. 16	Bar Chart Representing Frequency of Mentions for Panic Buying	53
Figure 4. 17	Pie Chart Representing Probability of Respondents Panic Buying in the Future	54
Figure 4. 18	Pie Chart Representing Opinion on Singapore's Readiness to Face Disruptions in Food Supply	55
Figure 4. 19	Bar Chart Representing Frequency of Mentions of Singapore's Readiness to Face Disruptions in Food Supply	56
Figure 4. 20	Pie Chart Representing Awareness of 30 by 30	57
Figure 4. 21	Bar Chart Representing Opinion on Feasibility of 30 by 30	58
Figure 4. 22	Bar Chart Representing Frequency of Mentions for Feasibility of 30 by 30	58
Figure 4. 23	Bar Chart Representing Interest to Participate in Urban Farming Activities	59
Figure 4. 24	Pie Chart Representing Homeowners with HDB Mini Farms	60
Figure 4. 25	Pie Chart Representing Concerns about Pollution from Urban Farms	60
Figure 4. 26	Corridor Farming Example 1	62
Figure 4. 27	Corridor Farming Example 2	63
Figure 4. 28	Corridor Farming Example 3	64
Figure 4. 29	Corridor Farming Example 4	65
Figure 4. 30	Example of Rooftop Urban Farm 1	66
Figure 4. 31	Example of Rooftop Urban Farm 2	67
Figure 4. 32	Example of Rooftop Urban Farm 3	67

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CBD	-	Central Business District
FAO	-	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
GFSI	-	Global Food Security Index
HDB	-	Housing Development Board
IoT	-	Internet of Things
JFP	-	Jurong Fishery Port
LED	-	Light Emitting Diode
NASA	-	United States National Space Agency
RIE	-	Singapore Government's Research, Innovation & Enterprise
SCDF	-	Singapore Civil Defence Force
SFA	-	Singapore Food Agency
SFP	-	Senoko Fishery Port
SPSS	-	IBM's Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\alpha$	-	Alpha
$p$	-	p-value

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Online Survey Questionnaire	109
Appendix B	Questionnaire Results	119

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Problem Background

The issue of self-sufficiency has been a long-standing debate in Singapore, ever since the city-state separated from Malaysia in 1965. From water to other natural resources, it has always depended on its neighbouring countries, including Malaysia, Thailand and Indonesia. However, through its resilience over the years, Singapore has repeatedly defied the odds and managed to become a globally recognised city that seems to have all its issues resolved.

Food security, however, is still a huge topic that is discussed not just amongst the ordinary Singaporeans, but is also a concern within the government, that various initiatives and government-sponsored programmes have been put in place to ensure the secure supply of food locally.

The issue of food security and long-term self-sufficiency was given renewed attention at the start of the Circuit Breaker in response to the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. Reports of panic buying and perceived lack of supplies started to surface as ordinary Singaporeans worry for their food supply especially when the border between Singapore and Malaysia was closed indefinitely.

A study conducted by the Nanyang Institute of Technology (NTU, 2021) however revealed that the panic buying during the Circuit Breaker was caused merely by fear and perception of lack of supplies and peer pressure rather than actual food supply shortage in the market. This inaccurate perception of food shortage is also an issue that needs to be addressed in order to restore confidence amongst the people in the food supply available in Singapore and move forward to work on a more resilient community in becoming self-sufficient in the future.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Based on the issues identified, the following problem statement can be put forward to help with the development of the aim and objectives of this study. Singapore, a small city-state with limited resources has only 1% of farmland available for agricultural activities. Over 90% of food is imported from neighbouring countries. (Singapore Food Agency, 2020). Therefore, access to safe and nutritious food can be a challenge in the city-state during emergencies and situations where movements across the country's borders are limited or blocked entirely. (Devereux et al., 2020)

The importance of addressing this issue was further amplified by the COVID-19 Pandemic when food security in a locked-down Singapore was often questioned. (Teng, 2020). Hence, a thorough study needs to be conducted to better understand the issue of self-sufficiency, which in turn will help in identifying potential new sources for food production. The study should also cover the public perception of alternative methods of food production such as urban and indoor farming techniques to understand the social impact of its implementation.

The outcome of the study would hopefully provide guidance on ways to improve the long-term prospect of self-sufficiency in a highly urbanised community like Singapore, which is in line with the Singapore Government's aim to produce 30% of food locally by 2030, in the "30 by 30 Roadmap". (Singapore Food Agency, 2020).

## **1.3 Research Questions**

The basis of the research can be formed around four research questions that relate to the main themes, namely the issue of dependency, the significance of the topic of food security, the perception of the public in relation to food scarcity and finally the question of the methods and preparedness of achieving self-sufficiency in Singapore.

### **1.3.1 How Dependent is Singapore?**

This question attempts to gauge the extent of the issue of Singapore's high dependence on external sources for its food. The answer to this question would provide a relevant context to the subsequent studies and recommendations that may be produced.

For instance, the higher the dependency of a certain country on external sources in terms of commodities and raw material, the higher the impact would be on the country's local economy should there be a major global event that disrupts the international supply chain. On the other hand, a self-reliant country that produces its own food and raw material, especially countries that are rich in natural resources, would be less likely to be impacted by global supply chain events.

However, it is worth noting that in today's extremely globalised world, no single country on earth would be spared from being impacted by global events, only that the severity of the impact would vary from one country to another depending on the degree of dependence to external sources. In the case of Singapore, a city-state with a mere 728.6 km<sup>2</sup> of land mass and a population of 5.6 million, it needs to constantly look outwards for its source of food and other resources such as water and electricity.

### **1.3.2 Why is Food Security Important?**

Unlike countries with a vast land mass such as the United States and China, which has the resources to produce its own food enough to feed its population, Singapore must constantly re-evaluate its methods to ensure sufficient food supply for its growing, and highly consumer-centric urban population.

Food security is important to ensure that the society could still survive should a catastrophic event take place in the surrounding regions, for instance in the event of a war. If there are disruptions in the supply of food from external sources, and there

are no backups to the supply, the people residing in Singapore, including locals, expats and visitors could face shortage of food, which in turn leads to a shift in the economic equilibrium of the country which may be disastrous to the survival of the country as a sovereign nation. For example, according to the International Food Policy Research Institute (Gustafson, 2019), the main drivers of acute hunger and food crises in 2018 were persistent conflict and adverse weather events. The report also further mentions that acute hunger suffered by roughly 74 million people were due to conflict or civil unrest happening in 21 countries and territories, mainly in Africa, Western Asia and the Middle East.

Therefore, in order for Singapore to continue functioning as per normal during a period of global crisis, it needs to be able to ensure food security to provide for its urban population.

### **1.3.3 What Does the Public Think about Food Security?**

This research question attempts to gauge the social and cultural response to the issue of food security and ascertain the level of public awareness on the topic. The goal of this question is to ultimately formulate potential solutions to the issue of food security that are related to public participation in the process of securing the food supply. For example, it would be helpful to be informed that the panic buying and food hoarding during the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic were more socially charged (i.e., perceived food supply shortage, rather than actual shortage.)

Knowledge on this issue could then be used in recommending a suitable approach to securing the local food supply, one that involves the public in order to better manage public perceptions in future global events.

### **1.3.4 What Would It Take to Reach the Goal?**

It is important to know what it would take to reach the goal of the Singapore government's 30 by 30 aim in proposing programs and architectural interventions that would help propel us closer towards the goal. For instance, what are the categories of food considered to be part of the 30% locally produced food, and how much would Singapore need to have produced in order to reach the intended production yield? It is also important to first understand the consumption pattern of Singapore residents in understanding the volume of production needed to be considered as "self-sufficient".

## **1.4 Research Goal**

The goal of the research is to identify the issues related to fresh food supply in Singapore from both local and imported sources and ascertain the impact that it would have on improving the prospect of building a self-sufficient (in terms of food supply) nation. The findings of the research would be used to formulate an architectural scheme that would allow the highly urbanised community in Singapore to coexist with resources to help build a sustainable and self-reliant food supply in Singapore.

### **1.4.1 Research Objectives**

In order to meet the goals of the study, the following objectives are set to provide a focal point for the paper:

- (a) Understanding the Supply & Demand of Food in Singapore
- (b) Analysing the potential for the cultivation of food in the city-state
- (c) Identify and examine potential issues and managing public perception

## REFERENCES

- Caballero-Anthony, M., Teng, P. and Montesclaros, J. M. L. (2020) 'COVID-19 and Food Security in Asia: How prepared are we?', NTS Insight, (June).
- Chernova, V., Zobov, A., Degtereva, E., Starostin, V., & Andronova, I. (2020). Sustainable economy: evaluation of food self-sufficiency in Russia. *Entrepreneurship And Sustainability Issues*, 7(3), 1541-1554. [https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.3\(8\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.3(8))
- Clapp, J. (2017). Food self-sufficiency: Making sense of it, and when it makes sense. *Food Policy*, 66, 88-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2016.12.001>
- Dix, C. F. et al. (2021) 'Nutrition meets social marketing: Targeting health promotion campaigns to young adults using the living and eating for health segments', *Nutrients*, 13(9). doi: 10.3390/nu13093151.
- Devereux, S., Béné, C., & Hoddinott, J. (2020). Conceptualising COVID-19's impacts on household food security. *Food Security*, 12(4), 769-772. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-020-01085-0>
- Diehl, J., Sweeney, E., Wong, B., Sia, C., Yao, H., & Prabhudesai, M. (2020). Feeding cities: Singapore's approach to land use planning for urban agriculture. *Global Food Security*, 26, 100377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100377>
- Grard, B. J. P. et al. (2020) 'Potential of technosols created with urban by-products for rooftop edible production', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(9). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17093210.
- Harada, K., Hino, K., Iida, A., Yamazaki, T., Usui, H., Asami, Y., & Yokohari, M. (2021). How Does Urban Farming Benefit Participants' Health? A Case Study of Allotments and Experience Farms in Tokyo. *International Journal Of Environmental Research And Public Health*, 18(2), 542. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020542>
- Hinrichs, C. (2000). Embeddedness and local food systems: notes on two types of direct agricultural market. *Journal Of Rural Studies*, 16(3), 295-303. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0743-0167\(99\)00063-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0743-0167(99)00063-7)

- Ivascu, L., Frank Ahimaz, D., Arulanandam, B., & Tirian, G. (2021). The Perception and Degree of Adoption by Urbanites towards Urban Farming. *Sustainability*, 13(21), 12151. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132112151>
- Jiang, S., & Ngien, A. (2020). The Effects of Instagram Use, Social Comparison, and Self-Esteem on Social Anxiety: A Survey Study in Singapore. *Social Media + Society*, 6(2), 205630512091248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305120912488>
- Lee, C. (2022). Singapore hawker centres. *Journal Of Asian Pacific Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1075/japc.00078.lee>
- Lim, C. G. Y. and van Dam, R. M. (2020) ‘Attitudes and beliefs regarding food in a multi-ethnic Asian population and their association with socio-demographic variables and healthy eating intentions’, *Appetite*, 144. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2019.104461.
- Locke, R., Gambatese, M., Sellers, K., Corcoran, E., & Castrucci, B. (2020). Building a Sustainable Governmental Public Health Workforce: A Look at the Millennial Generation. *Journal Of Public Health Management And Practice*, 28(1), E198-E210. <https://doi.org/10.1097/phh.0000000000001247>
- Lombardozi, L., & Djanibekov, N. (2020). Can self-sufficiency policy improve food security? An inter-temporal assessment of the wheat value-chain in Uzbekistan. *Eurasian Geography And Economics*, 62(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15387216.2020.1744462>
- Majendie, A. (2022). Why Singapore Has One of the Highest Home Ownership Rates. *Bloomberg.com*. Retrieved 14 May 2022, from <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-07-08/behind-the-design-of-singapore-s-low-cost-housing>.
- Mitchell, L., Houston, L., Hardman, M., Howarth, M., & Cook, P. (2021). Enabling Urban Social Farming: the need for radical green infrastructure in the city. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2021.1976481>
- Phang, S. Y. et al. (2014) ‘Housing policies in Singapore: Evaluation of recent proposals and recommendations for reform’, *Singapore Economic Review*, 59(3). doi: 10.1142/S0217590814500258.
- Rabia, M. et al. (2020) ‘Influence of Social Media on Youngsters: A Case Study in GC Women University Sialkot, Pakistan’, *Creative Education*, 11(03). doi: 10.4236/ce.2020.113022.

- SCDF (2022) Guidelines on Usage of Common Corridor. Available at: <https://www.scdf.gov.sg/home/community-volunteers/community-preparedness/fire-safety-guidelines-for-hdb-estate> (Accessed: 26 June 2022).
- The Straits Times (2022) S'pore population better educated across age, ethnicity; women make greater strides. Available at: <https://www.straitstimes.com/singapore/spore-population-better-educated-across-age-ethnicity-women-make-greater-strides> (Accessed: 9 July 2022).
- Tarulevicz, N. (2018) 'Hawkerpreneurs: Hawkers, entrepreneurship, and reinventing street food in Singapore', *RAE Revista de Administracao de Empresas*, 58(3). doi: 10.1590/S0034-759020180309.
- Teng, P. and Escaler, M. (2010) 'The Case for Urban Food Security: A Singapore Perspective', *NTS Perspectives*, 4(4).
- Tortajada, C. and Lim, N. S. W. (2021) 'Food Security and COVID-19: Impacts and Resilience in Singapore', *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2021.740780.
- Vasquez, K. et al. (2018) 'Lessons from Singapore's national weight management program, Lose to Win', *Health Promotion International*, 33(5). doi: 10.1093/heapro/dax021.
- Yuen, B. (2018). Moving towards age-inclusive public housing in Singapore. *Urban Research & Practice*, 12(1), 84-98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17535069.2018.1451556>