# CRACKED CONCRETE SURFACE IMAGE CLASSIFICATION ON LOW-DIMENSIONAL IMAGE USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ALGORITHMS

RASHID TAHA SIHAM RASHID

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

# CRACKED CONCRETE SURFACE IMAGE CLASSIFICATION ON LOW-DIMENSIONAL IMAGE USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ALGORITHMS

## RASHID TAHA SIHAM RASHID

A project report submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Computer and Microelectronic Systems)

> School of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

### DEDICATION

First and foremost, I would like to praise and thank Allah, the almighty, who has granted countless blessings, knowledge, and opportunities to the writer, so that I have finally been able to accomplish the thesis.

This thesis is also dedicated to ministry of higher education in Iraq, Al-Iraqia university, collage of Arts. And, to University Technology Malaysia (UTM), school of electrical engineering, computer and micro electronic systems.

It is also dedicated to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Musa Mohd Mokji, for his constant support in making this work possible.

It is also for my wonderful parents, who have always helped me in different ways.

It is also dedicated to my brothers (Dr. Raad Siham, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammed Siham, Mr. Saad Siham, Prof. Dr. Ahmed Siham, Mr. Mustafa Siham) for all the support that they gave me while being here in Malaysia.

I would also like to dedicate this work to all my friends who have helped me in any way.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am honored to present this project as part of my master project study at University Technology Malaysia (UTM). This project as a whole would not have been possible without tremendous support I received.

First of all, my deepest thanks and love goes to Allah, then I would like to thank ministry of higher education in Iraq, Al-Iraqia university, collage of Arts for giving me this opportunity and the financial support to study master degree in Malaysia. In particular, the Dinery of collage of Arts at Al-Iraqia university in especially Prof. Dr. Hussain Al-bahadly, Prof. Dr. Muthanna Naim Hammadi, also, great thanks to the School of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, Johor, Malaysia.

My sincere gratitude and many thanks to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Musa Mohd Mokji, for his insightful comments, assistance, ideas, and support during the whole of my project. I am pleased and appreciative of my time spent working with Dr. Musa.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nasir Shaikh Hussain of the SKE of Computer and Microelectronic Systems is responsible for introducing me to Dr. Musa, who placed his entire confidence in me so that I could successfully complete this project. Without the great cooperation of Assoc. Prof. Nasir, I would not have been able to conduct this research.

I am thankful to my parents, whose continuous love and support keeps me motivated and confident. My achievements and success are a result of their faith in me. My deepest appreciation goes to my brothers, in particular Assoc. Prof Dr. Mohammed and Prof. Dr. Ahmed, who keep me grounded, remind me of what is essential in life, and are always supportive of my adventures.

I would like to thank my colleagues, in particular, Mahoochehr Noghanian Toroghi, who helped me a lot not only during the research time but also like an elder brother who gave advice and shared his life experiences with me to make sure that I would not make any kind of mistakes. Friends, in particular, Dr. Yasir Ahmed Mohammed, who was actually the main reason for coming to study master's in Malaysia, he was always supporting me from the first day I came to Malaysia, and till now, he has not stopped his support and encouragement. What I could tell you is that being close to Dr. Yasir is one of the most beautiful things that happened to me in Malaysia. And finally, those who have helped and supported me in various ways during my studies in particular, Dr. Alaa Mahdi Sahi, Dr. Mohammed khaldoon, Dr. Ihab Hasan, Mr. Mohd Faizal Bin Abdullah, Mr. Farazdack Fawzi, Mr. Mohammed Hussein, Mr. Firas Hamzah, Mrs. Ola Abdulelah Abed, Mr. Thamer Daham.

#### ABSTRACT

The project aims to create a Convolutional neural network (CNN) to detect and classify building cracks. Cracks are a key factor in determining how well-built a concrete structure is since they affect its sturdiness, utility, and safety. Due to its superior image processing capabilities, CNN is rapidly gaining traction as a credible option to replace manual crack detection. Cracks on the concrete surface are one of the earliest signs of structural damage, which is important for maintenance and can cause significant environmental harm. The first step in a manual examination is to sketch the crack and note the conditions. The manual approach is dependent on the specialist's expertise and experience, resulting in a lack of impartiality in quantitative analysis. As an alternative, automated image-based crack detection is suggested where a variety of detection methods are available, such as k-nearest neighbors (KNN), support vector machines (SVM), decision trees (DT), artificial neural networks (ANN), and convolutional neural networks (CNN). These techniques will be used in this project. Positive crack and negative crack are two classes that make up the dataset that will be used with the mentioned strategies, and there are 20,000 photos per class. The images are resized into five different sizes ( $50 \times 50$ ,  $35 \times 35$ ,  $25 \times 25$ ,  $10 \times 10$ , and  $5 \times 5$ ), and then the results are analyzed based on the performance of the techniques used in the project. It is concluded that the performance with low-resolution images is at par with that of high-resolution images. In addition, for the  $50 \times 50$  sample image, the accuracy score of the classifiers (KNN, SVM, DT, ANN, and CNN) was (89, 98, 97, 94, and 99) % respectively, while for the  $5 \times 5$  sample image, the value of the accuracy was (91, 90, 89, 92 and 95) % respectively.

#### ABSTRAK

Projek ini bertujuan untuk mencipta Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) untuk mengesan dan mengklasifikasikan keretakan bangunan. Keretakan adalah faktor penting dalam menentukan sejauh mana kekukuhan struktur konkrit tersebut kerana ianya mampu menjejaskan kekukuhan, utiliti dan keselamatannya. Disebabkan keupayaan pemprosesan imejnya yang unggul, CNN menjadi pilihan yang boleh dipercayai untuk menggantikan pengesanan keretakan secara manual. Keretakan pada permukaan konkrit adalah salah satu tanda awal kerosakan struktur dan penting untuk penyelenggaraan kerana ianya boleh menyebabkan kemudaratan alam sekitar yang ketara. Langkah pertama dalam pemeriksaan manual ialah melakar keretakan dan memeriksa keadaannya. Pendekatan manual bergantung kepada kepakaran dan pengalaman pakar, menjadikan ketidakpastian dalam analisis kuantitatif. Sebagai alternatif, pengesanan retak berasaskan imej automatik dicadangkan di mana pelbagai kaedah pengesanan tersedia, seperti k-nearest neighbours (KNN), support vector machines (SVM), decision trees (DT), artificial neural network (ANN), dan convolutional neural network (CNN). Teknik-teknik ini akan digunakan dalam projek ini. Keretakan positif dan keretakan negatif ialah dua kelas berbeza yang membentuk set data yang akan digunakan dengan strategi yang dinyatakan, dan terdapat 20,000 foto setiap kelas. Imej diubah saiz kepada lima saiz berbeza ( $50 \times 50$ ,  $35 \times 35$ ,  $25 \times 25$ ,  $10 \times 10$ , dan  $5 \times 5$ ), dan kemudian keputusan akan dianalisis berdasarkan prestasi teknik yang digunakan didalam projek ini. Kesimpulannya menunujukkan bahawa prestasi dengan imej resolusi rendah adalah setanding dengan imej resolusi tinggi. Tambahan pula, untuk imej sampel 50×50, skor ketepatan pengelas (KNN, SVM, DT, ANN dan CNN) masing-masing ialah (89, 98, 97, 94 dan 99) %, manakala untuk 5×5 sampel imej, nilai ketepatan adalah (91, 90, 89, 92 dan 95) %.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## TITLE

	DECLARATION			
	DEDICATION			
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT			
	ABSTRACT			
	ABST	TRAK	vii	
	TABLE OF CONTENTS			
	LIST OF TABLES			
LIST OF FIGURES				
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS				
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	XV	
CHAPTER 1		INTRODUCTION	1	
	1.1	Overview	1	
	1.2	Problem Statement	2	
	1.3	Research Objectives	3	
	1.4	.4 Scope of Research		
	1.5 Significant of Research		3	
	1.6	Report Outline	4	
CHAPTER 2		LITERATURE REVIEW	5	
	2.1	Introduction	5	
	2.2	Conventional Machine Learning Methods	5	
		2.2.1 K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) Classifier	6	
		2.2.2 Support Vector Machine (SVM) Classifier	8	
		2.2.3 Decision Tree (DT) Classifier	10	
		2.2.4 Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Classifier	12	
	2.3	Deep Learning Methods	14	

	2.3.1 Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)		
	Classifier	14	
2.4	Related work		
	2.4.1 Conventional Machine Learning	17	
	2.4.2 Deep Learning	20	
2.5	Summary	22	
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	23	
3.1	Introduction	23	
3.2	Project Flow	23	
3.3	Evaluating The Models	26	
	3.3.1 Classification Accuracy	27	
	3.3.2 Confusion Matrix	28	
	3.3.3 Precision and Recall	29	
	3.3.4 F1 Score	29	
	3.3.5 Sensitivity and Specificity	30	
3.4	Optimizing The Models	30	
	3.4.1 Hyperparameters Tuning Using GridSearchCV	31	
	3.4.2 Tuning KNN Hyperparameters	33	
	3.4.3 Tuning SVM Hyperparameters	34	
	3.4.4 Tuning DT Hyperparameters	36	
	3.4.5 Tuning ANN Hyperparameters	37	
	3.4.6 Tuning CNN Hyperparameters	40	
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS	43	
4.1	Introduction	43	
4.2	Evaluating The Models with default settings	43	
4.3	Optimizing The Models (Tuning Hyperparameters)	44	
	4.3.1 The images' Size	45	
	4.3.2 Tuning Models Hyperparameters	46	
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	51	
5.1	Conclusion	51	
5.2	Future Work	51	

REFERENCES	53
Appendices A – E	59 - 68
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	70

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 4.1	Evaluating the crack images using the default setting of KNN, SVM, DT, ANN, and CNN.	43
Table 4.2	Confusion matrix of KNN, SVM, DT, ANN, and CNN.	44
Table 4.3	Samples of Non-Crack and Crack images.	45
Table 4.4	Optimizing the crack images using different sample images.	46
Table 4.5	Optimizing the crack images by KNN, SVM, DT, ANN, and CNN after tuning the hyperparameters.	47
Table 4.6	Confusion matrix of KNN, SVM, DT, ANN, and CNN after tuning the hyperparameters.	48
Table 4.7	Evaluation and optimization performance measure of the models.	49

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	). TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Training of the model in ML.	5
Figure 2.2	Decision making for test data.	5
Figure 2.3	Number of K for KNN classifier.	7
Figure 2.4	Decision making of KNN classifier.	7
Figure 2.5	SVM hyperplane.	8
Figure 2.6	SVM hyperplane (a left, b right).	9
Figure 2.7	Non-linear plane (a left, b right)	10
Figure 2.8	Structure of DT classifier.	11
Figure 2.9	Structure of DT classifier.	12
Figure 2.10	Basic architecture of NN.	13
Figure 2.11	ANN architecture for image classification	13
Figure 2.12	Architecture of a deep network.	14
Figure 2.13	Pooling operation in CNN.	15
Figure 2.14	Convolutional operation in CNN.	16
Figure 2.15	Pooling operation in CNN.	16
Figure 2.16	CNN architecture for image classification	17
Figure 3.1	Overall Project Flow.	24
Figure 3.2	Project implementation flowchart.	26
Figure 3.3	Unequal distribution of classes.	27
Figure 3.4	Confusion matrix.	28
Figure 3.5	Sensitivity and specificity.	30
Figure 3.6	Example of GridSearchCV	32
Figure 3.7	Examples of low and large Gama.	35
Figure 3.8	Examples of learning rates.	41
Figure 3.9	Missing the global minimum.	42

Figure 3.10	a – Standard NN, b- NN after applying dropout.	42
Figure 4.1	Models performance comparison based on the accuracy values	49

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
ML	-	Machine Learning
NN	-	Neural Network
CML	-	Conventional Machine Learning
DL	-	Deep Learning
KNN	-	K-Nearest Neighbors
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
DT	-	Decision Tree
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
CNN	-	Convolutional Neural Network
HP	-	Hyperplane
MMH	-	Maximum Marginal Hyperplane
SV	-	Support Vector
SHM	-	Structural Health Monitoring System
FCN	-	Fully Convolutional Network

### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Python code for K- Nearest Neighbour (KNN) classifier	59
Appendix B	Python code for Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier	61
Appendix C	Python code for Decision Tree (DT) classifier	63
Appendix D	Python code for Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Classifier	66
Appendix E	Python code for Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Classifier	68

### **CHAPTER 1**

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview

In recent years, a surge in interest in research on structural health monitoring has been witnessed. Cracking can occur in a diversity of constructions, including pavements, buildings, and bridges. It is essential to emphasise that cracking may accelerate the degradation process. Therefore, the presence and severity are crucial signs of the need for maintenance. As a result, crack examination is crucial for public safety [1].

Traditionally, the human visual examination was the most prevalent and frequently utilized technique for monitoring cracks in concrete buildings. However, Manual visual inspection has a number of problems, including the fact that it is time consuming, costly, labour intensive, and not as exact as it might be since the inquiry relies on the inspector's expertise. Thus, many research efforts have been made to create automated techniques for detecting building cracks with minimum human involvement in order to circumvent this disadvantage and enhance the accuracy and efficiency of crack detection in buildings [2].

Machine learning (ML) is a kind of algorithm that develops itself automatically based on experience rather than via the intervention of a programmer who writes a better algorithm. The algorithm acquires experience by processing increasing amounts of data and then changing itself in response to the data's characteristics [3].

ML has become a prominent method in nearly every area due to its ability to execute various tasks with exceptional performance. By giving enough data, ML algorithms may automatically digest the data's inherent information, such as hidden structures or connections. Traditionally used ML methods need a specified feature extraction step to decrease the data's complexity and enhance the visibility of patterns to ML algorithms. Nevertheless, even with more data, this restricts the models' performance. The term "deep learning" refers to a subset of ML techniques that makes use of neural networks (NNs) with several layers and has grown in popularity in recent years. Compared to conventional machine learning (CML), DL methods are more intelligent since the data's characteristics are automatically learnt throughout the training process. DL does not need a predetermined feature extraction step. By providing more data, it is possible to train a more general and robust model [4].

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Classification is a supervised learning technique that utilizes a discrete target variable (or categorical). Various machine learning techniques are used to identify numerous patterns and trends. Not all data sets or use cases respond best to one method. You must run several tests, evaluate the machine learning algorithms, and fine-tune their hyperparameters to get the optimal outcome.

The process of identifying the set of inputs for an objective function that yields the highest or minimum value is called optimization. It is a significant challenge that underlies many machine learning methods. There are several optimization algorithms and many algorithms available in popular scientific code libraries. When faced with an optimization challenge, it could be hard to decide which algorithms to test.

There is a need to create an automated technique, for detecting building cracks with minimum human involvement. Researchers are developing models that will operate on previously unknown data. As a result, a comprehensive and flexible assessment is needed to develop a viable model.

The evaluation and optimization of the classification algorithms using very low-size images are significantly critical for creating a robust model over time. This can be done by covering a variety of different metrics and their associated benefits and disadvantages

### **1.3** Research Objectives

The project's primary aims are as follows:

- 1. To evaluate machine learning models in detecting cracked concrete surfaces using a small dimensional image.
- 2. To optimize the hyperparameters of the machine learning models to achieve the best scenario.

#### 1.4 Scope of Research

This project used KNN, SVM, DT, ANN, and CNN classifiers to evaluate and optimize the cracked concrete surface of the structure. The primary programming language utilized throughout the project was Python. A dataset of cracked concrete surface images divided into two classes, negative and positive, has been used for the classification. Then, the data is stored with class labelling. Following that, the data is partitioned into test and train. KNN, DT, SVM, ANN, and CNN classifiers are applied for matching with the test and train data, and the performance of these classifiers is determined based on the accuracy of the classifications.

#### 1.5 Significant of Research

Edge computing is the process of gathering, processing, and analyzing data near to its source. Edge devices must assess the data they collect and take appropriate action when necessary to be considered intelligent. Edge computing is the study of having devices at the edge of a network do this job without sending the data to another server environment. Edge processing will become less complex and faster in computations if small-dimensional images are fed to machine learning algorithms.

### 1.6 Report Outline

Chapter 2 summarizes relevant research suggested or presented by other researchers. The chapter discusses the background research for this project. Chapter 3 presents the methodology and the project's approach. Software-related methods and processes are described in detail. Chapter 4 depicts the results of the classifiers before and after optimizing their hyperparameters. Finally, in chapter 5, a summary of findings, conclusions, and a list of recommendations have been described.

#### REFERENCES

- Friswell, M.I. and Penny, J.E., 2002. Crack modeling for structural health monitoring. *Structural health monitoring*, 1(2), pp.139-148.
- [2] Coca, G.L., Romanescu, Ş.C., Botez, Ş.M. and Iftene, A., 2020. Crack detection system in AWS Cloud using Convolutional neural networks. *Procedia Computer Science*, 176, pp.400-409.
- [3] Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y. and Courville, A., 2016. Machine learning basics. *Deep learning*, *1*(7), pp.98-164.
- [4] Deng, L. and Yu, D., 2014. Deep learning: methods and applications. *Foundations and trends in signal processing*, 7(3–4), pp.197-387.
- [5] Fujita, Y., Shimada, K., Ichihara, M. and Hamamoto, Y., 2017, May. A method based on machine learning using hand-crafted features for crack detection from asphalt pavement surface images. In *Thirteenth International Conference on Quality Control by Artificial Vision 2017* (Vol. 10338, p. 103380I). International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- [6] Cubero-Fernandez, A., Rodriguez-Lozano, F.J., Villatoro, R., Olivares, J. and Palomares, J.M., 2017. Efficient pavement crack detection and classification. *EURASIP Journal on Image and Video Processing*, 2017(1), pp.1-11.
- [7] Tian, L., Cheng, Y., Yin, C., Ding, D., Song, Y. and Bai, L., 2017. Design of the MOI method based on the artificial neural network for crack detection. *Neurocomputing*, 226, pp.80-89.
- [8] Ibrahim, A., Osman, M.K., Yusof, N.A.M., Ahmad, K.A., Harun, N.H. and Raof, R.A.A., 2019. Characterization of cracking in pavement distress using image processing techniques and k-Nearest neighbour. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 14(2), p.810.

- [9] Jayasundara, N., Thambiratnam, D.P., Chan, T.H.T. and Nguyen, A., 2020. Damage detection and quantification in deck type arch bridges using vibration based methods and artificial neural networks. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 109, p.104265.
- [10] Jitendra, M.S., Srinivasu, P.N., Shanmuk Srinivas, A., Nithya, A. and Kandulapati, S.K., 2020. Crack detection on concrete images using classification techniques in machine learning. J. Crit. Rev, 7, pp.1236-1241.
- [11] Aboutabit, N., 2020. Reduced featured based projective integral for road cracks detection and classification. *Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis*, 30(2), pp.247-255.
- [12] Dais, D., Bal, I.E., Smyrou, E. and Sarhosis, V., 2021. Automatic crack classification and segmentation on masonry surfaces using convolutional neural networks and transfer learning. *Automation in Construction*, 125, p.103606.
- [13] Nguyen, N.H.T., Perry, S., Bone, D., Le, H.T. and Nguyen, T.T., 2021. Two-stage convolutional neural network for road crack detection and segmentation. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 186, p.115718.
- [14] Xu, H., Su, X., Wang, Y., Cai, H., Cui, K. and Chen, X., 2019. Automatic bridge crack detection using a convolutional neural network. *Applied Sciences*, 9(14), p.2867.
- [15] Dung, C.V., 2019. Autonomous concrete crack detection using deep fully convolutional neural network. *Automation in Construction*, 99, pp.52-58.
- [16] Botta, B., Gattam, S.S.R. and Datta, A.K., 2022. Eggshell crack detection using deep convolutional neural networks. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 315, p.110798.
- [17] Chevalier, M., Thome, N., Hénaff, G. and Cord, M., 2018. Classifying lowresolution images by integrating privileged information in deep CNNs. Pattern Recognition Letters, 116, pp.29-35.

- [18] Chen, Hongyuan, Yanting Pei, Hongwei Zhao, and Yaping Huang. "Superresolution guided knowledge distillation for low-resolution image classification." Pattern Recognition Letters 155 (2022): 62-68.
- [19] Śkrabánek, P., 2018. DeepGrapes: Precise Detection of Grapes in Low-resolution Images. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 51(6), pp.185-189.
- [20] Kohavi, R., 1998. Glossary of terms. Special issue on applications of machine learning and the knowledge discovery process, 30(271), pp.127-132.
- [21] Zhao, P. and Lai, L., 2021. Minimax rate optimal adaptive nearest neighbor classification and regression. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 67(5), pp.3155-3182.
- [22] Zhuang, J., Cai, J., Wang, R., Zhang, J. and Zheng, W.S., 2020, October. Deep kNN for Medical Image Classification. In International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention (pp. 127-136). Springer, Cham.
- [23] Reddy, G.T., Bhattacharya, S., Ramakrishnan, S.S., Chowdhary, C.L., Hakak, S., Kaluri, R. and Reddy, M.P.K., 2020, February. An ensemble based machine learning model for diabetic retinopathy classification. In 2020 international conference on emerging trends in information technology and engineering (ic-ETITE) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [24] Cortes, C. and Vapnik, V., 1995. Support-vector networks. *Machine learning*, 20(3), pp.273-297.
- [25] Crammer, K. and Singer, Y., 2001. On the algorithmic implementation of multiclass kernel-based vector machines. *Journal of machine learning research*, 2(Dec), pp.265-292.
- [26] Nti, I.K., Nyarko-Boateng, O., Adekoya, F.A. and Weyori, B.A., 2021. An empirical assessment of different kernel functions on the performance of

support vector machines. *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, 10(6), pp.3403-3411.

- [27] Rejani, Y. and Selvi, S.T., 2009. Early detection of breast cancer using SVM classifier technique. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0912.2314*..
- [28] Rokach, L. and Maimon, O., 2005. Top-down induction of decision trees classifiers-a survey. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews)*, 35(4), pp.476-487.
- [29] Hasan, S.M., Jakilim, N.M. and Rabbi, M.F., 2021. Determine the Most Effective Machine Learning Technique for Detecting Phishing Websites (No. 6497). EasyChair.
- [30] Zou, J., Han, Y. and So, SS, 2008. Overview of artificial neural networks. *Artificial Neural Networks*, pp.14-22.
- [31] Heidari, E., Sobati, M.A. and Movahedirad, S., 2016. Accurate prediction of nanofluid viscosity using a multilayer perceptron artificial neural network (MLP-ANN). *Chemometrics and intelligent laboratory systems*, 155, pp.73-85.
- [32] Schmidhuber, J., 2015. Deep learning in neural networks: An overview. *Neural networks*, *61*, pp.85-117.
- [33] Shaha, M. and Pawar, M., 2018, March. Transfer learning for image classification. In 2018 Second International Conference on Electronics, Communication and Aerospace Technology (ICECA) (pp. 656-660). IEEE.
- [34] Sun, L., Tang, Y. and Zhang, L., 2017. Rural building detection in high-resolution imagery based on a two-stage CNN model. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 14(11), pp.1998-2002.
- [35] Ciresan, D.C., Meier, U., Masci, J., Gambardella, L.M. and Schmidhuber, J., 2011, June. Flexible, high performance convolutional neural networks for image classification. In *Twenty-second international joint conference on artificial intelligence*.

- [36] Kim, J.H., Lee, H., Hong, S.J., Kim, S., Park, J., Hwang, J.Y. and Choi, J.P., 2018. Objects segmentation from high-resolution aerial images using U-Net with pyramid pooling layers. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 16(1), pp.115-119.
- [37] Liu, K., Kang, G., Zhang, N. and Hou, B., 2018. Breast cancer classification based on fully-connected layer first convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Access*, 6, pp.23722-23732.

### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

 Low-Resolution Image Classification of Cracked Concrete Surface Using Decision Tree Technique, the 3rd International Conference on Control, Instrumentation and Mechatronics Engineering (CIM 2022), 30-31 Mar 2022. School of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and the Malaysian Simulation Society (MSS) and Malaysian Society for Automatic Control Engineers (MACE).