

MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL OF AN
OVERHEAD CRANE SYSTEM

MUHAMMAD SYAFIQ BIN MONAER

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL OF AN
OVERHEAD CRANE SYSTEM

MUHAMMAD SYAFIQ BIN MONAER

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechatronics and Automatic Control)

School of Electrical Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2022

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to all my family members who have been supporting me financially and mentally. Moreover, they have been encouraging me to be consistent in this work from the start of the journey until the end. This thesis is also dedicated to all my colleagues in this MKEM course. They were always there to push me when the going got tough and helped me throughout. Last but not least, my work here is also dedicated to all my friends in Robotic Laboratory, who always inspire me and also encourage me to explore more knowledge and go deeper into this field of study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed to my understanding, from the theory until the real implementation. In particular, I wish to express my gratitude to my main thesis supervisor Prof. Dr. Zaharuddin B Mohamed, for being really supportive in my journey from the beginning until the end.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing me with a conducive learning environment and the necessary facilities to complete my work successfully.

My fellow postgraduate students in Robotic Laboratory should also be recognized for their support. They provided a lot of guidance based on their knowledge and experiences, especially in helping to use the laboratory crane for my experiment. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Last but not least, I am grateful to all my family members that kept supporting and motivating me to finish my work.

ABSTRACT

This project explores and studies the application of Model Predictive Control (MPC) to an overhead crane system. Overhead crane is a machine used in industrial site such as construction and manufacturing site, in order to move hazardous materials or heavy loads from current place to another desired location. While transporting the payload to desired place, the payload oscillation must be minimized for a safety operation. This makes an overhead crane to be an under-actuated system that need to control more process variables with less manipulated variables. Due to this complexity of the dynamical system, it is very challenging to reduce or eliminate the payload swing angle during the trolley positioning. In addition, constraint is needed to be concerned when designing a controller for the overhead crane system. Therefore, MPC which has the advantage of dealing with constraint, is proposed to have more precise trolley positioning and low payload oscillation during the crane motion. The project starts by deriving the mathematical model using the Euler-Lagrange equation of an overhead crane system. Then, Optimal Predictive Control (OPC), which is one of the type of MPC, was selected for MPC design and then applied to the overhead crane system in a simulation. The result shows that all constraints were satisfied when the overhead crane system was controlled by using OPC. Subsequently, the MPC design was implemented on a laboratory scale crane to investigate the real-time implementation and performance of the controller. The result shows that a desired steady-state value can be achieved in the experiment. However, for transient response, there was a slight deviation for the system responses between the simulation and experiment, which may be due to the deviation between model in simulation and the laboratory crane system.

Keywords: Overhead crane, Model Predictive Control and Optimal Predictive Control.

ABSTRAK

Projek ini bertujuan menerokai aplikasi Kawalan Ramalan Model pada sistem kren jambatan. Kren jambatan adalah mesin yang digunakan di tapak perindustrian seperti tapak pembinaan dan kawasan pembuatan, bagi mengangkut bahan merbahaya atau muatan berat dari tempat semasa ke tempat baru yang dikehendaki. Apabila mengangkut muatan ke tempat yang dikehendaki, ayunan muatan mesti dikurangkan untuk keselamatan semasa operasi. Fenomena ini menjadikan sistem kren jambatan sebagai sistem kurang tindakan yang mana sistem ini perlu menggunakan sedikit pembolehubah manipulasi untuk mengawal pembolehubah proses yang mempunyai kuantiti yang lebih banyak. Oleh kerana kerumitan sistem dinamik yang sebegini, proses mengurangkan ayunan muatan ketika muatan diangkut adalah sangat mencabar. Tambahan pula, kekangan perlu diambil kira ketika mereka bentuk pengawal bagi sistem kren jambatan. Oleh yang demikian, Kawalan Ramalan Model (MPC) yang mempunyai kelebihan dalam mengurus kekangan, dicadangkan untuk digunakan bagi mendapatkan pergerakan kren yang lebih tepat dan juga ayunan muatan yang lebih minimum apabila kren bergerak. Projek ini bermula dengan penghasilan model matematik menggunakan persamaan Euler-Lagrange untuk sistem kren jambatan, diikuti dengan mereka bentuk MPC menggunakan Kawalan Ramalan Optimum (OPC) yang merupakan salah satu jenis dari MPC, untuk diaplikasikan kepada sistem kren jambatan dalam simulasi. Hasilnya, semua kekangan berjaya dipenuhi apabila sistem kren jambatan dikawal menggunakan OPC. Kemudian, proses mereka bentuk MPC diaplikasikan terhadap kren makmal untuk mengkaji aplikasi pada masa sebenar dan prestasi pengawal. Hasilnya, nilai keadaan tunak yang dikehendaki berjaya dicapai dalam eksperimen. Namun yang demikian, bagi respon sewaktu keadaan sementara, wujudnya perbezaan yang sedikit antara respon dari simulasi dibandingkan dengan yang di eksperimen, yang disebabkan oleh perbezaan antara model dari simulasi dan kren makmal.

Kata kunci: Kren jambatan, Kawalan Ramalan Model dan Kawalan Ramalan Optimum.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | III |
| | DEDICATION | IV |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | V |
| | ABSTRACT | VI |
| | ABSTRAK | VII |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | VIII |
| | LIST OF TABLES | X |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | XI |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | XIII |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | XIV |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Research Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 4 |
| 1.4 | Research Scopes | 4 |
| 1.5 | Outline of the Report | 4 |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 5 |
| 2.2 | Finding from Past Research | 5 |
| 2.2.1 | Modelling of an Overhead Crane System | 6 |
| 2.2.2 | Types of MPC | 7 |
| 2.2.3 | Combination with AI Techniques | 8 |
| 2.2.4 | Countermeasure for Computational Complexity | 8 |
| 2.2.5 | Other MPC Applications | 9 |
| 2.3 | Chapter Summary | 9 |

| | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 10 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 10 |
| 3.2 | System Modelling | 11 |
| 3.3 | MPC Study | 17 |
| | 3.3.1 Basic of MPC | 17 |
| | 3.3.2 Optimal Predictive Control | 21 |
| 3.4 | Implementation of MPC Design | 26 |
| | 3.4.1 Simulation | 26 |
| | 3.4.2 Experiment | 31 |
| 3.5 | Chapter Summary | 32 |
| CHAPTER 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 33 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 33 |
| 4.2 | Results and Discussion | 33 |
| | 4.2.1 Simulation | 33 |
| | 4.2.2 Experiment | 43 |
| 4.3 | Chapter Summary | 51 |
| CHAPTER 5 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS | 52 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 52 |
| 5.2 | Future Works | 52 |
| REFERENCES | | 53 |
| APPENDIX A | | 56 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| Table 3.1 | Division of work into Master Project 1 and Master Project 2 | 11 |
| Table 3.2 | System parameter in system modelling | 13 |
| Table 3.3 | System parameter for laboratory overhead crane | 31 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| Figure 1.1 | Types of cranes : (a) An overhead/gantry crane (b) A tower/rotary crane (c) A boom crane | 1 |
| Figure 2.1 | Summary of the content in literature review | 6 |
| Figure 3.1 | Project workflow | 10 |
| Figure 3.2 | Simple pendulum overhead crane system | 12 |
| Figure 3.3 | Open-loop response : (a) Input signal and trolley displacement (b) Swing angle | 16 |
| Figure 3.4 | Input of the system | 14 |
| Figure 3.5 | System response when mass of payload was changed : (a) Trolley displacement (b) Swing angle | 15 |
| Figure 3.6 | System response when length of cable was changed : (a) Trolley displacement (b) Swing angle | 16 |
| Figure 3.7 | Mechanism of MPC algorithm | 17 |
| Figure 3.8 | Block diagram of MPC | 26 |
| Figure 3.9 | Simulink diagram of MPC design in simulation | 27 |
| Figure 3.10 | Flow of the simulation | 28 |
| Figure 3.11 | Input of the system | 29 |
| Figure 3.12 | Open-loop response : (a) Trolley displacement (b) Swing angle | 29 |
| Figure 3.13 | Laboratory overhead crane | 31 |
| Figure 3.14 | Simulink diagram of MPC design in experiment | 32 |
| Figure 4.1 | Manipulated variable and system responses for 0.3 m as desired trolley displacement : (a) control input (b) trolley displacement (c) swing angle | 33 |
| Figure 4.2 | Manipulated variable and system responses for 0.4 m as desired trolley displacement : (a) control input | |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| | (b) trolley displacement (c) swing angle | 35 |
| Figure 4.3 | Manipulated variable and system responses for 0.5 m as desired trolley displacement : (a) control input (b) trolley displacement (c) swing angle | 36 |
| Figure 4.4 | Manipulated variable and system responses for $\pm 4^\circ$ as the constraint for swing angle : (a) control input (b) trolley displacement (c) swing angle | 38 |
| Figure 4.5 | Manipulated variable and system responses for $\pm 3^\circ$ as the constraint for swing angle : (a) control input (b) trolley displacement (c) swing angle | 40 |
| Figure 4.6 | Manipulated variable and system responses for $\pm 2^\circ$ as the constraint for swing angle : (a) control input (b) trolley displacement (c) swing angle | 41 |
| Figure 4.7 | System responses for 0.3 m as desired trolley displacement : (a) trolley displacement (b) swing angle | 43 |
| Figure 4.8 | System responses for 0.4 m as desired trolley displacement : (a) trolley displacement (b) swing angle | 44 |
| Figure 4.9 | System responses for $\pm 4^\circ$ as the constraint for swing angle : (a) trolley displacement (b) swing angle | 46 |
| Figure 4.10 | System responses for $\pm 3^\circ$ as the constraint for swing angle : (a) trolley displacement (b) swing angle | 47 |
| Figure 4.11 | System responses for $\pm 2^\circ$ as the constraint for swing angle : (a) trolley displacement (b) swing angle | 48 |
| Figure 4.12 | System responses when the constraint value of swing angle was changed: (a) trolley displacement (b) swing angle | 50 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|------|---|------------------------------------|
| MPC | - | Model Predictive Control |
| NMPC | - | Nonlinear Model Predictive Control |
| GPC | - | Generalized Predictive Control |
| OPC | - | Optimal Predictive Control |
| AI | - | Artificial Intelligence |
| 2-D | - | Two-dimensional |
| 3-D | - | Three-dimensional |
| FPGA | - | Field Programmable Gate Array |
| UTM | - | Universiti Teknologi Malaysia |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|-------------|------|
| Appendix A | Gantt Chart | 56 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Crane is a machine that is used to move hazardous materials or heavy loads from a current place to another desired location. This machine is commonly used in industrial sites such as manufacturing and construction sites. Cranes can be classified into 3 types, according to their motions and their structures: (a) overhead/gantry crane, (b) tower/rotary crane and (c) boom crane. All of these types of crane can be referred as in Figure 1.1.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 1.1 Types of cranes : (a) An overhead/gantry crane
(b) A tower/rotary crane (c) A boom crane

Crane system is actually an under-actuated system [1] since the number of actuators used in the crane are less than its degrees of freedom. So it is difficult to control more process variables with less manipulated variables. For a basic crane system, a force input is applied to move the payload to desired place while minimizing the payload oscillation, in order to ensure safety during operation of crane, and also for a smooth and efficient way to handle the crane during the operation [2]. If the payload oscillation is not minimized, it will affect the accuracy of crane positioning, the safety during operation and also the quality of crane handling [3]. In industrial site, a failure in controlling the payload oscillation can make the operator faces the difficulty during handling the crane, and would also downgrade the condition of the load or the operating environment around that site. Production volume will also be slower if time required to complete this task of moving the payload to desired place while minimizing the payload oscillation, become longer.

In addition, the changes in mass of payload and also the varying length of payload cable due to payload hoisting, may induce payload swinging, twisting and bouncing [4]. These factors will cause an excessive payload swing that makes the operator become more difficult to operate the crane in order to get a good and accurate crane positioning with minimum payload oscillation. Due to this matter, research on payload swing control is still relevant nowadays, and remains as one of the interested research problem among researchers that are involved in designing control algorithm for the under-actuated crane system.

For the proposed controller of MPC, it is actually a discrete closed-loop optimal control algorithm. MPC has been used for controlling many systems, such as control of an overhead crane system [5], [6], [7], [8] which is the main focus in this research work. This controller is widely used because it has lot of advantages compared to conventional controller [9], especially in term of handling with constraints [10], [11]. It has been proved that MPC has achieved not only satisfied performance of stability and robustness [12], but this controller also can deal with nonlinearity as well [13], [14].

1.2 Problem Statement

Overhead crane system is an under-actuated system that need to control more process variables while operating less manipulated variables. Therefore, it is difficult to balance the performance of all output in one time [15]. For example, a specific controller can be designed to get very fast response for the trolley displacement. However, system response for the payload oscillation will get worse due to this controller design. If controller designer put more priority on getting very low oscillation for the payload swing, system response for the trolley displacement will be slower. This is the challenge while designing control algorithm for underactuated system like overhead crane system. While aiming for the best response for all output, input constraint need to be considered to prevent actuator from getting damage. For 2-D overhead crane with hoisting payload [4], [16], the number of actuators has increased from 1 to 2 motors in order to drive both trolley and hoisting movement. The increase of number of actuators will increase the number of constraints needed to be concerned [10], [11], and controller will be more difficult to be designed for more complex multivariable system.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research work are as follows :

- i. To obtain a dynamic model of an overhead crane with experimental validation.
- ii. To design and simulate MPC for control of an overhead crane.
- iii. To analyze MPC design at real overhead crane system and validate its performance in real-time implementation.

1.4 Research Scopes

The scopes of this research work are as follows :

- i. A single pendulum overhead crane is considered.
- ii. Both simulation and experimental work are carried out.
- iii. Optimal Predictive Control is considered for control design.
- iv. The payload cable is assumed to be inextensible.
- v. The payload is considered as a point mass.

1.5 Outline of the Report

This report is organized in five chapters, which are Introduction, Literature Review, Research Methodology, and Results and discussions. Firstly, Introduction is consists of research background, problem statement, objectives, and scopes of this research work. Chapter 2 presents literature review about the application of MPC for an overhead crane system from past research. Chapter 3 is about research methodology which is consists of its guidelines and management for this research work. Chapter 4 highlights results and discussion about the performance of MPC design for an overhead crane system, for both simulation and experimental work. Finally, chapter 5 provides conclusion and future works.

REFERENCES

- [1] Martin, I.A. and R.A. Irani, "Evaluation of both linear and non-linear control strategies for a shipboard marine gantry crane," *OCEANS 2019 MTS/IEEE SEATTLE*, pp. 1–10, 2019.
- [2] Van den Broeck, L., M. Diehl, and J. Swevers, "Experimental validation of time optimal MPC on a flexible motion system," *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, pp. 4749–4754, 2011.
- [3] Giacomelli, M., et al., "MPC-PID control of operator-in-the-loop overhead cranes: a practical approach," *7th International Conference on Systems and Control (ICSC)*, pp. 321–326, 2018.
- [4] Ileš, Š., et al., "Stabilizing model predictive control of a gantry crane based on flexible set-membership constraints," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 48, no. 23, pp. 248–253, 2015.
- [5] Hanchevici, A.-B. and I. Necoara, "Networked control strategies for a 3 dimensional crane," *IEEE International Conference on Control Applications*, pp. 891–896, 2012.
- [6] Tanaka, Y., et al., "Development of high speed controller of container crane by binary input using mixed logical dynamical system," *4th International Conference on Innovative Computing, Information and Control (ICICIC)*, pp. 181–184, 2009.
- [7] Tanaka, Y., et al., "Control of container crane by binary input using mixed logical dynamical system," *International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems*, pp. 13–17, 2008.
- [8] Giacomelli, M., et al., "Model predictive control for operator-in-the-loop overhead cranes," *IEEE 23rd International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, pp. 589–596, 2018.
- [9] Jolevski, D. and O. Bego, "Model predictive control of gantry/bridge crane with anti-sway algorithm," *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 827–834, 2015.
- [10] Chen, H., Y. Fang, and N. Sun, "A swing constraint guaranteed MPC algorithm for underactuated overhead cranes," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 2543–2555, 2016.

- [11] Guo, N., et al., “A novel anti-swing system design using MPC controller with guaranteed constraints,” *Chinese Automation Congress (CAC)*, pp. 4505–4510, 2017.
- [12] Smoczek, J. and J. Szpytko, “Generalized predictive control of an overhead crane,” *20th International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR)*, pp. 614–619, 2015.
- [13] Deng, J. and V.M. Becerra, “Application of constrained predictive control on a 3D crane system,” *IEEE Conference on Robotics, Automation and Mechatronics*, pp. 583–587, 2004.
- [14] Galuppini, G., L. Magni, and D.M. Raimondo, “Model predictive control of systems with deadzone and saturation,” *Control Engineering Practice*, vol. 78, pp. 56–64, 2018.
- [15] Khatamianfar, A. and A.V. Savkin, “A new tracking control approach for 3D overhead crane systems using model predictive control,” *European Control Conference (ECC)*, pp. 796–801, 2014.
- [16] Barva, P. and P. eor áček, “Control methods for gantry crane,” *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 33, no. 31, pp. 225–230, 2000.
- [17] Schindele, D. and H. Aschemann, “Fast nonlinear MPC for an overhead travelling crane,” *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 7963–7968, 2011.
- [18] Chen, H., et al., “Adaptive safe distance prediction using MPC for bridge cranes considering anti-swing,” *Chinese Automation Congress (CAC)*, pp. 1914–1919, 2020.
- [19] Giacomelli, M., et al., “Comparison of linear and nonlinear MPC on operator-in-the-loop overhead cranes,” *7th International Conference on Control, Mechatronics and Automation (ICCMA)*, pp. 221–225, 2019.
- [20] Patne, V., D. Ingole, and D. Sonawane, “FPGA implementation framework for low latency nonlinear model predictive control,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 7020–7025, 2020.
- [21] Debrouwere, F., et al., “Experimental validation of combined nonlinear optimal control and estimation of an overhead crane,” *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 9617–9622, 2014.
- [22] Mendonça, L., J. Sousa, and J.S. da Costa, “Fault tolerant control using fuzzy MPC,” *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 39, no. 13, pp. 1425–1430, 2006.

- [23] Sousa, J., et al., “Fuzzy filters applied to predictive control of MIMO processes,” *European Control Conference (ECC)*, pp. 108–113, 2001.
- [24] Mendonça, L.F., et al., “Fuzzy issues in multivariable predictive control,” *12th IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ'03)*, vol. 1, pp. 506–511, 2003.
- [25] Smoczek, J. and J. Szpytko, “Comparision of model predictive, input shaping and feedback control for a lab-scaled overhead crane,” *21st International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR)*, pp. 288–293, 2016.
- [26] Smoczek, J. and J. Szpytko, “Constrained generalized predictive control with particle swarm optimizer for an overhead crane,” *22nd International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation and Robotics (MMAR)*, pp. 756–761, 2017.
- [27] Smoczek, J. and J. Szpytko, “Particle swarm optimization-based multivariable generalized predictive control for an overhead crane,” *IEEE/ASME transactions on mechatronics*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 258–268, 2016.
- [28] Hewing, L. and M.N. Zeilinger, “Scenario-based probabilistic reachable sets for recursively feasible stochastic model predictive control,” *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 450–455, 2019.
- [29] Käpernick, B. and K. Graichen, “Model predictive control of an overhead crane using constraint substitution,” *American Control Conference*, pp. 3973–3978, 2013.
- [30] Wu, Z. and X. Xia, “Energy efficiency of overhead cranes,” *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 19–24, 2014.
- [31] Wu, Z., X. Xia, and B. Zhu, “Model predictive control for improving operational efficiency of overhead cranes,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 2639–2657, 2015.