FACTORS INFLUENCING MHEALTH ADOPTION AMONG MALAYSIANS WITH CONFIRMED COVID-19

AHMAD ABID BIN ABAS

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the

requirements for the award of the degree of

Master in Business Administration (Healthcare Management)

Azman Hashim International Business School (AHIBS)

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2022

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my wife, Safiqah, who has been a constant source of support and encouragement during the challenges of graduate school and life. Not to forget, to my daughter, Sofie whom I can't force myself to stop loving and to all my family, the symbol of love and giving. May Allah bless us all with health, happiness, patience and strength

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to those who have assisted along the way in my journey throughout completing of business research project (BRP) and contributed their precious time and effort. I would never been able to finish this research without guidance ans tireless support from supervisor, course mates, research assistants, colleagues and family.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Dr Haliyana Binti Khalid for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. In addition, I want to express huge thanks to respondents who were willing to spend their invaluable time in completing questionnaires and interviews.

Last but not least, I am sincerely grant my gratitude and appreciation to every single help that contribute to the success of this research project.

ABSTRACT

At times of COVID-19 pandemic, mHealth (mobile health) played an important role in the public healthcare delivery system. mHealth could be used to monitor patients with mild symptoms who had tested COVID-19 and on home quarantine. The objectives of this study were to evaluate the factors contributing the intention to use and usage behavior on mHealth and investigate the role of home quarantine on mHealth adoption. This study applied the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology 2 (UTAUT2) model to assess mHealth technology adoption. The study outcome explored the factors involved in mHealth technology adoption or rejection among Malaysians with confirmed COVID-19. This research applied to mixed method triangulation study design and divided into two phases. Phase one was quantitative method which was a cross-sectional study using a validated questionnaire. Subsequently phase two of this study was commenced using a qualitative method to the selected participants via convenience purposive sampling. A total of 101 respondents were eligible for the research survey and 5 individual for interview phase. Analyzed using Spearman's correlation coefficient; Performance Expectancy (PE), Effort Expectancy (EE), Facilitating Condition (FC), Social Influence (SI), Habit, Price Value (PV), Hedonic Motivation (HM) and Usage Behavior (USE) showed significant correlation for mHealth adoption. However no significant correlation between Home Quarantine (HQ) & mHealth adoption was demonstrated. By qualitative findings, Effort Expectancy (EE), Facilitating Conditions (FC), Hedonic Motivation (HM) and Behavioral Intention (BI) were contribute to barriers to use of mHealh technology among quarantined individuals. Findings in this study might be useful for healthcare institution, mHealth application developer and clinicians to identify major barriers of mHealh usage and finding ways for concrete solutions on remote monitoring assessment. Adoption and continuance of mHealth usage for home quarantined COVID-19 individuals were important for clinicians to assess and detecting early an abnormality to prevent silent hypoxia and worsening symptoms that lead to home mortality.

ABSTRAK

Pada masa pandemik COVID-19, mHealth (kesihatan mudah alih) memainkan peranan penting dalam sistem penyampaian penjagaan kesihatan awam. mHealth boleh digunakan untuk memantau pesakit dengan gejala ringan pada pesakit COVID-19 dan menjalani kuarantin di rumah. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menilai faktor-faktor yang menyumbang kepada keinginan dan tingkah laku penggunaan pada mHealth dan mengenal pasti peranan kuarantin di rumah terhadap penggunaan mHealth. Kajian ini menggunakan model Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology 2 (UTAUT2) untuk menilai penggunaan teknologi mHealth. Hasil dapatan kajian adalah untuk merungkai faktor yang terlibat dalam penggunaan atau penolakan teknologi mHealth dalam kalangan rakyat Malaysia yang disahkan positif COVID-19. Penyelidikan ini mengaplikasi bentuk kajian triangulasi kaedah campuran (mixed-method) dan dibahagikan kepada dua fasa. Fasa satu ialah kaedah kuantitatif iaitu kajian keratan rentas menggunakan soal selidik yang telah disahkan. Seterusnya fasa dua kajian ini menggunakan kaedah kualitatif kepada peserta terpilih melalui persampelan bertujuan mudah. Seramai 101 responden layak untuk tinjauan penyelidikan dan 5 individu untuk fasa temu duga. Analisis menggunakan pekali perkaitan Spearman; Jangkaan Prestasi (PE), Jangkaan Usaha (EE), Keadaan Memudahcara (FC), Pengaruh Sosial (SI), Tabiat, Nilai Harga (PV), Motivasi Hedonik (HM) dan Tingkah Laku Penggunaan (USE) menunjukkan perkaitan yang signifikan untuk penggunaan mHealth. Walau bagaimanapun, tiada kaitan yang ketara antara Kuarantin Rumah (HQ) & penggunaan mHealth telah ditunjukkan. Mengikut penemuan kualitatif, Jangkaan Usaha (EE), Keadaan Memudahkan (FC), Motivasi Hedonik (HM) dan Niat Tingkah Laku (BI) menyumbang kepada halangan penggunaan teknologi mHealh dalam kalangan individu yang dikuarantin. Penemuan dalam kajian ini berguna untuk institusi penjagaan kesihatan, pembangun aplikasi mHealth dan perawat kesihatan untuk mengenal pasti halangan utama penggunaan mHealh dan mencari jalan untuk penyelesaian jangka panjang mengenai penilaian pemantauan kesihatan jarak jauh. Penggunaan dan penerusan penggunaan mHealth untuk individu COVID-19 yang dikuarantin di rumah adalah penting bagi doktor untuk menilai dan mengesan awal keabnormalan untuk mengelakkan hipoksia senyap dan gejala yang semakin teruk yang membawa kepada kematian di rumah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION OF THESIS	I
	SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	II
	STATEMENT OF AWARD FOR THESIS	III
	DECLARATION	IV
	DEDICATION	V
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	VI
	ABSTRACT	VII
	ABSTRAK	VIII
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	IX
	LIST OF TABLES	XIV
	LIST OF FIGURES	XV
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XVI
	LIST OF APPENDICES	XVII
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the Study	2
1.2	Problem Statement	3

1.3	Research Questions	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	5
1.5	Scope of Study	5

1.2

1.6	Limitation of Study	6
1.7	Significance of Study	7
1.8	Variables and Important Terminologies	8
	1.8.1 Variables	8
	1.8.2 Important Terminologies.	9
1.9	Thesis Organization	11
CHAPTER 2	BUSINESS ISSUE EXPLORATION	12
2.0	INTRODUCTION	12
2.1	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Tech (UTAUT2)	nology 12
	2.1.1 Performance Expectancy	13
	2.1.2 Effort Expectancy	13
	2.1.3 Social Influence	13
	2.1.4 Facilitating Conditions	13
	2.1.5 Hedonic Motivation	13
	2.1.6 Price Value	14
	2.1.7 Habit	14
	2.1.8 Home Quarantine	14
	2.1.9 Behavioral Intention	14
	2.1.10 Actual Usage Behavior	14
2.2	Study Context	14
2.3	Prior and Updated Studies Relevant to Topic	18
2.4	Conceptual Framework of Research	19
	2.4.1 Hypothesis Development	21

2.4.1.2 The Relationship between Effort Expectancy and mHealth user adoption.212.4.1.3 The Relationship between Social Influence and mHealth user adoption.212.4.1.4 The Relationship between Facilitating Condition and mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.5 The Relationship between Hedonic Motivation a mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.6 The Relationship between Price Value and mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.7 The Relationship between Price Value and mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.7 The Relationship between Habit and mHealth us adoption.232.4.1.8 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Behavior Intention of mHealth.232.4.1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth.232.4.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention and Use of mHealth.24CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	4.1.1 The Relationship between Performance Expectancyd mHealth user adoption.21	
2.4.1.3 The Relationship between Social Influence and mHealth user adoption.212.4.1.4 The Relationship between Facilitating Condition and mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.5 The Relationship between Hedonic Motivation a mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.6 The Relationship between Price Value and mHeal user adoption.222.4.1.7 The Relationship between Price Value and mHeal user adoption.232.4.1.8 The Relationship between Habit and mHealth use adoption.232.4.1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Behavior Intention of mHealth.232.4.1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth.232.4.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention and Use of mHealth.24CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design263.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2 Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	1.2 The Relationship between Effort Expectancy and Health user adoption. 21	
2.4.1.4 The Relationship between Facilitating Condition and mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.5 The Relationship between Hedonic Motivation a mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.6 The Relationship between Price Value and mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.7 The Relationship between Price Value and mHealth us 	1.3 The Relationship between Social Influence and Health user adoption. 21	
2.4.1.5 The Relationship between Hedonic Motivation a mHealth user adoption.222.4.1.6 The Relationship between Price Value and mHeal user adoption.222.4.1.7 The Relationship between Price Value and mHealth us adoption.232.4.1.7 The Relationship between Habit and mHealth us 	1.4 The Relationship between Facilitating Conditionsd mHealth user adoption.22	
2.4.1.6 The Relationship between Price Value and mHeatures user adoption.222.4.1.7 The Relationship between Habit and mHealth us adoption.232.4.1.8 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Behavior Intention of mHealth.232.4.1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth.232.4.1.10 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth.232.4.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention and Use of mHealth.24CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	1.5 The Relationship between Hedonic Motivation and Health user adoption.22	
2.4.1.7 The Relationship between Habit and mHealth us adoption.232.4.1.8 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Behavior Intention of mHealth.232.4.1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth232.4.1.0 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth.232.4.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention and Use of mHealth.24CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	1.6 The Relationship between Price Value and mHealth er adoption. 22	
2.4.1.8 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Behavior Intention of mHealth.232.4.1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth232.4.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention and Use of mHealth.24CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1Research Design263.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.3 Data Analysis27	1.1.7 The Relationship between Habit and mHealth user option. 23	
2.4.1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and Use of mHealth232.4.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention and Use of mHealth.24CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	1.8 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and havior Intention of mHealth. 23	
2.4.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention and Use of mHealth.24CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	1.9 The Relationship between Home Quarantine and e of mHealth 23	
CHAPTER 3 :RESEARCH METHODOLOGY253.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	1.1.10 The Relationship between Behavioral Intention d Use of mHealth. 24	
3.0INTRODUCTION253.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	ESEARCH METHODOLOGY 25	CHAPTER 3 :
3.1Research Design253.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	INTRODUCTION 25	3.0
3.1.1 Qualitative Study Design263.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	search Design 25	3.1
3.1.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Collection263.1.3 Data Analysis27	.1 Qualitative Study Design 26	
3.1.3 Data Analysis27	.2. Sampling Method, Sampling Size and Data Illection 26	
	.3 Data Analysis 27	
3.1.4 Mixed Method Triangulation Design 27	.4 Mixed Method Triangulation Design 27	
3.2 The Target Population of Study 28	e Target Population of Study 28	2.2

3.3	Sampling Size	29
3.4	Sampling Techniques	29
3.5	Data Collection Procedure	29
3.6	Measuring Instrument Employed in The Study	30
3.7	Research Instrument	30
	3.7.1 Performance Expectancy	31
	3.7.2 Effort Expectancy	31
	3.7.3 Social Influence	32
	3.7.4 Facilitating Conditions	33
	3.7.5 Hedonic Motivation	33
	3.7.6 Price Value	34
	3.7.7 Habit	34
	3.7.8 Home Quarantine	35
	3.7.9 Behavioral Intention	35
	3.7.10 Actual Usage Behavior	36
3.8	Research Assumptions	38
CHAPTER 4 :	DATA ANALYSIS	39
4.1	Quantitative Statistical Test	39
	4.1.1 Normality Analysis	39
	4.1.2 Descriptive Analysis	39
	4.1.3 Reliability Analysis	40
	4.1.4 Spearman's Correlation Coefficient	40
4.2	Qualitative Data Analysis	41
4.3	The Results of the Research	41

		4.3.1 Descriptive Analysis	42
		4.3.2 Normality Analysis	44
		4.3.3 Reliability Analysis	59
		4.3.4 Spearman's Correlation Coefficient	60
		4.3.5 Qualitative Findings	78
		4.3.5.1 Performance Expectancy (PE)	79
		4.3.5.2 Effort Expectancy (EE)	80
		4.3.5.3 Social Influence (SI)	81
		4.3.5.4 Facilitating Conditions (FC)	84
		4.3.5.5 Hedonic Motivation (HM)	85
		4.3.5.6 Price Value (PV)	85
		4.3.5.7 Habit	86
		4.3.5.8 Home Quarantine (HQ)	87
		4.3.5.9 Behavioral Intention	88
		4.3.5.10 Actual Usage Behavior	88
4	4.4	Discussion on the Findings	90
CHAP	FER 5	DISCUSSION OF THE FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION	95
:	5.1.	Explanations to Research Questions/ Research Objectives	95
:	5.2	Implementations (plans, to management practice)	96
:	5.3	Suggestion for Future Studies	97
:	5.4	Conclusion	98

REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.0	Clinical Staging for Covid-19 Adults	17
Table 2.0	Research Design	24
Table 3.0	Interview questions for Qualitative part.	36
Table 4.0	Rule of Thumbs about Coefficient Alpha	38
Table 5.0	Demographic Data	40
Table 6.0	Descriptive Statistics	42
Table 7.0	Statistical method for Actual Usage Behavior	43
Table 8.0	Statistical method for Behavior Intention	52
Table 9.0	Graphical method for Behavior Intention on mHealth adoption.	57
Table 10.0	List of Coefficient Alpha between variables	58
Table 11.0	Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient	76
Table 12.0	Main codes and its corresponding Sub-themes and Main themes	78

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.0	Research Model: UTAUT2	12
Figure 2.0	Overview of CAC Management	15
Figure 3.0	Flow chart to triage confirmed COVID-19 case (Adult)	16
Figure 4.0	Flow chart for daily monitoring at COVID-19 Assessment Center.	17
Figure 5.0	Research Model : UTAUT2	19
Figure 6.0	Proposed extension of UTAUT2 Framework	20
Figure 7.0	Triangulation Design	26
Figure 8.0	Demographic : Gender	41
Figure 9.0	Demographic : Age group	42
Figure 10.0 :	Word Frequency Cloud	89
Figure 11.0	Adults visitation trend (>18 years old) at CAC, Rembau for clinical assessment at 3rd and 4th quarter of 2021	93
Figure 12.0	Cumulative registered COVID-19 cases for Rembau distric and its trend for year 2021	t 94

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS

UTAUT2	-	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology 2
CAC	-	COVID-19 Assessment Center
mHealth	-	Mobile health
HSO	-	Home Surveillance Order.
A-COHAT	-	Adults-COVID-19 Health Assessment Tools

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX.	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A :	Similarity index report	103
Appendix B :	Supervisor Consent Form	104
Appendix C :	Survey Instrument (Quantitative and Qualitative)	105
Appendix D :	Compulsory Meeting Form (with Supervisor)	121
Appendix E :	Presentation Consent Form	122
Appendix F :	Letter of Ethical Approval, Medical Research and Ethics Committee Ministry of Health Malaysia	123

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The globe is presently in the grip of a health epidemic brought on by a newly found lethal viral disease caused by the coronavirus 2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome) (SARS-CoV-2) (Lai et al., 2020). This disease was first discovered from Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China with the notification of unknown lung disease being reported. SARS-CoV-2, a deadly coronavirus that causes severe acute respiratory syndrome, has spread fast over the globe. Malaysia reported its first COVID-19 positive case on January 25,2020 from three Chinese citizens on 23 January 2020 from Singapore. Since then, the threat of COVID-19 become tremendously high and difficult to contain due to the highly transmission in the society. (Shah et al., 2020) A surge of vulnerable COVID-19 patients in Malaysia has put overstretch on public healthcare. Initially, all COVID-19 patients were sent to public hospitals for treatment and isolation. Intensive care unit (ICU) beds for Covid-19 at one time had reached its maximum capacity. The large number of Covid-19 cases has put burden on the public healthcare system and resources (Tan et al., 2021). Due to implementation of Movement Control Order (MCO) nationwide by the government put various sectors especially in non-essential services in jeopardy. At times of pandemic, mHealth or mobile technology has the potential role in the public health system. It can be utilized by positive COVID-19 individual to monitor his or her symptoms at home. During the quarantine period, some individuals may progress to worsening conditions and require hospitalization. mHealth technology could enable for early detection on risk stratifying and render for clinical assessment before complications arise. (Adans-Dester et al., 2020) mHealth can be defined as the emerging mobile communications and network technologies for healthcare. mHealth comprises various applications which are not restricted to smartphones, tablets and wireless devices that enable consumers to monitor their healthcare with ease. mHealth application is referred to as a software that offers health related services for mobile phones and tablets. According to World Health Organization, mHealth is defined as medical or

public health practice supported by mobile devices such as mobile phones, patient monitoring devices, personal digital assistants and wireless devices.

1.1 Background of the Study

Due to rapid penetration of mobile phone and internet connectivity, there is huge potential for mHealth systems to be used by clinicians as well as patients to increase health awareness. The Ministry of Health, Malaysia has allowed Category 1 and Category 2 (Mild) COVID-19 positive patients with specific criteria are allowed to undergo quarantine at home. The majority of people infected with the COVID-19 virus will recover spontaneously without the need for additional care. Those patients are required to update the daily health status via the dedicated mHealth application which is MySejahtera. (Zamri & Syed Mohideen, 2021) Information entered in MySejahtera would be channeled to a health district office or CAC for close monitoring and further action to be taken if needed. The purpose of MySejahtera at time of COVID-19 pandemic is to help government on breaking the chain of COVID-19 transmission, to identify health status of individual, to give input regarding health assistance and standard operating procedure if someone infected by COVID-19 and to locate nearest clinic or hospital for COVID-19 health screening and treatment. (Shukur, 2021) The minimum device requirements to install the application are smartphones operated by Android 4.0 or IOS 10 version and above with internet connection and able to receive SMS for verification purposes. Another unique feature of MySejahtera application is for contact tracing purposes. Malaysians are required to scan the QR code using smartphones which are available in various premises and locations nationwide. The captured data will be stored in the system and useful for authorities for contact tracing when there is COVID-19 outbreak in a certain area (Zamri & Syed Mohideen, 2021) In addition for tracking purposes, MySejahtera is also used as healthcare delivery tool for remote monitoring patients at home. COVID-19 positive patient will received daily pop-up messages in their mobile phones that mandated them to answer all questionnaire (A-COHAT) related to health assessment tools. Such data will be transmitted to the main server and then will be relayed

to the respective medical team which is usually on standby mode to assess all the condition of patient being monitored from a far. If patient is exhibited warning signs that need to be attended, medical team from COVID-19 Assessment Center will response accordingly by verifying the case before sending out ambulance for physical assessment at home.

1.2 Problem Statement

Due to emergence of COVID-19 new variant, there is well prevalence of asymptomatic silent hypoxia in COVID-19 patient.(Rahman et al., 2021) Silent hypoxia is described as a state in which an individual's oxygen saturation level is significantly lower than expected i.e (Normal saturation level is 95 percent or more), yet the patient has no breathing difficulties (Greenhalgh et al., 2021). Several reports mentioned that the prevalence of silent hypoxia in COVID-19 infection ranges up to 40% of cases (Rahman et al., 2021). As individuals with silent hypoxia do not experience any discomfort even when their oxygen saturation level is low, health officials must keep a close eye on them. COVID-19 individuals in Malaysia who are authorized to stay at home for quarantine must self-evaluate by submitting their daily medical status on the MySejahtera application by 9.00 a.m. every day. The feedback of daily health assessment is important for health authorities to analyze signs and symptoms related to silent hypoxia and determine the disease progression of Category 1 and Category 2 (Mild) patients. Recent data acquired from Crisis Preparedness Response Center, Ministry of Health, Malaysia estimated only 40% of COVID-19 positive home quarantine patients had responded and were compliant to daily health assessment using MySejahtera while being home quarantined. The figure is alarmingly low since less than half of eligible COVID-19 patients were responding to MySejahtera and detection of silent hypoxia may be neglected or overlooked by the health authority. If not treated, this will cause severe damage to several body tissues and can lead to life-threatening conditions in the Covid-19 patients (Rahman et al., 2021) mHealth application is one of the useful digital health application to monitor health status and it can be one of the way to expand health care services virtually and empower individual to take charge on their own health and indirectly

reduce cost of medical care. The data available for effective factors contributing to the continuance usage intention among mHealth users is still nascent. (Khalil et al., 2020) The level of interest and determinants of mHealth adoption factors are as well still lacking within the local context. (Zamri & Syed Mohideen, 2021) The study on effective use of self-care applications like the daily health assessment tools in MySejahtera is inadequate. Other than that, there is little study of using UTAUT2 instrument as theoretical models to know the user adoption of mHealth applications.(Azhar & Dhillon, 2016) For practical gap, COVID-19 Assessment Centre (CAC) may turn to be busy and hectic if many COVID-19 patients has had appointment for clinical assessment and home quarantine on particular days. Sometimes the phone lines are left unanswered due to overwhelming response from newly diagnosed COVID-19 patients that need verification of laboratory results. Due to limited number of availability of phone lines, the digital health assessment tools in MySejahtera may be the best options and may help to ease the burden of healthcare staff to know the health status of patients being quarantined at home. The initial input of health assessment via MySejahtera is important as it can be screening tools for healthcare staff to carefully monitor patients that need to be clarify further of their health status and data verification. If most patients are compliant to the compulsory digital health assessment tools during home quarantine, the incidence of late diagnosis of unrecognizable silent hypoxia may be lesser and subsequently will reduce the COVID-19 mortality rate. The result of this study will reveal the role of mHealth that could assist health practitioners on remote monitoring of individual health status and to determine the best care and treatment available based on the response received via the application. To provide a significant theoretical contribution, this research will employ an enhanced version of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Utilization of Technology 2 (UTAUT2).

1.3 Research Questions

This research utilized the pillars of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology 2 (UTAUT2) to address the hurdles to mHealth technology adoption among Malaysians with confirmed COVID-19. Thus, the following research questions are proposed in this study :

- 1) What are the major elements that influence COVID-19 home quarantined individual adoption of mHealth in Malaysia?
- What are the predicting factors of mHealth adoption or rejection to use among COVID-19 home quarantined individuals?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to evaluate the factors contributing the intention to use and usage behavior on mHealth and to explore factors that influence home quarantine among Malaysians with COVID-19 on mHealth adoption.

1.5 Research Scope

By the year 2021, there are nine (9) COVID-19 Assessment Centers (CACs) operating in Negeri Sembilan. For this research, CAC at Rembau District Health Office, Negeri Sembilan will be chosen as the study site. One of the medical personnel from Rembau Health Clinic will be appointed as research assistant and will supervise the data collection process at CAC.

COVID-19 patients who are eligible for home quarantine will be selected based on the criteria set up according to the criteria set up by Ministry of Health, Malaysia.

Respondents who fulfill the following criteria are suitable to be quarantined at home and will be included for this study.

- Malaysian adults age more than 18 years old both male and female, with Category 1 and Category 2 (Mild) without or with stable/controlled pre-existing illnesses.
- 2) Certified fit physically and mental by medical personnel at CAC for home quarantine.
- Must have a smartphone with device operating system of Android 4.0 and IOS 10 and above.
- 4) Registered with mHealth application (MySejahtera) application and it must be installed and available on the device.
- 5) Devices must be connected to the internet to access mHealth (MySejahtera) application.

1.6 Limitation of Study

There are certain limitations to the study's findings that may limit their application. This study is conducted in a single-site CAC situated in the district of Rembau, Negeri Sembilan. With an overall population of 49,000, the sample population of selected respondents may not provide true reflection of user's adoption of mHealth of the entire population in Malaysia.

Second, the study was done during a COVID-19 crisis, which may restrict the outcomes' generalizability in a normal context. The study's aim was to look at the UTAUT2 model in a pandemic context so that it may be used in a similar circumstance in the future.

Thirdly, the ongoing infection of COVID-19 cases is a dynamic pattern depending on the current trend which is on the rise or slowing down of community transmission of COVID-19 infection. The influx of patient visitation who come and seek treatment at CAC varies. Due to this situation, the respondents amount will be not as much as required and will be affecting the

statistical test analysis.

1.7 Significance of Study

With the emergence of numerous COVID-19 variant and posing the risk of a public health system, more Covid-19 patients will be directed to CAC for close monitoring. The sudden rise in hospitalization of Covid-19 patients will increase the burden to the healthcare system and subsequently be on the verge of collapsing if not dealt appropriately. Patients' shift from hospital-based monitoring toward home quarantine is inevitable. If patients neglect the use of daily health assessment tools via MySejahtera application, more serious complications may happen subsequently like the undiagnosing the occurrence of silent hypoxia during home quarantine period. This will result in life threatening conditions and increase of Covid-19 mortality. This study will contribute to the use of UTAUT2 as an instrument to assess user adoption for mHealth applications in Malaysia. UTAUT2 is designed for consumer centered and acceptance of technology use and findings of this research will help MySejahtera developer team and health institution particularly Ministry of Health, Malaysia to improve any shortcomings from the application itself from user perspective. Little study using UTAUT2 frameworks for mHealth applications in Malaysia and it is hoped that this paper can contribute and make a significant impact for betterment of the healthcare delivery system. As the world has embraced health technology and the need to practise new normal behavior, this study will make a contribution for the mHealth developer and health institutions to understand what factors contribute to the factors contributing the intention to use and use behavior on mHealth.

1.8 Definition of Variables and Important Terminologies

1.8.1 Variables :

From the original theoretical frameworks, there are seven independent variables, two dependent variables and four moderating variables used in previous research; Below is the list of variables of UTAUT2 and the definition used is based on previous literature. (Venkatesh et al.,2012)

- Performance Expectancy is defined as the degree to which using a technology will provide benefits to consumers in performing certain activities.
- 2) Effort Expectancy is a degree of ease associated with consumers' use of technology.
- Social Influence is the extent to which consumers perceive that important to others believe they should use particular technology.
- Facilitating Conditions refers to consumers' perception of the resources and support available to perform a behavior.
- 5) Hedonic Motivation is defined as the fun or pleasure derived from using a technology.
- 6) Price value is defined as consumers' cognitive tradeoff between the perceived benefits of the applications and the monetary costs of using them.
- Habit is defined as the extent to which people tend to perform behaviors automatically because of learning.

There are three moderating variables in this framework which are age, gender and experience in the original UTAUT2 frameworks. There are two dependent variables in this study which are Behavioral Intention (BI) and Actual Usage Behavior (Use).

1.8.2 Important Terminologies

1.8.2.1 UTAUT2

Venkatesh, 2003 has developed a Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) frameworks.

1.8.2.2 COVID-19

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. (Dong et al., 2020)

1.8.2.3 Confirmed COVID-19

A person with a positive RTK-Ag in pre-determined areas/locality with prevalence of COVID-19 > 10% or a person (alive or dead) with a positive molecular test (RT-PCR or rapid molecular) (COVID-19 Management Guidelines in Malaysia No.5 / 2020 ANNEX 1 : Case Definition of COVID-19 - 30/08/2021)

1.8.2.4 mHealth

Mobile health technology or mobile health applications. According to the World Health Organization, mHealth is defined as medical or public health practice supported by mobile devices such as mobile phones, patient monitoring devices, personal digital assistants and wireless devices. (World Health Organization, 2011)

1.8.2.5 CAC

COVID-19 Assessment Center. It is a health facility center to assess and determine a care plan for COVID-19 cases to be monitored at home. (Family Health Development Division, 2021)

1.8.2.6 MySejahtera

It's a mobile health app created by the Malaysia government to help with the control of the COVID-19 pandemic in the country by allowing users to assess their COVID-19 risk, especially during home quarantine period.

1.8.2.7 HSO

Home Surveillance Order. A certificate issued by health authority for obligatory home quarantine for individual due to infectious diseases under Prevention and Control Diseases Act 1988 (Act : 342)

1.8.2.8 A-COHAT

Adults-COVID-19 Health Assessment Tools.

1.9 Thesis Organization

Chapter 1 will be discussing the background of study, identifying problem statements and formulation of research objectives and research questions. On completion of Chapter 1, the conceptual framework of research is recognized based on previous studies and is synthesized and compiled for reference. Chapter 2 will be discussing literature reviews relevant to the topic and exploration of the conceptual framework of the research with thorough discussion of variables involved in this study and followed by Chapter 3 about research methodology. The cross-

sectional, validated questionnaire will be distributed to the prospective respondents. For interview part, three up to five candidates will be chosen via convenience purposive way if consented. Both quantitative and qualitative data will be merge together by triangulation design. The completion of Chapter 4 and 5 will be continued subsequently.

REFERENCES

- Adans-Dester, C. P., Bamberg, S., Bertacchi, F. P., Caulfield, B., Chappie, K., Demarchi, D., Erb, M. K., Estrada, J., Fabara, E. E., Freni, M., Friedl, K. E., Ghaffari, R., Gill, G., Greenberg, M. S., Hoyt, R. W., Jovanov, E., Kanzler, C. M., Katabi, D., Kernan, M., ... Bonato, P. (2020). Can mHealth Technology Help Mitigate the Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic? *IEEE Open Journal of Engineering in Medicine and Biology*, *1*, 243–248. https://doi.org/10.1109/ojemb.2020.3015141
- Alam, M. Z., Hoque, M. R., Hu, W., & Barua, Z. (2020). Factors influencing the adoption of mHealth services in a developing country: A patient-centric study. *International Journal of Information Management*, 50(April 2019), 128–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.04.016
- Azhar, F. A. Bin, & Dhillon, J. S. (2016). A systematic review of factors influencing the effective use of mHealth apps for self-care. 2016 3rd International Conference on Computer and Information Sciences, ICCOINS 2016 - Proceedings, 191–196. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCOINS.2016.7783213
- Duarte, P., & Pinho, J. C. (2019). A mixed methods UTAUT2-based approach to assess mobile health adoption. *Journal of Business Research*, 102(May), 140–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.05.022
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Shareef, M. A., Simintiras, A. C., Lal, B., & Weerakkody, V. (2016). A generalised adoption model for services: A cross-country comparison of mobile health (mhealth). *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(1), 174–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.06.003
- Greenhalgh, T., Knight, M., Inda-Kim, M., Fulop, N. J., Leach, J., & Vindrola-Padros, C. (2021). Remote management of covid-19 using home pulse oximetry and virtual ward support. *The BMJ*, 372. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n677
- Khalil, A. A., Meyliana, Hidayanto, A. N., & Prabowo, H. (2020). Identification of Factor Affecting Continuance Usage Intention of mHealth Application : A Systematic Literature Review. ICICoS 2020 - Proceeding: 4th International Conference on Informatics and Computational Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICoS51170.2020.9299038
- Kijsanayotin, B., Pannarunothai, S., & Speedie, S. M. (2009). Factors influencing health information technology adoption in Thailand's community health centers: Applying the UTAUT model. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 78(6), 404–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2008.12.005

Krejcie, R. V, & Morgan, D. W. (1970). ACTIVITIES. 38, 607-610.

Lai, L., Wittbold, K. A., Dadabhoy, F. Z., Sato, R., Landman, A. B., Schwamm, L. H., He, S., Patel, R., Wei, N., Zuccotti, G., Lennes, I. T., Medina, D., Sequist, T. D., Bomba, G., Keschner, Y. G., & Zhang, H. (Mark). (2020). Digital triage: Novel strategies for population health management in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Healthcare*, 8(4), 100493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hjdsi.2020.100493

- Rahman, A., Tabassum, T., Araf, Y., Al Nahid, A., Ullah, M. A., & Hosen, M. J. (2021). Silent hypoxia in COVID-19: pathomechanism and possible management strategy. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 48(4), 3863–3869. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-021-06358-1
- Salgado, T., Tavares, J., & Oliveira, T. (2020). Drivers of mobile health acceptance and use from the patient perspective: Survey study and quantitative model development. *JMIR MHealth* and UHealth, 8(7), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.2196/17588
- Shah, A. U. M., Safri, S. N. A., Thevadas, R., Noordin, N. K., Rahman, A. A., Sekawi, Z., Ideris, A., & Sultan, M. T. H. (2020). COVID-19 outbreak in Malaysia: Actions taken by the Malaysian government. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 97, 108–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.05.093
- Shukur, M. H. (2021). Improving Coronavirus Disease Tracking in Malaysian Health System. *Cihan University-Erbil Scientific Journal*, 5(1), 11–19. https://doi.org/10.24086/cuesj.v5n1y2021.pp11-19
- Tamilmani, K., Rana, N. P., Wamba, S. F., & Dwivedi, R. (2021). The extended Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT2): A systematic literature review and theory evaluation. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57(October 2020), 102269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102269
- Tan, C. S., Lokman, S., Rao, Y., Kok, S. H., & Ming, L. C. (2021). Public and private sectors collective response to combat COVID-19 in Malaysia. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy* and Practice, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40545-021-00322-x
- Woldeyohannes, H. O., & Ngwenyama, O. K. (2017). Factors influencing acceptance and continued use of mHealth apps. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 10293 LNCS, 239–256. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58481-2_19
- Yuan, S., Ma, W., Kanthawala, S., & Peng, W. (2015). Keep Using My Health Apps: Discover Users' Perception of Health and Fitness Apps with the UTAUT2 Model. *Telemedicine and E-Health*, 21(9), 735–741. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2014.0148
- Zamri, N., & Syed Mohideen, F. B. (2021). The Practicality of Mobile Applications in Healthcare Administration and COVID-19 Pandemic. *Ulum Islamiyyah*, *33*, 117–130. https://doi.org/10.33102/uij.vol33no1.300
- Annis, T., Pleasants, S., Hultman, G., Lindemann, E., Thompson, J. A., Billecke, S., Badlani, S., & Melton, G. B. (2020). Rapid implementation of a COVID-19 remote patient monitoring program. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(8), 1326–1330. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa097

- Fagherazzi, G., Goetzinger, C., Rashid, M. A., Aguayo, G. A., & Huiart, L. (2020). Digital health strategies to fight COVID-19 worldwide: Challenges, recommendations, and a call for papers. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *22*(6). https://doi.org/10.2196/19284
- Hair, J., Wolfinbarger, M., Money, A. H., Samouel, P., & Page, M. J. (2015). Essentials of Business Research Methods. In *Essentials of Business Research Methods*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315704562
- Houlding, E., Mate, K. K. V., Engler, K., Ortiz-Paredes, D., Pomey, M. P., Cox, J., Hijal, T., & Lebouché, B. (2021). Barriers to use of remote monitoring technologies used to support patients with COVID-19: Rapid review. *JMIR MHealth and UHealth*, 9(4), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.2196/24743
- Nemes, S., Jonasson, J. M., Genell, A., & Steineck, G. (2009). Bias in odds ratios by logistic regression modelling and sample size. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 9(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-9-56
- Puth, M. T., Neuhäuser, M., & Ruxton, G. D. (2015). Effective use of Spearman's and Kendall's correlation coefficients forassociation between two measured traits. *Animal Behaviour*, 102, 77–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2015.01.010
- Sedgwick, P. (2014). Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. *BMJ (Online)*, *349*(August). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7327