# OVERCURRENT RELAY COORDINATION WITH DIFFERENTPENETRATION IN DISTRIBUTED GENERATION

LINA MAJID MOHAMMED

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

# OVERCURRENT RELAY COORDINATION WITH DIFFERENTPENETRATION IN DISTRIBUTED GENERATION

# LINA MAJID MOHAMMED

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of therequirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Electrical Power)

> School of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JULY 2022

### **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, IR. Dr. Syed Norazizul Bin Syed Nasir, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family member.

#### ABSTRACT

Power distribution network is suspected to performance degrading factors alike load fluctuation which trigger the power losses. In order to substitute the losses in distribution network; known amount of power is being injected directly into load through using of distributed generators (DGs). This alternative can be used to substitute power in range of 25 to 40 percent of the respective busbar load. The integrating of DG into power system influences the protection relays stability which leads to relays miscoordination. Prolonged fault clearance time is one of the negative correspondence of relays miscoordination. The more time required to clear faults is biggest threat to distribution network safety which allows more damages of power equipments. Traditionally, heuristic algorithm such as genetic algorithm are used to optimize the relay parameters d such as dialling time TSD. In this project, we modified the standard fitness function of the genetic algorithm by integrating a new parameterinto it for best optimization. The proposed algorithm is outperformed while it is applied on 6 bus distribution system.

.

#### ABSTRAK

Rangkaian pengagihan kuasa disyaki mempunyai faktor penurunan prestasi sama seperti turun naik beban yang mencetuskan kehilangan kuasa. Untuk menggantikan kerugian dalam rangkaian pengedaran; jumlah kuasa yang diketahui sedang disuntik terus ke dalam beban melalui penggunaan penjana teragih (DGs). Alternatif ini boleh digunakan untuk menggantikan kuasa dalam julat 25 hingga 40 peratus daripada beban bar bas masing-masing. Penyepaduan DG ke dalam sistem kuasa mempengaruhi kestabilan geganti perlindungan yang membawa kepada salah koordinasi geganti. Masa pembersihan kerosakan yang berpanjangan adalah salah satu koresponden negatif salah koordinasi geganti. Lebih banyak masa yang diperlukan untuk membersihkan kerosakan adalah ancaman terbesar kepada keselamatan rangkaian pengedaran yang membolehkan lebih banyak kerosakan peralatan kuasa. Secara tradisinya, algoritma heuristik seperti algoritma genetik digunakan untuk mengoptimumkan parameter geganti d seperti masa mendail TSD. Dalam projek ini, kami mengubah suai fungsi kecergasan standard algoritma genetik dengan menyepadukan parameter baharu ke dalamnya untuk pengoptimuman terbaik. Algoritma yang dicadangkan adalah mengatasi prestasi semasa ia digunakan pada sistem pengedaran 6 bas.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

## TITLE

| DECLARATION     |      |                             | iii  |
|-----------------|------|-----------------------------|------|
| DEDICATION      |      |                             | iv   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT |      |                             | v    |
| ABSTRACT        |      |                             | vi   |
|                 | ABS  | TRAK                        | vii  |
|                 | TAB  | LE OF CONTENTS              | viii |
|                 | LIST | <b>COF TABLES</b>           | X    |
|                 | LIST | <b>COF FIGURES</b>          | xi   |
|                 | LIST | <b>COF ABBREVIATIONS</b>    | xii  |
|                 | LIST | <b>COF SYMBOLS</b>          | xiv  |
|                 | LIST | <b>COF APPENDICES</b>       | XV   |
|                 |      |                             |      |
| CHAPTE          | R 1  | INTRODUCTION                | 1    |
|                 | 1.1  | Introduction                | 1    |
|                 | 1.2  | Problem statement           | 2    |
|                 | 1.3  | Research Goal               | 3    |
|                 | 1.4  | Research scope              | 3    |
|                 | 1.5  | Proposed work               | 3    |
| CHAPTE          | R 2  | LITERATURE REVIEW           | 5    |
|                 | 2.1  | Introduction                | 5    |
|                 | 2.2  | Protection System Structure | 6    |
|                 | 2.3  | Distributed Generator       | 8    |
|                 | 2.4  | Protection Techniques       | 12   |
|                 | 2.5  | Microgrid Faults            | 15   |
|                 | 2.6  | Summary                     | 19   |
| CHAPTE          | R 3  | METHODOLOGY                 | 20   |
|                 | 3.1  | Overview                    | 20   |

| 3.2       | Coordination of Protection Devices 2 |    |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|----|
| 3.3       | Impact of DG on Coordination         | 23 |
|           | 3.3.1 Network voltage changes        | 23 |
|           | 3.3.2 Network fault levels           | 23 |
|           | 3.3.3 Power factor                   | 24 |
| 3.4       | DG Integration                       | 25 |
| 3.5       | Power Flow Analysis                  | 30 |
|           | 3.5.1 Optimization procedure         | 32 |
| 3.6       | Genetic Algorithm                    | 34 |
| 3.7       | Miscoordination Mitigation           | 36 |
| 3.8       | Proposed Topology                    | 38 |
| 3.9       | Topology I                           | 39 |
| 3.10      | Topology II                          |    |
| 3.11      | 1 Summary                            | 45 |
| CHAPTER 4 | <b>RESULT AND CONCLUSION</b>         | 46 |
| 4.1       | Introduction                         | 46 |
| 4.2       | Miscoordination Monitoring           | 47 |
| 4.3       | Re-coordination and optimization 51  |    |
| 4.4       | Summary                              | 52 |
| CHAPTER 5 | CONCLUSION                           | 54 |
| REFERENCE | S                                    | 55 |
| APPENDIX  |                                      | 59 |

# LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE  | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| Table 1.1 | Thesis structure and contains a summary.                                       | 4    |
| Table 3.1 | short circuit current for main and backup relays for topology I                | 40   |
| Table 3.2 | Short-circuit currents of the primary and secondary relays in the TopologyII.  | 41   |
| Table 3.3 | Power distribution network in topology II line impedance and load flow details | 43   |
| Table 4.1 | Operation time of relays without DG insertion.                                 | 48   |
| Table 4.2 | Operation Time of relays with DG insertion @ 0.25 penetration.                 | 49   |
| Table 4.3 | Operation time with DG insertion @ 0.40 penetration.                           | 49   |
| Table 4.4 | TDS with DG insertion @ 0.40 penetration.                                      | 51   |
| Table 4.5 | Comparison with previous studies.  | 53   |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO   | D. TITLE  | PAGE |  |
|-------------|---|------|--|
| Figure 3.1  | Fault current modeling in the network.  | 24   |  |
| Figure 3.2  | Procedure which is demonstrating evaluating the L matrix.   |      |  |
| Figure 3.3  | Generator busbars power matrix construction.  |      |  |
| Figure 3.4  | Sample power grid for power flow calculation demonstration.   | 31   |  |
| Figure 3.5  | Overall optimization prototype.   | 38   |  |
| Figure 3.6  | The first topology illustrating two overcurrent relays in busbar B.   | 39   |  |
| Figure 3.7  | Topology I in ETAP  | 39   |  |
| Figure 3.8  | Topology I is illustrated using two overcurrent relay zoon AB withinserted DG.  |      |  |
| Figure 3.9  | Proposed test topology, Figure shows the power distribution system.   |      |  |
| Figure 3.10 | Topology II in ETAP   |      |  |
| Figure 3.11 | Genetic Algorithm chart   |      |  |
| Figure 4.1  | The proposed work procedures  |      |  |
| Figure 4.2  | Topology I in ETAP  |      |  |
| Figure 4.3  | Topology I in ETAP with DG 25% PENTERATION  |      |  |
| Figure 4.4  | Topology I in ETAP with DG 40% PENTERATION  |      |  |
| Figure 4.5  | Illustration of total operation time in seconds for the microgridovercurrent relays after the insertion of DG.                  |      |  |
| Figure 4.6  | demonstration of the T total in using standard search space (iteration=1-100) and using optimized search space (iteration=101). | 52   |  |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ANN    | - | Artificial Neural Network                       |
|--------|---|---|
| TDS    | - | Time Dialing Settings                           |
| NLP    | - | Non-Linear Programming                          |
| MILP   | - | Mixed-Integer Linear Programming                |
| PTS    | - | Pickup Tap Setting                              |
| IBDERs | - | Inverter-Based Distributed Energy Resources     |
| FCL    | - | Fault Current Limiters                          |
| SVM    | - | Support Vector Machines                         |
| OCR    | - | OverCurrent Relays                              |
| TMS    | - | Time Multiplier Setting                         |
| CTI    | - | Coordination Time of Interval                   |
| IDMT   | - | Inverse Definite Minimum Time                   |
| GWO    | - | Grey Wolf Optimization                          |
| DOC    | - | Directional Over Current                        |
| PSO    | - | Particle Swarm Optimization                     |
| ED     | - | Empirical Decomposition                         |
| DT     | - | Decision Trees                                  |
| DG     | - | Distributed Generation                          |
| TLBO   | - | Teaching Learning Based Optimization            |
| DOCR   | - | Directional Over Current Relays                 |
| IWO    | - | Invasive Weed Optimization                      |
| OF     | - | Objective Function                              |
| TCCs   | - | Time-Current Characteristics                    |
| SI     | - | Standard Inverse                                |
| VI     | - | Very Inverse                                    |
| Ti     | - | Operating Time                                  |
| MDE    | - | Modified Differential Evolution                 |
| OCDE   | - | Opposition Based Chaotic Differential Evolution |
| OCDE   | - | Opposition Based Chaotic Differential Evolution |
| GA     | - | Genetic Algorithm                               |
|        |   |   |

| AC     | - | Alternating Current                            |  |
|--------|---|--|--|
| BRT    | - | Bus Rapid Transit                              |  |
| DSM    | - | Demand-side management                         |  |
| FIT    | - | Feed-in tariff                                 |  |
| kW/kWh | - | Kilowatt/kilowatt-hour                         |  |
| DESCO  | - | Distributed Energy Service Company             |  |
| DRE    | - | Distributed renewable energy for energy access |  |
|        |   |  |  |

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

| Δ                      | - | Minimal error   |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Zs                     | - | Impedance of the grid and primary transformer             |
| Zg                     | - | Impedance of the generator                                |
| ZL                     | - | the impedance of the feeder                               |
| <b>l</b> 1             | - | Current from the grid                                     |
| <i>l</i> 2             | - | Current from the generator                                |
| Р                      | - | penetration level   |
| N <sub>Bus</sub>       | - | Number of busbars   |
| $S_L$                  | - | Load Power (p. u.)  |
| $S_G$                  | - | Generated Power (p. u.)                                   |
| R <sub>Bus</sub>       | - | Busbar type indicator (0, 1, 1)                           |
| L <sub>Matrix</sub> ,  | - | Upgraded busbar wise DG power to inserted into the system |
| PG <sub>Matrix</sub> , | - | Final busbar generated power after insertion of DG        |
| IP                     | - | Pick-up current   |
| Isc                    | - | the short circuit current                                 |

### APPENDIX

### TITLE

PAGE

59

Appendix A GA code

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Introduction

Since the power distribution system propagates over large scales of geographical locations holding the electrical power for a large number of end-users; several kinds of power losses are likely to be raised. Faults might exist in various locations of power systems including generation stations, transmission lines, and even in protection components. Upon its existence, current in large amounts is passed to the fault point which brings the undesired losses into practice [1].

A protection mechanism is a crucial component that can have a substantial impact on system performance. An inappropriate tripping sequence could arise from a scheme failure, causing the system to fail and causing harm to customers. Small configuration problems might prolong the fault-clearing process and cause system feeding to the problem to take longer than expected. Because of its capacity to detect problems in a specific area, unit protection, such as pilot wire and current differential relays, is typically utilized as the primary protection [2].

Non-unit devices, such as distance and overcurrent relays, provide backup protection by detecting in-zone and out-zone failures that are characterized by time coordination. To identify problems, a transmission line's current differential relay relies on communication between substations, and if contact is lost, both substations' protection is deactivated. A distance relay, on the other hand, has one advantage over a standard differential relay: the protection on the opposite side of the distance relay does not fail if one side of the distance relay fails. Engineers may use the distance relay to create more secure and dependable protection schemes since it gives them the tools and flexibility they need. To maintain the power system reliability, faults should be cleared in a fast and reliable manner where further damages to the system can be prevented. In this work, an enhanced protection scheme is proposed for reliable and fast clearance of faults [3].

#### **1.2 Problem statement**

Over-current relay (OCR) is a vital component of its power network which takes the responsibility of isolating the faulty lines. That is essential to minimize the loss and safeguard the power equipment. The existence of variable capacity distributed generators (DG) in power networks hurts the coordination of protection relays. Relay reconfiguration is required to maintain the coordination between main and backup protection.

Several approaches are in use for optimizing the configurations of relays in such a way it the relay tolerance to the network fluctuation is increased without violating the main and backup relays coordination. The approaches used for optimizing the relays coordination can be categorized into two groups: traditional analytical approaches and computational (automatic/computational) approaches.

The first category is meant for the deployment of mathematical calculations which solve the highly constrained formulas of coordination. Such a method is complex and can be used for solving coordination problems at the time. On the other hand, the automatic method exists as well which solves the coordination problem using artificial intelligence. Those methods are more adaptable than the previous approach since flexible and quick coordination can be achieved.

A set of issues were reported after the deployment of automatic approaches including miscoordination of relays. That is recognized by monitoring the difference between the main and backup relay times. It is realized that in most cases negative time difference between relays is produced which means that the back backup relay is switching before the main relay making other healthy sectors of the power network to be isolated.

#### 1.3 Research Goal

This research aims to evaluate the influence of distribution generators on the electrical system.

- 1. To Study the impact of varied penetration sizes on over-current relay coordination and implement an algorithm approach to reduce system uptime for each penetration scenario.
- 2. To optimize the relays coordination using GA optimization solution.
- To adopt enhanced protection relay coordination mechanism in presence of DG.

#### 1.4 Research scope

To reduce the overall TDS of relays, past studies have focused on the synchronization of protective system components. There are major consequences for power systems' stability when distributed generators are introduced. Even while prior techniques have managed to reduce overall TDS by improving the timings of each relay this study involves proposing an efficient relay says setting to mitigate the negative impact of distributed generators at different levels of penetration. Optimization of relays dialing settings using a genetic algorithm to create strong coordination invarious DG penetrations is the main focus of this research.

### 1.5 Proposed work

This dissertation report is consisting of five chapters that prescribe the proposed methodology and technologies of distribution generator coordination with the power grid. On the other hand, the impact of loads and other performance

3

degradation on the power system is to be discussed. Therefore, the structure of this dissertation report can be as herein (Table 1.1):

| Chapter | Chapter Title     | Brief Introduction                                 |
|---------|-------------------|--|
| 1       | Introduction      | Includes a summarized introduction of the          |
|         |                   | distributed generator coordination problem         |
|         |                   | and illustrates the problemstatement and the       |
|         |                   | objectives of the project.                         |
| 2       | Literature Review | Demonstrates the previous attempts made in         |
|         |                   | the interest of power system performance           |
|         |                   | enhancement by optimizing protection system        |
|         |                   | coordination.                                      |
| 3       | Methodology       | Illustrates the infrastructure of the distribution |
|         |                   | system as well as the methods adopted for          |
|         |                   | coordinating the protection components.            |
| 4       | Results and       | Reviews with the required discussions the          |
|         | Discussions       | results obtained after involving the               |
|         |                   | coordination optimization procedure.               |
| 5       | Conclusion        | Enlists the references and books usedwhile         |
|         |                   | constructing this thesis.                          |

Table 1.1Thesis structure and contains a summary.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.-S. Kim, S.-H. Lim, J.-C. Kim, Study on application method of superconducting fault current limiter for protection coordination of protective devices in a power distribution system IEEE Trans Appl Supercond, 22 (3) (2012).
- [2] Choudhary NK, Mohanty SR, Singh RK. Protection coordination of over current relays in distribution system with DG and superconducting fault current limiter. In Proc eighteenth national power systems conference (NPSC); December 2014.
- [3] J. Kozak, M. Majka, T. Janowski, S. Kozak, G. Wojtasiewicz, B. Kondratowicz-Kucewicz, Tests and performance analysis of coreless inductive HTS fault current limiters IEEE Trans Appl Supercond, 21 (3) (2011)
- [4] M.C. Nagarathna, H. Vijay Murthy, R. Shashikumar, A review on super conducting fault current limiter (SFCL) in power system Int J Eng Res Gen Sci, 3 (2, Part 2) (2015)
- [5] M. Majka, J. Kozak, S. Kozak, G. Wojtasiewicz, T. Janowski Design and numerical analysis of the 15 kV class coreless inductive type SFCL IEEE Trans Appl Supercond, 25 (3) (2015)
- [6] W. Rebizant, J. Szafran, A. Wiszniewski Digital Signal Processing in Power System Protection and Control Springer, London (2011)
- [7] R. Thangaraj, Pant, M., Deep, K., "Optimal coordination of over-current relays using modified differential evolution algorithms" Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 23, pp. 820-829,2010.
- [8] M. Singh, Panigrahi, B.K., Abhyankar, A.R., "Optimal overcurrent relay coordination in distribution system," in Proceedings - 2011 International Conference on Energy, Automation and Signal, ICEAS -2011 2011,pp. 822-827.
- [9] V. S. Chaudhari, V.J. Upadhyay, "Coordination of overcurrent relay in interconnected power system protection," in National Conference on Recent Trends in Engineering & Technology, 2011.

- [10] Robert, F.C.; Sisodia, G.S.; Gopalan, S. A critical review on the utilization of storage and demand response for the implementation of renewable energy microgrids. Sustain. Cities Soc. 2018, 40, 735–745
- [11] Debasis Acharya, Dushmanta Kumar Das, An efficient optimizer for optimal overcurrent relay coordination in power distribution system, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 199, 2022.
- [12] Mahdi Ghotbi-Maleki, Reza Mohammadi Chabanloo, Hossein Askarian Abyaneh, Mohammad Zamani, Considering transient short-circuit currents of wind farms in overcurrent relays coordination using binary linear programming, International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, Volume 131, 2021.
- [13] Yaser Damchi, Mohammad Dolatabadi, Habib Rajabi Mashhadi, Javad Sadeh, MILP approach for optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays in interconnected power systems, Electric Power Systems Research, Volume 158, 2018.
- [14] Doaa Khalil Ibrahim, Essam El Din Abo El Zahab, Saadoun Abd El Aziz Mostafa, New coordination approach to minimize the number of re-adjusted relays when adding DGs in interconnected power systems with a minimum value of fault current limiter, International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, Volume 85, 2017.
- [15] T. Kosaleswara Reddy, T. Devaraju, M. Vijaya Kumar, Coordination of IDMT relays in the radial distribution system with distributed generation, Materials Today: Proceedings, 2021
- [16] Yasir Muhammad, Muhammad Asif Zahoor Raja, Muhammad Abid Ali Shah, Saeed Ehsan Awan, Farman Ullah, Naveed Ishtiaq Chaudhary, Khalid Mehmood Cheema, Ahmad H. Milyani, Chi-Min Shu, Optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays using hybrid fractional computing with gravitational search strategy, Energy Reports, Volume 7, 2021.
- [17] T. Kosaleswara Reddy, T. Devaraju, M. Vijaya Kumar, Coordination of IDMT relays in the radial distribution system with distributed generation, Materials Today: Proceedings, 2021

- [18] Salah K. ElSayed, Ehab E. Elattar, Hybrid Harris hawks optimization with sequential quadratic programming for optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relays incorporating distributed generation, Alexandria Engineering Journal, Volume 60, Issue 2, 2021.
- [19] A. Elmitwally, M.S. Kandil, E. Gouda, A. Amer, Mitigation of DGs Impact on Variable-Topology Meshed Network Protection System by Optimal Fault Current Limiters Considering Overcurrent Relay Coordination, Electric Power Systems Research, Volume 186, 2020
- [20] Mahamad Nabab Alam, Biswarup Das, Vinay Pant, Protection coordination scheme for directional overcurrent relays considering change in network topology and OLTC tap position,
- Electric Power Systems Research, Volume 185, 2020.[21] RICHARDS, S., S. POTTS,
  E. GRAHAM, M. BERQSTROM and M. BAMBER. Network protection & automation guide: protective relays, mea- surement & control. 2nd ed. Levallois-Perret: Al- stom Grid. 2011. ISBN 9780956867803.
- [22] Suzana Pil Ramli, Hazlie Mokhlis, Wei Ru Wong, Munir Azam Muhammad, Nurulafiqah Nadzirah Mansor, Optimal coordination of directional overcurrent relay based on combination of Firefly Algorithm and Linear Programming, Ain Shams Engineering Journal, Volume 13, Issue 6, 2022.
- [23] Thangaraj R, Pant M, Deep K. Optimal coordination of over-current relays using modified differential evolution algorithms. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence. 2010;23(5):820-829.
- [24] Chelliah T, Thangaraj R, Allamsetty S, Pant M. Coordination of directional overcurrent relays using opposition based chaotic differential evolution algorithm. International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems. 2014;55:341-350.
- [25] Deepak Vyas, Praghnesh Bhatt, Vipin Shukla, "Coordination of directional overcurrent relays for distribution system using particle swarm optimization", International Journal of Smart Grid and Clean Energy, January 17, 2020.

- [26]Matin Meskin, Alexander Domijan, Ilya Grinberg, Optimal co-ordination of overcurrent relays in the interconnected power systems using break points, Electric Power Systems Research, Volume 127, 2015
- [27] A. Yazdaninejadi, D. Nazarpour, S. Golshannavaz, Sustainable electrification in critical infrastructure: Variable characteristics for overcurrent protection considering DG stability, Sustainable Cities and Society, Volume 54, 2020
- [28] A. Elmitwally, E. Gouda, S. Eladawy, Optimal allocation of fault current limiters for sustaining overcurrent relays coordination in a power system with distributed generation, Alexandria Engineering Journal, Volume 54, Issue 4, 2015
- [29] Manohar Singh, B.K. Panigrahi, A.R. Abhyankar, Swagatam Das, Optimal coordination of directional over-current relays using informative differential evolution algorithm, Journal of Computational Science, Volume 5, Issue 2, 2014
- [30] Hadi Zayandehroodi, Azah Mohamed, Hussain Shareef, Masoud Farhoodnea, A novel neural network and backtracking based protection coordination scheme for distribution system with distributed generation, International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, Volume 43, Issue 1, 2012.