

## Correlation analysis between lightning flashes and rainfall rate during a flash flood thunderstorm

Norbayah Yusop<sup>1</sup>, Mohd Riduan Ahmad<sup>1</sup>, Tan Shea Ching<sup>1</sup>, Shamsul Ammar Shamsul Baharin<sup>1</sup>,  
Mona Riza Mohd Esa<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Abu Bakar Sidik<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric and Lightning Research Laboratory, Centre for Telecommunication Research and Innovation (CeTRI),  
Faculty of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, Melaka, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Institute of High Voltage and High Current (IVAT), School of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering,  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia

### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received May 16, 2022

Revised Jul 15, 2022

Accepted Sep 7, 2022

#### Keywords:

Electric field  
Flash flood  
Lightning flashes  
Rainfall rate  
WWLLN

### ABSTRACT

This paper presents the correlation analysis between lightning flashes and the rainfall rate of a thunderstorm when a flash flood event happened in Melaka on 11 August 2020. Four types of data have been collected from the electric field mill (EFM), fast antenna (FA) system, constant altitude plan position indicator (CAPPI) radar and world wide lightning location network (WWLLN). Two storms have been detected by the EFM occurred between 04:00:00 and 14:00:00. The FA system recorded a total of 33 lightning flashes had detected with the highest number occurrence of flashes which positive narrow bipolar event (+NBE) around 21 flashes, the maximum rainfall rate and reflectivity have been detected by radar during the first storm were 8 mm h<sup>-1</sup> and 37 dBZ, respectively (light rain). During the second storm, there was a total of 980 lightning flashes detected by the FA system with the highest number occurrence of flashes around 429 flashes (IC), the highest value of rainfall rate and reflectivity is 50 mm h<sup>-1</sup> and 50 dBZ, respectively (heavy rain). Analyses of the lightning and rainfall rate data also show a close link between the occurrence of major thunderstorms systems and flash flooding on a regional scale.

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.*



### Corresponding Author:

Norbayah Yusop

Atmospheric and Lightning Research Laboratory, Centre for Telecommunication Research and Innovation (CeTRI), Faculty of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia

Email: norbahyah@utem.edu.my

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Malaysia is a tropical country located at the equator's edge at 2°30'N and 112°30'E that have average temperature ranges from 26.5 °C to 27.3 °C with annual temperature range is very small from 1 °C to 3 °C and average of humidity is between 82% to 86%. The geographical location of Peninsular Malaysia is being surrounded by the Andaman Sea, the Sulu Sea, Straits of Malacca and South China Sea might be factors that contribute to the development and increment of thunderstorms and lightning formation [1]. According to the Malaysian Meteorological Services, it has a lot of lightning and thunderstorms, with an average of 200 thunder days each year [2], [3]. Besides, more than 100 times every second, or 8 million times every day, lightning strikes the earth. Previous research conducted in various geographic zones revealed that around 10% of global CG lightning is positive [4]-[10]. This revealed that positive lightning is extremely rare in Malaysia, with the bulk of CG lightning incidents becoming negative. As known, lightning and precipitation

are two typical phenomena that frequently co-occur in thunderstorms. It can be very destructive as a result of intense rainfall that occurs over short periods and often results in flash floods.

Many studies showed that the cloud-to-ground lightning flash activities of a thunderstorm could be used in local short term forecasting of heavy rain or precipitation estimation and flash flood or hailstone [11]–[14]. Thus, high precipitation corresponds to strong atmospheric activity in convective systems. Zhou *et al.* [15] showed that the average precipitation and lightning flashes in the main precipitation period are positively correlated with the coefficient=0.86. Total lightning flashes and convective rain during 1998–2010 over South and Southeast Asia exhibited a positive correlation with coefficients 0.68 and 0.81, respectively [16]. Perianan *et al.* [17] studied two tropical hailstorms and their relationship with negative narrow bipolar events (-NBE) and positive ground flashes (+CG) that occurred in Malaysia. Their results found stronger convection does not relate only to higher-NBEs flash rate but also highly correlated to +CGs flash rate. Recent studies by Riduan *et al.* [18] examined the occurrence of large number of +CG flashes during the flash flood happened in Malacca was closely related.

Many researchers have made the correlation of lightning and severe rainfall their subject. Petrova *et al.* [19] found that based on the present study the flash density cannot be used directly for estimation of precipitation in the Mediterranean area. But the strong relationship between rain rate and averaged flash density throughout the continental area during the summer implies that the processes that cause precipitation and lightning are the same. Iordanidou *et al.* [20] investigated the relationship of lightning-rainfall over Crete Island using 22 rain-gauge data and lightning data of CG plus some IC flashes. The results found that the mean lightning-rainfall R could reach 0.6 within a radius of 25-30 km from the center of each lightning cluster. It showed that higher correlations are obtained for more intense rainfall and more flash counts within the searching area.

This paper aims the analysis of the lightning flashes and rainfall rate from a storm when a flash flood event happened on 11 August 2020 in Malacca. The storm happens to cause serious damage and injured many students. The worst-affected locations were Malim, Batu Berendam, Taman Merdeka Permai, and Klebang. Since, the incident happened so sudden, the lightning analysis of a thunderstorm associated with lightning flashes has not been fully studied and discovered. Due to that, it is useful to understand the condition in which lightning storms occur and be able to use this information to eventually improve the forecast of lightning occurrence.

## 2. METHOD

The data used in this work are obtained from the electric field antenna (EFM), fast antenna (FA) system, constant altitude plan position indicator (CAPPI) radar and world wide lightning location network (WWLLN) for analysis. The lightning data were recorded from a single observation station located in Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malacca, Malaysia (2.314077°N, 102.318282°E). Firstly, before processing again with MATLAB software, the EFM data required to be filtered first using code in the MATLAB programme to guarantee data quality and guarantee there were no missing data. The MATLAB software made it simple to analyze the lightning data and determine when the electric field changed the most. Furthermore, the lightning data is collected by using the FA system and the data are saved as the PSDATA file. Picoscope 6 software is used to open the PSDATA file and then analyze the types of lightning flashes based on the waveform detected by the FA system. Picoscope 6 is primarily used to observe and analyze real-time signals captured by Picoscope oscilloscopes and data loggers. The software allocates as much display space as possible to the waveform or waveforms. This ensures that the waveform has the best possible view and that the largest quantity of data is displayed.

The CAPPI radar data have been obtained from Malaysia Meteorological Department (MMD) and the files are in CAPPI format. The radar data in CAPPI format was generated every 10 minutes. The CAPPI was chosen because of its ability to display high-resolution images that can be easily studied. Radar can be used to estimate how hard it is raining. Light rain is defined as reflectivity values below approximately 35 dBZ, moderate rain is defined as reflectivity values between 35 and 50 dBZ, and heavy rain is defined as reflectivity values above about 50 dBZ. Hail is commonly defined as a reflectivity value of more than 55 dBZ. Different colors are used to determine the intensity of the falling rain or snow. While color palettes for precipitation might vary the colors listed which are the most frequently such as Light green (Light rain or rain), Dark green (Light to moderate rain), Yellow (Moderate rain), Orange (Heavy Rain), Red (Very Heavy Rain or Rain & Hail) and Purple (Extremely heavy rain or hail). These data also have been used by many researchers in their studies [17], [18], [21], [22].

The WWLLN is a global lightning detection network that was created through international collaboration, is sponsored by scholars all over the world who host sensors and is coordinated at the University of Washington. It consists of over 70 stations worldwide [23]. The data used in this study that covered the period in 2020 (<http://wwlln.net>) were extracted from the WWLLN database. For WWLLN data,

stroke count data are provided as ASCII text format files. The AE data contains the date and timestamp to the nearest microsecond, latitude and longitude in decimal degrees, and lightning strike energy (J). The area of observation was set to the whole area of Malacca, Malaysia (latitude: 2°11'45.6" N, longitude: 102°14'25.8" E) which the range of latitude was 2 to 2.5 and the range of longitude was 101.9 to 102.6. The time in AE data in Universal time coordinated (UTC) will be converted to Malaysian Standard Time (MYT). Many lightning strikes, coordinate that lightning strike occurred and energy of lightning strike are analyzed. After that, the location of a lightning strike is observed by using Google Earth Pro as shown in Figure 1. Lastly, electric field, lightning flashes, rainfall rate and WWLLN data are correlated.




Figure 1. The location of lightning strikes detected by WWLLN on 11 August 2020

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Analysis of lightning flashes

A total of 1024 lightning flashes were detected by the FA system in this study. The results show 337 CG lightning events were detected which consisted of 310 were Negative CG (-CG), and the rest of the flashes were Positive CG (+CG). Malaysia is a tropical country on the equator's edge. The Malaysian Meteorological Services were reported many lightning and thunderstorms events with an average of 200 thunder days per year [24]. As discussed by Wooi *et al.* [25], in Malaysia, only 14% to 31% of total CG lightning events were positive lightning, the rest of CG lightning events were negative lightning which was 82%. Chan and Mohamed [26] also examined the occurrence of tropical +CG lightning were 21.91% and 78.09% were -CG flashes in Pekan, Malaysia. This proved that positive lightning is very rare occurred in Malaysia and the majority of CG lightning events are negative lightning. Figure 2 shows the type of lightning flashes detected by two storms on the 11<sup>th</sup> of August 2020. A total of 33 lightning flashes were detected from the FA system during the first storm. From these 33 lightning flashes, the highest occurrence of lightning flashes during the first storm was +NBE (21), the lowest occurrence of lightning flashes was -NBE (1), and there was no flash was +CG. Moreover, there are 980 lightning flashes detected by the FA system during the second storm. The majority of types of lightning flashes during the second storm was IC around 429 while the minority of types of lightning flashes during the second storm was -NBE around 4.

Figure 3 presents the number of return strokes that was detected by the FA system on the 11<sup>th</sup> of August 2020 in Malacca. There were 337 CG flashes detected and the maximum number of return strokes detected by the FA system was 14. However, the majority of CG flashes consisted of 1 or 2 return strokes which were 205 and 32 respectively. Only a minority of samples had 13 or 14 return strokes. The maximum number of return strokes was captured by the FA system at 10:06:48 and the range of duration of each return stroke was between 30 $\mu$ s to 80 $\mu$ s.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of flash rate per 10 minutes between 04:00:00 and 14:00:00 on 11 August 2020. During this period, the maximum flash rate was 218 flashes per 10 minutes. The evolution of flash rate was not uniformly which the flash rate rose slightly until the flash rate become 26 flashes per 10 minutes at 05:50:01 then declined slightly. After 07:30:00, it started to become very unstable. It repeated to increase and decrease rapidly around 07:30:00 to 12:00:00. Afterwards, the flash rate was beginning a weakening period from peak maturity before it moved out of the analysis domain.




Figure 2. Type of lightning flashes detected by two storms on 11 August 2020




Figure 3. Number of return strokes detected by FA system on 11 August 2020




Figure 4. Evolution of flash rate per 10 minutes on 11 August 2020

**3.2. Analysis of rainfall rate, reflectivity and its relation to the flash rate**

Figure 5 presents the rainfall rate and reflectivity recorded by CAPPI radar on 11 August 2020. The maximum rainfall rate was reached 50 mm/h which was around 9:30:00 to 11:00:00. Surprisingly, the radar reflectivity also reached the maximum values was 50 dBZ at the similar time. Based on the value obtained for rainfall rate and radar reflectivity, heavy rain has occurred during the second storm. Figure 6 shows the location of the lightning strike detected by WLLN and the active region for the highest reflectivity captured by CAPPI radar. Figure 6(a) shows the majority of lightning strikes were located at Alor Gajah and Melaka Tengah. However, only a minority of lightning strikes were located at Jasin. Based on Figure 6(b), the highest reflectivity value that indicated by the intensity of the color gauge in the CAPPI data. The region where the thunderstorm was reported to have occurred was covered by the active region. Moreover, the location of lightning strikes detected by WLLN has focused on the active region also. It can be seen also from the radar data the rainfall was started moving from Masjid Tanah and then move to the whole area of Malacca. For the first storm that occurred from 5:00:00 to 7:00:00, the location of the rainfall was moved from Klebang to Ayer Keroh after that to Alor Gajah. Moreover, for the second storm that occurred from 7:00:00 to 14:00:00, the location of the rainfall was started moved from Masjid Tanah and then the whole area of Malacca.

**3.3. Analysis of lightning strikes from WLLN**

The lightning strikes were analyzed based on the parameters discussed in Section 2, i.e., the date and timestamp to the nearest microsecond, latitude and longitude in decimal degrees, and lightning strike energy (J). The results, presented in Table 1 show the number of lightning strike detected by WLLN on the 11<sup>th</sup> of August 2020. It was found a total of 338 lightning strikes were detected by WLLN between 07:00:00 and

11:59:59. The highest amount of lightning strikes were recorded between 10:00:00 and 10:59:59 around 225 strikes. However, the lowest amount of lightning strikes was 5 strikes detected between 07:00:00 and 07:59:59. Figure 7 presents the energy of lightning strikes that was detected by WWLLN between 07:30:00 and 12:00:00. It shows that the highest energy of lightning strikes detected was 14.526 kJ at 10:51:51 and the lowest energy of lightning strikes detected was 0.076 kJ. The results show that the maximum strike rate was 70 strikes per 10 minutes which occurred at 10:50:07. The strike rate was unstable until it reached the maximum strikes rate, but after that, it declined sharply.




Figure 5. The rainfall rate versus radar reflectivity




Figure 6. The movement and location of the rainfall for (a) Location of lightning strike detected by WWLLN and (b) Active region for highest reflectivity detected by the CAPPI radar

Malaysia Standard Time (MYT)	Number of lightning strikes
07:00:00-07:59:59	5
08:00:00-08:59:59	28
09:00:00-09:59:59	53
10:00:00-10:59:59	225
11:00:00-11:59:59	27

According to the results obtained, it shows that lightning and rainfall are closely related. Figure 8 presents the relationship between flash rate and rainfall rate observed throughout this study. It can be seen when the electric field was higher observed was 9.8 kV/m at 10:48:22, the flash rate and rainfall rate also higher around that time. The flash rate during the first storm that occurred was not stable. The flash rate in



the first storm was quite low and the highest flash rate was from 26 flashes per 10 minutes. Besides, the maximum rainfall rate detected by weather radar during the first storm happened was 8 mm/h. At the similar time, the radar reflectivity data revealed that the first storm reached maximum values at 37 dBZ. Based on the value of rainfall rate and radar reflectivity, light rain has occurred during the first storm. During the first storm, the rainfall was moved from Klebang to Ayer Keroh after that to Alor Gajah. Based on the results obtained from WWLLN, there was no lightning strike detected during the period of the first storm happened. The second storm had reached the maximum flash rate was 218 flashes per 10 minutes. Then, after 11:30:00 which was the late stage of the second storm, the flash rate dropped until no flashes per 10 minutes. However, the maximum rainfall rate detected by weather radar during the second storm happened was 50 mm/h. In the meantime, the radar reflectivity data revealed that the second storm reached maximum values at 50 dBZ. Based on the value of rainfall rate and radar reflectivity, heavy rain has occurred during the second storm. During the second storm occurred, the rainfall was started moved from Masjid Tanah and then the whole area of Malacca.




Figure 7. The energy of lightning strikes detected by WWLLN




Figure 8. The flash rate versus rainfall rate

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This paper presents the correlation analysis between lightning flashes and rainfall rate on the 11<sup>th</sup> of August 2020 in Malacca. Two storms have been detected by the EFM system in this study. The first storm happened from 05:00:00 to 06:59:59, while the second storm happened around 07:00:00 to 14:00:00. A total of 1024 lightning flashes were detected by the FA system and the majority of them was IC around 43% of the total flashes. The maximum number of return strokes was captured by FA system at 10:06:48 and the range of duration of each return strokes was between 30 $\mu$ s to 80 $\mu$ s. According to the analysis, it shows that lightning and rainfall are closely related. When the electric field detects maximum was 9.8 kV/m at 10:48:22, after two seconds the highest energy of lightning strikes was detected by WWLLN around 14,526 kJ. At the same time, the value of rainfall rate and reflectivity also shows highest around 50 mm/h and 50 dBz, respectively. More intense rainfall and more flash counts were expected to happen during the second storm and it produces a huge amount of IC flashes throughout the observation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the support provided by Faculty of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). This project is funded by UTeM Short Term Grant PJP/2020/FKEKK/PP/S01761 and S01707. Also, this project is funded partially by UTM CRG50 Grant (08G88 & 09G04) and UTM-TDR 41.1 Grant (05G87). The authors wish to thank the world-wide lightning location network (WWLLN) (<http://wwlln.net>), a collaboration among over 50 universities and institutions, for providing the lightning location data used in this paper.




#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Rufus, N. A. Ahmad, Z. Abdul-Malek, and N. Abdullah, "Characteristics of lightning trends in Peninsular Malaysia from 2011 to 2016," in *2019 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (ICECOS)*, Oct. 2019, pp. 15–18, doi: 10.1109/ICECOS47637.2019.8984514.




- [2] A. . Syakura, M. Z. A. Ab Kadir, C. Gomes, A. Elistina, and M. A. Cooper, "Comparative study on lightning fatality rate in Malaysia between 2008 and 2017," in *2018 34th International Conference on Lightning Protection (ICLP)*, Sep. 2018, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ICLP.2018.8503420.
- [3] H. Z. Abidin and R. Ibrahim, "Thunderstorm day and ground flash density in Malaysia," in *National Power Engineering Conference, PECon 2003 - Proceedings*, 2003, pp. 217–219, doi: 10.1109/PECON.2003.1437446.
- [4] V. Cooray and S. Lundquist, "On the characteristics of some radiation fields from lightning and their possible origin in positive ground flashes," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 87, no. C13, p. 11203, 1982, doi: 10.1029/jc087ic13p11203.
- [5] V. A. Rakov, "A review of positive and bipolar lightning discharges," *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, vol. 84, no. 6, pp. 767–776, Jun. 2003, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-84-6-767.
- [6] C. Schumann and M. M. F. Saba, "Continuing current intensity in positive ground flashes," in *2012 31st International Conference on Lightning Protection, ICLP 2012*, Sep. 2012, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/ICLP.2012.6344260.
- [7] M. A. Uman, "The lightning discharge," in *Courier Dover Publications*, NY, USA: Mineola, 2012.
- [8] C. Romero, F. Rachidi, M. Rubinstein, M. Paolone, V. A. Rakov, and D. Pavanello, "Positive lightning flashes recorded on the Sântis tower from May 2010 to January 2012," *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, vol. 118, no. 23, pp. 12,879–12,892, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1002/2013JD020242.
- [9] S. A. Mohammad *et al.*, "Characteristics of lightning electromagnetic fields produced by antarctica storms," *Atmosphere*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 588, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3390/atmos13040588.
- [10] J. Herrera, C. Younes, and L. Porras, "Cloud-to-ground lightning activity in Colombia: A 14-year study using lightning location system data," *Atmospheric Research*, vol. 203, pp. 164–174, May 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.12.009.
- [11] E. R. Williams, M. E. Weber, and R. E. Orville, "The relationship between lightning type and convective state of thunderclouds," *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 94, no. D11, p. 13213, 1989, doi: 10.1029/jd094id11p13213.
- [12] W. A. Petersen and S. A. Rutledge, "On the relationship between cloud-to-ground lightning and convective rainfall," *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, vol. 103, no. D12, pp. 14025–14040, Jun. 1998, doi: 10.1029/97JD02064.
- [13] S. Soula, H. Sauvageot, G. Molinié, F. Mesnard, and S. Chauzy, "The CG lightning activity of a storm causing a flash-flood," *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1181–1184, Apr. 1998, doi: 10.1029/98GL00517.
- [14] S. Guo, J. Wang, R. Gan, Z. Yang, and Y. Yang, "Experimental study of cloud-to-ground lightning nowcasting with multisource data based on a video prediction method," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 604, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/rs14030604.
- [15] Y. Zhou, X. Qie, and S. Soula, "A study of the relationship between cloud-to-ground lightning and precipitation in the convective weather system in China," *Annales Geophysicae*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 107–113, Jan. 2002, doi: 10.5194/angeo-20-107-2002.
- [16] D. Siingh, P. R. Kumar, M. N. Kulkarni, R. P. Singh, and A. K. Singh, "Lightning, convective rain and solar activity - Over the South/Southeast Asia," *Atmospheric Research*, vol. 120–121, pp. 99–111, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.07.026.
- [17] D. Periannan *et al.*, "Environmental study of tropical hailstorm and its relationship with negative narrow bipolar event and positive ground flashes," *Ekoloji*, vol. 28, no. 107, pp. 253–257, 2019.
- [18] M. R. Ahmad, S. A. S. Baharin, N. Yusop, M. R. M. Esa, and M. A. B. Sidik, "Occurrence of positive cloud-to-ground lightning during flash flood in malacca," in *2022 IEEE International Conference in Power Engineering Application, ICPEA 2022 - Proceedings*, Mar. 2022, pp. 1–3, doi: 10.1109/ICPEA53519.2022.9744678.
- [19] S. Petrova, R. Mitzeva, and V. Kotroni, "Summer-time lightning activity and its relation with precipitation: Diurnal variation over maritime, coastal and continental areas," *Atmospheric Research*, vol. 135–136, pp. 388–396, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.10.015.
- [20] V. Iordanidou, A. G. Koutroulis, and I. K. Tsanis, "Investigating the relationship of lightning activity and rainfall: A case study for Crete Island," *Atmospheric Research*, vol. 172–173, pp. 16–27, May 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.12.021.
- [21] M. H. M. Sabri *et al.*, "Initial electric field changes of lightning flashes in tropical thunderstorms and their relationship to the lightning initiation mechanism," *Atmospheric Research*, vol. 226, pp. 138–151, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.04.013.
- [22] S. A. Mohammad *et al.*, "Radar analysis of a tropical hailstorm associated with lightning flash rate," in *ICECOS 2019 - 3rd International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Proceeding*, Oct. 2019, pp. 141–144, doi: 10.1109/ICECOS47637.2019.8984453.
- [23] M. L. Hutchins, R. H. Holzworth, K. S. Virts, J. M. Wallace, and S. Heckman, "Radiated VLF energy differences of land and oceanic lightning," *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol. 40, no. 10, pp. 2390–2394, May 2013, doi: 10.1002/grl.50406.
- [24] N. Abdullah, M. P. Yahaya, and N. S. Hudi, "Implementation and use of lightning detection network in Malaysia," in *2008 IEEE 2nd International Power and Energy Conference*, Dec. 2008, pp. 383–386, doi: 10.1109/PECON.2008.4762504.
- [25] C. L. Wooi, Z. Abdul-Malek, N. A. Ahmad, M. Mokhtari, and A. H. Khavari, "Cloud-to-Ground lightning in malaysia: a review Study," *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 818, pp. 140–145, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.818.140.
- [26] H. G. Chan and A. I. Bin Mohamed, "Investigation on the occurrence of positive cloud to ground (+CG) lightning in UMP Pekan," *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, vol. 179, pp. 206–213, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2018.07.016.

## BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS






**Norbayah Yusop**    received the B. degree in telecommunication electronics from the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), the M.Sc. degree in telecommunication and information engineering from the Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) and the Ph.D. degree in space science from the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM). She is attached to the Faculty of Electronics and Computer Engineering, as a tutor in 2009. She has completed her PhD studies in 2019. Her research interests include lightning physic, microwave propagation and telecommunication engineering. She can be contacted at email: norbayah@utem.edu.my.






**Associate Prof. Dr. Mohd Riduan Ahmad**    received the degree (Hons.) in the computer system and communication engineering from Universiti Putra Malaysia, in 2003, the M.Eng. degree with a specialization in the cross-layer design of MAC protocols for multi-in multi-out-based wireless sensor network from the University of Wollongong, Australia, in 2008, and the Ph.D. degree with a specialization in atmospheric discharges from Uppsala University, Sweden, in 2014. He is currently an Associate Professor with the Faculty of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka. He can be contacted at email: riduan@utem.edu.my.






**Tan Shea Ching**    graduated at University Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) with Bachelor of Electronic Engineering with Honour. She did research about lightning analysis of a thunderstorm associated with lightning flashes in Melaka. She can be contacted at email: b021710134@student.utem.edu.my.






**Shamsul Ammar Shamsul Baharin**    received the degree (Hons.) in electronic engineering from Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, in 2018, and the MSc degree with a specialization in microwave radiation emitted by lightning flashes from the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka in September 2021. Currently, he is pursuing his PhD study in Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka since November 2021. His research interests are antenna and microwave design and development, analysis of microwave radiation emitted by lightning flashes, and temporal characterization of lightning flashes. He can be contacted at email: P022110004@student.utem.edu.my.



**Dr. Mona Riza Mohd Esa**    received the B.E. degree in Electrical-Telecommunication in 2003 and the Master's degree in Electronic-Telecommunication in 2005 from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), in 2005. She was the recipient of the Young Scientist Award in the 32nd International Conference on Lightning Protection in Shanghai, China in 2014. At the end of 2014, she received a PhD degree with a specialization in atmospheric discharges and lightning physics from Uppsala University, Sweden. She joined the Faculty of Electrical Engineering, UTM in 2003 as a Tutor and currently, she is a Senior Lecturer at the Faculty of Engineering and the Deputy Director of Research, Networking and Commercialization (RNC) in the Institute of High Voltage and High Current (IVAT) in UTM. She can be contacted at email: monariza@utm.my.



**Associate Prof. Dr. Muhammad Abu Bakar Sidik**    received his PhD degree from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) in the field of high voltage engineering. Currently, he is the head of the Department of Electrical Engineering in the Faculty of Engineering of Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia. His research interests are high voltage and high current engineering, partial discharges, and temporal characterization of lightning flashes. He can be contacted at email: abubakar@unsri.ac.id.