

PERFORMANCE OF NANOPARTICLES AS FLUID LOSS ADDITIVES IN
POLYMER-CLAY DRILLING FLUIDS

ELISABET SABU HERA

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of
the degree of Master of Science (Petroleum Engineering)

Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2014

To my beloved family especially my father and mother,
to lecturers and friends,
especially my supervisor and team mates,
million thank you for all your supports, encouragement and guidance.
You are my inspiration in completing this project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Abdul Razak Ismail for his encouragement, guidance, feedback and comments in completing this research. I sincerely hope that in the near future this report may have some value for further study.

Besides that, I would like to thank the staff of Drilling Engineering Laboratory, especially Mr. Othman Adon and Mrs. Hasanah Hussin for their assistance and suggestion while I'm carrying out the experiments.

Last but not least, my sincere appreciation also extends to my parent and all my friends for their words of encouragement, support and understanding in completing this project.

ABSTRACT

As oil discoveries become deeper and hostile, there is a need to improve the existing drilling fluids or to design a new ones which is more environmentally friendly with zero impact on the environment. Polymers and bentonite have been used as viscosifier and fluid loss control in drilling fluids and also can be used in almost any type of water and as a suspending agent. The applications of nanoparticles in drilling fluids is mainly to form a thin layer of non-erodible and impermeable nanoparticles membrane around the wellbore which prevents common problems like clay swelling, spurt loss and mud loss due to the circulation. The nanoparticles have high surface to volume ratio, therefore for any application the quantity of nanoparticles required will be less and hence there is cost advantage. In this study, the performance of nanosilica and multi walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) as fluid loss additives in polymer-clay mud were investigated at HPHT conditions with varying nanoparticles concentration and temperature. Before aging, plastic viscosity, yield point and gel strength of mud with nanoparticles shows an increasing trend as the concentration of nanoparticles increased from 0.01g to 1g. Mud with nanosilica gives the highest filtrate loss of 12ml and mudcake thickness of 10.24inch at 1g concentration and 300°F. Whereas, mud with MWCNT shows a decreasing trend in filtrate loss and mudcake thickness as nanoparticles concentration increased. However, filtrate loss for mud with nanoparticles is still high as compared to control mud. The finding shows that xanthan gum containing 1g MWCNT gives 4.9ml filtrate loss and mudcake thickness of 4.48inch at 200°F. After aging, plastic viscosity, yield point and gel strength of mud containing nanoparticles decrease significantly especially for 1g of nanosilica and 0.01g MWCNT. Filtrate loss and mudcake thickness also increased when the mud is exposed to temperature above 250°F. As a conclusion, xanthan gum with MWCNT gives a promising result in terms of rheological performance and form a thin, stable and uniform mudcake.

ABSTRAK

Eksplorasi minyak dan gas menjadi lebih mencabar terutamanya eksplorasi laut dalam. Oleh itu terdapat keperluan untuk memperbaiki lumpur penggerudian sedia ada atau menghasilkan lumpur penggerudian yang baru yang lebih mesra kepada alam sekitar. Polimer dan bentonit telah digunakan sebagai agen pelikat dan kawalan kehilangan cecair dalam lumpur penggerudian dan juga boleh digunakan dalam hampir semua jenis air dan sebagai agen penahan. Nanopartikel dalam lumpur penggerudian selalunya digunakan untuk membentuk lapisan nipis, tahan hakisan dan tidak telap membran nanopartikel sekitar lubang telaga yang boleh menghalang masalah seperti pengembangan tanah liat, dan kehilangan lumpur ke dalam formasi. Nanopartikel mempunyai nisbah permukaan yang luas, oleh itu penggunaan nanopartikel hanya memerlukan kuantiti yang sedikit dan ini dapat mengurangkan kos. Untuk kajian ini, prestasi nanosilika dan tiub nano karbon dinding berlapis (MWCNT) sebagai agen pengawal turasan dalam lumpur polimer-tanah liat telah dikaji pada keadaan tekanan dan suhu yang tinggi (HPHT) dengan kepekatan nanopartikel dan suhuberbeza. Kelikatan plastik, takat alah dan kekuatan gel lumpur dengan nanopartikel menunjukkan peningkatan apabila kepekatan nanopartikel meningkat daripada 0.01g untuk 1g. Polimer HEC dengan nanosilika memberikan kehilangan turasan dan ketebalan kek lumpur yang tinggi pada kepekatan 1g dan suhu 300°F. Manakala, Gum xanthan dengan MWCNT memberikan kehilangan turasan dan ketebalan kek lumpur yang rendah pada kepekatan 1g dan suhu 200°F. Walau bagaimanapun, kehilangan turasan untuk lumpur dengan nanopartikel masih tinggi berbanding dengan lumpur kawalan. Selepas proses penuaan lumpur, kelikatan plastik, takat alah dan kekuatan gel lumpur mengandungi nanopartikel mengalami penurunan. Kehilangan turasan dan ketebalan kek lumpur juga meningkat apabila lumpur terdedah kepada suhu melebihi 250°F. Secara keseluruhannya, gum xanthan dengan MWCNT memberikan hasil yang memberangsangkan dari segi reologi dan dapat menghasilkan kek lumpur yang nipis, stabil dan seragam.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF UNITS	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives of Study	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Drilling Fluids	6
	2.1.1 Conventional Drilling Fluid Systems	7
	2.1.1.1 Water Based Mud	7

2.1.1.1.1	Polymer Based Fluids	10
2.1.1.1.2	Biopolymer Based Fluids	11
2.1.1.2	Oil Based Mud	15
2.1.1.3	Ester Based Mud	16
2.1.2	Customized Drilling Fluids System	17
2.1.2.1	Reversible Invert Emulsion Drilling Mud	17
2.1.2.2	Coalbed Methane Drilling Fluids	18
2.1.2.3	Formate Drilling Fluids	18
2.1.2.4	Smart Drilling Fluids	19
2.2	Mud Filtrate Invasion	19
2.2.1	Fluid Loss from the wellbore	21
2.3	Nanotechnology	25
2.3.1	What is Nanotechnology	26
2.3.2	Method of producing Nanoparticles	27
2.3.3	Nanotechnology in Oil and Gas Industry	28
2.3.4	Nanotechnology Potential in Drilling Industry	29
2.3.4.1	Nanoparticles as Fluid Loss Additives in Drilling Fluid	31
2.3.5	Nanosilica	36
2.3.6	Multi Walled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNT)	38
2.4	Mud Rheology and Properties	41
2.4.1	Plastic Viscosity, Apparent Viscosity and Yield Point	41
2.4.2	Gel Strength	42
2.4.3	Mud Density	44
2.4.4	pH	44
2.4.5	Mud Aging	45
2.4.6	Filtrate and Filter Cake	46
3	METHODOLOGY	47
3.1	Drilling Fluid Formulation	49
3.1.1	Preparation of Polymer-Clay Based Mud	50

3.1.2	Preparation of Polymer-Clay Based Mud with Nanoparticles	51
3.2	Drilling Mud Analysis Procedure	52
3.2.1	Determination of Mud Density	52
3.2.2	Determination of Plastic Viscosity and Yield Point	53
3.2.3	Determination of Gel Strength	53
3.2.4	pH Measurement	54
3.2.5	Determination of Mud Filtration at Low Pressure System	54
3.2.6	Determination of Mud Filtration in HPHT System	54
3.2.7	Procedure for Mud Aging at Elevated Temperature	55
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	56
4.1	Dispersion of Nanoparticles	57
4.2	Effect of Nanoparticles to the Rheological Properties of Polymer-Clay Drilling Fluids	59
4.2.1	Plastic Viscosity	59
4.2.2	Yield Point	62
4.2.3	Gel Strength	65
4.2.4	Filtrate Loss at HPHT Conditions	69
4.2.5	Mud Cake Thickness	74
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	80
5.1	Conclusions	80
5.2	Recommendations	82
	REFERENCES	83
	APPENDICES	91-94

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Types and Parameters of WBM	9
2.2	Physical Properties of Xanthan Gum	13
2.3	Process of Manufacturing Nanoparticles	27
2.4	Application of Nanomaterials in Drilling	30
2.5	Rheological Properties with the Addition of GO to Slurry Barite and Bentonite	33
2.6	Rheological Properties of Drilling Fluid System after Aging at 150°F for 16 hours	34
2.7	Typical Characteristic of Nanosilica	37
2.8	Typical Value of Gel Strength for WBM	44
2.9	Typical Filtrate Loss for WBM and OBM	46
3.1	Control Mud Formulation	49
3.2	Polymer-Clay system with nanoparticles at concentration of 0.01g, 0.1g and 1g	49
3.3	Polymer-Clay Based Drilling Mud Formulation	51
4.1	Rheological Properties Specification for Water Based Mud as suggested by SCOMI	57

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Molecular structure of xanthan gum	12
2.2	Molecular structure of starch	15
2.3	Drilling fluid invasion model	20
2.4	Correlation between grain size and spurt loss volume	22
2.5	Filter cake buildup in dynamic filtration	23
2.6	Filtrate loss through mudcake for different mud flow rates	24
2.7	Increased surface area of nanoparticles	26
2.8	Effect of temperature and speed on mud viscosity	35
2.9	Fluid loss for the nanomud and normal mud with increase pressure	35
2.10	Schematic diagram of silica dioxide	36
2.11	Varieties of procedure or method to produce silica	37
2.15	Typical structure of MWCNT	39
2.16	Structure of grown MWCNT under FESEM and TEM	40
2.17	Desirable and undesirable types of gel strength	43
3.1	Process flowchart for polymer-clay based mud	48
4.1	(a) Before dispersion of nanosilica, (b) After dispersion of nanosilica	58
4.2	(a) Before dispersion of MWCNT, (b) After dispersion of MWCNT	58
4.3	Plastic viscosity at different concentration of nanoparticles for HEC polymer (before aging)	59

4.4	Plastic viscosity at different concentration of nanoparticles for xanthan gum (before aging)	60
4.5	Plastic viscosity at different concentration of nanoparticles for HEC polymer (after aging)	61
4.6	Plastic viscosity at different concentration of nanoparticles for xanthan gum (after aging)	61
4.7	Yield point at different concentration of nanoparticles for HEC polymer (before aging)	63
4.8	Yield point at different concentration of nanoparticles for xanthan gum (before aging)	63
4.9	Yield point at different concentration of nanoparticles for HEC polymer (after aging)	64
4.10	Yield point at different concentration of nanoparticles for xanthan gum (after aging)	65
4.11	Gel strength at different concentration of nanoparticles for HEC polymer (before aging) after 10 minutes	66
4.12	Gel strength at different concentration of nanoparticles for xanthan gum (before aging) after 10 minutes	66
4.13	Gel strength at different concentration of nanoparticles for HEC polymer (aging) after 10 minutes	67
4.14	Gel strength at different concentration of nanoparticles for xanthan gum (aging) after 10 minutes	67
4.15	Effect of temperature and nanoparticles concentration on HPHT filtrate loss at 500 psi in HEC polymer mud formulation	69
4.16	Effect of temperature and nanoparticles concentration on HPHT filtrate loss at 500 psi in xanthan gum mud formulation	71
4.17	Effect of nanoparticles concentration on HPHT (250°F, 500 psi) filtrate loss after aging for HEC polymer mud formulation	72

4.18	Effect of nanoparticles concentration on HPHT (250°F, 500 psi) filtrate loss after aging for xanthan gum mud formulation	73
4.19	Effect of temperature and nanoparticles concentration on mudcake thickness in HEC polymer mud formulation	74
4.20	Effect of temperature and nanoparticles concentration on mudcake thickness in xanthan gum mud formulation	75
4.21	Mudcake deposited after HPHT filtration test in different temperature of mud containing 0.1g of nanosilica	76
4.22	Mudcake deposited after HPHT filtration test in different temperature of mud containing 0.1g of MWCNT	77
4.23	Effect of nanoparticles concentration on HPHT (250°F, 500 psi) filtrate loss after aging for polymer mud formulation	78
4.24	Mudcake deposited of mud containing 0.1g nanoparticles after HPHT filtrate test at 250°F (aging)	79

LIST OF UNITS

bbbl	-	Barrel
ml	-	Millilitre
cP	-	Centipoise
lb/bbl	-	Pound per barrel, ppb
lb/100 ft ²	-	Pound per 100 square ft
ppg	-	Pound per gallon
psi	-	Pound per square inch
°C	-	Degree Celsius
°F	-	Degree Fahrenheit
%	-	Percentage

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

API	-	American Petroleum Institute
CBM	-	Coalbed Methane Mud
CCVD	-	Catalytic Chemical Vapour Deposition
CMC	-	Carboxyl Methyl Cellulose
EBM	-	Ester Based Mud
Fe	-	Iron
GO	-	Graphene Oxide
HEC	-	Hydroxyl Ethyl Cellulose
HPHT	-	High Pressure High Temperature
Ni	-	Nickle
OBM	-	Oil Based Mud
OPC	-	Organophilic Clay
PECVD	-	Chemical Vapour Deposition Systems
PHPA	-	Partially Hydrolyse Polyacrylamide
SiO ₂	-	Silica Dioxide
SBM	-	Synthetic Based Mud
TG	-	Triglycerides
WBM	-	Water Based Mud
WMCNT	-	Multi Walled Carbon Nanotubes

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Increased challenges in operational depth, length of horizontal departure in extended reach wells, complexity of drilling operations, and the strict environmental regulations leads to the development and application of novel technologies. There are need to either improve on existing drilling fluids or design new ones in order to meet the technological demands for success especially in increasingly hostile drilling environments. Srivatsa *et al.*, (2011) in their study stated that filtrate invasion is one of the most critical parameters that may cause a wellbore damage if not properly controlled. Filtrate not only will induced formation damage but also problems with filter cake removal adversely affect well productivity or injectivity.

Infield practise, a several type of fluid loss agent has been utilized in drilling fluid to reduce the drilling fluid loss. Amanullah *et al.*, (2011) mentioned that it is often impossible to reduce fluid loss with micro and macro type fluid loss additives due to physio-chemical and mechanical characteristics. The normal fluid loss additives with range between 0.1-100 nm in diameter are not effective in reducing fluid loss in the formation with pore size less than 0.1 nm such as shale.

Other than fluid (water or oil or both) phase, the solids, chemicals and polymers that are used in designing a fluid play an important role in the functional behaviour of the fluids. Though the factors that guide the choice of a fluid base and the mud additives are complex, technical and environmental challenges must also be taken into consideration when selecting the drilling fluid additives (Gray *et al.*, 1980). One of the new improvement in drilling technology is the used of nanotechnology. It has already contributed significantly to technological advances in the energy industries. Nanotechnology produces nanomaterials with many attractive properties, which can play an important role in intensifying mud cake quality, reducing friction, eliminate differential pipe sticking, maintaining borehole stability and protecting reservoir.

Qu *et al.*, (2007) study focused on production of a type of polymer nanocomposites and their advantage when added in drilling fluids. The experimental result shows the properties of drilling fluids containing these nanocomposites had a superior filtrate reducing performance, excellent thermal stability and good inhibiting ability. They had concluded that polymer nanocomposites were an excellent fluid loss additives that could meet the requirement of drilling operation under extreme condition.

Due to its potential to form thin, non-erodible and impermeable mud cake with nano-enhanced, nano based fluids are expected to eliminate or reduced formation damage caused by filtrate loss in downhole condition. Water based nano fluids developed using several commercial nano materials to overcome the challenges associated with conventional drilling fluids. Compared with conventional drilling fluids, the water-based nanofluid could reduce formation damage in the oil and gas reservoirs significantly, control loss circulation well, and enhance the rate of penetration in hard rock formation greatly.

The cost of drilling fluid loss often represents one of the single peak capital expenditure during drilling. Novel study by Zakaria *et al.*, (2012) shows that custom prepared nanoparticles drilling fluid system have higher ability to reduced fluid loss compared to commercial nanoparticles and drilling fluid system with lost circulation materials. The results also shows the engineered nanoparticles alone provided sufficient plugging as they sealed most of the holes in a typical mud cake or mud cake with typical lost circulation materials. They concluded that tailored made nanoparticles with specific characteristic is expected to play a promising role helping to solve the circulation loss and other technical challenges with commercial drilling fluid.

Nevertheless, the use of nanoparticles in drilling fluid must be at the right concentration and adoption of specific preparation method will led to a stable drilling fluid with desirable rheological behaviour. A new experimental study by Srivatsa *et al.*, (2011) combines nanoparticles with surfactant-biopolymer based drilling fluid. They concluded that the system have better rheological and fluid loss properties than polymer or surfactant based fluid. This indicate that nanoparticles play an important role in reducing the fluid loss in fluid system. Furthermore, the results also show nanoparticles is effective for drilling through shale formation as it can penetrate the pores of the shale and act as bridging material and strengthen the wellbore. These combination of fluid system might be good for higher temperature as biopolymer are generally stable at 350°F.

1.2 Problem Statement

By applying nanoparticles as fluid additives in drilling mud system, it might help enhancing the rheological properties of drilling fluid and as a result, minimizing drilling problems especially in reducing fluid loss. Application of nano-based fluid additives in formulating high performance water based mud system has the potential to overcome the current and future technical challenges especially in HPHT condition (Amanullah *et al.*, 2011). Thus, this research was conducted to examine the effectiveness of different concentration of nanoparticles as fluid loss additive in water base mud and their performance in HPHT condition.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are as follow:

1. To study the rheological performance of different polymer drilling fluids with different types of nanoparticles.
2. To determine the effectiveness of nanoparticles as fluid loss additives at high pressure high temperature (HPHT) conditions.

1.3 Scopes of Study

The scope of this study are as follows:

1. To prepare different Polymer-Clay mud system as a control mud formulation.
2. To employ nanoparticles as fluid loss additives in different Polymer-Clay mud system
3. To add different concentration of nanoparticles (0.01g, 0.1g and 1g) into the Polymer-Clay mud system.
4. To perform rheological properties test and HPHT filtrate loss test at different temperatures of 200°F, 250°F and 350°F and 500psi for non-aging and aging samples and measure the thickness of mud cake.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, S., Tran, P., Martello, D. and Gupta, R. K. (2011). Flow Behaviour of Nanoparticles Stabilize Drilling Fluids and Effect of High Temperature Aging. *AADE National Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 12-14 April. Houston, Texas.
- Al-Harbi, A., Ersoz, H., and Abdul Rabalreda, S. H. (2005). Influence of Sodium/Potassium Formate-Based Drilling Fluids on Nuclear Logs. *SPE Latin American and Caribbean Petroleum Engineering Conference*. 20-23 June. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Allen, D., Auzeais, F., Fordham, E., and William, R. (1991). Invasion Revisited. *Oilfield Review*. 10-23.
- Ali, S., Bowman, M., Luyster, M.R., Patel, A., Svoboda, C., McCarty, R.A., and Pearl, B. (2004). Reversible Drilling Fluid Emulsions for Improved Well Performance. *Oilfield Review*. 62-68.
- Amani, M. and Al-Jubouri, M. J. (2012). An Experimental Investigation of the Effects of Ultra High Pressures and Temperatures on the Rheological Properties of Water-Based Drilling Fluids. *International Conference on Health, Safety and Environment in Oil and Gas Exploration and Production*. 11-13 September. Perth, Australia.

- Amanullah, M., Al-Arfaj, M. K. and Al-Abdul Latif, Z. A. (2011). Preliminary Test Results of Nano-based Drilling Fluids for Oil and Gas Field Application. *SPE/IADC Drilling Conference and Exhibition*, 1-3 March. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Amanullah, M., Ashraf, M. and Al-Tahini. (2009). Nano-Technology-Its Significance in Smart Fluid Development and Gas Field Applications. *Saudi Arabia Technical Symposium and Exhibition*. Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia.
- Bahtia, K., and Chacko, L. (2011). Ni-Fe: An Innovative Approach for Recovery of Hydrates. *Brazil Offshore Conference and Exhibition*. 14-17 June. Macae, Brazil.
- Baltoiu, L. V., Warren, B. K., and Natras, T. A. (2006). State-of-the-Art in Coalbed Methane Drilling Fluids. *Indian Drilling Technology Conference and Exhibition*. 16-18 October. Mumbai, India.
- Basirat, B., Vipulanandan, C., and Richardson, D. (2013). Effect of Salt Contamination on the Filtration Loss in 4% Bentonite Drilling Mud with Xanthan Gum. *Texas Hurricane Center for Innovative Technology (THC-IT) Conference and Exhibition*. Houston, Texas.
- Bicerano, J. (2009). *U.S Patent No. 20090029878 A1*. Retrieved on August 28, 2014 from www.google.com/patents/US20090029878.
- Brantly, J. E. (1961). *History of Petroleum*. 277-278. Boyed Printing Co. Dallas,

- Burrows, K., Evans, J., Hall, J. and Kirsner, J. (2001). New Low Viscosity Ester Is Suitable for Drilling Fluids in Deepwater Applications. *SPE/EPA/DOE Exploration and Production Environmental Conference*. 26-28 February. San Antonio, Texas.
- Calcada, L. A., Scheid, C. M., de Araujo, C. A. O., Waldmann, A. T. A., and Martins, A. L. (2011). Analysis of Dynamic and Static Filtration and Determination of Mud Cake Parameters. *Brazilian Journal of Petroleum and Gas*.159-170.
- Casas, J.A., Santos, V.E., and Garcia-Ochoa, F. (1999). Xanthan Gum production under several operational conditions: molecular structure and rheological properties. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*. 26, 282–29.
- El-Diasty, A.I., and Ragab, A.M.S. (2013). Application of Nanotechnology in Oil and Gas Industry: Latest Trend Worldwide and Future Challenges in Egypt. *North Africa Technical Conference and Exhibition*.15-17April, Cairo, Egypt.
- Ezzat, A.M., Rosser, H. R., and Al-Human, A. A. (1997). Control of Microbiological Activity in Biopolymer-Based Drilling Mud. *Middle East Drilling Technology Conference*. 23-25 November. Bahrain.
- Fordham, E.J., Ladva, H.K.J., Hall, C., Baret, J. F., and Sherwood, J.D. (1988). Dynamic Filtration of Bentonite Muds under Different Flow Conditions. *63rd Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition of the Society of Petroleum Engineers*. 2-5 October. Houston, Texas.
- Friedheim, J., Young, S., De Stefano, G., Lee, J. and Guo, Q. (2012). Nanotechnology for Oilfield Application – Hype or Reality. *SPE International Oilfield Nanotechnology Conference*. 12-14 June. Noordwijk, Netherlands.

- Gray, G.R., Darley, H. C. H., et al. (1980). *Composition and properties of Oil Well Drilling Fluids*. (4thed.). Gulf Publishing.
- Howard, S. and Downs, J. (2005). Formate Fluids Optimize Production Rate. *AADE 2005 National Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 5-7 April. Houston, Texas.
- Hwang, Y.J. and Ahn, Y. C. (2006). Investigation on Characteristics of Thermal Conductivity Enhancement of Nanofluids. *Current Apply Physics*. 6(6), 1068–71.
- Ismail, I. and Idris, A.K. (1997). The Prospect of Utilizing Local Starches as Fluid Loss Control Agents in the Petroleum Industry. *Regional Symposium on Chemical Engineering*. 13-15 October. Johor Bahru, Johor.
- Jung, Y., Barry, M., Lee, J.K., Tran, P., Soong, Y., Martello, D., and Chyu, M. (2011). Effect of Nanoparticle-Additives on the Rheological Properties of Clay-Based Fluids at High Temperature and High Pressure. *AADE National Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 12-14 April. Houston, Texas.
- Kasiralvalad, E. (2013). The Great Potential of Nanomaterials in drilling and Drilling Fluid Applications. *Internal Journal of Nano Dimension*. 5, 463-471.
- Khalil, M., and Jan, B. M. (2012). Viscoplastic Modeling of a Novel Lightweight Biopolymer Drilling Fluid for Underbalanced Drilling. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*. 51, 4056–4068.
- Kong, X., and Ohadi, M. M. (2010). Application of Micro and Nano Technologies in Oil and Gas Industry- An overview of the Recent Progress. *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference*. 1-4 November. Abu Dhabi, UAE.

Kosynkin, D.V., Ceriotti, G., Wilson, K.C., Lomeda, J. R., Scorsone, J. T., Patel, A.D., Friedheim, J.E., and Tour, J.M. (2011). Graphene Oxide as a High-Performance Fluid-Loss-Control Additive in Water-Based Drilling Fluids. *Applied Materials and Interface*. 4, 222–227.

Krishnamororti, R., (2006). Extracting the Benefits of Nanotechnology for the Oil Industry. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*. 58 JPT Online.

Kumar, A. (2010). Fluid Loss as a Function of Position around the Wellbore. *2010 AADE Fluids Conference and Exhibition*. 6-7 April, Houston, Texas.

Likos, W.J and Fennessey, T.W. (2004). Engineering Evaluation of Polymer-Based Drilling Fluids for Applications in Missouri Shale. *Research Development and Technology*. Research Report RDT 04-019.

Lee, J. K., Sefzik, T., Son, Y. H., Phuoc, T.X., Soong, Y., Martello, D., and Chyu, M. K. (2009). Use of Magnetic Nanoparticles for Smart Drilling Fluids. *National Technical Conference and Exhibition*. New Orleans, Louisiana.

Mason, W. and Gleason, D. (2003). System Designed for Deep, Hot Well. *American Oil and Gas Reporter*. 46 (8): 70.

Mellot, J. (2008). Technical Improvements in Well Drilled with a Pneumatics Fluid. *Drilling Conferences*. 21-23 February. Miami, Florida, USA.

- Nasser, J., Jesil, A., Mohiuddin, T., Al Ruqushi, M., Devi, G., and Mohataram, S. (2013). Experimental Investigation of Drilling Fluid Performance as Nanoparticles. *World Journal of Nano Science and Engineering*. 3, 57-61.
- Ogugbue, C.C., Rathan, M., and Shah, S.N. (2010). Experimental Investigation of Biopolymer And Surfactant Based Fluid Blends as Reservoir Drill-in Fluids. *SPE Oil and Gas India Conference and Exhibition*. 20-22 January. Mumbai, India.
- Pitkethly, M.J. (2004). Nanomaterials- The Driving Force. *Matter Today*. 7: 20-29.
- Rabia, H. (2002). Well Engineering & Construction. Entrac Consulting Limited.
- Scomi Oiltools Product Data Sheet. HEC. November 2010.
- Sensoy, T., Chenevert, M. E., and Sharma, M.M. (2009). Minimizing Water Invasion in Shale Using Nanoparticles. *2009 SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 4-7 October. New Orleans. Louisiana.
- Shah, S. N., Shanker, N. H., and Ogugbue C. C. (2010). Future Challenges of Drilling Fluids and Their Rheological Measurements. *American Association of Drilling Engineers*. Well Construction Technology Center, University of Oklahoma.

- Srivata, J.J., and Ziaja, M.B. (2012). Experimental Investigation on use of Nanoparticles as Fluid Loss Additives in a Surfactant-Polymer Based Drilling Fluids. *International Petroleum Technology Conference*. 7-9 February. Bangkok, Thailand.
- Tee, J.C and Goh P.S. (2008). As Grown Multi Walled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNTs). Advanced Membrane Technology and Research Centre (AMTEC), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Qu, Y.Z., Su, Y.N., Sun, J.S., and Wang, K.C. (2007). Preparation of Poly (styrene-block-Acrylamide)/Organic Montmorillonite Nanocomposites via Reversible Addition-Fragmentation Chain Transfer. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. Vol 110, 387-391.
- Quintero, L., Cardenas, A. E. and Clark, D. E. (2012). Nanofluids and Methods of Use for Drilling and Completion Fluids. Google Patents.
- Valizadeh, M., and Nasiri, A. R. (2012). Improving Thermal Stability of Starch in Formate Fluids for Drilling High Temperature Shales. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Technology*. Vol. 2, No. 1. 61-64.
- Windarto, Gunawan, A.Y., Sukarno, P., and Soewono, E. (2010). Modelling of Mud Filtrate Invasion and Damage Zone Formation. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 77, 359-364.

- Wu, J., Verdín, C.T., Sepehrnoori, K., and Proett, M. A. (2005). The Influence of Water-Base Mud Properties and Petrophysical Parameters on Mudcake Growth, Filtrate Invasion and Formation Pressure. *Petrophysics*. Vol.46, 14-32.
- Zakaria, M., Husein, M., and Hareland, G. (2012). Novel Nanoparticle-Based Drilling Fluid with Improved Characteristic. *SPE International Oilfield Nanotechnology Conference*. 12-14 June. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
- Zhao, G., Kai,Z., Yi Y, et al (2009). Core/ Shell Nanosphere, Hollow Capsules and Bottles. *Dekker Encyclopaedia of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*. 1865-1879.