

RISK BASED ANALYSIS FOR DETENTION POND OVERFLOW AT
ISKANDAR MALAYSIA (NUSAJAYA), JOHOR

ZULIZIANA BINTI SUIF

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of Master of
Engineering (Civil – Hydraulics and Hydrology)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2008

*Dedicated especially to my beloved father, mother and family.
Thank you for their unlimited patient, loving inspiration and care
May Allah bless us.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my project supervisor, Dr Supiah Shamsudin for her kind help and giving a valuable suggestion, guidance and continuous encouragement throughout the entire study. The continuous guidance, advice and support from her have enabled me to approach and completed this project report. Thank you so much Dr.

Special thanks to Pn Haliana from Jabatan Perparitan dan Saliran (JPS) Johor Bahru for providing me the rainfall data required for the analysis and also thanks to En Jomairi Othaman for their assistance in supplying the relevant data and literatures relating to detention pond at Ledang Heights. Their contributions are highly appreciated.

My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

Overflow risk of detention pond is defined as the probability of having a rainfall event that produces a runoff volume more than the available storage capacity of detention pond. Overflow risk analysis depends on the waiting period (elapse time) and draining time. This study dealt with risk analysis of detention pond overflow at Ledang Heights, Nusajaya, Johor Bahru. Monte Carlo simulation the simplest application to estimate the probability of occurrence of the 10 year rainfall interevent times was applied during this study. The maximum value for interevent time was 8 hr and 6 hr occurred with probability 24.18% and 28.39% after 5000 and 10000 trials respectively using Gamma distribution. The most likely range for interevent time were 2 to 18 hr (1.34%-1%) and 2 to 22 hr (3.84%-0.05%). The equation by Guo (2002) was applied during this study. The highest overflow risk obtained was 0.425 for the longest drain time of 71.75 minutes and for shortest elapse time. The overflow risk increases as the drain time increases and the elapse time decreases. Therefore, the selection of a proper drain time (recession time) is very important for reducing risk of overflow. Size of detention pond at the site is 70 m length x 70 m width x 3 m depth. The new size suggested was 82 m length x 70 m width x 3 m depth. The storage capacity of detention pond was larger than the volume of maximum rainfall data. The new size of detention pond is appropriate at the area because it could collect the maximum volume of runoff over a longer time period at the lowest overflow risk. It is suggested to design at lower elapse and drain time to minimize the overflow risk.

ABSTRAK

Risiko air limbah adalah kebarangkalian mempunyai hujan yang menghasilkan isipadu air larian permukaan melebihi kapasiti kolam tahanan. Analisis risiko air limbah bergantung kepada masa ke puncak (*elapse time*) dan masa pengosongan. Kajian ini membincangkan tentang analisis risiko kolam tahanan di Ledang Heights, Nusajaya, Johor Bahru. Simulasi Monte Carlo telah digunakan di dalam pengiraan kebarangkalian berlakunya *interevent time* bagi data hujan 10 tahun. Nilai maksimum *interevent time* adalah 8 dan 6 jam dengan kebarangkalian berlaku adalah 24.18% dan 28.39% selepas 5000 dan 10000 cubaan menggunakan taburan Gamma. Julat anggaran untuk berlaku *interevent time* adalah antara 2 hingga 18 jam (1.34%-1%) dan 2 hingga 22 jam (3.84%-0.05%). Di dalam kajian ini, persamaan Guo (2002) telah digunakan dalam pengiraan risiko air limbah. Risiko air limbah yang paling tinggi ialah 0.425 pada masa pengosongan yang paling tinggi iaitu 71.75 minit dan pada *elapse time* yang paling rendah. Risiko air limbah meningkat apabila masa pengosongan meningkat manakala *elapse time* menurun. Oleh itu, dalam rekabentuk kolam tahanan adalah penting untuk mengetahui nilai risiko untuk masa pengosongan yang dipilih bagi mengurangkan risiko air limbah. Saiz kolam tahanan sedia ada di tapak adalah 70 m panjang x 70 m lebar x 3 m dalam. Manakala saiz kolam tahanan yang dicadangkan adalah 82 m panjang x 70 m lebar x 3 m dalam. Isipadu kolam tahanan lebih besar daripada isipadu maksimum hujan rekabentuk daripada data hujan. Saiz kolam tahanan yang dicadangkan adalah sesuai kerana ia boleh menampung isipadu maksimum air larian permukaan bagi jangka masa yang panjang iaitu pada masa risiko air limbah yang paling rendah. Oleh itu dicadangkan, pemilihan *elapse time* dan masa pengosongan yang rendah digunakan dalam rekabentuk bagi meminimalkan risiko air limbah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 The Importance of Study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Hydrologic Cycle	6
	2.2 Runoff	9
	2.3 Runoff Quantity Management Strategies	10
	2.3.1 Conveyance-Oriented Approach	10

	2.3.2	Storage-Oriented Approach	11
2.4		Detention and Retention Storage Classification	11
2.5		Retention Pond	12
2.6		Detention Pond	13
	2.6.1	On – site Detention	14
		2.6.1.1 Above-ground Storages	15
		2.6.1.2 Below-ground Storages	16
	2.6.2	Community and Regional Detention	17
		2.6.2.1 Dry Basins	17
		2.6.2.2 Flood Storage within Ponds and Lakes	18
2.7		Criteria in Selection of Site for Detention Pond	19
	2.7.1	Land Owner	19
	2.7.2	Nearest with Flood Area	19
	2.7.3	Sufficient Area	20
	2.7.4	Topographic	20
	2.7.5	Others Factor	20
2.8		Failure of Detention Pond	21
2.9		Risk Analysis	21
2.10		Overflow Risk Analysis	22
	2.10.1	Inherent Overflow Risk Analysis	23
	2.10.2	Operational Overflow Risk Analysis	23
2.11		Operation and Maintenance	24
	2.11.1	General	24
	2.11.2	Maintenance Schedule	25
3		METHODOLOGY	27
	3.1	Introduction	27
	3.2	Site Description	28
	3.3	Monte Carlo Simulation	29
	3.4	Estimate Peak Runoff	30
		3.4.1 Runoff Coefficient, C	31
		3.4.2 Rainfall Intensity, I (mm/hr)	32
		3.4.3 Time Concentration, t_c	33

3.5	Overflow Risk Analysis	33
3.5.1	Inherent Overflow Risk	34
3.5.1.1	Average Rainfall Depth, D_m	34
3.5.1.2	Incipient Runoff Depth, D_i	34
3.5.1.3	Average Release, q	35
3.5.1.4	Capacity in Channel, V_0	35
3.5.2	Operational Overflow Risk	35
3.5.2.1	Interevent Time, T_m	36
3.5.2.2	Elapse Time, T	36
3.5.2.3	Drain time, t_r	37
3.5.3	Total Overflow Risk, $R(T)$	38
3.6	Estimate Outflow at Site	38
3.7	Size of Detention Pond	39
4	DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	40
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Probability Distribution from Monte Carlo Simulation	41
4.3	Runoff Coefficient, C	45
4.3.1	Estimated Runoff Coefficient	45
4.4	Estimated Peak Discharge, Q_p	46
4.5	Overflow Risk Analysis	49
4.5.1	Triangular Unit Hydrograph	49
4.5.2	Estimated Overflow Risk	54
4.5.2.1	Calculation for t_{c1}	54
4.5.2.2	Calculation for t_{c2}	59
4.5.2.3	Calculation for t_{c3}	63
4.6	Estimated Outflow at Site	70
4.7	Suggested Dimension of Detention Pond	71
4.8	Size of Detention Pond at Site	71

5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	73
	5.1 Introduction	73
	5.2 Conclusion	74
	5.3 Recommendations	76
	REFERENCES	77
	APPENDICES A-F	79-95

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Runoff coefficient based on land use	31
4.1	The input values for Monte Carlo simulation	41
4.2	The output summary from the Monte Carlo simulation analysis with best Gamma and Weibull distribution	41
4.3	Summary of the probability density functions	44
4.4	Value of peak discharge	48
4.5	Overflow risk value for $t_{c1} = 64.45$ minute, $C_1 = 0.80$	55
4.6	Overflow risk value for $t_{c1} = 64.45$ minute, $C_2 = 0.66$	57
4.7	Overflow risk value for $t_{c1} = 64.45$ minute, $C_3 = 0.65$	58
4.8	Overflow risk value for $t_{c2} = 49.89$ minute, $C_1 = 0.80$	60
4.9	Overflow risk value for $t_{c2} = 49.89$ minute, $C_2 = 0.66$	61
4.10	Overflow risk value for $t_{c2} = 49.89$ minute, $C_3 = 0.65$	63
4.11	Overflow risk value for $t_{c3} = 47.12$ minute, $C_1 = 0.80$	64
4.12	Overflow risk value for $t_{c3} = 47.12$ minute, $C_2 = 0.66$	66
4.13	Overflow risk value for $t_{c3} = 47.12$ minute, $C_3 = 0.65$	67
4.14	The relationship overflow risk with elapse time and drain time for $t_{c1} = 64.45$ min	69
4.15	The relationship overflow risk with elapse time and drain time for $t_{c2} = 49.89$ min	69
4.16	The relationship overflow risk with elapse time and drain time for $t_{c3} = 47.12$ min	69

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Hydrologic Cycle	7
2.2	Retention/Detention Storage Classification	12
2.3	Typical OSD Storage Facilities	14
2.4	Community and Regional Basin Types	18
3.1	Location of Ledang Heights, Nusajaya, Johor	29
4.1	Probability density functions (PDF) of interevent times (T) based on Simulation	43
4.2	Divided area to estimate the runoff coefficient	45
4.3	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p=0.75 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c1}=64.45$ minute and $C_1 = 0.80$	50
4.4	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p= 6.18 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c1}= 64.45$ minute and $C_2= 0.66$	50
4.5	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p= 6.09 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c1}= 64.45$ minute and $C_3= 0.65$	50
4.6	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p= 8.85 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c2}= 49.89$ minute and $C_1= 0.80$	51
4.7	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p= 7.30 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c2}= 49.89$ minute and $C_2= 0.66$	51
4.8	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p= 7.19 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c2}= 49.89$ minute and $C_3= 0.65$	52
4.9	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p= 9.17 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c3}= 47.12$ minute and $C_1= 0.80$	53
4.10	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p= 7.56 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c3}=47.12$ minute and $C_2= 0.66$	53
4.11	Triangular hydrograph for $Q_p=7.49 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, $t_{c3}=47.12$ minute and $C_3= 0.65$	54

4.12	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c1} = 64.45$ minute, $C_1 = 0.80$	56
4.13	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c1} = 64.45$ minute, $C_2 = 0.66$	57
4.14	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c1} = 64.45$ minute, $C_3 = 0.65$	59
4.15	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c2} = 49.89$ minute, $C_1 = 0.80$	60
4.16	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c2} = 49.89$ minute, $C_2 = 0.66$	62
4.17	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c2} = 49.89$ minute, $C_3 = 0.65$	63
4.18	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c3} = 47.12$ minute, $C_1 = 0.80$	65
4.19	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c3} = 47.12$ minute, $C_2 = 0.66$	66
4.20	Graph of overflow risk for $t_{c3} = 47.12$ minute, $C_3 = 0.65$	68

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Q_p	Peak Flow, m^3/s
C	Surface Runoff Coefficient
i	Rainfall Intensity, mm/hr
A	Catchment Area
t_c	Time of Concentration, minute
R	Average Return Period, year
P_d	Design Rainfall Depth
P_{30}	30 Minute Duration Rainfall Depth
P_{60}	60 Minute Duration Rainfall Depth
F_d	Adjustment Factor for Storm Duration
F_c	Conversion Factor
L	Length of Flow Path from Catchment Divide to Outlet
S	Slope of Stream Flow Path
V_0	Capacity In Channel
D_m	Average Rainfall Depth, mm
D_i	Incipient Runoff Depth, mm
q	Average Release
T	Elapse Time, minute
T_r	Drain Time, minute
T_m	Average Interevent Time, minute
C_d	Outflow Coefficient
H	Effective Head on Measured From The Centroid of Culvert To Surface Outflow
R_e	Inherent Overflow Risk
R_d	Operational Overflow Risk
$R(T)$	Overflow Risk

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Site Map of Study Area	79
B	On Site Detention Pond	81
C	Table Values of F_D for Equation 3.3	83
D	Figure 13.3 Values of ${}^2P_{24h}$ for Use with Table 13.3 (Source: HP 1, 1982)	84
E	Table Coefficients for The IDF Equations for The Different Major Cities and Towns In Malaysia	85
F	Daily Rainfall Data (From 1998 – 2007) (Data Station at Site 1437116 Stor JPS Johor Bahru at Johor)	86

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Nowadays, construction process is rapid because of human necessity for better life and comfort. Rapid construction and uncontrolled plan will changed the condition of the earth. This can cause to topographic changes and disturb the hydrology cycle.

In hydrological process, rainfall water will become less because of plants overtake and others will infiltrate into the earth. When new construction area is build, plants will be cut. This will make more rain will moving direct to the drain without going through process of overtake and infiltration.

As urban development occurs, the increase of impervious surface areas increases the volume of surface runoff and decreases infiltration volumes. Installation of storm sewers and realignment and channelization of natural streams result in a more rapid transmission of surface runoff. Without control measures, the increase in peak discharge rate following rainfall event is often an inevitable consequence and

may exacerbate flooding (overflow) of downstream areas. (Yiping Guo, 2001). Flood cause loss of live, damage properties and buildings, and disturb human activities such as the aspect of economic and traffic. Incompatible drainage system, unmanageable logging activities, and change land use from rural use to urban development are the reasons that worsen the flood problem.

This is why most urban communities require the use of stormwater detention ponds to reduce peak discharge rates from urban area to avoid hydrological problems; flood or overflow. (Philip and Huber, 1992; Ram, 1989). The drainage systems should be designed taking into consideration water quality and environmental aspects. Athadyde (1976) stated that many studies have revealed that the traditional approach in storm water drainage has increase flooding and stream erosion, affected the balance of a water body and created shock loads of pollutants to the receiving waters.

In manual for rapid discharge method, runoff water will discharge direct into drain system without detention system involved. This can give negative effect at downstream area cause runoff water decrease on rain event. For water flow control, enlarge drain system must be done to support the increasing of the flow. If the enlarged drain system also cannot cover the increasing of the flow, enlargement of the river can be done. Although this alternatives can solved the problem but it just temporary and not practical because it not environment friendly.

In report Cary, Australia (Tery, 1998), effect of the rapid discharge will increase the river flow, eroded to river bank and then, sediment of that erosion can reduced the river depth. Enlarge the river will include the higher cost because if necessary, the additional space are needed if it exceeded the limit of river rizab (JPS, 2000).

Stormwater management in Malaysia has traditionally focused primarily on managing the impacts of flooding by adopting a conveyance-oriented approach. Stormwater systems designed in accordance with this approach provide for the collection of runoff, followed by the immediate and rapid conveyance of the stormwater from the collection area to the point of discharge in order to minimize damage and disruption within the collection area. Stormwater runoff is viewed as a nuisance to be disposed of as quickly and efficiently as possible (MASMA , 2001).

The operational cycle of a stormwater control basin can be divided into the waiting period between events and the filling and draining period during an event. In this study, an inherent overflow risk is defined as the probability of having a large event exceed the basin storage capacity. Such a probability is prescribed by the basin storage capacity and the local distribution of rainfall event depth. An operational risk is defined as the probability of having the basin overwhelmed by subsequent storm event during the draining process. An operational risk is found to be dependent on watershed runoff coefficient, basin drain time, local average rainfall event depth and average rainfall interevent time.

In addition to this new designed concept, risk analysis should be incorporated in the design analysis to effectively address flood problems. Risk study would provide a more comprehensive analysis in the environmental and hydrological matters. Recent trends in many design of channel and other structures are towards the use of risk analysis (Warren and Gary, 2003). Risk analysis is an effective procedure or method for proper management of environmental and infrastructure systems. Risk analysis is also important in an uncertainty issues and helps in decision making process (Haimes, 2004).

1.2 Problem Statement

As urban development occurs, the increase of impervious surface areas increases the volume of surface runoff and decreases infiltration volumes. Installation of storm sewers and realignment and channelization of natural streams result in a more rapid transmission of surface runoff. Without control measures, the increase in peak discharge rate following a rainfall event is often an inevitable consequence and may exacerbate flooding of downstream areas. This is why the detention pond overflow risk analysis is carried out to reduce peak discharge rates and to avoid hydrological problems to the Nusajaya area.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The objectives of this study were:

- i. To obtain probability distribution for 10 year interevent time using Monte Carlo simulation combining Gamma and Weibull distribution
- ii. To determine elapsed time (T_m) and drain time (τ) for the to be estimated overflow risk
- iii. To determine the relationship between overflow risk and elapse time.

1.4 Scopes of Study

The scopes of the study are to obtain the rainfall distribution at Ledang Heights, Nusajaya, Johor Bahru. The study is carried out for surface water analysis without the inclusion a groundwater.

1.5 The Importance of Study

“Storage detention approach” has been introduced in our country to handle flood problem due to development. This approach is recommended by the Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia in the year 2000 by publishing a guideline name MASMA. Utilization of detention facilities is to control the additional volume and peak flow as well as the content of pollutant due to the additional development in the catchment area. Uncontrolled development and rapid urbanization are the major factors that cause the severity of the local flooding problems especially flash flood. Utilization of detention facilities is to retain the stormwater for certain duration (temporary storage) and control the peak discharge rate.

An operational risk is found to be dependent on watershed runoff coefficient, pond drain time, local average rainfall event depth and average rainfall interevent time. This study presents a design method by which the overflow risk is associated with a pond storage volume evaluated for various drain time. The concepts of the “longer, the better” applies to the sedimentation process, but concern for the overflow risk requires that the pond drain as fast as possible. For instance, a short drain time is preferred in order to reduce the overflow risk while the pond is emptying out. The risk-based approach developed in this study provides a quantifiable basis for making the decision on the operation of a detention pond.

REFERENCES

- Athayde, D. N. (1976). "Best management practices (BMP). "Proceeding, Urban Stormwater Seminars, Water Quality Management Guide, WPD 03-76-04, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- Chin D, A (2000). *Water Resources Engineering*, Prentice-Hall Inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- David R. Maidment (1992). *Handbook of Hydrology*. McGraw Hill, Inc.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia, DID. (2001). *Stormwater Management Manual For Malaysia (MASMA)*, Malaysian Publication.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia, DID. (2001). *Stormwater Management Manual For Malaysia (MASMA), PDF, 1975*, Malaysian Publication.
- Guo J. C. Y. (2002) Journal Of Hydrology Engineering, *Overflow Risk Analysis For Stormwater Quality Control Basins*. Vol.7 No.6, November 1. ASCE 1084-0699/2002/6-428-434.
- Guo J. C. Y. (2002) Journal Of Hydrology Engineering, *Hidrologic Design Of Urban Flood Control Detention Ponds*. Vol.6 No.6, November/December. 472-479,2001
- Guo J. C. Y. (2001). Journal Of Hydrology Engineering, *Hidrologic Design of Urban Flood Control Detention Ponds*. Vol.6,Nov/Dec. 472 – 479, 2001.
- Haines Y.Y (2004). *Risk Modeling, Assessment and Management, 2th Ed*. United State. John Wiley and Sons, Inc Publication.
- James C. Y. Guo (1999). Journal of Water Resources Planning And Management, *Detention Storage Volume for Small Urban Catchments*. November/December 1999.

- Marlizaiha Mohd Noor (2007/08). “*Analisis Risiko Air Limbah Kolam Tahanan di Wilayah Pembangunan Iskandar (WPI)*” Tesis Ijazah Sarjana Muda Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia.
- Maryland Department of The Environment (1986). *Feasibility and Design Wet Ponds to Achieve Water Quality Control*, Sediment and Stormwater Administration.
- Mays L. W (2005) *Stormwater Collection System Design Handbook*, McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Md Azizi Dahlan (2005/06). “*Analisis Risiko Pada Saluran Konkrit dan Alur Berumput Di Lima Kedai, Johor Bahru*” Tesis Ijazah Sarjana Muda Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, Malaysia.
- Papa, F., Adams, B. J., and Guo, Y. (1999). *Detention Time Selection For Stormwater Quality Control Ponds. Canadian Journal Civil Engineering.*, 26(1), 72 – 82.
- Richard H McCuen (1998). *Hidrologic Analysis & Design* (Second Edition). United States of America, Prentice Hall, Inc.
- RiskAMP Monte Carlo Add-In Library version 2.80, Personal & Learning Edition
- Warren, V.J. and Gary L.L. (2003). *Introduction to Hydrology*. Perason Education, Inc. New Jersey, USA.
- Wilson E. M (1992). *Hidrologi Kejuruteraan*, Edisi Keempat dengan terjemahan Fatimah bt Mohd Noor, Hadibah Ismail, Mohamad Noor Hj Salleh dan Abd Aziz b. Ibrahim.