

MAGNETIC INDUCTION TOMOGRAPHY (MIT) SIMULATION STUDY FOR
RENAL SCREENING USING DIFFERENT SYSTEM FREQUENCIES AND
SIZES OF CALCIUM OXALATE

THOMPSON PAULUS

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Biomedical Engineering)

School of Biomedical Engineering and Health Sciences
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated especially both to my mother, Jaillin Brasik and elder sister, Georgiana Paulus who gave me opportunity once again in pursuing my master degree and supported me financially and emotionally thoroughly until the completion stage. It is also dedicated to my family members, friends and relatives who had cheered me throughout this thick and thin journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I had collaborated with researchers and academicians, who had contributed a lot in completing the thesis. In particular, my utmost and sincere appreciation, I express to my main supervisor, Dr Jaysuman Pussapanathan, who had guided and encouraged me the most throughout the journey. He also corrected and improved me as young person. As without his helps and cares, I would not be able to do so.

My fellow postgraduate students, Ms. Nuramira Zulkiflli and Mr. Norazman Shahar, they had provided assistances and discussions at various occasions.

Last but not least, Mdm Regina Natusch and family, who always being the family figure of mine throughout this journey. I am truly grateful to have everyone who always gave me spirits, advices and motivations.

ABSTRACT

Nephrolithiasis is the process of forming stone in the kidney by crystallization. Due to the increasing prevalence of nephrolithiasis from time to time, medical institutions look for more advanced technology of medical imaging which can tackle the disadvantages of current medical imaging devices for renal, which are non-invasive, free radiation and rapid use. The research encompassed the design simulation study of Magnetic Induction Tomography (MIT) system for renal screening by using COMSOL multiphysics. MIT is a soft field tomography and a non-contact imaging modality used to image the passive electromagnetic properties (conductivity, permittivity and permeability) by applying principle of electromagnetic induction. In this research, 8 copper trans-receiver coils were employed in the MIT system and fixed by the insulation belt. Meanwhile, geometric set-up of renal organ imitates the transverse section at renal level of human body. Sensor performance analysis of MIT system was done based on various frequency and radius of calcium oxalate inside kidneys. In conclusion, frequency and radius of calcium oxalate affect the sensitivity performance of MIT system and has inverse relationship with sensitivity performance.

ABSTRAK

Batu karang adalah proses pembentukan batu di buah pinggang dengan proses penghabluran. Disebabkan peningkatan kes batu karang dari semasa ke semasa, sektor perubatan berusaha untuk mencipta teknologi pengimejan yang lebih canggih yang dapat menangani kekurangan teknologi pengimejan yang terkini untuk buah pinggang, iaitu yang bersifat tidak invasif, tiada radiasi dan pantas. Kajian ini merangkumi kajian simulasi rekabentuk Sistem Tomografi Magnetik Induksi (TMI) bagi pemeriksaan buah pinggang dengan menggunakan *COMSOL Multiphysics*. TMI dikategorikan sebagai medan lembut dan teknologi tiada sentuhan yang menggambarkan sifat elektromagnet pasif (kekonduksian, ketelusan dan kebolehtelapan) dengan menggunakan prinsip induksi elektromagnetik. Dalam kajian ini, 8 gegelung penerima tembaga elektronik telah digunakan dalam sistem TMI yang dipasang pada tali pinggang penebat. Sementara itu, simulasi geometri buah pinggang direka berdasarkan buah pinggang sebenar manusia. Analisis prestasi kepekaan sistem TMI dilakukan berdasarkan variasi gelombang dan radius kalsium oksalat di dalam buah pinggang. Kesimpulannya, gelombang dan radius kalsium oksalat mempengaruhi prestasi kepekaan sistem TMI dan mempunyai hubungan terbalik dengan prestasi kepekaan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | iii |
| | DEDICATION | iv |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| | ABSTRACT | vi |
| | ABSTRAK | vii |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | ix |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xv |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xvi |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 Research Objectives | 3 |
| | 1.4 Research Scope | 3 |
| | 1.5 Significant of Research | 3 |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| | 2.1 Overview of Renal Organ | 5 |
| | 2.2 Overview of Nephrolithiasis | 6 |
| | 2.3 Current Medical Imaging Devices for Renal Screening | 7 |
| | 2.4 Magnetic Induction Tomography | 8 |
| | 2.4.1 Hardware Components in MIT | 8 |
| | 2.4.2 Working Principle of MIT | 9 |
| | 2.4.3 Equations used in Principle of MIT | 10 |
| | 2.4.4 Finite Element Method (FEM) | 12 |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----------|
| 2.4.5 | Sensitivity Performances of MIT | 12 |
| 2.4.6 | Sensor Configurations of MIT | 13 |
| 2.4.7 | Applications of MIT in Biomedical Field | 15 |
| 2.4.7 | Advantages and Limitations of MIT | 19 |
| 2.5 | Other Types of Electrical Tomography | 20 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 23 |
| 3.1 | COMSOL Multiphysic | 23 |
| 3.2 | Experimental Set-Up | 25 |
| 3.3 | Parameter Set-Up of Material | 27 |
| 3.4 | Methodology of Data Acquisition | 29 |
| 3.5 | Methodology of Analysis | 29 |
| CHAPTER 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 31 |
| 4.1 | Sensor Performance | 31 |
| 4.2 | Results of Sensor Performance with Various Frequency | 31 |
| 4.2.1 | Excitation at coil 3, with fixed radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 32 |
| 4.2.2 | Excitation at coil 1, with fixed radius of 0.027m of calcium oxalate | 34 |
| 4.2.3 | Review of sensitivity performance based on fixed radius of calcium oxalate with various frequencies | 37 |
| 4.3 | Results of Sensor Performance with Various Radius of Calcium Oxalate | 37 |
| 4.3.1 | Excitation at coil 1, with fixed frequency of 1MHz | 37 |
| 4.3.2 | Review of sensitivity performance based on fixed frequency with various radius of calcium oxalate | 41 |
| CHAPTER 5 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 43 |
| 5.1 | Research Outcomes | 43 |
| 5.2 | Future Works | 43 |
| REFERENCES | | 45 |
| APPENDICES | | 53 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| Table 3.1 | Passive electrical properties (PEP) of materials | 27 |
| Table 4.1 | Sensitivity values at excitation of coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 32 |
| Table 4.2 | Sensitivity values at excitation of coil 1, with radius of 0.027m of calcium oxalate | 34 |
| Table 4.3 | Sensitivity values at excitation of coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 38 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------|--|------|
| Figure 2.1 | Anatomy of Kidney | 5 |
| Figure 2.2 | Block diagram of a typical MIT system | 9 |
| Figure 2.3 | Schematic diagram of working principle of MIT | 10 |
| Figure 2.4 | Sensitivity map of excitation coil 1 and detection coil 2 combination (a), Sensitivity map of excitation coil 1 and detection coil 5 combination (b) | 13 |
| Figure 2.5 | Sensor configuration of MIT by Z. Xiao et al. | 14 |
| Figure 2.6 | Sensor configuration of MIT by H. J. Luo <i>et al.</i> | 14 |
| Figure 2.7 | Sensor configuration developed MIT system by Z. Zakaria <i>et al.</i> | 15 |
| Figure 2.8 | The setup of clinical experiments | 16 |
| Figure 2.9 | 3D heterogeneous model, where healthy tissue of liver is the object and blood is the inclusion | 17 |
| Figure 2.10 | Anatomy of breast; a superficial dissection of the pectoral region of the female | 18 |
| Figure 2.11 | A phantom for in-vitro testing of bleeding in the brain (2D top view) | 19 |
| Figure 3.1 | Flowchart of simulation by COMSOL Multiphysics | 24 |
| Figure 3.2 | Geometric set-up and sensor configuration of homogenous condition | 26 |
| Figure 3.3 | Geometric set-up and sensor configuration of inhomogenous condition | 26 |
| Figure 3.4 | Graph Frequency of the applied voltage versus conductivity of calcium oxalate | 28 |
| Figure 3.5 | Graph Frequency of the applied voltage versus relative permittivity of calcium oxalate | 28 |
| Figure 4.1 | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 33 |

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 4.2 | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 1, with radius of 0.027m of calcium oxalate | 36 |
| Figure 4.3 | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 39 |
| Figure 4.4 | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz (magnified) | 40 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| CEU | - | Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound |
| CT | - | Computed Tomography |
| CT-KUB | - | Computed Tomography of Kidneys, Ureters and Bladder |
| DCE-MRI | - | Dynamic Contrast Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging |
| ECT | - | Electrical Capacitance Tomography |
| EIT | - | Electrical Impedance Tomography |
| ERT | - | Electrical Resistance Tomography |
| FEM | - | Finite Element Method |
| f-MRI | - | Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging |
| HEI | - | Holographic Electromagnetic Induction |
| IDW | - | Inversed Distance Weighted |
| MIT | - | Magnetic Induction Tomography |
| MRI | - | Magnetic Resonance Imaging |
| NDE | - | Non Destructive Examination |
| PET-MRI | - | Positron Emission Tomography Magnetic Resonance Imaging |
| TMI | - | Tomografi Magnetik Induksi |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| σ | - | Conductivity |
| ε | - | Permittivity |
| μ | - | Permeability |
| ε_r | - | Relative permittivity of material |
| ε_0 | - | Relative permittivity of vacuum |
| E | - | Electric field intensity |
| B | - | Magnetic induction intensity |
| J_s | - | Electric current density |
| ω | - | Angular frequency of excitation source |
| ρ | - | Electric charge density |
| j | - | Imaginary part unit |
| ΔB | - | Secondary magnetic field |
| Δv | - | Induced Voltage |
| Sv | - | Sievert |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|---|------|
| Appendix A | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 53 |
| Appendix B | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 54 |
| Appendix C | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 55 |
| Appendix D | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 56 |
| Appendix E | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 57 |
| Appendix F | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 58 |
| Appendix G | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 3, with radius of 0.018m of calcium oxalate | 59 |
| Appendix H | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 60 |
| Appendix I | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 61 |
| Appendix J | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 62 |
| Appendix K | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 63 |
| Appendix L | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 64 |
| Appendix M | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 65 |
| Appendix N | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 66 |
| Appendix O | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 67 |
| Appendix P | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 68 |
| Appendix Q | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 69 |
| Appendix R | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 70 |

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| Appendix S | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 71 |
| Appendix T | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 72 |
| Appendix U | Graph of sensitivity performance at excitation coil 5, with frequency of 1MHz | 73 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Tomography is originally derived from the Greek word, it brings the meaning of 'slice' or 'section'. The evolution of tomography started as Conrad Röntgen discovered the X-ray in 1895. The X-ray was found to be able to project the internal image of human body. Soon after the discovery, the need of tomography in medical line had been given more attention as it offers many advantages [1]. Tomography technology reduces cost of medication and risk of infection as it can diagnose the illness of patient without the need of dissecting the patient body anatomically.

Nephrolithiasis is the process of forming stone in the kidney by precipitation or crystallization [2]. In the paper, Sreenevasan, G analysed that the occurrence of nephrolithiasis in peninsular Malaysia had increased linearly from 1962 to 1981 from around 225 cases to 425 cases per 100,00 people [3]. It was observed too, that male has higher tendency to develop nephrolithiasis compared to female which is much more related to diet of male group which favour more on protein-based diet [3–5].

Due to the increasing prevalence of nephrolithiasis from time to time, medical institutions look for more advanced technology of medical imaging which can tackle the disadvantage of current medical imaging devices such as Computed Tomography (CT) scanner, ultrasound and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). CT scanner is limited to pregnant woman as it projects X-ray which is harmful to the development of fetus in mother's womb [6]. Ultrasound which produces heat can give thermal effect to the patients if used rapidly in long time [7]. Meanwhile, MRI consumes long time for each session of imaging to complete [8].

Thus, in this thesis, Magnetic Induction Tomography (MIT) simulation study had been studied to tackle all the mentioned disadvantages of current medical imaging devices.

1.2 Problem Statement

Current medical imaging devices used for renal screening have respective limitations. In instance, CT scanner cannot done on pregnant woman or children as it projects radioactive substances which can kill or mutate living cells.

Ultrasound which produces heat can cause skin inflammation due to thermal effects which are directly applied on skin. Patients with sensitive skin, such as rashes, deep wound etc, are not advised to use ultrasound as screening method.

MRI takes long time to screen patient which is time ineffective for medical sector, other than gives difficulties to claustrophobic patient as patient needs to be placed inside the capsule for long time. Due to its time ineffective and limited number of device in hospital, many patients have to wait for their scheduled session before their turn to be screened.

Other than that, there is none from previous reseaches on MIT had been done in the application for renal screening. Lack of research input gives gaps of research and difficulties in developing MIT system (hardware).

As the prevalence of nephrolithiasis cases that keep increasing from time to time, a newer and more advanced medical imaging devices for renal screening need to be produced which are convenience to all kinds of people and more time effective.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are:

- i. To design sensor configuration of an 8 electrode channel MIT.
- ii. To analyze the sensor performance of 8 electrode channel MIT for renal screening using Finite Element Method (FEM).

1.4 Research Scope

In fulfilling the objective i, the research encompassed the design of transverse section of renal organ of human and sensor configuration of an 8 electrode channel MIT. The passive electrical properties (permittivity, permeability and conductivity) of transverse section of renal organ and the 8 electrode channel MIT were then fully defined respectively.

Meanwhile, in order to fulfil the objective ii, the study simulation of 8 electrode channel MIT on renal were done by changing the manipulated variables (frequencies of MIT and radii of kidney stone). The frequencies of MIT were set from 50KHz, 100KHz, 500KHz, 1MHz, 1.5MHz and 2MHz. Meanwhile, the radii of kidney stone ranged from 0.000cm to 0.030cm with increment of 0.003cm of interval for each study simulation. The analyses of sensor performances of MIT were done based on the graphs' trends of sensitivity value.

1.5 Significant of Research

MIT had been used in various applications either in geophysics [9,10], industrial processes [11,12] and biomedical applications [10,13,14]. Focusing in biomedical application alone, researches on MIT only been done on lungs [10], liver

[15], heart [16], heart [16], brain [9,17–20], and cancer cell [9], which all these will be further discussed in Chapter 2.

Research of MIT for renal screening had never been done before. By employing this study simulation, this study could give insight for researchers to develop the hardware of MIT for renal screening application based on the results of MIT sensor performance.

REFERENCES

1. Dienst Radiologie, A.Z. Middelheim, Antwerp B. Istorica tomografieii. *J Belge Radiol* [Internet]. 1995 [cited 2020 Jan 5];78(5):284–8. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8550391>
2. Nouri AI, Hassali MA. Assessment of kidney stone disease prevalence in a teaching hospital. *African J Urol* [Internet]. 2018;24(3):180–5. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afju.2018.05.003>
3. Sreenevasan G. Urinary stones in Malaysia--its incidence and management. *Med J Malaysia*. 1990;45(2):92–112.
4. Scales CD, Smith AC, Hanley JM, Saigal CS. Prevalence of kidney stones in the United States. *Eur Urol*. 2012;62(1):160–5.
5. Chen Z, Prosperi M, Bird VY. Prevalence of kidney stones in the USA: The National Health and Nutrition Evaluation Survey. *J Clin Urol*. 2019;12(4):296–302.
6. Power SP, Moloney F, Twomey M, James K, O'Connor OJ, Maher MM. Computed tomography and patient risk: Facts, perceptions and uncertainties. *World J Radiol*. 2016;8(12):902.
7. Genc A, Ryk M, Suwała M, Żurakowska T, Kosiak W. Ultrasound imaging in the general practitioner's office. *J Ultrason*. 2016 Feb 20;16(64):78–86.
8. Oldendorf W, Oldendorf W, Oldendorf W, Oldendorf W. Advantages and Disadvantages of MRI. In: *Basics of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. Springer US; 1988. p. 125–38.
9. Sahlan S, Abdul Sahib MF, A. Danapalasingam K, Abas KH, Abdul Rahim R, Ishak MHI, et al. Magnetic Induction Tomography: A Brief Review. *J Teknol*. 2015;73(3):91–5.
10. Wang L, Al-Jumaily AM. Imaging of Lung Structure Using Holographic Electromagnetic Induction. *IEEE Access*. 2017;5(c).
11. Hintermüller M, Laurain A, Yousept I. Shape sensitivities for an inverse problem in magnetic induction tomography based on the eddy current model. *Inverse Probl*. 2015;31(6).

12. Yazdanian H, Jafari R. Improvement on Conductivity Image Reconstruction in Magnetic Induction Tomography. MeMeA 2018 - 2018 IEEE Int Symp Med Meas Appl Proc. 2018;3528725544:1–5.
13. Zakaria Z, Hussin MH Bin, Rahim RA, Mohammad NF, Abdullah AA, Yaacob S, et al. Performance comparisons of new excitation coil design aspects in Magnetic Induction Tomography (MIT) applications. Proc - 2011 2nd Int Conf Intell Syst Model Simulation, ISMS 2011. 2011;400–3.
14. Darrer BJ, Watson JC, Bartlett P, Renzoni F. Toward an automated setup for magnetic induction tomography. IEEE Trans Magn. 2015;51(1):1–4.
15. Stawicki K, Szuflirowska B, Ziolkowski M. Recent simulation results of the magnetic induction tomography forward problem. Arch Electr Eng. 2016;65(2):327–36.
16. Marmugi L, Renzoni F. Optical Magnetic Induction Tomography of the Heart. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2016;6(November 2015):1–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep23962>
17. Zakaria Z, Rahim RA, Saiful M, Mansor B, Yaacob S, Muzakkir N, et al. Advancements in Transmitters and Sensors for Biological Tissue Imaging in Magnetic Induction Tomography. 2012;7126–56.
18. H. J. Luo¹, *, W. He¹, Z. Xu¹ and LL. Preliminary Results On Brain Monitoring Of Meningitis Using 16 Channels Magnetic In- Duction Tomography Measurement System. Prog Electromagn Res. 2012;24(February):57–68.
19. Xiao Z, Tan C, Dong F. Brain tissue based sensitivity matrix in hemorrhage imaging by magnetic induction tomography. I2MTC 2017 - 2017 IEEE Int Instrum Meas Technol Conf Proc. 2017;
20. Gao Y, Ke L, Du Q, Han L. Intracranial fine simulations based on a four layers craniocerebral model. Proc - 2015 8th Int Conf Biomed Eng Informatics, BMEI 2015. 2016;(Bmei):19–23.
21. Husain AN, Pysher TJ. The kidney and lower urinary tract. Stock Dehner's Pediatr Pathol Third Ed. 2012;76–80.
22. Moorthy AV, Blichfeldt TC. Anatomy and Physiology of the Kidney. Pathophysiol Kidney Dis Hypertens. 2009;68(5):1–15.

23. De Groat WC. Anatomy and physiology of the lower urinary tract [Internet]. 1st ed. Vol. 20, Urologic Clinics of North America. Elsevier B.V.; 1993. 383–401 p. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63247-0.00005-5>
24. Anatomy of the Urinary System | Johns Hopkins Medicine [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 5]. Available from: <https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/wellness-and-prevention/anatomy-of-the-urinary-system>
25. Urinary Stones - PORTAL MyHEALTH [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 5]. Available from: <http://www.myhealth.gov.my/en/urinary-stones/>
26. Sinha D, Anwar K, Kumari K, Jaishwal S, Madeshwaran S, Keshari S, et al. Studies on the dielectric properties of natural urinary stones. *Adv Mater Res.* 2012;584:484–8.
27. Ali ARM, Raj NAN. Dielectric behavior of calcium oxalate monohydrate urinary stone. *Adv Mater Res.* 2012;584:499–503.
28. Stones in your kidney | The Star Online [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 5]. Available from: <https://www.thestar.com.my/lifestyle/health/2006/05/21/stones-in-your-kidney>
29. Habeger CF, Condon CE, Khan SR, Adair JH. Evaluation of the calcium oxalate monohydrate Hamaker constant based on static dielectric constant determination and electronic polarization. *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces.* 1997;10(1):13–21.
30. Bhayana S, Sikka SK. Correlation of Specific Electrical Conductivity with other Properties of Urine in Calcium Oxalate Stone Formers and Controls. 2014;3(2):19–25.
31. Brisbane W, Bailey MR, Sorensen MD. An overview of kidney stone imaging techniques. *Nat Rev Urol.* 2016;13(11):654–62.
32. Christiansen AR, Shorti RM, Smith CD, Prows WC, Bishoff JT. Intraoperative utilization of advanced imaging modalities in a complex kidney stone case: a pilot case study. *World J Urol [Internet].* 2018;36(5):733–43. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-018-2260-4>

33. Herget-Rosenthal S. Imaging Techniques in the Management of Chronic Kidney Disease: Current Developments and Future Perspectives. *Semin Nephrol* [Internet]. 2011;31(3):283–90. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semnephrol.2011.05.011>
34. Davarpanah AH, Israel GM. MR imaging of the kidneys and adrenal glands. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2014;52(4):779–98.
35. Hekman MCH, Boerman OC, De Weijert M, Bos DL, Oosterwijk E, Langenhuijsen HF, et al. Targeted dual-modality imaging in renal cell carcinoma: An ex vivo kidney perfusion study. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2016;22(18):4634–46442.
36. Kalantarinia K. Novel Imaging Techniques in Acute Kidney Injury. *Curr Drug Targets*. 2009;10(12):1184–9.
37. Wei HY, Soleimani M. Hardware and software design for a National Instrument-based magnetic induction tomography system for prospective biomedical applications. *Physiol Meas*. 2012;33(5):863–79.
38. Zakaria Z, Suki H, Talib MTM, Balkhis I, Ibrahim M, Mansor MSB, et al. Sensitivity maps reconstruction for magnetic induction tomography modality using experimental technique. *J Teknol*. 2015;77(17):63–7.
39. Saiful M, Mansor B, Jumaah MF, Zakaria Z, Rahim RA, Nor NM, et al. Sensors & Transducers Magnetic Induction Tomography Modeling in Biological Tissue Imaging Using Two-Port Network Technique. 2013;150(3):112–9.
40. Deans C, Marmugi L, Hussain S, Renzoni F. Optical atomic magnetometry for magnetic induction tomography of the heart. 2016;9900:1–10. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.00839><http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2227538>
41. Science M. Magnetic Induction Tomography System.pdf. 2001;
42. Wang J, Wang X, Yang D, Wang K, Zhou Y. Magnetic Induction Tomography Simulation Analysis Based on Comsol Multiphysics Soft. *IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng*. 2018;394(4).
43. He W, Luo H, Xu Z, Wang J. Multi-channel magnetic induction tomography measurement system. *Proc - 2010 3rd Int Conf Biomed Eng Informatics, BMEI 2010*. 2010;1(Bmei):402–5.

44. Zakaria Z, Badri Mansor MS, Abdul Rahim R, Balkhis I, Fazalul Rahiman MH, Nor Ayob NM, et al. Magnetic induction tomography: Receiver circuit and its design criteria. *J Teknol (Sciences Eng.* 2013;64(5):83–7.
45. Zhang Z, Liu P, Zhou D, Zhang L, Lei H. Simulation study for a new magnetic induction tomography coil system with weakly perturbing in conducting background. 2014 36th Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc EMBC 2014. 2014;(1):1127–30.
46. Caeiros JMS, Martins RC. An optimized forward problem solver for the complete characterization of the electromagnetic properties of biological tissues in magnetic induction tomography. *IEEE Trans Magn.* 2012;48(12):4707–12.
47. Ameran HLM, Hamzah AA, Rahim RA, Phang FA, Bin Ngadiman NHA, Wahab YA, et al. Sensitivity mapping for electrical tomography using finite element method. *Int J Integr Eng.* 2018;10(4):64–7.
48. Chen Y, Yan M, Chen D, Hamsch M, Liu H, Jin H, et al. Imaging hemorrhagic stroke with magnetic induction tomography: Realistic simulation and evaluation. *Physiol Meas.* 2010;31(6):809–27.
49. Gowry B, Shahrman AB, Zulkarnay Z. A new 2D magnetic induction tomography system for phantom detection and localization in medical applications. *Int J Mech Mechatronics Eng.* 2016;16(4):103–12.
50. Opportunity THE, Magnetic OF, Detection C. *Jurnal Teknologi The Opportunity Of Magnetic Induction In Breast.* 2016;4:103–7.
51. Merwa R, Hollaus K, Brandstätter B, Rosell J, Casañas R, Scharfetter H. Sensitivity maps for low-contrast perturbations within conducting background in magnetic induction tomography Related content Numerical solution for magnetic induction tomography Sensitivity maps for MIT using aplanar. *Physiol Meas.* 2002;23.
52. Wang X, Xuan Y, Liu C, Yang D. A double frequency magnetic induction tomography system: Analysis and simulations. In: *Proceedings - International Conference on Natural Computation.* IEEE Computer Society; 2013. p. 1199–203.

53. Du Q, Bai B, Ke L, Lin X. Design of sector multi-channel detecting system for magnetic induction tomography. ICCASM 2010 - 2010 Int Conf Comput Appl Syst Model Proc. 2010;4(Iccasm):129–32.
54. Wang X, Xuan Y, Liu C, Yang D. A double frequency magnetic induction tomography system: Analysis and simulations. Proc - Int Conf Nat Comput. 2013;1199–203.
55. Zhang Z, Liu P, Zhou D, Lei H. Biomedical magnetic induction tomography using two-arm Archimedean spiral coil: A feasibility study. 13th IEEE Int Conf Bioinforma Bioeng IEEE BIBE 2013. 2013;0–3.
56. Luo H, Jiang X. The magnetic induction tomography measurement system based on Helmholtz coil. Proc - 2015 8th Int Conf Biomed Eng Informatics, BMEI 2015. 2016;(Bmei):29–33.
57. Shelke, A. R. , Roscoe, J. A. , Morrow, G. R. , Colman, L. K. , Banerjee, T. K. , & Kirshner JJ. Electrical conductivity measurement of excised human metastatic liver tumours before and after thermal ablation. Bone. 2008;23(1):1–7.
58. Zakaria Z, Lee Pick Yern, Abdullah AA, Rahim RA, Mansor MSB, Ayob NMN. Simulation study on size and location identification of tumors in liver tissue through eddy current distribution analysis. 2012 Int Conf Biomed Eng ICoBE 2012. 2012;(February):602–4.
59. Lv Y. Sensitivity distribution with target domain in magnetic induction tomography. Proc 2015 27th Chinese Control Decis Conf CCDC 2015. 2015;5630–4.
60. Mamatjan Y. Imaging of hemorrhagic stroke in magnetic induction tomography: An in vitro study. Int J Imaging Syst Technol. 2014;24(2):161–6.
61. Korjenevsky A V., Cherepenin VA, Sapetsky SA. Magnetic induction tomography - New imaging method in biomedicine. 2nd World Congr Ind Process Tomogr. 2014;(August):240–6.
62. Adiputri FN, Prasetiani EN, Rohmadi, Saputra A, Muttakin I, Taruno WP. Simulation of Magnetic Induction Tomography Sensor with 8-Coils Solenoid and Planar. Proc 2017 5th Int Conf Instrumentation, Commun Inf Technol Biomed Eng ICICI-BME 2017. 2018;(November):236–40.

63. Adler A, Arnold JH, Bayford R, Borsic A, Brown B, Dixon P, et al. GREIT: A unified approach to 2D linear EIT reconstruction of lung images. *Physiol Meas*. 2009;30(6).
64. Dolgin M, Einziger PD. Electrical impedance tomography method for reconstruction of biological tissues with continuous plane-stratification. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol - Proc*. 2006;(5):4281–4.
65. Liu S, Jia J, Yang Y. Image reconstruction algorithm for electrical impedance tomography based on block sparse Bayesian learning. *IST 2017 - IEEE Int Conf Imaging Syst Tech Proc*. 2018;2018-Janua:1–5.
66. Aguiar Santos S, Schlebusch T, Leonhardt S. Simulation of a current source with a cole-cole load for multi-frequency electrical impedance tomography. *Proc Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc EMBS*. 2013;6445–8.
67. Kolehmainen V, Lassas M, Ola P. Electrical impedance tomography problem with inaccurately known boundary and contact impedances. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2008;27(10):1404–14.
68. Pusppanathan J, Abdul Rahim R, Phang FA, Mohamad EJ, Nor Ayob NM, Fazalul Rahiman MH, et al. Single-Plane Dual-Modality Tomography for Multiphase Flow Imaging by Integrating Electrical Capacitance and Ultrasonic Sensors. *IEEE Sens J*. 2017;17(19):6368–77.
69. J. Pusppanathan, R. A. Rahim, F. A. Phang, K. H. Abasa, S. Sahlan and EJM. *Jurnal Teknologi 3D Modelling Of Electrical Capacitance And Ultrasonic Sensor Integration*. 2015;17:31–5.
70. Aw SR, Rahim RA, Rahiman MHF, Yunus FRM, Goh CL. Electrical resistance tomography: A review of the application of conducting vessel walls. *Powder Technol*. 2014;254:256–64.
71. Liu L, Li RF, Collins S, Wang XZ, Tweedie R, Primrose K. Ultrasound spectroscopy and electrical resistance tomography for online characterisation of concentrated emulsions in crossflow membrane emulsifications. *Powder Technol*. 2011;213(1):123–31.
72. Rautenbach C, Mudde RF, Yang X, Melaaen MC, Halvorsen BM. A comparative study between electrical capacitance tomography and time-resolved X-raytomography. *Flow Meas Instrum [Internet]*. 2013;30:34–44. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2012.11.005>

73. Pusppanathan J, Faramarzi M, Yunus FR, Ayob NMN, Rahim RA, Phang FA, et al. Edge detection algorithm for enhancement of linear back projection tomographic images. *J Teknol (Sciences Eng.* 2014;69(8):31–4.
74. Zhao J, Su C, Ren H, Qin L, Dong B, Xing F. Application of electrical resistance tomography to damage detection in concrete. *Proc 28th Chinese Control Decis Conf CCDC 2016.* 2016;4746–9.
75. Rodriguez Frias MA, Yang W. Dual-modality four-wire electrical capacitance and resistance tomography. *IST 2018 - IEEE Int Conf Imaging Syst Tech Proc.* 2018;1–5.
76. Meng Z, Huang Z, Wang B, Ji H, Li H. Flowrate measurement of air-water two-phase flow using an Electrical Resistance Tomography sensor and a Venturi meter. *2009 IEEE Instrumentation Meas Technol Conf I2MTC 2009.* 2009;(May):118–21.
77. Wang P. Analysis of sensitivity field of electrical resistance tomography system. *Proc - 2016 IEEE Int Symp Comput Consum Control IS3C 2016.* 2016;1106–10.
78. Tissue Frequency Chart» IT'IS Foundation [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 7]. Available from: <https://itis.swiss/virtual-population/tissue-properties/database/tissue-frequency-chart/>
79. Dielectric Properties of Body Tissues: HTML clients [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 7]. Available from: <http://niremf.ifac.cnr.it/tissprop/htmlclie/htmlclie.php>
80. EC Formula - Depth [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 7]. Available from: <https://www.nde-ed.org/GeneralResources/Formula/ECFormula/DepthofPenetration/ECDepth.htm>
81. García-Martín J, Gómez-Gil J, Vázquez-Sánchez E. Non-destructive techniques based on eddy current testing. *Sensors.* 2011;11(3):2525–65.
82. Ridzuan S, Abdul R, Hafiz M, Rahiman F, Johana E, Rahman F, et al. *Jurnal Teknologi Full paper Simulation Study on Electrical Resistance Tomography using Metal Wall for Bubble Detection.* 2015;6:31–5.