

DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF POWER ELECTRONIC CONVERTER TO  
HARVEST OCEAN-CURRENT ENERGY

MOHAMAD HASIF BIN MOHAMAD ISHAK

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Electrical Power)

School of Electrical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

## DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to whoever the reader.

Yes, it's you.

No one else, just you.

Because I know you are here  
to do good things for future.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was not in contact with many people due to 2020 was the year of pandemic. However, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr. Norjulia Binti Mohamad Nordin, for her encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without her continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

Librarians at UTM also deserve special thanks for their assistance in supplying the relevant literatures and also responded to every question I asked through live chat.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family member.

## ABSTRACT

An ocean current is a continuous, directed movement of ocean water generated by the forces acting upon this mean flow, such as breaking waves, wind, Coriolis's force, temperature and salinity differences and tides caused by the gravitational pull of the Moon and the Sun. Depth contours, shoreline configurations and interaction with other currents influence a current's direction and strength. However, one of ocean current characteristic is varying-speed which will also vary the output of an energy converter. This project presents the comparison of two power electronic converter designs for hydrokinetic turbine system equipped with a permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG), to harvest ocean current energy by injecting ocean current speed data as input of overall system. An AC-DC uncontrolled rectifier is used as first stage of power conversion while two DC-DC converters (Buck-boost and SEPIC) are being compared for second stage. The proposed power conversion system model is designed to maintain constant DC output voltage with almost zero ripples for battery charging by using PI-control method. MATLAB/Simulink is used to simulate the proposed systems. The obtained result shows that the averaged output voltage is at 25.85V. With PI-control method, the output voltage maintains at small ripple.

## ABSTRAK

Arus lautan adalah pergerakan air laut yang berterusan yang juga mempunyai aliran yang purata, bergantung pada daya yang bertindak pada, seperti gelombang putus, angin, daya Coriolis, perbezaan suhu dan kemasinan dan pasang surut yang disebabkan oleh tarikan graviti Bulan dan Matahari. Kontur kedalaman, konfigurasi garis pantai dan interaksi dengan arus lain juga mempengaruhi arah dan kekuatan arus. Walau bagaimanapun, salah satu ciri arus laut adalah kelajuan yang berbeza-beza yang juga akan mengubah pengeluaran penukar tenaga seperti alat jana-kuasa. Projek ini membentangkan perbandingan dua reka bentuk sistem penukar kuasa turbin hidrokinetik yang dilengkapi dengan Penjana Segerak Magnet Kekal (PMSG), untuk menuai tenaga arus lautan dengan menggunakan data kelajuan arus lautan sebagai data masukan keseluruhan sistem. 'AC-DC Converter' yang tidak memerlukan kawalan, digunakan sebagai tahap pertama penukaran kuasa, sementara 'DC-DC Converter' (Buck-boost dan SEPIC) dibandingkan untuk tahap kedua. Model sistem penukaran kuasa yang telah dicadangkan adalah dirancang untuk mengekalkan voltan keluaran berterusan dengan riak hampir sifar untuk pengecasan bateri dengan menggunakan kaedah kawalan PI. MATLAB/Simulink digunakan untuk mensimulasikan sistem yang dicadangkan. Hasil yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa voltan keluaran rata-rata berada pada 25.85V. Dengan kaedah kawalan PI, voltan keluaran mengekalkan pada riak yang sangat kecil.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Problem Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of Study	4
1.5	Significance of study	4
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Ocean Current Overview	5
2.3	Ocean Current Profile	6
2.4	Overview of Methods to Harvest Ocean-current Mechanically	9
2.4.1	Vertical Axis Turbine	9
2.4.2	Horizontal Axis Turbine	10
2.4.3	Hydro-kite like	11

2.4.4	Hydro-Current Energy Converter	12
2.4.5	Bluff Body Energy converter	14
2.5	Generator	15
2.5.1	DC Generator	15
2.5.2	AC Generator	16
2.6	Power Electronic Converter	17
2.6.1	AC-DC Type	17
2.6.2	DC-AC Type	18
2.6.3	DC-DC Type	18
2.6.4	AC-AC Type	19
2.7	PID Controller in Power Electronic	19
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	Designing Varying Water Speed Model	24
3.3	Designing Turbine Model	29
3.4	Designing Generator Model	30
3.5	Designing Load Model	31
3.6	Designing AC-DC converter	32
3.7	Designing DC-DC converter	34
3.7.1	Buck-boost	34
3.7.2	SEPIC	37
3.8	Designing PI-controller	40
3.8.1	PI-Controller for Buck-boost	44
3.8.2	PI-Controller for SEPIC	48
3.9	Summary	53
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>55</b>
4.1	Introduction	55
4.2	Results and Discussion	55
4.2.1	Controller Design Results	55
4.2.2	Main Model Results	60
4.2.2.1	Simulation Results of 30s-period	60

4.2.2.2	Simulation Results of 300s-period	66
4.2.2.3	PMSG output results	71
4.3	Chapter Summary	79
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1	Research Outcomes	81
5.2	Future Works	82
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>85</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>		<b>89</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 3.1	Configuration settings for PMSG block in Simulink.	31
Table 3.2	Load settings for stand-alone system.	32
Table 3.3	Settings for AC-DC converter (3-phase uncontrolled rectifier).	33
Table 3.4	Summary of parameters for buck-boost converter.	36
Table 3.5	Parameters settings for SEPIC converter.	39
Table 3.6	Tuning of PID controller parameters according to Ziegler-Nichols method.	41
Table 4.1	Values for proportional and integral gains, before and after tuning.	59
Table 4.2	Comparison of controller gains between tuned-PI controller and tuned-PID controller.	60

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Averaged geostrophic current for nineteen years during NE Monsoon (Pa'suya, 2014).	6
Figure 2.2	Averaged geostrophic current for nineteen years during SW Monsoon (Pa'suya, 2014).	7
Figure 2.3	Current speed and direction in August (Daud, 2016).	8
Figure 2.4	Current speed and direction in December (Daud, 2016).	8
Figure 2.5	Vertical axis turbine model of ocean current energy converter (Breeze, 2019).	10
Figure 2.6	Horizontal axis turbine model for ocean current energy system (Breeze, 2019).	11
Figure 2.7	Design principle of the novel hydro-kite like ocean energy converter (Yin, 2018).	12
Figure 2.8	A side elevational view partially in cross-section of the present invention (Rauch, 1985).	13
Figure 2.9	A perspective view of a tripod, on which the invention could be mounted for permanent, installation on the sea floor (Rauch, 1985).	14
Figure 2.10	One of the embodiments of the invention (Allen, 2007).	15
Figure 2.11	Buck converter cascaded with a PID controller (Ghosh, 2014).	21
Figure 3.1	Project framework.	23
Figure 3.2	General block diagram for this project.	24
Figure 3.3	Daily speed at coordinate [2.7500, 97.2500]	25
Figure 3.4	Daily speed at coordinate [2.7500, 97.5000]	25
Figure 3.5	Daily speed at coordinate [3.0000, 97.5000]	25
Figure 3.6	Daily speed at coordinate [5.2500, 97.0000]	26
Figure 3.7	Daily speed at coordinate [5.2500, 97.2500]	26
Figure 3.8	Daily speed at coordinate [5.5000, 97.2500]	26
Figure 3.9	Daily speed at coordinate [6.0000, 116.2500]	27

Figure 3.10	Daily speed at coordinate [6.2500, 116.2500]	27
Figure 3.11	Daily speed data compressed to 10s-time scale.	28
Figure 3.12	Multiplication of 10s-time scale data for 30s.	28
Figure 3.13	Multiplication of 10s-time scale data for 5 minutes.	28
Figure 3.14	Schematic diagram for three-phase uncontrolled rectifier.	33
Figure 3.15	Block arrangement for three-phase uncontrolled rectifier with filter capacitor. From right, three phase input lines and the open terminal on the left is the output.	33
Figure 3.16	Basic circuit structure for buck-boost topology.	35
Figure 3.17	Whole view of main circuit with buck-boost converter.	36
Figure 3.18	Typical SEPIC converter configuration.	37
Figure 3.19	Whole view of main circuit with SEPIC converter.	40
Figure 3.20	Step response for the tuning of PID controllers according to Ziegler-Nichols method.	41
Figure 3.21	(a) Flowchart of PI-control system. (b) Flowchart for PI-control design implementation.	43
Figure 3.22	Simulink blocks setup for designing PI-controller.	47
Figure 3.23	Control circuit to control buck-boost converter.	48
Figure 3.24	Simulink blocks setup for designing PI-controller for SEPIC transfer function.	52
Figure 3.25	Structure of control circuit for SEPIC converter.	53
Figure 4.1	Step response for opened-loop buck-boost converter.	56
Figure 4.2	Tuned step response for closed loop buck-boost converter.	56
Figure 4.3	Step response for opened-loop SEPIC converter.	57
Figure 4.4	Tuned step response for closed loop SEPIC converter.	57
Figure 4.5	PID-tuned response (solid line) plotted with PI-tuned response (dashed line) for SEPIC converter.	58
Figure 4.6	PID-tuned response (solid line) plotted with PI-tuned response (dashed line) for Buck-boost converter.	59
Figure 4.7	Output voltage for pre-tuning condition of buck-boost.	61
Figure 4.8	Output voltage for post-tuning condition of buck-boost.	62
Figure 4.9	Output voltage for pre-tuning condition of SEPIC.	62

Figure 4.10	Output voltage for post-tuning condition of SEPIC.	63
Figure 4.11	Comparison of between V-in and V-out for DC-DC converter for post-tuning condition. (a) Comparison of buck-boost circuit. (b) Comparison of SEPIC circuit.	64
Figure 4.12	Output current of buck-boost after using tuned-PI values.	65
Figure 4.13	Output current of SEPIC after using tuned-PI values.	66
Figure 4.14	Buck-boost output voltage for 5 minutes duration.	67
Figure 4.15	SEPIC output voltage for 5 minutes duration.	68
Figure 4.16	Output current of buck-boost topology.	68
Figure 4.17	Output current of SEPIC topology.	69
Figure 4.18	Battery's state of charge for buck-boost circuit.	70
Figure 4.19	Battery's state of charge for SEPIC circuit.	70
Figure 4.20	Torque comparison for buck-boost for Initialized Values or pre-tuning PI values.	72
Figure 4.21	Torque comparison for buck-boost for Tuned-PI Values or post-tuning PI values.	72
Figure 4.22	Torque comparison for SEPIC for Initialized Values or pre-tuning PI values.	73
Figure 4.23	Torque comparison for SEPIC for Tuned-PI Values or post-tuning PI values.	73
Figure 4.24	Torque comparison for 5 minutes period for post-tuning condition of buck-boost and SEPIC.	74
Figure 4.25	Comparison of speeds for pre-tuning condition.	75
Figure 4.26	Comparison of speeds for post-tuning condition.	75
Figure 4.27	Pre-tuning condition PMSG output for buck-boost circuit. (Top) PMSG output current. (Middle) PMSG rotor speed. (Bottom) PMSG output voltage.	77
Figure 4.28	Post-tuning condition PMSG output for buck-boost circuit. (Top) PMSG output current. (Middle) PMSG rotor speed. (Bottom) PMSG output voltage.	78

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PMSG	-	Permanent Magnet Synchronous Generator
SEPIC	-	Single Ended Primary Inductance Converter
PI	-	Proportional-Integral
PID	-	Proportional-Integral-Derivative
AC	-	Alternating Current
DC	-	Direct Current
BLDC	-	Brushed-less Direct Current
BLDCG	-	Brushed-less Direct Current Generator.
BJT	-	Bipolar Junction Transistor
MOSFET	-	Metal-Oxide Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor
IGBT	-	Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor
GTO	-	Gate Turn OFF Thyristor
IGCT	-	Insulated Gate Commutated Thyristor
EMF	-	Electromotive Force
SOC	-	State of Charge
RAM	-	Random Access Memory

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\beta$	-	Pitch angle of blade
$\rho$	-	Density
$\lambda$	-	Tip Speed Ratio
$\tau$	-	Torque
$\alpha$	-	Duty cycle
$\Delta$	-	Delta or 'Changes of'
$\omega$	-	Angular speed

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
APPENDIX A	OCEAN TURBINE MATHEMATICAL MODEL	89
APPENDIX B	EXTRACTED OCEAN POWER MATHEMATICAL MODEL	90

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Problem Background

Renewable energy sources are well-known by community nowadays and some sources come from ocean, which are known as tidal and wave that have been currently active supplying energy for daily demands on certain countries. However, these two sources can be categorized as non-continuous energy source as both are depending on wind and gravity-interaction. A big problem for electrical systems is temporary variability in renewable energy. Tides are considered predictable because of their daily periodicity. However, the durability and quality of the electricity produced by the tidal stream is unknown. To counter the problem, ocean has another source of renewable energy which is ocean-current or sometimes known as deep-sea current or marine current. The ocean-current flows continuously 24 hours which could be a great opportunity to counteract non-linear energy sources.

Strong ocean currents are formed by a combination of temperature, salinity, wind, bathymetry and earth's rotation. The sun acts as the primary driving force, causing differences in winds and temperature which affect ocean currents. Since ocean currents are roughly steady in both speed and flow and hold large quantities of energy, there are several suitable locations for deploying devices such as turbines for energy extraction. Turbines capable of capturing the ocean currents' kinetic energy may be indistinguishable from other marine current turbines and operate according to the same principles. They may however be planned or engineered in tidal channels for lower flow speeds compared to currents and may not need to account for reversal flow. A major difference is where the instruments can be placed – geographically as well as in the water column. Fast ocean currents appear to be more offshore than tidal currents in coastal or inland waters. This results in deployment to deeper water. As ocean currents in the water column are strongest higher, fixed substructures to



support a turbine are becoming impractical for harnessing ocean energy. Conversely, instruments may be suspended or connected to buoyant structures bound to the seabed from moored surface platforms. Electricity is generated by coupling a generator to the turbine from the ocean currents, and power is delivered back to shore through subsea cable.

The main concern about ocean-current energy is the speed of the seawater varies over time. As generator connected to a shaft of mechanical energy converter or turbine, the electrical power will be varied in terms of voltage, current and frequency. Maximum power point tracker (MPPT) of mechanical part will be incapable to catch-up the rapid changes of water speed which is similar to wind energy converter. Plus, the absence of MPPT is a method of cost reduction but the output remains inconsistent.

This is when, power electronics converter becomes valuable for the adaptation of varying electrical parameters from generator output. Type of converter depends on type of electrical source and load, which only either AC-AC, AC-DC, DC-AC or DC-DC. In order to decide which converter type to be used, decision of generator type to be used has to be prior and load type comes in second place. Generator type has to be less maintenance and robust for underwater application.

Apart from power diode, other power electronics switches are inoperable without controller. Open-loop controller is not commonly used as it gives no guarantee of desired output towards changes of input and load behavior. Closed-loop controller is then reliable technique to get the desired outputs. Most known and common control scheme for power electronic sector is PI-control scheme. There are others type of proposed control schemes that also gives good control on the output but it also depends on the behavior of overall system. This is due to some control schemes might not be able to handle complex feedback parameter of a complex system at which more complex control scheme is needed.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Various topologies of power electronic converter system have been discovered to adapt different kind of situations. For application of ocean-current harvesting system, it is still unknown of which topologies suitable for ocean-current characteristic. Designing of mechanical power converter should be prioritized before designing type of generator to be used. Then, type of converter can be properly designed with known type of load.

Ocean-current behavior can be compared with river-current behavior as both are continuously flowing. However, river can be considered as having very less speed propagations if to be compared with ocean-current. This can be concluded as varying ocean-current speed varies generator output voltage.

Suitable control scheme for ocean-current harvesting system is not yet been discovered but similar system such hydrokinetic generation system can be a reference. However, the dynamic behavior of ocean-current is needed to design controller input parameters.

## **1.3 Research Objectives**

The objectives of the research are:

- (a) To design and simulate Buck-boost and SEPIC converters to harvest ocean-current energy.
- (b) To design PI-control scheme for closed-loop feedback control for Buck-boost and SEPIC.
- (c) To gain consistent DC output voltage for battery charging.

## **1.4 Scope of Study**

For this project, ocean-current speed data will be focused on Peninsular Malaysia North-East Coastal area and it will be used to test proposed control scheme. The project focuses on designing two proposed circuit topologies which will also be considering cost and components. The size of the system is limited to battery size of 10Ah. The project is limited to simulation in MATLAB/Simulink and MATLAB/PID-Tuner App.

## **1.5 Significance of study**

This study helps in analysing appropriate ocean-current energy harvesting system by considering the ocean-current speed profile. The analysis of dynamic behavior of ocean-current speed may be useful for further studies and commercial application.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M. A., Messo, T., Rasilo, P., & Rekola, J. (2019). Dynamic modelling of grid-connected permanent magnet synchronous generator wind turbine: rectifier dynamics and control design. *The Journal of Engineering*, 2019(18), 5202-5207.
- Akhir, M. F. (2014). Review of current circulation studies in the Southern South China Sea. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 9(2), 21-30.
- Allen, J. J. (2007). Bluff body energy converter. In: Google Patents.
- Ashourianjozdani, M., Lopes, L. A., & Pillay, P. (2016). Power control strategy for fixed-pitch PMSG-based hydrokinetic turbine. Paper presented at the 2016 IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems (PEDES).
- Azer, P., & Emadi, A. (2020). Generalized state space average model for multi-phase interleaved buck, boost and buck-boost DC-DC converters: transient, steady-state and switching dynamics. *IEEE Access*, 8, 77735-77745.
- Basilio, J. C., & Matos, S. (2002). Design of PI and PID controllers with transient performance specification. *IEEE Transactions on education*, 45(4), 364-370.
- Breeze, P. (2019). *Power generation technologies*: Newnes.
- Chen, J.-H., Chiu, F.-C., Hsin, C.-Y., & Tsai, J.-F. (2016). Hydrodynamic consideration in ocean current turbine design. *Journal of Hydrodynamics*, 28(6), 1037-1042.
- Daud, N. R., Akhir, M. F., & Husain, M. L. (2016). Water circulation in the shallow shelf areas off the Terengganu coast affected by wind stress force using a hydrodynamic model. Paper presented at the *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management Special Issue Number 1: The International Seminar on the Straits of Malacca and the South China Sea*.
- Duong, M. Q., Sava, G. N., Scripcariu, M., & Mussetta, M. (2017). Design and simulation of PI-type control for the Buck Boost converter. Paper presented at the 2017 International Conference on ENERGY and ENVIRONMENT (CIEM).

- Eng, V., Pinsopon, U., & Bunlaksananusorn, C. (2009). Modeling of a SEPIC converter operating in continuous conduction mode. Paper presented at the 2009 6th International Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics, Computer, Telecommunications and Information Technology.
- Ghosh, A., Prakash, M., Pradhan, S., & Banerjee, S. (2014). A comparison among PID, Sliding Mode and internal model control for a buck converter. Paper presented at the IECON 2014-40th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society.
- Hammerbauer, J., & Stork, M. (2013). State space study of the SEPIC converter. Paper presented at the 2013 International Conference on Applied Electronics.
- Hart, D. W. (2011). Power electronics: Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- Kircioğlu, O., Ünlü, M., & Camur, S. (2016). Modeling and analysis of DC-DC SEPIC converter with coupled inductors. Paper presented at the 2016 International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (INDEL).
- Koivo, H., & Tantt, J. (1991). Tuning of PID controllers: survey of SISO and MIMO techniques. In *Intelligent tuning and adaptive control* (pp. 75-80): Elsevier.
- Leo, D., Pattanaik, B., Rao, Y. N., & Jalihal, P. (2016). A novel methodology to design permanent magnet synchronous generator for ocean current turbine applications. Paper presented at the 2016 IEEE Annual India Conference (INDICON).
- Liebal, D., Vijayraghavan, P., & Sreenath, N. (1993). Control of dc-dc buck-boost converter using exact linearization techniques. Paper presented at the Proceedings of IEEE Power Electronics Specialist Conference-PESC'93.
- Lo, Y.-K., Yen, S.-C., & Wang, J.-M. (2004). Linearization of the control-to-output transfer function for a PWM buck-boost converter. Paper presented at the 2004 IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics.
- Mousslim, S., Kourchi, M., & Ajaamoum, M. (2020). Simulation and analyses of SEPIC converter using linear PID and fuzzy logic controller. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 27, 3199-3208.
- Ngancha, P., Kusakana, K., & Markus, E. (2017). Modelling and simulation of a power converter for variable speed hydrokinetic systems. Paper presented at the 2017 International Conference on the Domestic Use of Energy (DUE).
- Nishat, M. M., Oninda, M. A. M., Faisal, F., & Hoque, M. A. (2018). Modeling, Simulation and Performance Analysis of SEPIC Converter Using Hysteresis

- Current Control and PI Control Method. Paper presented at the 2018 International Conference on Innovations in Science, Engineering and Technology (ICISSET).
- Pastor, J., & Liu, Y. (2012). Hydrokinetic Energy: Overview and its Renewable Energy Potential for the Gulf of Mexico. Paper presented at the 2012 IEEE Green Technologies Conference.
- Pa'suya, M. F., Omar, K. M., Peter, B. N., & Din, A. H. M. (2014). Ocean surface circulation along peninsular Malaysia's Eastern Continental Shelf from nineteen years satellite altimetry data. Paper presented at the 2014 IEEE 5th Control and System Graduate Research Colloquium.
- Polsky, T., Horen, Y., Bronshtein, S., & Baimel, D. (2018). Transient and Steady-State Analysis of a SEPIC Converter by an Average State-Space Modelling. Paper presented at the 2018 IEEE 18th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference (PEMC).
- Qiao, H., Zhang, Y., Yao, Y., & Wei, L. (2006). Analysis of buck-boost converters for fuel cell electric vehicles. Paper presented at the 2006 IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety.
- Ramireddy, K., Hirpara, Y., & Kumar, Y. P. (2020). Transient Performance Analysis of Buck Boost Converter Using Various PID Gain Tuning Methods. Paper presented at the 2020 12th International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks (CICN).
- Rauch, H. G. (1985). Hydro-current energy converter. In: Google Patents.
- Shafei, M. A. R., Ibrahim, D. K., Ali, A. M., Younes, M. A. A., & Abou El-Zahab, E. E.-D. (2015). Novel approach for hydrokinetic turbine applications. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 27, 120-126.
- Shuai, D.-x. (2014). State feedback exact linearization control of Buck-Boost converter. Paper presented at the 2014 International Power Electronics and Application Conference and Exposition.
- Tan, R. H., & Hoo, L. Y. (2015). DC-DC converter modeling and simulation using state space approach. Paper presented at the 2015 IEEE Conference on Energy Conversion (CENCON).
- Tandon, S., Divi, S., Muglia, M., Vermillion, C., & Mazzoleni, A. (2019). Modeling and dynamic analysis of a mobile underwater turbine system for harvesting marine hydrokinetic energy. *Ocean Engineering*, 187, 106069.

- Vásquez, F. A. M., de Oliveira, T. F., & Junior, A. C. P. B. (2016). On the electromechanical behavior of hydrokinetic turbines. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 115, 60-70.
- Wu, H., Su, W., & Liu, Z. (2014). PID controllers: Design and tuning methods. Paper presented at the 2014 9th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications.
- Wu, S., Liu, Y., & An, Q. (2018). Hydrodynamic Analysis of a Marine Current Energy Converter for Profiling Floats. *Energies*, 11(9), 2218.
- Xupeng, H., Wenbin, S., Hang, Z., Yanni, Z., Qiao, H., & Chaohui, W. (2020). Research of the hydrostatic transmission for deep-sea current energy converter. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 207, 112544.
- Yamamoto, S. (1991). Present status and future needs: the view from Japanese industry. *Chemical Process Control IV*.
- Yin, X., Zhao, X., & Zhang, W. (2018). A novel hydro-kite like energy converter for harnessing both ocean wave and current energy. *Energy*, 158, 1204-1212.
- Zhang, H., Yi, C., & Wei, T. (2017). Non-linear modal analysis of transient interaction behaviours in SEPIC DC–DC converters. *IET Power Electronics*, 10(10), 1190-1199.
- Zhou, X., & He, Q. (2015). Modeling and simulation of Buck-Boost converter with voltage feedback control. Paper presented at the MATEC web of conferences.