

AN INDEPENDENT PROTOCOL SUPPORTING REAL- TIME CROSS-  
NETWORK AUTHENTICATION IN ELECTRIC VEHICLES' NETWORK

KHALIL SALAH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

AN INDEPENDENT PROTOCOL SUPPORTING REAL-TIME CROSS-NETWORK  
AUTHENTICATION IN ELECTRIC VEHICLES' NETWORK

KHALIL SALAH

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Software Engineering

Razak Faculti of Technology and Informatics  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

October 2018

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, thanks God the compassionate the merciful for his blessing in my life as well as in this journey.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Nazri bin Kama for his continuous support throughout my study. His guidance was a light to my way when I was lost. Thank you for not only being my advisor, but for being a kind friend to me.

I am grateful to my family for all their love, care, and encouragement. Their endless support and patience were my companion in every aspect of my life.

## ABSTRACT

The absence of charging infrastructure has been highlighted by several researchers as an obstacle in electric vehicle (EV) industry. However, less attention has been drawn to user access to existing charging infrastructure. Therefore, this research investigated the practical methods of increasing accessible charging stations to EV users. EV Service Providers (SP) and EV Networks have been established to provide charging facilities for EV users. However, the business model and method of identifying users have formed a group of closed networks performing in isolation. Despite the availability of charging stations, the isolation of EV networks prevents users from charging their EVs which has created a barrier against the development of EV industry and affected the general acceptance of EVs. Thus, this research aimed at formulating an operational framework which involved a proposed Inter-Service Provider Charging Protocol (ISPCP) that aimed to provide a peer-to-peer communication among networks of charging stations and supports cross-network charging capability for EV users. The framework consisted four phases: review of existing works, development of a protocol, development of a RESTful WEB API using the protocol, and evaluation of the protocol using the API. To develop the protocol, current state of the art in the networks of EV charging stations was reviewed. In addition, a systematic literature review (SLR) was conducted to investigate the causes and effects of range anxiety and to extract the existing solutions. ISPCP has been implemented, deployed, and tested using a RESTful WEB API in order to evaluate its feasibility and effectiveness in which performance, response time, and cost were measured and identified as its effectiveness metrics. Findings of the study showed a faster response time of 9.4 seconds which is considered to be a 59.24% improvement when compared to similar protocols. The results obtained in the study provide support for feasibility of ISPCP as it has been proven to increase the number of charging stations accessible to EV users by providing cross-network charging solutions.

## ABSTRAK

Ketiadaan infrastruktur pengecasan telah dinyatakan oleh beberapa pengkaji sebagai penghalang dalam industri kenderaan elektrik (EV). Walau bagaimanapun, amat sedikit perhatian yang diberi kepada akses pengguna ke infrastruktur pengisian sedia ada. Oleh itu, kajian ini mengkaji kaedah yang paling praktikal bagi meningkatkan stesen pengecasan yang boleh diakses kepada pengguna EV. Penyedia Perkhidmatan EV (SP) dan Rangkaian EV telah dibentuk untuk menyediakan kemudahan kepada pengguna EV. Walau bagaimanapun, model perniagaan dan kaedah mengenal pasti pengguna telah membentuk sekumpulan rangkaian tertutup yang melakukan pengasingan. Walaupun terdapat stesen pengecasan, pengasingan rangkaian EV telah menghalang pengguna daripada mengecas EV mereka yang telah mewujudkan halangan terhadap pembangunan industri EV dan menjejaskan penerimaan umum EV. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk merumus rangka kerja operasi yang melibatkan Perkhidmatan Protokol Pengecasan Pembekal Antaramuka (ISPCP) yang bertujuan untuk menyediakan komunikasi antara rangkaian stesen pengecasan dan menyokong keupayaan pengecasan rangkaian untuk pengguna EV. Rangka kerja ini terdiri daripada empat fasa: kajian semula kerja sedia ada, pembangunan protokol, pembangunan API WEB RESTFUL menggunakan protokol, dan penilaian protokol menggunakan API. Untuk membangunkan protokol, keadaan semasa dalam rangkaian stesen pengisian EV telah dikaji semula. Di samping itu, kajian literatur sistematik (SLR) telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji punca dan kesan kebimbangan pelbagai dan untuk mengekstrak penyelesaian yang sedia ada. ISPCP telah dilaksanakan, diarah, dan diuji dengan menggunakan API WEB RESTFUL untuk menilai kebolehlaksanaan dan keberkesanannya di mana prestasi, masa tindak balas, dan kos diukur dan dikenalpasti sebagai metrik keberkesanannya. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa masa maklum balas 9.4 saat, dianggap sebagai peningkatan 59.24% berbanding dengan protokol yang sama. Dapatan yang diperolehi dalam kajian ini memberikan sokongan untuk kelayakan ISPCP kerana telah terbukti bahawa terdapat peningkatan jumlah stesen pengecas yang dapat diakses oleh pengguna EV dengan menyediakan penyelesaian pengecasan rangkaian silang.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATIONS</b>	i
	<b>DEDICATIONS</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of Study	1
	1.3 Statement of Problem	4
	1.4 Research Questions	6
	1.5 Objective of the Study	6
	1.6 Scope of the Study	7
	1.7 Significance of the Study	8
	1.8 Structure of the Thesis	9
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
	2.1 Introduction	10
	2.2 Electric Vehicles	10
	2.2.1 Background of Electric Vehicles	11
	2.2.2 Types of Electric Vehicles	11

2.2.3	Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure	12
2.2.4	Current Situation of Charging Infrastructure and Future Requirements	18
2.3	Network of Electric Vehicles	18
2.3.1	Existing Charging Networks	20
2.3.2	Authentication Mechanisms in Networks of Electric Vehicles	23
2.3.3	Communication between Electric Vehicle and Charge Point	26
2.3.4	Communication between Charge Point and Service Provider	28
2.3.5	Cross-Network Charging	29
2.4	Electric Vehicle Standards	31
2.5	Electric Vehicle Open Standards	32
2.5.1	Open Charge Point Protocol	33
2.5.2	Open InterCharge Protocol	35
2.5.3	Open Charge Point Interface	36
2.5.4	Open Clearing House Protocol	37
2.5.5	Open Smart Charging Protocol	38
2.6	Interoperable Protocols in Electric Vehicle Industry: A Comparative Analysis	39
2.6.1	Methodology of OICP, OCPI, OCHP, and eMIP	39
2.6.2	Comparison of OICP, OCPI, OCHP, and eMIP	41
2.6.3	Inter-Service Provider Communication Protocol (ISPCP)	43
2.6.4	Authentication and Billing Process in Interoperable Protocols	44
2.7	Range Anxiety: A Systematic Literature Review	47
2.7.1	Organization of SLR	48
2.7.2	Findings of SLR	49
2.7.3	Conclusion	53
2.8	Representational State Transfer (REST)	54
2.8.1	REST Architecture	54
2.9	Financial Technology	57
2.10	Microservices Architecture	59
2.10.1	Application of Microservices	60

2.11	Summary	61
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>62</b>
3.1	Introduction	62
3.2	Research Design	62
3.3	Systematic Literature Review	66
3.3.1	Review Protocol	66
3.4	Development of the Protocol	71
3.5	Software Architectural Approaches	73
3.5.1	Development of the Web Service	74
3.6	Evaluation Criteria	75
<b>4</b>	<b>INTER-SERVICE PROVIDER COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Software Architecture in ISPCP	79
4.3	Scope	80
4.3.1	Terminology	81
4.4	ISPCP Overview	81
4.4.1	Authorization Devices	82
4.4.2	Authorization	83
4.4.3	Billing, Pricing and Payments	88
4.4.4	Communication	88
4.5	Authorization	88
4.5.1	Implementation	90
4.6	Billing	92
4.7	Payment	94
4.8	Data Types	97
4.8.1	UniqueIdentifier	97
4.8.2	ServiceProvider	97
4.8.3	UniversalDate	98
4.8.4	AuthorizationStatus	98
4.8.5	PaymentMethod	99
4.8.6	PaymentType	99



	4.8.7 PaymentDetails	100
	4.8.8 PaymentStatus	100
<b>5</b>	<b>EVALUATION AND RESULTS</b>	<b>101</b>
	5.1 Introduction	101
	5.2 Feasibility	101
	5.2.1 Testing Environment	102
	5.2.2 Sample Test Data	103
	5.2.3 Test Scenarios	104
	5.3 Performance	109
	5.3.1 Peer-to-Peer Category	110
	5.3.2 Centralized Category	113
	5.3.3 Centralized vs Peer-to-Peer Category	114
	5.4 Cost Objects	115
	5.5 Request Processing Time	116
	5.5.1 Request Processing Time ISPCP vs OCPI	117
	5.6 Distribution of Networks	118
	5.7 Challenges and Issues	119
	5.8 Summary	120
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>121</b>
	6.1 Introduction	121
	6.2 Discussions	121
	6.2.1 Discussion on Research Objective 1	121
	6.2.2 Discussion on Research Objective 2	123
	6.2.3 Discussion on Research Objective 3	124
	6.2.4 Discussion on Research Objective 4	126
	6.3 Contribution of the Thesis	127
	6.4 Limitations of the Thesis	128
	6.5 Future Works	129
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>130</b>
	Appendices A – C	141 - 175

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Charging Modes	13
2.2	Charging Levels	14
2.3	Charging Types	15
2.4	Comparison of Some Charger Manufacturers	21
2.5	Authentication and Communication Mechanism Comparison among Charging Networks and Infrastructure Providers	22
2.6	EMPs-SPs Registration Matrix	30
2.7	Availability Matrix of SPs to EVOs	30
2.8	EV CI Standards	32
2.9	Comparison of Protocols that Provide Interoperability in EVs' Networks	42
2.10	Number of Extracted, Reviewed, and Selected Papers by Database	48
2.11	Number of Selected Studies by Paper Type	48
2.12	Number of Papers Addressing the Identified Categories	49
3.1	Operational Framework	63
4.1	Comparison of ISPCP and other Interoperable Protocols	78
4.2	Terminologies of ISPCP	81
4.3	ServiceProvider Data Type Fields	97
4.4	AuthorizationStatus Data Type Values	99
4.5	PaymentMethod Data Type Values	99
4.6	PaymentType Data Type Values	100
4.7	PaymentDetails Data Type Values	100
4.8	PaymentStatus Data Type Values	100
5.1	List of Test Cases of COTEVOS Project	101
5.2	Test Environments Specifications	102

5.3	Sample of Test Data	103
5.4	Test Cases	104
5.5	TC-01 Authorization Request and Response Test Cases	104
5.6	TC-02 Authorization Request and Response Test Cases	108
5.7	Category of Each Tested Protocol	109
5.8	System Specification of Performance Test Environments	110
5.9	Average response time of each SP for peer-to-peer category	113
5.10	Hubject Price Schema on January 2016 (Hubject, 2016b, 2016a)	116

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	BEV, HEV, and PHEV Diagrams	12
2.2	SAE Combo Inlet and Plug (SAE International, 2012a)	16
2.3	Network of Electric Vehicles	19
2.4	RFID, Plug&Charge, and Mobile App. Authentication	24
2.5	Availability of CPs to EVOs	31
2.6	Communication between EV, CP, and CS	34
2.7	Hubject B2B Service Platform (Hubject, 2014b)	36
2.8	Relationships between Hubject, Hub Partners, and Sub Partners (Hubject, 2015)	39
2.9	Global System Overview of OCHP (Pfeiffer et al., 2014)	41
2.10	Two Networks Connected with ISPCP	43
2.11	Number of Selected Studies by Publication Year	49
2.12	REST Derivation by Style Constraints (R. T. Fielding, 2000)	55
2.13	Investment trend of FinTech from 2010 to 2015	58
2.14	Microservices architecture style (Wasson & Celarier, 2017)	60
3.1	Operational Framework	65
3.2	Systematic Literature Review Protocol	67
3.3	Protocol Development Process	71
3.4	Testing and Reviewing Flow	73
3.5	Cross-Network Charging Sequence	75
4.1	Two SPs connected with ISPCP and Their Components	77
4.2	Solution Architecture of ISPCP Web Service	80
4.3	Scope of ISPCP	80
4.4	Authorization Process for Internal/External Users using RFID, Mobile App, and Barcode	82
4.5	Authentication using RFID/Barcode	84

4.6	Authorization flow using RFID/Barcode	85
4.7	Authentication using Mobile Application	86
4.8	Authorization flow using mobile application	87
5.1	Postman Environment POST Method Builder	109
5.2	Peer-to-Peer request to SP1	110
5.3	Peer-to-Peer request to SP2	111
5.4	Peer-to-Peer request to SP3	111
5.5	Peer-to-Peer request to SP4	111
5.6	Peer-to-Peer request to SP5	111
5.7	Peer-to-Peer request to SP6	112
5.8	Peer-to-Peer request to SP7	112
5.9	Peer-to-Peer request to SP8	112
5.10	Peer-to-Peer request to SP9	112
5.11	Peer-to-Peer request to SP10	113
5.12	Requests to a centralized hub	114
5.13	Response time of Pee-to-Peer vs Centralized categories	115
5.14	Comparison of Authorization Steps between Peer-to-Peer and Centralized Protocols	117
5.15	Comparison of Authorization Steps between ISPCP and OCPI	118
5.16	Distribution of Charge Points in Peer-to-Peer and Centralized Protocols	119
6.1	Authorization Request Internal Implementation Flowchart	125

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Alternating Current
API	-	Application Programming Interface
B2B	-	Business-to-Business
BEV	-	Battery Electric Vehicles
CAN	-	Controller Area Network
CI	-	Charging Infrastructure
CP	-	Charge Point
CD	-	Continuous Deployment
CS	-	Central System
DC	-	Direct Current
DDD	-	Domain Driven Design
DevOps	-	Development and operation
DSO	-	Distribution System Operator
EMP	-	Electric Mobility Provider
EV	-	Electric Vehicle
EVSE	-	Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment
HEV	-	Hybrid Electric Vehicle
ICT	-	Information and Communication Technology
ISPCP	-	Inter-Service Provider Charging Protocol
IT	-	Information Technology
LAN	-	Local Area Network
OCPP	-	Open Charge Point Protocol

OCHP	-	Open Clearing House Protocol
OSCP	-	Open Smart Charge Protocol
PDU	-	Protocol Data Unit
PHEV	-	Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles
PLC	-	Power-Line Carrier
REST	-	Representational State Transfer
RFID	-	Radio Frequency Identification
SDLC	-	Software Development Life Cycle
SDO	-	Standard Development Organization
SLR	-	Systematic Literature Review
SOA	-	Service Oriented Architecture
SOAP	-	Simple Object Access Protocol
SOP	-	Standard Operational Procedure
SP	-	Service Provider
UDDI	-	Universal Description, Discovery, and Integration
V2G	-	Vehicle-to-Grid
WSDL	-	Web Services Description Language

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Bibliography of Systematic Literature Review	139
B	Implementation of ISPCP	165
C	ISPCP Lab Test Environment Snapshots	171



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

In transportation industry, conventional vehicles which uses petroleum as a source of energy will soon face a critical world-wide issue – Shortage of Petroleum; and as an alternative, Electric Vehicles (EV) come into play. EVs brought the chance of reducing dependency on petroleum by using renewable sources of energy. They also can be considered as a network of large, flexible, distributed power storages capable of providing energy to the grid. General acceptance of EV requires universality in different components of this network which will be achieved by a set of standards prepared by Standard Development Organizations (SDO). Currently there are several business operators providing charging stations for EVs and offering features such as online billing and reporting for EV Owners (EVO). But these so-called “networks of EVs” are suffering from isolation. Therefore, this research focuses on a solution to provide a standard way of communication among networks of EV charging stations.

#### **1.2 Background of Study**

Current transportation mechanisms are mostly powered by oil-based fuels. Regarding the problems caused by fossil fuels such as cost and air pollution, and to reduce dependency on oil, alternative transport mechanisms are gaining attention. One

of the alternatives is EV (Tate, Harpster, & Savagian, 2008; Vliet, Brouwer, Kuramochi, Broek, & Faaij, 2011).

The increase in the number of EVs demands more Charge Points (CP) in both public and private areas; because the availability of charging stations is a significant factor in general acceptance of EVs (Chung, 2014; A M Foley, Winning, & Gallachoir, 2010; Römer, Schneiderbauer, & Picot, 2013). CP is an important entity in the future power grids. Besides providing physical charging facilities it can be considered as a communication interface for exchanging information between different entities of a supply network and network of EVs (Haidar, Muttaqi, & Sutanto, 2014; Winkler et al., 2009), capable of providing data for monitoring and scheduling of energy distribution.

EV, Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE), CP, Power Grid, and Service Providers (SP) are key components of a Charging Infrastructure (CI) (Vaidya, Makrakis, & Mouftah, 2014). A successful deployment of EVs requires unified platforms and frameworks. Nowadays, SDOs play a significant role in unification and monitoring of new technologies based on common agreements of governments, stakeholders, industry experts, and academic researchers (Brown, Pyke, & Steenhof, 2010; A M Foley et al., 2010). A few terminologies, methodologies, and different set of requirements can be extracted from these standards which have been used by EV manufacturers, EVSE and CP manufacturers and SPs (Aoife M Foley, Winning, & Ó Gallachóir, 2010).

The importance of universality in EV industry can be highlighted in researches on Smart Grid and Vehicle-to-Grid (V2G) technology. The smart grid is emerging as a convergence of information technology and communication technology with power system engineering (Farhangi, 2010) to control and monitor the power flow in grids. As its basic role, smart grid provides full visibility and control over the utility assets with a self-healing approach. It is capable of remote maintenance, predictive and detective functionalities for maintaining and repairing grid as well as providing pricing system for end users to let them decide when to charge (Decker, 2012; Farhangi, 2010; Singhal & Saxena, 2012). V2G is a component of smart grid in which energy status of EV is collected periodically for power management and the energy can be sent back

on grid's demand. This will provide a broad array of advantages and opportunities to both EVOs as well as energy suppliers (Bakker, 2011; Jansen, Binding, Sundstrom, & Gantenbein, 2010; Kumar & Udaykumar, 2013; Liu, Ning, Zhang, Xiong, & Yang, 2014).

Having a Smart Grid with enough intelligence to provide V2G operations and other dynamic real-time responses, needs a unified network of EVs and EV charging infrastructures capable of sending and receiving information throughout universal communication interfaces among different entities of the infrastructure. Therefore, SAE and ISO, as two major SDOs in EV industry, have provided a set of standards targeting the physical connection between EV and CP along with technical details on dimension and power flow. However there still exists a few different charging types and charging levels or modes, but SDOs are playing their roles to achieve a standard combo connection to be used as a universal charging type with the ability to satisfy requirements of different charging modes.

On the other hand, SPs require the EVOs to subscribe to their networks to use CPs and other facilities provided by the SP. This subscription requirement has caused isolation in the networks of EVs, because each network will only provide services to their subscribed users. However, the subscription is required because there needs to be a control over energy consumption of EVs and planning of electricity distribution. To monitor and predict EV charging patterns, energy distribution strategy, and smart charging, the data collected from subscribed EVOs is required.

The subscription requirement and the necessity of communication among networks of EVs, brings up the research interest on interoperable communication protocols; which in fact, is the focus of the current research.

Currently in Malaysia there are a few SPs and EV industry is in a premature stage. Comparing to developed countries, the EV industry in Malaysia is in a young stage. Unless this technology is widely developed and publicly accepted, it will not draw investors' attention to invest on this technology. However the acceptance of EVs in Malaysia is related to several parameters such as social influences, performance

attributes, financial benefits, environmental concerns, demographics, infrastructure readiness and government interventions (Sang & Bekhet, 2015). Besides, driving habit and backed up traffic jams in Malaysia needs to be taken into account while discussing development of EVs. Although EVs have major environmental benefits, there are magnificent differences between them and ICE engines. Among these differences, travel range and availability of power source are considered as major obstacles against smooth development of EVs. Thus, since EVs are new in Malaysia, absence of retail infrastructure in public areas makes people reluctant to use them.

### 1.3 Statement of Problem

There are several manufacturers of CPs and different SPs that install and maintain them. A group of EVOs registered under the same SP to use their facilities are considered as a network. EVs, EVOs, CPs, and CS are main components of each charging network. Currently there is a set of standard communication mechanism between EV and CP, in terms of hardware, power flow and data transactions which are being controlled by major SDOs. Also, there is a communication protocol between CP and CS named Open Charge Point Protocol (OCPP); which is not officially announced as standard, but it is accepted and being followed by industry.

Generally, before EVO can start charging, the operation needs to be authorized by CS. Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tags are the common authentication devices which have been used in different industries for decades. Considering OCPP as the communication protocol between CP and CS, the CP will send *Authorize.req* Protocol Data Unit (PDU) along with the *idTag* as parameter to CS. *idTag* is the RFID tag code which needs to be verified against the information on the server. Upon receiving of an *Authorize.req* PDU, the Central System responds with an *Authorize.conf* PDU. This response will indicate whether the *idTag* is accepted by the CS or not. After the authentication, the CP will unlock the connector and EVO can start charging. According to OCPP, each CP will individually communicate with the CS which is configured to. Therefore, the relationship between CP and CS is many-to-one (many CP to one CS). While there are several standards and protocols which

control the communication between “*EVO and CP*” and “*CP and CS*”, yet these communications are controlled by SPs which will only authorize the EVOs who are registered in their network. In another word, CPs are not configured to contact any CS other than the one in their network. As a result, EVOs are not able to use CPs of other SPs to charge their EV.

Insufficient number of charging stations and the fact that the EVOs are not able to use cross-network CPs will cause “Range Anxiety” among EVOs. Range anxiety, which has been shown to be a significant obstacle to market acceptance of EVs, is the term to describe EVOs’ concern of being left on the road with an empty battery where there is no charging station at all or there is not any station of the SP in which they have registered (Bakker, 2011; Dong, Liu, & Lin, 2014; Mal, Chattopadhyay, Yang, & Gadh, 2013; Mültin, Gitte, & Schmeck, 2013; Tate et al., 2008).

Considering range anxiety as a barrier against user acceptance of EVs, and the fact that sooner or later several SPs will provide public and private charging stations in Malaysia, and since the keys to success in management and business is to identify and prevent problems before they occur (Roberto, 2009), the earlier this issues is being resolved, the faster EV industry can grow.

This emphasizes on necessity of interoperable protocols. These protocols will use different software architectural approaches to facilitate communication among SPs. SOAP or REST architecture are among the methods of communication. But current interoperable protocols require an interface company or a central database to hold and verify the users. In another words, this communication is not direct.

Considering the capability and flexibility of REST architecture, SPs can communicate directly if there is a standard protocol to control the rules and requirements of this communication. With a direct communication, users of any network of EVs will be able to use any CP. However, the billing process will be a challenge. In the current interoperable protocols (such as OICP) the interface company will control the billing. By reviewing the business process of current EV networks, after the charging process, billing and transaction details will be sent for the users. But

if the user is using a CP from another network, the bill needs to be sent to the original SP and the billing process needs to be handled by that SP.

This research is addressing user authentication and billing problems to provide direct communication among different networks of EV charging stations.

#### **1.4 Research Questions**

Based on the identified problem, the main question of the research is “*How to reduce range anxiety among EVOs?*” To answer this question, following supporting questions can be highlighted:

1. What is the current situation, challenges, and issues in network of EV charging stations?
2. To provide a standard protocol for communication between SPs, what aspects of communication need to be immediately addressed?
3. How effective is the protocol in connecting the SPs and providing the possibility of cross-network utilization of CPs by EVOs?

#### **1.5 Objective of the Study**

The main purpose of this study is to develop a protocol for communication between different SPs. This communication will allow EVOs to charge their EVs in any charging station, regardless of the SP to which they have subscribed. In order to achieve this, the following objectives are identified:

1. To review state of the art in networks of EV charging stations and their solution architecture.
2. To develop a standard protocol providing real-time cross-network authorization capability.
3. To develop a software service using the proposed protocol
4. To evaluate feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed protocol using the developed software services.

## **1.6 Scope of the Study**

The focus of the proposed study is on the development of a protocol to control the communication between SPs in order to allow EVOs to use any CP regardless of the SP. To do so, current state of the art in EV industry is reviewed. Basic information about EV, EVSE, CP and the communication mechanisms among them has formed the initial stage of this research. However, the details of power grid, smart grid, V2G, energy distribution, energy supplier, and also the internal technology of EV, battery, and CP are out of scope of this study.

Interoperable protocols need to cover a vast area of business and functionality. Features such as CP reservation, pricing and cost estimation prior to charging, billing, credit management, etc. are not covered in this research due to lack of infrastructure readiness in Malaysia. The focus of the proposed protocol is on authorization of EVOs.

To test the protocol and monitor the communication between SPs, a web service is developed following the rules and procedures mentioned in the protocol. The service is deployed on two servers with unique user information. This service only communicates between these two servers. To visualize the sent and received data, and

to call service methods, Postman<sup>1</sup> is used and Microsoft Visual Studio is used to test the performance of the service.

## 1.7 Significance of the Study

Lack of a standard approach in communication between entities of EV charging networks has caused the problem of multiple manufacturers with different communication methodologies and a group of isolated networks. This has forced user to register in several networks and carry multiple authentication devices. Besides, a unified network of EVs is necessary to have features such as smart charging, V2G, trip forecasting, and other Business-to-Business (B2B) facilities that require information on EVs and their consumption patterns.

Insufficient number of charging stations and the fact that EVOs are not able to use any CP other than the one managed by their network, will bring up range anxiety among EVOs. This research proposes a protocol to standardize a direct communication between SPs of different networks of charging stations. Using this protocol, the SPs will be able to authorize users from any network. As a result, number of CPs available to EVOs will increase. Once the users do not need to worry about availability and accessibility of CPs during their trips, their range anxiety level will decrease.

Beside the possibility of direct communication, the performance of the communication is also important. The protocol proposed in this study provides a peer-to-peer communication among different SPs. Since the charging requests will be distributed among different servers, the responses consume lesser time.

---

<sup>1</sup> Postman is a Google Chrome app for interacting with HTTP APIs. It presents a friendly GUI for constructing requests and reading responses (<https://www.getpostman.com/postman>)



## **1.8 Structure of the Thesis**

The thesis is organized in six chapters. All chapters are interrelated to one another. Thus, the chapters should not be read in isolation. Chapter 1, 2, and 3 introduce the topic of the research, discuss the related literatures, and plan the processes of conducting the research. Besides general definitions, findings of a systematic literature review (SLR) and the necessity of a standard communication method among different EV networks are discussed in Chapter 2. Based on the findings of the SLR a comparative analysis has been done on the current communication protocols and represented in this chapter as well. Chapter 4 describes the proposed protocol in detail. In Chapter 5 the details of implementation and the results of testing the protocol are presented. Conclusion of the research forms the last chapter of this thesis.

## REFERENCES

- Anagnostopoulos, I. (2018). Fintech and regtech Impact on regulators and banks. *Journal of Economics and Business*. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconbus.2018.07.003>
- Azzeh, A. (2007). *CAN Control System for an Electric Vehicle*. Retrieved from <http://ir.canterbury.ac.nz/handle/10092/1127>
- Bakker, J. (2011). *Contesting range anxiety The role of electric vehicle charging infrastructure in the transportation transition*. Eindhoven University of Technology. Eindhoven University of Technology.
- Bayram, I. S., Michailidis, G., Papapanagiotou, I., & Devetsikiotis, M. (2013). Decentralized control of electric vehicles in a network of fast charging stations. In *2013 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)* (pp. 2785–2790). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/GLOCOM.2013.6831496>
- Bending, S., Ferdowsi, M., Channon, S., & Strunz, K. (2010). *Mobile Energy Resources in Grids of Electricity*. Retrieved from [research.info/Upload/Documents/201402/20140203\\_154525\\_77343\\_D1.1\\_Specifications\\_for\\_EVGrid\\_interfacing\\_communication\\_and\\_smart\\_metering\\_technologies.pdf](http://research.info/Upload/Documents/201402/20140203_154525_77343_D1.1_Specifications_for_EVGrid_interfacing_communication_and_smart_metering_technologies.pdf)
- Bohn, T., & Chaudhry, H. (2012). Overview of SAE standards for plug-in electric vehicle. In *IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT)* (pp. 1–7). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ISGT.2012.6175597>
- Brandl, M., Gall, H., Wenger, M., Lorentz, V., Giegerich, M., Baronti, F., ... Prochazka, W. (2012). Batteries and battery management systems for electric vehicles. *Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Exhibition (DATE), 2012*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/DATE.2012.6176637>
- Brown, S., Pyke, D., & Steenhof, P. (2010). Electric vehicles The role and importance of standards in an emerging market. *Energy Policy*, 38(7), 3797–3806. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.02.059>
- Bruninga, R. (2012). Overlooking L1 charging at-work in the rush for public charging speed. *IEEE International Electric Vehicle Conference (IEVC)*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/IEVC.2012.6183178>
- Carli, G., Shafiei, A., & Williamson, S. (2013). Advanced Electric Vehicles. In S. Chakraborty, M. G. Simões, & W. E. Kramer (Eds.), *Power Electronics for Renewable and Distributed Energy Systems* (pp. 525–566). Springer London. [http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-5104-3\\_14](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-5104-3_14)

- Chan, A. T. S., Cao, J., Chan, H., & Young, G. (2001). A web-enabled framework for smart card applications in health services. *Communications of the ACM*, 44(9), 76–82. <http://doi.org/10.1145/383694.383710>
- Chung, C. Y. (2014). *Electric Vehicle Smart Charging Infrastructure*. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. University of California, Los Angeles, Ann Arbor. Retrieved from <https://vpn.utm.my/docview/1548302056?accountid=41678>
- Chynoweth, J., Chung, C.-Y., Qiu, C., Chu, P., & Gadh, R. (2014). Smart Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Overview. In *IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT) Conference* (pp. 1–5). IEEE. Retrieved from <http://smartgrid.ucla.edu/pubs/Smart Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Overview.pdf>
- COTEVOS. (2016). *Business Opportunities for Interoperability Assessment of EV Integration*. COTEVOS. Retrieved from <http://cotevos.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/COTEVOS-Whitebook-20161104-v4.0.pdf>
- Dardailler, D. (2007). Definition of Open Standards. Retrieved June 5, 2015, from <http://www.w3.org/2005/09/dd-osd.html>
- DBT USA. (2013). Electric Vehicle Charging Station Leaders ChargePoint and DBT USA Partner To Significantly Expand the Intelligent EV Charging Landscape in North America. Retrieved April 11, 2014, from <http://www.dbtus.com/electric-vehicle-charging-station-leaders-chargepoint-and-dbt-usa-partner-to-significantly-expand-the-intelligent-ev-charging-landscape-in-north-america/>
- de Santiago, J., Bernhoff, H., Ekergård, B., Eriksson, S., Ferhatovic, S., Waters, R., & Leijon, M. (2012). Electrical Motor Drivelines in Commercial All-Electric Vehicles A Review. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 61(2), 475–484. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2011.2177873>
- Decker, C. L. (2012). *Electric Vehicle Charging and Routing Management via Multi-Infrastructure Data Fusion*. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. Rochester Institute of Technology, Ann Arbor. Retrieved from <https://vpn.utm.my/docview/1266919179?accountid=41678>
- Denardis, L. (2009). Open standards and global politics. *International Journal of Communications Law & Policy*, (13), 168–184.
- Department of Standards Malaysia. (2013). *MS 62196-2:2013*.
- Dharmakeerthi, C. H., Mithulananthan, N., & Saha, T. K. (2013). Planning of electric vehicle charging infrastructure. In *IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting* (pp. 1–5). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PESMG.2013.6672085>
- Diekmann, T., Melski, A., & Schumann, M. (2007). Data-on-Network vs. Data-on-Tag Managing Data in Complex RFID Environments. In *2007 40th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'07)* (p. 224a–224a). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2007.160>
- Dong, J., Liu, C., & Lin, Z. (2014). Charging infrastructure planning for promoting battery electric vehicles An activity-based approach using multiday travel data. *Transportation Research Part C*, 38, 44–55. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2013.11.001>

- e-clearing.net. (2018). e-clearing Pricing. Retrieved January 27, 2018, from <https://e-clearing.net/partners/pricing>
- Escudero-Garzas, J. J., & Seco-Granados, G. (2012). Charging station selection optimization for plug-in electric vehicles An oligopolistic game-theoretic framework. In *2012 IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT)* (pp. 1–8). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ISGT.2012.6175791>
- Espí-Beltrán, J. V., Gilart-Iglesias, V., & Ruiz-Fernández, D. (2017). Enabling distributed manufacturing resources through SOA The REST approach. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, *46*, 156–165. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2016.09.007>
- Falvo, M. C., Sbordone, D., Bayram, I. S., & Devetsikiotis, M. (2014). EV charging stations and modes International standards. In *International Symposium on Power Electronics, Electrical Drives, Automation and Motion* (pp. 1134–1139). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/SPEEDAM.2014.6872107>
- Farhangi, H. (2010, January). The path of the smart grid. *IEEE Power and Energy Magazine*, *8*(1), 18–28. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MPE.2009.934876>
- Fasugba, M. A., & Krein, P. T. (2011). Gaining vehicle-to-grid benefits with unidirectional electric and plug-in hybrid vehicle chargers. *IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC)*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/VPPC.2011.6043207>
- Fidanza, C. (2013). *Draft report on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure* (Vol. 0012).
- Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., & Berners-Lee, T. (1999). RFC2616 - Hypertext transfer protocol–HTTP/1.1. *Internet Engineering Task Force*. <http://doi.org/http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt>
- Fielding, R. T. (2000). *Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures*. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA. Retrieved from <http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/top.htm>
- Foley, A. M., Winning, I. J., & Gallachoir, B. P. O. (2010). State-of-the-art in electric vehicle charging infrastructure. *IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC)*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/VPPC.2010.5729014>
- Foley, A. M., Winning, I., & Ó Gallachóir, B. P. (2010). Electric vehicle infrastructure regulatory requirements. *Proceedings of the Inaugural Conference of the Irish Transport Research Network*.
- Gai, K., Qiu, M., & Sun, X. (2018). A survey on FinTech. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, *103*, 262–273. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2017.10.011>
- Gallardo-Lozano, J., Milanés-Montero, M. I., Guerrero-Martínez, M. A., & Romero-Cadaval, E. (2012). Electric vehicle battery charger for smart grids. *Electric Power Systems Research*, *90*, 18–29. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2012.03.015>
- Haidar, A. M. A., Muttaqi, K. M., & Sutanto, D. (2014). Technical challenges for electric power industries due to grid-integrated electric vehicles in low voltage distributions A review. *Energy Conversion and Management*, *86*, 689–700. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.06.025>

- Hamzehloui, M. S., Sahibuddin, S., & Salah, K. (2018). a Systematic Mapping Study on Technical Debt. In *THE 3rd INTERNATIONAL OF RELIABLE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IRICT 2018)* (pp. 1–12). Purajaya, Malaysia.
- Harper, J. D. (2013, April). Development and Implementation of SAE DC Charging Digital Communication for Plug-in Electric Vehicle DC Charging. SAE International. <http://doi.org/10.4271/2013-01-1188>
- Hohl, C. M. (2012). *Plug-in hybrid electric vehicle on-road emissions characterization and demonstration study*. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. University of Kansas, Ann Arbor. Retrieved from <https://vpn.utm.my/docview/1284868987?accountid=41678>
- Hubject. (2013). Open Intercharge Protocol 1.1. Hubject.
- Hubject. (2014a). eRoaming Technology. Berlin, Germany Hubject GmbH. Retrieved from [http://www.hubject.com/pdf/CHECK\\_eRoaming\\_Technology\\_en.pdf](http://www.hubject.com/pdf/CHECK_eRoaming_Technology_en.pdf)
- Hubject. (2014b). Open InterCharge Protocol 1.2. Hubject.
- Hubject. (2015). Open InterCharge Protocol 2.0. Hubject.
- Hubject. (2016a). Hubject's Fees and Payment - CPO. Retrieved from [https://www.hubject.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/160127\\_Appendix-Fees-and-Payment-CPO-1.pdf](https://www.hubject.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/160127_Appendix-Fees-and-Payment-CPO-1.pdf)
- Hubject. (2016b). Hubject's Fees and Payment - EMP. Retrieved from [https://www.hubject.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/160127\\_Appendix-Fees-and-Payment-EMP.pdf](https://www.hubject.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/160127_Appendix-Fees-and-Payment-EMP.pdf)
- Hunter, T. (2017). *Advanced Microservices A Hands-on Approach to Microservice Infrastructure and Tooling*. *Advanced Microservices A Hands-on Approach to Microservice Infrastructure and Tooling*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-2887-6>
- IEEE. (2015). Develop Standards. Retrieved May 24, 2015, from <https://standards.ieee.org/develop/process.html>
- Intrator, J., Elkind, E., Weissman, S., Sawchuk, M., Bartlett, E., Abele, A. R., ... Washom, B. (2011). *2020 Strategis Analysis of Energy Storage in California*. Sacramento, CA. Retrieved from <http://www.law.berkeley.edu/files/bccj/CEC-500-2011-047.pdf>
- Jansen, B., Binding, C., Sundstrom, O., & Gantenbein, D. (2010). Architecture and Communication of an Electric Vehicle Virtual Power Plant. In *First IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications* (pp. 149–154). <http://doi.org/10.1109/SMARTGRID.2010.5622033>
- Jiang, W., & Xiang, D. (2008). A Compression Framework for Personal Image Used in Mobile RFID System. In *2008 The 9th International Conference for Young Computer Scientists* (pp. 769–774). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICYCS.2008.478>
- Khaligh, A., & Dusmez, S. (2012). Comprehensive Topological Analysis of Conductive and Inductive Charging Solutions for Plug-In Electric Vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, *61*(8), 3475–3489. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2012.2213104>

- Kirby, J., & Hassan, F. (2012). AC Recharging Infrastructure for EVs and future smart grids — A review. In *47th International Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC)* (pp. 1–6). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/UPEC.2012.6398639>
- Kotak, D. B., & Gruver, W. A. (2009). Distributed intelligent RFID systems. In *2009 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics* (pp. 1214–1218). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICSMC.2009.5345893>
- Kumar, S., & Udaykumar, R. Y. (2013). IEEE 802.16–2004(WiMAX) protocol for grid control center and aggregator communication in V2G for smart grid application. In *IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research* (pp. 1–4). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIC.2013.6724272>
- Leen, G., & Heffernan, D. (2002). Expanding automotive electronic systems. *Computer*, 35(1), 88–93. <http://doi.org/10.1109/2.976923>
- Li Ran, Wu Junfeng, Wang Haiying, & Li Gechen. (2010). Design method of CAN BUS network communication structure for electric vehicle. In *International Forum on Strategic Technology 2010* (pp. 326–329). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/IFOST.2010.5668017>
- Liu, H., Ning, H., Zhang, Y., Xiong, Q., & Yang, L. T. (2014). Role-Dependent Privacy Preservation for Secure V2G Networks in the Smart Grid. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 9(2), 208–220. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TIFS.2013.2295032>
- Lixin Situ. (2009). Electric Vehicle development The past, present & future.
- Lowenthal, R., Baxter, D., Bhade, H., & Mandal, P. (2013). Network-Controlled Charging System for Electric Vehicles. United States Patent (US 8,432,131 B2).
- Mal, S., Chattopadhyay, A., Yang, A., & Gadh, R. (2013). Electric Vehicle Smart Charging and Vehicle-to-grid Operation. *Int. J. Parallel Emerg. Distrib. Syst.*, 28(3), 249–265. <http://doi.org/10.1080/17445760.2012.663757>
- Mark, M. (2012). *REST API Design Rulebook*. (S. St. Laurent, Ed.) *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* (2nd ed.). Sebastopol O'Reilly Media, Inc. <http://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Matković, J., & Fertalj, K. (2010). Comparative analysis of Web services and Web service development technologies. In *The 33rd International Convention MIPRO* (pp. 241–246).
- Melski, A., Thoro, L., Caus, T., & Schumann, M. (2007). Beyond EPC - Insights from Multiple RFID Case Studies on the Storage of Additional Data on Tag. In *International Conference on Wireless Algorithms, Systems and Applications (WASA 2007)* (pp. 281–286). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/WASA.2007.18>
- Mültin, M., Gitte, C., & Schmeck, H. (2013). Smart Grid-Ready Communication Protocols And Services For A Customer-Friendly Electromobility Experience. *LNI-Konferenz-Proceedings Der INFORMATIK*. Springer.
- Mültin, M., & Schmeck, H. (2014). Plug-and-Charge and E-Roaming – Capabilities of the ISO/IEC 15118 for the E-Mobility Scenario. *Automatisierungstechnik*, 62(4), 241–248. <http://doi.org/10.1515/auto-2014-0002>

- Nakashima, T. (2018). Creating credit by making use of mobility with FinTech and IoT. *IATSS Research*, 42(2), 61–66. <http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iatssr.2018.06.001>
- Nguyen, H. V., & Lo Iacono, L. (2017). RESTful IoT Authentication Protocols. In *Mobile Security and Privacy* (pp. 217–234). Elsevier. <http://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804629-6.00010-9>
- NKL. (2017). Open Charge Point Interface.
- Open Charge Alliance. (2013). Open Charge Point Protocol 2.0 (RC). Open Charge Alliance.
- Open Charge Alliance. (2015a). *Open Smart Charging Protocol 1.0*. e-laad.nl.
- Open Charge Alliance. (2015b). OSCP. Retrieved June 10, 2015, from <http://www.openchargealliance.org/?q=node/6>
- Open Charge Alliance. (2014). History OCPP. Retrieved July 2, 2014, from <http://www.openchargealliance.org/?q=node/13>
- Pais, S. (2010). *Data format for RFID Data Using a Data-on-Tag Approach*. Auckland University of Technology. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/10292/1170>
- Pais, S., & Symonds, J. (2011). Data Storage on a RFID Tag for a Distributed System. *International Journal of UbiComp*, 2(2), 26–39. <http://doi.org/10.5121/iju.2011.2203>
- Patni, S. (2017). *Pro RESTful APIs*. (W. Spahr, Ed.) (1st ed.). Santa Clara Apress. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-2665-0>
- Pautasso, C., Zimmermann, O., & Leymann, F. (2008). Restful web services vs. “big” web services. *Proceeding of the 17th International Conference on World Wide Web - WWW '08*, 805. <http://doi.org/10.1145/1367497.1367606>
- Pfeiffer, A., Pestel, C., Schilling, S., & Janssen, L. (2014). Open Clearing House Protocol v1.2. Retrieved from <http://www.ochp.eu/downloads/>
- Pokrzywa, J., & Reidy, M. (2011). SAE’s J1772 “Combo Connector” for AC and DC Charging Advances with IEEE’s help. Retrieved April 8, 2015, from <http://articles.sae.org/10128/>
- Ponticel, P. (2010). SAE standard on EV charging connector approved. Retrieved from <http://articles.sae.org/7479/>
- Ponticel, P. (2012). J1772 “combo connector” shown at the 2012 Electric Vehicle Symposium. Retrieved February 28, 2015, from <http://articles.sae.org/11005/>
- Rahimi-Eichi, H., Ojha, U., Baronti, F., & Chow, M. (2013). Battery Management System An Overview of Its Application in the Smart Grid and Electric Vehicles. *Industrial Electronics Magazine, IEEE*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/MIE.2013.2250351>
- Rajagopalan, S., Maitra, A., Halliwell, J., Davis, M., & Duvall, M. (2013). Fast charging An in-depth look at market penetration, charging characteristics, and advanced technologies. In *World Electric Vehicle Symposium and Exhibition (EVS27)* (pp. 1–11). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/EVS.2013.6914945>

- Richards, M. (2016). *Microservices vs. Service-Oriented Architecture*. <http://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Richardson, C. (2018). *Microservices Pattern*. Manning.
- Robert Bosch GmbH. (1991). *CAN Specification. Sep 1991*.
- Roberto, M. A. (2009). *Know What You Don't Know How Great Leaders Prevent Problems Before They Happen*. Prentice Hall.
- Römer, B., Schneiderbauer, T., & Picot, A. (2013). How to Charge Electric Vehicles A Comparison of Charging Infrastructure Concepts and Technologies. In C. Mukhopadhyay, K. B. Akhilesh, R. Srinivasan, A. Gurtoo, P. Ramachandran, P. P. Iyer, ... M. H. Bala Subrahmanya (Eds.), *Driving the Economy through Innovation and Entrepreneurship* (pp. 487–498). Springer India. [http://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-0746-7\\_40](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-0746-7_40)
- Romer, K., Schoch, T., Mattern, F., & Dubendorfer, T. (2003). Smart identification frameworks for ubiquitous computing applications. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications, 2003. (PerCom 2003)*. (pp. 253–262). IEEE Comput. Soc. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PERCOM.2003.1192748>
- SAE International. (2012a). *SAE J1772*.
- SAE International. (2012b). *SAE J2931/1*.
- SAE International. (2012c). *SAE J2931/4*.
- Sang, Y.-N., & Bekhet, H. A. (2015). Modelling electric vehicle usage intentions an empirical study in Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 92, 75–83. <http://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.12.045>
- Sbordone, D., Bertini, I., Di Pietra, B., Falvo, M. C., Genovese, A., & Martirano, L. (2014). EV fast charging stations and energy storage technologies A real implementation in the smart micro grid paradigm. *Electric Power Systems Research*. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2014.07.033>
- Scholer, R. A., Bourton, M., Mephram, D., Maitra, A., Godfrey, T., Oliver, D., ... Fietzek, C. (2012). Communication for Plug-in Electric Vehicles. SAE International. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.4271/2012-01-1036>
- Scholer, R. A., Maitra, A., Ornelas, E., Bourton, M., & Salazar, J. (2010). Communication between Plug-in Vehicles and the Utility Grid. SAE International. <http://doi.org/10.4271/2010-01-0837>
- Shuttlewood, P., Volin, M., & Wozniak, L. (2016). Global fintech investment growth continues in 2016 driven by europe and asia, accenture study find. Retrieved August 6, 2018, from <https://newsroom.accenture.com/news/global-fintech-investment-growth-continues-in-2016-driven-by-europe-and-asia-accenture-study-finds.htm>
- Singhal, A., & Saxena, R. P. (2012). Software models for Smart Grid. In *2012 First International Workshop on Software Engineering Challenges for the Smart Grid (SE-SmartGrids)* (pp. 42–45). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/SE4SG.2012.6225717>
- Sørensen, T. M., Sergejus, M. B. J., & Martinenas, S. (2016). *Analysis of needs for on-going or incipient standards . Design of Round Robin tests and results of the*



*performed tests . Recommendations for existing standards*. Retrieved from [http://cotevos.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/20160229\\_COTEVOS\\_IOP\\_tests\\_D4.3\\_v8.pdf](http://cotevos.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/20160229_COTEVOS_IOP_tests_D4.3_v8.pdf)

- Sortomme, E., & El-Sharkawi, M. A. (2011). Optimal Charging Strategies for Unidirectional Vehicle-to-Grid. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2010.2090910>
- Speidel, S., & Bräunl, T. (2014). Driving and charging patterns of electric vehicles for energy usage. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *40*, 97–110. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.177>
- Tate, E. D., Harpster, M. O., & Savagian, P. J. (2008). The Electrification of the Automobile From Conventional Hybrid, to Plug-in Hybrids, to Extended-Range Electric Vehicles. *SAE Int. J. Passeng. Cars - Electron. Electr. Syst.*, *1*(1), 156–166. <http://doi.org/10.4271/2008-01-0458>
- Torre Cesar de la, Wagner Bill, R. M. (2018). *.NET Microservices Architecture for Containerized .NET Applications* (2.1.01). Microsoft Developer Division, .NET and Visual Studio product teams A. Retrieved from <https://docs.microsoft.com/pt-br/dotnet/standard/microservices-architecture/>
- Tsai, T.-C. (2008). *Map Compression for a RFID-Based Two-Dimensional Indoor Navigation System*. Auckland University of Technology. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/10292/652>
- Vaidya, B., Makrakis, D., & Mouftah, H. (2014). Effective public key infrastructure for vehicle-to-grid network. In *Proceedings of the fourth ACM international symposium on Development and analysis of intelligent vehicular networks and applications - DIVANet '14* (pp. 95–101). New York, New York, USA ACM Press. <http://doi.org/10.1145/2656346.2656348>
- Vliet, O. van, Brouwer, A. S., Kuramochi, T., Broek, M. van den, & Faaij, A. (2011). Energy use, cost and CO2 emissions of electric cars. *Journal of Power Sources*, *196*(4), 2298–2310. <http://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2010.09.119>
- Wang Meng, & Liu Kai. (2011). Optimization of electric vehicle charging station location based on game theory. In *Proceedings 2011 International Conference on Transportation, Mechanical, and Electrical Engineering (TMEE)* (pp. 809–812). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TMEE.2011.6199325>
- Wasson, M., & Celarier, S. (2017). Microservices Architecture Style. Retrieved September 19, 2018, from <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/guide/architecture-styles/microservices>
- Wey, C., Hsu, C., Chang, K., Jui, P., & Shiue, M. (2013). EMI Prevention of CAN-Bus-Based Communication in Battery Management Systems. *International Journal of Engineering & Computer Science IJECS-IJENS*, *13*(05), 6–12.
- Winkler, T., Komarnicki, P., Mueller, G., Heideck, G., Heuer, M., & Styczynski, Z. A. (2009). Electric vehicle charging stations in Magdeburg. In *IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC)* (pp. 60–65). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/VPPC.2009.5289871>

- Yilmaz, M., & Krein, P. T. (2012). Review of charging power levels and infrastructure for plug-in electric and hybrid vehicles. In *IEEE International Electric Vehicle Conference* (pp. 1–8). IEEE. <http://doi.org/10.1109/IEVC.2012.6183208>
- Yilmaz, M., & Krein, P. T. (2013). Review of Battery Charger Topologies, Charging Power Levels, and Infrastructure for Plug-In Electric and Hybrid Vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 28(5), 2151–2169. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TPEL.2012.2212917>
- Young, K., Wang, C., Wang, L. Y., & Strunz, K. (2013). *Electric Vehicle Integration into Modern Power Networks*. (R. Garcia-Valle & J. A. Peças Lopes, Eds.). New York, NY Springer New York. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-0134-6>