

ROBUST APPROACH FOR CAPACITY BENEFIT MARGIN COMPUTATION
WITH WIND ENERGY CONSIDERATION FOR LARGE MULTI-AREA POWER
SYSTEMS

OLATUNJI OBALOWU MOHAMMED

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved parents for their prayers, advice, moral and emotional support, I also dedicate this work to my wife (Abdulkadir Bukola Fauziyah) and my children for their endurance and prayers during the course of this study. If not for their supports, prayers and endurance this research would have never been completed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise and adorations go to Almighty Allah, Alhamdulillah! Thanks to Almighty Allah for His guidance and mercies bestowed on me for making this research journey a successful one. I wouldn't have reach this far if not for His mercies. May Allah bestow His peace and mercy on the soul of our noble Prophet Muhammad (PBUH), Ameen!

I would like to extend my profound gratitude to my able supervisor, Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Wazir Mustafa for his perpetual advice, guidance, support and encouragement during the course of this research. I pray to Almighty Allah to continue to protect him and all that belongs to him. May Almighty Allah continue to have mercy on him, Ameen! Without his guidance and advice, this research journey would not have been completed in this manner.

I would also like to acknowledge the support and guidance of Dr. Daw Saleh Sasi Mohammed, especially in the early stage of this area of research, may Allah reward him abundantly. I also acknowledge my great code mentor Dr. Muhammad Naveed Aman for his support and guidance, may Allah reward him abundantly. I would like to also acknowledge my postgraduate colleagues (Abdulrahman Okino Otuoze, Sani Salisu, Dr Isiyaku Abubakar, Mudasiru Mustapha, Touqeer Ahmed Jumani, Dr Mamunu Mustapha, Ibim Sofimieari, Salman Muhammad Saeed, Zeeshan Ahmad Arfeen, and Attaullah Khidrani) for their supports and advices. Without their supports this research work would have been more tedious than expected.

I sincerely thank all the staff, School of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for their support in one way or the other.

I would also like to acknowledge the management of the University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria, for their support during the course of my PhD research. If not for their support, this programme wouldn't have been achieved.

ABSTRACT

Capacity benefit margin (CBM) represents the tie-lines transfer capability margin for power interchange between interconnected areas. Accurate evaluation of CBM is essential for available transfer capability (ATC) determination. Most of the existing methods for CBM computation rely on complex optimization techniques. In these techniques, for every step increase in power transfer, to improve supply reliability of the deficient areas, the reliability must be recalculated and checked through optimization. Thus, for a large number of interconnected areas, these techniques might not scale well. Another shortcoming of these techniques is the simplifying assumption of only one deficient area with a fully connected network (i.e., all the areas have a direct connection or tie line with each other). In this thesis, a robust graph-theoretic approach is proposed to calculate CBM in a multi-area network with multiple deficient non-directly connected areas. Unlike the existing approaches, multiple deficient areas are considered and some of the areas are not fully connected. From literature, previous techniques only considered conventional generating units in the loss of load expectation (LOLE) computation. A strategy for the incorporation of wind power generating unit is proposed using Weibull probability distribution. This is important since the supply reliability of an area is measured using LOLE of the area and considering the random nature of wind generating systems which has a great effect on the supply reliability. In addition, LOLE which is commonly used as an index for the CBM computation is usually evaluated by using the area peak load demand and the available reserve capacity. The system peak demand usually occurs within a few weeks in a year; therefore, the period of off-peak demand is not efficiently accounted for in the LOLE evaluation. Hence, demand side management (DSM) resources; peak clipping and valley filling are employed to modify the chronological load model of the system which subsequently enhances the CBM quantification. Finally, the results of the CBM are incorporated in ATC computation to study the influence on the ATC evaluation. The proposed technique has been evaluated using IEEE RTS-96 test system because the system has all the required reliability data for LOLE computation. The technique can evaluate and allocate CBM among multi-area systems consisting of two deficient areas. The influence of renewable energy on LOLE has been efficiently evaluated and the DSM technique was efficiently employed to improve three-area test system generation reliability. The generation reliability of the interconnected areas has been improved by an average of 35%. This improvement is very significant in terms of the generation facilities and the financial implication that may be required to be put in place if the proposed DSM technique was not applied. The results and the performance evaluation showed that the proposed technique is simple and robust compared to the existing methods. The technique can also be used as a feasibility tool by utilities to verify the possibility of wheeling power to a deficient area using maximum flow algorithm.

ABSTRAK

Margin faedah muatan (CBM) mewakili margin keupayaan pemindahan talian-ikat untuk pertukaran kuasa di kawasan saling hubung. Penilaian tepat CBM adalah penting untuk keupayaan tersedia pindah (ATC). Kebanyakan kaedah sedia ada bagi pengiraan CBM bergantung kepada teknik pengoptimuman kompleks. Dalam teknik ini, setiap langkah peningkatan pemindahan kuasa bagi meningkatkan kebolehpercayaan di kawasan yang kurang bekalan, kebolehpercayaan ini harus dihitung semula dan diperiksa melalui pengoptimuman. Oleh itu, untuk kawasan saling hubung yang besar, teknik ini mungkin tidak sesuai. Satu lagi kelemahan teknik ini adalah andaian mudah dengan hanya satu kawasan yang kurang bekalan dengan rangkaian yang tersambung sepenuhnya (iaitu, semua kawasan mempunyai sambungan langsung atau talian-ikat di antara satu sama lain). Dalam tesis ini, pendekatan graf-teoritis yang lasak dicadangkan untuk pengiraan CBM dalam rangkaian pelbagai kawasan dengan gandaan kawasan kurang bekalan. Tidak seperti pendekatan yang sedia ada, gandaan kawasan kurang bekalan digunakan dan sebahagian kawasan tidak bersambung terus sepenuhnya. Daripada literatur, teknik terdahulu hanya mempertimbangkan unit penjanaan konvensional dalam pengiraan kehilangan jangkaan beban (LOLE). Strategi untuk penggabungan unit penjanaan kuasa angin dicadangkan menggunakan taburan kebarangkalian Weibull. Ini adalah penting kerana kebolehpercayaan bekalan sesuatu kawasan diukur menggunakan LOLE kawasan tersebut dan mengambilkira sifat rawak semulajadi dalam sistem penjanaan angin yang mempunyai kesan yang besar terhadap kebolehpercayaan bekalan. Di samping itu, LOLE yang lazim digunakan sebagai indeks untuk pengiraan CBM biasanya dinilai dengan menggunakan permintaan beban puncak kawasan dan kapasiti rizab tersedia. Permintaan puncak sistem biasanya berlaku dalam beberapa minggu dalam setahun. Oleh itu, tempoh permintaan luar puncak tidak dikira secara cecap dalam penilaian LOLE. Oleh itu, sumber pengurusan sisi permintaan (DSM), keratan puncak dan pengisian lembah digunakan untuk mengubah suai model beban kronologi sistem yang seterusnya meningkatkan pengkuantitian CBM. Akhirnya, keputusan CBM dimasukkan dalam pengiraan ATC untuk mengkaji pengaruh pada penilaian ATC. Teknik yang dicadangkan telah dinilai menggunakan sistem ujian IEEE RTS-96 kerana mempunyai semua data kebolehpercayaan yang diperlukan untuk perhitungan LOLE. Teknik ini dapat menilai dan memperuntukkan CBM di antara sistem pelbagai kawasan yang terdiri daripada dua kawasan kurang bekalan. Pengaruh tenaga boleh diperbaharui pada LOLE telah dinilai dengan cecap dan teknik DSM telah digunakan secara efisien untuk meningkatkan kebolehpercayaan bagi pengujian penjanaan tiga kawasan. Kebolehpercayaan penjanaan bagi kawasan saling hubung telah dipertingkatkan dengan purata 35%. Penambahbaikan ini amat bermakna daripada segi kemudahan penjanaan fasiliti dan implikasi kewangan yang mungkin perlu dilaksanakan jika teknik DSM yang dicadangkan tidak digunapakai. Hasil dan penilaian prestasi menunjukkan bahawa teknik yang dicadangkan itu mudah dan lasak berbanding kaedah yang sedia ada. Teknik ini juga boleh digunakan sebagai alat perkakas ketersauran oleh utiliti bagi mengesahkan kemungkinan penghantaran kuasa ke kawasan kurang bekalan tertentu menggunakan algoritma aliran maksimum.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	i
	DEDICATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Research Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of Work	5
	1.5 Significance of the Study	6
	1.6 Organization of Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Power System Restructuring	11
	2.3 Total Transfer Capability	13
	2.4 Transmission Reliability Margin	16
	2.5 Available Transfer Capability Computation Methods	17
	2.5.1 Linear Approximation Methods	20
	2.5.2 Continuation Power Flow and Repeated Power Flow	20

2.5.3	Optimal Power Flow	21
2.5.4	Stability-Constrained Method	22
2.5.5	Probabilistic Approaches	23
2.6	Generation Reliability in Interconnected Power Systems	24
2.7	Wind Power Generation Reliability Assessment	27
2.8	Capacity Benefit Margin Determination Methods	28
2.8.1	Particle Swarm Optimization Technique for CBM Calculation	28
2.8.2	Evolutionary Programming Technique for CBM Calculation	29
2.8.3	Differential Evolution Technique for CBM Calculation	30
2.8.4	Exponential Analytic Approach	30
2.8.5	Heuristic Approach	31
2.9	Summary	36
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Overall Research Structure	40
3.3	Capacity Benefit Margin	42
3.3.1	Capacity Outage Probability Table and LOLE Computation	42
3.4	Graph-Theoretic Approach	44
3.4.1	Application of Graph Theory Technique for CBM Computation	47
3.5	Wind Power Estimation Technique	54
3.5.1	Proposed CBM Computation Incorporating WP Generation	56
3.6	Demand Side Management Resources	57
3.6.1	Demand Side Management Approach for CBM Enhancement	60
3.7	Available Transfer Capability Computation	61
3.8	Summary	64

CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	The Test System	65
4.3	Six-area Test System	66
4.3.1	LOLE and CBM Calculation for the Base Case Six-area System	67
4.3.2	LOLE and CBM Calculation of the Modified Six-area System	70
4.4	Three-area Test System	75
4.5	Five-area Test System	78
4.5.1	LOLE and CBM Computation for the Modified Five-area System	80
4.6	Wind Power Integration in CBM Computation for Five-area Test System	83
4.6.1	Wind Power Generation Estimation	83
4.6.2	LOLE and CBM Computations for the Modified System with WP Generation	85
4.7	Comparison of the Proposed Technique with the Existing Work	88
4.8	Enhancement of CMB Using Demand Side Management Techniques	96
4.8.1	LOLE and CBM Results for Three-area System	97
4.8.2	The sensitivity of LOLE and CBM of all Areas to Increase in Load in Area 2	98
4.8.3	The Results of Demand Side Management Implementation	99
4.9	Results of ATC Incorporating CBM with and without Wind Power Integration	103
4.10	Performance Evaluation	107
4.11	Summary	108
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	111
5.1	Conclusions	111
5.2	Contributions of the Research	114
5.3	Recommendations for Future Works	114

REFERENCES	117
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	131
Appendices (A-D)	133 - 152

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of the existing CBM computation methods	33
Table 4.1	Details of the six-area system	68
Table 4.2	Details of the modified six-area system	71
Table 4.3	CBM values between different areas of the modified six-area system	74
Table 4.4	LOLE of the six areas before and after CBM allocation	74
Table 4.5	Details of the modified Three-area system	76
Table 4.6	The results of CBM and LOLE for the modified three-area system in comparison with Heuristic [29] and PSO [26] approaches.	77
Table 4.7	The details of the system in the base case and after modification	80
Table 4.8	CBM and LOLE results of the areas for the modified five-area system	82
Table 4.9	Multistate output power of the wind turbine	85
Table 4.10	The influence of WP generation on the generation reliability	86
Table 4.11	The comparison of the CBM required by the deficient areas for generation reliability	87
Table 4.12	Assessment of the system generation reliability for wind energy replacement	87
Table 4.13	The details of the modified three-area system	89
Table 4.14	The results of the LOLE and CBM without wind energy replacement	89
Table 4.15	Three-area system with 60 MW WP replacing 12 x 5 MW units in Area 1	90
Table 4.16	The CBM and LOLE values of areas with WP integration in Area 1	91
Table 4.17	Three-area system with 60 MW WP replacing 60 MW units in Area 2	93
Table 4.18	The CBM and LOLE values of all areas with WP integration in Area 2	93

Table 4.19	Three-area system with 60 MW WP replacing 20 x 3 MW units in Area 3	94
Table 4.20	The CBM and LOLE values of areas with WP integration in Area 3	95
Table 4.21	Details of the IEEE 24-bus three-area test system	97
Table 4.22	The results of LOLEs of all areas for the base case	97
Table 4.23	The results of CBM allocations and the corresponding LOLE	97
Table 4.24	Percentage Annual Load for IEEE 24 bus RTS	101
Table 4.25	ATC incorporating CBM without wind power	104
Table 4.26	ATC incorporating CBM in the presence of wind power in Area 1	104
Table 4.27	ATC incorporating CBM in the presence of wind power in Area 2	105
Table 4.28	ATC incorporating CBM in the presence of wind power in Area 3	105

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	ATC limitations and the related parameters	13
Figure 2.2	Available transfer capacity determination methods	19
Figure 2.3	Deregulated power market structure	25
Figure 3.1	The overall scheme of the methodology	41
Figure 3.2	Example of a Directed Graph	45
Figure 3.3	Graph for three-area power system	48
Figure 3.4	Flowchart for LOLE computation and area's status identification (Algorithm 1)	50
Figure 3.5	Power system graph with source and sink nodes	51
Figure 3.6	Flowchart for CBM calculation and allocation (Algorithm 2)	53
Figure 3.7	General power curve of a WTG	55
Figure 3.8	Various demand side management approaches	58
Figure 3.9	Repeated power flow Algorithm	63
Figure 4.1	IEEE 24 bus RTS system	66
Figure 4.2	The six-area IEEE 24 bus test system	67
Figure 4.3	The graph representation of the six-area system	68
Figure 4.4	The graph representation of the six-area system with source and sink nodes after the application of Algorithm 1	69
Figure 4.5	The output of maximum flow algorithm on the six-area system	70
Figure 4.6	The graph of the modified six-area system after the application of Algorithm 1	72
Figure 4.7	The output of maximum flow algorithm on the modified six-area system	73
Figure 4.8	Three-area IEEE 24 bus test system	75
Figure 4.9	The graph of the modified three-area system after the application of Algorithm 1	76
Figure 4.10	The output of maximum flow algorithm on the modified three-area system	77

Figure 4.11	The five-area IEEE 24 bus test system	79
Figure 4.12	Maximum flow output of the base case scenario	81
Figure 4.13	Maximum flow output after system modification	82
Figure 4.14	Monthly mean wind speed profile	84
Figure 4.15	Maximum flow output for the modified three-area without WP	90
Figure 4.16	Maximum flow output for the modified three-area with WP in Area 1	92
Figure 4.17	Maximum flow output for the modified three-area with WP in Area 2	94
Figure 4.18	Maximum flow output for the modified three-area with WP in Area 3	96
Figure 4.19	Effect of increase in load in Area 2 on the CBM of all areas	99
Figure 4.20	Effect of increase in load in Area 2 on the LOLE of all areas	99
Figure 4.21	The three weeks DSM implementation on the chronological load model	102
Figure 4.22	Effect DSM activities on inter-area CBM	102
Figure 4.23	Effect DSM activities on the LOLE of areas	103

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC PF	-	Alternating Current Power Flow
ATC	-	Available Transfer Capability
AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
CBM	-	Capacity Benefit Margin
COPT	-	Capacity Outage Probability Table
CPF	-	Continuation Power Flow
DATC	-	Dynamic Available Transfer Capability
DC PF	-	Direct Current Power Flow
DE	-	Differential Evolution
DSM	-	Demand Side Management
EP	-	Evolutionary Programming
ETC	-	Existing Transmission Commitments
FERC	-	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FOR	-	Forced Outage Rate
ISO	-	Independent System Operator
LAM	-	Linear Approximation Method
LDC	-	Load Duration Curve
LOLE	-	Loss of Load Expectation
LOLP	-	Loss of Load Probability
MAAC	-	Mid-Atlantic Area Council
MCLM	-	Modified Chronological Load Model
MCS	-	Monte Carlo Simulation
OASIS	-	Open Access Same-time Information System
OPF	-	Optimal Power Flow
PDF	-	Probability Density Function
PF	-	Power Flow
PTDF	-	Power Transfer Distribution Factor
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
RPF	-	Repeated Power Flow
TRM	-	Transmission Reliability Margin

TTC - Total Transfer Capability
WTG - Wind Turbine Generator

LIST OF SYMBOLS

P_{Gi}, Q_{Gi}	-	active and reactive power generations at bus i
P_{Li}, Q_{Li}	-	active and reactive loads at bus i
λ	-	scalar parameter denoting power transfer increment, $\lambda = 0$ for base case transfer $\lambda = \lambda_{max}$ for maximum power transfer
$U_i, U_j,$	-	magnitudes of the voltages at buses i and j
φ_i, φ_j	-	angles of the voltages at buses i and j
Y_{ij}, θ_{ij}	-	magnitude and angle of the bus admittance matrix of the ij^{th} element
U_i^{min}, U_i^{max}	-	voltage magnitude limits at bus i
S_{Li}^{max}	-	the limits of the i^{th} transformer or line loading
$ S_{Li} $	-	the transformer or line loading
N	-	the number of buses
N_L	-	the number of branches
P_{Gi}^0	-	initial active power generations at bus i in the source area
P_{Li}, Q_{Li}	-	active and reactive loads at bus i in the sink area
k_{Gi}	-	constant denoting the rate of change in the generation at bus i as λ changes
k_{Li}	-	constant indicating the rate of load change at bus i as λ change
$P_I(C_s)$	-	capacity outage probability of the individual unit at state s
n	-	total number of states of generating units
A	-	Availability
U	-	Unavailability
$P_c(k)$	-	cumulative probability of capacity outage
G_{out}	-	generation capacity outage
N_h	-	number of hours in one year
$C_g(\text{pu})$	-	total installed generation capacity in per-unit
k	-	unit of the installed capacity
$G_{out}(k)$	-	is the smallest capacity outage at which loss of load occurs for a given load L_i

$PL_{base,l}$	-	base case load at bus l ,
N_{lb}	-	number of load buses
$f_{i,j}$	-	amount of flow from node i to node j
$u_{i,j}$	-	maximum amount of flow from node i to node j
Gen	-	generation matrix of an area
G_n	-	generation capacity of a unit n
G_{wn}	-	generation capacity of wind power unit
n_n	-	number of units
$n_{n,\dots,1}$	-	number of states of wind turbine output power
FOR _n	-	FOR of generating unit n
Cap_prob	-	individual unit probability
Avail _n	-	availability of unit n
PD	-	CBM allocated to an area
$f(v)$	-	Probability density function
$F(v)$	-	Cumulative distribution function
k	-	Weibull shape parameter
c	-	Weibull scale parameter
v	-	hourly wind speed
v_m	-	mean wind speed
σ	-	standard deviation of the wind speed data
V_{ci}	-	cut-in wind speed of the wind turbine generator
V_{co}	-	cut-out wind speed of the wind turbine generator
V_r	-	rated wind speed of the wind turbine generator
P_r	-	rated power of the wind turbine generator
P_s	-	specified peak for the DSM technique
$L_M(t)$	-	original chronological load model of the system
$\bar{L}_M(t)$	-	modified chronological load model of the system
K	-	energy refilled at each hour
ψ	-	off-peak period
τ	-	on-peak period
b	-	the fraction of the energy clipped during the peak period and refilled during the off-peak period

- Gen_i^a - output of generator i in area a
- CBM_a - CBM allocated to area a
- N_g^a - total number of generators in area a

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Algorithms 1 and 2	133 - 134
Appendix B	IEEE Reliability Test System Data	135 - 137
Appendix C	Load Models and Reliability Data of the Test System	138 – 140
Appendix D	Hourly Load Model of Area2 for the Three-area system	141 - 152

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

The independent system operator (ISO) is the body in charge of coordinating the activities of market participants, system security and stability monitoring, balancing between demand and supply, and maintaining system reliability [1-3]. It is also the responsibility of the ISO to assess the system conditions and the available transfer capability (ATC) for the next business events [3]. Any contract for power transfer demand should be within the range of ATC of the interconnected systems. Therefore, it is imperative to accurately calculate the ATC value to evaluate the energy production for efficient marketing operations. The flexibility, robustness and system security are dependent on the amount of ATC which the system can accommodate for power transfer. An accurate value of ATC can be used in forecasting the future upgrading of the transmission network [4]. The precise calculation of ATC should include the system constraints, system uncertainties and the transfer capability margins [5, 6].

Overestimation of ATC can lead to system instability which could result to cascading collapse [7-10] and underestimation of ATC value capable of causing underutilization of the power system resources which can subsequently lead to loss of capital as a result of ineffective marketing operations. For example, the major blackout in the North-eastern United States and Ontario in August 2003 was as a result of an overestimation of ATC [10, 11]. Therefore, the consequences of under/over-estimation of ATC have enormous adverse effects on the utility. The United State Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) established Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) in which all interconnected companies are required to send their ATC value at a regular interval to serve as a reference value for electricity market activities [8]. This information about the size of ATC is vital to system utilities and the planner

as it depicts the general performance of the power system regarding efficiency and economic activities. Independent system operators are required to regularly update their ATC on OASIS for efficient system operation.

Essentially, ATC is a measure of the extra transmission capability above the base case power transfer for power marketing. ATC value can be derived by considering various parameters relating to transfer capabilities such as total transfer capability (TTC), transmission reliability margin (TRM), and capacity benefit margin (CBM). TTC is the summation of all the network transfers (base case and commercial transfers) including the margins for system security and reliability, and existing transmission commitments (ETC). TRM is the network margin reserved for system uncertainties [12] whereas, CBM is the network margin reserved for the utilities to have access to external generation in case of emergency generation outages [13]. CBM is commonly evaluated by using the loss of load expectation. CBM is an important parameter in ATC computation, it represents the supply adequacy in an interconnected system. It is a measure of the required transmission capability that is reserved for generation reliability purpose.

Several mathematical techniques have been proposed to calculate ATC and TRM in the literature [14-21]. However, despite the extensive research works on ATC, the work done on CBM determination is limited. For efficient and sustainable power generation reliability, utilities usually have reserve capacity for unforeseen circumstances (such as a sudden increase in load demand due to weather variability, decrease in supply due to faults in the system or unplanned maintenance, etc.). This reserve capacity in most cases remains unused, which may lead to inefficient use of generation resources. In interconnected power systems, this reserve capacity can be reduced without generation reliability degradation. This is achieved by power interchange between interconnected systems through tie lines [22]. To minimize this reserve capacity, utilities reserve some margin in the transmission network between interconnected areas and this margin is termed the CBM. The CBM indicates the transfer capability margin reserved by the utilities in case of accidental generation outages or unexpected increase in load. Accurate evaluation of CBM is crucial for ATC determination. Over/under-estimation of CBM can lead to inaccuracy of ATC

results, which can eventually lead to ineffective utilization of transmission system facilities and sudden generation deficiency [10, 23]. Thus, CBM is used to quantify the amount of transmission reserve capability required to meet generation reliability.

Generally, loss of load expectation (LOLE) is commonly used as the reliability metric for CBM evaluation. LOLE is the average number of days or hours in a given period (usually one year) in which there is a loss of load i.e. the daily peak load or hourly load is expected to exceed the available generating capacity [24]. The LOLE mandated by Mid-Atlantic Area Council (MAAC), USA, is currently set to one day in ten years as stated in the MAAC Reliability Principles and Standards [25]. The specified LOLE value is equivalent to 24 hours for ten years or 2.4 hours per year. The criterion is to keep the LOLE of each area less than a specified standard value usually taken as 2.4 hours/year [25]. If the LOLE index of an area in an interconnected system is higher than this value, the area needs to improve its generation reliability by importing power from external areas to meet the generation reliability requirement. However, if the area LOLE is less than the specified value, the area is rich in generation and it can export power to support other areas facing power deficiency. Therefore, to transfer power to the deficient areas, the transmission provider has to reserve a particular amount of CBM depending on the specified value of LOLE.

Most of the previous methods to determine CBM employed optimization techniques such as PSO [26], EP [27], DE [28], and heuristic approach [29] using the LOLE criterion. These techniques may be efficient when dealing with a small number of interconnected systems, however, most of these techniques are not suitable for a large number of interconnected systems due to the iterative complex optimization procedures required to update CBM for every improvement in LOLE.

For efficient determination of CBM for multi-area systems with multiple deficient areas, this thesis presents a new approach for CBM computation in the presence of renewable energy sources. This involves the development of a graph-theoretic approach for CBM computation, development of a strategy for the incorporation of wind power generating unit in the CBM calculation, and development

of demand side management approach for the enhancement of CBM. Finally, the results of CBM are incorporated in ATC calculation using repetitive power flow.

1.2 Problem Statement

Researchers have proposed some techniques for the computation of CBM; however, most of these methods rely on complex optimization techniques. In these techniques, for every step increase in power transfer, to improve the reliability of a deficient area, the reliability must be recalculated and checked through optimization. Thus, for a large number of interconnected areas, these techniques cannot scale well.

Another shortcoming of these techniques is the simplifying assumption of only one deficient area with a fully connected network (i.e., all the areas have a direct connection or tie line with each other).

In the past, system operators are more concern about the generation reliability associated with the conventional generators, however, the continuous growth in the integration of renewable power generation in the existing system has posed more threat to the generation reliability due to the variability in the renewable energy output, therefore, evaluation of CBM in the presence of renewable energy needs to be efficiently presented.

Furthermore, the LOLE, which is commonly used as an index for CBM computation, is evaluated by using the area peak load demand and the reserve capacity. The system peak demand usually occurs within a few weeks in a year; therefore the period of off-peak demand is not efficiently accounted for in the LOLE evaluation.

In addition, most of the existing ATC computations do not consider the effect of CBM on the ATC values, therefore, the results of the CBM from the stated objectives are incorporated in ATC computations.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are:

- i. To develop a graph-theoretic based approach for efficient computation of capacity benefit margin for large multi-area power systems.
- ii. To investigate the influence of wind power generation in the evaluation of CBM.
- iii. To develop a strategy for the improvement of capacity benefit margin calculation using the flexibility of demand side management.
- iv. To implement CBM in ATC computation in order to investigate its influence on ATC.

1.4 Scope of Work

This research work focuses on the development of a simple non-complex holistic approach for the computation of capacity benefit margin in the presence of renewable energy (wind energy) as well as exploring the demand side management for the enhancement of capacity benefit margin while incorporating the CBM results in ATC. The following are the main focus of this research:

- i. This study focus on capacity benefit margin calculation and the results are incorporated in ATC computation, therefore, TRM is not considered in this work.
- ii. This study uses the generation, load and the reliability data of the IEEE 24 bus RTS (IEEE RTS-96), and the system was modified to be able to test the efficacy of the proposed method.
- iii. Only IEEE RTS-96 is employed in this work because it contains the reliability data required for the CBM computation.

- iv. Voltage limit and thermal constraints are considered in the ATC computation.
- v. Only tie-line capacity and areas' power reserve is considered as constraints in wheeling power between areas using maximum flow technique of graph theory.
- vi. The hydro units in the IEEE RTS-96 are considered as a reservoir for demand side management implementation, details about the reservoir design are not considered.
- vii. For reasonable accuracy, six multi-state output for the wind energy unit is considered, in the LOLE calculation. The multistate power generation is obtained by combining the multistate wind speed probability with the power output of the G90-2.0 MW wind turbine.

1.5 Significance of the Study

The significance of this research is highlighted as follows:

- i. Accurate determination of CBM for effective power transfer between areas play an important role in system reliability, efficient utilization of transmission system, and secure power system operation. Inaccurate calculation of CBM will result in inaccurate determination of ATC, which will subsequently cause transmission system congestion or underutilization of the transmission facilities. Transmission congestion can result in system security violation and underutilization of transmission facilities can cause loss of capital.
- ii. For more than a decade, various methods have been proposed to determine CBM between interconnected areas, however, most of these methods rely on complex optimizations. In large multi-area power systems, the computation of the CBM for several connected areas is not feasible using these complex optimization techniques. This study proposed a simple graph-theoretic approach for large multi-area power systems.

- iii. None of the existing techniques has considered more than one deficient area in CBM computation, in a situation where there are more than one deficient areas, the existing techniques would require enormous iterative optimization to scale through. In this research, multiple deficient areas are considered in CBM computation.
- iv. Moreover, if the interconnected areas are in critical condition, the CBM supports from other areas might not be feasible as well. Demand side management has also been proposed in this work to improve the supply reliability of the interconnected areas during a critical condition. If the proposed DSM approach was not in place, the system would require enormous generation facilities to be able to curtail the impending supply shortage.
- v. Due to the increasing penetration of the renewable energy generation, a method has been proposed using Weibull probability distribution to incorporate wind energy generation units in the CBM evaluation. This would enable the deregulated system participants (ISO, GENCO, TRANSCO and DISCO) to view the likely influence of renewable energy system on the interconnected systems' generation reliability.

The proposed approaches in this research are envisaged to assist power system operators and transmission system management to easily quantify CBM and as well as improve interconnected system reliability. The proposed demand side management approach can also mitigate transmission congestion.

1.6 Organization of Thesis

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter 1 presents the general overview of the research by giving a discussion on the background of the research, problem statement, objectives of the research, scope, and the significance of the research.

A comprehensive literature review on the various aspects of this work is presented in Chapter 2. It is divided into various parts, it starts with power system restructuring, literature review on ATC, TRM and CBM, and the review of the various existing techniques for CBM computation. Wind power generation reliability assessment is also discussed in this chapter and finally, the chapter is summarized.

Chapter 3 starts with the introduction of the methodology employed, followed by the overall research structure. Then, capacity benefit margin formulations, the concept of the proposed graph-theoretic approach, and the application of the proposed approach for CBM computation are presented. The development of Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 for LOLE and CBM computation and allocation respectively are also presented in this chapter. Wind power estimation technique is also presented in this chapter, then, the CBM computation incorporating wind power generation is presented, this is followed by the formulation of demand side management approach for CBM enhancement and the Algorithm for ATC computation and the formulation for the incorporation of CBM in ATC computation are also presented in this chapter. Finally, the chapter is summarized.

Results and discussion are presented in Chapter 4. The chapter starts with the introduction of the test system employed for the implementation of the proposed approaches and the results that are obtained in this work. The complete test system (IEEE 24 bus RTS) used is presented. The results of the CBM computation and allocation for six-area test system are presented and discussed, after then the same results for the three-area test system is obtained and used for comparison with the existing techniques. Five-area test system is employed for wind power integration in CBM computation, the results with- and without wind power are compared to study

the influence of wind power system on CBM. Three-area test system with- and without wind power integration is employed for comparison with the only existing work which incorporated wind power in CBM evaluation. The results of the demand side management approach are also presented and discussed in this chapter, and this is followed by ATC results with- and without CBM. Finally, the chapter is summarized.

Conclusively, Chapter 5 presents the overall conclusion of the research and recommendations for further studies on this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. J. Conejo, M. Carrión, and J. M. Morales, "Electricity markets," in *Decision making under uncertainty in electricity markets*: Springer, 2010, pp. 1-26.
- [2] J. Garrués-Irurzun, "Market power versus regulatory power in the Spanish electricity system, 1973–1996," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 655-666, 2010.
- [3] A. Rajabi-Ghahnavieh, M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, and R. Feuillet, "Allocation of available transfer capability in planning horizon," *European Transactions on Electrical Power*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1437-1454, 2011.
- [4] M. Othman, K. A. Rahman, I. Musirin, A. Mohamed, and A. Hussain, "The application of Box-Jenkins models in short term load forecasting," *International Journal of Power, Energy and Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 104-110, 2009.
- [5] A. B. Khairuddin, S. S. Ahmed, M. W. Mustafa, A. A. M. Zin, and H. Ahmad, "A novel method for ATC computations in a large-scale power system," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1150-1158, 2004.
- [6] S. Busan, M. Othman, I. Musirin, A. Mohamed, and A. Hussain, "Determination of available transfer capability by means of Ralston's method incorporating cubic-spline interpolation technique," *European Transactions on Electrical Power*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 439-464, 2011.
- [7] J. Zhu, *Optimization of power system operation*. John Wiley & Sons, 2015.
- [8] J. F. Smith, "Critical infrastructures at risk: Securing the European electric power system," ed: Berkeley Electronic Press 2809 Telegraph Avenue, STE 202, Berkeley, CA 94705 USA, 2008.
- [9] P. Kundur, J. Paserba, V. Ajjarapu, G. Anderson, A. Bose, C. Canizares, N. Hatziargyriou, D. Hill, A. Stankovic and C. Taylor, "Definition and classification of power system stability IEEE/CIGRE joint task force on stability terms and definitions," *IEEE transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 1387-1401, 2004.
- [10] S. C. Savulescu, "A metric for quantifying the risk of blackout," in *Power Systems Conference and Exposition, 2004. IEEE PES, 2004*, pp. 1661-1664: IEEE.
- [11] M. Jacobs, "of the largest power outages in history—and what they tell us about the 2003 northeast blackout.“,” *Union of Concerned Scientists*, vol. 8, p. 2013.

- [12] I. Dobson, S. Greene, R. Rajaraman, C. L. DeMarco, F. L. Alvarado, M. Glavic, G. Zhang and R. Zimmerman, "Electric power transfer capability: concepts, applications, sensitivity and uncertainty," *PSERC Publication*, no. 01-34, 2001.
- [13] I. Dobson, S. Greene, R. Rajaraman, C. L. DeMarco, F. L. Alvarado, M. Glavic, G. Zhang and R. Zimmerman, "Electric power transfer capability: concepts, applications, sensitivity and uncertainty," ed: Power Systems Engineering Research Centre, Cornell University New York, NY, USA, 2001.
- [14] S. Dadi, S. Mathew, and V. Khatavkar, "Estimation of Transmission Reliability Margin using statistical methods and intelligent techniques," in *Automatic Control and Dynamic Optimization Techniques (ICACDOT), International Conference on*, 2016, pp. 896-900: IEEE.
- [15] Western Area Power Administration, "Transmission Reliability Margin Implementation Document (TRMID)," <http://www.oasis.oati.com/WAPA/WAPAdocs/TRMID.pdf>, pp. 1-9, 2017.
- [16] California Independent System Operator report, "Transmission Reliability Margins," <https://www.caiso.com/Documents/3620.pdf>, Report pp. 1-8, 2016.
- [17] R. Zaini, M. Othman, I. Musirin, A. Mohamed, and A. Hussain, "Determination of transmission reliability margin considering uncertainties of system operating condition and transmission line outage," *European Transactions on Electrical Power*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 380-397, 2011.
- [18] W. Li, E. Vaahedi, and Z. Lin, "BC Hydro's transmission reliability margin assessment in total transfer capability calculations," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 4796-4802, 2013.
- [19] J. Zhang, I. Dobson, and F. L. Alvarado, "Quantifying transmission reliability margin," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 697-702, 2004.
- [20] M. M. bin Othman, A. Mohamed, and A. Hussain, "Determination of transmission reliability margin using parametric bootstrap technique," *IEEE Transactions on power systems*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1689-1700, 2008.
- [21] O. O. Mohammed, M. W. Mustafa, D. S. S. Mohammed, and A. O. Otuoze, "Available transfer capability calculation methods: A comprehensive review," *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1-24, 2019.
- [22] H. Kuraishi and A. Yokoyama, "Optimal CBM of tie lines between control areas in a deregulated environment," *Electrical Engineering in Japan*, vol. 167, no. 1, pp. 35-48, 2009.
- [23] A. Gheorghe, M. Masera, M. Weijnen, and L. De Vries, "Critical infrastructures at risk," *Securing the European electric power system*, 2006.

- [24] W. Li, *Reliability assessment of electric power systems using Monte Carlo methods*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [25] A. Ford and W. Harm, "Market based adequacy: reliability and CBM/ATC calculations. An independent system operator's perspective," in *Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting, 2002. IEEE*, 2002, vol. 1, pp. 18-23: IEEE.
- [26] M. Ramezani, M.-R. Haghifam, C. Singh, H. Seifi, and M. P. Moghaddam, "Determination of capacity benefit margin in multiarea power systems using particle swarm optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 631-641, 2009.
- [27] M. M. Othman, A. Mohamed, and A. Hussain, "Available transfer capability assessment using evolutionary programming based capacity benefit margin," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 166-176, 2006.
- [28] R. Rajathy, R. Gnanadass, K. Manivannan, and H. Kumar, "Computation of capacity benefit margin using differential evolution," *International Journal of Computing Science and Mathematics*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 275-287, 2010.
- [29] Y. Ou and C. Singh, "Assessment of available transfer capability and margins," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 463-468, 2002.
- [30] G. Razeghi, B. Shaffer, and S. Samuelson, "Impact of electricity deregulation in the state of California," *Energy Policy*, vol. 103, pp. 105-115, 2017.
- [31] H. Sadeghi, M. Rashidinejad, and A. Abdollahi, "A comprehensive sequential review study through the generation expansion planning," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 67, pp. 1369-1394, 2017.
- [32] L. L. Lai, *Power system restructuring and deregulation: trading, performance and information technology*. John Wiley & Sons, 2001.
- [33] O. O. Mohammed, M. W. Mustafa, D. S. S. Mohammed, and A. O. Otuoze, "Available transfer capability calculation methods: A comprehensive review," *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems*, p. e2846, 2019.
- [34] D.-J. Shin, J.-O. Kim, K.-H. Kim, and C. Singh, "Probabilistic approach to available transfer capability calculation," *Electric power systems research*, vol. 77, no. 7, pp. 813-820, 2007.
- [35] Z. Jin-Quan and Z. Bo-Ming, "Summarization of continuation power flow and its applications in static stability analysis of power system [j]," *Automation of Electric Power Systems*, vol. 11, p. 023, 2005.

- [36] X.-P. Zhang, P. Ju, and E. Handschin, "Continuation three-phase power flow: A tool for voltage stability analysis of unbalanced three-phase power systems," *IEEE transactions on power systems*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1320-1329, 2005.
- [37] W. Ongsakul and V. N. Dieu, *Artificial intelligence in power system optimization*. Crc Press, 2016.
- [38] S. N. Pandey, N. K. Pandey, S. Tapaswi, and L. Srivastava, "Neural network-based approach for ATC estimation using distributed computing," *IEEE Transactions on power systems*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1291-1300, 2010.
- [39] K. Sekita, A. Yokoyama, S. Verma, and Y. Nakachi, "Probabilistic evaluation method of available transfer capability considering reliability margins in transmission network," in *2008 Joint International Conference on Power System Technology and IEEE Power India Conference*, 2008, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [40] R. H. Bhesdadiya and R. M. Patel, "Available transfer capability calculation using deterministic methods: A case study of Indian power system," in *Electrical, Electronics, and Optimization Techniques (ICEEOT), International Conference on*, 2016, pp. 2261-2264: IEEE.
- [41] A. B. Khairuddin, O. O. Khalifa, A. I. Alhammi, and R. M. Larik, "Deterministic Approach Available Transfer Capability (ATC) Calculation Methods." 2016 1st International Electrical Engineering Conference (IEEC 2016) May,13 - 14, 2016 in IEP Centre, Karachi, Pakistan.
- [42] W. Ongsakul and V. N. Dieu, *Artificial intelligence in power system optimization*. CRC Press, 2013.
- [43] P. Chen, Z. Chen, and B. Bak-Jensen, "Probabilistic load flow: A review," in *Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies, 2008. DRPT 2008. Third International Conference on*, 2008, pp. 1586-1591: IEEE.
- [44] K. P. Kumar and B. Saravanan, "Recent techniques to model uncertainties in power generation from renewable energy sources and loads in microgrids—A review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2016.
- [45] M. Sharifzadeh, H. Lubiano-Walochik, and N. Shah, "Integrated renewable electricity generation considering uncertainties: The UK roadmap to 50% power generation from wind and solar energies," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 72, pp. 385-398, 2017.
- [46] R. H. Zubo, G. Mokryani, H.-S. Rajamani, J. Aghaei, T. Niknam, and P. Pillai, "Operation and planning of distribution networks with integration of renewable distributed generators considering uncertainties: A review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 72, pp. 1177-1198, 2017.

- [47] D.-J. Shin, H.-S. Lee, and J.-O. Kim, "Quantification Method of TRM (Transmission Reliability Margin) Using Probabilistic Load Flow," *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, vol. 36, no. 20, pp. 679-684, 2003.
- [48] X. Xu and Z. Yan, "Probabilistic load flow evaluation with hybrid Latin Hypercube Sampling and multiple linear regression," in *2015 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting*, 2015, pp. 1-5: IEEE.
- [49] H. Yu, C. Chung, K. Wong, H. Lee, and J. Zhang, "Probabilistic load flow evaluation with hybrid latin hypercube sampling and cholesky decomposition," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 661-667, 2009.
- [50] C.-L. Su, "Probabilistic load-flow computation using point estimate method," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 1843-1851, 2005.
- [51] P. Caramia, G. Carpinelli, and P. Varilone, "Point estimate schemes for probabilistic three-phase load flow," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 168-175, 2010.
- [52] J. M. Morales and J. Perez-Ruiz, "Point estimate schemes to solve the probabilistic power flow," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1594-1601, 2007.
- [53] P. Zhang and S. T. Lee, "Probabilistic load flow computation using the method of combined cumulants and Gram-Charlier expansion," *IEEE transactions on power systems*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 676-682, 2004.
- [54] J. Usaola, "Probabilistic load flow with wind production uncertainty using cumulants and Cornish–Fisher expansion," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 474-481, 2009.
- [55] Q. Fu, D. Yu, and J. Ghorai, "Probabilistic load flow analysis for power systems with multi-correlated wind sources," in *2011 IEEE power and energy society general meeting*, 2011, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [56] J. Usaola, "Probabilistic load flow with correlated wind power injections," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 80, no. 5, pp. 528-536, 2010.
- [57] J. Usaola, "Probabilistic load flow in systems with wind generation," *IET generation, transmission & distribution*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 1031-1041, 2009.
- [58] G. Verbic and C. A. Canizares, "Probabilistic optimal power flow in electricity markets based on a two-point estimate method," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1883-1893, 2006.
- [59] X. Li, Y. Li, and S. Zhang, "Analysis of probabilistic optimal power flow taking account of the variation of load power," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 992-999, 2008.

- [60] Q. Fu, D. Yu, and J. Ghorai, "Probabilistic load flow analysis for power systems with multi-correlated wind sources," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2011 IEEE*, 2011, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [61] K. Sekita, A. Yokoyama, S. Verma, and Y. Nakachi, "Probabilistic evaluation method of available transfer capability considering reliability margins in transmission network," in *Power System Technology and IEEE Power India Conference, 2008. POWERCON 2008. Joint International Conference on*, 2008, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [62] A. B. Rodrigues and M. G. Da Silva, "Probabilistic assessment of available transfer capability based on Monte Carlo method with sequential simulation," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 484-492, 2007.
- [63] A. Gupta and A. Kumar, "ATC calculation including wind: A probabilistic study and a comparison of MCS and LHS," in *Power Systems (ICPS), 2016 IEEE 6th International Conference on*, 2016, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [64] J. M. Morales, L. Baringo, A. J. Conejo, and R. Mínguez, "Probabilistic power flow with correlated wind sources," *IET generation, transmission & distribution*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 641-651, 2010.
- [65] A. Gupta and A. Kumar, "Optimal power flow based ATC calculation incorporating probabilistic nature of wind," in *Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science (SCEECS), 2016 IEEE Students' Conference on*, 2016, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [66] J. Wei, G. Li, and M. Zhou, "Monte Carlo simulation and bootstrap method based assessment of available transfer capability in AC–DC hybrid systems," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 53, pp. 231-236, 2013.
- [67] B. R. Prusty and D. Jena, "A critical review on probabilistic load flow studies in uncertainty constrained power systems with photovoltaic generation and a new approach," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2016.
- [68] M. Aien, M. Rashidinejad, and M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, "On possibilistic and probabilistic uncertainty assessment of power flow problem: A review and a new approach," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 37, pp. 883-895, 2014.
- [69] M. Aien, A. Hajebrahimi, and M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, "A comprehensive review on uncertainty modeling techniques in power system studies," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 57, pp. 1077-1089, 2016.
- [70] N. Gupta, "A review on the inclusion of wind generation in power system studies," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 59, pp. 530-543, 2016.

- [71] M. Aien, M. Rashidinejad, and M. F. Firuz-Abad, "Probabilistic optimal power flow in correlated hybrid wind-PV power systems: A review and a new approach," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 41, pp. 1437-1446, 2015.
- [72] G. Hamoud, "Feasibility assessment of simultaneous bilateral transactions in a deregulated environment," *IEEE Transactions on Power systems*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 22-26, 2000.
- [73] R. D. Cook, S. S. Miller, and D. A. Shafer, "Available transfer capability applying linear phasor methods to the ac power flow," in *Power Systems Conference and Exposition (PSCE), 2011 IEEE/PES*, 2011, pp. 1-8: IEEE.
- [74] D. Van Hertem, J. Verboomen, K. Purchala, R. Belmans, and W. Kling, "Usefulness of DC power flow for active power flow analysis with flow controlling devices," in *AC and DC Power Transmission, 2006. ACDC 2006. The 8th IEE International Conference on*, 2006, pp. 58-62: IET.
- [75] R. M. Idris, A. Kharuddin, and M. Mustafa, "Available Transfer Capability determination using Bees Algorithm," in *Universities Power Engineering Conference (AUPEC), 2010 20th Australasian*, 2010, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [76] B. Manikandan, S. C. Raja, and P. Venkatesh, "Multi-area available transfer capability determination in the restructured electricity market," in *Power System Technology and IEEE Power India Conference, 2008. POWERCON 2008. Joint International Conference on*, 2008, pp. 1-7: IEEE.
- [77] W. Ongsakul and P. Jirapong, "Calculation of total transfer capability by evolutionary programming," in *TENCON 2004. 2004 IEEE Region 10 Conference*, 2004, vol. 100, pp. 492-495: IEEE.
- [78] H. Abdi, S. D. Beigvand, and M. La Scala, "A review of optimal power flow studies applied to smart grids and microgrids," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2016.
- [79] A. Vaccaro and C. A. Canizares, "A Knowledge-based Framework for Power Flow and Optimal Power Flow Analyses," *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 2016.
- [80] V. Radziukynas and I. Radziukyniene, "Optimization methods application to optimal power flow in electric power systems," in *Optimization in the Energy Industry*: Springer, 2009, pp. 409-436.
- [81] A. G. Bakirtzis, P. N. Biskas, C. E. Zoumas, and V. Petridis, "Optimal power flow by enhanced genetic algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on power Systems*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 229-236, 2002.
- [82] T. Jain, S. N. Singh, and S. C. Srivastava, "Fast static available transfer capability determination using radial basis function neural network," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 2756-2764, 2011.

- [83] X. Luo, A. Patton, and C. Singh, "Real power transfer capability calculations using multi-layer feed-forward neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 903-908, 2000.
- [84] T. Jain, S. Singh, and S. Srivastava, "A neural network based method for fast ATC estimation in electricity markets," in *Power Engineering Society General Meeting, 2007. IEEE, 2007*, pp. 1-8: IEEE.
- [85] H. Y. Yi and H. C. Yang, "Multi-layer feedforward neural network-based atc estimator," in *Power Tech, 2005 IEEE Russia, 2005*, pp. 1-7: IEEE.
- [86] C. Venkaiah, D. Kumar, and K. Murali, "Dynamic ATC Computation for Real-Time Power Markets," *Journal of Electrical Engineering and Technology*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 209-219, 2010.
- [87] I. A. Hiskens, M. Pai, and P. Sauer, "Dynamic ATC," in *Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting, 2000. IEEE, 2000*, vol. 3, p. 1629 vol. 3: IEEE.
- [88] A. Kumar, S. Srivastava, and S. Singh, "Available transfer capability assessment in a competitive electricity market using a bifurcation approach," *IEE Proceedings-Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, vol. 151, no. 2, pp. 133-140, 2004.
- [89] E. De Tuglie, M. Dicorato, M. La Scala, and P. Scarpellini, "A static optimization approach to assess dynamic available transfer capability," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1069-1076, 2000.
- [90] G. Luo, D. Shi, J. Chen, J. Xi, M. Jiang, Y. Xu and J. Dang, "Fast calculation of available transfer capability in bulk interconnected grid," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE, 2012*, pp. 1-8: IEEE.
- [91] Y. Yuan, J. Kubokawa, T. Nagata, and H. Sasaki, "A solution of dynamic available transfer capability by means of stability constrained optimal power flow," in *Power Tech Conference Proceedings, 2003 IEEE Bologna, 2003*, vol. 2, p. 8 pp. Vol. 2: IEEE.
- [92] T. Jain, S. Singh, and S. Srivastava, "Assessment of oscillatory stability constrained available transfer capability," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 192-200, 2009.
- [93] J. Wei, G. Li, and M. Zhou, "Numerical bifurcation and its application in computation of available transfer capability," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 252, pp. 568-574, 2015.
- [94] M. M. Othman and S. Busan, "A novel approach of rescheduling the critical generators for a new available transfer capability determination," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 3-17, 2016.

- [95] X. Zhang, Y. Song, Q. Lu, and S. Mei, "Dynamic available transfer capability (ATC) evaluation by dynamic constrained optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1240-1242, 2004.
- [96] T. Jain, S. Singh, and S. Srivastava, "Adaptive wavelet neural network-based fast dynamic available transfer capability determination," *IET generation, transmission & distribution*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 519-529, 2010.
- [97] A. Srinivasan, P. Venkatesh, B. Dineshkumar, and N. Ramkumar, "Dynamic available transfer capability determination in power system restructuring environment using support vector regression," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 69, pp. 123-130, 2015.
- [98] M. Eidiani and M. H. M. Shanechi, "FAD-ATC: a new method for computing dynamic ATC," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 109-118, 2006.
- [99] J. Wakisaka, R. Tabuchi, S. Iwamoto, H. Hosogoe, and Y. Ichikawa, "Interarea-oscillation transient stability ATC calculation based on single machine equivalent," in *Transmission & Distribution Conference & Exposition: Asia and Pacific, 2009*, 2009, pp. 1-5: IEEE.
- [100] D. V. Kumar and C. Venkaiah, "Dynamic Available Transfer Capability (DATC) Computation using Intelligent Techniques," in *Power System Technology and IEEE Power India Conference, 2008. POWERCON 2008. Joint International Conference on*, 2008, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [101] A. I. S. Velusamy, N. B. Ramu, D. Durairaj, and K. Murugesan, "Differential evolutionary algorithm-based optimal support vector machine for online dynamic available transfer capability estimation incorporating transmission capacity margins," *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems*, 2017.
- [102] A. Srinivasan, N. Ramkumar, B. Dineshkumar, and P. Venkatesh, "Support vector regression based dynamic available transfer capability in deregulated power market," in *Circuits, Power and Computing Technologies (ICCPCT), 2013 International Conference on*, 2013, pp. 68-73: IEEE.
- [103] H. Takahashi and T. Kumano, "Available transfer capability screening considering transient stability by support vector machine," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting-Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century, 2008 IEEE*, 2008, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [104] A. Wada and T. Kumano, "Fast estimation of transient stability constrained ATC by relevance vector machine," in *Power and Energy Conference, 2008. PECon 2008. IEEE 2nd International*, 2008, pp. 89-93: IEEE.
- [105] T. Jain, S. Singh, and S. Srivastava, "Dynamic available transfer capability computation using a hybrid approach," *IET generation, transmission & distribution*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 775-788, 2008.

- [106] A. L. Da Silva, J. Costa, L. Manso, and G. Anders, "Evaluation of transfer capabilities of transmission systems in competitive environments," *International journal of electrical power & energy systems*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 257-263, 2004.
- [107] X. Fang, F. Li, and N. Gao, "Probabilistic available transfer capability evaluation for power systems including high penetration of wind power," in *Probabilistic Methods Applied to Power Systems (PMAPS), 2014 International Conference on*, 2014, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [108] J. W. Stahlhut and G. T. Heydt, "Stochastic-algebraic calculation of available transfer capability," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 616-623, 2007.
- [109] P. Du, W. Li, X. Ke, N. Lu, O. A. Ciniglio, M. Colburn and P. M. Anderson, "Probabilistic-based available transfer capability assessment considering existing and future wind generation resources," *planning*, vol. 6, p. 7, 2015.
- [110] M. Hojabri, H. Hizam, N. Mariun, and S. M. Abdullah, "Comparative Analysis of ATC Probabilistic Methods," *Journal of American Science*, vol. 6, no. 9, 2010.
- [111] H. Chen, T. Jian, X. Li, G. Li, X. He, X. Kou, L. Bai, F. Li and X. Fang, "Available transfer capability calculations considering demand response," in *Power & Energy Society General Meeting, 2017 IEEE*, 2017, pp. 1-5: IEEE.
- [112] R.-F. Sun, Y.-H. Song, and Y.-Z. Sun, "Capacity benefit margin assessment based on multi-area generation reliability exponential analytic model," *IET generation, transmission & distribution*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 610-620, 2008.
- [113] M. M. Othman, N. Abd Rahman, I. Musirin, M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, and A. Rajabi-Ghahnavieh, "A Heuristic Ranking Approach on Capacity Benefit Margin Determination Using Pareto-Based Evolutionary Programming Technique," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2015, 2015.
- [114] O. Mohammed, A. Otuoze, S. Salisu, O. Ibrahim, and N. Rufa'i, "VIRTUAL SYNCHRONOUS GENERATOR: AN OVERVIEW," *Nigerian Journal of Technology (NIJOTECH)*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 153-164, 2019.
- [115] P. UNION, "Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament AND OF THE COUNCIL of 23 April 2009 on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources and amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC," ed: EC, 2009.
- [116] R. Karki, P. Hu, and R. Billinton, "A simplified wind power generation model for reliability evaluation," *IEEE Transactions on Energy conversion*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 533-540, 2006.

- [117] R. Billinton and W. Wangdee, "Reliability-based transmission reinforcement planning associated with large-scale wind farms," *IEEE transactions on power systems*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 34-41, 2007.
- [118] B. C. Ummels, M. Gibescu, E. Pelgrum, W. L. Kling, and A. J. Brand, "Impacts of wind power on thermal generation unit commitment and dispatch," *IEEE Transactions on energy conversion*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 44-51, 2007.
- [119] M. Ramezani, H. Falaghi, and C. Singh, "Capacity benefit margin evaluation in multi-area power systems including wind power generation using particle swarm optimization," in *Wind Power Systems*: Springer, 2010, pp. 105-123.
- [120] Y. Liu, J. Wang, L. Zhang, and D. Zou, "Research on Effect of Renewable Energy Power Generation on Available Transfer Capability," *JSW*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 802-808, 2013.
- [121] H. Farahmand, M. Rashidinejad, A. Mousavi, A. Gharaveisi, M. Irving, and G. Taylor, "Hybrid mutation particle swarm optimisation method for available transfer capability enhancement," *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 240-249, 2012.
- [122] T. Akbari, A. Rahimikian, and A. Kazemi, "A multi-stage stochastic transmission expansion planning method," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 2844-2853, 2011.
- [123] N. B. A. Rahman, M. M. Othman, I. Musirin, A. Mohamed, and A. Hussain, "Capacity Benefit Margin (CBM) assessment incorporating tie-line reliability," in *Power Engineering and Optimization Conference (PEOCO), 2010 4th International*, 2010, pp. 337-344: IEEE.
- [124] M. Ramezani, M.-R. Haghifam, C. Singh, H. Seifi, and M. P. Moghaddam, "Determination of capacity benefit margin in multiarea power systems using particle swarm optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 631-641, 2008.
- [125] R. Allan, *Reliability evaluation of power systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [126] R. N. Allan, *Reliability evaluation of power systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [127] N. Sayeekumar, S. Ahmed, S. P. Karthikeyan, S. K. Sahoo, and I. J. Raglend, "Graph theory and its applications in power systems-a review," in *2015 International Conference on Control, Instrumentation, Communication and Computational Technologies (ICCICCT)*, 2015, pp. 154-157: IEEE.
- [128] O. Shai, "Transforming engineering problems through graph representations," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 77-93, 2003.

- [129] A. Benjamin, G. Chartrand, and P. Zhang, *The fascinating world of graph theory*. Princeton University Press, New Jersey, USA, 2015.
- [130] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [131] Y. Boykov and V. Kolmogorov, "An experimental comparison of min-cut/max-flow algorithms for energy minimization in vision," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 26, no. 9, p. 12, 2004.
- [132] Y. Boykov and V. Kolmogorov, "An experimental comparison of min-cut/max-flow algorithms for energy minimization in vision," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence*, no. 9, pp. 1124-1137, 2004.
- [133] B. Bollobás, *Modern graph theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [134] J. F. Manwell, J. G. McGowan, and A. L. Rogers, *Wind energy explained: theory, design and application*. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
- [135] M. S. Adaramola, M. Agelin-Chaab, and S. S. Paul, "Assessment of wind power generation along the coast of Ghana," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 77, pp. 61-69, 2014.
- [136] T. Maatallah, S. El Alimi, A. W. Dahmouni, and S. B. Nasrallah, "Wind power assessment and evaluation of electricity generation in the Gulf of Tunis, Tunisia," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 6, pp. 1-10, 2013.
- [137] Government of Canada, "Past Weather and Climate Data," Available: http://climate.weather.gc.ca/historical_data/search_historic_data_e.html, ed. Canada: Government of Canada.
- [138] M. Zhou, Y. Gao, and G. Li, "Study on improvement of available transfer capability by demand side management," in *2008 Third International Conference on Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies*, 2008, pp. 545-550: IEEE.
- [139] M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad and R. Billinton, "Impact of load management on composite system reliability evaluation short-term operating benefits," *IEEE Transactions on power systems*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 858-864, 2000.
- [140] H. Daryabad, "Investigating the Effect of Demand Side Management on the Power System Reliability," *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 96-102, 2015.
- [141] M. Zhou, Y. Gao, and G. Li, "Study on improvement of available transfer capability by demand side management," in *Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies, 2008. DRPT 2008. Third International Conference on*, 2008, pp. 545-550: IEEE.

- [142] E. Union, "Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources and amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC," *Official Journal of the European Union*, vol. 5, p. 2009, 2009.
- [143] P. C. Beiter, T. Tian, J. Nunemaker, W. D. Musial, E. J. Lantz, V. Gevorgian and P. Spitsen, "2017 Offshore Wind Technologies Market Update," National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States) 2018.
- [144] R. Billinton and R. N. Allan, *Reliability assessment of large electric power systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [145] B. Fishbain, D. S. Hochbaum, and S. Muller, "Competitive Analysis of Minimum-Cut Maximum Flow Algorithms in Vision Problems," *CoRR*, 2010.
- [146] D. Sudholt, "Computational Complexity of Evolutionary Algorithms, Hybridizations, and Swarm Intelligence," Ph.D, Computer Science, TU Dortmund University, 2008.