

SUCCESS FACTORS FOR HOSPITAL INFORMATION SYSTEM
IMPLEMENTATION IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS

SHAHAB REZAIAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

SUCCESS FACTORS FOR HOSPITAL INFORMATION SYSTEM
IMPLEMENTATION IN PUBLIC UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS

SHAHAB REZAIAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2019

DEDICATION

To my loving Parents

Dr Shohreh Beheshti & Dr Gholam Reza Rezaian

And

My Darling Sisters

Dr Shema, Dr Shahed & Dr Sheeda

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I'm very much thankful to almighty Allah, whose blessings have always been enormous and who bestowed the skills, knowledge, patience and strength upon me to complete this thesis.

This thesis has been the most invigorating experience of my life and I can only acknowledge a few of many persons who were there along the way to help me in my growth.

I have been fortunate to have Associate Professor Harihodin Selamat as my main supervisor, for working hand in hand with me from the very beginning. He provided countless hours of encouragement and support in developing my research skills and expanding my methodological repertoire.

I'm highly grateful to Professor Reza Malekzadeh, the Deputy of Research and Technology of Ministry of Health and Medical Education of Iran, without his kind permission, guidelines, support, encouragement and supervision this research could have not been conducted and completed in Iran.

I wish to take this opportunity to express my heartiest thanks to Dr Rasimah Che Mohd Yusoff, who inspired me with her humor and clarity to develop the expertise necessary to explain and predict the complexities in this thesis. She enormously provided me an ongoing encouragement and valuable insight into workforce issues.

Professor Najaf Zare, from the Department of Medical Bio- Statistics of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, deserves my most sincere appreciations and gratitude for his invaluable assistance, support and availability, without which the statistical analysis of my data would have not been completed. I do offer him my heartiest thanks for all his supports.

I am also very much thankful to the HIS experts who helped me develop my initial research questionnaire, the Deputy of Research of Shiraz and Tehran Universities of Medical Sciences, all the hospital directors and all kind nurses who devoted some of their precious time to participate in my study.

I would like the most sincere gratitude to Dr Abolfazl Gholami Mehrabadi, Dr Navid Hashemitaba, Dr Amin Amid, Dr Arash Habibi Lashkari, for their kind invaluable support throughout my study.

The last but not the least I would like to express my most sincere gratitude to my dear cousins Miss Fahimeh Rezaeian and Dr Shahriar Heidary for their support throughout my study.

ABSTRACT

Hospital Information System (HIS) could help the delivery of high quality health services and improve patient care and patient safety. The development and the adoption of the system need to commence if high quality patient care is to be provided. However, successful HIS implementation is not an easy task and it depends on multiple factors such as adequate computer skills, lack of training and education. Despite the presence of a literature on nurse's satisfaction on HIS, there is still a controversy over the success of HIS implementation. The input from the Information and Organisation culture, Trust and the nurses themselves, have not been considered. The aim of this study therefore, is to identify the success factors that influence a successful HIS implementation and to propose a research model which could fulfil the objective. Based on DeLone and Mc Lean's information, a success model system with an addition of four additional factors namely the Information culture, Organisational cultures, Trust and User quality was developed in this study. Employing a quantitative research methodology, this study began by conducting a pilot study involving 160 nurses from a university hospital to validate the reliability of the questionnaire to be used. Then, 1200 questionnaires were distributed to seven public university hospitals in Iran and 1028 (86%) usable responses were used for analysis. The covariance-based on structural equation modelling using STATA was employed to evaluate the model. Findings revealed that fourteen out of sixteen proposed hypotheses are significant on successful HIS implementation. The Information quality, Service quality, Organisation culture and the Information culture were among the most influential constructs in the final model. As the study was empirically tested in the Iranian setting, it contributes to theoretical and practical aspect of research especially in the Iranian public university hospital context.

ABSTRAK

Sistem Maklumat Hospital (HIS) boleh membantu penyampaian perkhidmatan kesihatan yang berkualiti tinggi dan meningkatkan penjagaan pesakit dan keselamatan pesakit. Pembangunan dan penggunaan HIS perlu dibangunkan jika penjagaan pesakit yang berkualiti tinggi perlu disediakan. Walaubagaimanapun pelaksanaan HIS yang berjaya bukanlah tugas yang mudah dan bergantung kepada beberapa faktor seperti kemahiran komputer mencukupi, latihan yang banyak dan pendidikan. Walaupun terdapat kesusasteraan mengenai kepuasan penggunaan sistem oleh jururawat, terdapat banyak kontroversi mengenai kejayaan pelaksanaan HIS. Dapatan dari maklumat dan budaya organisasi, kepercayaan dan jururawat-jururawat sendiri, juga tidak diambil kira. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti faktor- faktor kejayaan yang mempengaruhi pelaksanaan HIS yang berjaya dan mencadangkan model penyelidikan yang dapat memenuhi objektif. Berdasarkan model DeLone dan Mc Lean, sebuah model kejayaan dengan empat faktor tambahan iaitu budaya maklumat, budaya organisasi, kepercayaan dan kualiti pengguna telah dibangunkan dalam kajian ini. Dengan menggunakan metodologi penyelidikan kuantitatif, kajian perintis melibatkan 160 jururawat telah dilakukan untuk mengesahkan kebolehpercayaan instrumen soal selidik yang bakal digunakan. Model pemodelan persamaan struktur kovarians menggunakan STATA telah digunakan untuk menilai model tersebut. Penemuan menunjukkan empat belas daripada enam belas hipotesis yang dicadangkan adalah penting untuk pelaksanaan HIS yang berjaya. Kualiti maklumat, kualiti perkhidmatan, budaya organisasi dan budaya maklumat adalah antara konstruk yang paling berpengaruh dalam model akhir. Disebabkan kajian ini telah diuji secara empirikal dalam persekitaran Iran, ia menyumbang secara signifikan kepada aspek teori dan praktikal untuk penyelidikan terutamanya dalam konteks hospital awam di Iran.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Background Problems	2
1.3	Problem Statement	7
1.4	Research Questions	8
1.5	Research Objectives	8
1.6	Scope of the Research	9
1.7	Significance of the research	9
1.8	Definitions	10
1.9	Organization of the Thesis	10
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Information System	15
2.2.1	Implementation of Information System	16
2.3	The IS success models	18
2.3.1	DeLone and McLean IS Success Model	18

2.3.2	Gable et al (IS impact) Model	26
2.4	Technology Acceptance Theories and Models	28
2.4.1	The Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA)	29
2.4.2	Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)	30
2.4.3	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	32
2.4.4	Technology Acceptance Model 2	34
2.4.5	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)	35
2.4.6	Summary of Theories under consideration	37
2.5	Comparison of IS success models and their relevance to the research	39
2.6	Hospital Information System (HIS)	40
2.6.1	Definition of HIS	42
2.6.2	Importance of HIS	42
2.6.3	Theoretical background	44
2.6.4	Essential components of hospital information systems	45
2.6.4.1	Technology	47
2.6.4.2	Human	48
2.6.4.3	Organization	49
2.6.4.4	Net benefits	49
2.6.5	A framework for evaluation of health information systems (HIS): human, organization and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit factors)	50
2.6.6	Essential points to develop an evaluation framework for HIS, incorporating the HOT-fit concept	50
2.7	HIS in Developed and Developing Countries	52
2.8	HIS and the nursing staff	57
2.9	Success definition and requirements for successful HIS implementation: The nursing staff perspectives	60
2.9.1	Deriving the independent variables	62
2.9.2	The impact of information culture on adoption of HIS	63

2.9.3	The impact of organization culture on adoption of HIS	65
2.9.4	The impact of trust on adoption of HIS	67
2.9.5	Impact of user quality on adoption of HIS	67
2.10	Failure factors for HIS implementation	69
2.11	Moving toward a model of HIS success	70
2.12	Summary	75
CHAPTER 3	MODEL FORMULATION	77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Research model development	77
3.3	Related model and theories	79
3.4	Research Model	80
3.5	Variable and Hypothesis Development	90
3.5.1	Information Culture	91
3.5.2	Organization Culture	92
3.5.3	Trust	92
3.5.4	User Quality	92
3.5.5	Information Quality	93
3.5.6	System Quality	93
3.5.7	Service Quality	94
3.5.8	Use/ intention to use, User satisfaction and Net benefit	95
3.6	Summary	96
CHAPTER 4	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	99
4.1	Introduction	99
4.2	Research Paradigm Positioning	99
4.2.1	Research Paradigm Classifications	99
4.2.2	Thesis Research Paradigm	100
4.3	Information Systems Research Methods	103
4.4	Operational Framework	103
4.4.1	Investigation Phase	105
4.4.1.1	Literature Review	105

4.4.1.2	Identification Phase	105
4.4.1.3	Screening Phase	106
4.4.1.4	Eligibility Phase	106
4.4.1.5	Inclusion Phase	106
4.4.1.6	Design phase	107
4.4.1.7	Instrument development	107
4.4.1.8	Data Collection Phase	107
4.4.1.9	Data Analysis method: the content validity index	109
4.4.2	Quantitative studies	109
4.4.2.1	Design phase	109
4.4.2.2	Instrument development	109
4.4.2.3	Data Collection Phase	110
4.4.2.4	Data Analysis Phase	119
4.5	Writing Phase	125
4.6	Summary	125
CHAPTER 5	RESULT AND ANALYSIS	127
5.1	Introduction	127
5.2	Content Validity	127
5.2.1	The respondents profile for the Content Validity study	128
5.2.2	Findings	129
5.3	Quantitative Study	134
5.3.1	Pilot study	134
5.3.1.1	The research instrument	134
5.3.1.2	The target population	135
5.3.1.3	Sample demographics	135
5.4	Results of the main study	137
5.4.1	Response rate	137
5.4.2	Sample statistics	139
5.4.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis	139

5.4.3.1	EFA on Information Culture	140
5.4.3.2	EFA on Organization Culture	141
5.4.3.3	EFA on Trust	142
5.4.3.4	EFA on User Quality	143
5.4.3.5	EFA on Information Quality	144
5.4.3.6	EFA on Service Quality	146
5.4.3.7	EFA on System Quality	147
5.4.3.8	EFA on Use/Intention to Use	149
5.4.3.9	EFA on User satisfaction	150
5.4.3.10	EFA on Net Benefit	151
5.4.4	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	152
5.5	Structural Equation Modeling	158
5.5.1	Convergent validity	159
5.5.2	The reliability	162
5.5.3	The discriminant validity	163
5.6	Hypotheses testing	172
5.7	The moderating variables of HIS Implementation Success	178
5.8	Discussion on Research Findings	187
5.8.1	Relationship between information culture and user satisfaction and use/intention to use	187
5.8.2	Relationship between organization culture and user satisfaction and use/intention to use	187
5.8.3	Relationship between trust (security and privacy) and user satisfaction and use/intention to use	188
5.8.4	Relationship between user quality and user satisfaction and use/intention to use	189
5.8.5	Relationship between information quality and user satisfaction and use/ intention to use	190
5.8.6	Relationship between service quality and user satisfaction and use/ intention to use	190
5.8.7	Relationship between system quality and user satisfaction and use/ intention to use	191

	5.8.8 Relationship between the use/intention to use and the net benefit: HIS implementation success	191
5.9	Summary	192
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	195
6.1	Introduction	195
6.2	Research Objective Achievements	195
	6.2.1 Factors needed for successful HIS implementation	196
	6.2.2 The effect of the antecedents of success factors on the proposed success model	197
	6.2.3 The evaluation of the proposed model in Iranian public university hospitals	198
6.3	Study contribution	199
	6.3.1 Theoretical contribution	199
	6.3.2 Practical contribution	200
6.4	Research limitations	200
6.5	Suggestions for future studies	201
6.6	Concluding remarks	201
REFERENCES		203

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Success Measures- Systems Quality and Information Quality	21
Table 2.2	Success Measures-Use and User Satisfaction.	24
Table 2.3	Success Measures, Individual Impact and Organizational Impact	25
Table 2.4	Dimensions of IS success models	27
Table 2.5	Related literatures to the HIS implementation success factors	53
Table 2.6	Success Measures- Use and User Satisfaction.	69
Table 2.7	Information Systems and Hospital Information Systems success model factors	72
Table 3.1	Relevant studies on IS success dimensions	82
Table 3.2	Major constructs of IS theories	83
Table 3.3	Definition of variable and measures	86
Table 4.1	Characteristics of the Two Main Research Paradigms Source: Adapted from Collis and Hussey (2009)	100
Table 4.2	The thesis positivist paradigm	101
Table 4.3	Data Collection Techniques Capabilities	108
Table 4.4	Number of beds and the main specialties of each hospital	113
Table 4.5	The newly added constructs, related measures and their reference	114
Table 4.6	Reliability of Each Construct in the Questionnaire	117
Table 4.7	Questionnaire Structure	117
Table 4.8	Activities and Method to Perform EFA	121
Table 4.9	Reliability and Validity Criteria	122
Table 5.1	Respondents profile	128
Table 5.2	The scale content validity index (S-CVI) of the ten constructs used for the proposed HIS success model	130
Table 5.3	The demographic characteristics of participants.(n=160)	135
Table 5.4	Cronbach alpha of constructs	136

Table 5.5	Distribution of factor loading values of the 51 items used in the proposed HIS success model	137
Table 5.6	Summary of Responses	138
Table 5.7	Analysis of Unusable Questionnaires	138
Table 5.8	Demographic Details of the Respondents	139
Table 5.9	Parallel Analysis Results of Information Culture	140
Table 5.10	Items Loading on Information Culture	141
Table 5.11	Parallel Analysis Results of organization Culture	141
Table 5.12	Items Loading on Organization Culture	142
Table 5.13	Parallel Analysis Results of Trust	143
Table 5.14	Items Loading on Trust	143
Table 5.15	Parallel Analysis Results of User Quality	144
Table 5.16	Items Loading on User Quality	144
Table 5.17	Parallel Analysis Results of Information Quality	145
Table 5.18	Items Loading on Information Quality	145
Table 5.19	Parallel Analysis Results of Service Quality	146
Table 5.20	Items Loading on Service Quality	147
Table 5.21	Parallel Analysis Results of System Quality	147
Table 5.22	Items Loading on System Quality	148
Table 5.23	Parallel Analysis Results of Use/ Intention to Use	149
Table 5.24	Items Loading on Use/ Intention to Use	149
Table 5.25	Parallel Analysis Results of User Satisfaction	150
Table 5.26	Items Loading on User satisfaction	150
Table 5.27	Parallel Analysis Results of Net Benefit	151
Table 5.28	Items Loading on Net Benefit	151
Table 5.29	Showing the constructs and their obtained KMO values	152
Table 5.30	CFA Results of Convergent Validity and Composite Reliability	153
Table 5.31	CFA Results of Discriminant Validity	157
Table 5.32	Results of Convergent Validity, loading, and composite reliability and average variance of constructs	160

Table 5.33	Results of Convergent Validity and composite reliability for selected constructs after removing problematic indicators	162
Table 5.34	The Fornell- Larcker Criterion	164
Table 5.35	Path Coefficients of Structural Model	166
Table 5.36	Goodness of fit statistics	167
Table 5.37	Path Coefficients of Final Structural Model	168
Table 5.38	Goodness f fit statistics	169
Table 5.39	Equation-level goodness of fit	172
Table 5.40	Summarize the results of hypothesis testing.	175
Table 5.41	The moderating effect of gender	179
Table 5.42	The moderating effect of age	182
Table 5.43	The moderating effect of Marital status	185

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Thesis organization	12
Figure 2.1	Summary of subjects in literature review	14
Figure 2.2	The socio-technical system (adopted from Watson, 2008)	15
Figure 2.3	System Implementation (Davies, (2002))	17
Figure 2.4	DeLone and McLean IS success model (1992)	19
Figure 2.5	Updated DeLone and McLean IS success model (2003)	20
Figure 2.6	The IS-impact measurement. (Gable <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	27
Figure 2.7	Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Fishbein and Ajzen, 1977)	30
Figure 2.8	Theory of Planned Behavior (adopted from (Ajzen, 1991))	30
Figure 2.9	Technology Acceptance Model (Davis <i>et al.</i> , 1989)	32
Figure 2.10	Technology Acceptance Model 2 (TAM2)(Venkatesh and Davis, 2000)	34
Figure 2.11	The Combined TAM and TPB (C-TAM-TPB) adopted from (Taylor and Todd, 1995b)	35
Figure 2.12	The UTAUT model (Venkatesh <i>et al.</i> , 2003).	36
Figure 2.13	The frame work for human-organization-technology fit (Yusof <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	45
Figure 2.14	Conceptual framework on the suggested relationships between cultural dimensions at the organizational level, organizational practices, and use of routine health information in decision making (Fischer <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	65
Figure 3.1	Research Model Development	78
Figure 3.2	D&M IS Success Model (Delone and McLean, 2003)	79
Figure 3.3	Variables Adapted from D&M IS Success Model	81
Figure 3.4	Research Model	90
Figure 3.5	Research Model with hypothesis	96
Figure 4.1	The operational framework	104

Figure 4.2	The 5-step Exploratory Factor Analysis Protocol (Adapted from Williams <i>et al.</i> 2010)	120
Figure 5.1	Structure Path model	159
Figure 5.2	The proposed structural model	170
Figure 5.3	Final proposed structural model	171
Figure 5.4	Shows the final research model.	177
Figure 6.1	Factors affecting successful HIS implementation	197

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CFA	-	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
SFs	-	Success Factors
D&M	-	DeLone and McLean
EFA	-	Exploratory Factor Analysis EHR
EHR	-	Electronic Health Record
EMR	-	Electronic Medical Record
HIS	-	Hospital Information Systems
HIT	-	Health Information Technology
ICT	-	Information and Communication Technology
IQ	-	Information Quality
IS	-	Information Systems
ISQ	-	System Quality
IT	-	Information Technology
LR	-	Literature Review
MOH	-	Ministry of Health
PLS	-	Partial Least Squares
SEM	-	Structured Equational Modelling
SQ	-	Service Quality
UTTU	-	Use/Intention to Use
US	-	User Satisfaction

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Permission letter Deputy of Research and Technology of Ministry of Health and Medical Education	233
Appendix B	Permission letter of Deputy of Research and Technology Shiraz and Tehran Universities of Medical Sciences	234
Appendix C	Approval letter of data collection and results from Deputy of Research and Technology Ministry of Health and Medical Education	235
Appendix D	Interview questionnaire to Experts Pane	236
Appendix E	Pilot Study Questionnaire (English)	251
Appendix F	Pilot Study Questionnaire (in Persian)	257
Appendix G	Main Study Questionnaire (English)	265
Appendix H	Main Study Questionnaire (in Persian)	271
Appendix I	List of Publications	278

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Healthcare is the maintenance or improvement of health via the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of disease, illness, injury, and other physical and mental impairments in human beings (Taib *et al.*, 2011). Healthcare is delivered by health professionals. Healthcare information systems refers to such systems that are used to process data, information and knowledge in healthcare environments (Ahmadi *et al.*, 2015; Dünnebeil *et al.*, 2012). The information system for hospitals is generally termed as Hospital Information System (HIS). It helps to manage the information which is required for health professionals in order to perform their jobs effectively and efficiently (Shortliffe and Cimino, 2013). The main advantages of an HIS include improvements at management, clinical, and production levels in an organization. At the management level, the HIS assists managers in conducting strategic planning, and technology assessment. At the production level, the HIS can lead to better utilization of resources through computerization. Furthermore, the HIS can contribute better communication, thereby reducing the waiting time for orders, information, and results, and eliminating unnecessary services.

In general, HIS has introduced several important changes in the health care system some of which include; 1) speeding up communications, 2) eliminating or reducing redundant data or procedures, 3) minimizing error rates, 4) organizing data to aid decision making for diagnosis and therapy and 5) playing a role in auditing clinical activity (Kruse *et al.*, 2016).

There exists a potential for HIS to significantly change and increase the overall quality of health (Blumenthal and Tavenner, 2010). This is evidenced by the investments that are currently being pumped into the HIT development and adoption

(Blumenthal and Tavenner, 2010; Kramer *et al.*, 2010; Wang and Biedermann, 2012). HIS is expected to increase legibility, reduce medical errors, shrink costs and boost the quality of healthcare (Blumenthal and Tavenner, 2010; Jha, 2010).

One of the primary motivators for adopting health IT applications is the belief that they improve the quality of patient care and patient satisfaction. Quality health care relies on physicians, nurses, patients and their families, and others having the right information at the right time and using it to make the right decisions. Recent studies have shown that adoption of HIS in hospitals can improve communication and coordination among physicians (Roham *et al.*, 2012; Tabibi *et al.*, 2011), increase service productivity and patient satisfaction (Jarvis *et al.*, 2013).

The implementation of HIS into a clinical environment will inevitably affect both nursing practice and the related processes of patient care (Gephart *et al.*, 2015; Huston, 2013). There is scanty nursing specific research literature exploring the impacts of HIS and its implementation within the nursing context (Gephart *et al.*, 2015; Oroviogiochea *et al.*, 2008; Pringle and Nagle, 2009). Nurses represent the largest cohort of healthcare providers in the hospital (Canadian Institute for Health Information, 2013). Due to nurses' central role in coordinating patient care, they are considered "key collectors, generators, and users of patient information" (Urquhart *et al.*, 2009). As such, implementing HIS into environments where nurses' work has the potential to alter nursing practice, through changes to work processes and flow; interdisciplinary communications; and the redefinition of various point-of-care activities. Since hospitals are a primary area of employment for many nurses, understanding the role of nurses and their interaction with health technology (including HIS) can have significant impacts on the role of the nurse and patient care (Remus and Kennedy, 2012).

1.2 Background Problems

A large number of hospitals, all over the world, including those in Asia have implemented or are in the process of implementing HIS to be competitive with other

healthcare centers and maximize hospitals efficiency (Escobar-Rodríguez and Bartual-Sopena, 2015).

Since the process of IS acceptance and adoption is a complex one and depends on multiple factors such as inadequate computer skills, lack of training and education, these studies have been conducted to explore the factors that control the process of accepting and adopting the technology among individuals or groups (Ismail *et al.*, 2015; Khalifa, 2014; Özogul *et al.*, 2009). However, these studies are often incomplete in their description or characterization of the problems, as well as in proposing a methodology to follow in order to achieve a successful implementation. Such studies are incomplete as there are multiple contributing factors that determine the success or failure of IS implementation especially in the developing countries (Travis *et al.*, 2004; Zhang *et al.*, 2005).

Therefore, the success factors and dimensions for IS implementation vary from one institution to another, country to country, and it is difficult to formulate a unified implementation plan for all organizations. Some of the most important healthcare information technology and HIS issues especially relating to Iranian nurses include User, Human, the organization and technology (Ajami and Bagheri-Tadi, 2013).

The need for user involvement and the allied need for user education and training are, if anything, more acute. User involvement should be much more extensive and literal (Monem *et al.*, 2013; Zakaria and Yusof, 2016). The project group needs to make sure that users get familiar with system specifications, discuss implementation plans, and fit them into meetings once every so often. Over all when nurses feel that the hospital managers expect them to use computer systems they will be encouraged to use HIS much more and better (Sharifian *et al.*, 2014). Human issues is represented by resistance to change (Ayatollahi *et al.*, 2014; Jung *et al.*, 2013). Lack of incentive to use HIS and lack of HIS experience and skill are also among such issues especially in developing countries like Iran (Ahmadian *et al.*, 2017). The main problem related to the organization resources is the lack of appropriate facilitating equipment, such as well-functioning computers which can delay data entry.(Garcia-Smith and Effken, 2013). Lack of in-service training is also a common issue in Iranian hospitals

(Ahmadian *et al.*, 2017). Technological issues, hardware and software complexity and display of unnecessary information in the system. The challenges involved in building information infrastructures are accompanied by issues of privacy, security, and confidentiality (Ahmadi *et al.*, 2014; Ismail *et al.*, 2010; Masrom and Rahimly, 2015).

A great deal of studies have been based on the interaction between User, Human, the organizational and technological. Information Systems implementation by itself does not improve the institutional efficiency and depends on other influential factors such as people who will use it, the organizational tasks and structure.

The literature includes IS implementation case studies (Lu *et al.*, 2012), as well as discussions of problems, or success and failure factor. (Lorenzi *et al.*, 2009). The process of implementing IT is complex and consumes a substantial amount of time, effort, and money. Moreover, IS project failures are very common; only 29% of projects fully succeed, about 52% partially fail and 19% of projects have total failures and are abandoned (Hastie and Wojewoda, 2015). As stated by (Krigsman, 2011) *“Common knowledge and experience tell that huge, open-ended enterprise software implementations are expensive and risky. Packaged solutions are a direct response to customers demanding faster, lower cost, and higher value software implementations”*.

HIS are currently considered a major part of the healthcare system, on which the processes of care delivery depend (Ismail *et al.*, 2010). The importance of these systems emerges from the importance of their role in managing all data and information including key personal data about the patient and other comprehensive medical data; documenting all medical services that have been provided to the patient (Shortliffe and Cimino, 2013).

Despite all the advantages and potential benefits, the implementation of HIS in most of developing countries is still facing many challenges and barriers that are completely different than challenges faced in developed countries. Some of these challenges may include inadequate budget, education, trained personnel, appropriate software, hardware or other equipment necessary to maintain the system. In addition, insufficient computer skills of the physicians, nurses, technicians and the

administrative personnel are other important barriers for HIS implementation in the developing countries (Ajami and Mohammadi-Bertiani, 2012; Altuwaijri, 2012; Khalifa, 2013).

Lack of stable electricity, internet access and other basic infrastructures are still additional contributing barriers for HIS implementation in some of these countries (Benson and Dha, 2011). Although that HIS can improve quality, safety and reduce costs; implementation of HIS in developing countries is still not as successful as planned (Khalifa, 2014). The level and extent of usage of HIS in developing countries is still poor and far less than expected (Altuwaijri, 2012). Problems with HIS usability – especially when users have inadequate computer skills– caused users to spend extra work time to learn effective ways to use the (Asangansi *et al.*, 2008; Khalifa, 2013). These substantial problems decrease their use of HIS and increase their resistance, which lowers the potential for achieving quality improvement. The great resistance of physicians and other healthcare professionals to accept and use health information systems and electronic medical records is probably one of the major barriers that delayed the adoption and successful implementation of HIS. This is why the process of HIS and EMRs implementation should be treated as a change project, and led by change managers, in medical practices.

The analysis of the human barriers of adopting and successfully implementing HIS and electronic medical records could reveal a lot of factors related directly to the beliefs, attitudes and behaviours of healthcare professionals and believing in HIS and EMR systems, motivation and personal initiative to explore and use the systems and user-developed strategies and workarounds to solve minor difficulties (Holden, 2011; Hoover, 2016).

Nurses, as the largest group of HIS users and providers, are invariably affected by the introduction of such technologies (Mahalli, 2015; Waneka and Spetz, 2010). As HIS, understanding the role of nurses and their interaction with these technologies can have an impact on nursing processes and overall quality of care (Dünnebeil *et al.*, 2012). Although nurses are the most numerous of healthcare providers (Canadian Institute for Health Information, 2013) and often the largest group of HIS users

(Waneka and Spetz, 2010), nurses are often underrepresented in the implementation process. For example, decisions regarding system selection, design modifications, and strategies to integrate a new HIS are commonly made by hospital management. Thus, any exploration of HIS used by nurses is highly informed by the decisions and actions conveyed by the organization's leadership team (Remus and Kennedy, 2012). Nurses' attitudes have been defined as a key factor for HIS acceptance and use (Kimiafar *et al.*, 2014; Nagle *et al.*, 2014). Several studies also suggested that nurses' attitudes regarding HIS use are favourable, as nurses believe HIS benefit patients (Ahmadi *et al.*, 2015; Kimiafar *et al.*, 2014), enhance patient care and satisfy nursing information needs (Hyun *et al.*, 2009).

There are several important facts which justify HIS implementation in any health care institution. Quality data are considered to be a key component in decision making at all levels of health care. Adopting systems to provide the data and information that are necessary to the decision making process is becoming essential in today's competitive health care environment (Hoover, 2016; Lorenzi *et al.*, 2009).

IT may provide a tool to store, integrate, and update this information base. However, the high costs associated with such advanced information systems, lack of technical and computer skills of the physicians, nursing staff and technicians are only a few main barriers to be addressed (Borycki *et al.*, 2011; Haughom *et al.*, 2011).

Despite all these benefits, health care delivery system is facing different obstacles during HIS implementation. The success of such systems is dependent upon many factors. Such factors must be identified and considered to ensure successful system implementation.

There is still inadequate acceptance and usage of HIS in the nursing process especially in the developing countries including Iran. In other words, there is a gap in the implementation of HIS especially the willingness among nurses to use it in practice. In addition, the information culture (Choo, 2013; Heath and Appan, 2014; Mukred *et al.*, 2013, 2017), the organization culture (Abubakre *et al.*, 2014; Chipunza and Malo, 2017; Xie *et al.*, 2013; Yan, 2018), trust (Costa *et al.*, 2018; Dissanayake,

2013; Legido-Quigley *et al.*, 2014; Platt and Kardia, 2015), User quality (Aggelidis and Chatzoglou, 2012; Ahlan and Ahmad, 2014; Dastgir and Mortezaie, 2012; Monem *et al.*, 2013).

1.3 Problem Statement

Despite the rapid growth of technology, successful implementation of HIS is still low. This explains why HIS has become “one of the brightest, most challenging and most promising fields of research, education and practice for medical informatics. The substantial disappointment following unsuccessful implementation is partly due to the complexity of the Health Information System itself. Moreover, a majority of implementation projects take a long time to complete; thereby straining implementation budgets. In Iranian hospitals, the level of integration of IT into the healthcare delivery system has been unsatisfactory. In particular, the acceptance and tendency for HIS implementation are low. In the field of nursing, as the amount and nature of the necessary information has become more complex, HISs have been introduced to support nurses’ daily activities. The effect has been to improve clinical documentation and to reduce the duplication of care services, thereby improving the quality of patient care and enhancing decision making. In addition, the use of HIS enables nurses to record data quickly, and to represent nursing interventions with little effort and high quality. This, of course, requires the encouragement of nurses to develop their documentation and informatics skills. Therefore, understanding the factors that promote effective utilization of the HIS by healthcare providers, especially nurses, is a vital issue for healthcare industry of Iran and other developing countries. In addition, nurses’ satisfaction of HIS will help achieving a desirable system implementation. Assessment of nurses’ satisfaction as one of the main HIS users, therefore, should be included in this group.

In addition, despite the presence of an extensive literature on HIS user satisfaction, there exists much controversy over the adequacy of user satisfaction measures to ensure the success in HIS implementation. However, the nurse’s role in HIS implementation has been constantly neglected. Furthermore, the information

culture, organizational culture, trust and facilitating conditions have been insufficiently dealt with, too. Therefore, this study intends to identify success factors for HIS implementation in Iranian public university hospitals based on the perspectives of nurses, which can help the hospital management to align the success factors with the implementation of HIS. It has to be mentioned that the aforementioned hospitals include public university teaching hospitals which use HIS actively by the staff nurses and physicians. Other public hospitals on the other hand, are covered by non-university physicians and nurses.

1.4 Research Questions

Based on the aims of our investigation and the need to understand the success factors that could influence successful HIS implementation in Iranian public university hospitals, the major research questions in the study are formulated as follows:

- 1- What are success factors for HIS implementation in Iranian public university hospitals?
- 2- How to ensure the success of the implementation of success model in Iranian public university hospitals?
- 3- How to evaluate the proposed model for HIS implementation in Iranian public university hospitals?

1.5 Research Objectives

Objective 1: To examine and identify the success factors needed for HIS implementation.

Objective 2: To propose a success model for HIS implementation in Iranian public university hospitals.

Objective 3: To evaluate the proposed success model in Iranian public university hospitals.

1.6 Scope of the Research

This study focuses on the viewpoints of Iranian public university hospital nurses in regards to the factors which positively or negatively affect the HIS implementation in their hospitals. The hospitals were selected among different public university hospitals of two major cities (Tehran and Shiraz) in Iran. Tehran University is the oldest one and is considered to be the most high-ranking educational organization in Iran. Shiraz University has the same reputation and position in the southern part of the Iran. Therefore, this study intends to identify success factors for HIS implementation in Iranian public university hospitals based on the perspectives of nurses, which can help the hospital management to align the success factors with the implementation of HIS.

1.7 Significance of the research

There are some significant contributions which this research will be able to address. This research is intended to not only evaluate a theoretically-based model of HIS implementation success, but also to contribute to our understanding the factors such as organization culture, information culture, trust and user quality that can affect the successful outcome of HIS implementation. It will also provide information that identifies areas that need improvement. In addition, this research may help to build a better health care system that is safe, effective and efficient and give hospital managers a blue print to supervise the HIS and utilize it through the mission and goals of the organization. It is undeniable that nurses are the largest group of healthcare providers in any hospital and are amongst the principal users of HIS. This work, therefore, could have crucial implications for nursing staff and help them to better understand and identify the factors that can facilitate HIS usage.

1.8 Definitions

The following technologies and terms are often included in discussions of information technology in health care:

Electronic health record (EHR): EHRs were originally envisioned as an electronic file cabinet for patient data. Now, they are generally viewed as part of an automated order-entry and patient-tracking system providing real-time access to patient data, as well as a continuous longitudinal record of their care.

Hospital Information System (HIS): A HIS is a comprehensive, integrated information system designed to manage all the aspects of a hospital's operation, such as medical, administrative, financial, and legal issues and the corresponding processing of services.

Electronic Medical Record (EMR): EMR is the systematized collection of patient and population electronically-stored health information in a digital format.

1.9 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis comprises six chapters. Chapter 1 provides an overview and background of the research. It also designates the significant issues motivating this research including the problem statement, research questions, research objectives, research scope, and significant contributions of the research.

Chapter 2 presents a comprehensive review of the relevant literatures. The chapter begins with the definition of HIS and subsequently the components of HIS and its implementation are defined. The gaps in the literature, the antecedents influencing the successful implementation of HIS as well as its use and outcomes are reviewed.

Chapter 3 represents the model formulation of this research. The chapter begins with the justification of models selected for this research. The discussion on the selected model, namely DeLone and Mclean (2002) IS success model is described. Moreover, it also discusses the need for addition of other constructs to the D&M success model to develop the research model. In addition, the research variables, and hypotheses formulation are described.

Chapter 4 illustrates the research methodology used in this research. The description of research methods in the field of information system are defined. It is followed by the rationale of selecting the quantitative research approach. Next, the explanation of each phase in the research process consists of the investigation, data collection and data analysis, and report writing are described.

Chapter 5 presents the qualitative analysis, the quantitative analysis and findings. It starts with the respondent's profile for preliminary investigation, and then followed by its findings. Data collection and data examination comprises the response rates, non-response bias, common method bias, missing value, and normality assessment are presented. Then, the descriptive statistics for demographic are described. Next section explains the exploratory factor analysis followed by the confirmatory factor analysis. Additionally, the structure equation modelling which includes the evaluation of the measurement model and structural model, hypotheses testing, and analysis of mediation effects are described. The final research model is presented at the end of this chapter.

Chapter 6 concludes the research with the research objective achievements and discussion on the research findings. The research contributions from theoretically, methodologically, and practically aspects are described. It also discusses the limitations of this research and recommendations for future research. It ends with the concluding remarks. Figure 1.1 Show Summarizes the chapters involved in this thesis.

Chapter 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research background • Problem, research question, objectives, and scope • Research significance
Chapter 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HIS definition, importance • Delone and Mclean IS success model • The Hot-Fit concept • Theories on HIS usage and evaluation • Research gap • Antecedents of HIS implementation success, HIS use and outcome
Chapter 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Model selection and justification • Research model • Variable and hypothesis formulation
Chapter 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information System research method • Rationale for selecting quantitative • Operational framework
Chapter 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preliminary investigation findings • Research model modification • Data collection and examination • Descriptive statistics • Final research model
Chapter 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research objective achievements • Discussion on research findings • Research contribution • Limitation and suggestions for future research

Figure 1.1 Thesis organization

REFERENCES

- Ab Latiff, D. S., Mulud, Z. A., and Ma'on, S. N. (2013). Acceptance of Total Hospital Information System (THIS). *International Journal of Future Computer and Communication*, 2(3), 160.
- Abdallah, N. A., Ahlan, A. R., and Abdullah, O. A. (2016). Factors affecting instructors' adoption of learning management systems: A theoretical framework. Paper presented at the Information and Communication Technology for The Muslim World (ICT4M), 2016 6th International Conference on, 13-18.
- Abdrbo, A. A., Zauszniewski, J. A., Hudak, C. A., and Anthony, M. K. (2011). Development and testing of a survey instrument to measure benefits of a nursing information system. *Perspectives in Health Information Management/AHIMA, American Health Information Management Association*, 8(Summer).
- Abedini, S., Takhti, H. K., and Abedini, S. (2011). Assessing nursing curriculum: Graduate nurse viewpoints. *Physiology*, 13(20.26).
- Abramson, E. L., Patel, V., Malhotra, S., Pfoh, E. R., Osorio, S. N., Cheriff, A., *et al.* (2012). Physician experiences transitioning between an older versus newer electronic health record for electronic prescribing. *International journal of medical informatics*, 81(8), 539-548.
- Abroud, A., Choong, Y. V., and Muthaiyah, S. (2010). Preparation of measurement tools of the effective factors for the acceptance of online stock trading. *Eur. J. Econ. Finance Adm. Sci*, 34-51.
- Abubakre, M., Coombs, C., and Ravishankar, M. (2014). The influence of organizational culture on the outcome of an IS implementation.
- Abubakre, M., Coombs, C. R., and Ravishankar, M. (2017). The impact of salient cultural practices on the outcome of IS implementation. *Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM)*, 25(1), 1-20.
- Acton, T., Halonen, R., Conboy, K., and Golden, W. (2009). DeLone & McLean success model as a descriptive tool in evaluating the use of a virtual learning environment.

- Aduo-Adjei, K., Emmanuel, O., and Forster, O. M. (2016). The Impact of Motivation on the Work Performance of Health Workers (Korle Bu Teaching Hospital): Evidence from Ghana. *Hospital Practices and Research*, 1(2), 47-52.
- Aggelidis, V. P., and Chatzoglou, P. D. (2012). Hospital information systems: Measuring end user computing satisfaction (EUCS). *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 45(3), 566-579.
- Aghazadeh, S., Aliyev, A., and Ebrahimnezhad, M. (2012). Review the role of hospital information systems in medical services development. *International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering*, 4(6), 866.
- Ahlan, A. R., and Ahmad, B. I. e. (2014). User acceptance of health information technology (HIT) in developing countries: A conceptual model. *Procedia Technology*, 16, 1287-1296.
- Ahmadi, H., Nilashi, M., Ibrahim, O., Ramayah, T., Wong, M. W., Alizadeh, M., *et al.* (2015). Exploring potential factors in total hospital information system adoption. *Journal of Soft Computing and Decision Support Systems*, 2(1), 52-59.
- Ahmadi, H., Rad, M. S., Nazari, M., Nilashi, M., and Ibrahim, O. (2014). Evaluating the factors affecting the implementation of hospital information system (HIS) using AHP method. *Life Science Journal*, 11(3), 202-207.
- Ahmadian, L., Dorosti, N., Khajouei, R., and Gohari, S. H. (2017). Challenges of using Hospital Information Systems by nurses: comparing academic and non-academic hospitals. *Electronic physician*, 9(6), 4625.
- Ahmadian, L., Khajouei, R., Nejad, S. S., Ebrahimzadeh, M., and Nikkar, S. E. (2014). Prioritizing barriers to successful implementation of hospital information systems. *Journal of medical systems*, 38(12), 151.
- Ajami, S., and Bagheri-Tadi, T. (2013). Barriers for adopting electronic health records (EHRs) by physicians. *Acta Informatica Medica*, 21(2), 129.
- Ajami, S., and Mohammadi-Bertiani, Z. (2012). Training and its impact on hospital information system (HIS) success. *J Inf Technol Softw Eng*, 2(112).
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
- Al-Busaidi, K. A., and Al-Shihi, H. (2010). Instructors' acceptance of learning management systems: A theoretical framework. *Communications of the IBIMA*, 2010(2010), 1-10.

- Al-Hashimi, M. S., and Aqleh, M. M. (2018). Measuring the Success of Hospital Information System across Multispecialty Hospitals in Bahrain. *Journal of Health Science*, 6, 132-138.
- Al-Mamary, Y. H., Shamsuddin, A., and Abdul Hamid, N. A. (2014). Factors affecting successful adoption of management information systems in organizations towards enhancing organizational performance. *American Journal of Systems and Software*, 2(5), 121-126.
- AlHazme, R. H., Haque, S. S., Wiggin, H., and Rana, A. M. (2016). The impact of health information technologies on quality improvement methodologies' efficiency, throughput and financial outcomes: a retrospective observational study. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 16(1), 154.
- Alipour, J., Karimi, A., Ebrahimi, S., Ansari, F., and Mehdipour, Y. (2017). Success or failure of hospital information systems of public hospitals affiliated with Zahedan University of Medical Sciences: A cross sectional study in the Southeast of Iran. *International journal of medical informatics*, 108, 49-54.
- Alipour, J., Safari Lafti, S., Askari Majdabadi, H., Yazdiyani, A., and Valinejadi, A. (2016). Factors affecting hospital information system acceptance by caregivers of educational hospitals based on technology acceptance model (TAM): A study in Iran. *IIOAB Journal*, 119-123.
- Allan, J., and Englebright, J. (2000). Patient-centered documentation: an effective and efficient use of clinical information systems. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 30(2), 90-95.
- Allen, D., and Davis, M. (1992). A computerized CIS enhances bedside intensive care. *Nursing management*, 23(7), 112I.
- Altuwaijri, M. (2012). Health information technology strategic planning alignment in Saudi hospitals: A historical perspective. *Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries*, 5(2).
- Altuwaijri, M. M., and Khorsheed, M. S. (2012). InnoDiff: A project-based model for successful IT innovation diffusion. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(1), 37-47.
- Alumaran, S., Bella, G., and Chen, F. (2015). The Role and Impact of Cultural Dimensions on Information Systems Security in Saudi Arabia National Health Service. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 112(2).

- Amin, I. M., Hussein, S. S., and Isa, W. (2011). Assessing user satisfaction of using hospital information system (HIS) in Malaysia. *People*, 12, 13.
- Ammenwerth, E., Rauchegger, F., Ehlers, F., Hirsch, B., and Schaubmayr, C. (2011). Effect of a nursing information system on the quality of information processing in nursing: An evaluation study using the HIS-monitor instrument. *International journal of medical informatics*, 80(1), 25-38.
- Andrijašević, L., Angebrandt, P., and Kern, J. (2012). Users' satisfaction with the primary health care information system in Croatia: a cross-sectional study. *Croatian medical journal*, 53(1), 60-65.
- Asangansi, I. E., Adejoro, O. O., Farri, O., and Makinde, O. (2008). Computer use among doctors in Africa: Survey of trainees in a Nigerian teaching hospital. *Journal of Health informatics in developing countries*, 2(1).
- Astrachan, C. B., Patel, V. K., and Wanzenried, G. (2014). A comparative study of CB-SEM and PLS-SEM for theory development in family firm research. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 5(1), 116-128.
- Ayatollahi, H., Bath, P. A., and Goodacre, S. (2009). Paper-based versus computer-based records in the emergency department: Staff preferences, expectations, and concerns. *Health informatics journal*, 15(3), 199-211.
- Ayatollahi, H., Mirani, N., and Haghani, H. (2014). Electronic health records: what are the most important barriers? *Perspectives in health information management*, 11(Fall).
- Babbie, E. R., Halley, F., and Zaino, J. (2007). *Adventures in social research: Data analysis using SPSS 14.0 and 15.0 for Windows*: Pine Forge Press.
- Bagayoko, C.-O., Dufour, J.-C., Chaacho, S., Bouhaddou, O., and Fieschi, M. (2010). Open source challenges for hospital information system (HIS) in developing countries: a pilot project in Mali. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 10(1), 22.
- Bahadori, M., Ravangard, R., Alimohammadzadeh, K., and Hosseini, S. M. (2015). Plan and road map for health reform in Iran. *BMJ: British Medical Journal (Online)*, 351.
- Bakar, N. A. A., ChePa, N., and Jasin, N. M. (2010). CHALLENGES IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF HOSPITAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN MALAYSIAN PUBLIC HOSPITALS. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Computing & Informatics, 636-642.

- Bakar, N. A. A., ChePa, N., and Jasin, N. M. (2017). CHALLENGES IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF HOSPITAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN MALAYSIAN PUBLIC HOSPITALS. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Computing & Informatics, 636-642.
- Baroudi, J. J., Olson, M. H., and Ives, B. (1986). An empirical study of the impact of user involvement on system usage and information satisfaction. *Communications of the ACM*, 29(3), 232-238.
- Barzekar, H., and Karami, M. (2014). Organizational factors that affect the implementation of information technology: Perspectives of middle managers in Iran. *Acta Informatica Medica*, 22(5), 325.
- Bear, J. B., and Woolley, A. W. (2011). The role of gender in team collaboration and performance. *Interdisciplinary science reviews*, 36(2), 146-153.
- Belias, D., and Koustelios, A. (2014). Organizational culture and job satisfaction: A review. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 4(2), 132-149.
- Benson, M., and Dha, A. C. (2011). Hospital information systems in Nigeria: A review of literature. *The Journal of Global Health Care Systems*, 1(3), 3-26.
- Blumenthal, D., and Tavenner, M. (2010). The “meaningful use” regulation for electronic health records. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363(6), 501-504.
- Borycki, E., Joe, R. S., Armstrong, B., Bellwood, P., and Campbell, R. (2011). Educating health professionals about the electronic health record (EHR): Removing the barriers to adoption.
- Bosak, J., and Sczesny, S. (2011). Gender bias in leader selection? Evidence from a hiring simulation study. *Sex Roles*, 65(3-4), 234-242.
- Bosman, R. (2009). Impact of computerized information systems on workload in operating room and intensive care unit. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology*, 23(1), 15-26.
- Bossen, C., Jensen, L. G., and Udsen, F. W. (2013). Evaluation of a comprehensive EHR based on the DeLone and McLean model for IS success: approach, results, and success factors. *International journal of medical informatics*, 82(10), 940-953.
- Brender, J. (2006). Evaluation of health information applications—challenges ahead of us. *Methods of information in medicine*, 45(01), 62-66.
- Brynjolfsson, E. (1996). The contribution of information technology to consumer welfare. *Information Systems Research*, 7(3), 281-300.

- Bukhari, S. W. H., and Zia, Q. (2011). A Plan for Implementation of Hospital Information System in Developing Country: Recommendation from socio-technical perspective.
- Cavana, R. Y., Delahaye, B. L., and Sekaran, U. (2001). Applied business research: Qualitative and quantitative methods: John Wiley & Sons Australia.
- Chang, J., Poynton, M. R., Gassert, C. A., and Staggers, N. (2011). Nursing informatics competencies required of nurses in Taiwan. *International journal of medical informatics*, 80(5), 332-340.
- Chang, M. K. (2013). Predicting unethical behavior: A comparison of the theory of reasoned action and the theory of planned behavior. In *Citation classics from the Journal of Business Ethics* (pp. 433-445): Springer.
- Chau, P. Y., and Hu, P. J. H. (2001). Information technology acceptance by individual professionals: A model comparison approach. *Decision sciences*, 32(4), 699-719.
- Chen, C.-D., Fan, Y.-W., and Farn, C.-K. (2007). Predicting electronic toll collection service adoption: An integration of the technology acceptance model and the theory of planned behavior. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 15(5), 300-311.
- Chen, R.-F., and Hsiao, J.-L. (2012). An investigation on physicians' acceptance of hospital information systems: a case study. *International journal of medical informatics*, 81(12), 810-820.
- Chin, W. W., Marcolin, B. L., and Newsted, P. R. (2003). A partial least squares latent variable modeling approach for measuring interaction effects: Results from a Monte Carlo simulation study and an electronic-mail emotion/adoption study. *Information systems research*, 14(2), 189-217.
- Chipunza, C., and Malo, B. (2017). Organizational culture and job satisfaction among academic professionals at a South African university of technology. *Problems and Perspectives in Management* (open-access), 15(2), 148-161.
- Cho, K. W., Bae, S.-K., Ryu, J.-H., Kim, K. N., An, C.-H., and Chae, Y. M. (2015). Performance evaluation of public hospital information systems by the information system success model. *Healthcare informatics research*, 21(1), 43-48.
- Choi, M., Yang, Y. L., and Lee, S.-M. (2014). Effectiveness of nursing management information systems: a systematic review. *Healthcare informatics research*, 20(4), 249-257.

- Choo, C. W. (2013). Information culture and organizational effectiveness. *International Journal of Information Management*, 33(5), 775-779.
- Choo, C. W., Bergeron, P., Detlor, B., and Heaton, L. (2008). Information culture and information use: An exploratory study of three organizations. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(5), 792-804.
- Chow, M., Chan, L., Lo, B., Chu, W.-P., Chan, T., and Lai, Y.-M. (2013). Exploring the intention to use a clinical imaging portal for enhancing healthcare education. *Nurse education today*, 33(6), 655-662.
- Ćirić, Z., and Raković, L. (2010). Change Management in information system development and implementation projects. *Management Information System*, 5(1), 23-28.
- Clemons, E. K., and Row, M. C. (1993). Limits to interfirm coordination through information technology: Results of a field study in consumer packaged goods distribution. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 10(1), 73-96.
- Cline, G. B., and Luiz, J. M. (2013). Information technology systems in public sector health facilities in developing countries: the case of South Africa. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 13(1), 13.
- Collis, J., and Hussey, R. (2013). *Business research: A practical guide for undergraduate and postgraduate students*: Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Costa, A. C., Fulmer, C. A., and Anderson, N. R. (2018). Trust in work teams: An integrative review, multilevel model, and future directions. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 39(2), 169-184.
- Costello, A. B., and Osborne, J. W. (2005). Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: Four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. *Practical assessment, research & evaluation*, 10(7), 1-9.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research. Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*.
- Cronin, P., Ryan, F., and Coughlan, M. (2008). Undertaking a literature review: a step-by-step approach. *British journal of nursing*, 17(1), 38-43.
- Curry, A., and Moore, C. (2003). Assessing information culture—an exploratory model. *International journal of information management*, 23(2), 91-110.
- D'Agostino, F., Zega, M., Rocco, G., Luzzi, L., Vellone, E., and Alvaro, R. (2013). Impact of a nursing information system in clinical practice: a longitudinal study

project. ANNALI DI IGIENE MEDICINA PREVENTIVA E DI COMUNITÀ, 25(4), 329-341.

Da, L., Ca, O., Pa, O., and de Quirós Fa, G. B. (2015). Challenges and hurdles of ehealth implementation in developing countries. Paper presented at the MEDINFO 2015: EHealth-enabled Health: Proceedings of the 15th World Congress on Health and Biomedical Informatics, 434.

Daghouri, A., Mansouri, K., and Qbadou, M. (2018). Information system performance evaluation and optimization using AHP and TOPSIS: Construction industry case. Paper presented at the Optimization and Applications (ICOA), 2018 4th International Conference on, 1-8.

Darvish, A., Bahramnezhad, F., Keyhanian, S., and Navidhamidi, M. (2014). The role of nursing informatics on promoting quality of health care and the need for appropriate education. Global journal of health science, 6(6), 11.

Dastgir, M., and Mortezaie, A. S. (2012). Factors affecting the end-user computing satisfaction. Business Intelligence Journal, 5(2), 292-298.

Davies, P. B. ((2002)). Information Systems: An introduction to information in Organization. Palgrave Publishers, 372-375.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS quarterly, 319-340.

DeLone, W. H., and McLean, E. R. (1992). Information systems success: The quest for the dependent variable. Information systems research, 3(1), 60-95.

DeLone, W. H., and McLean, E. R. (2002). Information systems success revisited. Paper presented at the System Sciences, 2002. HICSS. Proceedings of the 35th Annual Hawaii International Conference on, 2966-2976.

Delone, W. H., and McLean, E. R. (2003). The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success: a ten-year update. Journal of management information systems, 19(4), 9-30.

DEMİREL, A. P. D. D. (2017). Effectiveness of Health Information System Applications: Clinical Information and Diagnosis-Treatment Systems in Turkey. European Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies, 5(1), 122-131.

Derakhshani, J., and Vahedi, M. (2015). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Hospital Information System &91; HIS&93;(Case study&58; Tabriz Teaching Hospitals). Taşvîr-i salâmat, 6(2), 1-7.

- Dissanayake, V. H. (2013). Building trust in health information systems. *Sri Lanka Journal of Bio-Medical Informatics*, 4(2).
- Dünnebeil, S., Sunyaev, A., Blohm, I., Leimeister, J. M., and Krcmar, H. (2012). Determinants of physicians' technology acceptance for e-health in ambulatory care. *International journal of medical informatics*, 81(11), 746-760.
- Egea, J. M. O., and González, M. V. R. (2011). Explaining physicians' acceptance of EHCR systems: An extension of TAM with trust and risk factors. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(1), 319-332.
- Ehteshami, A., Rezaei, P., Tavakoli, N., and Kasaei, M. (2013). The role of health information technology in reducing preventable medical errors and improving patient safety. *International Journal of Health System and Disaster Management*, 1(4), 195.
- Eley, R., Fallon, T., Soar, J., Buikstra, E., and Hegney, D. (2009). Barriers to use of information and computer technology by Australia's nurses: a national survey. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 18(8), 1151-1158.
- Escobar-Rodríguez, T., and Bartual-Sopena, L. (2015). Impact of cultural factors on attitude toward using ERP systems in public hospitals. *Revista de Contabilidad*, 18(2), 127-137.
- Esmailzadeh, H., Rajabi, F., Rostamigooran, N., and Majdzadeh, R. (2013). Iran health system reform plan methodology. *Iranian journal of public health*, 42(Supple1), 13.
- Fang, X., Chan, S., Brzezinski, J., and Xu, S. (2005). Moderating effects of task type on wireless technology acceptance. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 22(3), 123-157.
- Farzandipur, M. (2016). Factors affecting successful implementation of hospital information systems. *Acta Informatica Medica*, 24(1), 51.
- Fischer, R., Ferreira, M. C., Assmar, E. M. L., Redford, P., and Harb, C. (2005). Organizational behaviour across cultures: Theoretical and methodological issues for developing multi-level frameworks involving culture. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 5(1), 27-48.
- Fishbein, M., and Ajzen, I. (1977). Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research.
- Fraenkel, D. J., Cowie, M., and Daley, P. (2003). Quality benefits of an intensive care clinical information system. *Critical Care Medicine*, 31(1), 120-125.

- Fraser, H. S., and Blaya, J. (2010). Implementing medical information systems in developing countries, what works and what doesn't. Paper presented at the AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings, 232.
- Fraser, R. (2011). Trust Models for Health Information Exchange. Report prepared for the Pan Canadian Health Information Privacy (HIP) Group.
- Furness, C. D. (2010). Group information behavioural norms and the effective use of a collaborative information system: a case study: University of Toronto.
- Gable, G., Sedera, D., and Chan, T. (2003). Enterprise systems success: a measurement model. ICIS 2003 Proceedings, 48.
- Gable, G. G., Sedera, D., and Chan, T. (2008). Re-conceptualizing information system success: The IS-impact measurement model. *Journal of the association for information systems*, 9(7), 18.
- Garcia-Smith, D., and Effken, J. A. (2013). Development and initial evaluation of the clinical information systems success model (CISSM). *International journal of medical informatics*, 82(6), 539-552.
- Garson, G. D. (2013). *Factor analysis: Statistical Associates Publishing*.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D., and Boudreau, M.-C. (2000). Structural equation modeling and regression: Guidelines for research practice. *Communications of the association for information systems*, 4(1), 7.
- George, D., and Mallery, P. (2003). *Reliability test, SPSS for Windows step by step: A Simple Guide and Reference, 4th Edn., Chapter 18: Allyn & Bacon, Boston*.
- Georgiou, A., Westbrook, J., and Braithwaite, J. (2010). Computerized provider order entry systems—Research imperatives and organizational challenges facing pathology services. *Journal of pathology informatics*, 1.
- Gephart, S., Carrington, J. M., and Finley, B. (2015). A systematic review of nurses' experiences with unintended consequences when using the electronic health record. *Nursing administration quarterly*, 39(4), 345-356.
- Golob Jr, J. F., Fadlalla, A. M., Kan, J. A., Patel, N. P., Yowler, C. J., and Claridge, J. A. (2008). Validation of Surgical Intensive Care–Infection Registry: a medical informatics system for intensive care unit research, quality of care improvement, and daily patient care. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 207(2), 164-173.
- Gorla, N., Somers, T. M., and Wong, B. (2010). Organizational impact of system quality, information quality, and service quality. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 19(3), 207-228.

- Grant, J. S., and Davis, L. L. (1997). Selection and use of content experts for instrument development. *Research in nursing & health*, 20(3), 269-274.
- GÜRSEL, G., ZAYİM, N., GÜLKESEN, K. H., ARİFOĞLU, A., and Saka, O. (2014). A new approach in the evaluation of hospital information systems. *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences*, 22(1), 214-222.
- Hadji, B., and Degoulet, P. (2016). Information system end-user satisfaction and continuance intention: A unified modeling approach. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 61, 185-193.
- Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., Anderson, R., and Tatham, R. . (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. . (7th ed.).New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., and Sarstedt, M. (2016). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*: Sage Publications.
- Handayani, P. W., Hidayanto, A. N., Pinem, A. A., Hapsari, I. C., Sandhyaduhita, P. I., and Budi, I. (2017). Acceptance model of a hospital information system. *International journal of medical informatics*, 99, 11-28.
- Harman, L. B., Flite, C. A., and Bond, K. (2012). Electronic health records: privacy, confidentiality, and security. *Virtual Mentor*, 14(9), 712.
- HASANAIN, R. A., and COOPER, H. (2014). Solutions to overcome technical and social barriers to electronic health records implementation in Saudi public and private hospitals. *Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries*, 8(1).
- Hastie, S., and Wojewoda, S. (2015). Standish Group 2015 Chaos Report-Q&A with Jennifer Lynch. 2015 [cited 2016 2nd January].
- Haughom, J., Kriz, S., and McMillan, D. R. (2011). Overcoming barriers to EHR adoption: one health system managed its organizationwide patient health data exchange by first gaining input from clinicians and working cooperatively with competitors. *Healthcare Financial Management*, 65(7), 96-101.
- Häyrinen, K., Saranto, K., and Nykänen, P. (2008). Definition, structure, content, use and impacts of electronic health records: a review of the research literature. *International journal of medical informatics*, 77(5), 291-304.
- Heath, M., and Appan, R. (2014). Impact of information technology culture conflict on meaningful use of electronic health records system: A conceptual framework.
- Heeks, R. (2002). Information systems and developing countries: Failure, success, and local improvisations. *The information society*, 18(2), 101-112.

Henson, R. K., and Roberts, J. K. (2006). Use of exploratory factor analysis in published research: Common errors and some comment on improved practice. *Educational and Psychological measurement*, 66(3), 393-416.

Herrick, D. M., Gorman, L., and Goodman, J. C. (2010). Health information technology: Benefits and problems: National Center for Policy Analysis.

Herwartz, H., and Strumann, C. (2014). Hospital efficiency under prospective reimbursement schemes: an empirical assessment for the case of Germany. *The European Journal of Health Economics*, 15(2), 175-186.

Holden, R. J. (2011). What stands in the way of technology-mediated patient safety improvements? A study of facilitators and barriers to physicians' use of electronic health records. *Journal of patient safety*, 7(4), 193.

Holden, R. J., and Karsh, B.-T. (2010). The technology acceptance model: its past and its future in health care. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 43(1), 159-172.

Hoover, R. (2016). Benefits of using an electronic health record. *Nursing*2018, 46(7), 21-22.

Hsiao, J.-L., Chang, H.-C., and Chen, R.-F. (2011). A study of factors affecting acceptance of hospital information systems: a nursing perspective. *Journal of Nursing Research*, 19(2), 150-160.

Hsu, M.-H., and Chiu, C.-M. (2004). Internet self-efficacy and electronic service acceptance. *Decision support systems*, 38(3), 369-381.

Hung, S.-Y., Chen, C. C., and Wang, K.-H. (2014). Critical Success Factors for the Implementation of Integrated Healthcare Information Systems Projects: An Organizational Fit Perspective. *CAIS*, 34, 39.

Hung, S.-Y., Hung, W.-H., Tsai, C.-A., and Jiang, S.-C. (2010). Critical factors of hospital adoption on CRM system: Organizational and information system perspectives. *Decision support systems*, 48(4), 592-603.

Hung, S.-Y., Ku, Y.-C., and Chien, J.-C. (2012). Understanding physicians' acceptance of the Medline system for practicing evidence-based medicine: A decomposed TPB model. *International journal of medical informatics*, 81(2), 130-142.

Huryk, L. A. (2010). Factors influencing nurses' attitudes towards healthcare information technology. *J Nurs Manag*, 18(5), 606-612.

Huston, C. (2013). The impact of emerging technology on nursing care: warp speed ahead. *The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing*, 18(2).

- Hyun, S., Johnson, S. B., Stetson, P. D., and Bakken, S. (2009). Development and evaluation of nursing user interface screens using multiple methods. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 42(6), 1004-1012.
- Ibrahim, R., Auliaputra, B., Yusoff, R. C., Maarop, N., Zainuddin, N. M., and Bahari, R. Measuring the Success of Healthcare Information System in Malaysia: A Case Study.
- Ibrahim, R., Auliaputra, B., Yusoff, R. C., Maarop, N., Zainuddin, N. M., and Bahari, R. (2016). Measuring the Success of Healthcare Information System in Malaysia: A Case Study.
- Ifinedo, P. (2018). Empirical study of Nova Scotia nurses' adoption of healthcare information systems: implications for management and policy-making. *International journal of health policy and management*, 7(4), 317.
- Information, C. I. f. H. (2013). Nursing numbers still rising.
- Ismail, A., Jamil, A. T., Rahman, A. F. A., Bakar, J. M. A., Saad, N. M., and Saadi, H. (2010). The implementation of Hospital Information System (HIS) in tertiary hospitals in malaysia: a qualitative study. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 10(2), 16-24.
- Ismail, N. I., Abdullah, N. H., and Shamsuddin, A. (2015). Adoption of hospital information system (HIS) in Malaysian public hospitals. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 172, 336-343.
- Ismail, N. I., Abdullah, N. H., Shamsuddin, A., Ariffin, N., and Azliza, N. (2013). Implementation differences of Hospital Information System (HIS) in Malaysian public hospitals. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 3(2).
- Jaakko, Y.-K., Kagoné, M., Souares, A., and Sauerborn, R. (2010). The influence of organizational culture on information use in decision making within government health services in rural Burkina Faso. *Nordic J Afr Stud*, 19, 250-273.
- Jarvis, B., Johnson, T., Butler, P., O'shaughnessy, K., Fullam, F., Tran, L., *et al.* (2013). Assessing the impact of electronic health records as an enabler of hospital quality and patient satisfaction. *Academic Medicine*, 88(10), 1471-1477.
- Jayawardena, A. (2013). A systematic literature review of Security, Privacy and Confidentiality of patient information in Electronic Health Information Systems. *Sri Lanka Journal of Bio-Medical Informatics*, 4(2).
- Jeddi, F. R., Hajbaghery, M. A., Akbari, H., and Esmaili, S. (2016). Technological Feasibility of a Nursing Clinical Information System. *Electronic physician*, 8(9), 2942.

- Jha, A. K. (2010). Meaningful use of electronic health records: the road ahead. *Jama*, 304(15), 1709-1710.
- Johnson, K., and Lehmann, C. (2013). Council on Clinical Information Technology of the American Academy of Pediatrics. Electronic prescribing in pediatrics: toward safer and more effective medication management. *Pediatrics*, 131(4), e1350-1356.
- Johnson, R. B., and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.
- Jung, M., Hoerbst, A., Hackl, W., Kirrane, F., Borbolla, D., Jaspers, M., *et al.* (2013). Attitude of physicians towards automatic alerting in computerized physician order entry systems. *Methods of information in medicine*, 52(02), 99-108.
- Kannry, J. (2011). Effect of e-prescribing systems on patient safety. *Mount Sinai Journal of Medicine: A Journal of Translational and Personalized Medicine*, 78(6), 827-833.
- Kaplan, B. (2001). Evaluating informatics applications—some alternative approaches: theory, social interactionism, and call for methodological pluralism. *International journal of medical informatics*, 64(1), 39-56.
- Kaplan, B., and Harris-Salamone, K. D. (2009). Health IT success and failure: recommendations from literature and an AMIA workshop. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 16(3), 291-299.
- Kaplan, B., and Shaw, N. (2004). Future directions in evaluation research: people, organizational, and social issues. *Methods of information in medicine*, 43(03), 215-231.
- Karakuş, M. (2018). The moderating effect of gender on the relationships between age, ethical leadership, and organizational commitment. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, 5(1), 74-84.
- Kaya, N. (2011). Factors affecting nurses' attitudes toward computers in healthcare. *CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing*, 29(2), 121-129.
- Keele, S. (2007). Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering. . EBSE Technical Report EBSE-2007-01.
- Khalifa, M. (2013). Barriers to health information systems and electronic medical records implementation. A field study of Saudi Arabian hospitals. *Procedia Computer Science*, 21, 335-342.

- Khalifa, M. (2014). Technical and Human Challenges of Implementing Hospital Information Systems in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Health Informatics in Developing Countries*, 8(1).
- Khalifa, M., and Alswailem, O. (2015). Hospital information systems (HIS) acceptance and satisfaction: a case study of a tertiary care hospital. *Procedia Computer Science*, 63, 198-204.
- Khozouei, N., Vanda, R., and Khozouei, P. (2012). Trust and Security on Semantic HIM (Health Information Management). *Intelligent Information Management*, 4(06), 401.
- Kijsanayotin, B., Pannarunothai, S., and Speedie, S. M. (2009). Factors influencing health information technology adoption in Thailand's community health centers: Applying the UTAUT model. *International journal of medical informatics*, 78(6), 404-416.
- Kim, B. (2012). The diffusion of mobile data services and applications: Exploring the role of habit and its antecedents. *Telecommunications Policy*, 36(1), 69-81.
- Kim, J., Hong, S., Min, J., and Lee, H. (2011). Antecedents of application service continuance: A synthesis of satisfaction and trust. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(8), 9530-9542.
- Kimiafar, K., Sadoughi, F., Sheikhtaheri, A., and Sarbaz, M. (2014). Prioritizing factors influencing nurses' satisfaction with hospital information systems: a fuzzy analytic hierarchy process approach. *CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing*, 32(4), 174-181.
- Kimiafar, K., Sarbaz, M., Sheikhtaheri, A., and Azizi, A. (2015). The impact of management factors on the success and failure of health information systems. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 8(27).
- King, W. R., and He, J. (2006). A meta-analysis of the technology acceptance model. *Information & management*, 43(6), 740-755.
- Kisely, S., and Kendall, E. (2011). Critically appraising qualitative research: A guide for clinicians more familiar with quantitative techniques. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 19(4), 364-367.
- Kline, P. (2013). *Handbook of psychological testing*: Routledge.
- Koenig, A. M., Eagly, A. H., Mitchell, A. A., and Ristikari, T. (2011). Are leader stereotypes masculine? A meta-analysis of three research paradigms. *Psychological bulletin*, 137(4), 616.

- Konstantinidis, G., Anastassopoulos, G. C., Karakos, A. S., Anagnostou, E., and Danielides, V. (2012). A user-centered, object-oriented methodology for developing health information systems: a Clinical Information System (CIS) example. *Journal of medical systems*, 36(2), 437-450.
- Korst, L. M., Aydin, C. E., Signer, J. M., and Fink, A. (2011). Hospital readiness for health information exchange: Development of metrics associated with successful collaboration for quality improvement. *International journal of medical informatics*, 80(8), e178-e188.
- Kramer, A., Kaehny, M., Richard, A., and May, K. (2010). Survey questions for EHR adoption and use in nursing homes. US Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Health Care Policy & Research (<http://aspe.hhs.gov/daltcp/reports/2010/EHRques.pdf>, accessed 19 April 2013).
- Krigsman, M. (2011). CIO analysis: Why 37 percent of projects fail. Retrieved May, 10, 2014.
- Kripanont, N. (2007). Examining a technology acceptance model of internet usage by academics within Thai business schools. Victoria University.
- Kruse, C. S., Goswamy, R., Raval, Y., and Marawi, S. (2016). Challenges and opportunities of big data in health care: a systematic review. *JMIR medical informatics*, 4(4).
- Lambrou, P., Kontodimopoulos, N., and Niakas, D. (2010). Motivation and job satisfaction among medical and nursing staff in a Cyprus public general hospital. *Human resources for health*, 8(1), 26.
- Lammintakanen, J., Saranto, K., and Kivinen, T. (2010). Use of electronic information systems in nursing management. *International journal of medical informatics*, 79(5), 324-331.
- Lau, F., Kuziemy, C., Price, M., and Gardner, J. (2010). A review on systematic reviews of health information system studies. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 17(6), 637-645.
- Lavin, M. A., Harper, E., and Barr, N. (2015). Health information technology, patient safety, and professional nursing care documentation in acute care settings. *Online J Issues Nurs*, 20(6).
- Lawrence, G. A., and Frater, T. G. (2017). Change management in healthcare: managing paradigmatic change in the Australian National Cervical Screening Programme. *New Zealand Journal of Medical Laboratory Science*, 71(2), 31.

- Lean, O. K., Zailani, S., Ramayah, T., and Fernando, Y. (2009). Factors influencing intention to use e-government services among citizens in Malaysia. *International Journal of Information Management*, 29(6), 458-475.
- Lee, H. W., Ramayah, T., and Zakaria, N. (2012). External factors in hospital information system (HIS) adoption model: a case on malaysia. *Journal of medical systems*, 36(4), 2129-2140.
- Lee, M.-C. (2009). Factors influencing the adoption of internet banking: An integration of TAM and TPB with perceived risk and perceived benefit. *Electronic commerce research and applications*, 8(3), 130-141.
- Lee, T.-Y., Sun, G.-T., Kou, L.-T., and Yeh, M.-L. (2017). The use of information technology to enhance patient safety and nursing efficiency. *Technology and Health Care*, 25(5), 917-928.
- Leggat, S. G., Bartram, T., Casimir, G., and Stanton, P. (2010). Nurse perceptions of the quality of patient care: confirming the importance of empowerment and job satisfaction. *Health Care Management Review*, 35(4), 355-364.
- Legido-Quigley, H., McKee, M., and Green, J. (2014). Trust in health care encounters and systems: a case study of British pensioners living in Spain. *Sociology of health & illness*, 36(8), 1243-1258.
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., *et al.* (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS medicine*, 6(7), e1000100.
- Lim, Y. M., Yap, C. S., and Lee, T. H. (2011). Intention to shop online: A study of Malaysian baby boomers. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(5), 1711-1717.
- Lin, H.-C. (2017). Nurses' satisfaction with using nursing information systems from technology acceptance model and information systems success model perspectives: a reductionist approach. *CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing*, 35(2), 91-99.
- Lorenzi, N. M., Kouroubali, A., Detmer, D. E., and Bloomrosen, M. (2009). How to successfully select and implement electronic health records (EHR) in small ambulatory practice settings. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 9(1), 15.
- Lu, C.-H., Hsiao, J.-L., and Chen, R.-F. (2012). Factors determining nurse acceptance of hospital information systems. *CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing*, 30(5), 257-264.

- Luna, D., Almerares, A., Mayan, J. C., Gonzalez Bernaldo de Quiros, F., and Otero, C. (2014). Health informatics in developing countries: going beyond pilot practices to sustainable implementations: a review of the current challenges. *Healthcare informatics research*, 20(1), 3-10.
- Lyu, H., Wick, E. C., Housman, M., Freischlag, J. A., and Makary, M. A. (2013). Patient satisfaction as a possible indicator of quality surgical care. *JAMA surgery*, 148(4), 362-367.
- M. A. Langley. (2012). PMI's PULSE of the professions, Driving Success in Challenging Times. US:. Project Management Institute.
- Mäenpää, T., Suominen, T., Asikainen, P., Maass, M., and Rostila, I. (2009). The outcomes of regional healthcare information systems in health care: a review of the research literature. *International journal of medical informatics*, 78(11), 757-771.
- Mahalli, A. E. (2015). Adoption and barriers to adoption of electronic health records by nurses in three governmental hospitals in Eastern province, Saudi Arabia. *Perspectives in health information management*, 12(Fall).
- Malik, M. A., and Khan, H. R. (2009). Understanding the implementation of an electronic hospital information system in a developing country: a case study from Pakistan. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Third Australasian Workshop on Health Informatics and Knowledge Management-Volume 97, 31-36.
- Mansor, M., and Tayib, D. (2010). An empirical examination of organisational culture, job stress and job satisfaction within the indirect tax administration in Malaysia.
- Marrie, R. A., Salter, A. R., Tyry, T., Fox, R. J., and Cutter, G. R. (2013). Preferred sources of health information in persons with multiple sclerosis: degree of trust and information sought. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 15(4).
- Marshall, B., Cardon, P., Poddar, A., and Fontenot, R. (2013). Does sample size matter in qualitative research?: A review of qualitative interviews in IS research. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 54(1), 11-22.
- Masrom, M., and Rahimly, A. (2015). Overview of data security issues in hospital information systems. *Pacific Asia Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 7(4).
- Mathieson, K. (1991). Predicting user intentions: comparing the technology acceptance model with the theory of planned behavior. *Information systems research*, 2(3), 173-191.

McKibbin, K. A., Lokker, C., Handler, S. M., Dolovich, L. R., Holbrook, A. M., O'Reilly, D., *et al.* (2011). Enabling medication management through health information technology (Health IT). Evidence report/technology assessment(201), 1.

Meeks, D. W., Smith, M. W., Taylor, L., Sittig, D. F., Scott, J. M., and Singh, H. (2014). An analysis of electronic health record-related patient safety concerns. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 21(6), 1053-1059.

Melas, C. D., Zampetakis, L. A., Dimopoulou, A., and Moustakis, V. (2011). Modeling the acceptance of clinical information systems among hospital medical staff: an extended TAM model. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 44(4), 553-564.

Meryem, K. (2016). Change management in information systems projects for public organisations in Morocco.

Middleton, B., Bloomrosen, M., Dente, M. A., Hashmat, B., Koppel, R., Overhage, J. M., *et al.* (2013). Enhancing patient safety and quality of care by improving the usability of electronic health record systems: recommendations from AMIA. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 20(e1), e2-e8.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., and Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis*: Sage.

Moghaddasi, H., Rabiei, R., Asadi, F., and Ostvan, N. (2017). Evaluation of nursing information systems: Application of usability aspects in the development of systems. *Healthcare informatics research*, 23(2), 101-108.

Monem, H., Afrasiabli, M., Rezvan, P., and Dehkordi, S. A. (2013a). The impact of user quality and information quality on the IS success in healthcare context. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*, 3(10), 40-51.

Monem, H., Sharifian, R., and Afrasiabi, M. (2013b). Neglected role of user in prominent is models and framework. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 72(1).

Morris, M. G., Venkatesh, V., and Ackerman, P. L. (2005). Gender and age differences in employee decisions about new technology: An extension to the theory of planned behavior. *IEEE transactions on engineering management*, 52(1), 69-84.

Muharromah, N., Amboningtyas, D., and Paramita, P. D. (2018). " INFLUENCE OF QUALITY SYSTEMS, QUALITY OF INFORMATION AND QUALITY OF SERVICE ON THE ABILITY OF USE OF MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM"(Empirical Study of Hermina Banyumanik Hospital Employee, Semarang). *Journal of Management*, 4(4).

- Mukred, A., Singh, D., and Safie, N. (2013). A review on the impact of information culture on the adoption of health information system in developing countries. *Journal of Computer Science*, 9(1), 128-138.
- Mukred, A., Singh, D., and Safie, N. (2017). Investigating the impact of information culture on the adoption of information system in public health sector of developing countries. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, 24(3), 261-284.
- Mun, Y. Y., Jackson, J. D., Park, J. S., and Probst, J. C. (2006). Understanding information technology acceptance by individual professionals: Toward an integrative view. *Information & Management*, 43(3), 350-363.
- Murcko., E. ((2012)). *business Dictionary*. .
- Myers, B. L., Kappelman, L. A., and Prybutok, V. R. (1997). A comprehensive model for assessing the quality and productivity of the information systems function: toward a theory for information systems assessment. *Information Resources Management Journal (IRMJ)*, 10(1), 6-26.
- Nagle, L. M., Crosby, K., Frisch, N., Borycki, E. M., Donelle, L., Hannah, K. J., *et al.* (2014). Developing entry-to-practice nursing informatics competencies for registered nurses. Paper presented at the Nursing Informatics, 356-363.
- Namakula, S., and Kituyi, G. M. (2014). Examining health information systems success factors in Uganda's Healthcare System. *The Journal of Global Health Care Systems*, 4(1), 1-16.
- NAVIGATOR™, P. (2015). Wrong-Record, Wrong-Data Errors with Health IT Systems. 7(2).
- Negash, S., Ryan, T., and Igbaria, M. (2003). Quality and effectiveness in web-based customer support systems. *Information & management*, 40(8), 757-768.
- Ngafeeson, M. N. (2015). Healthcare Information Systems Opportunities and Challenges. In *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology*, Third Edition (pp. 3387-3395): IGI Global.
- Nguyen, L., Bellucci, E., and Nguyen, L. T. (2014). Electronic health records implementation: an evaluation of information system impact and contingency factors. *International journal of medical informatics*, 83(11), 779-796.
- Niazkhani, Z., Pirnejad, H., van der Sijs, H., de Bont, A., and Aarts, J. (2010). Computerized provider order entry system—does it support the inter-professional medication process? *Methods of information in medicine*, 49(01), 20-27.

- Ojo, A. I. (2017). Validation of the DeLone and McLean Information Systems Success Model. *Healthcare informatics research*, 23(1), 60-66.
- Oliver, G., and Foscarini, F. (2014). *Records management and information culture: Tackling the people problem*: Facet Publishing.
- Omary, Z., Lupiana, D., Mtenzi, F., and Wu, B. (2009). Challenges to E-healthcare adoption in developing countries: A case study of Tanzania. Paper presented at the *Networked Digital Technologies, 2009. NDT'09. First International Conference on*, 201-209.
- Omidifar, R., Homauni, A., Keshvari, M., Yusefi, A. R., and Nobakht, S. (2018). Barriers for the Using of Information Systems in Hospitals: A Qualitative Study. *Shiraz E-Medical Journal*, 19(8).
- Organization, W. H. (2006). *Health system profile, Islamic Republic of Iran*. Regional health systems observatory, WHO eastern Mediterranean regional office.
- Organization, W. H. (2016). *Medication Errors: Technical serials on Safer Primary Care* [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2016 [cited 2018 June 26].
- Oroviogioicochea, C., Elliott, B., and Watson, R. (2008). Evaluating information systems in nursing. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 17(5), 567-575.
- Ozawa, S., and Sripad, P. (2013). How do you measure trust in the health system? A systematic review of the literature. *Social Science & Medicine*, 91, 10-14.
- Özogul, C. O., Karsak, E. E., and Tolga, E. (2009). A real options approach for evaluation and justification of a hospital information system. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 82(12), 2091-2102.
- Pai, F.-Y., and Huang, K.-I. (2011). Applying the technology acceptance model to the introduction of healthcare information systems. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 78(4), 650-660.
- Paré, G., Sicotte, C., Jaana, M., and Girouard, D. (2008). Prioritizing the risk factors influencing the success of clinical information system projects. *Methods of information in medicine*, 47(03), 251-259.
- Peeters, J. M., Krijgsman, J. W., Brabers, A. E., De Jong, J. D., and Friele, R. D. (2016). Use and uptake of eHealth in general practice: a cross-sectional survey and focus group study among health care users and general practitioners. *JMIR medical informatics*, 4(2).

- Petter, S., DeLone, W., and McLean, E. (2008). Measuring information systems success: models, dimensions, measures, and interrelationships. *European journal of information systems*, 17(3), 236-263.
- Pevnick, J. M., Li, N., Asch, S. M., Jackevicius, C. A., and Bell, D. S. (2014). Effect of electronic prescribing with formulary decision support on medication tier, copayments, and adherence. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 14(1), 79.
- Platt, J., and Kardia, S. (2015). Public trust in health information sharing: implications for biobanking and electronic health record systems. *Journal of personalized medicine*, 5(1), 3-21.
- Polit, D. F., and Beck, C. T. (2004). *Nursing research: Principles and methods*: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Pourasghar, F., Tabrizi, J. S., Jafarabadi, M. A., and Abdollahi, L. (2014). Data Collection Patterns in Hospitals of Tabriz. *Journal of Clinical Research & Governance*, 3, 99-104.
- Pringle, D., and Nagle, L. (2009). From the Editor-in-Chief: leadership for the information age: the time for action is now.
- Pynoo, B., Devolder, P., Duyck, W., van Braak, J., Sijnave, B., and Duyck, P. (2012). Do hospital physicians' attitudes change during PACS implementation? A cross-sectional acceptance study. *International journal of medical informatics*, 81(2), 88-97.
- Rahimi, B., Safdari, R., and Jebraeily, M. (2014). Development of hospital information systems: User participation and factors affecting it. *Acta Informatica Medica*, 22(6), 398.
- Rashid, A. H., Abdulaziz, S. G., and Yasin, N. B. M. (2018). Technology Acceptance Models to Improve Adoption of Health Information Systems. *Journal of advanced Sciences and Engineering Technologies*, 1(1), 17-29.
- Reinecke, K., and Bernstein, A. (2013). Knowing What a User Likes: A Design Science Approach to Interfaces that Automatically Adapt to Culture. *Mis Quarterly*, 37(2).
- Remus, S., and Kennedy, M. (2012). Innovation in transformative nursing leadership: nursing informatics competencies and roles. *Nursing Leadership (Toronto, Ont.)*, 25(4), 14-26.

- Rippen, H. E., Pan, E. C., Russell, C., Byrne, C. M., and Swift, E. K. (2013). Organizational framework for health information technology. *International journal of medical informatics*, 82(4), e1-e13.
- Roham, M., Gabrielyan, A. R., and Archer, N. P. (2012). Predicting the impact of hospital health information technology adoption on patient satisfaction. *Artificial intelligence in medicine*, 56(2), 123-135.
- Rojas, E., Munoz-Gama, J., Sepúlveda, M., and Capurro, D. (2016). Process mining in healthcare: A literature review. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 61, 224-236.
- Roky, H., and Al Meriouh, Y. (2015). Evaluation by users of an industrial information system (XPPS) based on the DeLone and McLean model for IS success. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 26, 903-913.
- Rouleau, G., Gagnon, M. P., Côté, J., Payne-Gagnon, J., Hudson, E., & Dubois, C. A. (2017). Impact of Information and Communication Technologies on Nursing Care: Results of an Overview of Systematic Reviews. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 19(4), 19(4).
- Sadoughi, F., Kimiafar, K., Ahmadi, M., and Shakeri, M. T. (2013). Determining of factors influencing the success and failure of hospital information system and their evaluation methods: a systematic review. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, 15(12).
- Sadoughi, F., Sarsarshahi, A., Eerfannia, I., and Firouzabad, S. (2016). Ranking evaluation factors in hospital information systems. *Human & Veterinary Medicine*, 8(2).
- Safdari, R., Ghazisaeidi, M., Jebraeily, M., Masarat, E., Shikhtayefeh, M., and Farajolah, S. (2014). Hospital information systems success: A study based on the model adjusted DeLone and McLean in UMSU hospitals. *European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 4(5), 37-41.
- Saghaeiannejad-Isfahani, S., Jahanbakhsh, M., Habibi, M., Mirzaeian, R., Nasirian, M., and Rad, J. S. (2014). A Survey on the Users' Satisfaction with the Hospital Information Systems (HISs) based on DeLone and McLean's Model in the Medical-Teaching Hospitals in Isfahan City. *Acta Informatica Medica*, 22(3), 179.
- Sahroni, M. N., and Yusof, M. M. (2011). Evaluation of Error Management in Health Information Systems: A Review. Paper presented at the The European Conference on Information Systems Management, 571.

- Seddon, P., and Kiew, M.-Y. (1996). A partial test and development of DeLone and McLean's model of IS success. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 4(1).
- Seddon, P. B. (1997). A respecification and extension of the DeLone and McLean model of IS success. *Information systems research*, 8(3), 240-253.
- Sedera, D., and Tan, F. (2005). User satisfaction: an overarching measure of enterprise system success.
- Sharifian, R., Askarian, F., Nematolahi, M., and Farhadi, P. (2014). Factors influencing nurses' acceptance of hospital information systems in Iran: application of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *Health Information Management Journal*, 43(3), 23-28.
- Sheykhotayefeh, M., Safdari, R., Ghazisaeedi, M., Mohammadzadeh, N., Khademi, S. H., Torabi, V., *et al.* (2016). Hospital Information Systems Implementation: An Evaluation of Critical Success Factors in Northeast of Iran. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 9(2), 93.
- Shortliffe, E. H., and Cimino, J. J. (2013). *Biomedical informatics: computer applications in health care and biomedicine*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Shurbagi, A., and Zahari, I. B. (2012). The relationship between organizational culture and job satisfaction in national oil corporation of Libya. *International Journal of Humanities and Applied sciences*, 1(3), 88-93.
- Sidek, Y. H., and Martins, J. T. (2017). Perceived critical success factors of electronic health record system implementation in a dental clinic context: An organisational management perspective. *International journal of medical informatics*, 107, 88-100.
- Sinha, R. K., and Kurian, S. (2014). Assessment of end user satisfaction of hospital information system informatic Al Spitalului. *Management in health*, 18(3).
- Snyder, C. F., Wu, A. W., Miller, R. S., Jensen, R. E., Bantug, E. T., and Wolff, A. C. (2011). The role of informatics in promoting patient-centered care. *Cancer Journal (Sudbury, Mass.)*, 17(4), 211.
- Stevens J.P. (2009). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences*, 5th ed. Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, New York.
- Sun, H., and Zhang, P. (2006). The role of moderating factors in user technology acceptance. *International journal of human-computer studies*, 64(2), 53-78.
- Sweis, R., Isa, A., Azzeh, H., Shtyh, B., Musa, E., and AlBtoush, R. (2014). Nurses' resistance to the adoption of information technology in Jordanian hospitals. *Life Science Journal*, 11(4s), 8-18.

- Taba, N. H., and Khatavakhotan, A. (2013). Transformational Leadership Plan for the Hospital Information System. Paper presented at the Artificial Intelligence, Modelling and Simulation (AIMS), 2013 1st International Conference on, 68-73.
- Tabibi, J., Nasiripour, A. A., Kazemzadeh, R. B., Farhangi, A. A., and Ebrahimi, P. (2011). Effective factors on hospital information system acceptance: A confirmatory study in Iranian hospitals. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 9(1), 95-101.
- Taib, I. A., McIntosh, A. S., Caponecchia, C., and Baysari, M. T. J. S. S. (2011). A review of medical error taxonomies: A human factors perspective. 49(5), 607-615.
- Takhti, H. K., Rahman, A. A., and Abedini, S. (2015). Factors determining nurse acceptance of hospital information systems in the medication process. *Journal of Soft Computing and Decision Support Systems*, 2(6), 14-19.
- Takhti, H. K., Rahman, A. A., Abedini, S., and Abedini, S. (2012). Impact of hospital information system on patient care: nurses perceptions. *Cann J Nurs Inform [online]*, 6(4).
- Takhti, H. K. Z. (2010). *Impact of Health Information System on Patient Care & Treatment: A Study on Selayang Hospita*. Multimedia University.
- Taylor, S., and Todd, P. (1995a). Assessing IT usage: The role of prior experience. *MIS quarterly*, 561-570.
- Taylor, S., and Todd, P. A. (1995b). Understanding information technology usage: A test of competing models. *Information systems research*, 6(2), 144-176.
- Tilahun, B., and Fritz, F. (2015). Modeling antecedents of electronic medical record system implementation success in low-resource setting hospitals. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 15(1), 61.
- Todhunter, F. (2015). Using principal components analysis to explore competence and confidence in student nurses as users of information and communication technologies. *Nursing open*, 2(2), 72-84.
- Travis, P., Bennett, S., Haines, A., Pang, T., Bhutta, Z., Hyder, A. A., *et al.* (2004). Overcoming health-systems constraints to achieve the Millennium Development Goals. *The Lancet*, 364(9437), 900-906.
- Tung, F.-C., Chang, S.-C., and Chou, C.-M. (2008). An extension of trust and TAM model with IDT in the adoption of the electronic logistics information system in HIS in the medical industry. *International journal of medical informatics*, 77(5), 324-335.

- Urquhart, C., Currell, R., Grant, M. J., and Hardiker, N. R. (2009). Nursing record systems: effects on nursing practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*(1).
- Vafae, A., Vahedian, M., Esmaeily, H., and Kimiafar, K. (2010). Views of users towards the quality of hospital information system in training hospitals. *Journal of research in health sciences*, 10(1), 47-53.
- Van Der Meijden, M., Tange, H. J., Troost, J., and Hasman, A. (2003). Determinants of success of inpatient clinical information systems: a literature review. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 10(3), 235-243.
- Van Raaij, E. M., and Schepers, J. J. (2008). The acceptance and use of a virtual learning environment in China. *Computers & Education*, 50(3), 838-852.
- Venkatesh, V., and Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management science*, 46(2), 186-204.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., and Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS quarterly*, 425-478.
- Vest, J. R. (2010). More than just a question of technology: Factors related to hospitals' adoption and implementation of health information exchange. *International journal of medical informatics*, 79(12), 797-806.
- Vodanovich, S., Sundaram, D., and Myers, M. (2010). Research commentary—digital natives and ubiquitous information systems. *Information Systems Research*, 21(4), 711-723.
- Walderhaug, S., Meland, P. H., Mikalsen, M., Sagen, T., and Brevik, J. I. (2008). Evacuation support system for improved medical documentation and information flow in the field. *International journal of medical informatics*, 77(2), 137-151.
- Walji, M. F., Kalenderian, E., Tran, D., Kookal, K. K., Nguyen, V., Tokede, O., *et al.* (2013). Detection and characterization of usability problems in structured data entry interfaces in dentistry. *International journal of medical informatics*, 82(2), 128-138.
- Waneka, R., and Spetz, J. (2010). Hospital information technology systems' impact on nurses and nursing care. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 40(12), 509-514.
- Wang, R. Y., and Strong, D. M. (1996). Beyond accuracy: What data quality means to data consumers. *Journal of management information systems*, 12(4), 5-33.
- Wang, T., and Biedermann, S. (2012). Adoption and utilization of electronic health record systems by long-term care facilities in Texas. *Perspectives in Health*

- Information Management/AHIMA, American Health Information Management Association, 9(Spring).
- Ward, R., Stevens, C., Brentnall, P., and Briddon, J. (2008). The attitudes of health care staff to information technology: a comprehensive review of the research literature. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 25(2), 81-97.
- Watson, R. T., Boudreau, M.-C., York, P. T., Greiner, M., and Wynn, D. E. (2008). Opening the classroom. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 19(1), 75.
- Wei, L. H., and Thirusamy, R. (2018). An Examination of the Effects of Task Technology Fit and Hospital Information System Satisfaction in Public Hospital Malaysia: A Structural Model. *Advanced Science Letters*, 24(2), 1479-1483.
- Williams, B., Onsmann, A., and Brown, T. (2010). Exploratory factor analysis: A five-step guide for novices. *Australasian Journal of Paramedicine*, 8(3).
- Winter, A., Haux, R., Ammenwerth, E., Brigl, B., Hellrung, N., and Jahn, F. (2010). Health information systems. In *Health Information Systems* (pp. 33-42): Springer.
- Woolley, A. W., Chabris, C. F., Pentland, A., Hashmi, N., and Malone, T. W. (2010). Evidence for a collective intelligence factor in the performance of human groups. *science*, 330(6004), 686-688.
- Wu, L., and Chen, J.-L. (2005). An extension of trust and TAM model with TPB in the initial adoption of on-line tax: an empirical study. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 62(6), 784-808.
- Wu, L., Li, J.-Y., and Fu, C.-Y. (2011). The adoption of mobile healthcare by hospital's professionals: An integrative perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 51(3), 587-596.
- Xie, S., Helfert, M., Lugmayr, A., Heimgärtner, R., and Holzinger, A. (2013). Influence of organizational culture and communication on the successful implementation of information technology in hospitals. Paper presented at the International Conference on Cross-Cultural Design, 165-174.
- Xu, H., and Hwang, M. I. (2007). The effect of implementation factors on data warehousing success: An exploratory study. *Journal of Information, Information Technology, and Organizations*, 2, 1.
- Yaghoubi, N.-M. (2010). Factors affecting the adoption of online banking-an integration of Technology Acceptance Model and Theory of Planned Behavior. *International journal of business and management*, 5(9), 159.
- Yan. (2018). 看護職の職場文化の概念分析. *日本看護科学会誌*, 38, 1-8.

- Yarbrough, A. K., and Smith, T. B. (2007). Technology acceptance among physicians: a new take on TAM. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 64(6), 650-672.
- Yen, P.-Y., and Bakken, S. (2011). Review of health information technology usability study methodologies. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 19(3), 413-422.
- Yeow, A., and Huat Goh, K. (2015). Work Harder or Work Smarter? Information Technology and Resource Allocation in Healthcare Processes. *MIS Quarterly*, 39(4).
- Yin, R. K. (2003). Case study research design and methods third edition. *Applied social research methods series*, 5.
- Yu, P., Zhang, Y., Gong, Y., and Zhang, J. (2013). Unintended adverse consequences of introducing electronic health records in residential aged care homes. *International journal of medical informatics*, 82(9), 772-788.
- Yusof, M. M., Kuljis, J., Papazafeiropoulou, A., and Stergioulas, L. K. (2008). An evaluation framework for Health Information Systems: human, organization and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit). *International journal of medical informatics*, 77(6), 386-398.
- Zakaria, N., and Yusof, S. A. M. (2016). Understanding technology and people issues in hospital information system (HIS) adoption: Case study of a tertiary hospital in Malaysia. *Journal of infection and public health*, 9(6), 774-780.
- Zarei, J., and Sadoughi, F. (2016). Information security risk management for computerized health information systems in hospitals: a case study of Iran. *Risk management and healthcare policy*, 9, 75.
- Zhang, H., Cocosila, M., and Archer, N. (2010). Factors of adoption of mobile information technology by homecare nurses: a technology acceptance model 2 approach. *CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing*, 28(1), 49-56.
- Zhang, Z., Lee, M. K., Huang, P., Zhang, L., and Huang, X. (2005). A framework of ERP systems implementation success in China: An empirical study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 98(1), 56-80.
- Ziemba, E., and Oblak, I. (2015). Change management in information systems projects for public organizations in Poland. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge and Management*, 10, 47-63.
- Zikmund, W. (2003). *Business research methods 7th ed.*, Thomson/South-Western.
- Zolala, F. (2011). Health information systems in the Islamic Republic of Iran: a case study in Kerman Province. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 17(9).

Zwaanswijk, M., Verheij, R. A., Wiesman, F. J., and Friele, R. D. (2011). Benefits and problems of electronic information exchange as perceived by health care professionals: an interview study. *BMC health services research*, 11(1), 256.