

THE EFFECT OF TIDES AND VESSEL PARAMETERS OF FISHING BOAT
GENERATED WAVES

DEDY TRIAWAN SUPRAYOGI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Engineering (Marine Technology)

School of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

DEDICATION

Specially dedicated to my mother (*Ibu Wuryati*), my father (*Bapak Soenanto*), my wife (*Choiriyah*), and my children (*Aisyah, Adam, Aysara, Ahsan*)

Al-Fatihah

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, **Prof. Dr. Omar bin Yaakob**, for encouragement, guidance, and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor **Dr. Farah Ellyza binti Hashim**, also my previous co-supervisor **Dr. Yasser Ahmed** and also **Almarhum Ir. Dr Muhammad Pauzi Abdul Ghani** for the guidance, advices and motivation. Also my examiner **Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Faizul Amri bin Adnan** and **Prof Dr Ahmad Khairi bin Abd Wahab** for valuable input during special “VIVA” session.

I would like to thanks to following individuals:

My parents (Ibu Wuryati, Bapak Sunanto), my wife (Choiriyah), my children (Aisyah, Adam, Aysara, Ahsan), my brother (Almarhum Mas Tito – God bless his soul, Mas Orbit) for their encouragement during my study.

All Marine Laboratory staff for the assistance given during the experimental part of the work.

All my fellow colleagues in the Marine Laboratory, Mohd Arif, Azmi, Nur Farhana, Ahmad Mustaqim, Mohd Shazwan, for helpful discussion and positive input.

My Engineering Manager, PT. Citra Tubindo Engineering, Mr. Sukumar Shetty for support and understanding me to complete my study in UTM.

All my fellow colleagues in Ikatan Keluarga Muslim Indonesia Johor Bahru, Pak Zamzami, Pak Shokheh, Pak Munawar, .

ABSTRACT

Ship generated waves (SGW) can cause bank erosion as well as disturbance to moored boats especially in the river at estuary due to the restricted area, very high boat traffic and shallow water. In this river, the dominant environmental effect is tidal, but unfortunately in-depth investigation of this effect has not been conducted before. Tidal effect may present the critical issues that are closely related to the different water depth, as well as current speed and direction of tide flow due to the boats movement at flooding and ebbing condition of the river. The aim of this research was to determine characteristic of SGW in the river that have tidal condition, also to obtain allowable speed of fishing boat while sailing in the river. Results of a full-scale field experimental work during rising and ebbing tides in the Mersing river are presented. The displacement hull fishing boat has dimension $14.05 \times 4.35 \times 1$ m (length \times breadth \times draft) and the planing hull fishing boat has dimension $6.5 \times 1.46 \times 0.8$ m (length \times breadth \times draft) and both were run at 6, 9 and 12 knots. Wave heights and wave spectral energy from SGW of the two fishing boats were analysed and the energy obtained are compared to one of the accepted river criteria known as Brisbane River Criteria. The effect of assessing the SGW beside the boats at different distances from the sailing line (SL) was also studied using Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) simulations. The results show that higher energy was created at the shallowest water depth ($h=3.6$ m). As SGW is propagated from 5 m to 13 m off SL with 12 knots, the wave energy density was reduced by 1687.6 kg/s^2 (38 %) for the displacement hull fishing boat and 29.75 kg/s^2 (83 %) for the planing hull fishing boat. During the field experiment, it was found that the SGW has the highest energy when the boat and tide condition are in opposite directions. The findings also indicate that in order to minimize river bank damage, the displacement hull fishing boat should not exceed a limiting speed of 4.8 knots during the flooding, slack and ebbing conditions. However, for planing hull fishing boat, the allowable speed is less than 9 knots. The findings can be useful for the fishing boats operators and local authorities to regulate fishing boat traffic in rivers.

ABSTRAK

Gelombang yang dijana oleh bot (SGW) boleh menyebabkan hakisan tebing dan juga menyebabkan gangguan kepada bot-bot lain yang ditambat terutama pada sungai yang terletak dekat dengan muara kerana di kawasan ini memiliki kawasan terhad, trafik bot yang sangat tinggi dan air cetek. Di sungai ini, kesan alam sekitar yang dominan iaitu pasang surut, akan tetapi tiada penyelidikan mendalam dilakukan sebelum ini. Kesan pasang surut boleh mengemukakan isu - isu kritikal yang berkait rapat dengan kedalaman air yang berlainan, serta kelajuan dan arah arus pasang surut berkaitan dengan pergerakan bot semasa air pasang dan surut pada sungai ini. Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk menentukan ciri - ciri pada gelombang yang dijana oleh bot nelayan di sungai yang mempunyai air pasang dan surut, juga untuk mendapatkan kelajuan bot nelayan yang dibenarkan semasa berlayar di sungai. Hasil kerja ujikaji berskala sebenar semasa air pasang dan surut di sungai Mersing dibentangkan. Badan bot nelayan jenis displacement dengan saiz $14.05 \times 4.35 \times 1$ m (panjang \times lebar \times draf) dan badan bot nelayan jenis planing dengan saiz $6.5 \times 1.46 \times 0.8$ m (panjang \times lebar \times draf), keduanya berlayar pada kelajuan 6, 9 dan 12 batu nautika sejam. Tinggi gelombang dan spektrum tenaga gelombang dari SGW untuk kedua-dua bot nelayan dianalisis dan tenaga yang terhasil dibandingkan dengan Kriteria Sungai Brisbane. Kesan SGW pada tepi bot berdasarkan jarak daripada garis layaran (SL) juga dikaji dengan menggunakan simulasi Pengkomputeran Dinamik Bendalir (CFD). Hasil ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa tenaga daripada SGW yang lebih tinggi dicipta pada kedalaman air yang tercetek ($h=3.6$ m). Ketika gelombang bergerak dari 5 m hingga 13 m daripada SL dengan kelajuan bot 12 batu nautika sejam, ketumpatan tenaga gelombang berkurang sebanyak 1687.6 kg/s² (38 %) untuk badan bot nelayan besar jenis displacement dan 29.75 kg/s² (83 %) untuk badan bot nelayan jenis planing. Semasa ujikaji lapangan, didapati SGW mempunyai tenaga yang lebih tinggi apabila keadaan bot dan arus bergerak pada arah yang berlawanan. Penemuan juga menunjukkan bahawa untuk memastikan kerosakan tebing sungai yang minimum, badan bot nelayan jenis displacement tidak boleh melebihi kelajuan 4.8 batu nautika sejam semasa keadaan air pasang, tenang dan surut. Manakala, bagi badan bot nelayan jenis planing, maksimum kelajuannya adalah 9 batu nautika sejam. Penemuan ini juga berguna bagi nelayan dan pihak penguatkuasa tempatan untuk mengawal trafik bot nelayan di sungai.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	SGW of Fishing Boats	4
1.3	Wave energy of SGW	7
1.4	Factors affecting SGW	8
1.5	Problem Statement	10
1.6	Research Questions	10
1.7	Objective	11
1.8	Research Scope	11
1.9	Significance of the Research	12
1.10	Organization of the Thesis	12
1.11	Summary	13
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Wave Pattern of Ship Generated Wave	15

2.2.1	Sub-Critical Speeds	22
2.2.2	Trans-Critical Speeds	23
2.2.3	Critical Speeds	24
2.2.4	Super-Critical Speeds	25
2.3	The Factors Affecting SGW	26
2.3.1	Environmental Factors	27
2.3.2	Vessel Parameters	37
2.4	Methods for SGW Investigation	44
2.4.1	Experimental (Laboratory and Field Experiment)	44
2.4.2	Theoretical	50
2.4.3	CFD Simulation Method	50
2.5	The Rules of Allowable SGW	54
2.5.1	Denmark – Danish Maritime Authority (DMA)	55
2.5.2	United States – Washington State Ferries (WSF)	55
2.5.3	Australian river	56
2.5.4	Discussion	58
2.6	Wave Theory	61
2.6.1	Regular Wave	61
2.6.2	Irregular Wave	62
2.6.3	Wave Spectrum	64
2.6.4	Wave Energy	65
2.7	Summary	77
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	79
3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Field Measurement	84
3.2.1	Field Measurement Works and Set – Up	87
3.2.2	Fishing Boats	90
3.2.2.1	Displacement Fishing Boat	91
3.2.2.2	Planing Fishing Boat	92
3.2.3	Equipment	92
3.2.4	Image Processing	93

3.2.5	Scenario of Experiment	94
3.3	Wave Height Time Series and Wave Spectral Analysis	95
3.3.1	Acquisition of Wave Height Time Series	96
3.3.2	Determination of Wave Spectra	98
3.4	Numerical Approach (CFD simulation)	102
3.4.1	Geometry	103
3.4.2	Meshing	103
3.4.3	.Simulation Setting	103
3.5	Determination of the Effect of Water Depth Due to Tidal Rise and Fall on SGW of Displacement and Planing Fishing Boat (Phase 1).	105
3.6	Determination of the SGW at Different Width from Sailing Line in The River (Phase 2 – Objective 2)	106
3.7	Determination of the Effect of Tidal Condition on Ship Wakes (Phase 3)	106
3.8	Determination of The Effect of Tide on Allowable Speed for Fishing Boat	106
3.9	Summary	107
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	109
4.1	Introduction	109
4.2	Displacement Fishing Boat	109
4.2.1	Wave height in time series and wave spectra	110
4.2.2	Energy of SGW by Wave Spectra	120
4.2.3	Maximum wave heights analysis	122
4.3	Planing Fishing Boat.	125
4.3.1	Wave height in time series and wave spectra	125
4.3.2	Energy of SGW by Wave Spectra Calculation	131
4.3.3	Maximum wave height of experiments	132
4.4	CFD Results	134
4.4.1	Displacement Fishing Boat	135
4.4.2	Planing Fishing Boat	137
4.5	Comparison of Field Experiment and CFD	140
4.6	Result Summary	141

4.7	Water Depth Effect Due to Tidal Rise (Flooding) and Fall (Ebbing) on SGW	142
4.7.1	Displacement Fishing Boat	142
4.7.2	Planing Fishing Boat	145
4.8	The Effect of Different Width from Sailing Line in The River	147
4.8.1	Displacement Fishing Boat	147
4.8.2	Planing Fishing Boat	148
4.9	Determination of Flooding and Ebbing Tide Effect on SGW	149
4.9.1	Displacement Fishing Boat	150
4.9.2	Planing Fishing Boat	153
4.10	Determination of Tide Effect on Allowable Speed	156
4.11	Summary	161
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	163
5.1	Conclusion	163
5.2	Contributions to Knowledge	164
5.3	Recommendation for Future Work	165
	REFERENCES	167
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	183

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Comparison of research work in ship generated wave studies	6
Table 2.1	The environmental factors, vessel parameters and other factors of SGW (Macfarlane and Renilson, 1999)	26
Table 2.2	Comparison of methods to measure wave height	49
Table 2.3	International rules of ship generated wave	60
Table 3.1	The number of licensed fishing boat (Jabatan Perikanan Malaysia, 2016)	85
Table 3.2	Tidal condition of Mersing River	89
Table 3.3	Number of licensed fishing boats at Mersing (Jabatan Perikanan Malaysia, 2016)	90
Table 3.4	Particular dimension of displacement fishing boat	91
Table 3.5	Particular dimension of planing fishing boat	92
Table 3.6	Experimental condition for displacement fishing boat	94
Table 3.7	Experimental condition for planing fishing boat	95
Table 4.1	Comparison of energy between the experiment of displacement fishing boat by using Wave Spectra.	121
Table 4.2	Comparison of energy from experiments of displacement fishing boat by using Maximum Wave Height calculation	122
Table 4.3	Comparison of energy between the experiment of planing fishing boat by using wave spectra calculation.	131
Table 4.4	Comparison of energy between the experiment of planing fishing boat by using Maximum Wave Height calculation	133
Table 4.5	The maximum wave height of displacement fishing boat CFD simulation at 12 knot	136
Table 4.6	Wave height of planing fishing boat CFD simulation with 12 knot	139
Table 4.7	Comparison of field experiment and CFD simulation based on maximum wave height.	141
Table 4.8	The energy comparison between different water depths.	143

Table 4.9	The energy comparison of planing fishing boat between different depth by considering the current speed.	145
Table 4.10	Energy density of displacement fishing boat SGW at different width	148
Table 4.11	Wave energy density SGW of planing fishing boat based on different width	149
Table 4.12	Comparison of energy based on wave spectral energy in two displacement fishing boat direction with three speed and flooding and ebbing condition.	150
Table 4.13	Comparison of energy based on maximum wave height in two displacement fishing boat direction with three speed and flooding and ebbing condition.	150
Table 4.14	Comparison of energy based on wave spectral energy in two planing fishing boat directions with three speed and flooding and ebbing condition.	153
Table 4.15	Comparison of maximum wave height in two planing fishing boat directions with three speed and flooding and ebbing condition.	153
Table 4.16	Criteria status of energy maximum wave height of displacement fishing boat	157
Table 4.17	The Energy of SGW for displacement fishing boat at Mersing River	159
Table 4.18	Maximum wave height of planing fishing boat	160

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Fishing boats in the river, (a) Malaysian river (Joysan, 2013), (b) Indonesian river (Adi, 2017), (c) Vietnamese river (Lopez, 2015)	7
Figure 2.1	Kelvin wave pattern (Thomson, 1887).	16
Figure 2.2	The wave pattern with different Depth F_n (Havelock, 1908).	17
Figure 2.3	The wave pattern with angle location (Stumbo <i>et al.</i> 1998).	17
Figure 2.4	Plan view of leading super-critical waves (a) $F_{nd} = 2.2$, (b) $F_{nd} = 1.1$ (Whittaker <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	18
Figure 2.5	(a) Wave propagation considered with wave angle divergent wave, (b) Divergent wave angle (Molland <i>et al.</i> , 2002)	19
Figure 2.6	Kelvin wave pattern (Macfarlane, 2012)	20
Figure 2.7	Sub-Critical Kelvin Wave Pattern (Macfarlane, 2012)	23
Figure 2.8	Trans-Critical Kelvin wave pattern (Macfarlane, 2012)	23
Figure 2.9	Critical Kelvin wave pattern (Macfarlane, 2012)	25
Figure 2.10	Super-Critical Kelvin wave pattern (Macfarlane, 2012).	25
Figure 2.11	The energy of MV ECAT by field measurement and predicted (Stumbo, 2000)	28
Figure 2.12	Wash height at varying speeds and depths (Stumbo, 2000)	29
Figure 2.13	Energy density at varying speeds and depths (Stumbo, 2000)	29
Figure 2.14	Maximum wave height of the ship in shallow water at Xin xiagang River (Mao <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	30
Figure 2.15	Observed and calculated (a) H_{max} against F_{nd} , (b) H_{max} value (Dam <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	31
Figure 2.16	Computed water surface elevations at $F_n = 0.6$ (Dam <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	32
Figure 2.17	Ship pattern of monohull with (a) subcritical speed (b) supercritical speed (Belibassakis, 2003)	34
Figure 2.18	(a) Depth, (b) Speed, (c) Depth Froude Number along the HSC (Bennasai <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	35
Figure 2.19	Wave height vs speed trends in planing vessels (Maynard, 2005)	38

Figure 2.20	Comparison of Vessel (a) Wash Height, (b) Wash Energy Density at 300 meters (Stumbo <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	40
Figure 2.21	Wave contours, (a). FSI configuration; (b). FSO configuration (Yaakob <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	41
Figure 2.22	Wave profile comparison between FSI and FSO, (a) CL – Centre line, (b) longitudinal wave cut (y/L) of 0.2 (Yaakob <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	41
Figure 2.23	Vessel tested in wake wash and performance trial : (a) Spirit, (b) 1060, (c) M80 Stiletto, (d) M8. (Osborne <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	42
Figure 2.24	SGW height (Maximum wave height) height of all experimental data on untrimmed and trimmed draught of various number of persons onboard (Yaakob <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	43
Figure 2.25	(a) SGW measurement apparatus, (b) Wave probe arrangements (Ghani <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	45
Figure 2.26	(a) AWAC method, (b) Part of AWAC (Tan, 2012)	47
Figure 2.27	Pole arrangement with video recorder (Velegrakis <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	48
Figure 2.28	The sensor of echosounder attached at fixed structure (www.generalacoustics.com)	48
Figure 2.29	Wash pattern based on Shipflow software, (a) Sub-critical speed, (b) critical speed, (c) Super-critical speed (Kumar <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	51
Figure 2.30	Wave wash contour of displacement waterbus boat at (a) 8 knot, (b) 10 knot, (c) 12 knot, (d) 14 knot (Saha <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	52
Figure 2.31	(a) Far field wake, (b) Close up of near field (Kandasamy <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	52
Figure 2.32	Wave contour of DTMB 5415 (Ahmed <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	53
Figure 2.33	Simulation of wave height contour (Yaakob <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	54
Figure 2.34	Sinusoidal wave from a radius vector (Bhattacharyya, 1978)	62
Figure 2.35	Superimposition of regular waves to become irregular wave (Bhattacharyya, 1978)	63
Figure 2.36	Relationship between time, frequency and amplitude (Bechard, 2004)	64
Figure 2.37	Potential energy in a regular wave (Lloyd, 1989)	66
Figure 2.38	Kinetic energy in a regular wave (Lloyd, 1998)	67
Figure 2.39	Maximum Wave Height, Period, Energy and Power (Macfarlane, 2012)	70
Figure 2.40	Maximum wave energy and boat speed for three gauges (Ahmad <i>et al.</i> 2011)	70

Figure 2.41	Maximum Wave Energy of Condor Express (Fox Assoc, 2002)	71
Figure 2.42	Wake Energy for Different Speed Vessel (Osborne et al., 2007)	72
Figure 2.43	Energy spectrum of the wave (Bhattacharyya, 1978)	73
Figure 2.44	Wake wash in frequency domain(Bennasai et al., 2013)	74
Figure 2.45	Wake wash in spectra at Capo Posillipo (Bennasai et al.2015)	75
Figure 2.46	Comparison with FFT analysis with $Fnd = 0.6$ (Macfarlane, 2012)	76
Figure 2.47	(a) Wake wash of MV Niccos Myconos in frequency domain, (b) Wake wash of M/V Mytilene (Velegrakis et al., 2007).	76
Figure 3.1	The general flowchart to show the objective of research	80
Figure 3.2	Flowchart of phase 1 that shows how to achieve 1st objective.	81
Figure 3.3	Flowchart of phase 2 that shows how to achieve 2nd objective.	82
Figure 3.4	Flowchart of phase 3 that shows how to achieve 3 rd objective	83
Figure 3.5	Flowchart of phase 4 that shows how to achieve 4th objective.	84
Figure 3.6	Map of Field Measurement Location.	86
Figure 3.7	(a) Measuring coordinate experiment's area using Garmin GPS 128, (b) Water depth of experiment area are obtained by Furuno FCV-620.	86
Figure 3.8	Water depth of the experiment location in Mersing River.	87
Figure 3.9	Arrangement of field measurement.	88
Figure 3.10	Photo of the field experiment activity.	89
Figure 3.11	The height of water with consideration of the tidal condition based on daily tidal data at Mersing area (Jabatan Laut, 2011).	90
Figure 3.12	Displacement fishing boat used in the experiment	91
Figure 3.13	Planing fishing boat used in experiment.	92
Figure 3.14	Example of image for every video camera. (a)video at pole A, (b) video at pole B, (c) video at pole C, (d) video at pole D, (e) video at pole E, (f) video from high place (point F').	94
Figure 3.15	Flow chart for obtaining wave height time series	97
Figure 3.16	Matlab image processing. (a) determine the reference for scale factor, (b) determine wave height on the pole	98
Figure 3.17	Flowchart of SPECTRA Software	99
Figure 3.18	Comparison of FFT between Excel FFT, Spectra and SIGVIEW.	101

Figure 3.19	Sample of wave spectra chart	102
Figure 3.20	Geometry and Domain for Fishing Boat	103
Figure 3.21	Mesh for fishing boat, (a) Domain meshing for displacement and planing fishing boat, (b) Meshing for displacement fishing boat, (c) Meshing for planing fishing boat.	104
Figure 3.22	Direction of fluid flow	105
Figure 4.1	(a) Wave height time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (boat moving from upstream and downstream in 6 knot with flooding condition).	110
Figure 4.2	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (boat moving from upstream and downstream in 9 knots with flooding condition).	113
Figure 4.3	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (boat moving from upstream and downstream in 12 knot with flooding condition).	115
Figure 4.4	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (boat moving in 6,9 and 12 knot with calm water condition).	116
Figure 4.5	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (boat moving from upstream and downstream in 6 knot with ebbing condition).	117
Figure 4.6	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (boat moving from upstream and downstream in 9 knot with ebbing condition).	118
Figure 4.7	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (boat moving from upstream and downstream in 12 knot with ebbing condition).	119
Figure 4.8	Wave energy of SGW from displacement fishing boat (based on spectral wave energy).	121
Figure 4.9	Wave energy of SGW from fishing boat (based on maximum wave height).	123
Figure 4.10	Maximum wave height of SGW from displacement fishing boat	124
Figure 4.11	Comparison maximum wave height between displacement fishing boat at Mersing River and boat at Xi Cheng Canal, Yangtse River.	125
Figure 4.12	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (planing fishing boat moving from upstream and downstream in 6 knot flooding condition).	126

Figure 4.13	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (planing fishing boat moving from upstream and downstream in 9 knot flooding condition).	127
Figure 4.14	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (planing fishing boat moving from upstream and downstream in 12 knot flooding condition).	128
Figure 4.15	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (planing fishing boat moving from upstream and downstream in 6, 9 and 12 knot calm water condition).	129
Figure 4.16	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (planing fishing boat moving from upstream and downstream in 6 knot ebbing condition).	129
Figure 4.17	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (planing fishing boat moving from upstream and downstream in 9 knot ebbing condition).	130
Figure 4.18	(a) Wave height in time series domain, (b) Wave spectra in frequency series domain (planing fishing boat moving from upstream and downstream in 12 knot ebbing condition).	130
Figure 4.19	Wave energy of SGW from planing fishing boat (based on spectral wave energy)	132
Figure 4.20	Wave energy of SGW from planing fishing boat (based on maximum wave height)	133
Figure 4.21	The comparison of maximum wave height between planing fishing boat and tourism boat	134
Figure 4.22	(a) Wave contour with isometric view, (b) Wave contour with top view, (c) Cut off of displacement fishing boat wave height	136
Figure 4.23	The comparison between maximum wave height from CFD result of displacement boat between water buses at Buriganga River and Fishing Boat at Mersing River	137
Figure 4.24	(a) Wave contour with isometric view, (b) Wave contour with top view, (c) Wave height of fishing boat with V_s :6.2 m/s (12 knots)	139
Figure 4.25	The comparison between maximum wave height from CFD result of planing boat between tourism boat at Kilim River and fishing boat at Mersing River	140
Figure 4.26	The energy comparison between different depths for fishing boat; (a) Based on speed, (b) Based on F_{nd}	144
Figure 4.27	The energy comparison at various depths for displacement and planing fishing boat, (a) Based on speed, (b) Based on F_{nd} .	146

Figure 4.28	The wave energy density of displacement fishing boat based on distance from sailing line	148
Figure 4.29	The wave energy density of planing fishing boat based on distance from sailing line	149
Figure 4.30	The energy based on wave spectral energy in flooding condition for displacement fishing boat	151
Figure 4.31	The energy based on wave spectral energy in ebbing condition for displacement fishing boat.	151
Figure 4.32	The energy based on maximum wave height in flooding condition for displacement fishing boat	152
Figure 4.33	The energy based on maximum wave height in ebbing condition for displacement fishing boat	152
Figure 4.34	The energy based on wave spectral energy in flooding condition for planing fishing boat.	154
Figure 4.35	The energy based on wave spectral energy in ebbing condition for planing fishing boat.	154
Figure 4.36	The energy based on maximum wave height in flooding condition for planing fishing boat.	155
Figure 4.37	The energy based on maximum wave height in ebbing condition for planing fishing boat	155
Figure 4.38	The energy based on maximum wave height with Brisbane River Criteria for displacement fishing boat.	158
Figure 4.39	The energy based on maximum wave height with Brisbane River Criteria for displacement fishing boat with modified trendline.	159
Figure 4.40	The energy based on maximum wave height with Brisbane River Criteria for planing fishing boat.	160

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADI	-	Alternating Direction Implicit
AWAC	-	Acoustic Wave and Current Profiler
CFD	-	Computational Fluid Dynamic
CL	-	Center Line
DAQ	-	Data Acquisition
DFT	-	Discrete Fourier Transform
DMA	-	Danish Maritime Authority
DTMB	-	David Taylor Model Basin
FFT	-	Fast Fourier Transform
FSI	-	Flat Side Inner
FSO	-	Flat Side Outer
HALSS	-	Heavy Air Lift Support Siho
HSC	-	High Speed Cruise
IIHR	-	Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research
LKIM	-	Lembaga Kemajuan Ikan Malaysia
M/V	-	Motor Vessel
NE	-	North East
SE	-	South East
SEAFDEC	-	Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Centre
SGW	-	Ship Generated Waves
TFR	-	Time Frequency Representation
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
WSF	-	Washington State Ferries

LIST OF SYMBOLS

B	-	Breadth of ship
c	-	Velocity of ship (Havelock calculation only)
D	-	Depth of ship
E_d	-	Energy Density
E_p	-	Potential Energy
E_k	-	Kinetic energy
E_{max}	-	Energy of maximum wave
E_{total}	-	Energy of entire wave train
F	-	Frequency
F_{nd}	-	Depth Froude Number
F_{nl}	-	Length Froude Number
g	-	Gravity coefficient
h	-	Water depth
H_{max}	-	Maximum wave height
L_{oa}	-	Length overall
L_{pp}	-	Length of Perpendicular
L_{wl}	-	Length of water line
m	-	Mass
N	-	Number of data
R	-	Coefficient determination
T	-	Draft
T_{max}	-	Period of maximum wave
T_{ww}	-	Wave mean period
V_s	-	Service speed
V_c	-	Current speed
V_R	-	Relative speed
α	-	Angle between divergent wave line and sailing line
v	-	Vessel speed
ρ	-	Fluid density
ζ	-	Wave amplitude

λ - Wave length
 ω - Period

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Field Experiment Work	175
Appendix B	Programming of Video Extraction in Matlab	181

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

In recent decades, researches on Ship Generated Waves (SGW) have focused on the study of wake wash. It has become one of the important design criteria in addition to the particular dimensions of speed, dead weight capacity, number of passenger and manoeuvrability of the ship. Naval architects and shipbuilders also consider that the wake wash is an important issue especially to make environmental-friendly design.

Macfarlane (2012) reported that SGW or wake wash is influential for the user of the waterways and the surrounding environment due to the following:

- i. Cause shoreline or bank erosion;
- ii. Make nuisance to moored vessels;
- iii. Damage jetties and other marine structures;
- iv. Endanger people working or enjoying activities in small craft or close to the shore;
- v. Destroy fragile water plants and disturb the silt;
- vi. Damage the ecology of intertidal and shallow sub-tidal habitat.

Soomere et al. (2011) also described that SGW would contribute to damage in wide archipelago area, narrow straits and inland waterway. Parnell et al. (2015) reported that SGW had an effect on shallow water lagoons. Didenkulova and Rodin (2013) reported that high amplitude water waves in Tallinn Bay, Baltic Sea induced by the regular passing of high speed vessels could cause intense beach erosion and disturb marine habitats in coastal zones. Moreover, Kandasamy *et al.* (2011b) revealed

that the wake of high speed passenger ferries caused beach erosion and damage to the habitat in Rich Passage between Seattle and Bremerton.

Recently, research activities were also focused on the phenomenon in rivers. The study of ship-generated waves in this area is important because of its shallow and very narrow water. The characteristic of river leads to consideration of restricted area. Stumbo *et al.* (2000) found that the energy of ship's wake wash in shallow water is higher than deep water. Therefore, the river can acquire higher energy from ship waves than open sea, which later makes it more vulnerable to erosion than coastal area.

Some countries have already had detailed discussion about this issue. In Australia, many rivers have been explored regarding this phenomenon. Bradbury (2005b) revealed that the management in Gordon River tried to monitor wave wake from cruise vessel which had maximum wave height criterion. Macfarlane and Cox (2004) also studied the phenomenon in Brisbane River and eventually proposed some vessel operating criteria based on known erosion indicators such as wave energy and period from simple vessel. They also gave recommendation to regulatory authorities about the means to control boats in traffic and its associated environmental impact. Watterson *et al.* (2012) assessed that vessel wake resulting from towing activities in Tweed River had potential to cause and increase the bank erosion. They concluded that this wave has become the dominant erosion mechanism.

In Europe, a study of SGW in river area has been done by Göransson *et al.* (2014). This research investigated the SGW in the Gota Alv River from the cargo or commercial ships that have low speed condition. They found that the generated waves triggered sediment transport and erosion along the river bed and banks.

The ship waves in the USA rivers have also been observed in Severn River. Tan (2012) made updated equation model of predicting boat-generated wave heights given a set of basic vessel parameters, i.e. vessel lengths, speed and the distance of sailing line from the shore.

In Malaysia, several experiments and simulations have been performed to understand the SGW. The field measurements in Kemaman River and Kilim River Geoforest have been conducted by some researchers. Ahmad *et al.* (2011) explained that wave energy was produced by boat generating wave in Kemaman River and described that riverbank erosion occurred when the boats navigate the estuary. Moreover, Diyana (2017) described the effect of boat speed, the number of passengers and boat trim on SGW. Diyana also investigated the speed limit for tourist boats when passing through restricted areas.

Gourlay (2011) explained that the boat generated waves are the major contributing factor for shoreline erosion. However, the erosion in the river banks have been largely caused by SGW especially at narrows area. Tan (2012) also described that wave of passing vessel could create damages to shoreline and disturb aquatic habitat. Tan also explained that SGW need to be considered by the Navy during clandestine infiltration operation. For that purpose, the boat should be small with low wake wash, thus the wave can disappear before shoreline and minimize water noise and movement on the river.

The other recent research on SGW in the river are provided by Yaakob *et al.* (2015), Mao *et al.* (2016), Thuy *et al.* (2017) and Saha *et al.* (2017). Yaakob *et al.* (2015) studied tourism boat at Kilim River using field experiment and CFD simulation. Yaakob investigated the draft effect in the boat that simulates the number of passengers. Mao observed SGW with field experiment in Xin Xiagang River (a part of Yangtse River in China). Mao *et al.* (2016) developed the numerical model for SGW based on depth averaged Non-Linear Shallow Water (NLSW) equations. Thuy *et al.* (2017) have investigated the effects of river vegetation and timber piling on the attenuation of SGW that cause erosion at river bank. A numerical model was generated based on two dimensional Boussinesq-type equations to predict the ship wave prorogation through river vegetation and timber piling.

Saha *et al.* (2017) carried out a case study of SGW in Buriganga River, Bangladesh using CFD simulation to get the maximum wave height and made the

comparison of water based on Kriebel *et al.* (2003). The speed limitation was also proposed to obtain the acceptable wave energy of SGW.

One source of SGW in river is fishing boats. If the river area is close to sea, this area usually has high traffic. When fishing boat passes the river, the boat wake wash can cause disturbance on moored boats and loading-unloading activities. In the actual problem, when any boat passes on the river, the wave will propagate to moored boats and eventually cause motion. As a result, this may cause the moored boats to collide or rub against each other.

Different size and type of boats have been found to produce different effects on the wake wash characteristics. The comparison of several SGW researches are summarized in Table 1.1. The table shows the research based on year, type of ship, research/activity method, Froude Number as well as location of research. Therefore, this table provides the information of previous studies of SGW, and will be discussed in the next section.

1.2 SGW of Fishing Boats

Most studies of SGW were carried out on fast ferry, high speed craft (as of patrol boat), as well as cargo ship. As shown in Table 1.1, Stumbo (2000), Belibassakis (2003), Osborne *et al.* (2007), Velegrakis *et al.* (2007), Dam *et al.* (2008), Soomere *et al.* (2009), Soomere *et al.* (2011), Tan (2012), Kandasamy *et al.* (2011a), Didenkulova and Rodin (2013), Kimura *et al.* (2014), Parnell *et al.* (2015) and Thuy *et al.* (2017) conducted their respective studies with fast ferry (high speed ship). Ghani and Rahim (2008) did the research on a patrol boat, while Göransson *et al.* (2013) used cargo ship in their study.

In terms of hull type, researchers investigated the catamaran as well as monohull. Whittaker *et al.* (2000a), Osborne *et al.* (2007), Kandasamy *et al.* (2011a), Macfarlane (2012) used catamaran hull for the study. Yaakob *et al.* (2012) investigated the configuration of asymmetric catamaran hull form. Table 1.1 shows that most researchers conducted experiment using monohull. Regarding the Depth Froude

Number (F_{nd}), most researchers applied subcritical, critical and supercritical speed regimes.

There are not many studies on SGW for fishing boats in river area, as observed in Table 1.1. However, the investigation of fishing boats SGW is needed because the wave instigates erosion easier in the river than in sea shore area, as the river is narrower with restricted area characteristics. Most SGW studies in river areas showed that SGW increase erosion potential in rivers (Bradbury (2005a); Dam *et al.* (2008); Ahmad *et al.* (2011); Macfarlane (2012); Goranson *et al.* (2014); Thuy *et al.* (2017)).

In Southeast Asia, many fishing boats are operated in the sea. Based on data from Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Centre (SEAFDEC, 2012), the number of fishing boats is divided into two length categories: less than 24 meters and 24 meters and over. Table 1.2 shows the number of fishing boats in ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asia Nations) countries. The table revealed that the majority of fishing boats have length less than 24 meters. The top five countries in terms of number of fishing boats is Indonesia, Philippines, Vietnam, Malaysia and Thailand. In particular, Indonesia and Philippines have more than 400,000 boats each, due to their nature of archipelago islands.

Most of the fishing boats park in the river nearby estuarine without proper boat parking area, which makes the river very restricted. Meanwhile, unsafe traditional berths are common. This is the general condition of fishing boat area. Figure 1.1 shows an example of the crowded situation in rivers. The impact of SGW in the river can result in clashing among boats as well as vibration on traditional berth. In terms of erosion, many banks in the river do not have bank protection such as concrete slab, therefore they eventually erode when hit by SGW.

Table 1.1 Comparison of research work in ship generated wave studies

No	Author (year)	Type of ship	Method	Research Location	Depth water condition
1	Macfarlane, Renilson (1999).	Catamaran	Exp. (Model scale, wave probe); $F_{nd} = NA$	Australia Maritime College	Deep water
2	Whittaker <i>et al.</i> (2000a).	Catamaran, monohull fast ferries	Exp. (field exp, wave buoy & model scale); $F_{nd} = 0.8 - 1.1$	Loch Ryan, Belfast Lough	Shallow water
3	Stumbo (2000).	Fast ferries (catamaran)	Exp. (field exp, wave buoy); $F_{nd} = 0.7 - 1.2$	Rich Passage, Puget Sound	Shallow and deep water
4	Fox Associates (2002).	MV Condor Express (ferry)	Exp. (field exp, wave buoy); $F_{nd} = NA$ ($V_s = 39$ kn)	Rich Passage, Puget Sound	Deep water
5	Belibassakis (2003).	Fast ferry (small ferry 38 m)	CFD ; $F_{nd} = 0.5 - 1.25$	No info	Deep water
6	Macfarlane and Cox (2004).	Patrol boat	Exp. (field exp); $F_{nd} = NA$ ($V_s = 5 - 27$ kn)	Nossa & Brisbane River, AUS	Deep water
7	Bradbury (2005b).	Recreational cruise	Exp. (field exp); $F_{nd} = 0.5$ ($V_s = 5 - 6$ kn)	Gordon River, AUS	NA
8	Osborne <i>et al.</i> (2007).	High speed ferry (catamaran)	Exp. (field exp, wave gauge); $F_{nd} = 0.91$ ($V_s = 10 - 45$ kn)	Rich Passage, Puget Sound	Deep water
9	Velegrakis <i>et al.</i> (2007).	Conventional, high speed ferries	Exp. (field exp, video rec.); $F_{nd} = NA$ ($V_s = 17$ & 26.5 kn)	Cape Lena Beach, Mytilene	Deep water
10	Kumar <i>et al.</i> (2007).	Ferry	CFD (Shipflow); $F_{nd} = 0.5 - 2.6$ ($V_s = 5 - 30$ kn)	San Francisco Bay, USA	Shallow and deep water
11	Ghani <i>et al.</i> (2008).	Harbour patrol boat (monohull)	Exp. (Model scale, wave probe); $F_{nd} = 0.6 - 1.4$	UTM laboratory	Shallow and deep water
12	Dam <i>et al.</i> (2008).	Water buses	Exp. (field exp., wave gauge); $F_{nd} = 0.45 - 0.95$	Shingashi River, Tokyo, Japan	Shallow water
13	Soomere <i>et al.</i> (2011).	Fast ferry (high speed craft)	Exp. (field exp, echosounder); $F_{nd} = NA$ ($V_s = 30 - 35$ kn)	Tallinn Bay, Baltic Sea	Shallow water
14	Ahmad <i>et al.</i> (2011).	Fishing boat	Exp. (field exp, wave gauge); $F_{nd} = NA$ ($V_s = 6 - 16$ kn)	Kemaman River, Malaysia	NA
15	Kandasamy <i>et al.</i> (2011a).	HSC ferry (catamaran)	CFD; $F_{nd} = NA$ ($V_s = 17$ & 26.5 kn)	Rich Passage, Seattle to Bremerton	Deep water
16	Yaakob <i>et al.</i> (2012).	Asym. catamaran, leisure boat	Exp. (Model scale), CFD ; $F_{nd} = 0.1 - 0.3$	UTM laboratory	Deep water
17	Macfarlane (2012).	Monohull, catamaran	Exp. (Model scale); $F_{nd} = 0.2 - 2.2$	Australia Maritime College	Shallow and deep water
18	Tan (2012).	Unified Cruiser (monohull)	Exp. (field exp., video recording) and Wave gauge	Severn River, Annapolis, USA	Shallow water
19	Marrone <i>et al.</i> (2012).	Alliance Vessel – Exp., CFD	Exp. (model scale) and CFD;	Italian Ship Model Basin, Rome	Deep water
20	Didenkulova, Rodin (2013).	High Speed Ferries (monohull)	Exp. (field exp., video recording)	Tallin Bay, Baltic Sea	Shallow and deep water
21	Göransson <i>et al.</i> (2013).	Cargo ship (monohull)	Exp. (field exp., video recording)	Gota Alv River, Sweden	Shallow water
22	Gomit <i>et al.</i> (2014).	Exp. in deep water (monohull)	Exp. (model scale) and CFD	Universite de Poitiers, France	Deep water
23	Kimura <i>et al.</i> (2014).	Sakujima Ferry (monohull)	Exp. (Model scale) by wave gauge	Kagoshima Bay, Japan	Deep water
24	Caplier <i>et al.</i> (2015).	monohull	Exp. (model scale) and CFD	University of Poitiers, France	Shallow and deep water
25	Yaakob <i>et al.</i> (2015).	CFD for wigley hull (monohull)	CFD	UTM laboratory	Deep water
26	Benassai <i>et al.</i> (2015).	HSC (monohull, catamarans)	Exp. (field exp., wave gauge); $F_{nd} = NA$ ($V_s = 20 - 30$ kn)	Gulf of Naples, Italy	Deep water
27	Noblesse <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Monohull, catamaran	CFD; $F_{nd} = 0.58, 0.68, 0.86, 1.58$ (monohull),); $F_{nd} = 1, 2.5$	Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ., China	NA
28	Mao <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Monohull	Exp. (field exp., wave gauge) and CFD; $F_{nd} = 0.52 - 1.15$	Yangtze River, China	Shallow water
29	Saha <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Waterbuses (catamaran)	CFD and theoretical; $F_{nd} = 0.6 - 1.07$	Buriganga River, Bangladesh	Shallow water
30	Thuy <i>et al.</i> (2017)	High-speed ship (monohull)	Exp. (field exp., video rec); $F_{nd} = 0.45 - 2.67$	Kinh Sang River, Vietnam	Shallow water

Table 1.2 The number of fishing boats in Southeast Asia (SEAFDEC, 2012)

No	Country	Number of Fishing Boat		
		Less than 24 meters	24 meters and over	Total
1	Brunei Darussalam	2,476	4	2,480
2	Cambodia	7,034	0	7,034
3	Indonesia	569,105	1,722	570,827
4	Laos	1,615	0	1,615
5	Malaysia	49,673	83	49,756
6	Myanmar	27,000	1,357	28,357
7	Philippines	472,804	594	473,398
8	Thailand	33,050	865	33,915
9	Vietnam	127,700	300	128,000



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 1.1 Fishing boats in the river, (a) Malaysian river (Joysan, 2013), (b) Indonesian river (Adi, 2017), (c) Vietnamese river (Lopez, 2015)

1.3 Wave energy of SGW

There are several known methods to measure the total wave energy of SGW in field measurement. In the field study at Kemaman River estuary, the energy generated by boat at mangrove area was observed and calculated by Ahmad *et al.* (2011) using

the formula that correlates the energy of maximum wave. In this experiment, the researchers showed the calculation of energy of maximum wave (E_{max}) and energy of entire wave train (E_{total}). The energy of maximum wave was obtained by using peak period at maximum wave height. The formula is valid only at Kemaman River for water depth of 0.1 meter to 1.8 meter and the boat speed is around 5 – 30 knots for fishing boat and passenger boat. The method to measure maximum wave energy has also been used by Stumbo *et al.* (2000), Macfarlane and Cox (2004).

Another method to indicate the energy uses wave spectral analysis that can represent the total wave energy, not only the energy of maximum wave height. It is useful to estimate and filter the wave component. In field measurement of SGW, this method is developed by Osborne (2007), Velegrakis *et al.* (2007), Gourlay (2011) and Benassai *et al.* (2015). Velegrakis *et al.* (2007) also applied this technique to get the significant frequency / period of the wave. Gourlay (2011) showed that this method gives a direct energy measure based on the integrated wave elevation time trace. Benassai *et al.* (2015) described new spectral energy analysis to filter the wind sea wave component from SGW of High Speed Craft (HSC). Benassai *et al.* (2015) proposed this technique to give more reliable operational strategies and mitigative measures of SGW. Besides that, the study shows a new spectral analysis procedure that allows the filtering of the wind sea component. Therefore, the SGW component can be evaluated separately and both the height and energy density of SGW can be estimated.

1.4 Factors affecting SGW

Many factors contribute to the SGW/wake wash pattern which is very complex. Macfarlane and Renilson (1999) described the factors as environmental factors, vessel parameters, and other factors.

Referring to Table 1.1, all researchers considered the effect of the speed of the vessel. In an experiment, Stumbo *et al.* (1999) observed the SGW with speed and draft (vessel parameter). Whittaker *et al.* (2000) considered speed and water depth. Stumbo *et al.* (2000) added the factors of speed, trim, Depth Froude Number (F_{nd}) and Length

Froude Number (F_n) parameters to consider. Macfarlane and Cox (2004) used variation of speed for demonstrating the wave height. Kumar *et al.* (2007) made the comparison between speed, water depth, and length F_n . Dam *et al.* (2008) investigated river current velocity, although it was not based on actual current velocity. Ahmad *et al.* (2011) observed the SGW at river with different speeds, water depths and tide conditions, but the information on the hull used was not provided. Moreover, they did not adequately discuss the SGW during ebbing, slack and flooding on the tide condition.

Goransson *et al.* (2014) investigated the SGW on Gota Alv River, Sweden by using several cargo ships with speed between 5 and 10 knots. They also considered water depth and river speed as the environmental factors. On the other hand, Bennasai *et al.* (2015) observed the SGW of HSC at coastal environment. Bennasai ran field experiment by using pressure sensor wave instrument to measure wave height. This experiment had several factors to consider, e.g. speed and hullform (monohull and catamaran), but it lacks detailed information about the tidal condition or change in water depth.

Mao *et al.* (2016) conducted the field measurement and numerical study for SGW of ship at Xicheng canal, China. Speed of ship was considered as a factor in the experiment, thus it had several F_{nd} . The measurement used two types of ship based on tonnage with different speeds. Meanwhile, Thuy *et al.* (2017) also investigated SGW of HSC at Ca Mau River, Vietnam. In this field experiment, the speed was the only factor considered for SGW. Lastly, Saha *et al.* (2017) observed the SGW of waterbuses at Buriganga River, Bangladesh. In this research, Saha considered factors of speed and water depth using monohull in the simulation and theoretical study.

In terms of vessel parameters, the speed and hullform types were considered. Based on Table 1.1, all researchers only use one speed per ship or boat in field measurement. However, the wave height due to different speeds is important to understand. Therefore, the present study investigates several speeds for the boat with two different hullforms, so that the wave height with different speeds can be identified.

Tidal condition is an environmental factor which may affect SGW especially at the estuary area. Tidal rise and fall lead to different water depth and current velocity. The river can be considered a restricted area due to limited width and also heavy boat traffic, therefore the tidal will be significant factor affecting the wake wash of boat.

1.5 Problem Statement

Many researches on SGW have focused on HSC, e.g. ferries, patrol boat and cargo ship. Only two studies (Ahmad, 2011) and (Diyana, 2017) investigated the SGW with fishing boat, although the number of this boat is abundant, especially in ASEAN countries. Most of the boats are navigated in the river at estuary area during loading and unloading activity, parking, and sailing in/out, therefore the traffic is very high in this area, and will be very restricted. In estuary, the dominant environmental effect is tidal, but unfortunately in-depth investigation of this effect has not been conducted before. Tidal effect may present the critical issues that are closely related to the different water depth, as well as current speed and direction of tide flow due to the boats movement at flooding and ebbing condition of the river. As the width of the river is restricted, the energy of SGW beside the boat must also be known in order to get the safe distance between fishing boats and other boats. In addition, the speed of boats along river area are mainly based on the experience of fisherman, thus there is no standard of allowable speed during the navigation in the river. Therefore, it is important to indicate the allowable speed along the river. The tide effect can also affect the allowable speed of the boats, thus study of this effect is important especially at estuary area. If a boat sails in such river with relatively high speed, the SGW may cause serious destruction to the river banks and collision between the moored boats..

1.6 Research Questions

- i. Does depth fluctuation of water due to tides have significant effect on the SGW?
- ii. How does the SGW's energy beside the boat effect other boats?

- iii. What is the effect of flooding and ebbing on the fishing boat movement against wave height and energy of SGW?
- iv. What is the effect of tide on the allowable speed of displacement and planing fishing boat in the river?

1.7 Objective

- v. To determine the effect of water depth due to tidal rise and fall on SGW of displacement and planing hull fishing boat.
- vi. To assess the SGW at different width from sailing line.
- vii. To investigate the effect of tidal condition on ship wakes.
- viii. To obtain allowable speed of fishing boat while sailing in the river.

1.8 Research Scope

- ix. The data of water depth and current speed are taken from actual condition measured from fishing boat's sensor.
- x. The study uses field measurements and numerical study as CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamic) by using ANSYS CFX.
- xi. The methods to measure and characterize boat wake wash using visual observation with digital video are modified from other researchers.
- xii. The analysis uses spectral energy on field measurement.
- xiii. The reflection phenomena in the field experiment is not considered. The experiment The data of water depth and current speed are taken from actual condition measured from fishing boat's sensor.
- xiv. The field experiment uses both displacement and planing fishing boats (commonly used by onshore fisherman). The experiment run at

Mersing River was considered restricted area due to limited width and heavy boat traffic, therefore the tidal will be significant factor affecting the wake wash of boat.

1.9 Significance of the Research

Ship Generated Waves (SGW) of fishing boat in the river is important because the river is a restricted area. The study determines the spectral energy analysis of the SGW. Besides that, the effect of environmental factors such as tidal effect and vessel parameters in speed and hull type are described in accordance with SGW. This research is among the first to investigate tidal effect of such phenomenon in the river particularly at estuary area in Malaysia, which is important for regulating the river traffic especially in crowded areas. The allowable speed is also provided for Mersing River considering the energy impact of the SGW on the bank.

1.10 Organization of the Thesis

The thesis is organized in five chapters. First chapter presents an overview of the current study and provides the objective, scope and the significance of the study.

Chapter two describes the detailed review of the previous researches related to the current work. The chapter elaborates the wave pattern of SGW as per Depth Froude Number, the factors influencing in SGW, the present methods to investigate SGW, the criteria rules of SGW and the theoretical approach as well as statistical analysis of time histories of irregular waves, wave energy and spectrum.

Chapter three presents the flowchart of research methodology that shows the sequence of phases to obtain the objective of the research. The method to obtain wave height for field measurement is explained in this chapter. The description of research area is also presented. This chapter discusses how the field measurement works from the set up to the imaging process to get the wave height Chapter three presents the flowchart of research methodology that shows the sequence of phases to obtain the

objective of the research. The method to obtain wave height for field measurement is explained in this chapter. The description of research area is also presented. This chapter discusses how the field measurement works from the set up to the imaging process to get the wave height to how to derive the wave spectral energy. The method to get SGW by CFD simulation is also elaborated including the simulation set up, meshing process, as well as determining the case study with certain parametric study as speed.

Chapter four shows the result of field measurement activity of displacement and planing fishing boat. The result presents the wave height characteristic obtained from image processing. Therefore, this chapter describes the wave height for displacement and displacement fishing boat. This chapter also discusses the wave spectra density for various scenario on displacement and planing fishing boat. The CFD result is also presented in this chapter. After that, the discussion the extent of results from field measurement experiment and CFD simulation will be provided. The explanation of results is divided into four parts with respect to each objective.

Finally, chapter five describe the conclusion of the research. In addition, the recommendation for the future research is presented.

1.11 Summary

This chapter shows that SGW at river is very important to study, as waves have the potential to destroy the riverside in the long term. The effect is more prominent in estuary, which is a restricted area, creating additional exposure leading to greater impact. The tidal effect is studied in this reseach by using field experiment and CFD simulation. This effect can be influenced by different water depth, width of river and effect of the tidal. The factors that influence the wave pattern and methods to determine the SGW impact are elaborated further in the next chapter.

REFERENCES

- Adi, M. (2017). Destinasi Wisata Kampung Nelayan Grand Pathek Diluncurkan. <https://newsmedia.co.id/destinasi-wisata-kampung-nelayan-grand-pathek-diluncurkan/>. 18 Dec 2017.
- Ahmad, M.F., Mohd Yusoff, M.F., Husain, M.L., Wan Nik, W.M.N., Muzathiz, A.M. (2011). An Investigation of Boat Wakes Wave Energy: A case Study of Kemaman River Estuary. *Proceedings of UMTAS 2011*. 11-13 July 2011. Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia.
- Ahmed, Y.M., (2011). Numerical Simulation for The Free Surface Flow Around a Complex Ship Hull Form at Different Froude Number. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. 50, 229–235.
- Ahmed, Y.M, Yaakob, O.B., Rashid, M.F.A, Elbatran, A.H. (2015). Determining Ship Resistance Using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD). *Journal of Transport System Engineering*. 2(1), 20-25
- Bechar, P. (2004). Advanced Spectral Analysis. *NETA World Summer*.
- Begovic, E., Benassai, G., Nocerino, E., Scamardella, A. (2007). Field investigation of wake wash generated by HSC in the Bay of Naples. *2nd International Conference on Marine Research and Transportation* (Ischia, Naples, 28-30 June 2007), University of Naples “Federico II”. 243-252.
- Belibassakis, K.A. (2003). A coupled-mode technique for the transformation of ship-generated waves. *Applied Ocean Research*. 25, 321-336.
- Bennasai, G., Piscopo, V., Scamardella, A. (2013). Field study on waves produced by HSC for coastal management. *Ocean & Coastal Management*. 82:138-145
- Bennasai, G., Piscopo, V., Scamardella, A. (2015). Spectral analysis of waves produced by HSC for coastal management. *Journal Marine Science Technology*. 20:417-428.
- Bhattacharyya, R. (1978). *Dynamics of Marine Vehicles*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. USA.
- Bradbury, J. (2005a). Lower Gordon River turbidity monitoring. *Nature Conservation Branch Report*. Department of Primary Industries, Park, Water and Environmental. Australia.

- Bradbury, J. (2005b). Revised wave wake criteria for vessel operation on the lower Gordon River. *Unpublished Nature Conservation Branch Report, Tasmanian Department of Primary Industry, Water & Environment.*
- Caplier, C., Rousseaux, G., Calluau, D., David, L. (2015). An Experimental Study of The Effect of Finite Water Depth and Lateral Confined on Ships Wake and Drag. *E-proceeding of the 36th IAHR World Congress.* 28 June-3 July 2015. The Hague, Netherlands.
- Dam, K.T., Tanimoto, K., Fatimah, E. (2008). Investigation of ship waves in a narrow channel. *Journal of Marine Science Technology.* 13(3), 223–230.
- Danish Maritime Authority - DMA (1997). *Report on the Impact of the High-speed Ferries on the External Environment.* Denmark: Danish Maritime Authority.
- Didenkulova, I., Rodin, A. (2013). A typical wave wake from high-speed vessels :its group structure and run-up. *Nonlin. Processes in Geophysics.* 20, 179-188.
- Didenkulova, I., Sheremet, A., Torsvik, T., Soomere, T. (2013). Characteristic properties of different vessel wake signals. *Journal of Coastal Research,* 65, 213-218.
- Diyana, A.N. (2017). Ship-Generated Waves Characteristics under Different Boat Loading and Speed in Kilim River, Langkawi. *Master Thesis.* UTM. Malaysia
- Firdauz, S.N., Golingi, T., Oliver, M. (2008). DEIA and Hydraulic Studies for the Proposed Mersing Laguna Reclamation. *Detailed Environmental Impact Assessment Final.* DHI Water & Environmental (M) Sdn. Bhd.
- Fox Associates (2002). *MV Condor Express - Wake Wash Measurement Trials.* Washington: All American Marine, Inc.
- Ghani, A.M.P., Rahim. M.N.A. (2008). The Prediction of Wake Wash in the Towing Tank *Jurnal Mekanikal - UTM ,* 26, 129-140.
- Gomit, G., Rousseaux, G., Chatellier, L., Calluau, D., David, L. (2014). Spectral analysis of ship waves in deep water from accurate measurement of the free surface elevation by optical methods. *Physics of Fluid.* 26 (122101), 1-12.
- Goransson, G. Larson, M. Althage, J. (2014). Ship-Generated Waves and Induced Turbidity in the Göta Älv River in Sweden. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering.* 140 (3), 04014004-1to11.
- Gourlay, T. (2011). Notes on shoreline erosion due to boat wakes and wind waves. *Centre for Marine Science and Technology (CMST)Research Report 2011-16,* Curtin University.

- Havelock. (1908). The Propagation of Groups of Waves in Dispersive Media, with Application to Waves on Water produced by a Travelling Disturbance. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character*. 81 (549), 398-430.
- Jabatan Perikanan Malaysia (2016). *Number of Licensed Fishing Vessel by Fisheries Districts*. https://www.dof.gov.my/dof2/resources/user_29/Documents/Perangkaan%20Perikanan/2016/Nel_Vesel2.pdf. February 2019.
- Jachowski, J. (2008). Assessment of ship squat in shallow water using CFD. *Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering*. 8 (1), 27-36.
- Joysan. (2013). *Fresh Live Oyster at Sekinchan Kampung* [Online]. Available: <http://joysan1214forever.blogspot.co.id/2013/11/fresh-live-oyster-at-sekinchan-kampung.html>. 4 November 2013.
- Kandasamy, M., Ooi, S. K., Carrica, P., Stern, F., Campana, E. F., Peri, D., Osborne, P., Cote, J., Macdonald, N., De Waal, N. (2011a). CFD validation studies for a high-speed foil-assisted semi-planing catamaran. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, 16, 157-167.
- Kandasamy, M., Peri, D., Ooi, S.K., Carrica, P., Stern, F., Campana, E.F., Osborn, P., Cote, J., Macdonald, N., Waal, N. (2011b), Multi fidelity optimization of a high speed foil assisted semi-planing catamaran for low wake. *Journal Marine Science Technology*. 16, 143-156.
- Kimura, A., Yamashita, K., Kakinuma, T. (2014). Surf point using a set of structures to amplify ship generated waves. *Coastal Engineering Proceeding*. 34, 51
- Kriebel, D., Seling, W., Judge, C. (2003). Development of a unified description of ship generated waves. *Proceedings of The U.S. Section PIANC Annual Meeting, Roundtable, And Technical Workshops*. October 29. Portland Marriott Downtown Hotel, Portland, Oregon, US.
- Kumar, S.A., Heimann, J., Hutchison, B.L., Fenical, S.W. (2007). Ferry Wake Wash Analysis in San Francisco Bay. *Int. Ports Conference (PORTS 2007) American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE)*. March 25-28. San Diego, CA, US.
- LABVIEW 2004. *LabVIEW Analysis Concepts*. National Instruments.
- Larson, L., Stern, F., Bertram, V. (2003). Benchmarking of Computational Fluid Dynamics for Ship Flows: The Gotheburg 2000 Workshop. *Journal of Ship Research*. 47 (1), 63-81.

- Li, N., Zhang, M., Nie, D., Sun, R.-Q. (2015). Study of electromagnetic composite scattering from a ship-generated internal wave wake and its underlying sea surface. *Waves in Random and Complex Media*, 25, 628-643.
- Lloyd, A. (1989). Seakeeping: ship behaviour in rough weather. *Ellis Horwood – Halstead Press*. UK.
- Lopez, A. (2015). My Journey to Betiful Pho Quoc Island, Vietnam. <http://www.wickedgoodtraveltips.com/2015/11/my-journey-to-beautiful-pho-quoc-island-vietnam/>. November 2015.
- Macfarlane, G., Renilson, M. (1999). Wave Wake - A Rational Method For Assessment. *The Royal Institution of Naval Architects International Conference on Coastal Ships and Inland Waterways*. London, UK.
- Macfarlane, G.J., Cox, G. (2004). The development of vessel wave wake criteria for the Noosa and Brisbane Rivers in Southeast Queensland. *Proc. 5th Intl. Conf. on Coastal Environment*. WIT Press. Alicante, Spain.
- Macfarlane, G. (2012). Marine Vessel Wave Wake: Focus on Vessel Operations within Sheltered Waterways, *PhD Thesis*, Australian Maritime College, University of Tasmania.
- Mao, L., Xu, S., Chen, Y. (2016). Numerical and Field Study of Ship Generated Waves in Xicheng Canal, China. *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference*. June 26-July 1. Rhodes, Greece.
- Marrone, S., Bouscasse, B., Colagrossi, A., Antuono, M. (2012). Study of ship wave breaking patterns using 3D parallel SPH simulations. *Computers & Fluids*. 69, 54-66.
- Mizine, I., Karafiath, G., Queutey, P., Visonneau, M. (2009). Interference Phenomenon in Design of Trimaran Ship. *10th International Conference on Fast Sea Transportation FAST*. Athens – Greece.
- Molland, A.F., Wilson, Taunton, D.J. (2002). Theoretical Prediction of the Characteristics of Ship Generated Near Field Wash Waves. *Ship Science Report*. 125
- Maynard, S. T. 2005. Wave Height from Planing and Semi-Planing Small Boats. *River Research and Applications*, 21, 1-17.
- Noblesse, F., Zhang, C., He, J., Zhu, Y., Yang, C., Li, W. (2016). Observations and Computations of Narrow Kelvin Ship Wakes. *Journal of Ocean Engineering and Science*. I, 52-65.

- Osborne, P.D., Hericks. D.B., Cote. J.M. (2007). Full-Scale Measurements of High Speed Passenger Ferry Performance and Wake Signature. *OCEAN 2007*. September 29 – October 4. Vancouver, BC, Canada, 1-10.
- Parnell, K. E., Kofoed-Hansen, H. (2001). Wakes from Large High-Speed Ferries in Confined Coastal Waters: Management approaches with examples from New Zealand and Denmark. *Coastal Management*, 29, 217-237.
- Parnell, K.E., Soomere, T., Zaggia, L., Rodin, A., Lorenzetti, G., Rapaglia, J., Scarpa, G.M. (2015). Ship-Induced Solitary Riemann Waves of Depression in Venice Lagoon. *Physics Letter A*. 379, 555-559.
- Saha, G.K, Sayem, M.B.A., Ashrafuzzaman, M., (2017). Wave Wash and Its Effects in Ship Design and Ship Operation: A Hydrodynamic Approach to Determine Maximum Permissible Speed in a Particular Shallow and Narrow Waterway. *Procedia Engineering*. 194, 152-159.
- SEAFDEC. (2012). The Expert Group Meeting Fishing License and Boats Registration in Southeast Asia. Bangkok, Thailand: Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center
- Soomere, T., Parnell, K.E., Didenkulova, I. (2009). Implications of Fast Ferry Wakes for Semi Sheltered Beaches : A Case Study at AegnaIsland, Baltic Sea. *Journal of Coastal Research*. 56, 138-132.
- Soomere, T., Parnell, K.E., Didenkulova, I. (2011). Water Transport in Wake Waves From High Speed Vessels. *Journal of Marine Systems*. 88, 74-81.
- Sørensen, A. J., Ådnes, A. K., Fossen, T. I., Strand, J.P. (1997). Prediction of Vessel-Generated Waves with Reference to Vessels Common to the Upper Mississippi River System. *4th IFAC Conference on Manoeuvring and Control of Marine Craft (MCMC'97)*, Brijuni, Croatia, 1997. 179.
- Stumbo, S., Fox, K., Dvorak, F., Elliot, L. (1998). The Prediction, Measurement, and Analysis of Wake Wash from Marine Vessels. *Marine Technology*. 36 (4), 248-260.
- Stumbo, S., Fox, K., Elliot, L. (1999). Hull Form Considerations in the Design of Low Wake Wash Catamarans. *Fast 99 – Fifth International Conference on FastSea Transportation*. August 31st – September 2nd. Seattle, US.
- Stumbo, S., Fox, K. Elliott, L. (2000). An Assessment of Wake Wash Reduction of Fast Ferries at Supercritical Froude Numbers and at Optimized Trim.

- Proceedings of the International Conference on Hydrodynamic of High Speed Craft*. November 7-8. London: RINA.
- Tan, S.W. (2012). Predicting Boat-Generated Wave Heights: A Quantitative Analysis through Video Observations of Vessel Wakes. *A Trident Scholar Project Report*. United States Naval Academy Annapolis, Maryland.
- Thomson, W. (Lord Kelvin). 1887. On Ship Waves. *Trans. Inst. Mech. Eng.* 8, 409-433.
- Thuy, N.B., Nandasena, N.A.K., Dang, V.H, Kim, S., Hien, N.X., Hole, L.R., Thai, T.H. (2017). Effect of River Vegetation With Timber Piling on Ship Wave Attenuation : Investigation by Field Survey and Numerical Modeling. *Ocean Engineering*. 139, 37-45.
- Velegrakis, A. F., M. I. Vousdoukas, Vagenas, A.M., Karambas, Th., Dinou, K., Zarkadas, Th. (2007). Field Observations of Waves Generated by Passing Ships: A note. *Coastal Engineering*. 54(4), 369-375.
- Watterson, E., Symonds, A., Adamantidis, C. (2012). Impact of Wake on Tweed River Bank Erosion Study. *Report for Tweed Shire Council*. SMEC Australia Pty Ltd, Australia.
- Whittaker, T., Doyle, R., Elsaesser, B. (2000a). A Study of Leading Long Period Waves in Fast Ferry Wash. *Proc. Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft – Wake Wash and Motions Control*. November 7-8. London :RINA
- Whittaker, T., Elsaesser, B., Bell, A. (2000b). Environmental Impact of Fast Ferry Wash in Shallow Water. *International Conference on Hydrodynamics for High Speed Craft*. November 7-8. London :RINA.
- Yaakob, O., Jamal, M.H., Adnan, F.A., Ghani, M.P.A., Ahmed, Y.M. (2015). Experimental Work Analysis at Sungai Kilim, Langkawi Technical Report. *Technical Report of Marine Technology Centre UTM*. Johor Bahru – Malaysia.
- Yaakob, O., Ahmed, Y. M., Rashid, M., Elbatran, A. (2015). Determining Ship Resistance Using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD). *Journal of Transport System Engineering*, 2, 20-25.
- Yaakob, O., Ghani, M. P. A., Mukti, M. A. A., Nasirudin, A., Tawi, K. B., Lazim, T. M. (2006) The Wake Wash Prediction on an Asymmetric Catamaran Hull Form. *International Conference on Natural Resources Engineering and Technology (INRET)*. 24-25 July 2006. Putrajaya, Malaysia.

Yaakob, O.B., Nasirudin, N., Abdul Ghani, M.P., Mat Lazim, T., Abd Mukti, M.A., Ahmed, Y.M., (2012). Parametric Study of a Low Wake Wash Inland Waterways Catamaran. *Scientia Iranica* . 19(3), 463-471.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. **Suprayogi, D.T.,** Yaakob, O., Adnan, F.A., Abdul Ghani, M.P. (2011) The Effect of Environmental Factors and Vessel Parameters on Ship Generated Waves. *The Marine Science & Technology Conference (MARSTEC 2011)*. KLCC, Malaysia.
2. **Suprayogi, D.T.,** Yaakob, O., Adnan, F.A., Abdul Ghani, M.P., Ullah Sheikh, U.U.S.I. (2012). Field Measurement of Fishing Boats Generated Waves. *The 6th Asia Pacific Workshop on Marine Hydrodynamics (APHydro 2012)*. UTM, Malaysia (Selected Paper).
3. **Suprayogi, D.T.,** Yaakob, O., Adnan, F.A., Abdul Ghani, M.P., Ullah Sheikh, U.U.S.I. (2014). Field Measurement of Fishing Boats Generated Waves. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 66(2), 183-188. **(Scopus Index)**
4. **Suprayogi, D.T.,** Yaakob, O., Adnan, F.A., Ahmed, Y.M. (2016). Wave Spectral Energy Study of Fishing Boats Generated Waves in River Area. *International Graduate Conference on Engineering, Science and Humanities (IGCESH 2016)* - . UTM, Malaysia (ID : 390).