IMPACT PROPERTIES OF CIRCULAR BEAM UNDER LATERAL LOADING

ROSLINA BINTI MOHAMMAD

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2006

Master's Project Report (By course work)

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical) Dedicated to my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my project supervisor Associate Professor Dr Amran Alias for his guidance and advice in completing this research.

I would also like to thank the technical staff in the Mechanics of Materials and Structures Laboratory for assisting me in carrying out experimental work, and my friends for giving their supports.

Last, but not least, I would like to thank my family for their patience and love.

ABSTRACT

Beam elements present simple damage mechanisms when they reach collapse configuration. The deformation characteristics of simply supported circular beams subjected to lateral impact are studied. Such loads tend to lead to large changes in geometry which are accommodated by plastic bending and shearing within regions of the beams. The study is concerned with the quasi-static bending of various diameter beams by cylindrical-nosed impactors for a variety of support spans. The objective of the present work includes the evaluation of the energy absorbing capacity of beam structures under impact loads. The range of energy-deformation curves and modes of deformation are described. Theoretical models are useful when designing energy-absorbing systems. The influence of material strain-rate sensitivity and system inertia in changing the quasi-static characteristic are discussed. The analytical results are discussed and compared with the experimental results.

ABSTRAK

Anggota bercirikan rasuk memberikan mekanisme kerosakan mudah apabila mereka menghampiri keadaan runtuh. Ciri-ciri deformasi rasuk silinder bulat yang disokong mudah adalah menjadi kajian apabila struktur-struktur ini dikenakan hentaman melintang. Bebanan sedemikian selalunya akan menyebabkan deformasi kepada geometri rasuk akibat lenturan dan ricihan plastik pada rasuk tersebut. Kajian ini mengutamakan lenturan kuasi-statik rasuk yang mempunyai berbagai nilai diameter yang dihentam dengan penghentam muncung berbentuk silinder. Kesan jarak rentang juga dikaji. Objektif kajian ini melibatkan penilaian keupayaan struktur berbentuk rasuk dalam penyerapan tenaga hentaman. Julat lengkung tenagadeformasi rasuk serta mod deformasi akan dihuraikan. Model-model dari teori sangat berguna dalam merekabentuk sistem yang berupaya menyerap tenaga. Kesan sensitiviti perkadaran masa terikan and inersia terhadap ciri-ciri kuasi-statik akan dibincangkan. Keputusan analitikal akan dibincang dan dibandingkan dengan keputusan dari eksperimental.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	T ADATION	
	DEC		111
	DED	NOWLEDCEMENTS	IV
	ACM	TDACT	v
	ABS		V1
	ABS		V11
	TAB	BLE OF CONTENTS	V111
	LIST	T OF TABLES	х
	LIST	r of figures	xi
	LIST	Γ OF SYMBOLS	xvi
		DODUCTION	
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Overview of Impact Analysis	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
	1.3	Objectives	3
	1.4	Scope of Study	3
	1.5	Methodology	3
2	LIII	EKATUKE REVIEW	5
3	STR	AIN RATE BEHAVIOUR	10
	3.1	Strain Rate Effects	11
	3.2	Strength Models	14
	3.3	Failure Modes	14
4	IMP.	ACT MECHANICS	20

	4.1	Analysis of Low Speed Impact	21
	4.2	Theories of Low Speed Impact	22
5	COL	LISION AGAINST FLEXIBLE STRUCTURES	23
	5.1	Transverse Impact on Flexible Structures	23
	5.2	Transverse Impact on Elastic Beams	24
		5.2.1 Impact Response – Parametric Study	25
		5.2.2 Effect of Mass and Velocity	26
		5.2.3 Constant Energy	26
		5.2.4 Constant Mass	27
		5.2.5 Constant Velocity	28
	5.3	Impact of Perfectly Rigid Impactor	29
	5.4	Effect of Local Compliance in Structural	
		Response to Impact	29
6	ENE	RGY ABSORPTION	33
	6.1	Energy Absorption by Structural Deformation	33
7	EXPI	ERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	35
	7.1	Tensile Test	35
	7.2	Impact Test	37
8	RESI	ILTS AND DISCUSSIONS	47
0	8 1	Tensile Test	47
	8.2	Strain Rate Effects	40
	83	Impact Test	51
	8.4	Transverse Shear	54
	0.4		54
9	CON	CLUSION	85
10	REC	OMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORKS	87
	REFI	ERENCES	88

LIST OF TABLES

TABI	LE NO. TITLE	PAGE
71	Composition of the specimen material	36
7.1 9.1	Electic modulus, viold stress and ultimate stress	50
0.1	Elastic modulus, yield stress and ultimate stress	
	at various strain rates	48
8.2	Calculation for values of q and D in Cowper-Symonds	
	equation.	50
8.3	Theoretical strain energy absorbed, with and without	
	strain rate effects.	53
8.4	Impact analysis on circular steel beam – absorbed energy	
	due to flexural and transverse shear deformation	57
8.5 (a,	,b,c,d,e,f).	
	Deformation of beams of diameters 2.6, 4 and 6.5 mm	
	with impactors of mass 0.825 and 1.7 kg	
	when dropped from heights 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 m.	59
8.6	Effect of diameter and mass of impactor on	
	deformation. Span=100 mm. Height=2 m	60
8.7.	Effect of beam span, impactor mass and height	
	on the flexural and transverse shear deformations of	
	4 mm-diameter beam.	60
8.8.	Effect of beam span and mass of impactor on	
	energy absorbed by 4 mm diameter beam when	
	impactor dropped from 1.5 m height.	62
8.9.	Effect of diameter on flexural and shear deformation.	
	Mass=0.825 and 1.7 kg. Span=100 mm. Height=2 m.	82

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGU	RE NO. TITLE	PAGE
5.1 (a.	b)	
	Impact force and displacement histories in linear analysis	25
5.2 (a	b)	
	Impact force and displacement histories in nonlinear analysis	26
5.3 (a	b)	
	Resultant impact force and centre displacement histories	
	at constant impact energy, using nonlinear analysis	26
5.4	Numerical analysis of impact force history, at constant mass.	28
5.5	Resultant force and centre displacement histories at constant	
	velocity and varying mass.	28
5.6	Local indentation $\delta(t) = u(t) - w(x_o, t)$ during collision between	
	a sphere and an initially stationary beam. The displacements	
	of contact points on the mass $u(t)$ and beam $w(x_o,t)$	
	do not include displacement due to local deformation.	30
5.7	Contact force $F(t)$, beam displacement $w(x_o,t)$ and	
	sphere displacement u(t) for single degree of freedom.	
	Transverse impact of steel sphere, with radius	
	R' = 0.01 m, at midspan of simply supported steel	
	beam, b=h 0.01 m, L =0.1535 m which gives mass ratio	
	$\alpha = 0.55$. Incident speed of sphere, 0.01 ms ⁻¹ ,	
	yields solely elastic deformation. (from Timoshenko)	31
6.1	Typical impact load-deflection curve	34
7.1	Dimensions of sheet-type tensile test specimens	35

7.2 (a-	-c)	
	Tensile test set-up and specimens	36
7.3.	Different diameters beams	38
7.4.	Diameter 2.6 mm beams with different spans	38
7.5.	Diameter 4 mm beams with different spans	38
7.6.	Diameter 6.5 mm beams with different spans	38
7.7 (a,	b)	
	Impact test rig	39
7.8 (a,	b)	
	The impact test	39
7.9 (a,	b)	
	The impact test and the deformed beams and impactor	40
7.10.	Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 2.6 mm	
	diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg. Span=30 mm. Height=1.5 m.	40
7.11.	Complete shear fracture of 2.6 mm diameter beam.	
	Mass=1.7 kg. Span=30 mm. Height=1.0 m.	41
7.12.	Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 4 mm	
	diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg Height=2 m.	
	Span=30, 40 and 50 mm	41
7.13.	Close-up view of flexural and transverse shear	
	deformation of 4 mm diameter beam.	42
	Mass=1.7 kg Height=2 m. Span=30 mm	
7.14.	Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 2.6 mm	
	diameter beam. Mass=0.825 kg Height=2 m.	
	$S_{pan}=30, 40 and 50 mm$	42

42 Span=30, 40 and 50 mm 7.15. Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 2.6 mm diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg.. Height=2 m. Span=30 and 50 mm 43 7.16. Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 4 mm diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg.. Span=20 mm. Heights=0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2 m. 43 7.17. Close-up view of flexural and transverse shear deformation

of 4 mm diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg.

	Span=20 mm. Heights=1.5 m.	44
7.18.	Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 4 mm	
	diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg Span=10 mm.	
	Heights=0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2 m.	44
7.19.	Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 4 mm	
	diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg Span=20 mm. Height=2 m.	45
7.20.	Flexural and transverse shear deformation of 4 mm	
	diameter beam. Mass=1.7 kg Span=10 mm. Height=2 m.	45
7.21 (a	a-f)	
	Close-up views of beams deformed in bending and shear.	46
8.1	Tensile test results, at various strain rates.	47
8.2	Elastic regions of tensile tests.	48
8.3	Determination of slope and intercept of curve, for the	
	calculation of q and D in Cowper-Symonds equation.	51
8.4.	Theoretical absorbed energy vs. theoretical impact energy.	54
8.5.	Comparison between total energy absorbed and energy	
	absorbed due to flexural calculation only	58
8.6.	Effect of span on theoretical energy absorbed.	
	(through calculation of θ only).	
	Beam diameter=4 mm. Height=1.5 m. Mass=0.825 and 1.7 kg.	63
8.7.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=150 mm	64
8.8.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=100 mm	65
8.9.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=50 mm	65
8.10.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=40 mm	66
8.11.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=30 mm	66
8.12.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=150 mm	67

8.13.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=100 mm	67
8.14.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=50 mm	68
8.15.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=40 mm	68
8.16.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=2.6 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=30 mm (Shear fracture occurs at height = 1 m)	69
8.17.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=150 mm	71
8.18.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=100 mm	71
8.19.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=50 mm	72
8.20.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=40 mm	72
8.21.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=30 mm	73
8.22.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=150 mm	73
8.23.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=100 mm	74
8.24.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	74
8.25.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=40 mm	75
8.26.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=4 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=30 mm	75
8.27.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=150 mm	76
8.28.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=100 mm	76
8.29.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=50 mm	77
8.30.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	

	Span=40 mm	77
8.31.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=0.825 kg	
	Span=30 mm	78
8.32.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=150 mm	78
8.33.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=100 mm	79
8.34.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=50 mm	79
8.35.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=40 mm	80
8.36.	Energy absorbed by beam. Diameter=6.5 mm. Mass=1.7 kg	
	Span=30 mm	80
8.37.	Effect of diameter on flexural and shearing deformation.	
	Mass=0.825 and 1.7 kg. Span=100 mm. Height=2m.	83

LIST OF SYMBOLS

B	breadth of a beam with a rectangular cross section
Н	height of a beam with a rectangular cross section
K	equation constant 1700 m ⁻²
L _G	gauge length
Mo	bending moment when complete plastic yielding of the material occurs
M_{y}	bending moment when plastic yielding of the material first occurs
m	mass
q	empirical constant used in the Cowper-Symonds equation
D	empirical constant used in the Cowper-Symonds equation
r	radius of circular beam cross-section
Vo	initial impact velocity
έ	strain rate
θ	bend or displacement angle
σ_o, σ_y	static material yield (flow) stress
σ_{od}	dynamic material yield (flow) stress
E_{I}	experimental impact energy
E_{T}	theoretical absorbed energy
h	drop height of impactor

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview of Impact Analysis

In many engineering applications, a component is usually restricted to remain within the elastic limit of the material. However, at yielding the material is permanently deformed and energy is absorbed, and it is this phenomenon that forms the basis of design in structural crashworthiness analysis. Material stress-strain diagrams are obtained by loading a sample of the material under tension using a very slow-moving crosshead. However, the properties of some materials are dependent on the rate of deformation. This sensitivity can affect the material elastic modulus, yield stress, ultimate and rupture stresses. The relationship between the dynamic and static yield stress is expressed by Cowper-Symonds equation with empirical constants D and q, which are specific to each material. The study has large application in the dynamic response of underground structures, impact of nuclear fuel capsules, missile impact of nuclear power installations and the collision of transportation vehicles.

Consider a simply supported beam that is manufactured from a ductile material. Increasing the applied force at mid span will result in yielding to occur at the point of maximum bending moment, at the point furthest away from the neutral axis. If the load is increased further, then more of the material will yield in the cross-

section until the bending moment reaches the maximum collapse value. The energy is absorbed in this plastic hinge and can be evaluated. Impact test is of leading importance, once the dynamic resistance of the beam is assessed and evaluated. The impact beams are required to have large static strength and high impact energy absorption capability. Conventional metals seldom possess these properties simultaneously because usually metals with high strength have low toughness and vice versa.

The design of a motor vehicle includes structural elements that deform elastically in order to absorb the kinetic energy of a collision. Their function is to reduce the effects of a crash by limiting the impact force and acceleration. Many energy-absorbing elements are manufactured from ductile materials such as structural steel or aluminum. Normally, an engineer will design a structure to remain within the elastic limit of the material where deformations represent storage energy.

1.2 Problem Statement

If the load is applied dynamically then the collapse energy needs to be reevaluated considering the enhanced flow stress for the particular material. For dynamic applications, the impact test in structural parts is an essential procedure for their certification. In studying impact behaviour on structures, the difficulty lies in predicting energy absorbing capacity and impact behaviour of structural elements when various geometrical, dimensional, loading and constraint parameters interact

1.3 Objectives

Hence, in order to address the difficulty faced in understanding the impact behaviour of structures, the study will attempt to evaluate the energy absorbing capacity of beam structures under impact loads and then predict the dynamic response of a simply supported circular beam when subjected to a mass impact at mid span of beam.

1.4 Scope of Study

The study on impact will involve the mechanics of collapse of beams under lateral impact. The beams are made of solid circular mild bars which are simply supported. Various masses of rigid impactor with cylindrical nose will be dropped from various drop heights.

1.5 Methodology

In this study, the static and dynamic tensile tests of the beam material were performed. At various straining rates the material properties were obtained. Beam with various diameters and span length, and impactors with various mass and drop heights were investigated using experimental method. The beams were impacted by the three-point impact bending test to evaluate their energy-absorbing performance. The three major phases in carrying out the project are introduction to the subject, experimental work and data analysis. In the first phase, the background to the subject was studied to identify the problem, the objectives and the scope and to plan the experimental procedures so as to attain the objectives. Literature reviews are done on the topic through journals and electronic media to gauge the state of current research in the subject. The second phase of data collection is done through experimental method. The falling dart impact tests are done to obtain the impact energy and the energy absorbed by the beam. With a large amount of data obtained from a large range of beam and impactor parameters, it is possible to predict the energy-absorbing behaviour of similar structures when impacted transversely.