STEAM REFORMING OF GASIFIED BIOMASS TAR FOR HYDROGEN PRODUCTION OVER NICKEL–DOLOMITE BASED CATALYST

TAN RU SHIEN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is wholeheartedly dedicated to my beloved parents for their unconditional love, endless support and encouragement during the challenges of my graduate school and life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my main supervisor, Dr. Tuan Amran Tuan Abdullah for the continuous support throughout my research. I am also deeply grateful to my co-supervisor Dr. Khairuddin Md Isa. Their valuable guidance, patience, motivation and immense knowledge had helped me throughout my research as well as in the completion of this thesis.

Furthermore, I would like to show my greatest thankfulness to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. I would also like to deliver my gratitude to all the staffs of University Teknologi Malaysia who contributed directly or indirectly to the successful completion of this research. In addition, I am also indebted to UTM Zamalah scholarship for funding my first three semester of postgraduate master's study.

Last but not least, I would like to convey a special thanks to my parents and friends for their spiritual support as well as their continuous encouragement throughout this research.

ABSTRACT

Catalytic steam reforming is a promising approach to address tar formation and improve hydrogen (H_2) production from biomass gasification. In this research, multi-compound tar model (phenol, toluene, naphthalene, and pyrene) was steam reformed for H_2 production over various types of 10 wt.% dolomite promoted 10 wt.% nickel based catalysts supported on alumina, lanthana, ceria, and zirconia. The research aims to synthesize nickel-dolomite catalyst for steam reforming of gasified biomass tar for optimum H_2 production. The catalysts were characterized by thermogravimetric analysis, temperature programmed reduction, temperature programmed desorption, nitrogen physisorption, and X-ray diffraction. The results showed that the addition of dolomite promoter to the catalysts strengthened the metal-support interaction and basicity of the catalyst. Steam reforming for catalyst screening was carried out at 700 ^{o}C with steam to carbon (S/C) molar ratio of 1 and gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 20,453 mL/h g_{cat}. The Ni/dolomite/La_2O_3 (NiDLa) catalyst displayed mesoporous structure, high reducibility, and basicity, which lead to superior carbon conversion to gas (77.66 mol%) and H_2 yield (66.20 mol%). In addition, spent NiDLa exhibited the lowest amount of filamentous coke (110 mg/g_{cat}) formation after 5 hours of reaction compared to the other catalysts investigated. Findings on effect of reaction condition revealed that higher temperature (> 750 ^{o}C), S/C ratio that is close to the stoichiometric value (1), and moderate GHSV (12,000 – 18,000 h^{-1}) can improve carbon conversion to gas and H_2 yield. The optimum conditions were found to be 775 ^{o}C of temperature, 1.02 of S/C molar ratio, and 14,648 h^{-1} of GHSV which resulted in 99.94 mol% of carbon conversion to gas and 82.84 mol% of H_2 yield. This finding is close to the predicted 98.96 mol% of carbon conversion to gas and 82.00 mol% of H_2 yield by response surface method.

ABSTRAK

Pembentukan semula stim bermangkin merupakan kaedah yang berpotensi untuk menangani pembentukan tar dan meningkatkan penghasilan hidrogen (H_2) daripada penggasan biojisim. Dalam kajian ini, model tar pelbagai sebatian (fenol, toluena, naftalena, dan pirena) telah digunakan untuk penghasilan H_2 melalui pembentukan semula stim dengan menggunakan pelbagai jenis 10 % berat nikel berasaskan mangkin yang digalakkan dengan 10 % berat dolomit di sokong alumina, lanthana, ceria, dan zirkonia. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengsintesis mangkin nikel-dolomit untuk pembentukan semula stim biojisim tar dan bergas bagi penghasilan H_2 yang optimum. Mangkin-mangkin dicirikan menggunakan analisis termogravimetrik, pengurangan pengaturcaraan suhu, nyaherapan pengaturcaraan suhu, pejerapan fizikal nitrogen, dan pembelaun sinar-X. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penambahan penggalak dolomit kepada mangkin memperkuatkan interaksi logam-sokongan dan meningkatkan sifat beralkali mangkin. Penapisan mangkin dijalankan melalui pembentukan semula stim pada suhu 700 ^{o}C, nisbah molar stim kepada karbon (S/C) 1, dan halaju ruang gas setiap jam (GHSV) 20,453 mL/h g_{cat}. Mangkin Ni/dolomit/La_2O_3 (NiDLa) mempunyai struktur mesoporous, kebolehturunan tinggi dan sifat beralkali tinggi menyebabkan penukaran karbon ke gas (77.66 mol%) dan hasil H_2 (66.20 mol%) yang unggul. Tambahan pula, mangkin NiDLa menyebabkan pembentukan filamen karbon kok yang paling rendah (110 mg/g_{cat}) selepas 5-jam tindakbalas berbanding dengan mangkin lain yang kaji. Dapatan kajian kesan keadaan tindakbalas menunjukkan bahawa suhu yang tinggi (> 750 ^{o}C), nisbah S/C yang hampir dengan nilai stoikiometri (1), dan GHSV yang sederhana (12,000 − 18,000 h^{-1}) bermanfaat untuk penukaran karbon kepada gas dan hasil H_2. Keadaan optimum didapati pada suhu 775 ^{o}C, nisbah molar S/C 1.02, dan GHSV 14,648 h^{-1} yang menghasilkan 99.94 mol% penukaran karbon ke gas dan 82.84 mol% hasil H_2. Dapatan ini menghampiri keputusan yang diramalkan oleh kaedah permukaan sambutan iaitu 98.96 mol% penukaran karbon ke gas dan 82.00 mol% hasil H_2.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al_2O_3	-	Alumina
ANOVA	-	Analysis of variance
ATR	-	Autothermal reforming
Au	-	Gold
BBD	-	Box-Behnken design
BET	-	Brunauer Emmett Teller
BJH	-	Barret-Joyner-Halenda
CaO	-	Calcium oxide
$CaMg(CO_3)_2$	-	Dolomite
CaO/MgO	-	Calcined state of dolomite
Ce	-	Cerium
CeO_2	-	Ceria
CH_4	-	Methane
CO	-	Carbon monoxide
Co	-	Cobalt
CO_2	-	Carbon dioxide
CO_2-TPD	-	Temperature programmed desorption of carbon dioxide
Cu	-	Copper
CuO	-	Copper oxide
$C_4H_6NiO_4$	-	Nickel acetate
C_6H_6O	-	Phenol
C_7H_8	-	Toluene
$C_{10}H_8$	-	Naphthalene
$C_{16}H_{10}$	-	Pyrene
DOE	-	Design of Experiment
Fe	-	Iron
FE-SEM	-	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
Fe_2O_3	-	Iron oxide
GC-TCD	-	Gas chromatography with thermal conductivity detector

GHSV	-	Gas hourly space velocity
HC	-	Hydrocarbon
He	-	Helium
H_2	-	Hydrogen
H_2-TPR	-	Temperature programmed reduction of hydrogen
IEA	-	International Energy Agency
K_2O	-	Potassium oxide
La_2O_3	-	Lanthana
Mg	-	Magnesium
MgO	-	Magnesium oxide
Mn	-	Manganese
Ni	-	Nickel
$NiCl_2$	-	Nickel chloride
NiD	-	Ni/dolomite
NiDAl	-	Ni/dolomite/Al_2O_3
NiDCe	-	Ni/dolomite/CeO_2
NiDLa	-	Ni/dolomite/La_2O_3
NiDZr	-	Ni/dolomite/ZrO_2
NiO	-	Nickel oxide
$Ni(NO_3)_2$	-	Nickel nitrate
$Ni(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	-	Nickel nitrate hexahydrate
NiS	-	Nickel sulfide
O_2	-	Oxygen
Pd	-	Palladium
PLOT	-	Porous layer open tubular
POX	-	Partial oxidation
Pt	-	Platinum
Rh	-	Rhodium
RSM	-	Response surface methodology
Ru	-	Rutherium
SBA-15	-	Well-order hexagonal mesoporous silica
SEM	-	Scanning electron microscopy
SiC	-	Silicon carbide
SD	-	Standard deviation

SiO	-	Silica oxide
SR	-	Steam reforming
S/C	-	Steam/carbon
TGA	-	Thermogravimetric analysis
TiO_2	-	Titanium dioxide
TPO	-	Temperature programmed oxidation
VP-SEM	-	Variable-pressure scanning electron microscopy
WGS	-	Water gas shift
WHSV	-	Weight hourly space velocity
XRD	-	X-ray diffraction
ZrO_2	-	Zirconia
Zn	-	Zinc

LIST OF SYMBOLS

D	-	Dispersion
d_{Ni}	-	Crystallite size e
d_p	-	Pore size
p/p_o	-	Relative pressure
R^2	-	Correlation coefficients value
S_{BET}	-	Brunauer Emmett Teller surface area
V_{total}	-	Total pore volume
X	-	Gaseous product (H_2, CO, CO_2, CH_4)
x	-	Coded values of variable, temperature (x_1), S/C ratio (x_2), space time (x_3)
x	-	Conversion fraction of, tar (x_{tar}), phenol (x_{phenol}), toluene ($x_{toluene}$), naphthalene ($x_{naphthalene}$), pyrene (x_{pyrene})
y	-	Predicted response, conversion (y_1), H_2 yield (y_2)
θ	-	Diffraction peak angle
λ	-	Wavelength of incident x-ray
β	-	Coefficient, constant (β_0), linear (β_i), quadratic (β_{ii}), interaction (β_{ij})
β	-	Half width of diffraction peak
ε	-	Statistical error term

LIST OF APPENDICES

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1   Background Study

The rapid depletion of fossil fuels and associated environmental issues such as global warming and climate change are becoming global concerns. However, the worldwide energy demand is continuously increasing at an alarming rate year after year. According to the finding of International Energy Agency (IEA), global energy demand increased by 2.1 % in 2017 which is more than twice the rate of previous year [1]. With regard to electricity generation in 2017, fossil fuel was responsible for 81 % of the total world energy as compared to other energy sources including renewables and nuclear [1]. Globally, fossil fuel-related carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions showed an increment of 1.4 % in 2017 [1]. Consequently, the upsurge in fossil fuel demand infers higher socio-economic and environmental cost. Therefore, the exploitation of alternative energy sources to replace conventional fossil fuels is indispensable.

Currently, hydrogen (H_2) gas is considered as crucial commodity to sustainably generate electricity in 21st century. Among the existing fuels and energy carriers, H_2 has the highest energy density and its energy yield is up to 122 kJ/kg [2]. Its energy yield is approximately 2.75 times higher than most hydrocarbon (HC) fuels [2]. By employing H_2 gas, the crises of supply disruption and the impact of greenhouse gas emissions associated with conventional fossil fuel-based energy systems can be avoided. Basically, H_2 utilization generates only water vapor as a by-product with zero greenhouse gas emissions, during H_2 gas combustion with oxygen (O_2) in internal engine or electrochemically converting H_2 gas in fuel cell [3].

However, H_2 does not occur naturally on earth but commonly exists as part of other substances in nature such as water, alcohol, natural gas, biomass, coal, and HC. Consequently, it can only be obtained from H_2-containing resources through chemical reaction processes. In recent years, numerous technologies including thermochemical conversion [4-6], electrolysis [7], and photolysis [8] are under investigation for H_2 production. Among these possible options, biomass gasification is considered a promising and economical technology [9, 10]. Biomass gasification technology encompasses thermochemical process that converts organic substances from agriculture and forestry into syngas rich in H_2 and carbon monoxide (CO) along with a small amount of CO_2 and methane (CH_4).

The presence of impurities in the syngas such as tar, ash, nitrogen-, and sulfur-containing compounds is highly unacceptable especially tar. This is because tar is a complex mixture of condensable aromatic and oxygenated HCs that condenses at low temperature and subsequently lead to process-related problems. For instance, filter clogging, plugging of downstream equipment, and coke deposition on the downstream catalyst [11, 12]. More importantly, the formation of tar represents a decrease in conversion efficiency since biomass is converted to tar instead of syngas. Hence, the physical removal and further reduction/oxidation of tar is essential in order to improve the production of syngas.

Generally, reforming techniques are categorized into 3 types: steam reforming (SR), partial oxidation (POX), and autothermal reforming (ATR). However, SR is reported to have the superior H_2 yield [13]. It is the most developed and attractive technique providing a conversion mechanism for liquid HCs. This is because SR offers higher concentration of H_2 in the reformate, which is about 70 to 80 vol.% on a dry basis compared to other reforming technologies (40-50 vol.%) [14]. In addition, it produces about 100,000 Nm^3/hr of H_2 gas on an industrial scale [15]. For comparison the resulting cost of H_2 by conventional steam methane reforming is less than \$ 2.00/kg at comparable natural gas in year 2017 [16]. Furthermore, based on the higher heating value, SR of CH_4 had achieved up to 85% of thermal efficiency. Whereas, only 60 to 75% of thermal efficiency was achieved by both ATR and POX [17].

In the present study, tar which is the major undesired by-product derived from biomass gasification was converted into H_2 gas by SR over dolomite promoted Ni-based catalysts. The components of tar model selected are the major chemical composition contained in the gasified biomass tar as reported by Singh et al. [18]. The representatives selected are phenol for phenolic and heterocyclic HCs, toluene for one-ring aromatic HCs, naphthalene for two-ring aromatic HCs and pyrene for four-ring and higher HCs.

Among the various existing catalysts, Ni-based catalysts have been extensively employed for SR because of their low price and pronounced performance in O-H, C-H, and C-C bonds rupture [19, 20] along with the additional activity for water gas shift (WGS) reaction [19, 21]. However, Ni-based catalysts are prone to active sites deactivation as a result of coke formation [22]. Dolomite which serves as the promoter was added to catalyst to suppress the deposition of coke and improve the catalytic activity per unit surface area. Furthermore, dolomite plays an essential role in CO_2 sorption to promote the water-gas shift (WGS) reaction, which results in the production of H_2 rich fuel gas [23]. The oxide supports that were used in this study include alumina (Al_2O_3), lanthana (La_2O_3), ceria (CeO_2), and zirconia (ZrO_2).

1.2   Problem Statement

The presence of unacceptable levels of tar in the syngas is the primary challenge of biomass gasification. This implies that less biomass is converted into syngas and consequently reduce the total H_2 production. Furthermore, the production of tar in biomass gasification also contributed to syngas end-use problems. These problems typically include blockages and corrosion in downstream filters, fuel line, engine nozzles, and turbines [11]. Typically, tar content in syngas produced from biomass gasification ranges from 0.5 to 100 g/Nm^3, depending on the biomass feedstock, operating condition, and gasifier [18, 24]. However, the tolerance limit of

tar in syngas for various applications is 1, 5, and 100 mg/Nm^3 in fuel cells, gas turbines, and internal combustion engines, respectively [24]. Although physical separation considerably removes tar from product gas, it has great potential to create secondary pollution. Thus, SR is a promising technique to convert the separated tar into valuable H_2 rich gas.

To date, most research studies deal with the SR based on an individual tar model compound, typically phenol, benzene, toluene or naphthalene over a variety of supported metal catalysts. Nevertheless, the composition of real biomass tar is complex and each component possesses a mutual influence on SR performance. Josuinkas et al., [25] investigate the effect of feedstock composition on catalytic performance during SR. They reported that the mixture of toluene and naphthalene altered the catalytic activity and reduce the feed conversion as compared to individual feed compound. Therefore, a research that can reflect the real condition of biomass tar SR is necessary. In the present research, the tar model was made up of phenol, toluene, naphthalene, and pyrene.

Owing to the presence of catalyst provides a more efficient SR, several kinds of catalytic reforming have been developed. However, the extraordinary difficulty is to obtaining a high stability of catalyst which is selective for H_2 and also resistance to coke deposition [22, 26]. Ni-based catalysts have been extensively used in steam reforming but it is prone to deactivation of its active sites by coke formation [22, 27]. Recently, it has been reported that addition of alkaline earth metal oxides such as MgO and CaO as a promoter could neutralise the acidity of the catalyst and improve steam-carbon reaction, which in turn increases the coke suppression rate and catalytic stability [28-30]. Low cost and abundance naturally occurring minerals such as dolomite that contains both CaO and MgO. In addition, dolomite also give a positive impact on H_2 production by adsorbing CO_2 to shift the thermodynamic equilibrium of WGS reaction towards H_2 production [23]. Therefore, this study is conducted to develop oxide supported Ni-based catalysts using dolomite as promoter for SR of multi-compound tar model. To the best of my knowledge, there is no study has been reported utilizing a dolomite as promoter.

The SR parameters such as temperature, steam to carbon (S/C) ratio, and space velocity have been reported as major factors that influent the tar conversion and H_2 yield [22, 31, 32]. Besides, previous study also mentioned that the effect of operating parameters on tar conversion and H_2 yield is associated with catalyst used. For instance, Furusawa et al., [33] found that SR over Ni/MgO operated at high S/C ratio produced low amount of H_2 as compared to Ni/Al_2O_3. Therefore, one of the aim of this research is to study the effect of the operating parameters on carbon conversion to gas and H_2 yield over the studied catalyst. In order to ensure the optimum carbon conversion to gas and H_2 yielded from the tar SR over the studied catalyst, the optimization of operating parameters using response surface methodology (RSM) should be taken into account.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The aim of this research is to develop Ni-based catalyst for high carbon conversion to gas with maximum H_2 yield via SR of multi-component gasified biomass tar model. To achieve this aim, the following objectives have been planned:

(a) To synthesize and characterize the Ni-based catalysts on several catalyst supports (Al_2O_3, La_2O_3, CeO_2, and ZrO_2) and dolomite as a catalyst promoter for hydrogen production via steam reforming of gasified biomass tar.

(b) To determine the effect of steam reforming parameters on hydrogen production in terms of temperature, steam to carbon ratio, and gas hourly space velocity.

(c)   To optimize the operating parameters for hydrogen production via steam reforming of gasified biomass tar.

1.4   Scope of Study

In order to achieve the research objectives, the scope of this research was designed and listed as follows:

(a)   Catalytic SR was adopted to convert gasified biomass tar into H_2 rich gas over dolomite promoted Ni-based catalyst. The tar model was made up of 15 wt.% phenol, 50 wt.% toluene, 30 wt.% naphthalene, and 5 wt.% pyrene. The selected components are the major chemicals contained in the gasified biomass tar as reported by Singh et al., [18] and their composition are represents to their chemical family.

(b)   5 types of 10 wt.% dolomite promoted 10 wt.% Ni-based catalysts were prepared using co-impregnation method. The oxide supports include Al_2O_3, La_2O_3, CeO_2, and ZrO_2. The catalysts were designated as Ni/dolomite (NiD), Ni/dolomite/Al_2O_3 (NiDAl), Ni/dolomite/La_2O_3 (NiDLa), Ni/dolomite/CeO_2 (NiDCe), and Ni/dolomite/ZrO_2 (NiDZr). The selection of 10 wt% Ni loading is due the best performance reported by previous literature as discussed in Section 2.7.1. Generally, the promoter loading is not more than 5 wt.% [34-36]. Since dolomite used contains 57.3 wt.% $CaCO_3$ and 41.8 wt.% $MgCO_3$, 10 wt.% of dolomite loading was selected in this research.

(c)   All of the catalysts were characterized by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) for thermal stability, temperature programmed reduction of hydrogen (H_2-TPR) for reducibility of active metal, temperature programmed desorption of carbon dioxide (CO_2-TPD) for basicity properties, nitrogen physisorption for textural properties, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) for crystalline properties.

(d)   For catalyst screening, 0.8 g of catalyst was reformed in a fixed bed reactor at 700 °C, S/C molar ratio of 1, and gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 20,453 mL/h g_{cat} under atmospheric pressure.

(e)   The most promising catalyst was selected based on the catalyst screening, and was used to study the effect of SR parameters on carbon conversion to gas and hydrogen yield. The operating parameters that influence the SR of gasified biomass tar were considered in this study. The chosen parameters where temperature (500-900 °C), S/C molar ratio (0.5-2.5), and GHSV (8,000-22,000 h^{-1}).

(f)   The parameters were further optimized by RSM in order to obtain maximum carbon conversion to gas with highest H_2 yield. A second-order factorial design called Box-Behnken design (BBD) was adopted to design the experiment by varying the SR parameters. The variables studied were temperature (600-800 °C), S/C moalr ratio (1-2), and GHSV (12,000-22000 h^{-1}), while the response were carbon conversion to gas and H_2 yield. The range of these three variables was determined based on the parametric study.

(g)   The coke formation of spent catalysts was evaluated by TGA, XRD, variable-pressure scanning electron microscopy (VP-SEM) or field emission scanning microscopy (FE-SEM).

1.5   Significance of Research

Following a great development and deployment in biomass gasification for H_2 production, there is a need to explore the SR of tar over a reliable catalyst. To date, there are not many studies focused on SR of multi-compounds tar model. Therefore, through this research, the real conditions of biomass tar SR can be reflected by employing a tar model made up of main representative of gasified biomass tar. This is importance in prediction and understanding the catalytic performance in real biomass tar SR process.

Catalyst with high activity, high thermal stability, high coking resistance and high mechanical strength are key elements in the reaction. To the best of my knowledge, no study is reported in the open literature using dolomite promoted Ni-based catalysts on catalyst support of Al_2O_3, La_2O_3, CeO_2, and ZrO_2 in SR of gasified biomass tar. In this research, dolomite was selected as an attractive catalyst promoter because of its numerous advantages such as environmental friendly, readily available, economic feasibility of material, high thermal stability, relatively mechanically resistant and higher resilience to catalyst poison [37]. Therefore, the catalyst in this research has a lower cost compared to noble metal based or promoted catalysts, which paves way for its application in large scale SR process.

Generally, reaction conditions also play crucial role in H_2 production in SR of gasified biomass tar. From this research, an optimal reaction conditions in terms of temperature, S/C ratio, and GHSV over the most promising catalyst was provided. This result can be applied in industrial SR of gasified biomass tar. By doing so, the new developed catalyst of this research has a great potential as an alternative catalyst for commercial SR of gasified biomass tar. Besides, this work would benefit in a number of particular areas such as reduction of energy consumption, catalyst usage, and also minimise expenses of feedstock. Thus, the result from the present research is expected to expand the frontier of knowledge in the field of SR catalyst.

REFERENCES

1. IEA. World Energy Outlook 2017: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD; 2017.

2. Parthasarathy P. and Narayanan K. S. Hydrogen Production from Steam Gasification of Biomass: Influence of Process Parameters on Hydrogen Yield–a Review. *Renewable Energy*. 2014. 66: 570-579.

3. Dodds P. E., Staffell I., Hawkes A. D., Li F., Grünewald P., McDowall W., et al. Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies for Heating: A Review. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2015. 40(5): 2065-2083.

4. Sumrunronnasak S., Tantayanon S., Kiatgamolchai S. and Sukonket T. Improved Hydrogen Production from Dry Reforming Reaction Using a Catalytic Packed-Bed Membrane Reactor with Ni-Based Catalyst and Dense Pdagcu Alloy Membrane. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2016. 41(4): 2621-2630.

5. Moud P. H., Kantarelis E., Andersson K. J. and Engvall K. Biomass Pyrolysis Gas Conditioning over an Iron-Based Catalyst for Mild Deoxygenation and Hydrogen Production. *Fuel*. 2018. 211: 149-158.

6. Hosseini S. E., Wahid M. A. and Ganjehkaviri A. An Overview of Renewable Hydrogen Production from Thermochemical Process of Oil Palm Solid Waste in Malaysia. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2015. 94: 415-429.

7. Wang M., Wang Z., Gong X. and Guo Z. The Intensification Technologies to Water Electrolysis for Hydrogen Production–a Review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2014. 29: 573-588.

8. Gu X., Yuan S., Ma M. and Zhu J. Nanoenhanced Materials for Photolytic Hydrogen Production. *Nanotechnology for Energy Sustainability*. 2017. 629-648.

9. Molino A., Chianese S. and Musmarra D. Biomass Gasification Technology: The State of the Art Overview. *Journal of Energy Chemistry*. 2016. 25(1): 10-25.

10. Chiodo V., Urbani F., Zafarana G., Prestipino M., Galvagno A. and Maisano S. Syngas Production by Catalytic Steam Gasification of Citrus Residues. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(46): 28048-28055.

11. Artetxe M., Nahil M. A., Olazar M. and Williams P. T. Steam Reforming of Phenol as Biomass Tar Model Compound over Ni/Al_2O_3 Catalyst. *Fuel*. 2016. 184: 629-636.

12. Yoon S. J., Choi Y.-C. and Lee J.-G. Hydrogen Production from Biomass Tar by Catalytic Steam Reforming. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2010. 51(1): 42-47.

13. Nahar G. and Dupont V. Hydrogen Via Steam Reforming of Liquid Biofeedstock. *Biofuels*. 2012. 3(2): 167-191.

14. Ersoz A., Olgun H. and Ozdogan S. Reforming Options for Hydrogen Production from Fossil Fuels for Pem Fuel Cells. *Journal of Power Sources*. 2006. 154(1): 67-73.

15. McGlocklin K. Economic Analysis of Various Reforming Techniques and Fuel Sources for Hydrogen Production 2006.

16. U. S. Deparment of Energy Fuel Cell Technologies Office. R&D Opportunoties for Development of Natural Gas Conversion Technologies for Co-Production of Hydrogen and Value-Added Solid Carbon Products; 2017.

17. Semelsberger T. A., Brown L. F., Borup R. L. and Inbody M. A. Equilibrium Products from Autothermal Processes for Generating Hydrogen-Rich Fuel-Cell Feeds. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2004. 29(10): 1047-1064.

18. Singh R., Singh S. and Balwanshi J. Tar Removal from Producer Gas: A Review. *Research Journal of Engineering Sciences*. 2014. 3(10):16-22.

19. Artetxe M., Alvarez J., Nahil M. A., Olazar M. and Williams P. T. Steam Reforming of Different Biomass Tar Model Compounds over Ni/Al_2O_3 Catalysts. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2017. 136: 119-126.

20. Chitsazan S., Sepehri S., Garbarino G., Carnasciali M. M. and Busca G. Steam Reforming of Biomass-Derived Organics: Interactions of Different Mixture Components on Ni/Al_2O_3 Based Catalysts. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2016. 187: 386-398.

21. Quitete C. P., Bittencourt R. C. P. and Souza M. M. Steam Reforming of Tar Using Toluene as a Model Compound with Nickel Catalysts Supported on Hexaaluminates. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2014. 478: 234-240.

22. Vivanpatarakij S., Rulerk D. and Assabumrungrat S. Removal of Tar from Biomass Gasification Process by Steam Reforming over Nickel Catalysts. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*. 2014. 37.

23. Sisinni M., Di Carlo A., Bocci E., Micangeli A. and Naso V. Hydrogen-Rich Gas Production by Sorption Enhanced Steam Reforming of Woodgas Containing Tar over a Commercial Ni Catalyst and Calcined Dolomite as Co2 Sorbent. *Energies*. 2013. 6(7): 3167-3181.

24. Rios M. L. V., Gonzàlez A. M., Lora E. E. S. and del Olmo O. A. A. Reduction of Tar Generated During Biomass Gasification: A Review. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2018. 108: 345-370.

25. Josuinkas F. M., Quitete C. P., Ribeiro N. F. and Souza M. M. Steam Reforming of Model Gasification Tar Compounds over Nickel Catalysts Prepared from Hydrotalcite Precursors. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 2014. 121: 76-82.

26. Chen G., Tao J., Liu C., Yan B., Li W. and Li X. Steam Reforming of Acetic Acid Using Ni/Al2o3 Catalyst: Influence of Crystalline Phase of Al2o3 Support. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(32): 20729-20738.

27. Park S. Y., Oh G., Kim K., Seo M. W., Ra H. W., Mun T. Y., et al. Deactivation Characteristics of Ni and Ru Catalysts in Tar Steam Reforming. *Renewable Energy*. 2017. 105: 76-83.

28. Ashok J. and Kawi S. Steam Reforming of Biomass Tar Model Compound at Relatively Low Steam-to-Carbon Condition over CaO-Doped Nickel-Iron Alloy Supported over Iron-Slumina Caatlysts. *Applied Catalysis A: General.* 2015. 490: 24-35.

29. Koike M., Ishikawa C., Li D., Wang L., Nakagawa Y. and Tomishige K. Catalytic Performance of Manganese-Promoted Nickel Catalysts for the Steam Reforming of Tar from Biomass Pyrolysis to Synthesis Gas. *Fuel*. 2013. 103: 122-129.

30. Udomsirichakorn J., Basu P., Salam P. A. and Acharya B. Effect of Cao on Tar Reforming to Hydrogen-Enriched Gas with in-Process CO_2 Capture in a Bubbling Fluidized Bed Biomass Steam Gasifier. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2013. 38(34): 14495-14504.

31. Gil M. V., Fermoso J., Rubiera F. and Chen D. H_2 Production by Sorption Enhanced Steam Reforming of Biomass-Derived Bio-Oil in a Fluidized Bed

Reactor: An Assessment of the Effect of Operation Variables Using Response Surface Methodology. *Catalysis Today*. 2015. 242: 19-34.

32. Senseni A. Z., Fattahi S. M. S., Rezaei M. and Meshkani F. A Comparative Study of Experimental Investigation and Response Surface Optimization of Steam Reforming of Glycerol over Nickel Nano-Catalysts. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2016. 41(24): 10178-10192.

33. Furusawa T., Saito K., Kori Y., Miura Y., Sato M. and Suzuki N. Steam Reforming of Naphthalene/Benzene with Various Types of Pt-and Ni-Based Catalysts for Hydrogen Production. *Fuel*. 2013. 103: 111-121.

34. Higo T., Saito H., Ogo S., Sugiura Y. and Sekine Y. Promotive Effect of Ba Addition on the Catalytic Performance of $Ni/LaAlO_3$ Catalysts for Steam Reforming of Toluene. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2017. 530: 125-131.

35. Heo D. H., Lee R., Hwang J. H. and Sohn J. M. The Effect of Addition of Ca, K and Mn over Ni-Based Catalyst on Steam Reforming of Toluene as Model Tar Compound. *Catalysis Today*. 2016. 265: 95-102.

36. Savuto E., Navarro R., Mota N., Di Carlo A., Bocci E., Carlini M., et al. Steam Reforming of Tar Model Compounds over Ni/Mayenite Catalysts: Effect of Ce Addition. *Fuel*. 2018. 224: 676-686.

37. Lisý M., Baláš M., Špiláček M. and Skála Z. Operating Specifications of Catalytic Cleaning of Gas from Biomass Gasification. *Acta Polytechnica*. 2015. 55(6): 401-406.

38. Kalamaras C. M. and Efstathiou A. M. Hydrogen Production Technologies: Current State and Future Developments. *Power Options for the Eastern Mediterranean Region*. November 19-20, 2012. Cyprus: Hindawi Publishing Corporation. 2013. 1-9.

39. Balat H. and Kırtay E. Hydrogen from Biomass–Present Scenario and Future Prospects. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2010. 35(14): 7416-7426.

40. Hosseini S. E. and Wahid M. A. Hydrogen Production from Renewable and Sustainable Energy Resources: Promising Green Energy Carrier for Clean Development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016. 57: 850-866.

41. Romano S. and Larkins J. T. Georgetown University Fuel Cell Transit Bus Program. *Fuel Cells*. 2003. 3(3): 128-132.

42. Haraldsson K., Folkesson A. and Alvfors P. Fuel Cell Buses in the Stockholm Cute Project-First Experiences from a Climate Perspective. *Journal of Power Sources*. 2005. 145(2): 620-631.

43. Rahil A. and Gammon R. Dispatchable Hydrogen Production at the Forecourt for Electricity Demand Shaping. *Sustainability*. 2017. 9(10): 1785.

44. Levin D. B. and Chahine R. Challenges for Renewable Hydrogen Production from Biomass. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2010. 35(10): 4962-4969.

45. Schwengber C. A., Alves H. J., Schaffner R. A., da Silva F. A., Sequinel R., Bach V. R., et al. Overview of Glycerol Reforming for Hydrogen Production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016. 58: 259-266.

46. Riis T., Hagen E. F., Vie P. J. and Ulleberg Ø. Hydrogen Production and Storage-R&D Priorities and Gaps. *IEA Hydrogen Implementing Agreement (HIA), International Energy Agency (IEA), Paris*. 2006.

47. Jacobs J. D. *Economic Modeling of Cost Effective Hydrogen Production from Water Electrolysis by Utilizing Iceland's Regulatory Power Market*. Master of Science. Thesis. Reykjavik University. 2016.

48. Kumar A., Jones D. D. and Hanna M. A. Thermochemical Biomass Gasification: A Review of the Current Status of the Technology. *Energies*. 2009. 2(3): 556-581.

49. Guan G., Kaewpanha M., Hao X. and Abudula A. Catalytic Steam Reforming of Biomass Tar: Prospects and Challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016. 58: 450-461.

50. Li C. and Suzuki K. Tar Property, Analysis, Reforming Mechanism and Model for Biomass Gasification-an Overview. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13(3): 594-604.

51. Guan G., Hao X. and Abudula A. Heterogeneous Catalysts from Natural Sources for Tar Removal: A Mini Review. *Journal of Advanced Catalysis Science and Technology*. 2014. 1: 20-28.

52. Shen Y. and Yoshikawa K. Recent Progresses in Catalytic Tar Elimination During Biomass Gasification or Pyrolysis-a Review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2013. 21: 371-392.

53. Parsland C., Larsson A.-C., Benito P., Fornasari G. and Brandin J. Nickel-Substituted Bariumhexaaluminates as Novel Catalysts in Steam Reforming of Tars. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 2015. 140: 1-11.

54. Yu H., Zhang Z., Li Z. and Chen D. Characteristics of Tar Formation During Cellulose, Hemicellulose and Lignin Gasification. *Fuel*. 2014. 118: 250-256.

55. Ciferno J. P. and Marano J. J. Benchmarking Biomass Gasification Technologies for Fuels, Chemicals and Hydrogen Production. *US Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory*. 2002.

56. Etutu T. G., Laohalidanond K. and Kerdsuwan S. Gasification of Municipal Solid Waste in a Downdraft Gasifier: Analysis of Tar Formation. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science & Technology*. 2016. 38(2).

57. Berrueco C., Montané D., Güell B. M. and Del Alamo G. Effect of Temperature and Dolomite on Tar Formation During Gasification of Torrefied Biomass in a Pressurized Fluidized Bed. *Energy*. 2014. 66: 849-859.

58. Erkiaga A., Lopez G., Amutio M., Bilbao J. and Olazar M. Influence of Operating Conditions on the Steam Gasification of Biomass in a Conical Spouted Bed Reactor. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2014. 237: 259-267.

59. Qin Y.-H., Feng J. and Li W.-Y. Formation of Tar and Its Characterization During Air–Steam Gasification of Sawdust in a Fluidized Bed Reactor. *Fuel*. 2010. 89(7): 1344-1347.

60. Pattar N. K. and Gowreesh S. S. Tar Formation, Reduction and Technology of Tar During Biomass Gasification/Pyrolysis-an Overview. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*. 2017. 6(8): 62-70.

61. Liu X., Yang X., Liu C., Chen P., Yue X. and Zhang S. Low-Temperature Catalytic Steam Reforming of Toluene over Activated Carbon Supported Nickel Catalysts. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*. 2016. 65: 233-241.

62. Nakamura S., Kitano S. and Yoshikawa K. Biomass Gasification Process with the Tar Removal Technologies Utilizing Bio-Oil Scrubber and Char Bed. *Applied Energy*. 2016. 170: 186-192.

63. Osipovs S. and Pučkins A. Choice the Filter for Tar Removal from Syngas. *Proceedings of the 11th International Scientific and Practical Conference*. 2017. 1: 211-215.

64. Choi Y.-K., Ko J.-H. and Kim J.-S. Gasification of Dried Sewage Sludge Using an Innovative Three-Stage Gasifier: Clean and H 2-Rich Gas Production Using Condensers as the Only Secondary Tar Removal Apparatus. *Fuel*. 2018. 216: 810-817.

65. Woolcock P. J. and Brown R. C. A Review of Cleaning Technologies for Biomass-Derived Syngas. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2013. 52: 54-84.

66. Li D., Tamura M., Nakagawa Y. and Tomishige K. Metal Catalysts for Steam Reforming of Tar Derived from the Gasification of Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Bioresource technology*. 2015. 178: 53-64.

67. Liu J., He Y., Ma X., Liu G., Yao Y., Liu H., et al. Catalytic Pyrolysis of Tar Model Compound with Various Bio-Char Catalysts to Recycle Char from Biomass Pyrolysis. *BioResources*. 2016. 11(2): 3752-3768.

68. Elliott D. C. Relation of Reaction Time and Temperature to Chemical Composition of Pyrolysis Oils. In: Soltes, J. and Milne, T. A. ed. *Pyrolysis Oils from Biomass*. US: ACS Publications. 55-65; 1988.

69. Neiva L. and Gama L. A Study on the Characteristics of the Reforming of Methane: A Review. *Brazilian Journal of Petroleum and Gas*. 2010. 4(3).

70. Obonukut M. E., Alabi S. B. and Bassey P. G. Steam Reforming of Natural Gas: A Value Addition to Natural Gas Utilization in Nigeria. *Journal of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering*. 2016. 10(1): 28-41.

71. Kolb G. Fuel Processing: For Fuel Cells: John Wiley & Sons; 2008.

72. Liu K., Deluga G. D., Bitsch-Larsen A., Schmidt L. D. and Zhang L. Catalytic Partial Oxidation and Autothermal Reforming. *Hydrogen and Syngas Production and Purification Technologies*. 2009. 127-155.

73. Lin Y.-C. Catalytic Valorization of Glycerol to Hydrogen and Syngas. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2013. 38(6): 2678-2700.

74. Ghoneim S. A., El-Salamony R. A. and El-Temtamy S. A. Review on Innovative Catalytic Reforming of Natural Gas to Syngas. *World Journal of Engineering and Technology*. 2015. 4(01): 116-139.

75. Riis T., Hagen E. F., Vie P. J. and Ulleberg Ø. Hydrogen Production-Gaps and Priorities. *IEA Hydrogen Implementing Agreement (IEA, Paris, 2005)*. 2005. 1-11.

76. Silveira E., Rabelo-Neto R. and Noronha F. Steam Reforming of Toluene, Methane and Mixtures over Ni/ZrO$_2$ Catalysts. *Catalysis Today*. 2017. 289: 289-301.

77. Quitete C. P., Manfro R. L. and Souza M. M. Perovskite-Based Catalysts for Tar Removal by Steam Reforming: Effect of the Presence of Hydrogen Sulfide. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(15): 9873-9880.

78. Rached J. A., El Hayek C., Dahdah E., Gennequin C., Aouad S., Tidahy H. L., et al. Ni Based Catalysts Promoted with Cerium Used in the Steam Reforming of Toluene for Hydrogen Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2016. 42(17): 12829-12840.

79. Qian K. and Kumar A. Catalytic Reforming of Toluene and Naphthalene (Model Tar) by Char Supported Nickel Catalyst. *Fuel*. 2017. 187: 128-136.

80. Jess A. Catalytic Upgrading of Tarry Fuel Gases: A Kinetic Study with Model Components. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*. 1996. 35(6): 487-494.

81. Ashok J. and Kawi S. Steam Reforming of Toluene as a Biomass Tar Model Compound over CeO$_2$ Promoted Ni/CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$ Catalytic Systems. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2013. 38(32): 13938-13949.

82. Tao J., Zhao L., Dong C., Lu Q., Du X. and Dahlquist E. Catalytic Steam Reforming of Toluene as a Model Compound of Biomass Gasification Tar Using Ni-CeO$_2$/SBA-15 Catalysts. *Energies*. 2013. 6(7): 3284-3296.

83. Gao N., Liu S., Han Y., Xing C. and Li A. Steam Reforming of Biomass Tar for Hydrogen Production over NiO/Ceramic Foam Catalyst. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2015. 40(25): 7983-7990.

84. Zhang R., Wang H. and Hou X. Catalytic Reforming of Toluene as Tar Model Compound: Effect of Ce and Ce-Mg Promoter Using Ni/Olivine Catalyst. *Chemosphere*. 2014. 97: 40-46.

85. Liang T., Wang Y., Chen M., Yang Z., Liu S., Zhou Z., et al. Steam Reforming of Phenol-Ethanol to Produce Hydrogen over Bimetallic Nicu Catalysts Supported on Sepiolite. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(47): 28233-28246.

86. Koike M., Li D., Watanabe H., Nakagawa Y. and Tomishige K. Comparative Study on Steam Reforming of Model Aromatic Compounds of Biomass Tar over

Ni and Ni–Fe Alloy Nanoparticles. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2015. 506: 151-162.

87. Kim S., Chun D., Rhim Y., Lim J., Kim S., Choi H., et al. Catalytic Reforming of Toluene Using a Nickel Ion-Exchanged Coal Catalyst. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2015. 40(35): 11855-11862.

88. Gao N., Wang X., Li A., Wu C. and Yin Z. Hydrogen Production from Catalytic Steam Reforming of Benzene as Tar Model Compound of Biomass Gasification. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 2016. 148: 380-387.

89. Forsberg, O. *Catalytic Tar Reforming in Biomass Gasification: Tungsten Bronzes and the Effect of Gas Alkali during Tar Steam Reforming*. Master of Science. Thesis. KTH Royal Institute of Technology; 2014

90. Palma V., Ruocco C., Meloni E. and Ricca A. Renewable Hydrogen from Ethanol Reforming over CeO_2-SiO_2 Based Catalysts. *Catalysts*. 2017. 7(8): 226.

91. Tran N. H. and Kannangara G. K. Conversion of Glycerol to Hydrogen Rich Gas. *Chemical Society Reviews*. 2013. 42(24): 9454-9479.

92. Li D., Koike M., Chen J., Nakagawa Y. and Tomishige K. Preparation of Ni–Cu/Mg/Al Catalysts from Hydrotalcite-Like Compounds for Hydrogen Production by Steam Reforming of Biomass Tar. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2014. 39(21): 10959-10970.

93. Chen J., Tamura M., Nakagawa Y., Okumura K. and Tomishige K. Promoting Effect of Trace Pd on Hydrotalcite-Derived Ni/Mg/Al Catalyst in Oxidative Steam Reforming of Biomass Tar. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2015. 179: 412-421.

94. Oh G., Park S. Y., Seo M. W., Kim Y. K., Ra H. W., Lee J.-G., et al. Ni/Ru–Mn/Al_2O_3 Catalysts for Steam Reforming of Toluene as Model Biomass Tar. *Renewable Energy*. 2016. 86: 841-847.

95. Nabgan W., Abdullah T. A. T., Mat R., Nabgan B., Gambo Y. and Triwahyono S. Influence of Ni to Co Ratio Supported on ZrO_2 Catalysts in Phenol Steam Reforming for Hydrogen Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2016. 41(48): 22922-22931.

96. Ahmed T., Xiu S., Wang L. and Shahbazi A. Investigation of Ni/Fe/Mg Zeolite-Supported Catalysts in Steam Reforming of Tar Using Simulated-Toluene as Model Compound. *Fuel*. 2018. 211: 566-571.

97.   Nan Beurden P. *On the Catalytic Aspects of Steam-Methane Reforming*. ECN-I-04-003. 2004.

98.   Marino F., Cerrella E., Duhalde S., Jobbagy M. and Laborde M. Hydrogen from Steam Reforming of Ethanol. Characterization and Performance of Copper-Nickel Supported Catalysts. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 1998. 23(12): 1095-1101.

99.   Wang L., Li D., Koike M., Watanabe H., Xu Y., Nakagawa Y., et al. Catalytic Performance and Characterization of Ni–Co Catalysts for the Steam Reforming of Biomass Tar to Synthesis Gas. *Fuel*. 2013. 112: 654-661.

100.   Park H. J., Park S. H., Sohn J. M., Park J., Jeon J.-K., Kim S.-S., et al. Steam Reforming of Biomass Gasification Tar Using Benzene as a Model Compound over Various Ni Supported Metal Oxide Catalysts. *Bioresource Technology*. 2010. 101(1): S101-S103.

101.   Shen C., Zhou W., Yu H. and Du L. Ni Nanoparticles Supported on Carbon as Efficient Catalysts for Steam Reforming of Toluene (Model Tar). *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 2017.

102.   Wojcieszak R., Zieliński M., Monteverdi S. and Bettahar M. M. Study of Nickel Nanoparticles Supported on Activated Carbon Prepared by Aqueous Hydrazine Reduction. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 2006. 299(1): 238-248.

103.   Rostrup-Nielsen, J. R., Sehested, J. and Nørskov, J. K. Hydrogen and Syngas by Steam Reforming. *Advances in Catalysis*. 2002. 47: 65-139.

104.   Kim H. W., Kang K. M. and Kwak H.-Y. Preparation of Supported Ni Catalysts with a Core/Shell Structure and Their Catalytic Tests of Partial Oxidation of Methane. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2009. 34(8): 3351-3359.

105.   Zhao X.-Y., Xue Y.-P., Yan C.-F., Guo C.-Q. and Huang S.-L. Sorbent Assisted Catalyst of Ni-CaO-La$_2$O$_3$ for Sorption Enhanced Steam Reforming of Bio-Oil with Acetic Acid as the Model Compound. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*. 2017. 119: 106-112.

106.   Nabgan W., Abdullah T. A. T., Mat R., Nabgan B., Gambo Y. and Moghadamian K. Acetic Acid-Phenol Steam Reforming for Hydrogen Production: Effect of Different Composition of La$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ Support for Bimetallic Ni-Co Catalyst. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*. 2016. 4(3): 2765-2773.

107. Ni M., Leung D. Y. and Leung M. K. A Review on Reforming Bio-Ethanol for Hydrogen Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2007. 32(15): 3238-3247.

108. Charisiou N., Siakavelas G., Papageridis K. and Goula M. Effect of La_2O_3 Addition on Ni/Al_2O_3 Catalysts to Produce H_2 from Glycerol. *International Conference on Industrial Waste and Wastewater Treatment and Valorization.* May 21-23, 2015. Greece. 2015.

109. Zamzuri N. H., Mat R., Amin N. A. S. and Talebian-Kiakalaieh A. Hydrogen Production from Catalytic Steam Reforming of Glycerol over Various Supported Nickel Catalysts. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(14): 9087-9098.

110. Santamaria L., Lopez G., Arregi A., Amutio M., Artetxe M., Bilbao J., et al. Influence of the Support on Ni Catalysts Performance in the in-Line Steam Reforming of Biomass Fast Pyrolysis Derived Volatiles. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2018. 229: 105-113.

111. Nabgan W., Abdullah T. A. T., Mat R., Nabgan B., Triwahyono S. and Ripin A. Hydrogen Production from Catalytic Steam Reforming of Phenol with Bimetallic Nickel-Cobalt Catalyst on Various Supports. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2016. 527: 161-170.

112. Rezaei M., Alavi S., Sahebdelfar S. and Yan Z.-F. Mesoporous Nanocrystalline Zirconia Powders: A Promising Support for Nickel Catalyst in CH_4 Reforming with CO_2. *Materials Letters*. 2007. 61(13): 2628-2631.

113. Manfro R. L., Ribeiro N. F. and Souza M. M. Production of Hydrogen from Steam Reforming of Glycerol Using Nickel Catalysts Supported on Al_2O_3, CeO_2 and ZrO_2. *Catalysis for Sustainable Energy*. 2013. 1: 60-70.

114. Quitete C. P. and Souza M. M. Application of Brazilian Dolomites and Mixed Oxides as Catalysts in Tar Removal System. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2017. 536: 1-8.

115. Pant K. K., Jain R. and Jain S. Renewable Hydrogen Production by Steam Reforming of Glycerol over Ni/CeO_2 Catalyst Prepared by Precipitation Deposition Method. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 2011. 28(9): 1859.

116. Kimura T., Miyazawa T., Nishikawa J., Kado S., Okumura K., Miyao T., et al. Development of Ni Catalysts for Tar Removal by Steam Gasification of Biomass. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2006. 68(3): 160-170.

117. Olivia R., Jamarun N., Arif S. and Sirin Y. A. The Utilization of Dolomite as Catalyst in Biodiesel Production. *Rasayan Journal of Chemistry*. 2017. 10(1): 160-164.

118. Mohammed M., Salmiaton A., Wan Azlina W., Mohamad Amran M. and Taufiq-Yap Y. Preparation and Characterization of Malaysian Dolomites as a Tar Cracking Catalyst in Biomass Gasification Process. *Journal of Energy*. 2013. 2013.

119. Scaccia S., Stendardo S., Vanga G., Pagliari L., Cassani S., Nobili M., et al. The Italian Zecomix Platform: CO_2 Capture on Calcined Dolomite in Fluidized Bed Carbonator Unit. *Natural Resources*. 2014. 5(09): 433-441.

120. Nordgreen T. *Iron-Based Materials as Tar Cracking Catalyst in Waste Gasification*. Ph. D. Thesis. KTH Royal Institute of Technology; 2011.

121. Sundac N. Catalytic Cracking of Tar from Biomass Gasification. *Department of Chemical Engineering.* 2007.

122. Sutton D., Kelleher B. and Ross J. R. Review of Literature on Catalysts for Biomass Gasification. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 2001. 73(3): 155-173.

123. Dayton D. Review of the Literature on Catalytic Biomass Tar Destruction: Milestone Completion Report. National Renewable Energy Lab., Golden, CO (US); 2002.

124. Wang T., Chang J., Wu C., Fu Y. and Chen Y. The Steam Reforming of Naphthalene over a Nickel–Dolomite Cracking Catalyst. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2005. 28(5): 508-514.

125. Valle B., Aramburu B., Remiro A., Bilbao J. and Gayubo A. G. Effect of Calcination/Reduction Conditions of $Ni/La_2O_3–Al_2O_3$ Catalyst on its Activity and Stability for Hydrogen Production by Steam Reforming of Raw Bio-Oil/Ethanol. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2014. 147: 402-410.

126. Bang Y., Seo J. G., Youn M. H. and Song I. K. Hydrogen Production by Steam Reforming of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) over Mesoporous $Ni-Al_2O_3$ Aerogel Catalyst Prepared by a Single-Step Epoxide-Driven Sol-Gel Method. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2012. 37(2): 1436-1443.

127. Fornari A. C., Menechini Neto R., Lenzi G. G., dos Santos O. A. A., Jorge M. and Mario L. Utilization of Sol-Gel CuO-ZnO-Al_2O_3 Catalysts in the Methanol Steam Reforming for Hydrogen Production. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 2017. 95(12): 2258-2271.

128. Suparoek H. and Pisanu T. Effects of Preparation of Cu/Zn over Al_2O_3 Catalysts for Hydrogen Production from Methanol Reforming. *Suranaree Journal Science* Technology. 2009. 16(2): 103-112.

129. Li X., Wang S., Cai Q., Zhu L., Yin Q. and Luo Z. Effects of Preparation Method on the Performance of Ni/Al_2O_3 Catalysts for Hydrogen Production by Bio-Oil Steam Reforming. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. 2012. 168(1): 10-20.

130. Achouri I. E., Abatzoglou N., Fauteux-Lefebvre C. and Braidy N. Diesel Steam Reforming: Comparison of Two Nickel Aluminate Catalysts Prepared by Wet-Impregnation and Co-Precipitation. *Catalysis Today*. 2013. 207: 13-20.

131. Bimbela F., Ábrego J., Puerta R., García L. and Arauzo J. Catalytic Steam Reforming of the Aqueous Fraction of Bio-Oil Using Ni-Ce/Mg-Al Catalysts. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2017. 209: 346-357.

132. Akande A. J. *Production of Hydrogen by Reforming of Crude Ethanol*. Master of Science. Thesis. University of Saskatchewan; 2005.

133. Idem, R.O. *Production of Hydrogen from the Low Temperature Steam Reforming of Methanol*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Saskatchewan; 1995.

134. Nawfal M., Gennequin C., Labaki M., Nsouli B., Aboukaïs A. and Abi-Aad E. Hydrogen Production by Methane Steam Reforming over Ru Supported on Ni–Mg-Al Mixed Oxides Prepared Via Hydrotalcite Route. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2015. 40(2): 1269-1277.

135. Wang M., Zhang F. and Wang S. Effect of La_2O_3 Replacement on γ-Al_2O_3 Supported Nickel Catalysts for Acetic Acid Steam Reforming. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(32): 20540-20548.

136. Xiao X., Liu J., Gao A., Zhouyu M., Liu B., Gao M., et al. The Performance of Nickel-Loaded Lignite Residue for Steam Reforming of Toluene as the Model Compound of Biomass Gasification Tar. *Journal of the Energy Institute*. 2018. 91(6): 867-876.

137. Hafizi A., Rahimpour M. and Hassanajili S. Hydrogen Production by Chemical Looping Steam Reforming of Methane over Mg Promoted Iron Oxygen Carrier:

Optimization Using Design of Experiments. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*. 2016. 62: 140-149.

138. Zamani H., Moghiman M. and Kianifar A. Optimization of the Parabolic Mirror Position in a Solar Cooker Using the Response Surface Method (RSM). *Renewable Energy*. 2015. 81: 753-759.

139. Yuan X., Liu J., Zeng G., Shi J., Tong J. and Huang G. Optimization of Conversion of Waste Rapeseed Oil with High FFA to Biodiesel Using Response Surface Methodology. *Renewable Energy*. 2008. 33(7): 1678-1684.

140. Khuri A. I. and Mukhopadhyay S. Response Surface Methodology. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*. 2010. 2(2): 128-149.

141. Taavitsainen V.-M. T. Experimental Optimization and Response Surfaces. In: Varmuza K, ed. *Chemometrics in Practical Applications*. Rijeka, Croatia: InTech; 2012.

142. Nobandegani M. S., Birjandi M. R. S., Darbandi T., Khalilipour M. M., Shahraki F. and Mohebbi-Kalhori D. An Industrial Steam Methane Reformer Optimization Using Response Surface Methodology. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*. 2016. 36: 540-549.

143. Monyanon S., Luengnaruemitchai A. and Pongstabodee S. Optimization of Methanol Steam Reforming over a Au/Cuo–CeO₂ Catalyst by Statistically Designed Experiments. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 2012. 96: 160-168.

144. Duong-Viet C., Ba H., El-Berrichi Z., Nhut J.-M., Ledoux M. J., Liu Y., et al. Silicon Carbide Foam as a Porous Support Platform for Catalytic Applications. *New Journal of Chemistry*. 2016. 40(5): 4285-4299.

145. Managamuri U., Vijayalakshmi M., Poda S., Ganduri V. R. K. and Babu R. S. Optimization of Culture Conditions by Response Surface Methodology and Unstructured Kinetic Modeling for Bioactive Metabolite Production by *Nocardiopsis Litoralis* VSM-8. *3 Biotech*. 2016. 6: 219.

146. Behera S. K., Meena H., Chakraborty S. and Meikap B. Application of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) for Optimization of Leaching Parameters for Ash Reduction from Low-Grade Coal. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*. 2018. 28(4): 621-629.

147. Manjunath G., Bharath K., Ganesh D., Kumar D. R., Shivprakash P. and Harsha H. Anova and Response Surface Methodology for the Optimization of Fracture

Toughness Parameters on Jute Fabric-Epoxy Composites Using Senb Specimens. *Materials Today: Proceedings*. 2017. 4(10): 11285-11291.

148. Nabgan B., Abdullah T. A. T., Tahir M., Nabgan W., Triwahyono S., Jalil A. A., et al. Pellet Size Dependent Steam Reforming of Polyethylene Terephthalate Waste for Hydrogen Production over Ni/La Promoted Al_2O_3 Catalyst. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(34): 21571-21585.

149. Nabgan W., Abdullah T. A. T., Mat R., Nabgan B., Jalil A. A., Firmansyah L., et al. Production of Hydrogen Via Steam Reforming of Acetic Acid over Ni and Co Supported on La_2O_3 Catalyst. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(14): 8975-8985.

150. Sepehri S., Rezaei M., Wang Y., Younesi A. and Arandiyan H. The Evaluation of Autothermal Methane Reforming for Hydrogen Production over Ni/CeO_2 Catalysts. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2018. 43(49): 22340-22346.

151. Muroyama H., Nakase R., Matsui T. and Eguchi K. Ethanol Steam Reforming over Ni-based Spinel Oxide. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2010. 35(4): 1575-1581.

152. Valle B., Aramburu B., Olazar M., Bilbao J. and Gayubo A. G. Steam Reforming of Raw Bio-Oil over Ni/La_2O_3-Al_2O_3: Influence of Temperature on Product Yields and Catalyst Deactivation. *Fuel*. 2018. 216: 463-474.

153. Thyssen V. V., Maia T. A. and Assaf E. M. Ni Supported on La_2O_3–SiO_2 Used to Catalyze Glycerol Steam Reforming. *Fuel*. 2013. 105: 358-363.

154. Zhao X., Xue Y., Lu Z., Huang Y., Guo C. and Yan C. Encapsulating Ni/CeO_2-ZrO_2 with SiO_2 Layer to Improve It Catalytic Activity for Steam Reforming of Toluene. *Catalysis Communications*. 2017. 101: 138-141.

155. Wurzler G. T., Rabelo-Neto R. C., Mattos L. V., Fraga M. A. and Noronha F. B. Steam Reforming of Ethanol for Hydrogen Production over MgO-Supported Ni-based Catalysts. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2016. 518: 115-128.

156. Moraes T. S., Rabelo Neto R. C., Ribeiro M. C., Mattos L. V., Kourtelesis M., Ladas S., et al. Ethanol Conversion at Low Temperature over CeO_2-Supported Ni-Based Catalysts. Effect of Pt Addition to Ni Catalyst. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2016. 181: 754-768.

157. Maia T. A. and Assaf E. M. Catalytic Features of Ni Supported on CeO_2-ZrO_2 Solid Solution in the Steam Reforming of Glycerol for Syngas Production. *RSC Advances*. 2014. 4(59): 31142-31154.

158. Pandhare N. N., Pudi S. M., Biswas P. and Sinha S. Selective Hydrogenolysis of Glycerol to 1, 2-Propanediol over Highly Active and Stable Cu/MgO Catalyst in the Vapor Phase. *Organic Process Research & Development*. 2016. 20(6): 1059-1067.

159. Sivasangar S., Mastuli M., Islam A. and Taufiq-Yap Y. Screening of Modified Cao-Based Catalysts with a Series of Dopants for the Supercritical Water Gasification of Empty Palm Fruit Bunches to Produce Hydrogen. *RSC Advances*. 2015. 5(46): 36798-36808.

160. Khairudin N. F., Sukri M. F. F., Khavarian M. and Mohamed A. R. Understanding the Performance and Mechanism of Mg-Containing Oxides as Support Catalysts in the Thermal Dry Reforming of Methane. *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology*. 2018. 9(1): 1162-1183.

161. Zhang M., Ma H. and Gao Z. Phase Composition of $Ni/Mg_{1-x}Ni_xO$ as a Catalyst Prepared for Selective Methanation of CO in H_2-Rich Gas. *Journal of Nanomaterials*. 2015. 16(1): 790857.

162. Rakić V. and Damjanović L. *Temperature-Programmed Desorption (TPD) Methods.* In: Auroux, A. ed. *Calorimetry and Thermal Methods in Catalysis.* German: Springer-Verlag. 137-174; 2013.

163. Senanayake S. D. and Mullins D. R. Redox Pathways for Hcooh Decomposition over CeO_2 Surfaces. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2008. 112(26): 9744-9752.

164. Mullins D. R. The Surface Chemistry of Cerium Oxide. *Surface Science Reports*. 2015. 70(1): 42-85.

165. Choi I.-H., Hwang K.-R., Lee K.-Y. and Lee I.-G. Catalytic Steam Reforming of Biomass-Derived Acetic Acid over Modified Ni/ γ-Al_2O_3 for Sustainable Hydrogen Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2018. 44(1): 180-190.

166. Sun L.-Z., Tan Y.-S., Zhang Q.-D., Xie H.-J. and Han Y.-Z. Tri-Reforming of Coal Bed Methane to Syngas over the Ni-Mg-ZrO_2 Catalyst. *Journal of Fuel Chemistry and Technology*. 2012. 40(7): 831-837.

167. Gu R., Zeng G., Shao J., Liu Y., Schwank J. W. and Li Y. Sustainable H 2 Production from Ethanol Steam Reforming over a Macro-Mesoporous Ni/Mg-Al-O Catalytic Monolith. *Frontiers of Chemical Science and Engineering*. 2013. 7(3): 270-278.

168. Kim H.-J., Yang E.-H., Noh Y. S., Hong G. H., Park J. I., Shin S. A., et al. Studies on the Steam CO_2 Reforming of Methane over Ordered Mesoporous Nickel–Magnesium–Alumina Catalysts. *Research on Chemical Intermediates*. 2018. 44(2): 1131-1148.

169. Abou Rached J., El Hayek C., Dahdah E., Gennequin C., Aouad S., Tidahy H. L., et al. Ni Based Catalysts Promoted with Cerium Used in the Steam Reforming of Toluene for Hydrogen Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(17): 12829-12840.

170. Li D., Zeng L., Li X., Wang X., Ma H., Assabumrungrat S., et al. Ceria-Promoted Ni/SBA-15 Catalysts for Ethanol Steam Reforming with Enhanced Activity and Resistance to Deactivation. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2015. 176-177: 532-541.

171. Radfarnia H. R. and Iliuta M. C. Development of Al-Stabilized CaO-Nickel Hybrid Sorbent-Catalyst for Sorption-Enhanced Steam Methane Reforming. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 2014. 109: 212-219.

172. Cruz-Hernández A., Mendoza-Nieto J. A. and Pfeiffer H. Niocao Materials as Promising Catalysts for Hydrogen Production through Carbon Dioxide Capture and Subsequent Dry Methane Reforming. *Journal of Energy Chemistry*. 2017. 26(5): 942-947.

173. Oemar U., Ang M., Hee W., Hidajat K. and Kawi S. Perovskite La_xM_1− $xNi_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}O_3$ Catalyst for Steam Reforming of Toluene: Crucial Role of Alkaline Earth Metal at Low Steam Condition. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2014. 148: 231-242.

174. Goicoechea S., Kraleva E. and Ehrich H. Syngas Production from Steam Reforming of Acetic Acid over Ni-and Co-Based Catalysts Supported on La_2O_3 and Allaox. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 2017. 158: 247-254.

175. de Castro T., Silveira E., Rabelo-Neto R., Borges L. and Noronha F. Study of the Performance of Pt/Al_2O_3 and $Pt/CeO_2/Al_2O_3$ Catalysts for Steam Reforming of Toluene, Methane and Mixtures. *Catalysis Today*. 2017. 299: 251-162.

176. Hlaing N. N., Sreekantan S., Hinode H., Kurniawan W., Thant A. A., Othman R., et al. Effect of Carbonation Temperature on CO_2 Adsorption Capacity of CaO Derived from Micro/Nanostructured Aragonite $CaCO_3$. *AIP Conference Proceedings*. 2016. 1733(1): 020023.

177. Shuai C., Hu S., He L., Xiang J., Su S., Sun L., et al. Performance of Cao for Phenol Steam Reforming and Water–Gas Shift Reaction Impacted by Carbonation Process. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2015. 40(39): 13314-13322.

178. Zhang Z., Hu X., Zhang L., Yang Y., Li Q., Fan H., et al. Steam Reforming of Guaiacol over Ni/Al_2O_3 and Ni/SBA-15: Impacts of Support on Catalytic Behaviors of Nickel and Properties of Coke. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 2019. 191: 138-151.

179. Ochoa A., Arregi A., Amutio M., Gayubo A. G., Olazar M., Bilbao J., et al. Coking and Sintering Progress of a Ni Supported Catalyst in the Steam Reforming of Biomass Pyrolysis Volatiles. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2018. 233: 289-300.

180. Barbarias I., Lopez G., Amutio M., Artetxe M., Alvarez J., Arregi A., et al. Steam Reforming of Plastic Pyrolysis Model Hydrocarbons and Catalyst Deactivation. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2016. 527: 152-160.

181. Zhang B., Zhang L., Yang Z. and He Z. An Experiment Study of Biomass Steam Gasification over NiO/Dolomite for Hydrogen-Rich Gas Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(1): 76-85.

182. Yang E.-H., Noh Y.-S., Lim S. S., Ahn B. S. and Moon D. J. The Effect of Fe in Perovskite Catalysts for Steam CO_2 Reforming of Methane. *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*. 2016. 16(2): 1938-1941.

183. Zhang F., Wang M., Zhu L., Wang S., Zhou J. and Luo Z. A. Comparative Research on the Catalytic Activity of La_2O_3 and γ-Al_2O_3 Supported Catalysts for Acetic Acid Steam Reforming. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(6): 3667-3675.

184. Nederlof C., Vijfhuizen P., Zarubina V., Melián-Cabrera I., Kapteijn F. and Makkee M. Coke Formation in the Oxidative Dehydrogenation of Ethylbenzene to Styrene by Teom. *Catalysis Science & Technology*. 2014. 4(11): 3879-3890.

185. Osorio-Vargas P., Flores-González N. A., Navarro R. M., Fierro J. L., Campos C. H. and Reyes P. Improved Stability of Ni/Al_2O_3 Catalysts by Effect of

Promoters (La$_2$O$_3$, CeO$_2$) for Ethanol Steam-Reforming Reaction. *Catalysis Today*. 2016. 259: 27-38.

186. Charisiou N., Papageridis K., Siakavelas G., Tzounis L., Kousi K., Baker M., et al. Glycerol Steam Reforming for Hydrogen Production over Nickel Supported on Alumina, Zirconia and Silica Catalysts. *Topics in Catalysis*. 2017. 60(15-16): 1226-1250.

187. Hou T., Yu B., Zhang S., Xu T., Wang D. and Cai W. Hydrogen Production from Ethanol Steam Reforming over Rh/CeO$_2$ Catalyst. *Catalysis Communications*. 2015. 58: 137-140.

188. Cao J.-P., Ren J., Zhao X.-Y., Wei X.-Y. and Takarada T. Effect of Atmosphere on Carbon Deposition of Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ and Ni-Loaded on Lignite Char During Reforming of Toluene as a Biomass Tar Model Compound. *Fuel*. 2018. 217: 515-521.

189. Speidel M. and Fischer H. Steam Reforming of Tars at Low Temperature and Elevated Pressure for Model Tar Component Naphthalene. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2016. 41(30): 12920-12928.

190. Sarıoğlan A. Tar Removal on Dolomite and Steam Reforming Catalyst: Benzene, Toluene and Xylene Reforming. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2012. 37(10): 8133-8142.

191. Whitmore N. W. Greenhouse Gas Catalytic Reforming to Syngas. New York: Columbia University; 2007.

192. Homsi D. Steam Reforming of Methane Ans Ethanol over Co$_x$Mg$_{6-x}$Al$_2$, Ru/Co$_x$Mg$_{6-x}$Al$_2$ and Cu/Co$_x$Mg$_{6-x}$Al$_2$ Catalysts. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Balamand; 2012.

193. Fjellerup J., Ahrenfeldt J., Henriksen U. and Gøbel B. Formation, Decomposition and Cracking of Biomass Tars in Gasification. Kgs. Lyngby: Technical University of Denmark. 2005.

194. Yamasaki M., Habazaki H., Asami K., Izumiya K. and Hashimoto K. Effect of Tetragonal ZrO$_2$ on the Catalytic Activity of Ni/ZrO$_2$ Catalyst Prepared from Amorphous Ni-Zr Alloys. *Catalysis Communications*. 2006. 7(1): 24-28.

195. Mitran G., Mieritz D. G. and Seo D.-K. Hydrotalcites with Vanadium, Effective Catalysts for Steam Reforming of Toluene. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2017. 42(34): 21732-21740.

196. Ayodele B. V., Ghazali A. A., Yassin M. Y. M. and Abdullah S. Optimization of Hydrogen Production by Photocatalytic Steam Methane Reforming over Lanthanum Modified Titanium (IV) Oxide Using Response Surface Methodology. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2018.