# SEISMIC FRAGILITY ANALYSIS ON LOW-DUCTILE REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAME WITH INADEQUATE LAP SPLICE LENGTH

# **KOON FOO SIONG**

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Structure)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

# **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to express my special gratitude and thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Mohammadreza Vafaei, who always patiently provides constructive advices to me throughout this research, which is the fundamental towards the completion in this dissertation. Besides, Dr. Vafaei is always accessible and willing to help students in conducting their research.

I would like to express my gratitude to my research-mates, Chong Jia Hoe and Wong Woon Keong for their continuous support and fruitful discussions. Without their unconditional helps, the research and analysis process could not be completed smoothly.

Last but not least, I am deeply indebted to my parents for their everlasting love and support throughout the journey of completing the thesis. Finally, my gratitude goes to the company I work with, Arup Jururunding Sdn Bhd, for allowing me to get software support in the numerical analysis.

#### **ABSTRACT**

In Malaysia, many existing buildings, particularly old buildings, are not designed for credible seismic actions. Most of the residential buildings in Malaysia which below 20 stories typically features an open space ground floor and the upper floors are featured with infill brick wall, deriving a higher lateral stiffness than the ground floor. Consequently, the safety of such buildings could be jeopardised by such uncertainties. Therefore, suitable risk management strategies such as fragility analysis should be adopted. Hence, there is a need to derive the seismic fragility curve for buildings with inadequate lap splice length in Malaysia. In this research, the seismic fragility curves for 3-, 6- and 9-story reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length were derived. All structural models were initially designed in accordance with the specification of BS 8110. The geometry orientation, material properties and reinforced detailing were also in accordance to the common practice in the construction industry of Malaysia. All structural models were subjected to 15 far-field seismic ground motion records. ETABS v2016 was used to carry out the nonlinear time-history analysis and incremental dynamic analysis to determine the inter-story drift demand and inter-story drift capacity of all the structural models. All structural models were excited by time-history data with increasing PGA from 0.05g to 0.50g with an increment of 0.05g. Three levels of seismic performance criteria were evaluated, namely immediate occupancy (IO), life safety (LS) and collapse prevention (CP) to assess the structural performance. Seismic fragility curves were plotted for all structural models. The results reveal that the nature of damage state of all structural models depends largely on the seismic wave frequency that resonate the natural frequency of the structural models. In general, the higher the building height, the lower the probability of damage exceedance induced onto the structural models. The results also show that the absence of adequate lap splice length at the both end of columns at first story could significantly increase the probability of damage exceedance for all seismic performance criteria in all structural models.

## **ABSTRAK**

Di Malaysia, kebanyakan bangunan lama adalah tidak direka untuk menahan pengaruh pergerakan tanah seismik. Kebanyakan bangunan kediaman di Malaysia yang kurang daripada 20 tingkat lazimnya mempunyai ruang terbuka di tingkat bawah dan tingkat atas dilengkapi dengan dinding batu bata. Keadaan ini telah menghasilkan kekakuan lateral tingkat atas lebih tinggi daripada tingkat bawah. Akibatnya, keadaan ketidakpastian ini telah mengacamkan keselamatan bangunanbangunan tersebut. Oleh itu, analisis kerapuhan perlu dijalankan untuk membentuk strategi pengurusan risiko yang sesuai. Justeru, hasilan lengkung kerapuhan seismik untuk bangunan yang diperkuat dengan pengukuhan tetuli yang tidak mencukupi adalah diperlukan di Malaysia. Dalam kajian ini, lengkung kerapuhan seismik telah dihasilkan untuk bingkai konkrit bertulang yang terdiri daripada 3-, 6- dan 9-tingkat, yang diperkuat dengan pengukuhan tetuli yang tidak mencukupi. Semua model struktur ini telah direka mengikuti spesifikasi kod BS 8110. Orientasi geometri, sifat bahan dan perincian bertetulang bingkai-bingkai tersebut juga telah direka dengan amalan umum dalam industri pembinaan Malaysia. Semua model struktur telah dikenakan 15 rekod pergerakan tanah seismik jarak jauh. ETABS v2016 telah digunakan untuk menjalankan analisis sejarah masa tidak linear dan analisa dinamik tokokan untuk menentukan permintaan drift antara tingkat dan kapasiti drift antara tingkat bagi semua model struktur. Semua model struktur teruja dengan data sejarah masa dengan peningkatan PGA dari 0.05g hingga 0.50g dengan setiap kenaikan 0.05g. Tiga tahap kriteria prestasi seismik telah dinilaikan, iaitu penghunian segera (IO), keselamatan nyawa (LS) dan pencegahan runtuhan (CP) untuk menilai prestasi struktur. Lengkung kerapuhan seismik telah dibentukkan untuk semua model struktur. Keputusan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa keadaan kerosakan bagi semua model struktur bergantung adalah bergantung pada kekerapan gelombang seismik yang bergema pada kekerapan asli model struktur. Secara umumnya, apabila ketinggian bangunan meningkat, kebarangkalian kerosakan terhadap model struktur adalah lebih rendah. Keputusan analisis juga menunjukkan bahawa ketiadaan pengukuhan tetuli yang mencukupi pada akhiran tiang tingkat pertama akan meningkatkan kebarangkalian kerosakan terhadap semua model struktur dalam kriteria prestasi seismik masing-masing.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		TITLE	PAGE
D	ECLARAT	TION	iii
D	EDICATIO	ON	iv
$\mathbf{A}$	CKNOWL	EDGEMENT	v
A	BSTRACT		vi
A	BSTRAK		vii
T	ABLE OF	CONTENTS	viii
L	IST OF TA	BLES	xii
L	IST OF FIG	GURES	xiv
L	IST OF AB	BREVIATIONS	xix
L	IST OF SY	MBOLS	XX
L	IST OF AP	PENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
1.	1 Resear	rch Background	1
1.3	2 Proble	em Statement	3
1.3	3 Resear	rch Objectives	4
1.	4 Scope	of Work	4
1	5 Signif	icant of Study	5
1.	6 Struct	ure of Thesis	6
CHAPTER 2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	7
2.	1 Introd	uction	7
	2.1.1	Near-Fault and Far-Field Earthquakes	7
2.:	2 Tector	nic Setting and Seismicity of Malaysia	8
	2.2.1	Seismic Hazard in Malaysia	9
	2.2.2	Seismic Ground Motion for Malaysia	11
2.:	3 Buildi	ng Damaged by Earthquake in Malaysia	13
	2.3.1	Building Damaged by Earthquake in Taiwan	14

2.4	Seism	ic Perform	ance Objectives	15
	2.4.1	Soft-Stor	y Effect	17
	2.4.2	Equivaler	nt Diagonal Strut	18
	2.4.3	Ductility	Classes	20
		2.4.3.1	Displacement Ductility Determination of Column	21
		2.4.3.2	Shear Resistance Contributed by Concrete to RC Column	24
2.5	Seism	ic Analysis	s and Assessment Procedures	25
	2.5.1	Linear St	atic Procedure (LSP)	26
	2.5.2	Linear D	ynamic Procedure (LDP)	27
	2.5.3	Nonlinea	r Static Procedure (NSP)	28
		2.5.3.1	Force-Controlled Actions and Deformation-Controlled Actions	29
		2.5.3.2	Acceptance Criteria for Nonlinear Procedures	30
		2.5.3.3	Maximum Usable Strain Limits for Concrete	32
	2.5.4	Nonlinea	r Dynamic Procedure (NDP)	33
	2.5.5	Incremen	tal Dynamic Analysis	33
2.6	Seism	ic Fragility	Analysis	35
	2.6.1	Scaling A	Approach in Seismic Fragility Curve	38
2.7			Seismic Fragility Curve of Low- ed Concrete Frame	39
	2.7.1	Fragility Concrete	Curve for Generic Reinforced Frame	39
	2.7.2	Fragility Concrete	Curve for Low-Ductile Reinforced Building	42
	2.7.3	•	Curve for Reinforced Concrete s in Malaysia	44
2.8	Summ	nary of Res	earch Gap	46
CHAPTER 3	RESE	CARCH M	ETHODOLOGY	49
3.1	Introd			49
3.2	Select		propriate Plan and Number of Stories nalysis	51

3.3		Element Simulation and Design of Buildings ding to Common Practice in Malaysia	56
	3.3.1	Concrete Material Properties	56
	3.3.2	Reinforcement Material Properties	57
	3.3.3	Design Loadings	57
3.4	Selecti Record	ion of Appropriate Seismic Ground Motion ds	61
3.5	Nonlin	near Parameters and Plastic Hinge	65
3.6	Perfor	ming Incremental Dynamic Analysis	68
3.7	Deriva	ation of Seismic Fragility Curves	69
CHAPTER 4	RESU	LTS & DISCUSSION	71
4.1	Genera	al	71
4.2	Damag	ge State of Studied Frame	71
	4.2.1	Plastic Hinges Formation in 3-Story Frame	72
	4.2.2	Plastic Hinges Formation in 6-Story Frame	75
	4.2.3	Plastic Hinges Formation in 9-Story Frame	79
	4.2.4	Summary of Damage State of All Studied Frames	82
4.3	Inter-S	Story Drift Demand	83
	4.3.1	Inter-Story Drift Demand of 3-Story Frame	84
	4.3.2	Inter-Story Drift Demand of 6-Story Frame	86
	4.3.3	Inter-Story Drift Demand of 9-Story Frame	89
4.4	Inter-S	Story Drift Capacity of Structure	91
	4.4.1	Inter-Story Drift Capacity of 3-Story Frame	91
	4.4.2	Inter-Story Drift Capacity of 6-Story Frame	93
	4.4.3	Inter-Story Drift Capacity of 9-Story Frame	95
4.5	Develo	opment of Seismic Fragility Curves	96
	4.5.1	Derivation of Seismic Fragility Curves for 3- Story Frame	97
	4.5.2	Derivation of Seismic Fragility Curves for 6- Story Frame	99
	4.5.3	Derivation of Seismic Fragility Curves for 9- Story Frame	101

4.6	Effect of Building Height to Probability of Damage Exceedance	104
4.7	Effect of Inadequate Column Lap Splice Length	105
	4.7.1 Effect on 3-Story Frame	106
	4.7.2 Effect on 6-Story Frame	107
	4.7.3 Effect on 9-Story Frame	108
	4.7.4 Effect to Typical Buildings in Malaysia	109
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION	113
5.1	Conclusions	113
5.2	Recommendations for Future Research	115
REFERENCES		117

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Damage Control and Building Performance Levels (FEMA 356, 2000)	16
Table 2.2	Equivalent diagonal strut formulae over past decades	19
Table 2.3	Component ductility demand classification (ASCE 41, 2017)	21
Table 2.4	Modelling Parameters and Numerical Acceptance Criteria for Nonlinear Procedures – Reinforced Concrete Columns Other Than Circular with Spiral Reinforcement or Seismic Hoops as Defined in ACI 318 (ASCE 41, 2017)	32
Table 2.5	Three Story Reinforced Concrete Frame Building Damage States with Corresponding Damage States (Tan et al., 2014)	40
Table 2.6	Drift Capacities of the Selected Structures for Each Damage State (Fazilan et al., 2018)	46
Table 3.1	Details of Frame and Design Parameters	52
Table 3.2	Concrete Properties of Reinforced Concrete Frame	56
Table 3.3	Reinforcement Properties of Reinforced Concrete Frame	57
Table 3.4	Reinforcement Properties of Reinforced Concrete Frame	59
Table 3.5	Summary of Far-Field Ground Motion Records	62
Table 4.1	Maximum Inter-Story Drift Demand of 3-Story Frame	85
Table 4.2	Maximum Inter-Story Drift Demand of 6-Story Frame	88
Table 4.3	Maximum Inter-Story Drift Demand of 9-Story Frame	90
Table 4.4	Ultimate Drift Capacity of 3-Story Frame (IDA)	92
Table 4.5	Ultimate Drift Capacity of 3-Story Frame (Pushover Analysis)	92
Table 4.6	Ultimate Drift Capacity of 6-Story Frame (IDA)	94
Table 4.7	Ultimate Drift Capacity of 6-Story Frame (Pushover Analysis)	94

Table 4.8	Ultimate Drift Capacity of 9-Story Frame (IDA)	95
Table 4.9	Ultimate Drift Capacity of 9-Story Frame (Pushover Analysis)	96
Table 4.10	Fragility Curve Computation of 3-Story Frame	98
Table 4.11	Fragility Curve Computation of 6-Story Frame	100
Table 4.12	Fragility Curve Computation of 9-Story Frame	102
Table 4.13	Soil Amplification for Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah and Sarawak due to Deep Geology Effect (MS EN 1998-1: 2017)	110
Table 4.14	PGA of Key Township under Different Soil Conditions	110

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Location of Peninsular Malaysia on the Sunda Plate and the surrounding seismic sources (Loi et al., 2018)	8
Figure 2.2	Tectonic System of Southeast Asia (Tongkul, 2017)	9
Figure 2.3	Location of seismic recording stations and epicentres of Bukit Tinggi Earthquakes (Lat and Ibrahim, 2009)	10
Figure 2.4	Procedure of thickening and uplift of Crocker Range upper crust of Mount Kinabalu (Tongkul, 2015)	11
Figure 2.5	Elastic Response Spectrum with 0.07g at 475 years return period on rock (a) displacement and (b) acceleration for Peninsular Malaysia for Type II ordinary buildings (Lam et al., 2016)	12
Figure 2.6	Elastic Response Spectrum with 0.07g at 475 years return period on rock (a) displacement and (b) acceleration for Sarawak and South-western Sabah for Type II ordinary buildings (Lam et al., 2016)	12
Figure 2.7	Elastic Response Spectrum with 0.12g at 475 years return period on rock (a) displacement and (b) acceleration for Central and Eastern Sabah for Type II ordinary buildings (Lam et al., 2016)	13
Figure 2.8	Damaged soft-story building due to short column effect, insufficient confinement reinforcement, buckling of flexural reinforcement and concrete crushing (Masjid et al., 2017)	14
Figure 2.9	Damaged minaret and ceiling of Ranau mosque (Tongkul, 2015)	14
Figure 2.10	Ground floor of soft-story collapsed in 921 Chi-Chi Earthquake (Chiou et al., 2017)	15
Figure 2.11	Story displacement induced by ground motion for (a) a regular building; and (b) a building with soft-story irregularity (Teresa, 2012)	17
Figure 2.12	Masonry infill frame sub-assemblage (Asteris et al., 2011)	18
Figure 2.13	Ductility parameters definitions (Bae et al., 2004)	20

Figure 2.14	Description of the objective for displacement ductility determination procedure (Vielma and Mulder, 2017).	
Figure 2.15	Lateral force-displacement behaviour of the non-ductile column under shear failure mechanism (Stirrat et al., 2014)	23
Figure 2.16	Interaction between shear strength and ductility of RC column (ATC 40, 1996)	25
Figure 2.17	Modal combination method for 1D seismic input applied to x-direction or y-direction of building.	
Figure 2.18	Idealised Force-Displacement Curve (ASCE 41, 2017)	29
Figure 2.19	Component Force Versus Deformation Curves (ASCE 41, 2017)	30
Figure 2.20	Generalised Force-Deformation Relation for Concrete Elements or Components (ASCE 41, 2017)	31
Figure 2.21	Acceptance Criteria and Performance Level of Components or Elements (ASCE 41, 2017)	31
Figure 2.22	Thirty Ground Motion Records IDA Study on a $T_1$ = 1.8 sec, 5-Storey Steel Braced Frame showing (a) Maximum Interstory Drift Ratio for Thirty IDA Curves and (b) Their Summary (16%, 50% and 84%) Fractile Curves (in log-log scale) (Vamvatsikos and Cornell, 2002)	34
Figure 2.23	IDA Steps using Ground Motion Scaling (Ayala et al., 2015)	35
Figure 2.24	Workflow for the Calculation of Vulnerability Assessment with Analytical Method (Ayala et al., 2015)	37
Figure 2.25	Fragility Curves for a Three-Story RC Frame Building on Soil Profile Type C and D (Tan et al., 2014)	40
Figure 2.26	Frame Buildings with Open Ground Story Fragility Curves Designed with 1959 seismic codes (top) and 1984 seismic codes (bottom) (Tsionis and Fardis, 2014)	41
Figure 2.27	Frame Buildings with Infilled Frame Fragility Curves Designed with 1959 seismic codes (top) and 1984 seismic codes (bottom) (Tsionis and Fardis, 2014)	41
Figure 2.28	Fragility Curves for $f_{ck} = 20$ MPa and $f_y = 520$ MPa in x-direction for Mander's model (left) and Kent and Park's model (right) (Vinay et al., 2017)	42

Figure 2.29	Fragility Curves for Two-Story Building (Lumantarna et al., 2018)			
Figure 2.30	Fragility Curves for Five-Story Building (Lumantarna et al., 2018)			
Figure 2.31	Fragility Curves for Nine-Story Building (Lumantarna et al., 2018)			
Figure 2.32	Fragility Curves for 3-Story Models under (a) Shear Failure and (b) First Axial Failure (Azadi Kakavand and KhanMohammadi, 2018)			
Figure 2.33	Fragility Curves for 5-Story Models under (a) Shear Failure and (b) First Axial Failure (Azadi Kakavand and KhanMohammadi, 2018)	44		
Figure 2.34	Fragility Curves for 3-Story (left) and 6-Story (right) Reinforced Concrete Moment Frame in Malaysia (Aqilah and Nazri, 2015)	45		
Figure 2.35	Seismic Fragility Curves for 25-Story Tall Building with 3 Levels of Parking (left) and 5 levels of Parking (right) (Aisyah et al., 2019)			
Figure 3.1	Flow Chart of Research Methodology	50		
Figure 3.2	Plan View of Studied Reinforced Concrete Frame	53		
Figure 3.3	Elevation View of 3-Story Reinforced Concrete Frame with Open Space Ground Floor	53		
Figure 3.4	Elevation View of 6-Story Reinforced Concrete Frame with Open Space Ground Floor			
Figure 3.5	Elevation View of 9-Story Reinforced Concrete Frame with Open Space Ground Floor			
Figure 3.6	Distributed Superimposed Dead Loads from Slab using Yield Line Method	58		
Figure 3.7	Distributed Superimposed Live Loads from Slab using Yield Line Method	58		
Figure 3.8	Longitudinal Reinforcement Required for 3-Story Frame	60		
Figure 3.9	Longitudinal Reinforcement Required for 6-Story Frame	60		
Figure 3.10	Longitudinal Reinforcement Required for 9-Story Frame	61		
Figure 3.11	Far-Field Earthquake Time-History Data	63		

Figure 3.12	Far-Field Earthquake Time-History Data (Cont'd)	64
Figure 3.13	Far-Field Earthquake Time-History Data (Cont'd)	65
Figure 3.14	Plastic Hinges Assignment in 6-Story Frame	66
Figure 3.15	Moment Rotation Data for PMM of a Column	67
Figure 3.16	Hinge Property for Major Moment of a Beam	67
Figure 3.17	Hinge Property of Brick Wall under Force-Controlled Action	68
Figure 4.1	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 3-Story Frame Subjected to TH2 with PGA 0.15g at 3.62s	72
Figure 4.2	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 3-Story Frame Subjected to TH5 with PGA 0.20g at 9.18s	74
Figure 4.3	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 3-Story Frame Subjected to TH13 with PGA 0.20g at 16.20s	75
Figure 4.4	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 6-Story Frame Subjected to TH2 with PGA 0.25g at 14.42s	76
Figure 4.5	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 6-Story Frame Subjected to TH5 with PGA 0.40g at 18.10s	77
Figure 4.6	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 6-Story Frame Subjected to TH13 with PGA 0.35g at 22.56s	78
Figure 4.7	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 9-Story Frame Subjected to TH2 with PGA 0.40g at 16.36s	79
Figure 4.8	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 9-Story Frame Subjected to TH5 with PGA 0.45g at 25s	81
Figure 4.9	Formation of Plastic Hinges in 9-Story Frame Subjected to TH13 with PGA 0.50g at 35s	82
Figure 4.10	Maximum Inter-Story Drift Demand of 3-Story Frame	84
Figure 4.11	Maximum Inter-Story Drift Demand of 6-Story Frame	87
Figure 4.12	Maximum Inter-Story Drift Demand of 9-Story Frame	89
Figure 4.13	Median of Inter-Story Drift Demand of 3-Story Frame	97
Figure 4.14	Fragility Curve of 3-Story RC Frame with Inadequate Lap Splice Length	99
Figure 4.15	Median of Inter-Story Drift Demand of 6-Story Frame	100
Figure 4.16	Fragility Curve of 6-Story RC Frame with Inadequate Lap Splice Length	101

Figure 4.17	Median of Inter-Story Drift Demand of 9-Story Frame	102
Figure 4.18	Fragility Curve of 9-Story RC Frame with Inadequate Lap Splice Length	103
Figure 4.19	Effect of Building Height to Probability of Damage Exceedance	105
Figure 4.20	Effect of Inadequate Lap Splice Length for 3-Story Frame	107
Figure 4.21	Effect of Inadequate Lap Splice Length for 6-Story Frame	108
Figure 4.22	Effect of Inadequate Lap Splice Length for 9-Story Frame	109

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACI - American Concrete Institute

ASCE - American Society of Civil Engineers

ATC - Applied Technology Council

BS - British Standard

CDF - Cumulative Distribution Function

CP - Collapse Prevention

CQC - Complete Quadratic Combination

DCR - Demand Capacity Ratio

ELF - Equivalent Lateral Force

ETABS - Extended Three-Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

IDA - Incremental Dynamic Analysis

IO - Immediate Occupancy

LDP - Linear Dynamic Procedure

LS - Life Safety

LSP - Linear Static Procedure

MS - Malaysian Standard

NDP - Nonlinear Dynamic Procedure

NSP - Nonlinear Static Procedure

NZSEE - New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering

PGA - Peak Ground Acceleration

PGV - Peak Ground Velocity

RC - Reinforced Concrete

SDOF - Single Degree of Freedom

SRSS - Square Root of Sum of Square

TH - Time-History

USGS - United States Geological Survey

UTM - Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

 $\alpha_L$  - Coefficient of Thermal Expansion

 $\beta_{CL}$  - Drift Capacity Uncertainty

 $\beta_{\text{D|GMI}}$  - Demand Uncertainty =  $\sqrt{\ln 1 + s^2}$ , where  $s^2$  is the standard

error of the demand drift data

 $\beta_M$  - Modelling Uncertainty

 $d_m$  - Diagonal Length of Masonry Infill

 $f_{cu}$  - Concrete Cube Compressive Strength

*f*<sub>u</sub> - Reinforcement Tensile Stress

*f*<sub>v</sub> - Bending and Shear Reinforcement Yield Stress

E<sub>c</sub> - Short Term Modulus of Elasticity of Concrete

E<sub>s</sub> - Modulus of Elasticity of Steel

 $\lambda_{CL}$  - In (median of drift capacity for a particular limit state)

 $\lambda_{D|GMI}$  - ln (calculated median demand drift given the ground motion

intensity from the fitted power law equation)

S<sub>a</sub> - Response Spectrum Acceleration

v - Poisson's Ratio

w - Width of Equivalent Diagonal Strut

 $\gamma_c$  - Specific Weight Density of Concrete

 $\gamma_s$  - Specific Weight Density of Steel

Φ - Standard Normal Cumulative Distribution Function

# LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Damage State of 3-Story Frame	123
Appendix B	Damage State of 6-Story Frame	138
Appendix C	Damage State of 9-Story Frame	153

## **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Research Background

Earthquake poses devastating effect which can cause catastrophic damage to the building structures, especially the buildings which possessing soft-story feature. Most of the residential buildings in Malaysia which below 20 stories typically features an open space ground floor to allow flexible use of space. In contrast, non-structural infill brick walls are commonly featured at the upper floors, deriving a higher lateral stiffness than the ground floor. In seismic event, the ground floor of soft-story building is expected to displace greatly while the superstructure remains as rigid block relatively to the horizontal motion. Therefore, the seismic performance of soft-story building depends immensely on the performance of the columns supporting the superstructure.

Most of the residential soft-story buildings in Malaysia are comparatively old and were constructed before the first Malaysia Seismic Standard (MS EN 1998-1: 2015) and Malaysia National Annex to Eurocode 8 (MS EN 1998-1: 2017) were introduced in year 2015 and year 2017 respectively. Moreover, most of the buildings in Malaysia prior to year 2015 were designed according to British Standard (BS 8110-1: 1997). Based on the design philosophy of British Standard, seismic provision and ductile design are not included in the building design prior to year 2015. Therefore, the columns in the residential soft-story building are expected to be poorly-confined, lightly-reinforced and inadequate lap-spliced length provided. In addition, the spacing of the shear reinforcement in these columns could be minimal according to BS 8110 provision. According to ASCE 41 (2017), these columns could be classified as flexural and shear critical and deemed unsafe.

Fragility functions can be used to denote the vulnerable condition of a structure and provide the probability of exceeding limit states of damage for a broad range of peak ground acceleration. The risk of building structures from potential earthquakes and the losses of economical revenue can be forecasted by seismic fragility curves. Government agencies often refers to seismic fragility curve for disaster planning, while insurance companies adopt seismic fragility curve to predict the overall expenditure after post-earthquake event. In the recent practice, bank also started to refer seismic fragility curve for asset management.

Most of the buildings in Malaysia which built before seismic code, have an inherent lateral strength resistance to lateral load such as notional load and wind load, can provide certain degree of safety factor to seismic event. However, the damage intensity of those buildings under seismic event is remain unknown until further assessment. This is because the damage level of the building under seismic event is greatly dependent on the importance of the building, functional use of building and specific requirements of the owner. Due to the complexity of seismic performance investigation on new building structure, seismic fragility study herein to provide the vulnerability conditions of generic types of building construction. Simplified structural models with different properties can be adopted to study the uncertainties in structural parameters for all representative building types. By adopting this concept, seismic fragility analysis of low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length at different building height, under different seismic sources, can be investigated effectively.

According to European Commission Syner-G Reference Report 4 (2013), there are four different methods to derive seismic fragility function, namely: i) empirical methods; ii) analytical methods; iii) expert judgement; and iv) hybrid methods. The availability of structural damage data after post-earthquake event or analytical simulation is one of the main precedents for the selection of fragility function (Kwon and Elnashai, 2006). Analytical method, expert judgement or the combination of both methods are commonly used to produce the seismic fragility curve of structural frame system and the assessment of potential economy losses of post-earthquake event.

Seismic fragility function for reinforced concrete with low-ductile frame with inadequate column lap splice length which the initial provision is in accordance to BS 8110 can be established to evaluate the vulnerability of the structure. Therefore, this research is important for the vulnerability assessment of buildings under seismic ground motion to predict the potential damage and economy loss after seismic event.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Earthquake is destructive natural phenomena that seismic waves along the direction of propagation are responsible for the transmission of the destructive energy as it can propagates via solid state and liquid state. However, beyond the tremendous destruction of life that earthquake caused, it also caused massive physical damage to building structure type which more susceptible to seismic induced damage. In Malaysia, most of the buildings are not designed to resist seismic loading because almost all buildings are not mandatory to design to resist earthquake. Based on the Malaysia National to Eurocode 8 (MS EN 1998-1: 2017), the seismic hazard map shows that Malaysia is in the range of low to moderate seismicity. Therefore, seismic detailing in building is vital, especially for buildings built at moderate seismicity zone.

During the 2015 Sabah Earthquake, the aforementioned concerns on the building type with soft-story effect was damaged (Majid et al., 2017). According to the field survey reported by Majid et al. (2017), lack of confinement reinforcement, buckling of longitudinal reinforcement and crushing of concrete core were the main reasons which caused the damage to the soft-story building. The adequacy of lap splice length, especially in the column is important in seismic design to provide sufficient column flexural and shear capacity against lateral seismic loading.

To date, the seismic fragility curves for Malaysia buildings are limited. Furthermore, there is still no seismic fragility curve developed for low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with partial infilled wall that considering the columns are reinforced with inadequate lap splice length. According to ASCE 41 (2017), column

with inadequate lap splice length can significantly lower the deformation capacity and thus the probability of damage to the low ductile reinforced concrete frame can be increased. On top of that, there is also limited study on the inter-story drift of low-ductile reinforced concrete frame, considering inadequate lap-splice length in Malaysia. Hence, a comprehensive study of seismic fragility analysis on low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length should be carried out and explored promptly.

# 1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are listed below:

- 1. To determine the inter-story drift capacity of low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length.
- 2. To estimate the inter-story drift demand of low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length.
- 3. To derive seismic fragility curves for low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length.
- 4. To estimate the probability of seismic induced damage to low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length.

## 1.4 Scope of Work

This project focuses on the numerical simulation of soft-story building structure with low-ductile partially reinforced concrete frame with the height of 10m, 19m and 28m, which represent building with three-, six- and nine- stories respectively. All buildings are assumed to be regular in both plan and elevation configuration. 15 time-history data from different sources of far-field earthquake are adopted in the numerical analysis to perform Incremental Dynamic Analysis (IDA). The building structures are well designed and detailed according to BS 8110 – 1:

1997 code specification. All material properties and design loadings are in compliance with BS 8110, BS 6399 and common industrial practices in Malaysia. The preliminary design of the 3 models is performed by using ETABS v2016 finite element software. All the columns in the low-ductile reinforced concrete frame in each building type are detailed with inadequate lap splice length. The material properties such as concrete compressive strength, reinforcement yield stress and ultimate stress of reinforcement are 20 N/mm², 300 N/mm² and 420 N/mm² respectively. The applied superimposed dead load (comprise of floor finishes, self-weight of slab and mechanical services) at all floors and at top floor (without brick walls) on the concrete floor frame are 24.4 kN/m and 15.4 kN/m respectively. The applied live load at all floors and at top floor on concrete floor frame are 3 kN/m and 2 kN/m respectively.

## 1.5 Significant of Study

While many researchers have studied seismic fragility curves of reinforced concrete frame, the information on the reinforced concrete frame detailed with inadequate column lap splice length are still lacking. To date, guidelines are provided by current structural design codes for lateral loads especially notional loads and wind loads on typical building design. The establishment of national annex to Eurocode 8 will introduce additional column lap splice length to the current structural design practice in Malaysia. The introduction of seismic fragility curves of low-ductile reinforced concrete frame with inadequate lap splice length can later serves to evaluate the structural performance of existing typical residential buildings in Malaysia. This research will enhance the understanding of the impact of earthquake on existing buildings in Malaysia, as well as contribute to the local authority and development of design guideline in Malaysia for earthquake resistance building structures.

## **1.6** Structure of Thesis

This thesis documents the research work into five chapters. Chapter 1 presents the research background, problem statements and research objectives. Literature review and the findings of past studies on seismic fragility curves of different structural models subjected to different seismic loadings are presented in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 outlines the methodology and numerical simulation. Recorded and computed results in the numerical simulation, as well as the computation of seismic fragility curve with different seismic performance objectives are presented in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 concludes the finding of this research work and suggest recommendations for the improvement of future research work. Finally, references and appendices are attached at the ending part of this thesis.

## REFERENCES

- Agrawal, N., Kulkarni, P. B. and Raut, P. (2013), 'Analysis of Masonry Infilled R.C. Frame with & without Opening Including Soft Storey by using Equivalent Diagonal Strut Method', *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, Vol. 3, Issue 9.
- Aisyah, S., Vafaei, M., Sophia, C. A. and Aljwim, K. (2019), 'Seismic Fragility of Tall Concrete Wall Structures in Malaysia under Far-Field Earthquakes', *The Open Civil Engineering Journal*, 13, 140 146.
- Amalina, A. (2017), 'Seismic Fragility of Low Ductile Partially Infilled Reinforced Concrete Frame in Malaysia', *M.Eng Thesis*, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Aminaton, M., Tan, C. S., Fauziah, K. and Yunus, Z. (2013), 'Seismic Impact in Peninsular Malaysia', *The 5<sup>th</sup> International Geotechnical Symposium-Incheon*, pp. 237 242.
- Asteris, P. G., Chrysostomou, C. Z., Giannopoulos, I. P. and Smyrou, E. (2011), 'Masonry Infilled Reinforced Concrete Frames with Openings', *III ECCOMAS Thematic Conference on Computational Methods in Structural Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, Corfu, Greece, 26 – 28 May 2011.
- Aqilah, S. S. and Nazri, F. (2015), 'Fragility Curves for Low- and Mid-Rise Buildings in Malaysia', *The 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference of Euro Asia Civil Engineering Forum*, Procedia Engineering 125, 873 878.
- ASCE 41 (2017), 'Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings', *American Society of Civil Engineers*.
- ATC 40 (1996), 'Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Concrete Buildings Volume 1', Applied Technology Council, California Seismic Safety Commission.
- Azadi Kakavand, M. R. and KhanMohammadi, M. (2018), 'Seismic Fragility Assessment of Local and Global Failures in Low-rise Non-ductile Existing RC Buildings: Empirical Shear-Axial Modelling vs ASCE / SEI 41 Approach', *Journal of Computational Engineering and Physical Modeling*, 38 57.
- BS 6399 (1996), 'Loading for Buildings Part 1: Code of Practice for Dead and Imposed Loads', *British Standard*.

- BS 8110 (1997), 'Structural Use of Concrete Part 1: Code of Practice for Design and Construction', *British Standard*.
- Bae, S., Bayrak, O. and Williamson, E. (2004), 'What Do We Know About The Performance-Based Design of Columns?', 13<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, No. 997, Vancouver, B. C., Canada 2004.
- Chambers, J. and Kelly, T. (2004), 'Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis The Only Option for Irregular Structures', 13<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, No. 1389, Vancouver, B. C., Canada 2004.
- Chen, Y. Y. (2015), 'Evaluation of Simplified Seismic Vulnerability Assessment Procedures for Reinforced Concrete Buildings', *M.Eng Thesis*, University of Canterbury.
- Chiou, T. C., Hwang, S. J., Chung, L. L., Tu, Y. S., Shen, W. C. and Weng, P. W. (2017), 'Preliminary Seismic Asssment of Low-Rise Reinforced Concrete Buildings in Taiwan', 16<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Santiago Chile 2017.
- Chopra, A. K. and Chintanapakdee, C. (2001), 'Comparing Response of SDF Systems to Near-Fault and Far-Field Earthquake Motions in the Context of Spectral Regions', *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 30: 1769 1789.
- Davoodi, M. and Sadjadi, M. (2015), 'Assessment of Near-Field and Far-Field Strong Ground Motion Effects on Soil-Structure SDOF System', *International Journal of Civil Engineering*, Vol. 13, No. 3, Transaction B: Geotechnical Engineering.
- D'Ayala, D., Meslem, A., Vamvatsikos, D., Porter, K., Rossetto, T. and Silva, V. (2015), 'Guidelines for Analytical Vunerability Assessment Low / Mid-Rise', Vulnerability Global Component Project, DOI 10.13117/GEM.VULN-MOD.TR2014.12
- Fardipour, M. (2012), 'Seismic Performance of Limited-Ductile RC Columns in Moderate Seismicity Regions', *PhD Thesis*, University of Melbourne.
- Fazilan, N. N., Amiera, R. and Amalina, A. (2018), 'Seismic Fragility of Low Ductile Reinforced Concrete Frame in Malaysia', *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 9, Issue 4, pp. 1559 1571.
- FEMA 356 (2000), 'Prestandard and Commentary for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings', *Federal Emergency Management Agency*, Washington, D. C.

- FEMA P-2006 (2018), 'Example Application Guide for ASCE/SEI 41-13 Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings with Additional Commentary for ASCE/SEI 41-17', Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, D. C.
- Kwon, O. S. and Elnashai, A. (2006), 'The Effect of Material and Ground Motion Uncertainty on the Seismic Vulnerability Curves of RC Structure', Engineering Structures, 28 (2006), 289 – 303.
- Lam, N., Tsang, H. H., Looi, D., Lumantarna, E. and Wilson, J. (2016), 'Seismic Hazard Modelling for Malaysia', *Australian Earthquake Engineering Society* 2016 Conference, Nov 25 27, Melbourne.
- Lat, C. N. and Ibrahim, A. T. (2009), 'Bukit Tinggi Earthquake: November 2007 January 2008', *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Malaysia*, 55 (2009), 81 86.
- Loi, D., Raghunandan, M. E. and Swamy, V. (2018), 'Revisiting Seismic Hazard Assessment for Peninsular Malaysia Using Deterministic and Probabilistic Approaches', *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 18, 2387 2408.
- Lumantarna, E., Goldsworthy, H., Lam, N., Tsang, H. H., Gad, E. and Wilson, J. (2018), 'Report on Fragility Curves for Limited Ductile Reinforced Concrete Buildings', *Bushfire and Natural Hazards CRC*, Melbourne.
- Majid, T. A., Adnan, A., Adiyanto, M. I., Ramli, M. Z. and Tan, C. G. (2017), 'Preliminary Damage Assessment due to 2015 Ranau Earthquake', International Journal of Civil Engineering & Geo-Environmental, pp 49 – 54.
- Megawati, K. and Pan, T.C. (2010), 'Ground-Motion Attenuation Relationship for the Sumatran Megathrust Earthquakes', *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 39, 827 845.
- MS EN 1998-1 (2015), 'Eurocode 8: Design of Structures for Earthquake Resistant Part 1: General Rules, Seismic Actions and Rules for Buildings', *Malaysian Standard*.
- MS EN 1998-1 (2017), 'Malaysia National Annex to Eurocode 8: Design of Structures for Earthquake Resistant Part 1: General Rules, Seismic Actions and Rules for Buildings', *Malaysian Standard*.

- Mwafy, A. (2012), 'Analytically Derived Fragility Relationship for the Modern High-Rise Buildings in the UAE', *The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings*, 21, 824 843.
- Paulay, T. and Priestley, M. J. N. (1992), 'Seismic Design of Reinforced Concrete and Masonry Buildings', *John Wiley and Sons*, 744 p.
- Porter, K. (2019), 'A Beginner's Guide to Fragility, Vulnerability and Risk', *University of Colorado Boulder*, 119 pp.
- Porter, K. A., Farokhnia, K., Cho, I. H., Rossetto, T., Ioannou, I., Grant, D., Jaiswal, K., Wald, D., D'Ayala, D., Meslem, A., So, E., Kiremidjian, A. S. and Noh, H. Y. (2012), 'Global Vulnerability Estimation Methods for the Global Earthquake Model', 15<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, LISBOA 2012.
- Razak, A., Adnan, A., Abas, R., Wong, S. L., Zaiha, Z., Yahya, Rizalman and Ezdiani, M. (2018), 'A Historical Review of Significant Earthquakes in Region Surrounding Malaysia', *Proceeding of International Conference on Durability of Building and Infrastructures*.
- Stewart, J. P., Chiou, S. J., Bray, J. D., Graves, R. W., Somerville, P. G. and Abrahamson, N. A. (2001), 'Ground Motion Evaluation Procedures for Performance-Based Design', *Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center*.
- Stirrat, A. T., Gebreyyohaness, A. S., Jury, R. D. and Kam, W. Y. (2014), 'Seismic Performance Assessment of Non-Ductile Columns', 2014 NZSEE Conference.
- Taucer, F., Hancilar, U. and Kaynia, A. M. (2013), 'Guidelines for Deriving Seismic Fragility Functions of Elements at Risk: Buildings, Lifelines, Transportation Networks and Critical Facilities', SYNER-G Reference Report 4, JRC Scientific and Policy Reports, European Commission.
- Tan, K. T., Razak, H. A., Suhatril, M. and Lu, D. G. (2014), 'Fragility Curves of a RC Frame Building Subjected to Seismic Ground Motions', *Journal of Civil Engineering Research*, 4(3A), pp. 159 – 163.
- Teresa, G-P. L. (2012), 'Soft Story and Weak Story in Earthquake Resistant Design:

  A Multidisciplinary Approach', 15<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, LISBOA 2012.

- Tongkul, F. (2015), 'The 2015 Ranau Earthquake: Cause and Impact', *Sabah Society Journal*, Vol. 32, pp. 1 28.
- Tongkul, F. (2017), 'Active Tectonics in Sabah Seismicity and Active Faults', Bulletin of the Geological Society of Malaysia, Vol. 64, pp. 27 – 36.
- Tsionis, G. and Fardis, M. N. (2014), 'Seismic Fragility Curves for Reinforced Concrete Buildings and Bridges in Thessaloniki', *Second European Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Seismology*, Istanbul, Aug. 25 29, 2014.
- Tso, W. K., Zhu, T. J. and Heidebrecht, A. C. (1992), 'Engineering Implication of Ground Motion A/V Ratio', *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 11 (3), pp. 133 144.
- Vamvatsikos, D. and Cornell, C. A. (2002), 'Incremental Dynamic Analysis', Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics.
- Vamvatsikos, D. and Cornell, C. A. (2002), 'The Incremental Dynamic Analysis and Its Application to Performance-Based Earthquake Engineering', 12<sup>th</sup> European Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Paper Reference 479.
- Vielma, J. C. and Mulder, M. M. (2017), 'Procedure for Assessing the Displacement Ductility Based on Seismic Collapse Threshold and Dissipated Energy Balance', 16<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Santiago Chile 2017.
- Vinay, T. V., Sowjanya, G. V. and Gokul, R. (2017), 'Fragility Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Building by Various Modeling Approaches using SAP 2000 – A Parametric Study', *International Research Journal of Engineering* and Technology, Vol. 04, pp. 950 – 956.
- Wen, Y. K., Ellingwood, B. R. and Bracci, J. (2004), 'Vulnerability Function Framework for Consequence-Based Engineering', *MAE Center Project DS-4 Report*.