

PERSONALIZED GAMIFIED LEARNING BASED ON LEARNING STYLE

RASHA NAJIB AHMED ABDULLAH ALJABALI

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Information Technology

School of Computing  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY, 2019

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

All praise to Allah and may the peace and blessing of Allah be upon our prophet, Muhammad and upon all his family and companions. Especially, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Dr. Norasnita Binti Ahmad, for her valuable and constructive suggestions during the planning and development of this research work.

I extremely grateful to my father Dr. Najib Ahmed Abdullah for unlimited support and encouragement during this research. I would like to thank my beloved family especially my lovely mother Ruqaiya Abdu and my siblings Bassam, Hossam, Mohammed, Basel, Mustafa and Safa'a, who I am always beholden for their encouragement, everlasting patience, support, sacrifice, and love which have been devoted to assist me to endure being away to enable the completion of this study. For that, I ask Allah to bless all of them.

In addition, I would like to express my great appreciation to Dr. Ahmad Fadhil Bin Yusof and Dr. Suraya Miskon for unconditional support and help during this research. For that, I ask Allah to grant them more prosperity.

## ABSTRACT

Gamification demonstrates motivation and encouragement that can be gained by people during the use gamified experience. Gamification technology has been successfully applied in several domains including health and fitness, marketing, education and daily activities. It is the strategy to use heterogeneous game elements within non-entertainment context. As Millennial Generation students have dissimilar needs and preferences, the necessity of having personalized learning is increased recently to boost the effectiveness of the educational system. Learning style has attracted researchers to develop a gamified learning with personalization attributes. As Felder-Silverman Learning Style Model (FSLSM) is the most recommended model by researchers, this study aims to investigate the personalized gamified learning based on student's learning style. A Design Science Research approach (DSR) has been adopted to develop a personalized gamified model using 10 game elements and 4 FSLSM dimensions. To evaluate this model, a web-based gamification application prototype has been developed for Data Flow Diagram (DFD) topic within System Analysis and Design course. An experimental study using the prototype has been conducted with 71 undergraduate students from School of Computing, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). Participants were divided into two groups: experimental and control. Additionally, the gamification application has two different modes: personalized mode (for experimental group) and non-personalized mode (for control group). Data was collected from the prototype database and perceived usefulness questionnaire. An independent t-test has been used to compare means of scores between groups. Result shows that there is a significant difference between the students' scores within the two groups. Therefore, it was clearly revealed that personalized gamified learning is an effective method in learning process; as well as in boosting student perceived usefulness of the application.

## ABSTRAK

*Gamification* menggambarkan motivasi dan galakan yang boleh diperoleh oleh seseorang ketika menggunakan aplikasi pengalaman gamified. Teknologi *gamification* telah berjaya digunakan dalam beberapa domain termasuk kesihatan dan kecergasan, pemasaran, aktiviti harian, dan pendidikan. Ia adalah strategi yang menggunakan elemen permainan heterogen dalam konteks bukan hiburan. Disebabkan pelajar-pelajar Generasi Milenium mempunyai keperluan dan keutamaan yang berbeza, keperluan kepada pembelajaran *personalized* adalah meningkat kebelakangan ini untuk meningkatkan keberkesanan sistem pendidikan. Gaya pembelajaran telah menarik minat para penyelidik untuk membangunkan *gamified* dengan atribut *personalized*. Oleh kerana Model Gaya Pembelajaran Felder-Silverman (FSLSM) adalah model yang paling disyorkan oleh para penyelidik, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menyiasat pembelajaran *personalized* berdasarkan gaya pembelajaran pelajar. Pendekatan Penyelidikan Sains Reka Bentuk (DSR) telah digunakan untuk membangunkan model pembelajaran *personalized* menggunakan 10 elemen permainan dan 4 dimensi FSLSM. Untuk menilai model ini, prototaip aplikasi *gamification* berasaskan web dibangunkan bagi tajuk Rajah Aliran Data (DFD) untuk kursus Analisis dan Rekabentuk Sistem. Satu kajian eksperimen menggunakan prototaip telah dijalankan bersama 71 pelajar sarjana muda dari Sekolah Komputeran, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). Peserta dibahagikan kepada dua kumpulan: eksperimen dan kawalan. Di samping itu, aplikasi *gamification* mempunyai dua mod berbeza: mod *personalized* (untuk kumpulan eksperimen) dan mod bukan *personalized* (untuk kumpulan kawalan). Data diperolehi dari pangkalan data aplikasi dan soalan kajiselidik tentang tanggapan kegunaan aplikasi. Ujian t bebas telah digunakan untuk membandingkan purata skor antara kumpulan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan skor pelajar yang signifikan dalam kedua-dua kumpulan. Oleh itu, jelas ditunjukkan bahawa pembelajaran *gamified personalized* adalah satu kaedah yang efektif dalam proses pembelajaran; serta meningkatkan tanggapan pelajar terhadap kegunaan aplikasi.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Study background	1
	1.2 Problem background	3
	1.3 Problem statement	4
	1.4 Research questions	5
	1.5 Research objectives	5
	1.6 The significance of study	5
	1.7 The scope of the study	6
	1.8 The organization of the thesis	6
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Personalized learning	7
	2.2.1 Definition	7
	2.2.2 Personalization parameters	8
	2.3 Learning style	10

2.4	Felder Silverman Learning Styles Model (FSLSM)	11
2.4.1	Sensing / Intuitive	13
2.4.2	Visual /Verbal	14
2.4.3	Active / Reflective	14
2.4.4	Sequential / Global	15
2.5	Index of Learning Style (ILS)	15
2.6	Gamification	15
2.6.1	Definitions and concepts	16
2.6.2	Serious game	17
2.6.3	Game	17
2.7	Gamification advantages	18
2.8	Gamification in higher education	19
2.9	Theoretical background of gamification	20
2.9.1	Mechanics Dynamic Aesthetics (MDA)	20
2.9.2	Mechanics Dynamic Components (MDC)	21
2.9.3	Self-Determination Theory (SDT)	21
2.9.4	Mechanics, Dynamics, and Emotions (MDE)	23
2.10	Gamification models in eLearning	24
2.11	Game elements	26
2.11.1	Badges	27
2.11.2	Points	27
2.11.3	Clear goals	28
2.11.4	Levels/Stages	28
2.11.5	Progress bar	28
2.11.6	Avatar	29
2.11.7	Leaderboard/scoreboard	29
2.11.8	Ranking	29
2.11.9	Customization	30
2.11.10	Challenge	30
2.12	Gamification and personalized learning	30

2.13	Analyzing the main characteristics of FSLSM learning style	36
2.14	Analyzing the impact of the game elements	41
2.15	The proposed model	44
2.16	Chapter summary	44
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Research methodology framework	47
3.2.1	Phase 1: Problem identification and motivation	48
3.2.2	Phase 2: Research objective definition	49
3.2.3	Phase 3: Model development	49
3.2.4	Phase 4: Prototype implementation	50
3.2.4.1	Gamified topic Data Flow Diagram (DFD)	50
3.2.4.2	Developing the online prototype	51
3.2.5	Phase 5: Evaluation	51
3.2.5.1	Data collection	52
3.2.5.2	Instruments of the data collection	53
3.2.5.3	Data analysis	56
3.2.5.4	Interpreting the result	58
3.2.6	Phase 6: Communication	58
3.3	Chapter summary	59
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>PROTOTYPE IMPLEMENTATION</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	The prototype development	61
4.2.1	The prototype structure	62
4.2.2	The Presence of the game elements within the prototype	64

4.2.2.1	Customization	65
4.2.2.2	Challenges	65
4.2.2.3	Progress bar	65
4.2.2.4	Avatar	66
4.2.2.5	Clear goals	66
4.2.2.6	Levels	67
4.2.2.7	Points	67
4.2.2.8	Badge	67
4.2.2.9	Ranking	69
4.2.2.10	Leaderboard	69
4.3	Prototype interaction	70
4.3.1	Personalized gamified mode	70
4.3.2	Non-Personalized gamified mode	71
4.4	Chapter summary	72
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>RESULT AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1	Introduction	73
5.2	Participants	73
5.3	Learning style distribution result	74
5.4	Students' scores means distribution based on learning style	78
5.5	Students' scores t-test result between groups	79
5.6	The final model	82
5.6.1	Perception dimension	82
5.6.2	Input dimension	83
5.6.3	Processing dimension	84
5.6.4	Understanding dimension	84
5.7	Perceived usefulness result	85
5.8	Chapter summary	87
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>89</b>
6.1	Introduction	89
6.2	Discussions and conclusion	89



6.2.1	Objective 1:To identify the game elements those suit the student’s learning style	89
6.2.2	Objective 2:To propose a model of personalized gamified learning based on student’s learning style	90
6.2.3	Objective 3:To demonstrate and evaluate the proposed model using an experiment to study the effectiveness of the proposed model	90
6.3	Contribution of the study	91
6.4	Limitation and future work	91
6.5	Chapter summary	92
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>93</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>105</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Sensing / Intuitive Characteristics	13
Table 2.2	Visual /Verbal Characteristics	14
Table 2.3	Active / Reflective Characteristics	14
Table 2.4	Sequential / Global Characteristics	15
Table 2.5	Some examples of game mechanics: adapted from (Aparicio <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	22
Table 2.6	Exclusion and inclusion criteria	31
Table 2.7	Personalization parameters summary	34
Table 2.8	Analyzing the characteristics of FSLSM Learning style	36
Table 2.9	Analyzing the impact of the game elements	41
Table 3.1	ILS Survey attributes	54
Table 3.2	Perceived usefulness questionnaire (Hwang <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	56
Table 5.1	Demographic details of the participants (n =71)	74
Table 5.2	Descriptive data of the students' scores variable	79
Table 5.3	Independent samples test of students' scores	81
Table 5.4	Perceived usefulness items result	86
Table 5.5	Examples of students' feedback	87

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Personalization parameters used in eLearning (Essalmi <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	9
Figure 2.2	Felder and Silverman Learning Style Model: The model adapted from the modified model by Richard M. Felder and Linda Silverman in June 2002.	12
Figure 2.3	Activities in order to implement a gamification based on SDT theory (Aparicio <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	22
Figure 2.4	MDE framework of gamification principles (Robson <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	23
Figure 2.5	The model for the introduction of gamification into the field of eLearning (Urh <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	24
Figure 2.6	The conceptual model for gamified learning environments (Zaphiris and Ioannou, 2015)	25
Figure 2.7	Publication sources	32
Figure 2.8	Learning level distribution	33
Figure 2.9	Gamified courses distribution	33
Figure 2.10	The Proposed model of personalized gamified learning based on learning style	44
Figure 3.1	Research framework	48
Figure 3.2	Sample of the Data Flow Diagram questions	51
Figure 3.3	Experiment design	53
Figure 3.4	Sample of student's ILS survey responses	55
Figure 3.5	Sample of students' DFD answers	55
Figure 4.1	Home page interface	62
Figure 4.2	The structure of the prototype	63
Figure 4.3	Login page interface	63
Figure 4.4	Index of Learning Style (ILS) Questionnaire interface	64
Figure 4.5	Progress bar	65
Figure 4.6	Avatar	66

Figure 4.7	Clear goals	66
Figure 4.8	Levels	67
Figure 4.9	DFD master badge	68
Figure 4.10	DFD hero badge	68
Figure 4.11	Student best performance badge	68
Figure 4.12	Star ranking	69
Figure 4.13	Leaderboard in the dashboard page	69
Figure 4.14	An illustrative example interface of Reflective-Verbal-Sensing-Global learning style preferences	70
Figure 4.15	An illustrative interface example of Active-Visual-Sensing-Sequential learning style preferences	71
Figure 4.16	An illustrative interface example of control group	72
Figure 5.1	Sample of the participants' information in the database	74
Figure 5.2	Understanding dimension distribution percentage among experimental group	75
Figure 5.3	Understanding dimension distribution percentage among control group	75
Figure 5.4	Processing dimension percentage among experimental group	76
Figure 5.5	Processing dimension distribution percentage among control group	76
Figure 5.6	Input dimension percentage distribution among experimental group	77
Figure 5.7	Input dimension percentage distribution among control group	77
Figure 5.8	Perception dimension percentage among experimental group	78
Figure 5.9	Perception dimension distribution percentage among control group	78
Figure 5.10	The students' scores means based on learning style distribution	79
Figure 5.11	The scores for students for control and experiment groups	80
Figure 5.12	The final model of personalized gamified learning based on (FSLSM) learning style	82

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DFD	-	Data Flow Diagram
DSRM	-	Design Science Research Methodology
eLearning	-	electronic Learning
GBL	-	Game-Based Learning
ILS	-	Index of Learning Style
ICT	-	Information and Communication Technologies
LSI	-	Learning style Inventory
MOOC	-	Massive Open Online Course
MDE	-	Mechanics, Dynamics, and Emotions
MDA	-	Mechanics Dynamic Aesthetics
MDC	-	Mechanics Dynamic Components
MBTI	-	Myers-Briggs Type-Indicator
PHP	-	PHP: Hypertext Preprocessor
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
FSLSM	-	Felder-Silverman Learning Style Model
SDT	-	Self-Determination Theory
SQL	-	Structure Query Language
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
VLE	-	Virtual Learning Environment
XP	-	Experience Points

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Index of Learning Style Questionnaire	107
Appendix B	50 DFD Multiple Choice Questions	115

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Study background

Conceptually, gamification is defined as utilizing one or multiple game elements such as points, badges, leaderboard and progress bar in a non-game context. In that vein, gamification can be used as a tool to expand people's participation and involvement to carry out activities that typically could not be fully attractive. The main purpose of gamification is to simulate the engagement and motivation that are found within gamers towards games in a gamified environment. Numerous studies have reported that people could be influenced by gamified systems whether as employees, customers, students, patients etc. (Bunchball, 2010). Therefore, gamification positively influences and motivates people's behavior.

Currently, there is a growing number of the research works in exploring the effectiveness of utilizing gamification in certain areas such as health, fitness, and marketing (Cai, Dai and Han, 2016; Di Bitonto, Corriero, Pesare, Rossano and Roselli, 2014; Hofacker, de Ruyter, Lurie, Manchanda and Donaldson, 2016). As a result of the optimistic evidence and promising result in the previous domains, practitioners, scholars, and academics have increased their interest in gamification in educational contexts in order to motivate and engage students (Ed and Hutchison, 2014). A variety of empirical researches have proven that gamification strategies have boundless opportunities for improving learning outcomes as traditional learning processes and technologies are no longer as engaging students as they were expected (Shabihi, Taghiyareh and Abdoli, 2016). The recent studies shows a highly positive perception of gamification in the learning process (Aldemir, Celik and Kaplan, 2017). Therefore, some educational institutions tend to adopt gamification technology in the classroom and eLearning systems.

Despite the potential advantages for gamified learning systems, researchers suggested for more pragmatic investigations on the impact of the game elements on not only student's perception, motivation, engagement but also student's performance and self-efficacy. In addition, they recommended studying the game elements effects according to student's personality and learning style (Buckley and Doyle, 2017). The matching between the student's preferences and the appropriate form of instructional intervention is leading to personalized learning. Thus, the personalized learning experience is recommended by researchers to boost the effectiveness of the higher education system. Practically, some extraordinary characteristics such as being confident, team-orientated, goal focused, and socially networked are most popular features of Millennial Generation or Generation Y students. They are considered as being the first digital natives (Buckley and Doyle, 2017). As students from this generation have been wide-open to Information Technology (IT) from birth, introducing personalized and motivating learning is one of the most important issues in the higher education.

Learning style is one of the human factors that gain a great concern from the researchers in order to introduce personalized learning systems that would improve the student's experience (Hwang, Sung, Hung and Huang, 2013). Learning style is the way students perceive and process the information to achieve the course learning outcomes. Although several learning styles models have been developed, one of the most wide-spread learning styles models that has been utilized in many computer-assisted learning applications with its reliability and validity being confirmed is the Felder-Silverman learning style (Soflano, Connolly and Hainey, 2015). Millennial Generation students commonly have diverse characteristics related to the learning style. The differences in learning style influence the individual experiences with a variety of learning environments as a whole and the usage of the learning tools in particular. The consistency between a student's learning style and the appropriate form of instructional intervention significantly impacts upon the performance of the student and his/her achievement of learning outcomes (Buckley and Doyle, 2017). In one hand, adopting gamification in the higher education is still at an infant stage (Goshevski, Veljanoska and Hatzia Apostolou, 2017; Huynh, Zuo and B, 2017; Morschheuser, Hassan, Werder and Hamari, 2017). Accordingly, more studies are required regarding a stable and applicable gamified model in the higher education context. Thus, this study aims to



investigate the impact of the corresponding learning style along with suitable game elements on undergraduate students.

## **1.2 Problem background**

Nowadays, Millennial Generation (Dilullo, Mcgee and Kriebel, 2011) or “Generation Y” students have a higher opportunity to join colleges than other generations. As they are exposed to technologies and trends of IT from birth, they are inclined to receive better education technologies (Aviles and Eastman, 2012). Students from Millennial Generation have unique characteristics profile and have heterogeneous personalities, backgrounds and learning styles, which lead to reshaping the higher educational systems (Dilullo *et al.*, 2011). Hence, the changes in the educational systems should be towards convenient, engaging and personalized learning experiences (Dilullo, Mcgee and Kriebel, 2011; Jackson, Cockrill and Dewey, 2017).

eLearning is considered the most widespread information technology that is used to support higher education (Aoki, Kigawa, Nemenzo and Nagata, 2016). By utilizing eLearning, most higher institutions attempt to achieve the expected eLearning goals and objectives, i.e. a high degree of satisfaction, motivation, effectiveness, and efficiency of students (Urh, Vukovic, Jereb and Pintar, 2015). However, some of the eLearning systems lacks in achieving their goals and objectives due to insufficient methods and mechanism for the development of the online information systems (Cakula and Sedleniece, 2013; Urh, Vukovic, Jereb and Pintar, 2015). As a result, the current eLearning systems are ineffective to meet student's needs, expectations and motivations (Soflano, Connolly and Hainey, 2015; Xu, Huang, Wang and Heales, 2014).

However, gamification as a highly prominent potential technology can be used to tackle the problems in higher educations (Ed and Hutchison, 2014; Iosup and Epema, 2014). The growing interests of researchers on gamification is influenced by the engagement and motivation that are provided to students in order to improve learning outcomes (Villagr and Gallego-dur, 2016). Nevertheless, one of the more problematic areas of gamification actually lies in the difficulty in designing gamification mechanics

to encourage an appropriate outcome (Buckley and Doyle, 2017; Butler, 2014). Many game elements can be implemented; however, the impact of these elements could be varied due to the differences in students' learning style (Buckley and Doyle, 2017). In another word, the use of game element is not a one-size-fits-all strategy. Thus, it is essential to identify how certain game elements influence students in terms of their perception and processing the information (Sanmugam, Abdullah, Mohamed, Aris, Zaid and Suhadi, 2016).

This study is resulting from the calls of the researchers to study and answer specific and detailed investigations of the effects of individual game elements (Dichev and Dicheva, 2017; Mora, Riera, González and Arnedo-Moreno, 2017; Nacke and Deterding, 2017; Ricciardi, 2015; Tsay, Kofinas and Luo, 2018). Therefore, this study investigates the relation between gamified learning and student's learning style; which game elements that matches learning style. Adapting gamification according to students' learning style in learning system would be an effective approach to convey the consistent game elements for distinct students' learning style (Hwang, Sung, Hung and Huang, 2013). In other word, personalized gamified learning will lead to increasingly engage students in the field of education and particularly in eLearning system. Accordingly, that would positively affect the effectiveness of learning in general.

### **1.3 Problem statement**

Millennial Generation students have differences in perception and processing information (learning style); however, most eLearning systems do not support these differences. Thus, the learning process is unlikely effective or might be disruptive (Cakula and Sedleniece, 2013; Urh *et al.*, 2015). Millennial Generation students are exposed to the new technologies, so engaging and motivating technology like gamification should be adopted in higher education to fulfill the student's expectation (Jackson *et al.*, 2017). According to Huynh, Zuo and B (2017) recent studies have reported that gamification has heterogeneous game elements that can be implemented in the learning environment, researchers suggested studying the impact of certain game

elements on student based on their learning style (Dichev and Dicheva, 2017).

#### **1.4 Research questions**

According to the problem statement, the study seeks to answer the following questions:

- i Which game elements suit student's learning style for undergraduate students?
- ii What model would be used for personalized gamified learning based on student's learning style?
- iii To what extent will the proposed model of personalized gamified learning affect the learning process?

#### **1.5 Research objectives**

Hence, the main objectives of this proposed study were to investigate the following:

- i To identify the game elements that suit the student's learning style.
- ii To propose a model of personalized gamified learning based on student's learning style.
- iii To demonstrate and evaluate the proposed model using an experiment to study the effectiveness of the proposed model.

#### **1.6 The significance of study**

Malaysian higher education needs to promote learning process by employing the latest trends in pedagogical applications in learning process. Therefore, introducing

gamification in Malaysian Higher Education is considered as a novel concept in terms of this empirical study related to the impact of personalized and gamified learning on student's performance. Consequently, the potential advantages of gamification i.e. increase student engagement, motivate and promote learning and facilitate students in the development of sustainable life skills. In one hand, these benefits will contribute to enhancing the effectiveness of learning activities; as well as, having the engaging learning environment. On the other hand, satisfaction, motivation, and participation are the supportive factors that might affect the learning outcomes. Thus, gamified experiences in the universities will promote these factors positively.

### **1.7 The scope of the study**

The scope of this study was to investigate the impact of personalized gamified learning on the student for undergraduate students of the Faculty of Computing, in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). Felder-Silverman Learning Style Model was undertaken in proposing the personalized model.

### **1.8 The organization of the thesis**

This study is structured into six chapters. The report starts with Chapter 1 which addresses the research background, problem, objectives, importance, scope and structure. For the second chapter, the literature discusses the concepts of gamification, personalized learning, learning style and related works. With respect to Chapter 3, it explains the methods that have been used to achieve the study aims. Chapter 4 presents the model development and prototype implementation stage. Next, Chapter 5 explains the evaluation phase including the data collection and analysis phase. Finally, the last chapter of this research is Chapter 6 which comprises a summary of the whole research.

## REFERENCES

- Aldemir, T., Celik, B. and Kaplan, G. (2017). A qualitative investigation of student perceptions of game elements in a gamified course. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 78, 235–254. ISSN 07475632. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2017.10.001. Retrievable at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.10.001>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Aoki, T., Kigawa, Y., Nemenzo, F. and Nagata, K. (2016). E-Learning System Based on User's Consciousness and Characteristic. *Proceedings - 2015 International Conference on Computer Application Technologies, CCATS 2015*, 108–113. doi: 10.1109/CCATS.2015.35.
- Aparicio, A. F., Vela, F. L. G., Sánchez, J. L. G. and Montes, J. L. I. (2012). Analysis and application of gamification. *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Interacción Persona-Ordenador - INTERACCION '12*, 1–2. ISSN 1098-6596. doi: 10.1145/2379636.2379653. Retrievable at <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2379636.2379653>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Aviles, M. and Eastman, J. K. (2012). Utilizing technology effectively to improve Millennials' educational performance perceptions. doi:10.1108/18363261211281726.
- Baptiste Monterrat, Michel Desmarais, Élise Lavoué and George, S. (2015). A Player Model for Adaptive Gamification in Learning Environments. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 9112, 883. ISSN 16113349. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-19773-9.
- Barata, G., Gama, S., Jorge, J. and Goncalves, D. (2013). Engaging Engineering Students with Gamification. *2013 5th International Conference on Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications (VS-GAMES)*, 1–8. doi:10.1109/VIS-GAMES.2013.6624228. Retrievable at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=6624228>{%}5Cn<http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84889077476>{&}partnerID=tZ0tx3y1,

accessed: Jan 9, 2019.

- Barata, G., Gama, S., Jorge, J. and Gonçalves, D. (2016). Studying student differentiation in gamified education: A long-term study. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 71, 550–585. ISSN 07475632. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2016.08.049. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.08.049>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Borja , Iván Cantador and Marczewski, A. (2015). Validating Gamification Mechanics and Player Types in an E-learning Environment. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 9307, 568–572. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-24258-3.
- Buckley, P. and Doyle, E. (2017). Individualising gamification: An investigation of the impact of learning styles and personality traits on the efficacy of gamification using a prediction market. *Computers and Education*. 106, 43–55. ISSN 03601315. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2016.11.009.
- Bunchball (2010). Gamification 101 : An Introduction to the Use of Game Dynamics to Influence Behavior. (October). ISSN 03601315. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2012.12.020.
- Butler, C. (2014). A Framework for Evaluating the Effectiveness of Gamification Techniques by Personality Type. *Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014*, 381–389.
- Cai, F., Dai, G. and Han, T. (2016). Gamification Design Based Research on Fitness Mobile Application for University Students. 9747, 240–251. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-40355-7. Retrievable at [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-40355-7\\_23](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-40355-7_23), accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Cakula, S. and Sedleniece, M. (2013). Development of a personalized e-learning model using methods of ontology. *Procedia - Procedia Computer Science*. 26(December), 113–120. ISSN 1877-0509. doi:10.1016/j.procs.2013.12.011. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2013.12.011>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Chalco Chalco, G., Moreira, D. A., Mizoguchi, R. and Isotani, S. (2014). An Ontology Engineering Approach to Gamify Collaborative Learning Scenarios. 4. (8658), 185–198. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-10166-8\_17.

- Cheong, C., Filippou, J. and Cheong, F. (2014). Towards the Gamification of Learning : Investigating Student Perceptions of Game Elements. *Journal of Information Systems Education*. 25(3), 233–245. ISSN 1055-3096.
- Christalin, B., Christudas, L., Kirubakaran, E. and Jeba, P. R. (2017). An evolutionary approach for personalization of content delivery in e-learning systems based on learner behavior forcing compatibility of learning materials. *Telematics and Informatics*. ISSN 0736-5853. doi:10.1016/j.tele.2017.02.004. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.02.004>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Chu, H.-C., Hwang, G.-J., Tsai, C.-C. and Tseng, J. C. R. (2010). A two-tier test approach to developing location-aware mobile learning systems for natural science courses. *Computers & Education*. 55(4), 1618–1627. ISSN 0360-1315. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.07.004>. Retrievable at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360131510001879>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Codish, D. and Ravid, G. (2014). Personality Based Gamification – Educational Gamification for Extroverts and Introverts. *CHAIS '14 - Conference for the Study of Innovation and Learning Technologies: Learning in the Technological Era*, 36–44. ISSN 07475632. doi:10.1108/VJIKMS-06-2014-0043.
- Conole, G., Klobučar, T., Rensing, C., Konert, J. and Lavoué, É. (2015). Potentials of Gamification in Learning Management Systems: A Qualitative Evaluation. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 9307, 389–394. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-24258-3.
- Denny, P. (2013). The Effect of Virtual Achievements on Student Engagement, 763–772.
- Deterding, S. (2011). Situated motivational affordances of game elements : A conceptual model. *ACM Human-Computer Interaction*, 3–6. doi: ACM978-1-4503-0268-5/11/05.
- Di Bitonto, P., Corriero, N., Pesare, E., Rossano, V. and Roselli, T. (2014). Training and learning in e-health using the gamification approach: The trainer interaction. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial*

- Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics*). 8515 LNCS(PART 3), 228–237. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07446-7\_22.
- Dichev, C. and Dicheva, D. (2017). *Gamifying education: what is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain: a critical review*. vol. 14. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education. ISBN 4123901700. doi: 10.1186/s41239-017-0042-5.
- Dilullo, C., Mcgee, P. and Kriebel, R. M. (2011). Demystifying the Millennial student: A reassessment in measures of character and engagement in professional education. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 4(4), 214–226. ISSN 19359772. doi:10.1002/ase.240.
- Dung, P. Q. and Florea, A. M. (2011). An architecture and a domain ontology for personalized multi-agent e-learning systems. doi:10.1109/KSE.2011.35.
- Ed, F. F.-h. N. and Hutchison, D. (2014). Gamification of Education: A Review of Literature. *1st International Conference on HCI in Business (HCIB 2014)*. 8527(February), 0–8. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07293-7. Retrievable at [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-07293-7\\_39](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-07293-7_39), accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Eser Çeker, F. Ö. a. (2017). What "Gamification" Is and What It's Not. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*. 6(2), 221–228. ISSN 23049650. doi: 10.13187/ejced.2017.2.221. Retrievable at <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1146137.pdf>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Essalmi, F., Jemni, L., Ayed, B., Jemni, M. and Graf, S. (2015). Generalized metrics for the analysis of E-learning personalization strategies. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 48, 310–322. ISSN 0747-5632. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2014.12.050. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.12.050>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Fasihuddin, H., Skinner, G. and Athauda, R. (2015). Towards adaptive open learning environments: Evaluating the precision of identifying learning styles by tracking learners' behaviours. *Education and Information Technologies*. 22(3), 807–825. ISSN 15737608. doi:10.1007/s10639-015-9458-5.
- Fatahi, S., Moradi, H. and Kashani-vahid, L. (2016). A survey of personality and



- learning styles models applied in virtual environments with emphasis on e-learning environments. *Artificial Intelligence Review*. 46(3), 413–429. ISSN 1573-7462. doi:10.1007/s10462-016-9469-7.
- Felder, R. (2010). Are learning styles invalid? (hint: no!). *On-Course Newsletter*. ISSN 1945-404X. Retrievable at <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/76e8/4ba4b1548dc04b27b2dac569818acbdbc2bb.pdf>, accessed: Jan 9, 2019.
- Felder, R. and Silverman, L. (1988). Learning and teaching styles in engineering education. *Engineering education*. 78(June), 674–681. ISSN 01905848. doi:10.1109/FIE.2008.4720326.
- Felder, R. M. and Spurlin, J. (2005). Applications , Reliability and Validity of the Index of Learning Styles \*. 21(1).
- Feldman, J. and Monteserin, A. (2016). Can digital games help us identify our skills to manage abstractions ?, 1103–1118. doi:10.1007/s10489-016-0812-0.
- Ghazali, A. S. M., Noor, S. F. M. and Saad, S. (2015). Review of personalized learning approaches and methods in e-learning environment. *2015 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICEEI)*, 624–627. doi:10.1109/ICEEI.2015.7352574. Retrievable at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7352574/>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Gibson, D., Ostashewski, N., Flintoff, K., Grant, S. and Knight, E. (2015). Digital badges in education. *Education and Information Technologies*. 20(2), 403–410. ISSN 15737608. doi:10.1007/s10639-013-9291-7.
- Goshevski, D., Veljanoska, J. and Hatzia Apostolou, T. (2017). A Review of Gamification Platforms for Higher Education. *Proceedings of the 8th Balkan Conference in Informatics on - BCI '17*, 1–6. doi:10.1145/3136273.3136299. Retrievable at <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=3136273.3136299>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Graf, S., Viola S., R., Lea, T. and Kinshuk (2007). In Depth Analysis of the Felder-Silverman Learning Style Dimensions. *Research on Technology in Education*. 40(1), 79–93.
- Hamari, J. (2017). Do badges increase user activity? A field experiment on the effects

- of gamification. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 71, 469–478. ISSN 07475632. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.036.
- Hofacker, C. F., de Ruyter, K., Lurie, N. H., Manchanda, P. and Donaldson, J. (2016). Gamification and Mobile Marketing Effectiveness. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. 34(2016), 25–36. ISSN 15206653. doi:10.1016/j.intmar.2016.03.001. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2016.03.001>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Hunicke, R., LeBlanc, M. and Zubek, R. (2004). MDA: A Formal Approach to Game Design and Game Research. *Workshop on Challenges in Game AI*, 1–4. ISSN 03772217. doi:10.1.1.79.4561.
- Huynh, D., Zuo, L. and B, H. I. (2017). Analyzing Gamification of "Duolingo" with Focus on Its Course Structure. 10653, 268–277. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-71940-5.
- Hwang, G. J., Sung, H. Y., Hung, C. M. and Huang, I. (2013). A learning style perspective to investigate the necessity of developing adaptive learning systems. *Educational Technology and Society*. 16(2), 188–197. ISSN 11763647. doi:10.2307/jeductechsoci.16.2.188.
- Hwang, G.-J., Sung, H.-Y., Hung, C.-M., Huang, I. and Tsai, C.-C. (2012). Development of a personalized educational computer game based on students' learning styles. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. 60(4), 623–638. ISSN 1042-1629. doi:10.1007/s11423-012-9241-x. Retrievable at <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11423-012-9241-x>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Iosup, A. and Epema, D. (2014). An Experience Report on Using Gamification in Technical Higher Education. (2008).
- Jackson, K., Cockrill, B. and Dewey, J. (2017). Academy Insights Teaching the Millennial Learner. 8(6). doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2017.06.001.2.
- Jovanovic, J. and Devedzic, V. (2014). Open badges: Challenges and opportunities. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 8613 LNCS, 56–65. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-09635-3\_6.

- Kendall, K. and Kendall, J. (2013). *Systems Analysis and Design, Global Edition*. Pearson Education Limited. ISBN 9780273788515. Retrievable at <https://books.google.com.my/books?id=rvyoBwAAQBAJ>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Kuo, M. S. and Chuang, T. Y. (2016). How gamification motivates visits and engagement for online academic dissemination - An empirical study. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 55, 16–27. ISSN 07475632. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2015.08.025. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.08.025>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Llorens-Largo, F., Gallego-Duran, F. J., Villagra-Arnedo, C. J., Compan-Rosique, P., Satorre-Cuerda, R. and Molina-Carmona, R. (2016). Gamification of the Learning Process: Lessons Learned. *Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías del Aprendizaje*. 11(4), 227–234. ISSN 19328540. doi:10.1109/RITA.2016.2619138.
- Mildner, P., Stamer, N. and Effelsber, W. (2015). Serious Games. 9090, 51–62. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-19126-3. Retrievable at <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-19126-3>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Mora, A., González, C. and Mora, A. (2014). Gamification in Intelligent Tutoring Systems. (October). doi:10.1145/2669711.2669903.
- Mora, A., Riera, D., González, C. and Arnedo-Moreno, J. (2017). Gamification: a systematic review of design frameworks. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*. 29(3), 516–548. ISSN 18671233. doi:10.1007/s12528-017-9150-4.
- Morschheuser, B., Hassan, L., Werder, K. and Hamari, J. (2017). How to design gamification? A method for engineering gamified software. *Information and Software Technology*. 95(April 2017), 219–237. ISSN 09505849. doi:10.1016/j.infsof.2017.10.015.
- Nacke, L. E. and Deterding, S. (2017). The maturing of gamification research. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 71, 450–454. ISSN 07475632. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.062.
- Nah, F. F.-h., Telaprolu, V. R. and Rallapalli, S. (2013). Gamification of Education Using Computer Games Background: Gamification and Its Application to Education. *Human-Computer Interaction. Applications and Services*, 99–107.

- Otake, K., Sumita, R., Oka, M., Shinozawa, Y., Uetake, T. and Sakurai, A. (2014). A Proposal of a Support System for Motivation Improvement Using Gamification. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 8531 LNCS, 571–580. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07632-4\_54.
- Peppers, K., Tuunanen, T., Rothenberger, M. A. and Chatterjee, S. (2007). A Design Science Research Methodology for Information Systems Research. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 24(3), 45–77. ISSN 0742-1222. doi: 10.2753/MIS0742-1222240302. Retrievable at <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.2753/MIS0742-1222240302>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Ricciardi, M. (2015). Gamification and Learning: a Review of Issues and Research. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*. 11(3), 13–21. ISSN 1826-6223. doi:10.20368/JE-LKS.V11I3.1072.
- Robson, K., Plangger, K., Kietzmann, J. H., McCarthy, I. and Pitt, L. (2015). Is it all a game? Understanding the principles of gamification. *Business Horizons*. 58(4), 411–420. ISSN 00076813. doi:10.1016/j.bushor.2015.03.006. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2015.03.006>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Roosta, F., Taghiyareh, F. and Mosharraf, M. (2016). Personalization of gamification-elements in an e-learning environment based on learners' motivation. *2016 8th International Symposium on Telecommunications, IST 2016*, 637–642. doi:10.1109/ISTEL.2016.7881899.
- Sanmugam, M., Abdullah, Z., Mohamed, H., Aris, B., Zaid, N. M. and Suhadi, S. M. (2016). The affiliation between student achievement and elements of gamification in learning science. *2016 4th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology, ICoICT 2016*. 4(c), 1–4. doi:10.1109/ICoICT.2016.7571962.
- Sedleniece, M. and Cakula, S. (2012). Framework for personalized e-learning model. *Conference: 16th WSEAS International Conference on Communications Volume: Recent Researches in Communications and Computers*. (July), 457–462.
- Sera, L. and Wheeler, E. (2017). Game on: The gamification of the pharmacy classroom. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*. 9(1), 155–159. ISSN 18771297. doi:10.1016/j.cptl.2016.08.046.

- Shabihi, N., Taghiyareh, F. and Abdoli, M. H. (2016). Analyzing the effect of game-elements in e-learning environments through MBTI-based personalization. *2016 8th International Symposium on Telecommunications, IST 2016*, 612–618. doi: 10.1109/ISTEL.2016.7881895.
- Sillaots, M. (2014). Gamification of higher education by the example of course of research methods. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 8613 LNCS, 106–115. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-09635-3\_11.
- Sitorus, M. B., Ferdiana, R. and Adji, T. B. (2017). Designing gamification framework to support social media application based on game elements and cutting-edge technology. *2017 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (ICECOS)*, 125–130. doi:10.1109/ICECOS.2017.8167118. Retrievable at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8167118/>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Soflano, M., Connolly, T. M. and Hainey, T. (2015). An application of adaptive games-based learning based on learning style to teach SQL. *Computers and Education*. 86, 192–211. ISSN 03601315. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2015.03.015.
- Su, C. H., Fan, K. K. and Su, P. Y. (2016). An intelligent Gamifying learning recommender system integrated with learning styles and Kelly repertory grid technology. *2016 International Conference on Applied System Innovation, IEEE ICASI 2016*, 5–8. doi:10.1109/ICASI.2016.7539768.
- Subhash, S. and Cudney, E. A. (2018). Gamified Learning in Higher Education : A Systematic Review of the. *Computers in Human Behavior*. ISSN 0747-5632. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2018.05.028.
- Thiebes, S., Lins, S. and Basten, D. (2014). Gamifying information systems -a synthesis of gamification mechanics and dynamics gamifying information systems. *Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)*, 0–17. Retrievable at <http://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2014>{%}0Ahttp://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2014/proceedings/track01/4, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Topîrceanu, A. (2017). Gamified learning: A role-playing approach to increase student in-class motivation. *Procedia Computer Science*. 112, 41–50. ISSN 18770509.

doi:10.1016/j.procs.2017.08.017.

- Tsay, C. H.-H., Kofinas, A. and Luo, J. (2018). Enhancing student learning experience with technology-mediated gamification: An empirical study. *Computers & Education*. 121(April 2017), 1–17. ISSN 03601315. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2018.01.009. Retrievable at <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0360131518300095>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Urh, M., Vukovic, G., Jereb, E. and Pintar, R. (2015). The Model for Introduction of Gamification into E-learning in Higher Education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 197(February), 388–397. ISSN 18770428. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.07.154. Retrievable at <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1877042815041555>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Villagr, C. and Gallego-dur, F. J. (2016). PLMan: Towards a Gamified Learning System. 9753, 82–93. ISSN 1098-6596. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-39483-1. Retrievable at <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-39483-1>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Werbach, K. and Hunter, D. (2012). *For the Win: How Game Thinking Can Revolutionize Your Business*. Wharton Digital Press. ISBN 9781613630235. Retrievable at <https://books.google.com.my/books?id=abg0SnK3XdMC>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Xu, D., Huang, W. W., Wang, H. and Heales, J. (2014). Enhancing e-learning effectiveness using an intelligent agent-supported personalized virtual learning environment : An empirical investigation. *Information & Management*. 51(4), 430–440. ISSN 0378-7206. doi:10.1016/j.im.2014.02.009. Retrievable at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2014.02.009>, accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.
- Xu, H., Song, D., Yu, T. and Tavares, A. (2017). An enjoyable learning experience in personalising learning based on knowledge management: A case study. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*. 13(7), 3001–3018. ISSN 13058223. doi:10.12973/eurasia.2017.00702a.
- Yáñez-Gómez, R., Cascado-Caballero, D. and Sevillano, J. L. (2017). Academic methods for usability evaluation of serious games: a systematic review. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 76(4), 5755–5784. ISSN 15737721. doi:10.1007/s11042-016-3845-9.

Zaphiris, P. and Ioannou, A. (2015). Gamification in e-Learning Systems: A Conceptual Model to Engage Students and Its Application in an Adaptive e-Learning System. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 9192, 595–607. ISSN 16113349. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20609-7.

Zaric, N., Scepanovi, S. and Vujicic, T. (2017). The Model for Gamification of E-learning in Higher Education Based on Learning Styles. 207, 265–273. ISSN 9783642371684. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-37169-1. Retrievable at [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-67597-8\\_25](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-67597-8_25), accessed: Jan. 9, 2019.