

OPTIMISING INTENSIVE GREEN ROOF ENVIRONMENTAL
PERFORMANCE TOWARDS CARBON SEQUESTRATION RATE

WAN ZURIEA BINTI WAN ISMAIL

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

OPTIMISING INTENSIVE GREEN ROOF ENVIRONMENTAL
PERFORMANCE TOWARDS CARBON SEQUESTRATION RATE

WAN ZURIEA BINTI WAN ISMAIL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

DEDICATION

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I am grateful to the mighty God, Allah S.W.T for enabling me the strength and opportunity to complete the whole thesis. There are a few people that I would like to express my appreciation, especially those who had encouraged me to complete my thesis.

I would particularly like to express my special thanks and gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mat Naim Abdullah @ Mohd. Asmoni, my main supervisor, and Dr. Nurul Syakima Bt Mohd Yusoff, my co-supervisor for their countless assistance, guidance, and encouragement for me to complete this thesis.

I would like to thank Dr. Low Sheau Ting, the Program Coordinator for her help and support to smoothen the journey.

To all participants in this study, I would like to thank you for your valuable time and assistance. I am sincerely grateful for your time and effort.

My thanks and gratitude are also dedicated to my fellow friends who have been involved directly or indirectly in completing this thesis.

Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge my special thanks to my beloved family, my dear husband, Md Aswai Ismail, my lovely kids, Shaqeer, Raiesya, and Zuhayr, and my beloved mum, Hajah Faizah Mohd Zain for giving me their biggest support, prayers, and understanding throughout this Ph.D. journey.

ABSTRACT

Despite the abundant studies on the environmental performance of green roofs, studies related to carbon sequestration have received less attention from researchers. Previous studies have revealed that carbon sequestration contribution is limited compared to the amount of CO₂ emitted by buildings. Furthermore, no research has been done to investigate the ways to improve carbon sequestration performance through intensive green roofs, which is crucial to offset CO₂ emission. To address this gap, this research was undertaken with four research objectives which are to identify the factors affecting carbon sequestration on intensive green roofs, to investigate the current intensive green roof practice on carbon sequestration performance, to estimate the amount of carbon sequestration on intensive green roofs, and to determine the significant factors for improving carbon sequestration performance on intensive green roofs. The research objectives were achieved through 3 phases. Phase 1 was conducted through an extensive literature review and verification by experts to achieve the first objective. 20 sub-factors affecting carbon sequestration on intensive green roofs were identified and classified into 3 main factors, namely plants, the physical of green roofs, and maintenance. Phase 2 included an investigation involving nine (9) case studies with multisource evidence such as field surveys, semi-structured interviews, document reviews, and observations to achieve the second and third objectives. The investigation disclosed the current practice of intensive green roofs with three different typologies termed as Type A, Type B, and Type C. Based on the ranked factors and the rate of carbon sequestration, Type B is the best for optimising carbon sequestration performance. Overall, the nine case studies contributed to 3,601 tree planting and the average number of trees for each case study was 400 with an average density of 0.126 per tree per square metre of green roofs, while 39% of the tree species were made up of *Syzygium oleina*. Meanwhile, the total estimated amount of carbon sequestration for all case studies is 48,410 kg CO₂ per year with an average of 5378.90 kg CO₂ per year for each case study. Each tree was also estimated to sequester carbon at 11.72 kg CO₂ per year. The estimated amount of carbon sequestration for one square metre of intensive green roof was 1.69 kg CO₂ per year with the highest amount estimated at 7.305 kg CO₂ per year. The final phase of the study achieved the fourth objective by which the data collected from the case studies were analysed using regression analysis. The analysis revealed two significant factors affecting the carbon sequestration performance of intensive green roof which are green area percentage and plant diversity. The findings provided useful guidance to policymakers, designers, facility managers, and building owners to maximise carbon sequestration on intensive green roofs to reduce global warming.

ABSTRAK

Walaupun terdapat banyak kajian berkenaan prestasi alam sekitar bumbung hijau, kajian yang berkaitan dengan penyerapan karbon kurang mendapat perhatian daripada penyelidik. Kajian terdahulu telah menunjukkan bahawa sumbangan penyerapan karbon adalah terhad berbanding dengan jumlah CO₂ yang dihasilkan oleh bangunan. Selain itu, tiada penyelidikan yang dijalankan bagi mengkaji cara meningkatkan prestasi penyerapan karbon oleh bumbung hijau jenis intensif yang sangat penting untuk mengimbangi pelepasan CO₂. Untuk menangani jurang ini, kajian ini dijalankan dengan empat objektif kajian iaitu mengenal pasti faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi penyerapan karbon di bumbung hijau jenis intensif, untuk mengkaji amalan semasa mengenai prestasi penyerapan karbon, untuk menganggarkan jumlah penyerapan karbon pada bumbung hijau jenis intensif, dan untuk menentukan faktor utama untuk meningkatkan prestasi penyerapan karbon bagi bumbung hijau jenis intensif. Objektif kajian dicapai melalui 3 fasa. Fasa 1 dijalankan menerusi tinjauan literatur dan pengesahan yang mendalam oleh pakar bagi mencapai objektif pertama. 20 sub-faktor yang mempengaruhi penyerapan karbon pada bumbung hijau jenis intensif telah dikenal pasti dan diklasifikasikan kepada 3 faktor utama iaitu tumbuh-tumbuhan, fizikal bumbung hijau dan penyelenggaraan. Fasa 2 meliputi kajian yang melibatkan sembilan (9) kajian kes dengan pelbagai sumber bukti seperti tinjauan lapangan, temu bual separa berstruktur, semakan dokumen dan pemerhatian untuk mencapai objektif kedua dan ketiga. Kajian ini mendedahkan amalan semasa bumbung hijau jenis intensif dengan 3 tipologi berbeza yang dinamakan sebagai Jenis A, B dan C. Berdasarkan faktor-faktor yang disusun dan kadar penyerapan karbon, tipologi Jenis B adalah yang terbaik dalam mengoptimumkan jumlah kadar penyerapan karbon. Secara keseluruhan, sembilan kajian kes menyumbang kepada 3,601 penanaman pokok dan purata bilangan pokok bagi setiap kajian kes adalah 400 dengan purata kepadatan sebanyak 0.126 pokok per meter persegi bumbung hijau, manakala 39% spesis pokok terdiri dari spesis *Syzygium oleina*. Sementara itu, anggaran kadar penyerapan karbon tahunan bagi semua kajian kes adalah 48,410 kg CO₂ setiap tahun dengan purata 5378.90 kg CO₂ setiap tahun bagi setiap kajian kes. Setiap pokok juga dianggarkan menyerap karbon pada kadar 11.72 kg CO₂ setiap tahun. Jumlah anggaran penyerapan karbon untuk satu meter persegi bumbung hijau jenis intensif adalah 1.69 kg CO₂ setiap tahun dengan jumlah tertinggi dianggarkan pada 7.305 kg CO₂ setiap tahun. Fasa terakhir kajian mencapai objektif keempat di mana data yang dikumpulkan dari kajian kes dianalisis menggunakan analisis regresi. Analisis menunjukkan dua faktor penting yang mempengaruhi prestasi penyerapan karbon bumbung hijau jenis intensif iaitu peratusan kawasan hijau dan kepelbagaian tumbuh-tumbuhan. Penemuan ini memberikan panduan berguna kepada penggubal dasar, pereka bentuk, pengurus fasiliti dan pemilik bangunan untuk memaksimumkan prestasi penyerapan karbon di bumbung hijau jenis intensif bagi mengurangkan pemanasan global.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xvi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.3	Research Questions	8
1.4	Research Aim	9
	1.41 Research Objectives	10
1.5	Scope of the Study	10
1.6	Significance of the Study	11
1.7	Organisation of Thesis	12
CHAPTER 2	GREEN ROOF CARBON SEQUESTRATION	15
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Theoretical Foundation of Performance Improvement	15
	2.2.1 Theoretical Framework for Carbon Sequestration Performance Improvement	20
2.3	Sustainable Facility Management	21

2.4	Building Performance	22
2.4.1	Building Environmental Performance	24
2.4.2	Building Environmental Performance Assessment	25
2.4.3	Malaysian Carbon Reduction and Environmental Sustainability Tool- MyCRES	26
2.4.4	Building Environmental Performance Improvement	27
2.5	Green Roofs	28
2.5.1	Green Roof Design Guidelines	28
2.5.2	Green Roof Design Considerations	29
2.5.3	Green Roof Classifications	31
2.5.4	Green Roof Typology	34
2.5.4	Green Roof Components	34
	i. Plants	35
	ii. Planting Medium/Substrate	36
	iii. Filter layer	37
	iv. Drainage Layer	37
	v. Moisture Retention Mat	38
	vi. Root Barrier	38
	vii. Waterproofing	39
2.5.5	Facilities on Intensive Green Roofs	39
2.5.6	Green Roof Maintenance	40
2.5.7	Benefits of Green Roofs towards Environmental Performance	41
2.5.7.1	Reducing Storm Water Run-off	41
2.5.7.2	Reducing Urban Heat Island Effect (UHI)	42
2.5.7.3	Reducing Air Pollution	43
2.5.7.4	Reducing Noise Pollution	44
2.5.7.5	Increased Biodiversity	44
2.5.7.6	Carbon Sequestration	45
2.6	Climate Change	46

2.6.1	Effects of Climate Change	46
2.6.2	Climate Change Mitigation	47
2.6.3	Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)	47
2.6.4	Greenhouse Effects	48
2.6.5	Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	49
2.7	Green Roof Carbon Sequestration	50
2.7.1	Carbon Sequestration	50
2.7.2	General Concept of Carbon Sequestration	51
2.7.3	Estimation Concept of Tree Carbon Sequestration	53
2.7.4	Measurement of Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)	54
2.7.5	Measurement of Tree Height	55
2.7.6	Estimation of Tree Age	56
2.8	Methods of Carbon Sequestration Estimation	57
2.8.1	Destructive Sampling	57
2.8.2	Non-Destructive Sampling	57
2.8.3	Integration of Field Survey and Allometric Equations	58
2.8.4	Carbon Calculator Tool	59
	2.8.4.1 MyCREST Carbon Sequestration Calculator	59
2.8.5	Adoption of Calculator as an Estimating Tool	61
2.8.6	Green Roof Carbon Sequestration Estimation	63
2.9	Factors Affecting Carbon Sequestration at Green Roof	66
2.9.1	Tree Diameter	66
2.9.2	Tree Height	67
2.9.3	Leaf Area Index	67
2.9.4	Plant Biomass	68
2.9.5	Plant Species	68
2.9.6	Age of Trees	70
2.9.7	Plant Roots	70
2.9.8	Plant Density	71

2.9.9	Plant Diversity	71
2.9.10	Depth of Substrate	72
2.9.11	Position and Orientation of Green Roofs	72
2.9.12	Area of Green Coverage	73
2.9.13	Type of Substrate	73
2.9.14	Watering	74
2.9.15	Fertilising	74
2.9.16	Pruning/Trimming and Mowing	74
2.10	Chapter Summary	75
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Research Methodology	77
3.2.1	Research Strategy	79
3.2.2	Research Design	80
3.2.3	Methods of Data Collection	81
3.3	Phase 1: To identify the factors affecting carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs.	81
3.3.1	Step 1: Literature Review	81
3.3.2	Step 2: Semi-Structured Interviews with Experts	83
3.3.3	Step 3: Data Analysis I (Thematic Analysis)	87
3.4	Phase 2: To investigate the current practice of intensive green roofs towards carbon sequestration and to estimate the amount of carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs.	88
3.4.1	Step 4: Case Study Selection	89
3.4.2	Step 5: Field Data Collection	94
3.4.3	Step 6: Data Analysis II (Descriptive Analysis)	101
3.4.4	Step 7: Data Analysis III (Carbon Sequestration Calculator)	101
3.5	Phase 3: To determine the significant factors affecting carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs.	105
3.5.1	Step 8: Selection of Related Data	105

3.5.2	Step 9: Data Analysis IV (Regression Analysis	105
3.6	Chapter Summary	109
CHAPTER 4 DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS		111
4.1	Introduction	111
4.2	Phase 1: Factors Affecting Carbon Sequestration at Intensive Green Roofs	111
4.2.1	Part 1: Data Analysis from the Literature Review	111
4.2.2	Data Analysis from Expert Interviews	113
4.2.3	Summary of Phase I Data Analysis	115
4.3	Phase 2: Investigation of the Current Practice of Intensive Green Roofs towards Carbon Sequestration Performance	116
4.3.1	Case Studies	117
4.3.2	Part 1: Case Study Description	117
4.3.2.1	Case Study A1	117
4.3.2.2	Case Study A2	123
4.3.2.3	Case Study A3	130
4.3.2.4	Case Study B1	135
4.3.2.5	Case Study B2	141
4.3.2.6	Case Study B3	147
4.3.2.7	Case Study C1	152
4.3.2.8	Case Study C2	157
4.3.2.9	Case Study C3	162
4.3.3	Comparison of Quantitative Data on the Current Practice of Intensive Green Roofs among Three Types of Case Studies	167
1.	A1 (Tree DBH)	167
2.	A2 (Tree Height)	168
3.	A4 (Tree Biomass)	169
4.	A6 (Tree Age)	170
5.	A8a (Number of Trees per Case Study)	171

6.	A8b (Tree Density)	172
7.	A9 (Tree Diversity)	173
8.	B1 (Depth of Planting Medium)	173
9.	B4a (Green Roof Area)	174
10.	B4b (Green Area); B4c (Hard Surface Area)	175
4.3.4	Comparison of Qualitative Data on the Current Practice of Intensive Green Roofs among Three Types of Case Studies	179
4.3.5	Part 2: Estimating the Amount of Carbon Sequestration at Intensive Green Roofs	183
4.3.5.1	Case Study A1	184
4.3.5.2	Case Study A2	194
4.3.5.3	Case Study A3	198
4.3.5.4	Case Study B1	203
4.3.5.5	Case Study B2	211
4.3.5.6	Case Study B3	219
4.3.5.7	Case Study C1	222
4.3.5.8	Case Study C2	226
4.3.5.9	Case Study C3	234
4.3.6	Summary of Findings for Phase 2 (Part 2)	237
4.3.7	Part 2: Comparison of Carbon Sequestration Estimation in Three Types of Case Studies	241
4.3.7.1	Total Amount of Carbon Sequestration	241
4.3.7.2	Total Tree Carbon Sequestration Rates	241
4.3.7.3	Grass/Shrub Carbon Sequestration Rates	241
4.3.7.4	The amount of carbon sequestration per year per square metre	242
4.4	Phase 3: Significant Factors Affecting Carbon Sequestration Performance at Intensive Green Roofs	243
4.4.1	The Amount of Carbon Sequestration per Square Metre per Year vs the Average Number of Trees per Case Study	244

4.4.2	The Amount of Carbon Sequestration per Square Metre per Year vs the Average Number of Trees per Square Metre (Density)	245
4.4.3	The Amount of Carbon Sequestration per Square Metre per Year vs Tree Diversity (Number of Tree Species)	246
4.4.4	The Amount of Carbon Sequestration per Square Metre per Year vs Green Roof Area	247
4.4.5	The Amount of Carbon Sequestration per Square Metre per Year vs Green Area	248
4.4.6	The Amount of Carbon Sequestration per Square Metre per Year vs the Percentage of Green Area	249
4.4.7	Summary of Findings for Phase 3	250
4.5	Chapter Summary	251
CHAPTER 5 FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS		253
5.1	Introduction	253
5.2	Findings and Achievement of the First Research Objective Based on the Literature Review and Expert Interviews	253
5.2.1	Plant Factors	254
5.2.2	Physical Factors of Green Roofs	255
5.2.3	Maintenance Factors	256
5.3	Findings and Achievement of the Second Research Objective Based on Fieldwork in Three Types of Case Studies	261
5.3.1	Type A Case Study	261
	A. Plant Factors	261
	B. Physical Factors of Green Roofs	264
	C. Maintenance Factors	268
5.3.2	Type B Case Study	269
	A. Plant Factors	269
	B. Physical Factors of Green Roofs	271
	C. Maintenance Factors	273
5.3.3	Case Study Type C	274

A.	Plant Factors	274
B.	Physical Factors of Green Roofs	275
C.	Maintenance Factors	277
5.4	Findings and Achievement of the Third Research Objective	278
5.4.1	Type A Case Study	278
5.4.2	Type B Case Study	279
5.4.3	Type C Case Study	281
5.5	Findings and Achievement of the Fourth Research Objective	282
5.6	Verification of Research Findings	286
5.7	Chapter Summary	286
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		287
6.1	Introduction	287
6.2	Conclusion	287
6.3	Contributions and Implications of the Research Findings	288
6.4	Limitations of the Study	291
6.5	Recommendations for Future Research	291
REFERENCES		293
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		346

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2. 1	List of studies on the factors affecting performance improvement	19
Table 2. 2	Carbon sequestration formula	61
Table 2. 3	Literature search on carbon calculators as a tool for measuring carbon storage and carbon sequestration	63
Table 2. 4	Methods for estimating carbon sequestration at green roofs	65
Table 3. 1	Choosing a research strategy (Rowley, 2002)	79
Table 3. 2	List of respondents	85
Table 3. 3	Type A Case Study Buildings	91
Table 3. 4	Type B Case Study Buildings	92
Table 3. 5	Type C Case Study Buildings	93
Table 3. 6	Data collection schedule	94
Table 3. 7	The method of data collection for each factor affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs	95
Table 3. 8	Dependent variable and independent variables	105
Table 3. 9	Adoption of regression techniques in previous research related to performance using regression analysis	106
Table 3. 10	Scale for <i>R</i> -value (Hussin et al., 2014)	108
Table 4. 1	List of factors affecting carbon sequestration based on 7 experts' views	114
Table 4. 2	Green roof area calculation for Case Study A1	120
Table 4. 3	List of Plants	121
Table 4. 4	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	122
Table 4. 5	Green roof area calculation for Case Study A2	126
Table 4. 6	List of Plants	128
Table 4. 7	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	129
Table 4. 8	Green roof area calculation for Case Study A3	132
Table 4. 9	List of Plants	134

Table 4. 10	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	134
Table 4. 11	Green roof area calculation for Case Study B1	138
Table 4. 12	List of Plants	140
Table 4. 13	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	140
Table 4. 14	Green roof area calculation for Case Study B2	144
Table 4. 15	List of Plants	146
Table 4. 16	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	147
Table 4. 17	Green roof area calculation for Case Study B3	150
Table 4. 18	List of Plants	151
Table 4. 19	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	151
Table 4. 20	Green roof area calculation for Case Study C1	154
Table 4. 21	List of Plants	156
Table 4. 22	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	156
Table 4. 23	Green roof area calculation for Case Study C2	159
Table 4. 24	List of Plants	161
Table 4. 25	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	162
Table 4. 26	Green roof area calculation for Case Study C3	164
Table 4. 27	List of Plants	166
Table 4. 28	Number, diameter, height, and age of trees.	166
Table 4. 29	Summary of quantitative data for intensive green roofs in three types of case studies	178
Table 4. 30	Summary of qualitative data for intensive green roofs in three types of case studies	181
Table 4. 31	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	184
Table 4. 32	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	194
Table 4. 33	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	198
Table 4. 34	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	203
Table 4. 35	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	211
Table 4. 36	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	219
Table 4. 37	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	222

Table 4. 38	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	226
Table 4. 39	Plant Details and Carbon Sequestration Calculation	234
Table 4. 40	Amount of carbon sequestration in nine case studies	238
Table 4. 41	Average and the total amount of carbon sequestration in nine intensive green roofs	239
Table 4. 42	Calculation of carbon sequestration estimation in nine case studies	240
Table 4. 43	Total amount of carbon sequestration in three types of case studies	242
Table 4. 44	Values of R, R square, and P-value for the dependent variable vs the independent variables	251
Table 5. 1	Factors affecting carbon sequestration in previous studies.	257
Table 5. 2	Final list of factors affecting carbon sequestration at green roofs	260
Table 5. 3	Summary of ranking list for all types of case studies	282
Table 5. 4	Unstandardised Coefficients for Green Area Percentage	284

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1. 1	Ipomoea pes-caprae or beach morning glory (Chen, Foong, Ng, Teo, & Tang, 2003)	4
Figure 2. 1	The theoretical foundation of performance improvement theory (Swanson, 1999)	16
Figure 2. 2	Theories related to performance improvement	18
Figure 2. 3	GST model of inputs, processes, outputs, and feedback loops (Swanson, 1999)	18
Figure 2. 4	Theoretical framework for carbon sequestration performance improvement	21
Figure 2. 5	Sustainability in FM (IFMA, 2019)	22
Figure 2. 6	Elements of carbon impact assessment in MyCREST (KKR et al., 2015)	27
Figure 2. 7	Extensive green roof at Herriot-Watt University, Putrajaya (www.theedgemarkets.com , 2017)	32
Figure 2. 8	Intensive green roof at Hospital Serdang, Selangor	33
Figure 2. 9	Semi-intensive green roof at Hap Seng building, Kuala Lumpur	34
Figure 2. 10	Basic components of green roof systems (Townshend and Duggie, 2007)	35
Figure 2. 11	Standard tree (Department of Standards Malaysia, 2016)	36
Figure 2. 12	Facilities provided on intensive green roofs	40
Figure 2. 13	Causes of Climate Change (Offsetters, 2015)	49
Figure 2. 14	Carbon sequestration processes and optional technology in agricultural, industrial, and natural ecosystems (Lal, 2008)	51
Figure 2. 15	Carbon Sequestration Process (Schahczenski and Hill, 2009)	53
Figure 2. 16	Main tree dimension	53
Figure 2. 17	Tree callipers (Brack, 1996)	54
Figure 2. 18	Diagram of branch forms (American Forests, 2015)	55

Figure 2. 19	Measurement for DBH of multi trunks (American Forests, 2015)	55
Figure 2. 20	Height profile (American Forests, 2015)	56
Figure 2. 21	Example of LAI schematic (Erlangung, 2016)	68
Figure 2. 22	Conceptual framework for carbon sequestration performance improvement at intensive green roofs	76
Figure 3. 1	Research methodology framework adopted in the study	78
Figure 3. 2	Coding process from quotations	87
Figure 3. 3	Formation of final code/themes	88
Figure 3. 4	Maps of Klang Valley (Mohamad, 1995)	90
Figure 3. 5	Methodology of data collection at case study buildings	100
Figure 3. 6	MyCREST carbon calculator IS15 (KKR et al., 2015)	102
Figure 3. 7	Input for total green roof area (KKR et al., 2015)	103
Figure 3. 8	Input for grass, turf, and ground covers (KKR et al., 2015)	103
Figure 3. 9	Input for trees based on tree inventories (KKR et al., 2015)	104
Figure 4. 1	Sub-codes representing the factors affecting carbon sequestration derived from the literature review	112
Figure 4. 2	List of sub-codes according to the group codes	113
Figure 4. 3	Ranking of the factors agreed by the experts	115
Figure 4. 4	Factors affecting carbon sequestration at green roofs	116
Figure 4. 5	Key Plan (Google Maps)	118
Figure 4. 6	Green roof at Level 17 of the residential block	118
Figure 4. 7	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	119
Figure 4. 8	Green roof spaces	120
Figure 4. 9	Automatic watering system	123
Figure 4. 10	Key Plan (Google Maps)	124
Figure 4. 11	Block A Rooftop	124
Figure 4. 12	Block B Rooftop	125
Figure 4. 13	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	125
Figure 4. 14	Green roof spaces	127
Figure 4. 15	Several dead trees on the green roof	129

Figure 4. 16	Plants in Block B were in good condition	130
Figure 4. 17	Key Plan (Google Maps)	130
Figure 4. 18	Block A Rooftop	131
Figure 4. 19	Block B Rooftop	131
Figure 4. 20	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	132
Figure 4. 21	Green roof spaces	133
Figure 4. 22	Plant conditions	135
Figure 4. 23	Key Plan (Google Maps)	136
Figure 4. 24	Green roof on the top of the parking building	136
Figure 4. 25	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	137
Figure 4. 26	Green roof spaces	138
Figure 4. 27	All plants were in good condition	141
Figure 4. 28	Key Plan (Google Maps)	142
Figure 4. 29	Green roof on the top of the parking building	142
Figure 4. 30	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	143
Figure 4. 31	Green roof spaces	145
Figure 4. 32	All plants were in good condition	147
Figure 4. 33	Key Plan (Google Maps)	148
Figure 4. 34	Green roof on the top of the parking building	148
Figure 4. 35	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	149
Figure 4. 36	Green roof spaces	150
Figure 4. 37	All plants were in good condition	152
Figure 4. 38	Key Plan (Google Maps)	153
Figure 4. 39	Green roof on the top of the parking building	153
Figure 4. 40	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	154
Figure 4. 41	Green roof spaces	155
Figure 4. 42	Several dead trees on the green roof	157
Figure 4. 43	Key Plan (Google Maps)	158
Figure 4. 44	Green roof on the top of the parking building	158

Figure 4. 45	Green roof orientation (Google Earth)	159
Figure 4. 46	Green roof spaces	160
Figure 4. 47	Several trees were not in good condition	162
Figure 4. 48	Key Plan (Google Maps)	163
Figure 4. 49	Green roof on the top of the parking building	163
Figure 4. 50	Green roof orientation	164
Figure 4. 51	Green roof spaces	165
Figure 4. 52	Plants were in good condition	167
Figure 4. 53	Range of tree DBH in three types of case studies	168
Figure 4. 54	Range of tree height in three types of case studies	169
Figure 4. 55	Total amount of tree biomass in three types of case studies	170
Figure 4. 56	Range of tree age in three types of case studies	171
Figure 4. 57	Number of trees in three types of case studies	172
Figure 4. 58	Total tree density at nine intensive green roofs	173
Figure 4. 59	Number of tree species in three types of case studies	173
Figure 4. 60	Depth of planting medium in three types of case studies	174
Figure 4. 61	Green roof area in three types of case studies	175
Figure 4. 62	Green area in three types of case studies	176
Figure 4. 63	Percentage of green area and hard surfaces in three types of case studies	176
Figure 4. 64	Relationship between the total amount of carbon sequestration per square metre per year and the average number of trees per case study	244
Figure 4. 65	Relationship between the total amount of carbon sequestration per square metre and the average number of trees per square metre (density)	245
Figure 4. 66	Relationship between the total amount of carbon sequestration rate per square metre per year and tree diversity (number of tree species)	246
Figure 4. 67	Relationship between the total amount of carbon sequestration per square metre per year and green roof area	247
Figure 4. 68	Relationship between the total amount of carbon sequestration per square metre per year and green area	248

Figure 4. 69	Relationship between the total amount of carbon sequestration per square metre per year and the percentage of green area	249
Figure 5. 1	Application of steel rods in Case Study A1 (Type A)	263
Figure 5. 2	Planter boxes constructed above the roof slab	265
Figure 5. 3	Provision of water tanks, artificial grass, and hardscape are	267
Figure 5. 4	Provision of green and circulation only	268
Figure 5. 5	The deepest planting medium at case study Type B	271
Figure 5. 6	Planter space at Case Study C2 location	276
Figure 5. 7	Several unhealthy trees found at Case Study C2 location	276
Figure 5. 8	Input Process and Output Feedback Model of Performance Improvement at Intensive Green Roofs	283

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

i.e	-	Id est
FM	-	Facility Management
GHGs	-	Greenhouse Gasses
MyCREST	-	Malaysian Carbon Reduction and Environment Sustainability Tool
SFM	-	Sustainable Facilities Management
IFMA	-	International Facility Management Association
LCA	-	Lifecycle Assessment
GST	-	General System Theory
UHI	-	Urban Heat Island
DBH	-	Diameter at Breast Height
CTCC	-	CUFR Tree Carbon Calculator
TGW	-	Total Green Weight
TDW	-	Total Dry Weight
TCW	-	Total Carbon Weight
LAI	-	Leaf Area Index
vs	-	Versus

LIST OF SYMBOLS

CO ₂	-	Carbon Dioxide
O ₂	-	Oxygen
H ₂ O	-	Water Vapour
N ₂ O	-	Nitrous Oxide
CH ₄	-	Methane
O ₃	-	Ozone
Kg	-	Kilogram
dB	-	Decibel
Hz	-	Hertz
cm	-	Centimetre
m	-	Metre
m ²	-	Square Metre
%	-	Percentage
R ²	-	R-Square

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Invitation Letter	316
Appendix B	Participant Information Sheet and Consent Letter	318
Appendix C	Interview Questions	320
Appendix D	Building Information Sheet	322
Appendix E	Tree Inventory Sheets	323
Appendix F	Case Study Green Roof Plan	326
Appendix G	Excel Spread Sheet	327
Appendix H	Evidence of Experts' Verification	336

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Facility Management (FM) is a thriving industry in today's built environment. As defined by the International Facility Management Association (IFMA, 2019), FM is "a profession that encompasses multiple disciplines to ensure functionality, comfort, safety, and efficiency of the built environment by integrating people, place, process, and technology". FM is a key player in the built environment industry. This definition emphasises the role of FM in integrating all aspects within a building's boundary throughout the building life according to the agreed needs. Consequently, FM is a profession that is responsible to manage resources more than other professions. Meanwhile, in managing today's built environment that contributes to the world's use of energy, water, and waste production especially greenhouse gasses (GHGs), sustainability has become one of the key components in FM. Sustainability is an agenda that is familiar in the FM industry for managing all resources efficiently in order to meet the needs within the agreed costs (Hodges and Sekula, 2013). The idea of sustainable facilities is to make the facility long-lasting and perform according to organisational needs and consistent with the organisation's vision, mission, and values as well as improving building performance and minimizing the environmental impacts from the built environment and its operation (Degani and Cardoso, 2010).

The building sector has been identified as a key mitigation strategy for carbon reduction as it emits a significant amount of GHGs. In 2010, 32 percent of global final energy consumption and 19 percent of related carbon emissions reportedly came from the building sector (IPCC, 2014a). According to KKR, CIDB, and JKR (2015), buildings emit up to 80 percent of carbon dioxide (CO₂) during the operational phase, namely the operational carbon. By the year 2030, they aim to reduce the operational carbon at 0% and as such, strategies for carbon emission reduction are crucial. FM

plays a key role in strategizing the reduction in a building's operational carbon; thus, all possible strategies should be explored. The strategies for carbon emission reduction frequently involve energy efficiency and reducing energy consumption practices at buildings. Other reduction strategies also include passive design techniques (Bessa & Prado, 2015), renewal energy (Chua, Yang, Er, & Ho, 2014; Mithraratne, 2009), low carbon materials (Cabeza et al., 2013; Ye, 2013; Zhang, Liu, Xiao, & Li, 2011) and building greenery (Marchi et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2015). In strategizing the environmental performance of a building, apart from practicing energy efficiency and consuming less energy, increasing carbon sequestration through greenery elements is also part of the strategy even though it has received less attention. However, based on the systematic literature review on the assessment of building environmental performance by Maslesa, Jensen, and Birkved (2018), none of the previous studies have covered carbon sequestration performance thus far. Indeed, the integration of intensive green roofs helps to counterbalance a building's CO₂ emission mainly during the operational stage of the building.

Green roofs are recognised throughout the world as part of the adaptation and mitigation strategy for climate change (Brudermann and Sangkakool, 2017) and as a solution for space scarcity in urban areas. This remarkable recognition worldwide is a result of green roofs possessing a plethora of proven benefits based on many studies conducted across regions. Green roofs can be categorised into intensive, extensive, and semi-extensive. Intensive green roofs are similar to the old rooftop garden that is intended for human use, which needs more maintenance and deeper substrate. Meanwhile, extensive green roofs are not intended for human use and they require less maintenance and thin substrate, whereas semi-extensive green roofs are the combination of both (Dunnett and Kingsbury, 2008). The benefits of green roofs cover the economic, environmental, and aesthetic benefits; hence, they provide a potential contribution to the improvement of building environmental performance. Green roofs are among the building green features that can improve building environmental performance (Hong et al., 2012) as they can provide natural ventilation, greenery, and recreational space for high-rise communities (Liyin et al., 2006). However, green roof environmental performance towards eliminating climate change has received less attention since studies have only attempted to convert a wide range of green roof performance into economic values such as energy efficiency performance (Peng and

Jim, 2015). This was supported by Berardi et al. (2014), whose research on green roof environmental performance in local climate mainly focuses on energy-related performance.

Even though many studies on green roofs are well-researched and established especially in some European, American, and Asian countries, it is also important to conduct local research for the extensive success of green roofs (Vijayaraghavan, 2016). In the Malaysian context, based on the literature review, several studies have been conducted on numerous research areas of green roofs and most of the studies have covered issues related to environmental performance. While the global warming issue and the rise of temperature mainly contributed to the research motivation, other topics have also focused on green roof benefits (Wan Ismail and Abdullah, 2016) (Abdul Rahman and Ahmad, 2008), perceptions (Rafida et al., 2015; Wan Ismail, Sh Ahmad, Kamarudin, Ithnin, 2014; Zahir et al., 2014), awareness (Rahman et al., 2013), guidelines (Aziz and Ismail, 2011), obstacles (Ismail et al., 2012), maintenance (Wan Ismail, Muhamad Ariff, et al., 2016), and building assessment (Hussin and Raid, 2013; Fauzi and Malek, 2013).

Since green roof environmental performance has been widely studied and acknowledged, research on carbon sequestration performance, however, is very scarce, especially the studies involving intensive green roofs. As CO₂ is one of the factors that contribute to global warming, research on the potential reduction of CO₂ through carbon sequestration at green roofs should, thus, be stressed. In addition, most of the studies involve extensive types of green roofs and none for intensive green roofs, which provide better potential for environmental performance (Berardi et al., 2014). Hence, the findings from the literature review (Chapter 2 of the thesis) resulted in the establishment of the problem statement and objectives of the current study.

1.2 Problem Statement

The widespread application of intensive green roofs as part of building facilities at high-rise buildings nowadays should be an opportunity to maximise carbon sequestration. Intensive green roofs are an apt strategy for increasing carbon sequestration in urban areas. Besides, plants at intensive green roofs have the ability to sequester CO₂ through its photosynthesis process (Rowe and Getter, 2010). Ideally, the application of intensive green roofs that imitate a natural ground landscape can contribute to the reduction of CO₂ through its natural techniques of carbon sequestration; hence, more intensive green roofs offer more carbon sequestration potential contribution.

However, such potential contribution has been overlooked by researchers since no studies have been done thus far on carbon sequestration performance relative to intensive green roofs. Several studies, nonetheless, have focused on extensive green roofs. For example, in the United States, Getter et al. (2009) quantified carbon storage potential at 12 extensive green roof projects. Based on the study, the findings indicated that the entire extensive green roof system sequestered 375 g Cm⁻² in above and below-ground biomass and substrate organic matter. This significant finding has proven that green roofs can sequester CO₂ even though the amount of carbon sequestered is small. In Malaysia, a study has been conducted by Ismail, Abdul Samad, Rahman, and Yeok (2012) on the contribution of extensive green roof performance in carbon uptake. The study was conducted through an experimental plot on a roof covered with potted plants known as *Ipomoea pes-caprae* (Figure 1.1).



Figure 1. 1 *Ipomoea pes-caprae* or beach morning glory (Chen, Foong, Ng, Teo, & Tang, 2003)

Evidently, their research results have shown that the amount of CO₂ uptake for 1 pot of *Ipomoea pes-caprae* was estimated at 48.19 kg CO₂ per year (0.048 tonnes CO₂/year). Considering that the study conducted by Ismail et al. (2012) only employed a small-scale case study filled with limited potted plants, the real scale of green roofs will, therefore, offer a greater potential of carbon sequestration that may contribute to the implementation of intensive green roofs.

Even though the previous studies have shown the potential contribution of green roofs, some studies have also claimed the contribution as a secondary benefit. Peng (2015) contended that the total CO₂ emission over an entire building lifecycle is much greater than carbon sequestration by the vegetation. Meanwhile, research by Whittinghill, Rowe, Schutzki, and Cregg (2014) has compared green roof carbon sequestration with the ground landscape and they found that the ground landscape can sequester carbon greater than the green roofs. Additionally, research by Janner et al. (2018) on optimizing the ecosystem services of green roofs further highlighted that the contribution of carbon sequestration is low due to several limitations that exist for extensive green roofs such as extreme weather conditions.

Based on all of the research findings, carbon sequestration is considered a secondary benefit to the green roofs, which contrasts with the environmental performance of other green roofs. Carbon sequestration performance is not encouraging even though plants are one of the main components of intensive green roofs; hence, it needs to be improved in order for the carbon sequestration performance to make a significant contribution. As highlighted by Vijayaraghavan (2016), research on green roof environmental improvement is crucial as the benefits of an improvement. Nevertheless, there is a lack of studies on how to improve carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs, hence the major research gap. Therefore, this study aims to improve carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs.

To address the research gap, several studies on improving building environmental performance were reviewed (Kim et al., 2016; Summerbell et al., 2016; Lamnatou and Chemisana, 2015). Findings from the studies highlighted the variables (factors, input, or parameters) affecting building environmental performance and the

variables will determine the output of the building performance that is crucial to be identified. In essence, identifying the factors would provide valuable information in optimizing carbon sequestration performance (Othman et al., 2015).

A few studies on green roof carbon sequestration have highlighted the factors affecting carbon sequestration. For example, Getter et al. (2009) highlighted that the amount of carbon sequestration on extensive green roofs depends on the types of plants and substrate in that the plants have a different ability to sequester CO₂ while deeper substrate can sequester more CO₂ than the shallow substrate. Similarly, a study by A. Ismail (2009) found that the plant species and leaf colour are among the important factors of carbon sequestration. As a result of limited data on the factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs, research on general tree carbon sequestration was reviewed. Research by Afzal and Akhtar (2013) through a field measurement and linear regression analysis found that the diameter of trees is a significant factor affecting carbon sequestration in addition to the age of trees. Another study by Whittinghill et al. (2014) additionally found that the diversity of species, depth of the substrate, and practical management reportedly affect carbon sequestration. Meanwhile, Karteris, Mallinis, and Tsiros (2016) listed that the vegetation type, CO₂ atmospheric concentration, climatic condition, and management practice are the factors affecting carbon sequestration. All disjointed factors justify the need to conduct a comprehensive study on the factors affecting carbon sequestration. Therefore, the current study is undertaken to identify the factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs to improve carbon sequestration performance.

In Malaysia, as defined by JPBD (2012), a green roof is defined as a garden located on the roof including below, on the intermediate floor level, and podium deck of a building. Due to the lack of studies on intensive green roofs, there is no data available that can be referred to, neither from the literature nor the relevant authorities on the intensive green roof practice. Hence, the practice of intensive green roofs in Malaysia remains vague, especially one that involves carbon sequestration performance. As such, the absence of data on green areas, number of trees, tree species, tree height, tree diameter, and many more asserts the need to investigate the current practice on carbon sequestration performance. Apart from that, due to the different

green roof designs typology for each building, the design typology that apt for improving the performance of carbon sequestration is also crucial to be investigated. Hence, the data are vital for informing the current practice and its potential for performance improvement.

Additionally, performance assessment is compulsory to improve carbon sequestration performance. The assessment outcomes will enable the researchers to know how the performance can be improved, validate the performance, and recognise underperforming areas (UK Green Building Council, 2016). Thus, the measurement elements must be performed as measurability is the crucial key principle (Douglas, 1996). As mentioned by Hu, O'Donnell, Corry, Turner, and Curry (2016, p. 51), the statement that “one cannot manage what one does not measure” has justified the importance of measurement elements in building performance. The carbon sequestration performance can be assessed by estimating the amount of carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs. Besides, the current carbon sequestration amount can be set as a baseline for the fundamental performance requirements (Misni et al., 2015). Even though the estimation of carbon sequestration has been conducted by Getter et al. (2009) and Ismail (2009), their studies only involve extensive green roofs. Additionally, Rowe (2011) suggested the need for research that quantifies carbon sequestration potential at a more sophisticated roof that consists of different trees and plants. However, there is no data on how much the intensive green roofs can sequester carbon; therefore, the estimated amount of carbon sequestration can be used as a baseline for carbon sequestration performance improvement.

To improve carbon sequestration performance, determining the significant factors is a key strategy. This was supported by Wong and Jim (2015), who highlighted that the key factors could inform the site choice and green roof design in maximising benefits. The different performance of green roofs will be affected by a different set of key factors. For example, a study by Brenneisen (2006) has found that the green roof design should encourage habitats, while the key factors that need to be considered are the substrates, lightweight solutions, species group, and diversity. Meanwhile, Lamnatou and Chemisana (2015) in their study recommended the important parameters to be considered in increasing photovoltaic-green roof performance such

as plant species, climatic conditions, evapotranspiration, and albedo; however, the crucial parameter is the plant species. Similarly, Wong and Jim (2015) in their study suggested the best combination for the greatest contribution of green roofs for stormwater retention, such as the rainfall depth, antecedent dry weather period, wind speed, and solar radiation. Briefly, these previous studies have highlighted the significant factors to be considered for improving carbon sequestration performance. In addition, these key factors are an output that can provide feedback to the clients, designers, and facility managers since the decisions made at the early stage of building design can affect the performance. Pertaining to this concern, the researcher ought to take the initiative to determine the significant factors for improving carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs.

1.3 Research Questions

This study attempts to answer the following questions:

Q1 – What are the factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs?

The answer to this question is needed to identify the factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs through literature review and verification from the interview with experts.

Q2 - How far have the current intensive green roofs been built and met the carbon sequestration performance?

The answer to this question is necessary to inform the current practice of intensive green roofs on carbon sequestration performance based on the identified factors involving different types of case studies.

Q3- How much carbon can be sequestered on intensive green roofs?

To answer this question, the carbon sequestration performance will be assessed based on selected case studies. The performance measurement will be conducted to estimate the amount of carbon sequestration and the estimated amount will be set as a baseline for performance improvement.

Q4- What are the significant factors that need to be considered in improving carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs?

The answer to this question is required to assist in improving carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs by considering the significant factors through factor analysis.

1.4 Research Aim

This study aims to improve carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs. By improving the potential of carbon sequestration performance, intensive green roofs can be part of the building greening strategies that can enhance building environmental performance, thereby reducing excessive CO₂ in the atmosphere.

1.41 Research Objectives

Based on the problem statement, the gaps in green roof environmental performance literature, and the research questions derived in this chapter, this study is undertaken with the following research objectives:

- (a) To identify the factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs.
- (b) To investigate the current practice of intensive green roofs towards carbon sequestration performance.
- (c) To estimate the amount of carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs.
- (d) To determine the significant factors for improving carbon sequestration performance at intensive green roofs.

1.5 Scope of the Study

The current study is focused on intensive green roofs. Due to building load limitations, costs, and maintenance, extensive green roofs are most common around the world compared to intensive green roofs. However, in Malaysia, the intensive green roofs are widely implemented as they duplicate regular gardens on the ground and are part of recreational areas for high-rise residential users. Green roof environmental performance is more promising for intensive green roofs as the deeper substrate provides more benefits for stormwater management and heat reduction whilst increasing biodiversity as well as reducing air pollution and carbon sequestration (Wan Ismail and Abdullah, 2016).

This study utilises intensive green roofs implemented at high-rise residential buildings at Klang Valley as case studies. As identified by Maslesa, Jensen, and Birkved (2018), the most recent study on building environmental performance focuses on residential buildings. Meanwhile, the selection of high-rise residential buildings is based on the study by Rahman et al. (2013), who indicated that most of the buildings

implementing green roofs are residential types, which is due to the scarcity of land in the urban area. Therefore, this study will be carried out in the Klang Valley urban area due to the high number of residential buildings implementing intensive green roofs.

The third scope of this study involves the literature and past research on green roofs and carbon sequestration performance. Due to the limited literature on green roof carbon sequestration, it is, therefore, important to obtain the data from the relevant research area. Research on carbon sequestration has been long established in the forestry and plantation industry. Due to the limited research data on urban tree carbon sequestration specifically in a tropical climate, the research data from the forestry and agricultural field from local and non-local studies were included to gain in-depth knowledge pertaining to this body of knowledge. In addition, expert interviews were conducted to verify the collected data in order to achieve the research objectives.

MyCREST Carbon Calculator will be used in this study to estimate the amount of carbon sequestration at the intensive green roofs, considering the unique condition of intensive green roofs with various plant species. As such, the need for robust data to generalise the current amount of carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs makes the use of a carbon calculator in this study the most pertinent.

1.6 Significance of the Study

This research is important and relevant to the theory, practice, and future research as mentioned below:

- (a) The identification of factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs has filled the knowledge gap that exists in the literature on carbon sequestration and green roofs. The identified factors can contribute to the new knowledge in academia, even though tree carbon sequestration has been specially established in forestry studies. Hence, the current study introduces a new understanding of carbon sequestration concepts and theories including the factors affecting carbon sequestration specifically at intensive green roofs.

- (b) The investigation of intensive green roof application relative to carbon sequestration will reveal the current data and performance of green roofs, which is significant to FM in order to inform the potential contribution of intensive green roofs in offsetting CO₂. Apart from that, it can provide feedback to the designers and management on the less successful areas for further performance improvement. Besides, the amount of carbon sequestration is crucial as the baseline for intensive green roof performance strategy and guidance in formulating the policies related to carbon reduction.
- (c) The determination of the significant factors affecting carbon sequestration will assist the designers in designing the green roofs that can improve performance since the decision at the design stage will give a significant impact on building environmental performance at the application stage. Consideration of the significant factors will be part of the strategies in CO₂ reduction through carbon sequestration strategy, thus improving environmental building performance and leading to the development of design guidelines.

The current study on carbon sequestration performance has initiated a new research area for FM. The research findings will create an impetus for other researchers to perform similar research besides encouraging more future research on sustainable facility management area, particularly on environmental performance improvement.

1.7 Organisation of Thesis

This thesis comprises 6 chapters. This section provides a brief review of the thesis structure. The arrangement of chapters in this thesis is as follows:

Chapter 2 begins with an introduction to the theoretical foundation of performance improvement, followed by an overview of facilities management, sustainable facilities, environmental performance, and building performance improvement. This chapter further discusses an overview of green roof application, which includes its types and classifications, components, green roof design consideration, and maintenance. This chapter also provides a review of green roof

benefits and environmental performance. A brief introduction to climate change, which includes the causes, effects, and mitigation of climate change, greenhouse gasses, and carbon dioxide will also be discussed in this chapter. This chapter further discusses carbon sequestration that comprises its definition and concepts, the method to estimate carbon sequestration, green roof performance on carbon sequestration, and the factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs. Finally, a list of factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs will be presented. This chapter ends with a summary of 16 factors affecting carbon sequestration at intensive green roofs identified through a literature review and use at a subsequent stage.

The methodology employed in this study is described in Chapter 3, which includes an overview of the research methodology comprising the research strategy and research design. This chapter focuses on the justification of methods and techniques employed involving the selection of case studies, experts, carbon sequestration estimation, data collection techniques, and methods for data analysis.

Chapter 4 discusses the data analysis undertaken in this study, which will be performed based on the data collection phases to achieve the research objectives.

Chapter 5 highlights the results obtained from the analysis in detail and the extent to which the results have achieved the objectives addressed in this study.

Chapter 6 concludes the findings and the overall research works that have been undertaken by the researcher. This chapter provides the contributions and implications of the findings to the body of knowledge and the industry on sustainability facility management as well as building performance improvement. The limitations of the study and further potential for improvement are emphasised in this chapter. The final part of the chapter further highlights some recommendations for further research.

REFERENCES

- Aaltonen, A., Määttänen, E., Kyrö, R. and Sarasoja, A.-L. (2013) 'Facilities management driving green building certification: a case from Finland', *Facilities*, 31(7), pp. 328–342.
- Abdul Rahman, S. R. and Ahmad, H. (2008) 'Green Roofs As Urban Antidote : a Review on Aesthetic , Environmental , Economic and Social Benefits', *6th South East Asian Technical University Consortium Symposium*, p. 4.
- Afzal, M. and Akhtar, A. M. (2013) 'Factors affecting carbon sequestration in trees', *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 51(1), pp. 61–69.
- Aguaron, E. and McPherson, E. G. (2012) 'Comparison of Methods for Estimating Carbon Dioxide Storage by Sacramento's Urban Forest', in Lal, R. and Augustin, B. (eds) *Carbon Sequestration in Urban Ecosystems*, pp. 373–383.
- Ahmad, S., Hashim, N. M. and Jani, Y. M. (2007) 'Kestabilan Mikroiklim Menerusi Aplikasi Bumbung Hijau: Penelitian di Plot Eksperimen Bangi', *Seminar Kebangsaan Geografi 2007, Jabatn Geografi, UPSI, Tg. Malim Perak*, (September), pp. 8&9.
- Ahmed, M. H. and Rashid, R. (2009) 'Thermal Performance of Rooftop Greenery system in Tropical Climate of Malaysia', *Journal of Architecture and Built Environmental*, 37(1), pp. 41–50.
- Akbari, H., Cartalis, C., Kolokotsa, D., Muscio, A., Pisello, A. L., Rossi, F., Santamouris, M., Synnefa, A., Wong, N. H. and Zinzi, M. (2016) 'Local climate change and urban heat island mitigation techniques – the state of the art', *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 22(1), pp. 1–16.
- Alexandar Bogner, Littig, B. and Wolfgang Menz (2009) *Interviewing Experts*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Amaratunga, D. and Baldry, D. (1998) 'Appraising the Total Performance of Higher Educational Buidings : A Participatory Approach Towards A Knowledge-Base System', in *Proceedings of the COBRA 98 RICS (Construction and Building Research Conference), December 1998, educational buildings : a participatory approach towards a knowledge?base system. In: Proceedings of*

- the COBRA 98 RICS (Construction and Building Research Con.* Oxford: Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK.
- Amaratunga, D. and Baldry, D. (2002) ‘Moving from performance measurement to performance management’, *Facilities*, 20(May), pp. 217–223.
- American Forests (2015) *Measuring Guidelines Handbook*.
- Ana Lopez (2012) ‘Regional Implications’, in Booth, C. A., Hammond, F. N., Lamond, J. E., and Proverbs, D. G. (eds) *Solutions to Climate Change Challenges in the Built Environment*. 1st edn. West Sussex: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., pp. 23–32.
- Annuar, N. M., Osmond, P. and Deo Prasad (2014) ‘Application of sustainability indicators and rating tools: Envisioning “ Life Cycle ” assessment for buildings in Malaysia .’, *World SB 14 Barcelona*, pp. 1–7.
- Arabi, R., Shahidan, M. F., M.S., M. K., Ja’afar, F. Z. and Rakhshandehroo, M. (2015) ‘Considerations for Plant Selection in Green Roofs’, *Alam Cipta*, 8(Special Issue 3), pp. 10–17.
- Ariff, E. A. R. T., Suratman, M. N. and Abdullah, S. (2017) ‘Modelling of Carbon Sequestration in Rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) Plantations’, in Bravo, F., LeMay, V., and Jandl, R. (eds) *Managing Forest Ecosystems: The Challenge of Climate Change*. 2nd edn. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, pp. 385–402.
- Asbollah, A. Z., Isa, N. M. and Kamaruzzaman, S. N. (2016) ‘Sustainability and the facilities management in Malaysia’, 00085, pp. 1–5.
- Ismail, A., Muna Hanim, A. S. and Abdul Malek, A. R. (2008) ‘Using Green Roof Concept As a Passive Design Technology To Minimise the Impact of Global Warming’, *2nd International Conference on Built Environment in Developing Countries (ICBEDC 2008)*, (Icbcdc), pp. 588–598.
- Athens-Clarke County Community Tree Program (2005) *How Old Is My Tree ?*
- Atkin, B. and Brooks, A. (2015) *Total Facilities Management*. Fourth. Oxford: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Awang, Z. (2012) *Research Methodology and Data Analysis*. 2nd Editio. Shah alam: UiTM Press.
- Aziz, H. A. and Ismail, Z. (2011) ‘Design guideline for sustainable green roof system’, *ISBEIA 2011 - 2011 IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and Industrial Applications*, pp. 198–203.

- Balasundram, S. K. and Husni, M. H. a (2011) 'Geo-Spatial Technologies for Carbon Sequestration Monitoring and Management Forest Plantation Program , Department of Agriculture Technology , Department of Land Management ', 7(5), pp. 456–462.
- Basuki, T. M., van Laake, P. E., Skidmore, A. K. and Hussin, Y. A. (2009) 'Allometric equations for estimating the above-ground biomass in tropical lowland Dipterocarp forests', *Forest Ecology and Management*, 257(8), pp. 1684–1694.
- Bates, A. J., Sadler, J. P. and Mackay, R. (2013) 'Vegetation development over four years on two green roofs in the UK', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. Elsevier GmbH., 12(1), pp. 98–108.
- Behm, M. (2012) 'Safe design of skysrise greenery in Singapore', *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment*, 1(2), pp. 186–205.
- Belussi, L. and Barozzi, B. (2015) 'Mitigation measures to contain the environmental impact of urban areas: a bibliographic review moving from the life cycle approach', *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 187(12), p. 745.
- Benvenuti, S. (2014) 'Wildflower green roofs for urban landscaping, ecological sustainability and biodiversity', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 124, pp. 151–161.
- Berardi, U., GhaffarianHoseini, AmirHosein and GhaffarianHoseini, Ali (2014) 'State-of-the-art analysis of the environmental benefits of green roofs', *Applied Energy*, 115, pp. 411–428.
- Bernard, T. J., Paoline, E. A. and Pare, P. (2005) 'General systems theory and criminal justice', *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 33, pp. 203–211.
- Bertalanffy, L. von (1969) *General System Theory*. New York: George Braziller, Inc.
- Bessa, V. M. T. and Prado, R. T. A. (2015) 'Reduction of carbon dioxide emissions by solar water heating systems and passive technologies in social housing', *Energy Policy*. Elsevier, 83, pp. 138–150.
- Bogner, A., Littig, B. and Menz, W. (2009) 'Interviewing Experts', in Kittel, B., Ossietzky, C. von, and Rihoux, B. (eds) *Research Methods*. First Edit. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 1–98.
- Boixo, S., Diaz-Vicente, M., Colmenar, A. and Castro, M. A. (2012) 'Potential energy savings from cool roofs in Spain and Andalusia', *Energy*, 38(1), pp. 425–438.

- Booth, C. A., Hammond, F. N., Lamond, J. and Proverbs, D. G. (2012) *Solutions for Climate Change Challenges in the Built Environment*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Boukili, V. K. S., Bebbler, D. P., Mortimer, T., Venicx, G., Lefcourt, D., Chandler, M. and Eisenberg, C. (2017) 'Assessing the performance of urban forest carbon sequestration models using direct measurements of tree growth', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 24, pp. 212–221.
- Bozorg Chenani, S., Lehvavirta, S. and Häkkinen, T. (2015) 'Life cycle assessment of layers of green roofs', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 90, pp. 153–162.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) 'Using thematic analysis in psychology', *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, pp. 77–101.
- Brack, C (1996). Retrived from <https://fennergchool-associated.anu.edu.au>
- Brenneisen, S. (2006) 'Space for urban wildlife: Designing green roofs as habitats in Switzerland', *Urban Habitats*, 4(1), pp. 27–36.
- Breu, F., Guggenbichler, S. and Wollmann, J. (2012) *Manual for building tree volume and biomass allometric equations: from field measurement to prediction.*, Vasa.
- Brown, C. and Lundholm, J. (2015) 'Microclimate and substrate depth influence green roof plant community dynamics', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 143, pp. 134–142.
- Brudermann, T. and Sangkakool, T. (2017) 'Green roofs in temperate climate cities in Europe – An analysis of key decision factors', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. Elsevier GmbH., 21, pp. 224–234.
- Cabeza, L. F., Barreneche, C., Miró, L., Morera, J. M., Bartolí, E. and Inés Fernández, A. (2013) 'Low carbon and low embodied energy materials in buildings: A review', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 23, pp. 536–542.
- Canero, R. Fernandez and Redondo, P. G. (2010) 'Green Roofs as a Habitat for Birds: A Review'. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, pp. 2041–2050.
- Chen, C. (2015) 'A Preliminary Study on Carbon Sequestration Potential of Different Green Roof Plants', 3(5), pp. 121–129.
- Chen, D. and Stroup, W. (1993) 'General system theory: Toward a conceptual framework for science and technology education for all', *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 2(3), pp. 447–459.
- Chen, L., Foong, A. W., Ng, A., Teo, J. and Tang, J. (2003) *1001 Garden Plants in Singapore*. 3rd edn. Singapore: National Parks Board.

- Chen, W. Y. (2015) 'The role of urban green infrastructure in offsetting carbon emissions in 35 major Chinese cities: A nationwide estimate', *Cities*, 44, pp. 112–120.
- Chen, Y. and Thomas, S. N. (2016) 'Factoring in Embodied Ghg Emissions When Assessing the Environmental Performance of Building', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 27, pp. 244–252.
- Chisholm, M. (1967) 'General Systems Theory and Geography', *The Royal Geographical Society*, 42, pp. 45–52.
- Chua, K. J., Yang, W. M., Er, S. S. and Ho, C. a. (2014) 'Sustainable energy systems for a remote island community', *Applied Energy*, 113, pp. 1752–1763.
- Coma, J., Pérez, G., Solé, C., Castell, A. and Cabeza, L. F. (2016) 'Thermal assessment of extensive green roofs as passive tool for energy savings in buildings', *Renewable Energy*, 85, pp. 1106–1115.
- Connelly, M. and Hodgson, M. (2015) 'Experimental investigation of the sound absorption characteristics of vegetated roofs', *Building and Environment*. Elsevier Ltd, 92, pp. 335–346.
- Conservation, N. (2006) *Measurement of Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)*, *Nature Conservation Practice Note*.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009) *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 3rd edn. Edited by V. Knight, S. Connelly, L. Habib, S. K. Q. Scott, and Marilyn Power. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications Inc.
- Crosby, C., Ford, A., Free, C., Hofmann, C., Horvitz, E., May, E. and Vara, R. (2010) *Carbon Sequestration and its Relationship to Forest Management and Biomass Harvesting in Vermont, Middlebury College*.
- Currie, B. A. and Bass, B. (2008) 'Estimates of air pollution mitigation with green plants and green roofs using the UFORE model', *Urban Ecosystems*, 11(4), pp. 409–422.
- Czemiel Berndtsson, J. (2010) 'Green roof performance towards management of runoff water quantity and quality: A review', *Ecological Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 36(4), pp. 351–360.
- Dahlan, E. N. (2008) 'The Amount of CO₂ Gasses Emission and Selection of Plant Species with Height Carbon Sink Capability: Case Study in Bogor Municipality', *Jurnal Media Konservasi*, 13(2), pp. 85–89.
- Dahlman, L. (2018) *Climate Change-Global Temperature*.

- Dale, M. J. (2013) 'Evaluation of methods for quantifying carbon storage of urban trees in New Zealand', in *Unpublished manuscript University of Auckland*.
- Daniel Nelson (2018) *Science Trends, The Chemical Equation For Photosynthesis*.
- David Proverbs and Rod Gameson (2008) 'Case study research', in Knight, A. and Les Ruddock (eds) *Advanced Research Methods in the Built Environment*. First. West Sussex: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., pp. 99–110.
- Dawson, B. (2006) 'From theory to practice: Taking general systems theory from a theoretical concept to a successful business practice', *Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the ISSS-*
- Degani, C. M. and Cardoso, F. F. (2010) 'Facilities management and buildings environmental performance', in Graça, M. E. A. da (ed.) *FM in the Experience Economy*. Sao Paulo: Department of Construction Engineering, pp. 359–369.
- Department of Standards Malaysia (2016) *MS 2603:2015 Malaysian Standards on Landscaping planting materials- Trees-Specification*.
- Dias, W. P. S., Chandratilake, S. R. and Ofori, G. (2017) 'Dependencies among environmental performance indicators for buildings and their implications', *Building and Environment*, 123, pp. 101–108.
- Donoghue, A. O. and Shackleton, C. M. (2013) 'Urban Forestry & Urban Greening Current and potential carbon stocks of trees in urban parking lots in towns of the Eastern Cape , South Africa', 12, pp. 443–449.
- Douglas, J. (1996) 'Building performance and its relevance to facilities management', *Facilities*, 14(3/4), pp. 23–32.
- Du, J. S., Ng, P. L., Chen, J. J. and Fung, W. W. S. (2010) 'Enhancing the Built Environment by Green Roofs', *Advanced Materials Research*, 150–151, pp. 267–273.
- Dunnett, N. and Kingsbury, N. (2008) *Planting Green Roofs and Living Walls*. Oregon: Timber Press Inc.
- Durhman, A. K., Rowe, D. B. and Rugh, C. L. (2007) 'Effect of substrate depth on initial growth, coverage, and survival of 25 succulent green roof plant taxa', *HortScience*, 42(3), pp. 588–595.
- Dvorak, B. and Volder, A. (2010) 'Green roof vegetation for North American ecoregions: A literature review', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 96(4), pp. 197–213.

- Ebuy, J., Lokombe, J., Ponette, Q., Sonwa, D. and Picard, N. (2011) 'Allometric Equation for Predicting Aboveground Biomass of Three Tree Species', *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, Vol. 23(No. 2 (April 2011)), pp. 125–132.
- Eigenbrod, C. and Gruda, N. (2014) 'Urban vegetable for food security in cities. A review', *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, pp. 483–498.
- Endes N. Dahlan (2008) 'Jumlah Emisi Gas Co2 Dan Pemilihan Jenis Tanaman Berdaya Rosot Sangat Tinggi- Studi Kasus Di Kota Bogor', *Jurnal Limbah Gas*, 13(2), p. 5.
- Erlangung, Z. (2016) *Hyperspectral Remote Sensing for Winter Wheat Leaf Area Index Assessment in Precision Agriculture*. Universität Osnabrück.
- Evangelista, P. P. A., Kiperstok, A., Torres, E. A. and Gonçalves, J. P. (2018) 'Environmental performance analysis of residential buildings in Brazil using life cycle assessment (LCA)', 169, pp. 748–761.
- Fang, S. Ā., Xue, J. and Tang, L. (2007) 'Biomass production and carbon sequestration potential in poplar plantations with different management patterns', 85, pp. 672–679.
- Fauzi, M. A. and Malek, N. A. (2013) 'Green Building assessment tools: Evaluating different tools for green roof system', *International Journal of Education and Research*, 1(11), pp. 1–14.
- Fitzpatrick, J. J., McCarthy, S. and Byrne, E. P. (2015) 'Sustainability insights and reflections from a personal carbon footprint study: The need for quantitative and qualitative change', *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 1(January), pp. 34–46.
- FLL (2002) *Guidelines for the Planning , Execution and Upkeep of Green-roof sites*. 2002nd edn. Bonn: Forschungsgesellschaft Landschaftsentwicklung Landschaftsbau e. V. – FLL.
- Fumo, N. and Rafe Biswas, M. A. (2015) 'Regression analysis for prediction of residential energy consumption', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 47, pp. 332–343.
- Gallego-Álvarez, I., Vicente-Galindo, M. P., M Purificación Galindo-Villardón, Miguel and Rodríguez-Rosa (2014) 'Environmental performance in countries worldwide: Determinant factors and multivariate analysis', *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 6(11), pp. 7807–7832.

- Garcia, S., Lee, H. Y. E. J., Adusei, P. A. A. K., Zahraei, S. and Oguntimein, G. (2014) 'Investigation of Factors Affecting Carbon Dioxide Absorption', *Computers and Mathematics in Automation and Materials Science* pp. 75–82.
- Gardezi, S., Shafiq, N., Nuruddin, M., Zawawi, N. and Khamidi, F. (2016) 'Factors affecting the embodied carbon footprint potential—Assessment of conventional Malaysian housing habitat', *Civil, Offshore and Environmental Engineering*, (August), pp. 187–192.
- Getter, K. L. (2009) *Extensive Green Roofs: Carbon Sequestration Potential and Species Evaluations, Statewide Agricultural Land Use Baseline 2015*. Michigan State University.
- Getter, K. L. and Rowe, B. (2006) 'The Role of Extensive Green Roofs in Sustainable Development', *HortScience*, 41(5), pp. 1276–1285.
- Getter, K. L., Rowe, D. B., Robertson, G. P., Cregg, B. M. and Andresen, J. A. (2009) 'Carbon Sequestration Potential of Extensive Green Roofs', *Environmental science & technology*.
- Goodrick, D. (2014) 'Comparative Case Studies', *Methodological Briefs: Impact Evaluation 9, UNICEF Office of Research*, (9).
- Grant, C. and Osanloo, A. (2014) 'Understanding, Selecting, and Integrating a Theoretical Framework in Dissertation Research: Creating the Blueprint for Your “House”', *Administrative Issues Journal Education Practice and Research*, pp. 12–26.
- Grant, E. J. (2007a) *A Decision-Making Framework for Vegetated Roofing System Selection*. State University.
- Grant, E. J. (2007b) *A Decision-Making Framework for Vegetated Roofing System Selection*. State University.
- Gratani, L. and Varone, L. (2007) 'Plant crown traits and carbon sequestration capability by *Platanus hybrida* Brot. in Rome', 81, pp. 282–286.
- Gratani, L., Varone, L. and Bonito, A. (2016) 'Carbon sequestration of four urban parks in Rome', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 19, pp. 184–193.
- Gu, C., Crane, J., Hornberger, G. and Carrico, A. (2015) 'The effects of household management practices on the global warming potential of urban lawns', *Journal of Environmental Management*. Elsevier Ltd, 151, pp. 233–242.
- Guarna, B. (2012) *An Analysis of Carbon Sequestration on Clarkson University 's Campus, Sustainability Initiatives Report, Clarkson University*.

- Häkkinen, T., Kuittinen, M., Ruuska, A. and Jung, N. (2015) 'Reducing embodied carbon during the design process of buildings', *Journal of Building Engineering*, 4, pp. 1–13.
- Hammond, F. N., Booth, C. A., Lamond, J. E. and Provrebs, D. G. (2012) 'Introductory Insights to Climate Change Challenges', in Booth, C. A., Hammond, F. N., Lamond, J. E., and Provrebs, D. G. (eds) *Solutions to Climate Change Challenges in the Built Environment*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd., pp. 1–9.
- Hammond, M. and Wellington, J. (2014) *Research Methods: The Key Concepts*. New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Hodges, C. and Sekula, M. (2013) *Sustainable Facility Management: The Facility Manager's Guide to Optimizing Building Performance*.
- Hong, T., Kim, J. and Koo, C. (2012) 'LCC and LCCO2 analysis of green roofs in elementary schools with energy saving measures', *Energy and Buildings*, 45, pp. 229–239.
- Hu, S., O'Donnell, J., Corry, E., Turner, W. and Curry, E. (2016) 'Building performance optimisation: A hybrid architecture for the integration of contextual information and time series data', *Automation in Construction*, 70, pp. 51–61.
- Hunt, E. R., Kelly, R. D., Smith, W. K., Fahnestock, J. T., Welker, M. and Reiners, W. A. (2004) 'Estimation of Carbon Sequestration by Combining Remote Sensing and Net Ecosystem Exchange Data for Northern Mixed-Grass Prairie and Sagebrush – Steppe Ecosystems', pp. 1–18.
- Hussin, F., Ali, J. and Noor, M. S. Z. (2014) *Kaedah Penyelidikan dan Analisa Data SPSS*. Sintok: UUM Press.
- Hussin, K. and Raid, M. M. (2013) 'The assessment of rooftop garden in green building index (gbi)', (March), pp. 323–336.
- Huyuan, L. and Yang, J. (2012) 'Overcoming Organisational Resistance to Sustainability Innovations in Australian Universities', in *Proceedings of the 12th Annual Australasian Campuses Towards Sustainability (ACTS) Conference, Brisbane, Australia*.
- IFMA (2019) 'What is Facility Management', *International Facilities Management Association*, pp. 2–4.

- IPCC (2007) *IPCC, 2007: Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. Edited by P. J. van der L. and C. E. H. M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, J.P. Palutikof. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC (2014a) *AR5 - Working Group 3, Mitigation of Climate Change - Contribution of Working Group III*.
- IPCC (2014b) *Climate Change 2014 - Mitigation of Climate Change. Working Group III Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ismail, A. (2009) *Cooling Potential of Passive Roof Treatments on the Concrete Flat Roof in Penang, Malaysia*. Universiti Sains Malaysia, Pulau Pinang.
- Ismail, A., Abdul Samad, M. H., Rahman, A. M. A. and Yeok, F. S. (2012) ‘Cooling Potentials and CO₂ Uptake of Ipomoea Pes-caprae Installed on the Flat Roof of a Single Storey Residential Building in Malaysia’, *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 35(December 2011), pp. 361–368.
- Ismail, W. Z. W., Ahmad, S. S., Kamarudin, H. and Ithnin, Z. (2014) ‘Green Roofs Benefits; Perception by Malaysian Residential Highrise End Users’, in *The 2014 IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering & Industrial Applications (ISBEIA 2014)*.
- Ismail, Z., Aziz, H. A., Nasir, N. M. and Taib, M. Z. M. (2012) ‘Obstacles to adopt green roof in Malaysia’, *CHUSER 2012 - 2012 IEEE Colloquium on Humanities, Science and Engineering Research*, (Chuser), pp. 357–361.
- Iverson, L., Brown, S., Grainger, a, Prasad, a and Liu, D. (1993) ‘Carbon sequestration in tropical Asia: an assessment of technically suitable forest lands using geographic information systems analysis’, *Climate Research*, 3, pp. 23–38.
- Janner, I., Medrano, H., Gulías, J. and Aze, V. (2018) ‘Performance evaluation of five Mediterranean species to optimize ecosystem services of green roofs under water-limited conditions’, 212, pp. 236–247.
- Jennifer Rowley (2002) ‘Using case studies in research’, *Management Research News*, 25(1), pp. 16–27.
- Jiménez, E. I. T., Castrejón, A. F. and Sánchez, M. G. (2014) ‘Criteria for Selection of Plant Species for its Deployment in Thermally Efficient Architectural Green Roofs in the Metropolitan Area of Mexico City: Methodological Guidelines’, *Energy Procedia*. Elsevier B.V., 57, pp. 1798–1807.

- JPBD (2012) *Garis Panduan Perancangan Taman Atas Bumbung*.
- Kalcheva, E., Taki, A. and Hadi, Y. (2016) 'Sustainable High-rises in a Sustainable Development-the Case of Salford Quays', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 216(October 2015), pp. 960–973.
- Kanniah, K. D. and Chin, H. (2017) 'Urban Forest Cover Change and Sustainability of Malaysian Cities', *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 56, pp. 673–678.
- Karteris, M., Mallinis, G. and Tsiros, E. (2016) 'Towards a green sustainable strategy for Mediterranean cities : Assessing the benefits of large-scale green roofs implementation in Thessaloniki , Northern Greece , using environmental modelling , GIS and very high spatial resolution remote sensing data', 58, pp. 510–525.
- Kavehei, E., Jenkins, G. A., Adame, M. F. and Lemckert, C. (2018) 'Carbon sequestration potential for mitigating the carbon footprint of green stormwater infrastructure', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Elsevier Ltd, 94(July), pp. 1179–1191.
- Khalil, Kamaruzzaman, S. N., Baharum, M. R., Husin, H. N. and Nawawi, A. H. (2014) 'Significance of Attaining Users' Feedback in Building Performance Assessment', *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 15(September 2015), p. 7.
- Khalil, N., Kamaruzzaman, S. N. and Baharum, M. R. (2016) 'Ranking the indicators of building performance and the users' risk via Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP): Case of Malaysia', *Ecological Indicators*, 71, pp. 567–576.
- Kim, J., Hong, T., Jeong, J., Koo, C. and Jeong, K. (2016) 'An optimization model for selecting the optimal green systems by considering the thermal comfort and energy consumption', 169, pp. 682–695.
- Kirstin Dow and Downing, T. E. (2007) *The Atlas of Climate Change*. Myriad Edi. Edited by Jannet King and Candida lacey. Brighton: Earthscan U.K.
- KKR, CIDB and JKR (2015) *MyCREST*.
- Kong, L., Shi, Z. and Chu, L. M. (2014) 'Carbon emission and sequestration of urban turfgrass systems in Hong Kong', *Science of the Total Environment*. Elsevier B.V., 473–474, pp. 132–138.
- Koss, P. A., Martin, D. and Oehmichen, W. (2007) *Factors Associated with Carbon Sequestration, Unpublished manuscript University of Wisconsin*.

- Kuittinen, M., Moineau, C. and Adalgeirsdottir, K. (2016) 'Carbon sequestration through urban ecosystem services A case study from Finland', *Science of the Total Environment*, 564, pp. 623–632.
- Kuronuma, T. and Watanabe, H. (2016) 'Physiological and Morphological Traits and Competence for Carbon Sequestration of Several Green Roof Plants under a Controlled Environmental System', *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 141(6), pp. 583–590.
- Lal, R. (2008) 'Carbon sequestration', *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B*, 363(August 2007), pp. 815–830.
- Lamnatou, C. and Chemisana, D. (2015) 'A critical analysis of factors affecting photovoltaic-green roof performance', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Elsevier, 43, pp. 264–280.
- Lata, J., Dusza, Y., Abbadie, L., Barot, S., Carmignac, D., Gendreau, E., Kraepiel, Y., Mériguet, J., Motard, E. and Raynaud, X. (2017) 'Role of substrate properties in the provision of multifunctional green roof ecosystem services', *Applied Soil Ecology*, (March).
- Lee, J. S., Kim, J. T. and Lee, M. G. (2014) 'Mitigation of urban heat island effect and greenroofs', *Indoor and Built Environment*, 23(1), pp. 62–69.
- Lehmann, S. (2014) 'Low carbon districts: Mitigating the urban heat island with green roof infrastructure', *City, Culture and Society*, 5(1), pp. 1–8.
- Li, Jian-feng, Wai, O. W. H., Li, Y. S., Zhan, J., Ho, Y. A., Li, James and Lam, E. (2010) 'Effect of green roof on ambient CO₂ concentration', *Building and Environment*, 45, pp. 2644–2651.
- Li, W. C. and Yeung, K. K. A. (2014) 'A comprehensive study of green roof performance from environmental perspective', *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment*, 3(1), pp. 127–134.
- Lin, B.S and Lin, Y.J (2010) 'Cooling Effect of Shade Trees with Different Characteristics in a Subtropical Urban Park', *American Society for Horticultural Science*, 45(1), pp. 83-86.
- Liu, C. and Li, X. (2012) 'Carbon storage and sequestration by urban forests in Shenyang, China', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, 11(2), pp. 121–128.
- Liyin, S., Hong, Y. and Griffith, A. (2006) 'Improving environmental performance by means of empowerment of contractors', *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 17(3), pp. 242–257.

- Lundholm, J. T. (2015) 'Green roof plant species diversity improves ecosystem multifunctionality', *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52(3), pp. 726–734.
- Luo, H., Liu, X., Anderson, B. C., Zhang, K., Li, X., Huang, B., Li, M., Mo, Y., Fan, L., Shen, Q., Chen, F. and Jiang, M. (2015) 'Carbon sequestration potential of green roofs using mixed-sewage-sludge substrate in Chengdu World Modern Garden City', *Ecological Indicators*. Elsevier Ltd, 49, pp. 247–259.
- MacIvor, J. S. and Lundholm, J. (2011) 'Insect species composition and diversity on intensive green roofs and adjacent level-ground habitats', *Urban Ecosystems*, 14(2), pp. 225–241.
- MacIvor, J. S., Ruttan, A. and Salehi, B. (2015) 'Exotics on exotics: Pollen analysis of urban bees visiting Sedum on a green roof', *Urban Ecosystems*, 18(2), pp. 419–430.
- Madre, F., Vergnes, A., Machon, N. and Clergeau, P. (2013) 'A comparison of 3 types of green roof as habitats for arthropods', *Ecological Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 57, pp. 109–117.
- Madre, F., Vergnes, A., Machon, N. and Clergeau, P. (2014) 'Green roofs as habitats for wild plant species in urban landscapes : First insights from a large-scale sampling', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 122, pp. 100–107.
- Maraseni, T. N. and Mitchell, C. (2016) 'An assessment of carbon sequestration potential of riparian zone of Condamine Catchment, Queensland, Australia', *Land Use Policy*, 54, pp. 139–146.
- Marchi, M., Pulselli, R. M., Marchettini, N., Pulselli, F. M. and Bastianoni, S. (2015) 'Carbon dioxide sequestration model of a vertical greenery system', *Ecological Modelling*. Elsevier B.V., 306, pp. 46–56.
- Margaret C. Harrell; Melissa A. Bradley (2009) *Data Collection Methods Semi-Structured Interviews and Focus Groups*, RAND Corporation. California.
- Marinelli, J. (2006) 'Green Roofs and Biodiversity', *Urban Habitats*, 4(December 2006), pp. 161–169.
- Maslesa, E., Jensen, P. A. and Birkved, M. (2018) 'Indicators for quantifying environmental building performance: A systematic literature review', *Journal of Building Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 19(March), pp. 552–560.
- Mat, M. S. C., Nor, M. A. M., Diah, J. M., Din, M. A. M., Hashim, K. A. and Manan Samad, A. (2014) 'Tree age estimation by tree diameter measurement using digital close range photogrammetry (DCRP)', *Proceedings - 4th IEEE*

- International Conference on Control System, Computing and Engineering, ICCSCE 2014*, (November), pp. 421–426.
- Maxwell, J. A. (2005) *Qualitative Research Design. An Interactive Approach*. Second Edi. Edited by L. C. Shaw and Melanie Birdsall. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- McDougal, W. (2016) Retrieved from <http://study.com/academy/lesson/what-is-carbon-dioxide-definition>
- Mcperson, E. G. (1998) ‘Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Reduction By Sacramento ’ S Urban Forest’, *Journal of arboriculture*, 24(July), pp. 215–223.
- Mcperson, E. G., Nowak, D. J. and Rowntree, R. a (1994) ‘Chicago’s Urban Forest Ecosystem: Results of the Chicago Urban Forest Climate Project’, *Urban Ecosystems*, (April), p. 201.
- Mcperson, E. G., Xiao, Q. and Aguaron, E. (2013) ‘A new approach to quantify and map carbon stored , sequestered and emissions avoided by urban forests’, *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 120, pp. 70–84.
- Miller, R. and Brewer, J. D. (2003) *The A-Z of Social Research*. SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Min, Z., Morgenstern, P. and Marjanovic-Halburd, L. (2016) ‘Facilities management added value in closing the energy performance gap’, *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment*, 5(2), pp. 197–209.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (2014) *Kajian Penilaian Outcome Program Penanaman Pokok Bakau dan Spesies-Spesies Yand Sesuai Di Pesisiran*. Putrajaya.
- Misni, A., Jamaluddin, S. and Kamaruddin, S. M. (2015) ‘Carbon sequestration through urban green reserve and open space’, *Planning Malaysia*, 13, pp. 101–122.
- Mithraratne, N. (2009) ‘Roof-top wind turbines for microgeneration in urban houses in New Zealand’, *Energy and Buildings*, 41(10), pp. 1013–1018.
- Mitra, A., Sengupta, K. and Banerjee, K. (2011) ‘Standing biomass and carbon storage of above-ground structures in dominant mangrove trees in the Sundarbans’, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 261(7), pp. 1325–1335.
- Mohamad, J. (1995) ‘Stage Bus Operations in Kuala Lumpur : The Period Before Consolidation’, *Malaysian Journal of Tropical Geography*, (January 1995).

- Mohd Zaki, N. A., Latif, Z. A. and Suratman, M. N. (2018) 'Modelling above-ground live trees biomass and carbon stock estimation of tropical lowland Dipterocarp forest: integration of field-based and remotely sensed estimates', *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 39(8), pp. 2312–2340.
- Nagase, A. and Dunnett, N. (2010) 'Landscape and Urban Planning Drought tolerance in different vegetation types for extensive green roofs : Effects of watering and diversity', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 97, pp. 318–327.
- Nawaz, R., McDonald, A. and Postoyko, S. (2015) 'Hydrological performance of a full-scale extensive green roof located in a temperate climate', *Ecological Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 82, pp. 66–80.
- Ng, S. T., Chen, Y. and Wong James M.W., J. M. W. (2013) 'Variability of building environmental assessment tools on evaluating carbon emissions', *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 38, pp. 131–141.
- Nielsen, T. R. (2002) *Optimization of buildings with respect to energy and indoor environment*.
- Nowak, D. J. and Crane, D. E. (2002) 'Carbon storage and sequestration by urban trees in the USA', *Environmental pollution*, 116(3), pp. 381–389.
- Nowak, David J. and Crane, D. E. (2002) 'Carbon storage and sequestration by urban trees in the USA', *Environmental Pollution*, 116(3), pp. 381–389.
- Nowak, D. J., Crane, D. E., Stevens, J. C. and Hoehn, R. E. (2003) *Field Data Collection Manual*. New York.
- Nowak, D. J., Greenfield, E. J., Hoehn, R. E. and Lapoint, E. (2013) 'Carbon storage and sequestration by trees in urban and community areas of the United States', *Environmental Pollution*, 178, pp. 229–236.
- O'Donoghue, A. and Shackleton, C. M. (2013) 'Current and potential carbon stocks of trees in urban parking lots in towns of the Eastern Cape, South Africa', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 12(4), pp. 443–449.
- Offsetters (2015) *Climate Change*.
- Othman, R., Abu Kasim, S. Z., Hashim, K. S. H. Y. and Mohd Noor, N. F. (2015) 'Novel landscape design model system approach: Monitoring, predicting and calculating carbon sequestration rate for tourism accommodation premises green spaces', *Jurnal Teknologi*, 77(30), pp. 89–99.

- Othman, R., Noor, N. M., Hashim, K. S. H.-Y., Yaman, M., Abdullah, F. and Suid, S. (2016) 'Assessment of Urban Park Landscape setting Design Towards Carbon Sequestration Rate', *Medwell Journals, The Social*(20), pp. 4918–4923.
- Othman, R., Zubaidah, S. and Kasim, A. (2016) 'Assessment of Plant Materials Carbon Sequestration Rate for Horizontal and Vertical Landscape Design', *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, 7(6), pp. 410–414.
- Pan, D., Zhao, L., Luo, Q., Zhang, C. and Chen, Z. (2018) 'Study on the performance improvement of urban rail transit system', *Energy*, 161, pp. 1154–1171.
- Pearson, T. R. H., Sandra L. Brown and Birdsey, R. A. (2007) *Measurement Guidelines for the Sequestration of Forest Carbon*. Newto.
- Peng, C. (2015) 'Calculation of a building's life cycle carbon emissions based on Ecotect and building information modeling', *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier Ltd, 112, pp. 453–465.
- Peng, L., Chen, S., Liu, Y. and Wang, J. (2008) 'Application of CITYgreen model in benefit assessment of Nanjing urban green space in carbon fixation and runoff reduction', *Frontiers of Forestry in China*, 3(2), pp. 177–182.
- Peng, L. L. H. and Jim, C. Y. (2015) 'Economic evaluation of green-roof environmental benefits in the context of climate change: The case of Hong Kong', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. Elsevier GmbH., 14(3), pp. 554–561.
- Philippi, P. M. (2005) 'Introduction to the German FLL-guideline for the planning, execution and upkeep of green roof sites.'
- Rahman, S. R. A., Ahmad, H., Mohammad, S. and Rosley, M. S. F. (2015) 'Perception of Green Roof as a Tool for Urban Regeneration in a Commercial Environment: The Secret Garden, Malaysia', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 170, pp. 128–136.
- Rahman, S. R. A., Ahmad, H. and Rosley, M. S. F. (2013) 'Green Roof: Its Awareness Among Professionals and Potential in Malaysian Market', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 85, pp. 443–453.
- Raji, B., Tenpierik, M. J. and van den Dobbelsteen, A. (2015) 'The impact of greening systems on building energy performance: A literature review', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 45, pp. 610–623.

- Razzaghmanesh, M., Beecham, S. and Salemi, T. (2015) 'Title: The role of green roofs in mitigating Urban Heat Island effects in the metropolitan area of Adelaide,2South Australia role of green roofs in mitigating Urban Heat Island effects in the metropolitan area of', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. Elsevier GmbH, 15, pp. 89–102.
- Van Renterghem, T. and Botteldooren, D. (2011) 'In-situ measurements of sound propagating over extensive green roofs', *Building and Environment*. Elsevier Ltd, 46(3), pp. 729–738.
- Rowe, B. and Getter, K. (2010) 'Green roofs Can they sequester carbon', in *95th ESA Annual Meeting*.
- Rowe, D. B. (2011) 'Green roofs as a means of pollution abatement.', *Environmental pollution (Barking, Essex : 1987)*. Elsevier Ltd, 159(8–9), pp. 2100–10.
- Rowley, J. (2002) 'Using Case Studies in Research', *Management Research News*, 25, pp. 16–27.
- Roxburgh, S. H., Wood, S. W., Mackey, B. G., Woldendorp, G. and Gibbons, P. (2006) 'Assessing the carbon sequestration potential of managed forests: A case study from temperate Australia', *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43(6), pp. 1149–1159.
- Russo, A., Escobedo, F. J., Timilsina, N., Schmitt, A. O., Varela, S. and Zerbe, S. (2014) 'Assessing urban tree carbon storage and sequestration in Bolzano , Italy', *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*, 10, pp. 54–70.
- Saadatian, O., Sopian, K., Salleh, E., Lim, C. H., Riffat, S., Saadatian, E., Toudeshki, A. and Sulaiman, M. Y. (2013) 'A review of energy aspects of green roofs', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Elsevier, 23, pp. 155–168.
- Sadat, S., Hashemi, G., Bin, H. and Aqeel, M. (2015) 'Performance of green roofs with respect to water quality and reduction of energy consumption in tropics : A review', 52, pp. 669–679.
- Salleh, E., Harun, N. Z. and Jahnkassim, S. (2018) 'Green Building Rating , Carbon-Based Rating and International Rating Tools in Mitigating Climate Change in the Nusantara Region : A Review of Malaysia and Indonesia', (April).
- Sanga-Ngoie, K., Iizuka, K. and Kobayashi, S. (2012) 'Estimating CO2 sequestration by forests in oita prefecture, Japan, by combining LANDSAT ETM+ and ALOS satellite remote sensing data', *Remote Sensing*, 4(11), pp. 3544–3570.

- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2008) *Research Methods for Business Students, Research methods for business students*.
- Saunders, M. N. (2012) *Choosing research Participants*. London: SAGE Publications Inc.
- Schahczenski, J. and Hill, H. (2009) *Agriculture, Climate Change and Carbon Sequestration*.
- Schultze, W. and Trommer, R. (2012) 'The concept of environmental performance and its measurement in empirical studies', *Journal of Management Control*, 22(4), pp. 375–412.
- Safaai, N. S. M, M., Noor, Z., Hashim, H., Ujang, Z. and Talib, J. (2011) 'Projection of CO2 Emissions in Malaysia', *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 30(4), pp. 658–665.
- Shi, Q., Yu, T. and Zuo, J. (2015) 'What leads to low-carbon buildings? A China study', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 50, pp. 726–734.
- Shin, E. and Kim, H. (2015) 'Analysing Green Roof Effects in an Urban Environment : A Case of Bangbae-dong , Seoul', (May), pp. 315–322.
- Shujaa, S., Gardezi, S., Shafiq, N., Nuruddin, M. F. and Amila, N. (2016) 'Factors affecting the embodied carbon footprint potential — Assessment of conventional Malaysian housing habitat ... Factors affecting the embodied carbon footprint potential- Assessment of conventional Malaysian housing habitat', (August).
- Silva, C. M., Flores-Colen, I. and Coelho, A. (2015) 'Green roofs in Mediterranean areas e Survey and maintenance planning', *Building and Environment journal*, 94, pp. 131–143.
- Silva, L. L. de, Steadman, E. N. and John A. Harju (2005) *The contribution of soils to carbon sequestration*. North Dakota, USA.
- Speak, a. F., Rothwell, J. J., Lindley, S. J. and Smith, C. L. (2013) 'Reduction of the urban cooling effects of an intensive green roof due to vegetation damage', *Urban Climate*, 3, pp. 40–55.
- Strohbach, M. W., Arnold, E. and Haase, D. (2012) 'The carbon footprint of urban green space-A life cycle approach', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 104(2), pp. 220–229.
- Su, X. and Zhang, X. (2010) 'Environmental performance optimization of window-wall ratio for different window type in hot summer and cold winter zone in

- China based on life cycle assessment', *Energy and Buildings*, 42(2), pp. 198–202.
- Sultana, N., Akib, S., Aqeel Ashraf, M. and Roseli Zainal Abidin, M. (2015) 'Quality assessment of harvested rainwater from green roofs under tropical climate', *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 3994(January), pp. 1–8.
- Summerbell, D. L., Barlow, C. Y. and Cullen, J. M. (2016) 'Potential reduction of carbon emissions by performance improvement: A cement industry case study', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 135, pp. 1327–1339.
- Sundquist, E., Burruss, R., Faulkner, S., Gleason, R., Harden, J., Kharaka, Y., Tieszen, L. and Waldrop, M. (2008) 'Carbon Sequestration to Mitigate Climate Change', *Encyclopedia of Energy*, 123(1–2), pp. 1–4.
- Suratman, M. N. (2017) *Professorial Lecture UiTM: Today's Biodiversity for a Sustainable Tomorrow*. Shah alam: UiTM Press.
- Susca, T., Gaffin, S. R. and Dell'osso, G. R. (2011) 'Positive effects of vegetation: urban heat island and green roofs.', *Environmental pollution*, 159(8–9), pp. 2119–26.
- Swanson, R. A. (1999) 'The Foundations of Performance Improvement and Implications for Practice', in Swanson, R. A. (ed.) *Performance Improvement Theory and Practice*. First. Los Angeles: The Academy of Human Resource Development, pp. 1–25.
- Sykes, A. O. (2007) *An Introduction to Regression Analysis, American Statistician*.
- Tan, P. Y., Yeo, B., Xi, Y. W. and Seong, L. H. (2009) *Carbon Storage and Sequestration by Urban Trees in Singapore*. Singapore: CUGE, National Board Park.
- van Teijlingen, E. and Hundley, V. (2001) 'The importance of pilot studies.', *Sosial Research Update*, 16(35), pp. 33–36.
- TGRTAG (2011) 'Toronto Green Roof Construction Standard and the Supplementary Guidelines.', p. 21.
- Thuring, C. E. and Dunnett, N. (2014) 'Vegetation composition of old extensive green roofs (from 1980s Germany)', *Ecological processes*, 3(4), p. 11.
- Tilman, D., Hill, J. and Lehman, C. (2006) 'Carbon-Negative Biofuels from Low-Input High-Diversity Grassland Biomass', *Science*, 314(5805), pp. 1598–1600.

- Timilsina, N., Escobedo, F. J., Staudhammer, C. L. and Brandeis, T. (2014) ‘Analyzing the causal factors of carbon stores in a subtropical urban forest’, *Ecological Complexity*, 20, pp. 23–32.
- Tiwary, A., Williams, I. D., Heidrich, O., Namdeo, A., Bandaru, V. and Calfapietra, C. (2016) ‘Development of multi-functional streetscape green infrastructure using a performance index approach’, *Environmental Pollution*. Elsevier Ltd, 208, pp. 209–220.
- Tonietto, R., Fant, J., Ascher, J., Ellis, K. and Larkin, D. (2011) ‘A comparison of bee communities of Chicago green roofs, parks and prairies’, *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 103(1), pp. 102–108.
- Townshend, D. and Duggie, A. (2007) *Architectural Services Department Study on Green Roof Application in Hong Kong Final Report URBIS LIMITED, Design*.
- Tripepi, G., Jager, K. J., Dekker, F. W. and Zoccali, C. (2008) ‘Linear and logistic regression analysis’, *Kidney International*, 73(7), pp. 806–810.
- Tsang, S. W. and Jim, C. Y. (2011) ‘Theoretical evaluation of thermal and energy performance of tropical green roofs’, *Energy*, 36(5), pp. 3590–3598.
- UK Green Building Council (2016) ‘Delivering Building Performance’, (1135153), p. 35.
- UNFCCC (2018) *United Nations Framework on Climate Change (UNFCCC)*.
- United Nations World Commission on Environment and Development (1987) *Brundtland Report, United Nations World Commission on Environment and Development*.
- Unwin, G. and Kriedemann, P. (2000) *Principles and Processes of Carbon Sequestration by Trees, Forest Protection*. Sydney.
- Vacek, P., Struhala, K. and Matějka, L. (2017) ‘Life-cycle study on semi intensive green roofs’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 154, pp. 203–213.
- Vashum, K. T., Jayakumar, S. and T. Vashum, K. (2012) ‘Methods to Estimate Above-Ground Biomass and Carbon Stock in Natural Forests - A Review’, *Journal of Ecosystem & Ecography*, 02(04), p. 1000116.
- Velasco, E., Roth, M., Norford, L. and Molina, L. T. (2016) ‘Does urban vegetation enhance carbon sequestration?’, *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 148, pp. 99–107.

- Vijayaraghavan, K. (2016) 'Green roofs: A critical review on the role of components, benefits, limitations and trends', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57, pp. 740–752.
- Wan Ismail, W. Z. (2009) *Perception towards Green Roofs in Malaysia*. Universiti Teknologi MARA.
- Wan Ismail, W. Z. and Abdullah, M. N. (2016) 'Mitigating Climate Change Through Green Roof Implementation: A Review of Significant Literature', 6(2), pp. 31–46.
- Wan Ismail, W. Z., Ismail, F., Hashim, A. E., Irfan, A. and Ramele@ Ramli, R. (2009) 'Potentiality of Ecological Friendly Effect on Human Behavior of Green Building Design', *Proceedings of the 1st National Conference on Environment-Behaviour Studies*, (November), p. 12.
- Wan Ismail, W. Z., Isnin Ithnin, Z., Sh. Ahmad, S., Kamarudin, H. and Muhammad Ariff, N. R. (2016) 'Challenges of implementing green roofs on high rise apartments in the Klang valley urban area in Malaysia', *Advanced Science Letters*, 22(5–6), pp. 1497–1501.
- Wan Ismail, W. Z., Ithnin, Z. I., Ahmad, S. S., Kamarudin, H. and Ariff, N. R. M. (2015) 'Challenges of Implementing Green Roofs on High Rise Apartments in the Klang Valley Urban Area in', pp. 1–6.
- Wan Ismail, W. Z., Muhamad Ariff, N. R., Sh. Ahmad, S. and Kamarudin, H. (2016) 'Green roof maintenance towards sustaining neighborhood spaces of high-rise residential developments in Malaysia', *Advanced Science Letters*, 22(5–6), pp. 1502–1508.
- Wan Ismail, W. Z., Sh Ahmad, S., Hashim, A. E., Ithnin, Z. and Mohammad Ali, I. (2010) 'Perception Towards Green Roof in Malaysia', in *MiCRA 2010 – Management in Construction Researchers Association 9th Annual Conference and Meeting*. MiCRA FSPU UiTM, p. 12.
- Wang, Y., Berardi, U. and Akbari, H. (2015) 'The Urban Heat Island Effect in the City of Toronto', *Procedia Engineering*, 118, pp. 137–144.
- Wang, Y., Wang, F. and Wang, H. (2017) 'Influencing factors regression analysis of heating energy consumption of rural buildings in China', *Procedia Engineering*, 205, pp. 3585–3592.
- Wedawatta, G., Ingirige, B. and Amaratunga, D. (2011) 'Case study as a research strategy : Investigating extreme weather resilience of construction SMEs in

- the', *7th Annual International Conference of International Institute for Infrastructure*, pp. 1–9.
- Weissert, L. F., Salmond, J. a. and Schwendenmann, L. (2014) 'A review of the current progress in quantifying the potential of urban forests to mitigate urban CO2 emissions', *Urban Climate*. Elsevier Ltd, 8, pp. 100–125.
- Whittinghill, L. J., Rowe, D. B., Schutzki, R. and Cregg, B. M. (2014) 'Quantifying carbon sequestration of various green roof and ornamental landscape systems', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 123, pp. 41–48.
- Willcock, S., Phillips, O. L., Platts, P. J., Balmford, A., Burgess, N. D., Lovett, J. C., Ahrends, A., Bayliss, J., Doggart, N., Doody, K., Fanning, E., Green, J. M., Hall, J., Howell, K. L., Marchant, R., Marshall, A. R., Mbilinyi, B., Munishi, P. K., Owen, N., Swetnam, R. D., Topp-Jorgensen, E. J. and Lewis, S. L. (2014) 'Quantifying and understanding carbon storage and sequestration within the Eastern Arc Mountains of Tanzania, a tropical biodiversity hotspot.', *Carbon balance and management*, 9(April), p. 2.
- Wong, G. K. L. and Jim, C. Y. (2015) 'Identifying keystone meteorological factors of green-roof stormwater retention to inform design and planning', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 143, pp. 173–182.
- Wong, J. K. W. and Lau, L. S.-K. (2013) 'From the "urban heat island" to the "green island"? A preliminary investigation into the potential of retrofitting green roofs in Mongkok district of Hong Kong', *Habitat International*. Elsevier Ltd, 39, pp. 25–35.
- Wong, N. H. and Yu, C. (2005) 'Study of green areas and urban heat island in a tropical city', *Habitat International*, 29(3), pp. 547–558.
- Wong, P. S. P., Lindsay, A., Cramer, L. and Holdsworth, S. (2015) 'Can energy efficiency rating and carbon accounting foster greener building design decision? An empirical study', *Building and Environment*, 87, pp. 255–264.
- www.theedgemarkets.com (2017).
- Xikai, M., Lixiong, W., Jiwei, L., Xiaoli, Q. and Tongyao, W. (2019) 'Comparison of regression models for estimation of carbon emissions during building's lifecycle using designing factors: a case study of residential buildings in Tianjin, China', *Energy and Buildings*. Elsevier B.V., 204, p. 109519.
- Yang, J., Yu, Q. and Gong, P. (2008) 'Quantifying air pollution removal by green roofs in Chicago', *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, pp. 7266–7273.

- Ye, W. (2013) 'New Perspective of Low-Carbon Building Materials', *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 291–294, pp. 1068–1071.
- Yin, R. K. (1994) *Case Study Research: Design and Methods. 2nd Edition*. 2nd Edition. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (2003) *Applications of Case Study Research*. Second Edition. Thousand Oaks, London, Delhi: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Yoon, T. K., Park, C.-W., Lee, S. J., Ko, S., Kim, K. N., Son, Yeongmo, Lee, K. H., Oh, S., Lee, W.-K. and Son, Yowhan (2013) 'Allometric equations for estimating the aboveground volume of five common urban street tree species in Daegu, Korea', *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 12(3), pp. 344–349.
- Zahir, M. H. M., Raman, S. N., Mohamed, M. F., Jamiland, M. and Nopiah, Z. M. (2014) 'The Perception of Malaysian Architects towards the Implementation of Green Roofs: A Review of Practices, Methodologies and Future Research', *E3S Web of Conferences*, 3, p. 01022.
- Zaid, S. M., Perisamy, E., Hussein, H., Myeda, N. E. and Zainon, N. (2018) 'Vertical Greenery System in urban tropical climate and its carbon sequestration potential: A review', *Ecological Indicators*, 91(April), pp. 57–70.
- Zainal, Z. (2007) 'The Case Study as a Research Method', *Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, 9, pp. 1–6.
- Zhang, D. X., Liu, D. P., Xiao, M. and Li, H. (2011) 'Application of Traditional Local Materials and Suitable Technology in the Modern Low-Carbon Buildings: A Case Study of Rammed Earth Building', *Advances in Building Materials, Pts 1-3*, 168–170, pp. 69–73.
- Zhang, Q., Miao, L., Wang, X., Liu, D., Zhu, L., Zhou, B., Sun, J. and Liu, J. (2015) 'The capacity of greening roof to reduce stormwater runoff and pollution', *Landscape and Urban Planning*. Elsevier B.V., 144, pp. 142–150.
- Zheng, Z., Huyan, Y., Li, H., Sun, S. and Xu, Y. (2019) 'An overview of carbon sequestration of green roofs in urban areas', *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*. Elsevier GmbH., p. 127065.
- Zirkle, G., Lal, R. and Augustin, B. (2011) 'Modeling carbon sequestration in home lawns', *HortScience*, 46(5), pp. 808–814.