Small-signal analysis of a single-stage bridgeless boost halfbridge AC/DC converter with bidirectional switch

Mohamad Affan Mohd Noh¹, Mohd Rodhi Sahid², Thang Ka Fei³, Ravi Lakshmanan⁴

^{1,3,4}School of Engineering, Asia Pacific University of Technology & Innovation, Malaysia ^{1,2}School of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Article Info	ABSTRACT
<i>Article history:</i> Received Mar 22, 2021 Revised Sep 28, 2021 Accepted Oct 5, 2021	A small-signal analysis of a single-stage bridgeless boost half-bridge alternating current/direct current (AC/DC) converter with bidirectional switches is performed using circuit averaging method. The comprehensive approach to develop the small signal model from the steady state analysis is discussed. The small-signal model is then simulated with MATLAB/Simulink. The small-signal model is verified through the comparison of the bode-plot
<i>Keywords:</i> AC/DC converter Boost-half bridge Bridgeless Power factor correction Small-signal	bitained from MATLAB/Simulink and the simulated large signal model in piecewise linear electrical circuit simulation (PLECS). The mathematical model obtain from the small-signal analysis is then used to determine the proportional gain K_p and integral gain K_i . In addition, the switch large-signal model is developed by considering the current and voltage waveforms during load transients and steady-state conditions. This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.

Corresponding Author:

Mohamad Affan Mohd Noh School of Engineering Asia Pacific University of Technology & Innovation Jalan Teknologi 5, Taman Teknologi Malaysia, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia Email: mohamad.affan@apu.edu.my

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the usage of electronic equipment's has increased drastically. The requirement set up by a regulatory body such as *80Plus* [1] and *"Energy Star"* [2], [3] has gain consumer attention which demand less harmonic, high power factor and higher efficiency system. Significantly, these trend makes the power factor correction (PFC) becoming important aspect even for low power electronic equipment's. Thus, researchers have proposed a new PFC technique which comply the setup standard such as IEC555-2 and IEC6100-4-7. In addition, the galvanic isolation is also one of the state requirements in international electrotechnical commission (IEC) standard [4] for the safety of the end user. However, the total cost is also one of the key factors to meet the setup requirements. Thus, as the comparison to two-stage scheme, single stage scheme is cost effective [5]. Single stage power converter integrates the PFC and direct current-direct current (DC-DC) converter circuit which significantly leads to power losses. The proposed single stage semi-bridgeless converter without bulky DC link capacitor [7], [8] significantly reduce the converter power losses. Thus, improved the conversion efficiency with less components count.

Any new proposed circuit topologies should consider the main analysis of both large-signal model and small-signal model [9]. The large-signal analysis is for components design such as transformer, inductance and capacitance. The small-signal model is to investigate the behavior of a power convertor.

Several methods have been presented to derive the small signal model of a switched mode converter namely circuit averaging [10]-[17], state-space averaging [18]-[23] and current injected equivalent circuit approach [21], [22]. In circuit averaging technique, the averaging and linearization operations are performed

directly from the converter circuit. The small-signal equation is derived from the steady state operation of the converter circuit. The steady-state equation is derived from the circuit operation and waveform analysis in one switching period. It is assumed that the components are lossless and ideal. This is to simplify the equation which derive from steady-state and small-signal analysis.

In this paper, the small-signal of a bridgeless AC/DC converter with bidirectional switches as depicted in Figure 1 is presented. The full bridgeless of the proposed circuit topology eliminated the bridge rectifier of a conventional circuit topology and the semi-bridgeless diodes [7], [8] in a conventional bridgeless circuit. The idea of the proposed converter is based on the primary side [18] but without diodes presented which further eliminate the line frequency rectifier losses. In addition, the bidirectional switch concept is derived from the topologies in [24]-[31]. The bidirectional switches simplify the mode of circuit operation and control signal. The small-signal model is develop using the similar procedure in [19], [23]. The small-signal model is then used to find the control-to-output transfer function of the converter. Then, the derived transfer function of the proposed circuit is simulated in MATLAB/Simulink. The bode plot obtain from the MATLAB/Simulink is then verified with the bode-plot obtained from the large circuit model which simulated with PLECS. In addition, a switch large-signal model is proposed which is derive from the steady-state equation. The model is designed with the circuit parameters as stated in Table 1.

The circuit level simulation and experimental verification results will be presented in another report. This report will only be discussed on the verification of the derived small signal equation with MATLAB and PLECS software. In a nutshell, this works.

- Eliminates the drawbacks of a bridge rectifier in conventional AC/DC converter circuit and a semibridgeless AC/DC conventional circuit
- Eliminates the crossover distortion of input current at high frequency of input supply
- Input current harmonic which comply to the IEC 6000-3-2 standard
- Improve input power factor
- Reduce the components count
- Simplify the modes of circuit operation and control signal

In section 2, the details steady state analysis of the proposed circuit topology will be discussed. The modes of circuit operation with switching waveforms are illustrated to support the derivation of releated equations. Section 3 presented the small signal analysis and derivation steps to obtained the transfer function; control-to-ouput, output-to-input, and impedance. Next, the converter small signal block diagram in Simulink and in PECS is proposed. Section 4 presented the results from both MATLAB/Simulink and PLECS for verification. In addition, the large signal switch model is obtained. Finally, section 5 gives the conclusion.



Figure 1. Proposed AC-DC full bridgeless half-bridge with bidirectional switch

able 1. Proposed circuit parameter		
Parameters	Values	
Input voltage, V _{in}	115 Vrms	
Input line frequency,	50 Hz	
Switching frequency, f_S	50 kHz	
Output voltage, V ₀	20 V DC	
Boost inductor L_1, L_2	500 µH	
Inductor L_o	100 µH	
Capacitor C_1, C_2	0.1 µF	
Capacitor C_o	10 mF	

Table 1. Proposed circuit parameters

2. STEADY STATE ANALYSIS

In this analysis, all switches and components are assumed to be ideal and the analysis only carried out at the positive half line cycle. Figure 2 shows the four mode of circuit operation at positive half cycle of input voltage v_{in} . At Mode 1, the Kirchhoff voltage law (KVL) equation at primary side is:

$$v_{in} = v_{L_1} + v_{C_1} + v_{C_2} + v_{L_2} \tag{1}$$

As depicted in Figure 2 (a), capacitor C_1 is connected in parallel with the transformer primary winding. Capacitor C_1 discharged to primary winding. The value of capacitor C_1 and C_2 are also equal, and capacitor C_2 is in charging mode. As depicted from the key waveform, the capacitor voltage C_1 and C_2 is equal to the difference between the input voltage and the boost inductor voltage. The capacitor voltage is at minimum or maximum at starting of each switching mode. This is due to the capacitor which is almost fully charged or fully discharged at the end of switching mode. Thus, assume the capacitor C_2 voltage; $v_{C_2} \approx$ 0 V and the capacitor C_1 voltage; v_{C_1} equal to transformer primary voltage v_p . The value of the inductor L_1 and L_2 are equal.

Therefore, (1) can be simplified as.

$$v_{in} = 2v_L + v_p + v_{C_2} \tag{2}$$

The transformer turn ratio *n* is given by:

$$n = \frac{v_s}{v_p} = \frac{N_s}{N_p} = \frac{i_p}{i_s} \tag{3}$$

where transformer winding number of turns at primary side N_p and secondary side N_s . Thus, the voltage equation at secondary side v_s^+ in Mode 1 is.

$$v_{s^+} = v_{L_0} + v_o$$
 (4)

At Mode 2 in Figure 2 (b), the KVL equation at primary side is.

$$v_{in} = v_{L_1} + v_{c_1} + v_{c_2} + v_{L_2} \tag{5}$$

Thus, the inductor voltage can be written as:

$$v_L = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{in} - v_{c_1} - v_{c_2} \right) \tag{6}$$

Mode 3 in Figure 2 (c) is similar to Mode 1. Thus, the voltage equation at secondary side v_s^- ;

$$v_{s^{-}} = v_{L_{o}} + v_{o}$$
 (7)

where v_{L_o} is voltage drop at ouput inductor and v_o is the load voltage.

The boost mode operation at primary side in Mode 4 is the same as Mode 2. Thus, the KVL equation for mode 4 in Figure 2 (d) is the same as (5).

The voltage drop equation at output inductor is.

$$v_{L_0} = L_0 \frac{di_{L_0}}{dt} \tag{8}$$

Substitute (2)-(5) to (1), thus the boost inductor voltage is;

$$v_{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{in} - \frac{L_{o} \left(\frac{di_{L_{o}}}{dt} \right) + v_{o}}{n} - v_{C_{2}} \right)$$
(9)

The average voltage across inductor L_o within each switching period is zero. Thus, it can be concluded in (8) that for each half line period.

$$L_o\left(\frac{di_{L_o}}{dt}\right) = v_{L_{o.avg}} = 0 \tag{10}$$

Hence, (7) can be simplified as.

$$v_L = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{in} - \frac{v_o}{n} - v_{c_2} \right) \tag{11}$$



Figure 2. Circuit operation at positive half-cycle of input voltage, (a) Mode 1, (b) Mode 2, (c) Mode 3, (d) Mode 4

Small-signal analysis of a single-stage bridgeless boost half-bridge ... (Mohamad Affan Bin Mohd Noh)

The boost inductor is in charging in Mode 1 and 3 and discharge at Mode 2 and 4 of the switching periods. It is assumed that the magnitude of input voltage is constant. Consequently, the input current also assumes to be constant. The boost inductor current works in continuous current mode. Therefore, based on the balanced volt-second;

$$\Delta i_{L-d_1T_s} = \Delta i_{L-d_2T_s} \tag{12}$$

Hence, by (12), the relationship of duty cycle at Mode 1 d_1 and Mode 2 d_2 ,

$$d_1 = \frac{v_{in} - v_{c_1} - v_{c_2}}{v_{in} - \frac{v_o}{n} - v_{c_2}} d_2 \tag{13}$$

The capacitor voltage in one switching period is zero volt. Therefore, (13) can be simplified as.

$$d_1 = \frac{v_{in}}{v_{in} - \frac{v_o}{n}} d_2 \tag{14}$$

The total period of Mode 1 and Mode 2 is half of the switching period. Therefore;

$$d_2 = (0.5 - d_1)T_s \tag{15}$$

Substitute (15) in (14), thus;

$$d_1 = \frac{v_{in}}{2\left(2v_{in} - \frac{v_o}{n}\right)} \tag{16}$$

The input current directly flows to the boost inductor L_1 and L_2 . Thus, the boost inductor current i_L is equal to input current i_{in} . Assume that all input power transferred to output. Thus, the input current is.

$$i_L = \frac{v_0^2}{v_{in}R\cos\theta} \tag{17}$$

where θ is power factor angle and *R* is load resistance. Hence, the boost inductor is choose such that.

$$L \ge \frac{\left(v_{in} - \frac{v_o}{n}\right) d_1 v_{in} R \cos \theta}{2v_o^2 f_s} \tag{18}$$

where f_s is the switching frequency

As depicted from switching waveform, the input current flow to the half bridge capacitor C_1 and C_2 at a period of $(1 - d_1)T_s$. Thus; the current flow trough capacitor C_1 ; i_{c_1} and C_2 ; i_{c_2} .

$$i_{c_1} = i_{c_2} = i_{in}$$
 (19)

Therefore, the expression of half bridge capacitor is.

$$C_1 = C_2 = \frac{v_o^2}{\Delta v_c v_{in} R \cos \theta} (1 - d_1) T_s$$
(20)

where Δv_c is the minimum to maximum charging voltage of half bridge capacitor. As depicted from Figure 3, each half bridge capacitor is charged approximately from zero to peak supply voltage.



Figure 3. Proposed key waveform

There is no connection between the input boost circuit to output circuit at Mode 2 and Mode 4. Therefore, no energy transferred from primary side to secondary side of the transformer in this mode. Thus, the output inductor L_o and output capacitor C_o are discharged to the load R. Therefore;

$$\frac{di_{L_0}}{dt} = -\frac{v_0}{L_0} \tag{21}$$

By assuming the output capacitor C_0 is fully charge, the output inductor current is.

$$i_{L_o} = i_o = \frac{v_o}{R_L} \tag{22}$$

Thus, the maximum and minimum output inductor current $i_{L_{O,max}}$ and $i_{L_{O,min}}$ are.

$$i_{L_{o,max}} = i_{L,average} + \frac{\Delta i_{L_o - d_2 T_s}}{2}$$
(23)

$$i_{L_{o,\max}} = \frac{V_o}{R_L} + \frac{V_o}{L_o} d_2 T_s \tag{24}$$

$$i_{L_{o,\min}} = \frac{V_o}{R_L} - \frac{V_o}{L_o} d_2 T_s \tag{25}$$

Thus, the output inductor ripple Δi_o ;

$$\Delta i_{L_o} = i_{L_{o,\text{max}}} - i_{L_{o,\text{min}}} \tag{26}$$

In order to ensure output inductor working in continuous conduction mode;

$$L_{o_{\min}} \ge R_L d_2 T_s \tag{27}$$

Since the output capacitor current wave-shape same as output inductor current, the charge in output capacitor is equal to the area under the wave-shape. Thus, the Δv_{c_0} is;

$$\Delta v_{c_0} = \Delta_{v_0} = \frac{d_2 v_0}{8C_0 L_0 f_s^2}$$
(28)

Hence, the output voltage ripple, r is;

$$r = \frac{\Delta v_o}{v_o} = \frac{d_2}{8C_o L_o f_s^2} \tag{29}$$

By examining the above waveform, the peak inductor current can be represented as.

$$\Delta i_{L-peak} = \frac{1}{2L} \left(v_{in} - \frac{v_o}{n} - v_{c_1} \right) d_1 T_s \tag{30}$$

Based on the Kirchhoff current law, the current at capacitor C_1 is.

$$i_{c_1} = i_p - i_L$$
 (31)

By substituting (2) and (30) in (31). Thus;

$$\Delta i_{c_{1-peak}} = \left(\frac{nv_{o}}{R} - \frac{v_{in}}{2L} - \frac{v_{o}}{2Ln} - \frac{v_{c_{1}}}{2L}\right) d_{1}T_{s}$$
(32)

At d_1 , the output inductor is connected to the transformer secondary winding through the rectifier diode. Therefore, output inductor current same as secondary current of the transformer. Thus,

$$\Delta i_{L_{o-peak}} = {\binom{l_p}{n}} d_1 T_s \tag{33}$$

In addition, the output capacitor current ripple is.

$$\Delta i_{c_{o-peak}} = \left(\frac{i_p}{n} - \frac{v_o}{R}\right) d_1 T_s \tag{34}$$

And at d_2

$$\Delta i_{L-peak} = \frac{1}{2L} (v_{in} - v_{c_1} - v_{c_2}) d_2 T_s$$
(35)

At d_2 , since all switches are in OFF state, the boost circuit has no connection to the secondary circuit loop. Therefore, the half bridge capacitor current same as boost inductor current. Thus,

$$\Delta i_{c_1} = \Delta i_L \tag{36}$$

As depicted from the capacitor current waveform, capacitor current is constant across the period of $(1 - d_1)$. Thus.

$$\Delta i_{c_{1-peak}} = \left(\frac{3(v_{in} - v_{c_{1}})}{2L} - \frac{v_{c_{2}}}{L} - \frac{v_{o}}{2Ln}\right)(1 - d_{1})T_{s}$$
(37)

At d_2 , because has no energy transfer from primary circuit, hence.

$$\Delta i_{L_{o-d_2}} = -\left(\frac{v_o}{L_o}\right) d_2 T_s \tag{38}$$

$$\Delta i_{c_{o-d_2}} = -\left(\frac{v_o}{R}\right) d_1 T_s \tag{39}$$

This derived equation will further apply in development of small signal and steady state modelling.

3. SMALL-SIGNAL ANALYSIS

For the proposed circuit topology, the circuit averaging and average switch model are used to develop the small signal model. The similar procedure [10] applied to develop the small signal model. The small-signal model is then used to find the control-to-output transfer function of the converter. In the case of the proposed bridgeless converter, the input current is the same current flow to the inductor and also to the half bridge capacitors. Thus, only inductor loop and output capacitor loop are presented.

In the steady state, the average inductor voltage is zero but there is no net change in inductor current over one switching period. During transients or ac variations, the average inductor voltage is not zero and this leads to net variations in inductor current. The net change in inductor current over one switching period is exactly equal to inductor voltage. It can be computed by linear ripple approximation. Thus, the waveforms now will be replaced with their low frequency average value. As depicted from the waveform, the inductor current period is twice of switching period which Mode 1 to Mode 2 and Mode 3 to Mode 4. Therefore, the small ripple approximation equation at Mode 1 interval is.

$$v_L(t)_{T_S} = \frac{\langle v_{in}(t) \rangle_{T_S}}{2} - \frac{\langle v_o(t) \rangle_{T_S}}{2n} - \frac{\langle v_{c_2}(t) \rangle_{T_S}}{2}$$
(40)

$$i_{c_o}(t)_{T_s} = \frac{\langle i_p(t) \rangle_{T_s}}{n} - \frac{\langle v_o(t) \rangle_{T_s}}{R}$$

$$\tag{41}$$

The small ripple approximation equation at Mode 2 interval is

$$v_L(t)_{T_s} = \frac{\langle v_{in}(t) \rangle_{T_s}}{2} - \frac{\langle v_{c_1}(t) \rangle_{T_s}}{2} - \frac{\langle v_{c_2}(t) \rangle_{T_s}}{2}$$
(42)

$$i_{c_0}(t)_{T_s} = \frac{\langle i_p(t) \rangle_{T_s}}{n} - \frac{\langle v_o(t) \rangle_{T_s}}{R}$$
(43)

In the previous steady state analysis, the input voltage V_{in} is considered to be constant. However, in the next derivation of small signal model, the sinusoidal input voltage will be used. Thus, the small signal equation describes on how the low frequency circuit components waveform evolve in time. The input sinusoidal can be represented as.

$$v_{in}(t) = V_m \sin \omega t \tag{44}$$

Substitute (41) to small ripple approximation equation and integrate it within half cycle of input voltage. Thus, the average inductor voltage can be represented as.

$$L\frac{d\langle i_{L}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{dt} = \left(\frac{\langle v_{m}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{\pi} - \frac{\langle v_{o}(t)\rangle_{T_{s}}}{2n} - \frac{v_{c_{2}}(t)}{2}\right)d_{1}(t) + \left(\frac{v_{m}(\omega t)}{\pi} - \frac{v_{c_{1}}(\omega t)}{2} - \frac{v_{c_{2}}(\omega t)}{2}\right)d_{2}(t)$$
(45)

The average capacitor loop equation can be represented as.

$$C_{o} \frac{d\langle v_{c_{o}}(t) \rangle_{T_{s}}}{dt} = \left(\frac{\langle i_{p}(t) \rangle_{T_{s}}}{n} - \frac{\langle v_{o}(t) \rangle_{T_{s}}}{R} \right) d_{1}(t) + \left(-\frac{\langle v_{o}(t) \rangle_{T_{s}}}{R} \right) d_{2}(t)$$
(46)

Both (42) and (43) is non-linear differential equation. Next, assume that the input voltage, duty cycle, dependent voltages and currents are equal to some quiescent values together with superimposed small ac variations. The non-linear equations can be linearized if the magnitude of ac variations are much smaller than the respective quiescent values. Hence, insert the perturbed expression to the average inductor voltage equation and capacitor average equation. Therefore, there are DC term, first order term and second order term present in the inductor and capacitor average loop equations. Hence, the simplified first order equations are.

$$L\frac{d\langle i_{L}(t)+i_{L}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{dt} = \left(\frac{\langle v_{m}(t)+\hat{v}_{m}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{\pi} - \frac{\langle v_{o}(t)+\hat{v}_{o}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{2\pi} - \frac{\langle v_{c_{2}}(t)+\hat{v}_{c_{2}}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{2}\right)\left(D + \hat{d}_{1}(t)\right) + \left(\frac{\langle v_{m}(t)+\hat{v}_{m}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{\pi} - \frac{\langle v_{o}(t)+\hat{v}_{o}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{2\pi} - \frac{\langle v_{c_{2}}(t)+\hat{v}_{c_{2}}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{2}\right)\left(D' - \hat{d}_{1}(t)\right)$$

$$C_{o}\frac{d\langle v_{c_{o}}+\hat{v}_{c_{o}}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{dt} = \left(\frac{\langle i_{p}+\hat{i}_{p}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{\pi} - \frac{\langle v_{o}+\hat{v}_{o}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{R}\right)\left(D + \hat{d}_{1}(t)\right) + \left(-\frac{\langle v_{o}+\hat{v}_{o}(t)\rangle_{T_{S}}}{R}\right)\left(D' - \hat{d}_{1}(t)\right)$$
(48)

DC terms only containing DC quantities. Therefore, DC term will be removed in order to get small signal linearize equation. The second order ac terms are nonlinear because it contains the product of ac quantities. On the other hand, the second order ac terms are much smaller than the first order form. Therefore, the second order terms will be neglected. Thus, only first order equation left. The first order term contains a single ac quantity which mostly multiplied by a constant coefficient such as DC term. These first order equation is the linearize equation which describes small signal ac variations.

The proposed circuit average model is depicted in Figure 4 (a) which derived from the of (47) and (48). The average switch network in Figure 4 (a) also can be represented as a transformer coil as depicted in

Figure 4 (b). Next, Figure 4 (c) is the equivalent circuit average model referred to secondary side of the transformer.



Figure 4. Proposed equivalent circuit of small signal AC, (a) with dependent sources, (b) with ideal DC transformer, (c) referred to secondary side

The circuit elements in the equivalent circuit average model of Figure 4 (c) is then converted from time domain 't' to 's' domain. The voltage sources of $V_m(s)$, $V_{C_1}(s)$ and $V_{C_2}(s)$ are set equal to 0 in order to derive the control-to=output transfer function $\frac{v_O(s)}{d(s)}$. On the other hand, the D(s), $V_{C_1}(s)$ and $V_{C_2}(s)$ is equal to zero to obtain the line to output transfer function $\frac{v_O(s)}{v_m(s)}$. The output impedance Z(s) is obtained as disturbances to test the control to output transfer function. Thus,

$$\frac{v_o(s)}{d(s)} = 2a \frac{\frac{2l_p nL}{a}s + 1}{(2n^2 LC)s^2 + \left(\frac{2n^2 L}{R}\right)s + 1}$$
(49)

Where;

$$a = 2n \left(v_{c_1} - \frac{v_o}{n} \right)$$
(50)
$$\frac{v_o(s)}{v_m(s)} = k \times \frac{1}{(2n^2 LC)s^2 + \left(\frac{2n^2 L}{R}\right)s + 1}$$
(51)

Where;

$$k = \frac{2n}{\pi} \tag{52}$$

Int J Pow Elec & Dri Syst, Vol. 12, No. 4, December 2021 : 2358 - 2371

$$Z_o(s) = \frac{v_o(s)}{i_o(s)} = \frac{2n^2 Ls}{(2n^2 LC)s^2 + \left(\frac{2n^2 L}{R}\right)s + 1}$$
(53)

The model in Figure 5 is simulated with control design tools in the MATLAB/Simulink. The Simulink control design is used to tune the value of controller proportional and integral coefficient. The control circuit parameters are determined based on the obtained steady state equation. The voltage follower control method is used to verify the operation of the proposed converter in circuit simulator and experimentally. This control method is used to regulate the output voltage to the desired value. Thus, the controller is expected to provide the appropriate duty cycle for any load changes. This closed loop feedback controller is designed using the control-to-output transfer function expressed by (49).

It is then compared with the transfer function that obtained from the switch model in PLECS/Simulink. This is to prove that the derived transfer function (49) is correct. The sinusoidal waveform with frequency of 10-1000 Hz and amplitude range of (0.1-4) V is used to perturb the converter duty cycle in the PLECS model. Thus, the duty cycle that drive the MOSFET's in the PLECS circuit is a summation of d_1 and the perturbed signal. Figure 6 shows the block diagram of the switch Model with perturbation of duty cycle in the PLECS/Simulink.



Figure 5. Proposed converter small signal block diagram in Simulink

Figure 6. Proposed converter block diagram in PLECS

4. **RESULTS**

The circuit model in PLECS is used to verify the developed transfer function in Simulink. The bodeplot diagram obtained from the mathematical expression is compared with the bode-plot diagram obtained in the PLECS/Simulink switch model as depicted in Figure 7. The plot shows the same magnitude and Q-factor obtained from the mathematical expression and PLECS/Simulink switch model. However, a small difference of corner frequency f_o around 10 Hz is observed. This due to ideal consideration in developing the mathematical expression. Thus, the results show good agreement between the mathematical expression control to output transfer function and the PLECS/Simulink switch model. Therefore, the mathematical expression (49) which developed using circuit averaging techniques is validated. In this work, PI controller is used such that the output voltage is regulated at 20 V_{DC} regardless the output power condition.

As depicted in Figure 8, the crossover frequency f_c is at 2.92 Hz with overall system phase margin of 90°. Table 2 shows the system performance and robustness which is obtained from the MATLAB/Simulink automated proportional-integrated-derivate (PID) tuning apps. It shows that the system meets the Nyquist stability criterion whereby the system is stable if the phase lag at the crossover frequency is less than 180°. However, an overshoot of 0.474% occurred which have to be accepted.

The small-signal model can be used to determine the stability of the propose system. However, there still have some loop hole even though small signal model can ensure the stability of the system. A small signal model only considered a small variation of circuit signal. Therefore, the large signal model is important to observe the overall time response analysis either during steady-state or transient. The large signal affects the operating point and non-linear components. In this work, the large-signal model as depicted in Figure 9 is developed by considering the current and voltage waveforms during load transients and steady-state conditions.







Figure 8. Bode-plot of the overall system with tuned compensator



Parameter	Tuned
Rising time	0.118 seconds
Settling time	0.239 seconds
Overshoot	0.47%
Peak	1
Gain margin	2.7 dB @ 902 rad/s
Phase margin	90° @ 18.3 rad/s
Closed-loop stability	Stable



Figure 9. Large signal model developed in Simulink

5. CONCLUSION

The small-signal and steady-state analysis has been derived and discussed in detail. The results show good agreement between the mathematical expression control to output transfer function and the

PLECS/Simulink switch model. The mathematical expression of a small-signal model is used to design the PI controller. It shows that the system is stable and meet the Nyquist stability criterion. In addition, the large signal model based on switch model is developed. The details large signal circuit and experimental results will be discuss in another report.

6. FUTURE WORKS

This study is to verify the mathematical expression of a small signal model with Simulink/PLECS. The details design, circuit simulation, and experimental verification will be reported in another paper. The proposed circuit topology performances tested at input supply 115 Vac 50 Hz with output 20 Vdc 5 A. Hence, for future works, it is suggested to study the circuit performances at another parameters. In addition, the secondary side synchronous rectifier can be applied to furthermore reduce the overall power lossess.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Li, F. C. Lee, M. Xu, and C. Wang, "Light load efficiency improvement for PFC," 2009 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, 2009, pp. 3755-3760, doi: 10.1109/ECCE.2009.5316161.
- [2] "AC-DC Power Supply Efficiency Testing for Regularoty Standards; A Guide for Pre- or Full-compliance Efficiency Testing," *Textronix*, 061616 AH 1KW-60782-0, 2016. [Online]. Available: https://download.tek.com/document/1KW-60782-0_AC-DC_PowerSupplyTestingAppNote.pdf.
- [3] P. Commitments, "ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Single Voltage External AC-DC and AC-AC Power Supplies Eligibility Criteria (Version 2.0) Final Draft," *United States Department of Energy (DOE)*, pp. 1-8, [Online]. Available: https://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/product_specs/program_reqs/eps_prog_req.pdf.
- [4] M. R. T. Hossain, A. H. Abedin, M. H. Rahaman, M. S. Arifin, M. A. Choudhury, and M. N. Uddin, "Input switched single phase high performance bridgeless AC-DC Zeta converter," 2012 IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems (PEDES), 2012, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/PEDES.2012.6484335.
- [5] A. K. Jha, B. G. Fernandes, and A. Kishore, "Single Phase Single Stage AC/DC Converter with High Input Power Factor and Tight Output Voltage Regulation," *PIERS Online*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 322-329, 2006, doi: 10.2529/piers050905065119.
- [6] S. Jeong, J. Kwon, and B. Kwon, "High-Efficiency Bridgeless Single-Power-Conversion Battery Charger for Light Electric Vehicles," in *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 215-222, January 2019, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2018.2826458.
- [7] V. A. G. Cunha, A. O. Costa Neto, G. B. Lima, and L. C. G. Freitas, "A Bridgeless Boost Half Bridge DC-DC Converter for Electrical and Hybrid Vehicle Applications," 2019 IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference - Latin America (ISGT Latin America), 2019, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ISGT-LA.2019.8895388.
- [8] A. M. Naradhipa, S. Kang, B. Kim, and S. Choi, "A New Single-Stage Bridgeless Boost Half-Bridge AC/DC Converter with Semi-Active-Rectifier," 2019 IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC), 2019, pp. 757-762, doi: 10.1109/APEC.2019.8722217.
- [9] K.-J. Pai, and Z.-H. Li, "Small-signal analysis of a kilo-watt-output power converter," 2016 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), 2016, pp. 000074-000079, doi: 10.1109/SMC.2016.7844223.
- [10] R. W. Erickson, and D. Macsimovic, "Fundamentals of Power Electronics"2nd Ed.," *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, 2000, doi: 10.1007/978-0-306-48048-5.
- [11] A. Bakkali, P. Alou, J. A. Oliver, and J. A. Cobos, "Average modeling and analysis of a Flyback with Active Clamp topology based on a very simple transformer," *APEC 07-Twenty-Second Annual IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition*, 2007, pp. 500-506, doi: 10.1109/APEX.2007.357560.
- [12] D. K. Saini, and M. K. Kazimierczuk, "Open-loop transfer functions of buck-boost converter by circuit-averaging technique," *IET Power Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 2858-2864, August 2019, doi: 10.1049/iet-pel.2018.5514
- [13] M. K. Kazimierczuk, "Open-loop dc and small-signal characteristics of PWM buck-boost converter for CCM," *Proceedings of National Aerospace and Electronics Conference (NAECON'94)*, vol. 1, pp. 226-233, 1994, doi: 10.1109/NAECON.1994.333001.
- [14] V. Prakash N., and M. K. Kazimierczuk, "Small-Signal Modeling of Open-Loop PWM Z-Source Converter by Circuit-Averaging Technique," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 1286-1296, March 2013, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2012.2207437.
- [15] L. Kathi, A. Ayachit, D. K. Saini, A. Chadha, and M. K. Kazimierczuk, "Open-loop small-signal Modeling of cuk DC-DC converter in CCM by circuit-averaging technique," 2018 IEEE Texas Power and Energy Conference (TPEC), College Station, TX, USA, 2018, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/TPEC.2018.8312045.
- [16] B. Choi, "Pulsewidth Modulated Dc-to-Dc Power Conversion," John Wiley & Sons, 2013, doi: 10.1002/9781118772188.
- [17] A. Ayachit, A. Reatti, and M. K. Kazimierczuk, "Small-signal Modeling of PWM dual-SEPIC dc-dc converter by circuit averaging technique," *IECON 2016-42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, 2016, pp. 3606-3611, doi: 10.1109/IECON.2016.7793030.
- [18] G. Zhu, H. Wei, P. Kornetzky, and I. Batarseh, "Small-signal Modeling of a single-switch AC/DC power-factorcorrection circuit," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1142-1148, November 1999, doi: 10.1109/63.803408.

Small-signal analysis of a single-stage bridgeless boost half-bridge ... (Mohamad Affan Bin Mohd Noh)

- [19] B. Ahn, and V. Winstead, "Small Signal Model Averaging of Bi-Directional Converter," 2018 IEEE International Conference on Electro/Information Technology (EIT), 2018, pp. 0840-0845, doi: 10.1109/EIT.2018.8500218.
- [20] Y. Xu, Y. Chen, C. Liu, and H. Gao, "Piecewise Average-Value Model of PWM Converters with Applications to Large-Signal Transient Simulations," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1304-1321, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2015.2422291.
- [21] F. A. Huliehel, F. C. Lee, and B. H. Cho, "Small-signal Modeling of the single-phase boost high power factor converter with constant frequency control," *PESC '92 Record. 23rd Annual IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference*, vol. 1, pp. 475-482, 1992, doi: 10.1109/PESC.1992.254843.
- [22] A. Davoudi, J. Jatskevich, and T. D. Rybel, "Numerical state-space average-value Modeling of PWM DC-DC converters operating in DCM and CCM," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1003-1012, July 2006, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2006.876848.
- [23] R. D. Middlebrook, and S. Cuk, "A general unified approach to Modelling switching-converter power stages," 1976 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 1976, pp. 18-34, doi: 10.1109/PESC.1976.7072895.
- [24] Y. Liao, and J. Jhu, "Analysis and implementation of a bridgeless sepic AC/DC converter with power factor correction and extended gain," 2017 IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC), 2017, pp. 416-423, doi: 10.1109/APEC.2017.7930727.
- [25] A. A. Fardoun, E. H. Ismail, M. A. Al-Saffar, and A. J. Sabzali, "A Bridgeless Resonant Pseudoboost PFC Rectifier," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 5949-5960, November 2014, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2013.2295317.
- [26] J. Shin, S. Choi, and B. Cho, "High-Efficiency Bridgeless Flyback Rectifier with Bidirectional Switch and Dual Output Windings," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 4752-4762, September 2014, doi: 10.1109/TPEL.2013.2283073.
- [27] J. Baek, J. Shin, P. Jang, and B. Cho, "A critical conduction Mode bridgeless flyback converter," 8th International Conference on Power Electronics-ECCE Asia, 2011, pp. 487-492, doi: 10.1109/ICPE.2011.5944586.
- [28] J. Wang, L. Hou, and K. Wu, "Investigation of an isolated PFC converter with bridgeless and single-stage," 2017 IEEE 3rd International Future Energy Electronics Conference and ECCE Asia (IFEEC 2017-ECCE Asia), 2017, pp. 1266-1269, doi: 10.1109/IFEEC.2017.7992225.
- [29] S. A. Gorji, A. Mostaan, M. Ektesabi, and D. Lu, "A novel bridgeless flyback power factor correction rectifier with single output winding and reduced components voltage stress," 2017 19th European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications (EPE'17 ECCE Europe), 2017, pp. P.1-P.9, doi: 10.23919/EPE17ECCEEurope.2017.8099117.
- [30] M. R. Sahid, and A. H. M. Yatim, "An isolated bridgeless AC-DC converter with high power factor," 2010 IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy, 2010, pp. 791-796, doi: 10.1109/PECON.2010.5697687.
- [31] V. Thiruchelvam, and G. C. S. Leung, "Z Analyzer: Design Development of a Power Optimization Software Tool for Smart City Building Services," *Advanced Science Letters*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 1279-1283, February 2018, doi: 10.1166/asl.2018.10732.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Mohamad Affan Bin Mohd Noh received the B.Eng. and M. Eng in Electrical (Power) engineering from the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia in 2003 and 2009 respectively. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. Degree in Electrical Engineering at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. His research interests are PFC, SMPS and DC-DC converter. Email: mohamad.affan@staffemail.apu.edu.my, Orcid id: 0000-0002-8697-1742 Publon: AAZ-6227-2021.



Mohd Rodhi Bin Sahid received B. Eng, M. Eng and PhD in Electrical (Power)) Engineering from the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. He is a Senior Lecturer in the School of Electrical, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. His research interests are PFC, SMPS, and DC-DC converter. Email: rodhi@fke.utm.my, Publon: A1021-2012.



Thang Ka Fei graduated with a Doctor of Philosophy in Electrical Engineering and a Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) degree in Electrical and Electronic Engineering from the University of Bath, UK. He is also a Chartered Engineer and a member of the Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET), UK. Before joining APU, Thang has served as Senior Technical Manager with an engineering software firm for eight years of which his primary roles are on leading a team of Application Engineers in providing engineering software solutions in MATLAB and Simulink to R&D communities in South-East Asia. He was also a professional trainer in engineering software solutions for the areas of signal and image processing, optimisation and artificial intelligence. Dr Thang is now serving Asia Pacific University of Technology and Innovation (APU) as Head of School of Engineering. His responsibilities are to manage the quality processes of the School in teaching and learning as well as ensuring continuous professional accreditation for all the engineering programmes with Board of Engineers, Malaysia (BEM). Thang has also been involving proactively in securing internship placement for engineering students and in industry collaborations with companies. Email: ka.fei@staffemail.apu.edu.my, Orcid id: 0000-0002-4168-861X.



Ravi Lakshmanan has completed his B. E degree in Electrical & Electronics Engineering in the year 1992 at Coimbatore Institute of Technology, Coimbatore, India and M. Eng Power Systems in the year 2012, at University Tenaga Nasional, Kajang, Malaysia. He has 29 years of teaching and administrative experience and he is currently working as a Senior Lecturer for Engineering Department in Asia Pacific University (APU) of Technology and Innovation, Technology Park of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. He was also a technical consultant for Yeong Guan & Co and Advance Microlink Sdn Bhd since 1996. His research interests are renewable energy, energy management systems, Lighting design, Power systems, Image processing and Internet of Things. Email: ravi@staffemail.apu.edu.my, Scopus: 56024984300.