SEAKEEPING ANALYSIS OF A MALAYSIAN FISHING VESSEL

MD. ABU HENA MOSTOFA KAMAL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2007

Dedicated

To my parents who are no more in this world and

To my beloved wife for her encouragement

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Adi Maimun Bin Hj. Abdul Malik, for his encouragement, proper and valuable guidance, and critic views. I am also grateful to my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Omar Bin Yaakob for his valuable advice, guidance, and encouragement. I am especially grateful to the IRPA, Ministry of Science, and Technology, Malaysia for giving the financial support for this study.

I would like to thank to the following individuals:

All the Marine laboratory staff, for the assistance during the experimental part of the study, especially to Mr. Rahman for his effort to put the bilge keel in the model.

Enck. Ahmad Fuad Bin Sabki for arranging the boat for full scale trial.

Ng Chee Wei, for providing the information and data of the wave buoy.

All of my fellow colleagues in the Marine Technology Laboratory, Ahmad Nasirudin, Ahmad Fitriadhy, Andi Harris Mahmud, and Dony Setyawan.

All of my family member for their encouragement during my study.

ABSTRACT

This thesis describes a comparative study of seakeeping analysis for a fishing vessel in Malaysia. Three different methods were used for the seakeeping analysis namely; full scale trial, model experiments and time domain simulation. The simulation program was developed at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). In this study, a Malaysian fishing vessel was taken as the basis for the seakeeping analysis. In the full scale trial, the wave data were recorded by a wave buoy to obtain the wave spectra. The responses of the vessel were recorded by the Vessel Motion Monitoring System (VMMS) to obtain the motions response spectra. A scaled (1: 10.6) model was tested in the towing tank of the Department of Marine Technology, UTM to obtain the responses of the model in regular waves and Response Amplitude Operator (RAO) in irregular waves. Roll decay tests were also conducted to obtain the roll natural frequency, damping coefficient and the position of center of gravity (KG) of the model. The time domain simulation program was used to obtain the sixdegrees of freedom motions of the vessel both in regular and irregular waves. Finally, the RAO and the responses obtained from the three different methods were compared. The Root Mean Square (RMS) values obtained from the responses were used to assess the seakeeping performance of the vessel. The results indicated that the measured wave spectrum is similar to that of the Pierson-Moskowitz spectrum. This is a good indication for ship designers to use the spectrum in the absence of actual wave data. The RMS values from simulation and measured methods (model test and full scale trial) indicated that they are in good agreement except for pitching motion. The disagreement in pitching motion is mainly due to the effect of non-linear coupling motions. Generally, from the comparison, it can be concluded that the developed ship simulation program could be used to predict seakeeping behaviour of fishing vessels operating in Malaysian waters.

ABSTRAK

Tesis ini menerangkan tentang satu kajian perbandingan analisis pergerakan kapal bagi sebuah kapal nelayan di Malaysia. Tiga kaedah berbeza digunakan untuk menganalisis pergerakan kapal tersebut iaitu; ujian skala penuh, ujikaji model dan simulasi berdomainkan masa. Program simulasi yang digunakan telah dibangunkan di Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). Dalam kajian ini, sebuah kapal nelayan tempatan diambil sebagai asas untuk tujuan kajian analisis pergerakan kapal. Di dalam ujian skala penuh pula, data ombak direkodkan dengan menggunakan boya ombak untuk memperolehi spektrum ombak. Manakala, Sistem Pengawasan Pergerakan Kapal (VMMS), telah digunakan untuk mengukur sambutan kapal di laut dan seterusnya, spektrum pergerakan diperolehi. Sebuah model berskala (1:10.6) telah diuji di dalam tangki tunda Jabatan Teknologi Marin, UTM untuk memperolehi sambutan model dalam ombak teratur dan Pengendali Amplitud Sambutan (RAO) dalam ombak tak tentu. Ujian olengan juga dijalankan untuk memperolehi frekuensi tabii, pekali redaman dan kedudukan pusat graviti (KG) model tersebut. Simulasi berdomainkan masa itu digunakan untuk mendapatkan enam-darjah kebebasan pergerakan kapal dalam ombak teratur dan tak tentu. Akhirnya, RAO dan sambutan yang diperolehi daripada tiga kaedah berbeza itu dibandingkan. Nilai punca min kuasa dua (RMS) yang diperolehi daripada sambutan kapaldigunakan untuk menilai prestasi pergerakannya. Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa spektrum yang diukur adalah sama dengan spektrum Pierson-Moskowitz. Ini menunjukkan bahawa perekabentuk kapal boleh menggunakan spektrum tersebut dalam keadaan ketiadaan data ombak yang sebenar. Nilai punca min kuasa dua daripada simulasi dan kaedah pengukuran juga menunjukkan bahawa kedua-duanya tidak mempunyai banyak perbezaan kecuali bagi pergerakan anggul. Perbezaan ketara bagi pergerakan anggul adalah disebabkan oleh kesan pergerakan gandingan yang tak linear. Secara umumnya, daripada perbandingan ini, boleh disimpulkan bahawa program simulasi kapal yang dibangunkan mempunyai kemungkinan untuk digunakan dalam meramal pergerakan kapal nelayan yang beroperasi di perairan Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE		PAGE	
	TITI	LE PAGE	i	
	DEC	CLARATION OF ORIGINALITY	ii	
	DEDICATION			
	ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv	
	ABSTRACT ABSTRAK			
	TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	vii	
	LIST	T OF FIGURES	xii	
	LIST	T OF TABLES	xvii	
	NOMENCLATURES		xviii	
	LIST	T OF APPENDICES	xxii	
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1	
	1.1	Background	1	
	1.2	Research Objective	2	
	1.3	Scope of Research	3	
	1.4	Research Outline	3	
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	5	
	2.1	General	5	
	2.2	Review of the Characteristics of Fishing Vessel	6	
	2.3	Review of Fishing Vessels of Malaysia	7	
	2.4	Review of the Method of Studying Ship Motion	8	

		2.4.1	Numerical Approach	8
			2.4.1.1 Frequency Domain Method	9
			2.4.1.2 The Panel Method	12
			2.4.1.3 Navier Stokes Method	12
			2.4.1.4 Time Domain Simulation Method	12
		2.4.2	Experimental Approach	16
		2.4.3	Full Scale Approach	17
			2.4.3.1 Measurement of Wave	18
			2.4.3.2 Concept of Wave Spectra	22
			2.4.3.3 Response Amplitude Operator	23
		2.4.4	Characteristics of Small Vessel in Dynamic	:
			Situation	24
	2.5	Seake	eping Criteria	25
	2.6	Summ	nary of the Literature Review	27
3	RESI	EARCH	I APPROACHES	29
	3.1	Gener	ral	29
	3.2	Basic	Steps in Predicting Ship Response	
		In Wa	ives	29
	3.3	Time	Domain Simulation Approach	30
	3.4	Exper	imental Approach	33
	3.5	Full S	cale Approach	33
	3.6	Encou	intering Wave Spectra	35
	3.7	Concl	uding Remarks	36
4	MAT	HEMA	TICAL MODELLING	37
	4.1	Gener	ral	37
	4.2	Mathe	ematical Model	37
	4.3	Comp	onents of Forces	38
	4.4	-	linate Systems	39
	4.5		ions of Motions	40
	4.6	•	ment of Forces and Moments	42
	4.7		's Method	45

	4.8	Computational Procedures	47
	4.9	Concluding Remarks	48
5	SIMU	JLATION PROGRAM	49
	5.1	General	49
	5.2	Mathematical Modelling	49
	5.3	Components of the Simulation Program	50
		5.3.1 Source Code Files	50
		5.3.2 Executable Files	50
		5.3.3 Input Files	50
		5.3.4 Output Files	53
	5.4	Running the Program	54
	5.5	Results from the Simulation in Regular Waves	54
	5.6	Analysis of the Output from Simulation in Regular	
		Waves	57
	5.7	Results from the Simulation in Irregular Waves	60
	5.8	Analysis of the Output from Simulation in	
		Irregular Waves	62
	5.9	Discussion of the Results	64
	5.10	Concluding Remarks	64
6	EXPI	ERIMENTAL APPROACH	65
	6.1	General	65
	6.2	Model Preparation	65
	6.3	Roll Decay Test	66
	6.4	Experimental Setup for Roll Decay Test	67
	6.5	Roll Decay Test Analysis	67
	6.6	Roll Decay Analysis Result	69
	6.7	Seakeeping Experiment	70
	6.8	Experimental Set-up for Regular and Irregular	
		Wave Test	71
	6.9	Scaling Law	72
	6.10	Data Signal Conditioning and Calibration	72

	6.11	Output of the Regular Wave Test	72
	6.12	Analysis of the Output of Regular Wave Test	76
	6.13	Output of the Irregular Wave Test	79
	6.14	Analysis of the Output of Irregular Wave Test	81
	6.15	Discussion about the Results from Experiment	83
	6.16	Concluding Remarks	84
7	FULI	L SCALE SEA TRIALS	85
	7.1	General	85
	7.2	Components of Full Scale Trial	86
		7.2.1 Measurement of Sea Wave	86
		7.2.2 Results from the Wave Buoy	87
		7.2.3 Measurement of Vessel Response	90
	7.3	Detail of the Measurement	92
	7.4	Results from the VMMS	93
	7.5	Analysis of the Results from Full Scale	
		Measurement	99
	7.6	Discussion of the Results of the Full Scale Trial	104
	7.7	Concluding Remarks	106
8	COM	IPARISON AND VALIDATION OF RESULTS	107
	8.1	General	107
	8.2	Comparison of Wave Spectra	107
	8.3	Comparison of the RAO	109
	8.4	Comparison of the Responses	111
	8.5	Validation of the RAO	113
	8.6	Discussion about the Comparison	115
	8.7	Concluding Remarks	116
9	DISC	CUSSION, CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORKS	117
	9.1	General	117
	9.2	Discussion	117
		9.2.1 Mathematical Model	118

	9.2.2	Model Experiment	118
	9.2.3	Full Scale Sea Trial	119
9.3	Concl	usion	119
9.4	Future	Works	120
	9.4.1	Simulation Program	121
	9.4.2	Hydrodynamic Coefficients	121
	9.4.3	Full Scale Sea Trials	122
9.5	Conclu	uding Remarks	122
REFERENCES			123
APPENDICES			
APPENDICES APPENDIX A			133
			133 140
APPENDIX A			
APPENDIX A APPENDIX B			140
APPENDIX A APPENDIX B APPENDIX C			140 147

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Mechanism to convert time domain to frequency domain	34
3.2	Time history of random wave	34
3.3	Different ship headings	35
4.1	Co-ordinate systems	40
5.1	Calculated non dimensional added mass and	
	damping coefficients	51
5.2	A35 and A53 as obtained by Seakeeper	52
5.3	B35 and B53 as obtained by Seakeeper	52
5.4	GZ curve for the vessel TRF 1010	53
5.5	Time history of wave at L_W = 85.32m, T_W = 7.39s,	
	H _W = 1.70m (Head Sea)	54
5.6	Time history of heave response at $L_W=85.32m$,	
	$T_W = 7.39s$, $H_W = 1.70m$ (Head Sea)	55
5.7	Time history of pitch response at $L_W=85.32m$,	
	$T_W = 7.39s$, $H_W = 1.70m$ (Head Sea)	55
5.8	Time history of wave response at $L_W=47.4m$,	
	$T_W=5.50s$, $H_W=0.948m$ (Following Sea)	55
5.9	Time history of heave response at $L_W=47.4m$,	
	$T_W=5.50s$, $H_W=0.948m$ (Following Sea)	56
5.10	Time history of Pitch response at $L_W=47.4m$,	
	$T_W=5.50s$, $H_W=0.948m$ (Following Sea)	56
5.11	Time history of wave at L_W = 47.4m, T_W = 5.50s,	
	H _W = 0.948m (Beam Sea)	56
5.12	Time history of roll response at $L_W=47.4m$,	

	$T_W = 5.50s$, $H_W = 0.948m$ (Beam Sea)	57
5.13	Heave RAO in head sea from simulation	58
5.14	Pitch RAO in head sea from simulation	58
5.15	Heave RAO in following sea from simulation	59
5.16	Pitch RAO in following sea from simulation	59
5.17	Roll RAO in beam sea from simulation	60
5.18	Time history of wave input to the simulation	61
5.19	Time history of heave response from simulation	61
5.20	Time history of roll response from simulation	61
5.21	Time history of pitch response from simulation	62
5.22	Heave spectra from simulation in irregular wave	63
5.23	Roll spectra from simulation in irregular wave	63
5.24	Pitch spectra from simulation in irregular wave	63
6.1	Sample of roll decay results	69
6.2	Wave result for L_W = 3.80m, H_W = 0.7599m,	
	$T_W = 1.55s$, $V_M = 0.6726m/s$ (Head Sea)	73
6.3	Heave result for L_W = 3.80m, H_W = 0.7599m,	
	$T_W = 1.55s$, $V_M = 0.6726m/s$ (Head Sea)	73
6.4	Pitch result for L_W = 3.80m, H_W = 0.7599m,	
	$T_W = 1.55s$, $V_M = 0.6726m/s$ (Head Sea)	74
6.5	Wave result for L_W = 6.132m, H_W = 0.06132m,	
	$T_W=1.98s$, $V_M=0.9180m/s$ (Following Sea)	74
6.6	Heave result for L_W = 6.132m, H_W = 0.06132m,	
	T_W = 1.98s, V_M = 0.9180m/s (Following Sea)	74
6.7	Pitch result for L_W = 6.132m, H_W = 0.06132m,	
	T_W = 1.98s, V_M = 0.9180m/s (Following Sea)	75
6.8	Wave results for L_W =2.23m, H_W = .0466m, T_W = 1.19s	
	V _M = 0.00 m/sec (Beam Sea)	75
6.9	Roll response for L_W =2.23m, H_W = .0466m, T_W = 1.19s	
	V _M = 0 m/sec (Beam Sea)	75
6.10	Determination of the roll angle	77
6.11	Heave RAO from head sea in regular wave	77
6.12	Pitch RAO from head sea in regular wave	78
6.13	Heave RAO from following sea in regular wave	78

xiv

6.14	Pitch RAO from following sea in regular wave	78
6.15:	Roll RAO from beam sea in regular wave	79
6.16	Time history of irregular wave	79
6.17	Time history of irregular heave	80
6.18	Time history of irregular Pitch	80
6.19	Time history of irregular Roll	80
6.20	Heave spectra in head sea from irregular experiment	81
6.21	Heave RAO in head sea from irregular experiment	81
6.22	Pitch spectra in head sea from irregular experiment	82
6.23	Pitch RAO in head sea from irregular experiment	82
6.24	Roll spectra in beam sea from irregular experiment	82
6.25	Roll RAO in beam sea from irregular experiment	83
7.1	Wave spectra during head sea trial	87
7.2	Wave spectra during following sea trial	88
7.3	Wave spectra during bow quartering sea trial	88
7.4	Wave spectra during beam sea trial	88
7.5	Wave spectra during stern quartering sea trial	89
7.6	Averaged wave spectra of the whole duration	89
7.7	Typical Installation of VMMS	90
7.8	Flow of data processing and analyzing	91
7.9	Front panel window of VMMS	91
7.10	Data processing window of VMMS	91
7.11	Data analysis window of VMMS	92
7.12	Planning for the full scale sea trial	92
7.13	Heave spectra in head sea trial	94
7.14	Heave spectra in following sea trial	94
7.15	Heave spectra in bow quartering sea trial	94
7.16	Heave spectra in beam sea trial	95
7.17	Heave spectra in stern quartering sea trial	95
7.18	Roll spectra in head sea trial	95
7.19	Roll spectra in following sea trial	96
7.20	Roll spectra in bow quartering sea trial	96
7.21	Roll spectra in beam sea trial	96
7.22	Roll spectra in stern quartering sea trial	97

7.23	Pitch spectra in head sea trial	97
7.24	Pitch spectra in following sea trial	97
7.25	Pitch spectra in bow quartering sea trial	98
7.26	Pitch spectra in beam sea trial	98
7.27	Pitch spectra in stern quartering sea trial	98
7.28	Heave RAO in head sea trial	99
7.29	Heave RAO in following sea trial	100
7.30	Heave RAO in bow quartering sea trial	100
7.31	Heave RAO in beam sea trial	100
7.32	Heave RAO in stern quartering sea trial	101
7.33	Roll RAO in head sea trial	101
7.34	Roll RAO in following sea trial	101
7.35	Roll RAO in bow quartering sea trial	102
7.36	Roll RAO in beam sea trial	102
7.37	Roll RAO in stern quartering sea trial	102
7.38	Pitch RAO in head sea trial	103
7.39	Pitch RAO in following sea trial	103
7.40	Pitch RAO in bow quartering sea trial	103
7.41	Pitch RAO in beam sea trial	104
7.42	Pitch RAO in stern quartering sea trial	104
8.1	The comparison of wave spectra	109
8.2	Comparison of heave RAO	110
8.3	Comparison of pitch RAO	110
8.4	Comparison of roll RAO	111
8.5	Comparison of heave response	112
8.6	Comparison of pitch response	112
8.7	Comparison of roll response	113
A.1	Co-ordinate System	133
D.1	Profile (Starboard)	157
D.2	Profile (Port)	157
D.3	Lines plan of profile	158
D.4	Lines plan of half breadth	158
D.5	Body plan of the vessel TRF 1010	159
E.1	Perspective view of wave buoy	161

E.2	Slice view of the wave buoy	162
E.3	Wave buoy arrangement	162
E.4	Front view and main dimension of wave buoy	163
F.1	Random sea surface	164
F.2	Wave buoy floating at random sea	164
F.3	Full scale photograph of the boat TRF1010	165
F.4	Preparation for the full scale trial	165
F.5	Operation of the VMMS	166
F.6	Instrumentation of VMMS	166
F.7	Model taking for the test	167
F.8	Seakeeping experiment for head sea	167
F.9	Preparation of seakeeping experiment	167

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The typical geometry of fishing vessel	6
2.2	Types of fishing boats in Malaysia	7
2.3	Sea-state codes	20
2.4	Typical personnel performance of warships	27
6.1	Summary of results for roll decay test of TRF1010	69
7.1	H_{S} and T_{Z} from the wave spectral moment	89
7.2	Location and other measurement detail	93
8.1	The RMS values and H _S calculated from the spectra	109
8.2	The RMS values of the motion	113
8.3	Validation of the natural frequency with theoretical	115
D.1	Principal particulars of TRF1010 (Full Scale)	159
D.2	Bilge keel specification	159
D.3	Principal particulars of TRF1010 (Model)	160
D.4	Sample test protocol	160
E.1	Main Particulars of the wave buoy	161

NOMENCLATURES

Vessel and Environmental Parameters

LOA - Length Overall in meter

L_{BP} - Length between perpendiculars in meter

L_{WL} - Length of waterline in meter

B - Breadth in meter

D - Depth in meter

T - Draught in meter

C_B - Block coefficient

C_{WP} - Waterplane area coefficients

C_M - Midship area coefficients

KG - Vertical distance of the centre of gravity from the keel

GM_T - Transverse Metacentric height

V_S - Forward speed of the vessel in m/sec

 Δ - Vessel displacement in Tonne

κ - Wave number

 T_{W} - Wave period in seconds

 L_{W} - Wave length in meter

V_W - Wave celerity

 H_S , $H_{1/3}$ - Significant wave height in meter

 ζ - Distance from still water free surface

 ζ_w - Wave profile

D_W - Water depth

T_Z - Average zero crossing periods in seconds

T_R - Natural roll period

T_E - Encounter Period

T_m - Modal Period in seconds

 ω - Wave frequency in rad/sec

 $S_R(\omega_e)$ - Spectral density for response in m².s/rad

 $S_{\zeta}(\omega_e)$ - Encounter wave spectral density in m².s/rad

 $\omega_{\rm s}$ - Mean frequency

RMS - Root mean square value

 K_{yy} - Radius of gyration about y axis

A_{WP} - Waterplane area coefficient

 I'_{xx} - Moment of inertia about x axis

 H_{ω} - Wave height in meter

 ξ - Wave elevation in meter

RAO - Response Amplitude Operator

 $S_{\zeta}(\omega)$ - Wave spectral density in m².s/rad

 $S_z(\omega)$ - Spectral density for heave motion m².s/rad

 $S_{\phi}(\omega)$ - Spectral density for roll motion in deg².s/rad

 $S_{\theta}(\omega)$ - Spectral density for pitch motion in deg².s/rad

 ω_e - Encounter frequency in rad/sec

γ - Peak enhancement factor

 Ω - Peak frequency in the wave spectra

 α - Philips constant

 K_{xx} - Radius of gyration about x axis in m

 I_{v} - Virtual mass moment of inertia in tonnes.m²

 ω_n - Natural frequency in rad/sec

 ω_d - Damped frequency in rad/sec

 T_d - Damped period in sec

 ω_p - Peak frequency in rad/sec

 ω_z - Zero crossing frequency in rad/sec

 ω_{θ} - Natural period for pitching in rad/sec

 ω_{ϕ} - Natural period for rolling in rad/sec

Co-ordinate Systems

Gxyz - Body co-ordinate system about centre of gravity

 $O_W \xi \eta \zeta$ - Wave co-ordinate system about still water surface amidships

 ϕ, θ, ψ - Euler angles (roll, pitch, and yaw respectively)

 $\phi_{
m max}$ - Roll response

 ϕ_3 - Roll amplitude at time t_3

 ϕ_1 - Roll amplitude at time t_1

 κ - Non dimensional damping factor

 Λ - Tuning factor

 μ_{ϕ} - Magnification factor

 γ - Damping ratio

 a_n - Added mass

Equations of Motion

m - Mass of body

 I_x , I_y , I_z - Principal mass moments of inertia about the x, y and z

axes respectively

u, v, w - Linear velocities along the respective x, y and z axes

p, q, r - Angular velocities along the respective x, y and z axes

 F_x , F_y , F_z - Force acting in x, y and z direction respectively

K, M, N - Moment acting about x, y, z axes respectively

Forces and Moments

p - Pressure acting on the wetted surface

ρ - Density of water

g - Gravitational acceleration

S - Wetted surface area of vessel

 ∇ - Under water volume of vessel

 ω - Frequency of excitation

n_i - Outward unit normal vector in the jth mode of motion

φ - Time dependent velocity potential

 $\phi_{\rm I}$ - Incident wave potential

 ϕ_D - Diffracted wave potential

φRj - Generated wave potential due to motions of the body in the jth

direction

Hydrodynamic Coefficients

m_j - Mass or mass moment of inertia of body in the j^{th} direction (j = 1,2,...,6)

A_{jj} - Hydrodynamic reaction in phase with acceleration (added mass) in the jth direction (j = 1, 2, ..., 6)

 B_{jj} - Hydrodynamic reaction in phase with velocity (damping) in the jth direction (j = 1,2,...,6)

 C_{jj} - Hydrostatic stiffness of body in the jth direction (j = 1,2,...,6)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Transformation Matrix	128
В	Treatment of Forces and Moments	135
C	Input-Output of Simulation Program	142
D	Boat and Model	152
E	Wave Buoy	156
F	Full Scale and Experiment	159

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Fishing vessel is one of the traditional vessels in Malaysia as well as all over the world. A large number of the population depends on these fishing vessels for catching fish to fulfil their livelihood. On the other hand these fishing vessels are providing the people of all over the world with essential nutrition to survive. Most of their operational life they are more likely to operate in deep sea and to sustain harsh weather. Sometimes it is very difficult for them to overcome such weather. Such harsh weather can cause excessive motions, which can degrade the performance; the operation of crew on board, even it can be the cause for the capsizing of the vessel.

Study has been showed that most of the fishing vessels in Malaysia are built traditionally. Except in some modern shipyards in Malaysia, master-builders normally use their intuitive experience and directly implement their designs into the building process without the use of plans or sophisticated calculations (Yaakob, O., 1998). Although the method is simple, quick and tested, since it is based on age-old tradition of trial and error. As a consequence these fishing boats may experience critical situation in severe weather condition.

In the past seakeeping analysis was ignored in most of the design of fishing boats in Malaysia because of the complexity and tediousness of such analysis. Because of neglecting these analysis several accidents occurred in the past. For instance, February 23, 1991, in which a fishing boat capsized in rough seas while ferrying about 20 tourists back from Pulau Kepas was one of the most obvious case.

The frequently happened sea accidents had led to the consideration of analyzing the motion and improving safety at sea and many actions have been taken to remain the sea worthiness of ships at sea. Among them seakeeping analysis was one of the practices to ensure that a ship would always safe in sailing. Nowadays seakeeping analysis has become more and more common practice in the ship design process.

The seakeeping is critical for small vessel like fishing vessel. This is due to her size and mission. The small vessel tends to experience excessive motion than others. The main reason is her underwater hull shape. Throughout this period, numerous methods have been incorporated to evaluate the ship seakeeping. Nowadays naval architect has some numerical tools to study the seakeeping behaviour of a ship design, but these tools have to be used carefully, as most of them are limited due to the theoretical assumptions made (Arribas, P. and Fernandez, C., 2005).

1.2 Research Objective

The objectives of the present research are described as follows:

- To choose the closest theoretical wave spectra for Malaysian water by comparing the wave spectra obtained from wave buoy and theoretical calculation.
- ii. To predict the motion of the vessel based on the local sea environment
- iii. To compare the response spectra obtained from full scale measurement spectra by experiment and simulation and vice versa.
- iv. To assess the seakeeping performance based on RMS motion

1.3 Scope of Research

The scope of research in the field of seakeeping is very wide. Only the motion related seakeeping will be studied here in this research.

- i. Through this research closest theoretical wave spectra can be chosen for the purpose of floating structure design in Malaysia.
- ii. The simulation program can be applied to find the response amplitude operator (RAO) of the vessel.
- iii. The experimental results can be used to verify the output of the simulation program.
- iv. Full scale test results can provide the real motion of the vessel in waves.
- v. The combined results from the three different methods can be applied to obtain more realistic behaviour of the vessel in waves.

1.4 Research Outline

This study starts with the critical review of the importance of the study of the prediction of seakeeping for fishing vessel. Then it concentrates on the problem of an existing Malaysian fishing vessel. Then it describes the way to find out the procedure to predict seakeeping performance of a vessel. There are several methods to find out the seakeeping performance of fishing vessel. Here three different approaches have been adopted to find the seakeeping behavior of fishing vessel.

In this research study is carried out to find the behaviour of the vessel in Malaysian water. The vessel is chosen for analysis is small fishing vessel "TRF1010" which is operating in the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia. This vessel was used for the sea trial. The result obtained from the trial was compared to the model testing and simulation output. The detail of full-scale measurement is provided in Chapter 7. The full-scale trial also describes the way to choose suitable theoretical wave spectra for local sea condition.

The model testing was carried out to find the Response Amplitude Operator (RAO) of the vessel for different ship heading and sea conditions. These RAOs is used to obtain the motion response of the vessel in different ship heading. The roll decay tests were also conducted to obtain the natural rolling period. From the roll decay test the KG of the vessel was also obtained. This determined KG is used to validate the KG of the full scale vessel for a certain loading condition.

In the simulation part, a six-degrees-of-freedom mathematical model is adopted to the simulation program. The main effort of this model is based on the accurate computation in time domain of the motion of the vessel. Whilst, the dynamic term in the equation of motion is estimated by using the frequency dependent coefficient, which can be obtained through the published literatures. Finally the response amplitude operator can be obtained by the computed motion for different wave condition.

Finally the computed RAO is compared to the RAO obtained from sea trial and model experiment. From the RAO obtained from simulation and experiment the motion response is obtained through the principle of superposition and they were compared to the full scale motion which was measured by vessel motion monitoring system (VMMS).