# PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF COATED CERAMIC CUTTING TOOLS WITH T-LAND EDGE PREPARATION WHEN TURNING HARDENED TOOL STEEL

## WAN EMRI WAN ABDUL RAHAMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

To my beloved mother and father

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, I would like to thank Allah SWT for giving me strength and guidance to complete this project. I would like to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor Assoc Prof Dr Noordin Mohd Yusof for his advice and guidance in completing this project.

I would like to thank all staff in the production and materials science laboratory especially to Mr. Aidid and Mr Jefri who have provided with assistance on various occasions. Assistance given by my fellow postgraduate collegues Mr. Denni Kurniawan and Mr. Affandi Mohd Zainal are also acknowledged.

I am indebted to Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) for granting me the scholarship to pursue my study at the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Finally, I would like to extend my heartful thank you to all my lecturers in the Department of Manufacturing and Industrial Engineering of the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, friends and family members who have given me moral support during my studies.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Hard turning is a technology that can be used in the finishing operations for hardened steel (45 HRC and above). The development ceramic and CBN cutting tool has made hard turning possible. This project was undertaken to investigate the performance of KY 4400 ceramic cutting tool with T-land edge preparation when turning XW 42 grade hardened steel (54-55 HRC) under various cutting speeds: 115, 145 and 183 m/min, and various feed rates: 0.098, 0.125 and 0.16mm/rev. Information on tool life, material removal rate and surface roughness were obtained, evaluated and compared with wiper and conventional inserts. The tool life and material removal rate of T-land is higher compared to wiper and conventional inserts. However, in terms of surface roughness, wiper inserts are able to generate better surface finish compared to T-land and conventional inserts. The tool failure mode and wear mechanism were also investigated. The wear mechanisms responsible for the wear formation were abrasion and diffusion. Flank wear and crater wear were the main wear observed during the turning of XW 42 grade hardened steel 54-55 HRC using KY 4400 ceramic cutting tool. At the cutting speed of 183 m/min and feed rate of 0.16 mm/rev catastrophic failure occurred. At other cutting conditions investigated, the failure mode was due to flank wear. The tool life and surface roughness models were developed using 3 level factorial design. Analysis done showed that both mathematical models for tool life and surface roughness can be used to predict the machining response with the limits of cutting conditions investigated.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Larik keras merupakan teknologi yang boleh digunakan untuk pemesinan akhir keluli keras ( kekerasan 45 HRC dan keatas). Penghasilan mata alat seramik dan Boron Nitrida Kiub telah membolehkan proses larik keras dijalankan. Projek ini dilakukan untuk mengkaji prestasi mata alat seramik KY 4400 di dalam proses larik keras keatas keluli keras gred XW 42 yang mempunyai kekerasan 54-55 HRC menggunakan kelajuan pemotongan berbeza: 115, 145 dan 183 m/min dan kadar uluran berbeza: 0.098, 0.125 dan 0.16 mm/rev. Maklumat mengenai jangka hayat mata alat, produkiviti dan kualiti permukaan benda kerja diambil, dianalisa dan perbandingan dibuat dengan mata alat seramik wiper dan konvensional. Mata alat T-land mempunyai jangka hayat dan produktiviti yang lebih tinggi dibandingkan dengan mata alat konvensional dan wiper. Mata alat wiper pula berupaya menghasilkan kualiti permukaan benda kerja yang lebih baik dari mata alat T-land dan konvensional. Jenis jenis kehausan mata alat serta mekanisma kehausan mata alat turut dikaji. Didalam kajian ini abrasion dan diffusion adalah punca kehausan mata alat. Flank wear dan crater wear adalah jenis kehausan yang didapati semasa pemotongan keluli keras gred 54-55 HRC menggunakan mata alat seramik KY 4400. Pemotongan yang dilakukan pada kelajuan 183 m/min dan kadar uluran 0.16 mm/rev telah menyebabkan catastrophic failure berlaku. Pada parameter

pemotongan yang lain, *flank wear* adalah penyebab kehausan mata alat. Model jangka hayat dan kualiti permukaan benda kerja dijana menggunakan 3 level factorial design. Analisa yang dilakukan telah mengesahkan yang kedua dua matematik model sah digunakan untuk meramal *machining response* dibawah parameter pemotongan tertentu.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATIONS	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	4

	1.4 Scope	4
	1.5 Significance of study	5
	1.6 Organization of the thesis	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Metal cutting and turning	6
	2.1.1 Forces in turning operations	8
	2.1.2 Chip Formation	9
	2.1.2.1 Chip formation during hard t	11
	turning	
	2.1.3 Cutting Temperature and Heat	13
	Generation	
	2.1.3.1 Cutting temperature and heat	14
	generation in hard turning	
	2.1.4 Surface roughness	15
	2.1.4.1 Surface roughness in hard	16
	turning	
	2.2 Cutting tool	18
	2.2.1 Single point cutting tool	19
	2.2.2 Types of cutting tool	21
	2.2.3 Cutting tool for hard turning hardened	22
	steel	
	2.2.3.1 Cubic Boron Nitride	23
	2.2.3.2 Ceramic cutting tool	24
	2.2.4 Coated tools	26
	2.2.4.1 Chemical vapour deposition	27
	2.2.4.2 Physical vapour deposition	27
	2.2.4.3 Performance of coated tools	28
	2.3 Tool wear mechanism	28

	2.3.1 Adhesive wear	29
	2.3.2 Abrasion	29
	2.3.3 Diffusion	30
	2.3.4 Plastic deformation	30
	2.4 Tool failure modes and tool life	30
	2.4.1 Tool life criteria	35
	2.4.2 Tool wear mechanism and failure mode in	35
	hard turning	•
	2.5 Workpiece material	38
	2.6 Response surface methodology	39
	2.6.1 The response function	40
	2.6.2 Application of RSM in machining	42
	operation	
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	43
3		
3	3.1 Introduction	43
3	<ul><li>3.1 Introduction</li><li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li></ul>	43 43
3	<ul><li>3.1 Introduction</li><li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li><li>3.3 Workpiece material</li></ul>	43 43 46
3	<ul><li>3.1 Introduction</li><li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li><li>3.3 Workpiece material</li><li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li></ul>	43 43 46 47
3	<ul><li>3.1 Introduction</li><li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li><li>3.3 Workpiece material</li><li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li><li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li></ul>	43 43 46 47 48
3	<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li> <li>3.3 Workpiece material</li> <li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li> <li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li> <li>3.6 Tool life criterion</li> </ul>	43 43 46 47 48 49
3	<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li> <li>3.3 Workpiece material</li> <li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li> <li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li> <li>3.6 Tool life criterion</li> <li>3.7 Data recording</li> </ul>	43 43 46 47 48 49
3	<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li> <li>3.3 Workpiece material</li> <li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li> <li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li> <li>3.6 Tool life criterion</li> <li>3.7 Data recording</li> <li>3.7.1 Tool wear measurement</li> </ul>	43 43 46 47 48 49 49
3	<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li> <li>3.3 Workpiece material</li> <li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li> <li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li> <li>3.6 Tool life criterion</li> <li>3.7 Data recording <ul> <li>3.7.1 Tool wear measurement</li> <li>3.7.2 Surface roughness measurement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	43 43 46 47 48 49 49 50
3	<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li> <li>3.3 Workpiece material</li> <li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li> <li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li> <li>3.6 Tool life criterion</li> <li>3.7 Data recording <ul> <li>3.7.1 Tool wear measurement</li> <li>3.7.2 Surface roughness measurement</li> <li>3.7.3 Worn insert analysis</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	43 43 46 47 48 49 49 50 50
3	<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li> <li>3.3 Workpiece material</li> <li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li> <li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li> <li>3.6 Tool life criterion</li> <li>3.7 Data recording <ul> <li>3.7.1 Tool wear measurement</li> <li>3.7.2 Surface roughness measurement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	43 43 46 47 48 49 49 50
3	<ul> <li>3.1 Introduction</li> <li>3.2 Equipments for experiment</li> <li>3.3 Workpiece material</li> <li>3.4 Cutting tool material</li> <li>3.5 Cutting conditions</li> <li>3.6 Tool life criterion</li> <li>3.7 Data recording <ul> <li>3.7.1 Tool wear measurement</li> <li>3.7.2 Surface roughness measurement</li> <li>3.7.3 Worn insert analysis</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	43 43 46 47 48 49 49 50 50

	4.1 Respond surface methodology	52
	4.2 Experiment plan	54
5	EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	55
	5.1 Introduction	55
	5.2 Tool life	55
	5.2.1 General pattern of tool wear	56
	5.2.2 Analysis of KY 4400 tool life	59
	5.2.3 Comparison of tool life between T-land,	64
	conventional and wiper insert	
	5.3 Material removal rate	66
	5.3.1 Comparison of material removal rate	68
	between T-land, conventional and wiper	
	insert	
	5.4 Surface roughness	70
	5.4.1 Comparison of surface roughness	75
	between T-land, conventional and wiper	
	insert	
	5.5 Tool failure modes and wear mechanism	77
	5.5.1 Flank wear analysis	80
	5.5.2 Crater wear analysis	83
	5.5.3 Fracture	86
6	RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY	88
	6.1 Introduction	88
	6.2 Models fitting	89

	6.3 Analys	sis of tool life model	90
	6.3.1	Mathematical and graphical form for tool	92
		life model	
	6.4 Compa	arison of experiment tool life data and	94
	predicted		
	6.5 Analy	sis of surface roughness model	96
	6.5.1	Mathematical and graphical form for	98
		surface roughness model	
	6.6 Comp	arison between experimental surface	100
	roughness	data and predicted data	
	6.7 Confi	rmation run	102
7	CONCLU	USIONS	103
	7.1 Introd	uction	103
	7.2 Effect	of cutting speed on tool life, surface	103
	roughness	and volume of material removed	
	7.3 Compa	arison of T-land, wiper and conventional	104
	inserts		
	7.4 Tool fa	ailure mode and wear mechanism	104
	7.5 Mathe	matical Modelling	105
	7.6 Recom	nmendations	106
REFERENCES			107

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	TITLE	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Properties of different types of ceramics	26
2.2	Summary of findings on tool wear and failure	37
	mode in hard turning	
3.1	Composition of alloying element in XW 42 steel	47
3.2	Cutting condition for the experiment	48
4.1	Design matrix of the experiment	54
5.1	Tool life, surface roughness and volume material	58
	removed when turning hardened steel 54-55 HRC at	
	different cutting speed and feed rate	
5.2	Tool life for T-land, conventional and wiper insert	64
5.3	Material removal rate for T-land, conventional and wiper	69
	insert	
5.4	Surface roughness value for T-land, conventional	75
	and wiper insert	
6.1	Sequential model sum of squares for tool life model	89
6.2	Sequential model sum of squares for surface roughness	89
6.3	ANOVA table for tool life model	90
6.4	Comparison of experimental and predicted data on tool	94

	life	
6.5	ANOVA for surface roughness model	96
6.6	Predicted and experiment value of surface roughness	100
6.7	Results of confirmation run	102

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Types of cutting (a) orthogonal and (b) oblique cutting	7
2.2	Turning process involve cutting and feed motion	7
2.3	Forces acting during turning	8
2.4	Formation of chip during metal cutting	9
2.5	Continuous chip formation during machining	10
2.6	Continuous chips with BUE formation during machining	10
2.7	Discontinuous chip formation during machining	11
2.8	Formation of chip of EN 24 steel at different hardness	12
2.9	Saw tooth chips when machining AISI 4340 steel of	13
	hardness of a) 45 HRC b) 50 HRC c) 55 HRC	
	and d) 60 HRC	
2.10	Source of heat generation during machining	14
2.11	Cutting temperature variation with the hardness of AISI	15
	4340	
2.12	Effect of feed and edge preparation on shearing and	17
	ploughing force	
2.13	Effect of feed rate and edge preparation on surface	17
	roughness (R <sub>a</sub> ). Workpiece hardness 47 HRC	
	AISI 51200 steel	
2.14	Turning tool geometry	20
2.15	Tool designation for single point cutting tool	20

2.16	Average tool life for 3 types of cutting using high and	24
	low CBN content	
2.17	Effect of coated CBN tool on roughness and external	24
	tangential residual stress	
2.18	Wear, thermal shock, cracking and edge chipping for	31
2.19	cutting tool Crater and flank wear on turning tool	33
2.20	Effect of cutting speed on progress of flank wear	34
2.21	Response surface showing relationship between yield of	40
	chemical process and process variables which are time	
	and temperature	
3.1	MAHO GR 200E 2-axis CNC lathe	44
3.2	Carl Zeiss Stemi 2000-c optical microscope	44
3.3	Taylor Hobson Surtronic 3+ Portable surface	45
	profilometer	
3.4	Scanning electron microscope	45
3.5	Design and analysis of experiment software	46
3.6	MCLNL1616H12 tool holder	48
4.1	Arrangement of 3 <sup>2</sup> full factorial designs	54
5.1	Tool wear vs. cutting time when turning hardened steel	57
	of hardness 54-55 HRC using KY 4400 tool	
5.2	Effect of cutting speed on tool life when turning	59
	hardened steel 54-55 HRC using KY 4400 cutting tool	
5.3	Effect of feed rate on tool life when turning hardened	60
	steel 54-55 HRC using KY 4400 cutting tool	
5.4	Progress of flank wear (VBc) for cutting speed	61
	115m/min and feed rate 0.098 mm/rev	
5.5	Progress of flank wear (VBc) for cutting speed	62
	145 m/min and feed rate 0.125 mm/rev	
5.6	Progress of flank wear (VBc) for cutting	63
	speed 183 m/min and feed rate 0.16 mm/rev	
5.7	Tool life for T-land, conventional and wiper insert	65

5.8	Cutting force is directed to the body insert when	65
	machining is done using T-land edge preparation	
5.9	Effect of cutting speed on volume material removed	67
5.10	Effect of feed rate on volume material removed	68
5.11	Volume material removed for T-land, conventional and	69
	wiper insert	
5.12	Surface roughness propagation chart when turning	73
	hardened steel 54-55 HRC using KY 4400 cutting tool	
5.13	Effect of cutting speed on surface roughness when	74
	turning hardened steel 54-55 HRC using KY 4400	
	cutting tool	
5.14	Effect of feed rate on surface roughness when	74
	turning hardened steel 54-55 HRC using KY 4400	
	cutting tool	
5.15	Surface roughness values for T-land, conventional and	76
	wiper insert	
5.16	Comparison surface roughness generate by wiper and	76
	conventional insert	
5.17	Images of major flank, minor flank and rake of cutting	77
	tool at different cutting condition	
5.18	SEM image of flank wear at cutting speed of 115 m/min	81
	and feed rate 0.098 mm/rev after cutting 21 min. VBc 0.2	
	mm	
5.19	EDAX analysis for Figure 5.18	81
5.20	SEM image of flank wear at cutting speed of	82
	183 m/min and feed rate 0.16 mm/rev after cutting 4 min	
5.21	EDAX analysis for Figure 5.20	82
5.22	SEM image of crater wear at cutting speed of 115 m/min	84
	feed rate $0.098 \text{ mm/rev}$ after cutting 21 min. $VBc = 0.2$	
	mm	
5.23	EDAX analysis of crater wear in Figure 5.22	84

5.24	SEM image of flank area at cutting speed of 183 m/min	85
	and feed rate of 0.16 mm/rev	
5.25	EDAX analysis of image in Figure 5.24	85
5.26	Grooves appear on the rake face of the cutting tool at	86
	cutting speed 183 m/min and feed rate of 0.16 mm/rev	
5.27	Chipping at crater area of cutting tool at cutting speed	87
	183 m/min and feed rate of 0.16 mm/rev after cutting 4	
	min.	
6.1	Normal probabilty plot of residuals for tool life data	91
6.2	Plot of residual versus predicted response for tool life	91
	data	
6.3	3D surface plot for tool life model	93
6.4	Contour plot for tool life model	93
6.5	Predicted and experimental tool life at different	95
	cutting speed	
6.6	Predicted and experimental value of tool life	95
	at different feed rate	
6.7	Normal probability plot of residuals for surface	97
	roughness model	
6.8	Plot of residual and prediced response for surface	97
	roughness model	
6.9	3D surface plot for surface roughness model	99
6.10	Contour plot for surface roughness model	99
6.11	Experimental and predicted value of	101
	surface roughness at different cutting speed	
6.12	Experimental and predicted value of surface roughness	101
	at different feed rate	

#### LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

VB - Flank wear

VC or VBc - Secondary groove wear

VN or  $VB_N$  - Primary groove

HRC - Hardness RockwellCBN - Cubic Boron Nitride

RSM - Response Surface Methodology

AISI - American Iron and Steel Institute

BUE - Built up edge

ISO - International Organization for Standardization

et al. - and others

KB - Crater width

KI - Crater Index

KM - Crater center distance

KT - Depth of crater

CVD - Chemical vapour deposition
PVD - Physical vapour deposition

PCBN - Polycrystalline cubic boron nitride

PCD - Polycrystalline diamond

 $\phi$  - Shear angle

EDAX - Energy dispersive analysis by X ray Spectroscopy

TiN - Titanium Nitride

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Machining is a material removal process that is used to produce a required shape from a workpiece material blank. Hard turning is a method that can be used to remove unwanted material from hardened steel in order to get its required shape. Precised part can be produced by hard turning without having the workpiece to undergo secondary process such as grinding and lapping. The application of hard turning is further enhanced with the use of new materials for making cutting tools such as cubic boron nitride (CBN) and ceramics [1]. As a result of this, more research is being done on the evaluation of these cutting tools made from different material for use in hard turning process.

#### 1.1.Background

Hardened steel is widely used in manufacturing components such as gear, bearing, tools and die [1, 2]. Its properties of high wear resistance, good corrosion resistance and high surface finish have resulted in this material to be used in producing the components mentioned. Grinding has traditionally being used to finish machine hardened steel. The technique has some weaknesses: it is time consuming and only limited range of geometries can be produced.

The development of advanced cutting tool materials has led to the improvement in the cutting process of hardened steel. CBN and ceramic cutting tools can be used for turning process hardened steel. The process of turning hardened steel of 45 HRC and above is known as hard turning.

Hard turning has several advantages over grinding. The advantages of hard turning are [1]:

- The ability to produce complex geometry in one set up;
- Quality of surface finish produced by hard turning is equivalent to that obtained by grinding;
- Machining can be done without coolant and therefore the process is environmentally friendly;
- The cutting process requires less power and
- The cost of hard turning is cheaper

CBN and ceramic are widely being used in hard turning. Liu *et al.* [3] has conducted experiment on hard turning of bearing steel using PCBN tool BN 500. From the experiment conducted, Liu found that PCBN tool wears at high cutting speed due to the increase in temperature during machining. This resulted in an increased wear rate of the tool because of the heat generated during machining at high speed. At high speed machining, the increase in temperature was due to more heat generated as a result of high strain rate that occur at shear zone.

Luo *et al.* [4] on the other hand made comparison between CBN tool (TiC and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> bond), ceramic tool (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiC), and carbide tool P10 when turning hardened steel AISI 4340 ranging from 35 to 55 HRC. From the experiment conducted, it was found that carbide P10 tool was not suitable for cutting steel with high hardness because of the occurrence of rapid wear or fracture as a result of high force and cutting temperature. The main wear mechanism when machining AISI 4340 steel using CBN tool was abrasion while adhesion and abrasion were the wear

mechanisms when ceramic tool was used during the experiment. The wear rate of ceramic and CBN cutting tools differs for the different hardness range of hardened steel.

Thiele *et al.* [5] conducted the study on the effect of hone radius when machining AISI 51200 steel bar of hardness 45, 52 and 60 HRC using CBN tool. The surface roughness increased when higher nose radius was used when turning hardened steel. Another important finding was that the cutting geometry influenced the axial and radial cutting force.

The response surface methodology (RSM) is a method of developing machinability models. Liu *et al.* [3] has used RSM to study the effect of depth of cut, feed rate and cutting speed when hard turning hardened bearing steel using PCBN tool.

#### 1.2.Problem statement

Ceramic is being used as the material for cutting tool in hard turning. This is due to its ability to remove material at high rate, long tool life and its suitability for machining hard materials.

Most of the investigation done on hard turning was on hardened steel between 40-50 HRC and 60 HRC using ceramic cutting tool. However, the studies on hardened steel 54-55 HRC using ceramic cutting tool with T land edge preparation are lacking. There have been studies on conventional and wiper insert on hardened steel 54-55 HRC. Since there are applications of hardened steel 54-55 HRC in the industry, this study will evaluate the performance of a ceramic cutting tool with T land edge preparation and its performance will be compared with conventional and

wiper insert. This study will also attempt to apply experimental design technique to develop mathematical model for tool life and surface roughness when hard turning KY 4400 cutting tool on XW42 tool steel of hardness 54-55 HRC.

#### 1.3.Objectives

The aim of this work is to evaluate the performance of ceramic cutting tool KY 4400 when turning XW42 grade steel with hardness of 54-55 HRC. The objectives of this project are:

- To evaluate the performance of the ceramic cutting tool with T land edge preparation in terms of tool life, material removal rate, tool wear mechanism and surface roughness when hard turning is done at different speed and feed rate
- 2) To develop empirical machinability model for tool life and surface roughness by using Experimental Design Techniques.

#### 1.4.Scope

This project is carried out within the following scopes which are:

- 1) Experiment was done using KY 4400 cutting tool
- 2) XW42 tool steel of hardness of 54-55 HRC was used as workpiece material for this project.
- 3) Analysis will be on tool life, material removal rate, tool wear mechanism and surface roughness to the machined workpiece.
- 4) Performance comparison of the tools with various edge preparations will be made.

### 1.5. Significance of the study

This study is expected to provide the following outcomes:

- 1) Better understanding of ceramic cutting tools KY 4400 in terms of its performance and hard turning application
- 2) Able to evaluate the effectiveness of turning using KY 4400 for grade XW 42 steel.

## 1.6 Organization of thesis

This report is divided into several chapters. Chapter 1 will provide introduction and objectives of the project. Literature review on hard turning and discussion is presented in Chapter 2. Experimental techniques are discussed in Chapter 3 while Chapter 4 will discuss on design of experiment. Chapter 5 is reserved for result and analysis of experiment. The development of mathematical model for predicting tool life and surface roughness is presented in Chapter 6. Finally, conclusion and recommendations are presented in Chapter 7.