DETERMINATION OF SOIL EROSION PARAMETERS FOR MALAYSIAN CONDITIONS USING REMOTE SENSING AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM APPROACH

NORSAHIDA BINTI SAID

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DETERMINATION OF SOIL EROSION PARAMETERS FOR MALAYSIAN CONDITIONS USING REMOTE SENSING AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM APPROACH

NORSAHIDA BINTI SAID

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Remote Sensing)

Faculty of Geoinformation Science and Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2008

ABSTRACT

The use of Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) for predicting an average annual soil loss in any area is influenced by the characteristics of the environmental setting of that specific area. In the previous studies that have been carried out in Malaysia, the parameters used were based on various studies in many other areas, and this affected the accuracy of the result. This study is carried out in Pasoh Forest Reserve which covers an area of 8,100 km² located in Pahang, Negeri Sembilan and Selangor. The elevation of the study area range from 40 meters to 1760 meters. Permanent crop constitutes the largest land cover of the study area (46 %), followed by forest (45%), cropland (5%), and settlement and non-agriculture lands (4%). The accuracy of the results was tested using regression analysis and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). Notably, the results of this study shows strong correlation between factors that were generate and the RMSE values are smaller. It is noted that crop management (C,) slope length and steepness (LS) and soil erodibility (K) factors that have been applied for USLE model in this study gave better results compared to other previous studies using inapplicable environmental characteristics. Estimation of soil loss in the year 1995 showed an average of 7.97 t/h/yr and the average soil loss for the year 2003 was 6.83 t/h/yr, a decline of 15 percent. Secondary forest, oil palm, sundry non tree cultivation and rubber have contributed to the highest amount of soil loss for both years. Error estimation for the year 1995 shows that annual rate of soil loss is 18.93 ± 2.37 t/yr compared to 16.59 ± 1.13 t/yr for the year 2003, apart from experiencing loss of soil surface ranging from 4 mm to 8 mm in a period of 8 years. Thus, the approach used in this study is not only suitable for Malaysia, but can also be applied to any other country with similar environmental characteristics.

ABSTRAK

Penggunaan Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) bagi menganggar purata kehilangan tanah tahunan, dipengaruhi oleh ciri-ciri persekitaran sesuatu kawasan. Dalam kajian yang dijalankan di Malaysia sebelum ini, parameter yang digunakan adalah berdasarkan kajian-kajian yang dijalankan di dalam mahupun luar negara; sekaligus mempengaruhi ketepatan hasil yang diperolehi. Kawasan kajian yang terlibat adalah di sekitar Hutan Simpan Pasoh seluas 8,100 km² yang terletak antara Pahang, Negeri Sembilan dan Selangor. Topografi berbukit bukau dan ketinggian di kawasan kajian adalah antara 40 meter hingga 1760 meter. Tumbuhan kekal merupakan litupan tanah terbesar (46%), diikuti oleh hutan (45%), tanaman tidak kekal (5 %), pembangunan dan bukan aktiviti pertanian (4 %). Analisis regrasi dan Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) dilakukan untuk menguji ketepatan keputusan yang diperolehi. Hasil menunjukan faktor yang dijana melalui kajian ini memberikan perhubungan yang lebih kuat serta nilai RMSE yang lebih rendah. Penjanaan faktor pengurusan tanaman (C), kecuraman dan panjang cerun (LS) dan erodibiliti tanah (K) pada model USLE dalam kajian ini memberikan hasil lebih tepat berbanding kajian sebelumnya yang mengunakan ciri persekitaran yang kurang sesuai dipraktikkan di Malaysia. Hasil menunjukan, kawasan kajian mengalami kehilangan tanah 7.97 t/h/yr pada tahun 1995, berbanding tahun 2003 (6.83 t/h/yr) iaitu penurunan sebanyak 15 peratus. Hutan sekunder, kelapa sawit, tanaman pokok bukan baka dan getah adalah gunatanah utama yang menyumbang kepada kehilangan tanah pada kedua-dua tahun. Anggaran ralat tahun 1995 adalah 18.93 \pm 2.37 t/yr berbanding tahun 2003 (16.59 \pm 1.13 t/yr), selain mengalami kehilangan tanah permukaan 4 milimeter (mm) hingga 8 mm dalam jangka masa 8 tahun. Oleh itu, pendekatan yang digunakan dalam kajian ini bukan sahaja sesuai untuk Malaysia, malah sesuai digunapakai di negara-negara lain yang mempunyai ciri-ciri persekitaran yang sama seperti Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE

CHAPTER

	DEC	ii	
	DED	iii	
	ACK	iv	
	ABS'	V	
	ABS'	vi	
	TAB	vii	
	LIST	Х	
	LIST	xii	
	LIST	XV	
	LIST	FOF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION		1
	1.1	Background	2
		1.1.1 Factors affecting erosion	4
		1.1.2 Soil erosion agents	4
	1.2	Problem statement	6
	1.3	Significant of the study	7
	1.4	Objectives	8
	1.5	Scope of the study	9
	1.6	Study area	9

PAGE

2	SOII	L EROSION MODELING	13
	2.1	Introduction	14
	2.2	Models for soil erosion studies	15
	2.3	Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE)	19
	2.4	Crop management (C) factor	29
	2.5	Slope length and steepness (LS) factor	31
	2.6	Soil erodibility (K) factor	32
	2.7	Remote sensing and GIS applications in	34
		soil loss and erosion study	
	2.8	Summary	38
3	МЕТ	THODOLOGY	39
	3.1	Introduction	40
	3.2	Data	41
		3.2.1 Satellite data	42
		3.2.2 Landuse map	46
		3.2.3 Ancillary data	47
	3.3	Data Processing	49
		3.3.1 Digital satellite image processing	49
		3.3.1.1 Image Pre-Processing	49
		3.3.1.2 Image Processing	59
		3.3.2 Spatial Analysis	61
	3.4	Summary	71
4	RES	ULTS AND ASSESSMENT	72
	4.1	Introduction	73
	4.2	Result of image classification for landuse	74
		mapping	
		4.2.1 Landuse mapping	74
		4.2.2 Assessment of landuse mapping	77
	4.3	Landuse change analysis	80
		4.3.1 Changes between classes	80
		4.3.2 Assessment of landuse change	84
	4.4	Crop management (C) factor	86

viii

	4.4.1	Assessment of vegetation indices	89		
		for C factor			
	4.4.2	Regression analysis of vegetation	91		
		indices			
4.5	Slope	Slope length and steepness (LS) factor			
4.6	Soil e	rodibility (K) factor	102		
	4.6.1	Soil erodibility (K) factor using	104		
		nomographs			
	4.6.2	Soil erodibility (K) factor using	108		
		calculation approach			
	4.6.3	Assessment of soil erodibility	111		
		(K) factor			
4.7	Rainfa	all erosivity (R) factor	112		
4.8	Practi	ce and erosion control (P) factor	114		
4.9	Simul	Simulation analysis			
	4.9.1	Simulation of crop management	115		
		(C) factor			
	4.9.2	Simulation of slope length and	120		
		steepness (LS) factor			
	4.9.3	Simulation of soil erodibility	124		
		(K) factor			
4.10	Soil lo	oss analysis using Universal Soil Loss	128		
	Equat	Equation (USLE) model			
	4.10.1	Comparison between average of soil	131		
		loss within landuse classes			
	4.10.2	2 Estimation on amount of erosion over	136		
		8 years in the study area			
4.11	Propagations of error analysis		137		
	4.11.1	Regression analysis of annual soil	138		
		loss values			
	4.11.2	2 Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	140		
4.12	Summ	nary	141		

5	CONCLUS	142	
	5.1	Conclusion	143
	5.2	Recommendations	145
REE	RENCES		148
APPENDIX A			140

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Soil erosion is one form of soil degradation and commonly related to other problems such as low levels of soil organic matter, loss of soil structure and soil acidity. Although erosion is a natural process, it can pose many problems when occurrences are due to human activities.

Soil erosion can be defined as a process of detachment and transport of soil particles from one place to another (Singer and Munns, 1999; and Cutler, 2006). With respect to land conservation and practice, soil erosion in tropical and semi arid regions considered as a hazard traditionally associated with agriculture (Morgan, 1995). This occurs mainly due to rainfall intensity, poor soil conditions and improper landuse management.

Today soil erosion is considered as one of the most serious natural resource depletions in the world. Over the past several thousand years, deforestation, fuel wood, overgrazing, agriculture and industrialization activities have contributed to the greatest soil erosion problem (Marsh, 1984; Oldeman *et al.*, 1990; Stott and Sullivian, 2000). Figure 1.1 shows five most common causes of soil erosion regions in the world.



Figure 1.1: Principle causes of soil erosions in different regions in the world Source: International Soil Reference and Information Center, 1991

The main causes of soil erosion are overgrazing (35 percent), deforestation (30 percent) and agricultural activities (28 percent). Overgrazing causes degradation when the soil loses it fertility and sparse vegetation cover. This will allows raindrops to erode the surface and thus, removal of the entire standing crop can increase erosion and slides.

According to the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (2008), 1.5 million people in this world may stave due to land erosion problem. Rising of land degradation cause by erosion can reduces crop yields and this will threaten food of the world population. To solve this problem, this issue leads to public attention in the form of government, Malaysian organizations and public for environmental protection. That's the reason why regulation of soil erosion along with its prevention and control is important to be taken as a key in the soil conservation issues today.

1.1.1 Factors affecting erosion

The factors affecting erosion can be divided into 2 categories; natural and human induced (Dingman, 1994; and Wu *et al.*, 2004). Precipitation and slope steepness comprise natural factors for the most part, while human factors consist of development or activities related to agriculture, mining and constructions. Such activities generally remove the protective vegetation cover, resulting in accelerated erosion by both water and wind. Natural factors commonly affect the upper soil layer as compared to human induced factors. Both contribute a significant amount of soil loss due to water and wind erosion.

1.1.2 Soil erosion agents

Water and wind are the major erosive agents that determine the erosion type. These agents are both unsteady and non-uniform in intensity (rain) and velocity (wind). Both erosion agents occur in different environment; water erosion is wet, while wind erosion is dry. Soil erosion by water is the dominant geomorphic process for much of Earth's land surface (Terrence *et al.*, 2001). Water erosion also

contributes to the detachment, transport and deposition of soil particles. Figure 1.2 below shows the impact on the soil surface by water erosion.



Figure 1.2: Impact on the soil surface by water erosion Source: Terrence *et al.*, 2001

When runoff occurs, water will accumulate as it flows downstream, resulting in a larger volume of water traveling at incremental velocity. Soil erosion by water is a major worldwide problem compared to wind erosion (Singer and Munns, 1999). By selectively removing organic matter and clay, water erosion not only removes but also may reduce the soil chemical capacity to retain added nutrients.

On the other hand, wind erosion is a phenomenon largely created through detachment processes. This type of erosion occurs when the forces applied by the wind are greater than the resistance of the soil. Soil particles move by wind in one of the three ways; surface creep (rolling or sliding along surface), saltation (bouncing and dislodging other particles on impact) and suspension (continuously carried in the air). Figure 1.3 shows soil particle movement through wind erosion.



Figure 1.3: The movement of soil particles by wind erosion Source: Terrence *et al.*, 2001

A major difference between both erosion processes is the direction of occurrence. For erosion by water, runoff and sedimentation always flow in downstream, while wind may blow in any direction during storm.

In Malaysia, erosion by water is the major concern compared to the erosion by wind. Malaysia as tropical countries receives a heavy rain annually. This reason will lead to the soil erosion, particularly for the deforested and overgrazing areas.

1.2 Problem statement

Soil erosion can affect the land and its inhabitants in both on-site and off-site effects. On-site effect is directly created through the loss of soil nutrients. This effect is particularly crucial on agricultural land because it involves the loss of soil quality, structure and soil stability. While in off-site effect, movement of sediments and agricultural pollutants into watercourses are the major problem, leading to sedimentation in rivers and disruption of ecosystems. Today, the rate of soil erosion exceeds the rate of soil formation over wide areas resulting in the depletion of soil. Rate of soil loss can determined by in-situ measurement of annual precipitation, elevation, crop cover and practiced erosion control factors. Using USLE model, the rate of annual soil loss (A) can be predicted based on parameters such as; annual rainfall erosivity (R), soil erodibility (K), slope length and steepness (LS), crop management (C), practice and erosion control (P) factors.

Most measurements and determination of parameters used in USLE equation are taken from studies conducted outside Malaysia, in particular, Europe. Malaysia differs greatly in terms of vegetation, due to the leaf size, tree diameter and soil formation, compared to the temperate countries. Erosion particularly in forested and cultivated areas needs the accurate measurement of rate of soil loss. By using the existing parameters in USLE, the soil loss rates may contain a certain amount of error either too high or too low for Malaysia.

1.3 Significant of the study

Studies by Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimated that 140 million hectares of soil particularly in Africa and Asia are subjected to degradation by year 2010, unless better methods of land management are utilized (International Union of Geological, 1994). Hence, this study is significant to be applying since deforestation and great development activities were rapidly take place now days. It is therefore imperative to analyze C, LS and K factors with respect to the Malaysian setting, since the physical conditions in Malaysia such as topography, weather, vegetation and soil types are very much different from Europe and temperate countries especially in arid and semi-arid areas.

The annual erosivity (R) and erosion control practice (P) factors will not be taken into account, as a specific algorithm already exists to determine each factor. For example, the erosivity (R) factor is calculated using the rainfall intensity for the study area. The erosion control practice (P) factor is determined from values based on the degree of slope and the ratio of soil loss. For both factors, the measurement is not affected by topographic or environmental conditions. It's used the constant value to derive the factors. That's the main reason *R* and *P* factors did not be measured and analyzed in this study.

1.4 Objectives

The main objective of this study is to establish three main factors of USLE; C, LS and K to suite the Malaysian conditions. To achieve this, the study consists of four specific objectives as outlined below:

- a) To derive the most suitable crop management (C) factor of USLE for use in Malaysia condition derived from satellite remotely sensed data.
- b) To determine and minimize 'systematic error' in computing slope length and steepness (LS) factor of USLE respectively in terrain and slope areas.
- c) To determine soil erodibility (K factor) of the USLE particularly in sloped and forested areas.
- Assessment of annual soil loss using all parameters of the USLE determined in objectives (a), (b) and (c).

1.5 Scopes of study

This study consists of four aspects, hence:

- a) Landsat 5 TM images were used to derive Vegetation Indices such as SVI, Sqrt (IR/R), VI, TNDVI and NDVI for crop production assessment in the study area. The vegetation indices with the best correlations will be used to calculate the *C* factor on the USLE model for this study.
- b) The effects of systematic errors that consist of DEM artifacts were removed to analyze the exact values of *LS* factor in the study area using GIS techniques.
- c) Soil permeability, bulk density, drainage and percentage of the soil porosity were used to determine exact values for *K* factor for most of the slope and forested areas. Geological aspects were used as a comparison to extract *K* values; especially for the series of soils that have no percentage of clay, fine silt, very fine silt and organic matter.
- d) Simulation of *C*, *LS* and *K* factor values were done to analyze the variation in the amount of annual soil loss in the study area.

1.6 Study area

This study was conducted in an old growth, lowland dipterocarp forest within the Pasoh Forest Reserve (lat 2°59'N, long 102°18'E). This study area covers three states namely Pahang, Negeri Sembilan and Selangor, with a total area of 810 000 hectares (8100 km²). There are six forest reserves located in the study area; Kemasol Forest Reserve, Kenaboi Forest Reserve, Garau Forest Reserve, Berembun Forest Reserve, Triang Forest Reserve and Pasoh Forest Reserve with an elevation range of 40 to 1760 meters. There are 13 rivers in study area; Sg. Pahang, Sg. Serting, Sg. Batu, Sg. Melaka, Sg. Terachi, Sg. Rembau, Sg. Triang, Sg. Jerang, Sg. Candin, Sg. Benus, Sg. Kongkol, Sg. Bentung and Sg. Semantan (Figure 1.4).

Pasoh Forest Reserve is located 8 km from Simpang Pertang, Negeri Sembilan and approximately 70 km southeast of Kuala Lumpur. Surrounded by oil palm plantations on three sides and adjoin to a virgin hill dipterocarp forest on the northeast, the reserve area encompasses 2450 hectares. Maintained by the Forest Research Institute of Malaysia (FRIM), Pasoh is declared as International Biosphere Reserve under the UNESCO Man and Biosphere Program (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia, 2003).

Thirteen years records by Pasoh Dua station, this study area receives annual rainfalls between 1469 to 2350 mm (Zulkifli, 2004). The monthly rainfall pattern shows a bi-modal distribution with peaks in March to May and September to December. The steepest part covers a stretch in the upstream of the study area. The highest erosion potentials are on steep areas especially to the west, south and east. Slope gradient along the main river in the study area shows a range of 0 to 750 meters above sea level (masl). Theoretically, elevations above 1000 meters above sea level (masl) are considered highly vulnerable to erosion while elevations below 200 masl are expected to have low erosion risk.

Pasoh Forest Reserve and the surrounding areas were selected for this study due to the rapid agriculture practices and physical developments. This development has changed the existing landuse or land cover vastly. Proper land management is necessary to control the occurrence of land degradation in study area.



Figure 1.4: Study area

1.7 Summary

Soil erosion removes valuable topsoil, results in lower yields and higher costs of production. Hence, it is very important to study the regulation of soil erosion since forests and plantations cover more than 60 percent of Malaysia. Pasoh Forest was selected as the area under this study; (a) to determine and assess crop management (C) factor for Malaysian conditions derived from satellite remotely sensed data, (b) to determine and minimize 'systematic error' in computing slope length and steepness (LS) factor respectively in terrain and slope areas, (c) to determine soil erodibility (K factor) particularly in sloped and forested areas, and (d) assess annual soil loss using all parameters of the USLE determined in objectives (a), (b) and (c). To perform this study, USLE was selected as a model to determine rate of soil loss in the study area. Details on soil erosion model and reasons for choosing USLE to perform this study will be discussed in the next chapter.

REFERENCES

- Andrew, B. and Hinchliffe, S. (2003). *Environmental Responses*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Andrew, S. (2002). *Environmental Modeling with GIS and Remote Sensing*. New York: Taylor and Francis.
- Baharuddin Kasran, Abdul Rahim Nik and Zulkifli Yusop (1999). A guide for estimating surface soil loss using the modified soil loss equation (MUSLE) on forestland. Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.
- Balabanis, P., Peter, D., Ghazi, A., Tsogas, M. (1999). Mediterranean
 Desertification. Research Results and policy implications. *European commission XII Research and Development publications*. 2: 471-480.
- Bhuyan, S. L., Kalita, P. K., Jensen, K. A. and Barnes, P. L. (2002). Soil loss predictions with three erosion simulation models. *Environmental Modeling* and Software.17 (1): 137-146.
- Brooks, S.M., Richards, K.S. and Spencer, T. (1993). Tropical rain forest logging: modeling slope processes and soil erosion in Sabah, East Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Geography 14*. 1:15-27.
- Celik, I. (2005). Landuse effects on organic matter and physical properties of soil in a southern Mediterranean highland of Turkey. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 83: 270–277.

- Chavez, P.S. (1996). Image-based atmospheric corrections: Revisited and improved. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing.* 62(9): 1025-1036.
- Congalton, R.G. (1991). A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data. *Remote Sensing of Environment.* 34: 35-36.
- Cooper, C. M. (2001). Remote sensing techniques for determining water quality: Applications to Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs). *TMDL Science Issues Conference*. Alexandria, Virginia: Water Environment Federation. 367-374.
- Cowen, J. (1993). A Proposed Method for Calculating the LS Factor for Use With the USLE in a Grid-Based Environment. *Thirteenth Annual ESRI User Conference*. California: Environmental Systems Research Institute. 65-74.
- Cutler, J.C. (2006). Soil erosion and deposition. In: Michael, P. and Draggan, S. The encyclopedia of Earth. Washington D.C.: Environmental Information Coalition, National Council for Science and the Environment.-.
- David, D.K. (2003). *Exploring Environmental Issues: An Integrated Approach*. New York: Taylor and Francis.
- Dennis, M.F. and Rorke, B.B. (1999). The relationship of soil loss by interrill erosion to slope gradient. *Catena*.38: 211–222.
- Department of Agriculture (1968) Reconnaissance Soil Map of Peninsular Malaysia. Sheet 1. Series L 40A. Kuala Lumpur.
- Department of Agriculture (2000). Peninsular Malaysia-Landuse Map. Kuala Lumpur.

Department of Agriculture (2000). Kuala Lumpur. Unpublished.

Department of Agriculture (2005). Kuala Lumpur. Unpublished.

- Department of Survey and Mapping (1995). Topographic Map Series L7030-Scale 1:50,000. Kuala Lumpur.
- Deppe, F. (1998). Forest area estimation using sample surveys and Landsat MSS and TM data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*. 64 (4): 285-292.
- Desmet, P. and Grovers, G. (1996). A GIS procedure for automatically calculating the USLE: LS factor on topographically complex landscape units. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*. 51(5): 427 – 433.
- Shrestha, D.P.(1997). Assessment of soil erosion in the Nepalese Himalaya: A case study in Likhu Khola Valley, Middle Mountain Region. *The Netherlands Land Husbandry*. 2 (1): 59-80.
- Dingman, S. L. (1994). *Physical Hydrology: Upper Saddle River*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Duggin, M.J. and Robinove, C.J. (1990). Assumptions Implicit in Remote Sensing Data Acquisition and Analysis. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 11(10): 1669-1694.
- ESRI (2006). GIS Dictionary. <u>http://support.esri.com/index.cfm?fa=knowledgebase</u>. gis.Dictionary.gateway
- Fitzpatrick, L. (1981). Comparison of sampling procedures and data analysis for a land use and land cover map. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing.* 47(3): 343-351.

Forest Research Institute Malaysia. Technical Information Handbook No.2, (1999).

Forest Research Institute of Malaysia, (2003). NPP Tropical Forest: Pasoh, Malaysia, 1971 to 1973. http://www-osdis.ornl.gov/NPP/site_des/psh_des.html

- Forman, S.M., Teal, M.J., Williams, D.T., Kreymbrog, L.R. and Burnett, C.M.
 (2000). Use of GIS, Geo-Based Programs and Computer Models for
 Watershed and site analyses. Santa Barbara: Forester Communications Inc.
- Foster, G.R., McCool, D.K., Renard, K.G. and Moldenhauer, W.C. (1981).Conservation of the Universal Soil Loss Equation to Si Units. Journal of Soil and Water Conservations. 36: 355-359.
- Fotheringham, S. and Rogerson, P. (1989). *Spatial Analysis and GIS*. London: Taylor and Francis.
- Freebrain, D. (2004). Erosion Control: Some Observations on the role of soil conservation structures and conservation, Australian Association of Natural Resource Management. <u>http://www.soil-water.org.au</u>
- Gregersen, B., Aalbaek, J., Lauridsen, P.E., Kaas, M., Lopdrup, U., Veihe, A. and Van Der Keur, P. (2003). Landuse and Soil Erosion in Tikolod, Sabah, Malaysia. In: Ghazally, I. and Lamri, A. (Eds.) *A scientific Journey Through Borneo*. Sabah: ASEAN Academic Press. 125-141.
- Gregory, I.T. and Walling, D.E. (1973). *Drainage Basin Form and Process*. London: Edward Arnold.
- Heywood, I., Cornelius, S. and Carver, S. (2006). An Introduction to Geographical Information Systems. 3rd edition. Pearson. Prentice Hall.
- Hickey, R. (2000). Slope Angle and Slope Length Solutions for GIS. *Cartography*. 29(1):1-8.
- Hillel, D. (2004). Introduction to Environmental Soil Physics. United States of America: Elsevier Science.
- Huggett, R. and Cheesmen, J. (2002). *Topography and the Environment*. New York: Prentice Hall

- Holger, S. (2003). HydroTools 1.0 for ArcView 3.x. Institute of Applied Geosciences. <u>http://www.terracs.de/Hydrotools_eng.pdf</u>
- International Soil Reference and Information Center (1991). *Principle Causes of Soil Degradation*.<u>www.globalchange.umichedu/globalchange2/current/lectures/land</u> <u>deg/land_deg.html</u>
- International Union of Geological, (1994). Soil and sediment erosion. http://www.lgt.lt/geoin/doc.php?did=cl_soi
- Itami, R.M. and Raulings, R.J. (1993). SAGE Introductory Guidebook. Digital Land Systems Research. Melbourne: Digital Land Systems Research.
- Jensen, J.R. (2000). *Remote Sensing of the Environment an Earth Resource Perspective.* New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Jensen, J. R. (1996). *Introductory Digital Image Processing: A remote sensing perspective*. 2nd Edition. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Jensen, J. R. and Cowen, D.C. (1999). Remote Sensing of Urban and Suburban Infrastructure and Socio-Economic Attributes. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing. 65 (5): 611-622
- Jones, D.S., Kowalski, D.G. and Shaw R. B. (1996). Calculating Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) Estimates on Department of Defense Lands: A Review of RUSLE Factors. Fort Collins: Center for Environmental Management of Military Lands.
- Kamaruzaman, W.F. and Serwan, M.J.B. (1999). A Preliminary Attempt to Develop An Erosion Risk Map For Langkawi Island, Malaysia Using the USLE, Remote Sensing and Geographical Information System. 20th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing. November 22-25. China: GIS Development Pvt Ltd.

- Kansas Assocation of Mappers (2007). KAM GIS Dictionary. http://www.kam.to/kam/services/gisdictionary.cfm#M
- Kershaw, C.D. and Fuller, R.M. (1992). Statistical problem in the discrimination of landcover from satellite images: a case study in lowland Britain. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*.13 (16): 3805-3104.
- Kidwell, K.B. (1990). Global Vegetation Index User's Guide, U.S. Department of Commerce and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. <u>http://www.csc.noaa.gov/crs/definitions/NDVI.html</u>
- Kriegler, F. J., Malila, W. A., Nalepka, R. F. and Richardson, W. (1969).
 Preprocessing transformations and their effects on multispectral recognition, *Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment*. November 21-26 1985. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, 97-131.
- Lal, R. (1988). Soil erosion control with alley cropping. In: Rimwanich, S. ed. Land Conservation for future generations. Bangkok: Department of Land Development. 237-245.
- Lal, R. (1998). Soil erosion impact on agronomic productivity and environment quality: Critical Review. *Plant Science*, 17: 319 464.
- Lal, R. (2001). Soil degradation by erosion. *Land Degradation & Development*, 12: 519 539.
- Lauer, D.T., Morain, S.A. and Salomonson, V.V. (1997). The Landsat program: Its origins, evolution and impacts. *Photogrametric Engineering and Remote Sensing*. 63:831-838.
- Lillesand, T.M. and Kiefer, R.W.(2000). *Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation*. 4th Edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

- Ling, A.H., Tan, K.Y. and Syed Sofi, S.O. (1979). Preliminary observations in some post clearing changes in soil properties. *Proceeding Seminar on Soil Fertility* and Management of Deforested Land. _. Sabah: Society of Agriculture Scientists, Sabah, Malaysia.
- Malaysia (1996). Guidelines for Prevention and Control of Soil Erosion and Siltation in Malaysia. ISBN: 9839119583
- Mahdi, K. (2004). Spring rain and soil erosion. *Integrated Crop Management*. 492 (10): 57-58.
- Malhotra, A. (1998). Remote Sensing for Sustainable Development. Humanities Conference. October 29 and November 1-3. Korea: United Nations Development Programme.
- Marsh, W. M. (1984). *Landscape Planning: Environmental Applications*. Canada: Addison Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.
- Marsh, W. M. and Grossa, J. (1996). *Environmental Geography: Science Land Use, and Earth Systems*. Toronto, Ontario: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- McCloy K. R. (1995). *Resource Management Information Systems: Process and Practice*. London: Taylor and Francis.
- Mokhtaruddin, A.M., Wan Sulaiman, W. H. and Jamal, T. (1985). *Advances in soil research in Malaysia*. Selangor: Universiti Pertanian Malaysia.
- Mongkolsawat, C., Thirangoon, P. and Sriwongsa, S. (1994). Soil Erosion Mapping with Universal Soil Loss equation and GIS. 15th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing. November 17 – 23. India: GIS Development Pvt Ltd.
- Morgan, R.P.C. (1974). Estimating regional variations in soil erosion hazard in Peninsular Malaysia. *Malayan Nature Journal*. 28:94-106.

- Morgan, R.P.C. (1995). *Soil Erosion and Conservation*. Second Edition. United Kingdom: Longman Group Limited.
- Murtedza, M. and Chuan, T.T (1993). Managing ASEAN's Forests. In: Seda, M. ed. Environmental Management in ASEAN. Singapore: The Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS) Publication. 111-140.
- Nader, N. (2003). Assessment Of Erosion And Sediment Yield Processes, Using Remote Sensing And GIS: A case study in Rose Chai, Iran. <u>http://www.itc.nl/library/Papers_2003/msc/wrem/Nader_Najmoddini.pdf</u>
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration of United States (2005). Image processing and interpretation. <u>http://rst.gsfc.nasa.gov/Sect1/Sect1_17.html</u>
- National Soil Erosion-Soil Productivity Research Planning Committee (1981). Soil erosion effects on soil productivity: A research perspective. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation.* 36: 82-90.
- Nisar Ahamed T.R., Gopal Rao K.G.K., Murthy J.S.R., (2000). Fuzzy Class
 Mempership Approach to Soil Erosion Modeling. *Agricultural Systems*, 63
 (2), Elsevier Science. 97-110(14).
- Oldeman, L.R., Hakkeling R.T.A. and Sombroek, W.G. (1990). *World map of the status of human-induced soil degradation*. Netherlands: International Soil Reference and Information Center.
- Ozhan. S, Nihat Balci, A., Ozyuvaci, N., Ahmet, H., Gokbulak, F. and Serengil Y. (2005). Cover and management factors for the Universal Soil-Loss Equation for forest ecosystems in the Marmara region, Turkey. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 214: 118-213.
- Parysow, P., Wang, G., Gertner. G. and Anderson, A.B. (2003). Spatial uncertainty analysis for mapping soil erodibility based on joint sequential simulation. *Catena*. 53:65–78.

- Rajendra, P.S., Eiumonoh, A. and Baimoung, S. (1996). Soil erosion assessment and its policy implications: A Case study of RS and GIS applications in Uthai Thani, Thailand. 17th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing. November 4 8. Sri Lanka: GIS Development Pvt Ltd.
- Renard, K.G., Foster, G.R., Weesies, G.A, McCool, D.K.and Yoder, D.C. (1991). Predicting soil erosion by water: A guide to conservation planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). Washington D.C: United Stated Government Print.
- Roslan, Z. A., Amir Hashim, M. K. and Tew, K. H. (1997). Remote sensing
 Application in Determination of Land Use Management Factors of the USLE.
 18th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing. October 20-24. Malaysia: GIS
 Development Pvt Ltd.
- Roslinah, S. and Norizan A.P.(1997). Soil Erosion and Hydrological Study of the Bakun Dam Catchment Area, Sarawak Using Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS). 18th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing. October 20-24. Malaysia: GIS Development Pvt Ltd.
- Roose, E.J. (1977). Application of the Universal Soil Loss Equation of Wischmeier and Smith in West Africa. In Greenland, D.J and Lal, R. (eds). *Soil Conservation and Management in the Humid Tropics*. London, Wiley: 177-187.
- Rouse, J. W., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A. and Deering, D.W. (1973). Monitoring vegetation systems in the great plains with ERTS. *Third ERTS Symposium*. 1: 309-317.
- Roy, P.S. (1991). Tropical forest type mapping and monitoring using remote sensing. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 12 (11): 2205-2225.
- Saha, S.K. (2002). Water and wind induced soil erosion assessment and monitoring using remote sensing and GIS. *Proceedings of the Satellite Remote Sensing*

and GIS Applications in Agricultural Meteorology. (_). India: Indian Institute of Remote Sensing , 315-330.

- Saha, S.K., Kudrat, M. and Bhan, S.K. (1991). Erosional soil loss prediction using digital satellite data and USLE. In: Shunji, M. ed. *Applications of Remote Sensing in Asia and Oceania-environmental change monitoring*. Hong Kong: Asian Association of Remote Sensing. 369-372
- Sanjay, K.J., Sudhir, K. and Varghese, J. (2004). Estimation of Soil Erosion for a Himalayan Watershed Using GIS Technique. *Water Resources Management*. 15(1): 41-54.
- Schwab, G.O., Fangmeier, D. D., Alliot, W.J. and Frevert, R. K. (1993). Soil and water conservation engineering. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Shigeo, O., Genya S., Nobuyuki M., Satoshi U., Nasir M. K. and Muhammad S. (1997). Estimation of Soil Erosion using USLE and Landsat TM in Pakistan. 18th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing. October 20-24. Malaysia: GIS Development Pvt Ltd.
- Shiono, T., Kamimura, K., Okushima, S. and Fukumoto, M. (2002). Soil Loss Estimation on a Local Scale for Soil Conservation Planning. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*. 36 (3):157–161.
- Short, N. M. (2004). The Remote Sensing Tutorial: NASA Goddart Training Manual on the Role of Space Science and Technology for Using Remote Sensing to Monitor Earth and Distant Objects. World Spaceflight News. <u>http://rst.gsfc.nasa.gov/Homepage/Homepage.html</u>
- Singer, M. J. and Munns, D.N. (1999). *Soils: An Introduction*. 4 th Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc.

- Soong, N.K., Haridas, G., Yeoh, C.S. and Tan, P.H.(1980). Soil Erosion and Conservation in Peninsular Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur: Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia.
- Stott, P.A. and Sullivian, S. (2000). *Political Ecology: Science, Myth and Power*. London: Oxford University Press US.
- Summerfield, M. A. (1991). *Global Geomorphology: An introduction to the study of Landforms*. New York: Longman Group Limited.
- Terrence, J. T., Foster, G. R. and Kenneth, G. R. (2001). *Soil Erosion: Process, Prediction, Measurement and Control.* Canada: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Tew, K. H. (_). Displaced by farms. The Star Online. Available at: <u>http://www.ecologyasia.com/news-archives/2003/feb-</u> 03/thestar_20030225_1.htm.
- The State of Queensland (1998). Roads in the Wet Tropics: Integrated Design Part E. Department of Main Road. The State of Queensland. <u>http://www.mainroads.qld.gov.au/MRWEB/prod/CONTENT.NSF/0/10c2b59b</u> <u>9fca210e4a25705b002054b7/\$FILE/Part%20E.pdf</u>
- United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (2008). Some 1.5 Billion People May Starve Due to Land Erosion. Reuters.
- United State, Department of Agriculture (1992). Soil Conservation Service. Soil Survey Staff. National Soils Handbook. Washington.
- United States, Department of Agriculture, (2006). Agricultural Research Service. http://www.ars.usda.gov/careers/hof/browse.htm

- Van der Knijff, J.M., Jones, R.J.A. and Montanarella, L. (1999). Soil Erosion Risk Assessment in Italy. Joint Research Centre, Space Applications Institute, European Soil Bureau. <u>http://eusoils.jrc.it/ESDB_Archive/eusoils_docs/other/er_it_new.pdf</u>
- Varis, O. (2006). An Exploration into an urbanizing world: Interconnections of water, food, poverty and urbanization. Nairobi and Finland: United Nations Center for Human Settlements and Helsinki University of Technology:
- Wall, G., Baldwin C.S. and Shelton I.J. (1997). Soil Erosion: Causes and Effects. Ontario: Queen's Printer.
- Wan Ruslan, I. (1997). The impact of hill land clearance and urbanisation on runoff and sediment yield of small catchments in Pulau Pinang, Malaysia. In: Human Impact on Erosion and sedimentation. Morocco: International Association of Hydrological Sciences (IAHS) Publication. 245. 91-100.
- Watson, D. A. and Laflen, J.M. (1986). Soil strength, slope and rainfall intensity effects on inter-rill erosion. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers*. 29: 98-102.
- Williams, J.R. (1975). Sediment-Yield Prediction With Universal Equation Using Runoff Energy Factor. Proceeding of Sediment-Yield Workshop: Agricultural Research Service (ARS) 40. Oxford: USDA Sedimentation Laboratory, 244-252.
- Wischmeier, W.H., Johnson, C.B. and Cross, B.V. (1971). A soil erodibility nomograph for farmland and construction sites. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 26, 189-193.
- Wischmeier, W.H. and Smith, D.D. (1965). Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses: A Guide To Conservation Planning. Agriculture Handbook 282. US. Department of Agriculture.

- Wischmeier, W.H. and Smith, D.D. (1978). Predicting rainfall erosion losses. USDA. Agricultural Research Service Handbook 537. US. Department of Agriculture.
- World Resource Institute (2000). Earth Trend: The Environmental Information Portal. <u>http://earthtrends.wri.org/text/forests-grasslands-drylands/country-profile-</u> <u>114.html</u>
- Wu, B., Zhou, Y., Huang, J. Tian, Y. and Huang, W. (2004). Affecting Factors Analysis in the Upper Basin of Miyun Reservoir. *Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE)*, 4700-4702.
- Yang, D. S. K., Oki, T., Koikel, T. and Musiake, T. (2003). Global potential soil erosion with reference to land use and climate change. *Hydrological Processes*, 17 (14): 2913-2928.
- Young, I, T., Gerbrands, J.J. and Van Vliet, L.J. (1995). Fundamentals of Image Processing. The Netherlands at the Delft University of Technology: <u>http://www.ph.tn.tudelft.nl/DIPlib/docs/FIP.pdf</u>
- Zhang, K., Lia, S., Peng, W. and Yub, B. (2004). Erodibility of agricultural soils on the Loess Plateau of China. Soil and Tillage Research. 76:157–165.
- Zihni, E. (2003). C Factor Mapping Using Remote Sensing and GIS: A Case Study of Lom Sak and Lom Kao, Thailand. Soil Science Division International Institute For Aerospace Survey And Earth Sciences Enschede, Netherlands. http://geb.unigiessen.de/geb/volltexte/2004/1537/pdf/ErencinZihni-2000-08-15.pdf
- Zulkifli, Y. (2004). Studies on Evaluation of Logging Impacts on Soil Erosion and Watershed Ecosystem: Preliminary Results. National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan.



APPENDIX A

RADIOMETRIC AND ATMOSPHERIC CORRECTION



Figure shows COST model via spatial modeler in the ERDAS software