

CLASSIFICATION OF CHEST DISEASES FROM X-RAY ON CHEXP
PERT DATASET

HASAN NABEEL SALEEM

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electronics and Telecommunications)

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

DEDICATION

It is dedicated to my inspiring parents for their love, endless support, encouragement and sacrifices.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my warmest gratitude to whom contributed towards my understanding and thoughts during the time of preparing this thesis. In particular, I would like to express my genuine gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Muhammad Yusof Bin Mohd Noor, and my lecturer, Dr. Usman Ullah Sheikh, for their time, teaching, supervision, and support. With their experience, knowledge and mind power helped enormously in completing this project.

I am also thankful to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for all the contributions that resulted in my successful completion of the Master's programme.

I would like to express my sincere gratefulness to my friends Taha Mahmoud Abbas, Hatem Jabas, Nurul Jannah Bt Abd Aziz and Hani Ghassan Abdul Karim for motivations and support during the whole period of study.

Finally, I would like to thank my family who are my main motivation, for the love, mental, and financial support.

ABSTRACT

This work proposes a method to classify tuberculosis (TB) disease in a chest radiograph using convolutional neural network algorithms (CNN). The main contribution of this work is to detect and classify ‘TB’ disease in addition to other 5 different diseases. This is achieved by using a transfer learning technique that utilizes a pre-trained ‘CNN’ network to classify the ‘TB’ disease. A comprehensive verification using TensorFlow is carried out to train and validate the proposed technique. This work aimed to use different pre-trained models on the CheXpert dataset and compare the area under the curve ‘AUC’ between the ‘CNN’ models. From the simulation work, it was found that it can be possible to classify the ‘TB’ in addition to the other 5 diseases without having a high reduction in the accuracy of classifying the 5 diseases. The results confirm that transfer learning technique is superior to the other methods, which exhibit less time for training and validating the datasets, and have good performance. This work achieved a new state of the art for classifying 3 different diseases (Atelectasis, Edema, and Tuberculosis) with ‘AUC’ 0.912, 0.945 and 0.954 respectively. Also, this work achieved second-best performance for classifying Pleural Effusion and Consolidation diseases with ‘AUC’ 0.928 and 0.917 respectively. The method proposed in this work can be used for all types of classification diseases in chest radiograph because it can be easily implemented by using pre-trained networks.

ABSTRAK

Kerja ini mencadangkan satu kaedah untuk mengklasifikasikan penyakit tuberkulosis (TB) dalam radiografi dada menggunakan algoritma perlingkaran rangkaian neural. Sumbangan utama kerja ini adalah untuk mengesan dan mengklasifikasikan penyakit 'TB' sebagai tambahan kepada lima jenis penyakit lain yang berbeza. Perkara ini dapat dicapai dengan menggunakan teknik pembelajaran pemindahan yang menggunakan perlingkaran rangkaian neural yang pra-terlatih untuk mengklasifikasikan penyakit 'TB'. Pengesahan yang menyeluruh menggunakan 'TensorFlow' telah dijalankan untuk melatih dan mengesahkan teknik yang dicadangkan dalam kajian ini. Kerja ini bertujuan untuk menggunakan model pra-terlatih yang berbeza daripada set data 'CheXpert' dan membandingkan 'AUC' antara model-model perlingkaran rangkaian neural. Hasil daripada simulasi yang dijalankan didapati bahawa pengklasifikasian penyakit 'TB' sebagai tambahan kepada lima penyakit yang lain dapat dilaksanakan tanpa pengurangan yang tinggi dalam ketepatan dalam mengklasifikasikan lima penyakit. Keputusan daripada simulasi yang dijalankan mengesahkan bahawa teknik pembelajaran pemindahan adalah lebih baik daripada kaedah-kaedah yang lain, berikutan masa yang pendek diperlukan untuk latihan dan mengesahkan set data serta mempunyai persembahan yang baik. Kerja ini mencapai keadaan seni yang baru untuk mengklasifikasikan 3 jenis penyakit yang berbeza [Atelectasis, Edema dan Tuberkulosis] dengan masing-masing mempunyai AUC [0.912, 0.945 dan 0.954]. Selain itu, kerja ini berhasil mencapai tempat kedua terbaik dalam mengklasifikasikan penyakit-penyakit 'Pleural Effusion and Consolidation' yang masing-masing mempunyai 'AUC' [0.928 dan 0.917]. Kaedah yang dicadangkan dalam kerja ini boleh digunakan untuk semua jenis penyakit klasifikasi dalam radiografi dada kerana kaedah ini mudah dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan rangkaian pra-terlatih.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Project Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of work	4
1.5	Project Report Outline	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	The history of deep learning	7
2.2	Architecture of Convolutional Neural Network	11
2.2.1	Convolutional Layer	12
2.2.1.1	Strides	14
2.2.1.2	Padding	15
2.2.2	Activation Layer - Nonlinear Layer (ReLU layer)	15
2.2.3	Pooling Layer (down sampling layer)	16
2.2.4	Fully Connected Layer	17
2.3	Transfer Learning	20

2.3.1	Feature Extractor	21
2.3.2	Weights Initializer	21
2.4	Related Works	22
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Project flow	33
3.2	Installation and running TensorFlow	35
3.2.1	Installation of TensorFlow on CPU computer	35
3.2.2	Installation of TensorFlow on GPU computer	36
3.2.3	Jupyter notebook	38
3.3	Proposed methodology	39
3.3.1	CNN Models	41
3.3.1.1	AlexNet	42
3.3.1.2	GoogLeNet/Inception	43
3.3.1.3	VGGNet	45
3.3.1.4	ResNet	47
3.3.1.5	DenseNet	50
3.3.1.6	SqueezeNet	52
3.3.2	Performing Transfer Learning Technique	56
3.4	Dataset Preparation	56
3.4.1	CheXpert dataset	57
3.4.2	China and USA datasets	59
3.4.3	The combination of the three datasets	61
3.5	Flow of training the model	64
3.6	Assessment Metrics	65
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	71
4.1	Training and Validation Tools	71
4.2	Training and validating of CheXpert dataset	72
4.2.1	Transfer learning using VGG pre-trained model	73
4.2.2	Transfer learning using AlexNet, GoogleNet and SqueezeNet pre-trained models	81

4.2.3	Transfer learning using DenseNet and ResNet pre-trained models	84
4.3	Training and validating the new combined dataset	91
4.4	Benchmarking with Existing works	97
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	101
5.1	Research Outcomes	101
5.2	Future works	102
REFERENCES		105

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Scope of work	4
Table 2.1	The list of commonly applied last layer activation functions for various tasks	19
Table 2.2	Related works on chest radiographs classification for the different pathologies using deep learning methods.	22
Table 2.3	Related work on classifying the TB disease using CNN algorithms.	29
Table 3.1	Requirements for installing TensorFlow on GPU	36
Table 3.2	Parameters of Each Layer in GoogLeNet Network (From Top to Bottom)	45
Table 3.3	Parameters of different weight layers in VGG network	46
Table 3.4	Parameters of different weight layers in ResNet	49
Table 3.5	Parameters of each layer in SqueezeNet model	54
Table 3.6	Number of parameters for each model	55
Table 4.1	The AUC of Applying different approaches to handle the uncertainty in the dataset	73
Table 4.2	AUC of existing transfer learning CNN models in classifying Atelectasis, Cardiomegaly, Pleural Effusion, Consolidation, Edema and Tuberculosis diseases	98
Table 4.3	The average AUC of each method across the 6 diseases	99

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	The fundamental model of neural network	10
Figure 2.2	Illustrate RGB image	11
Figure 2.3	The mathematical operation of convolutional layer	12
Figure 2.4	Example of image matrix and filter matrix	13
Figure 2.5	The output matrix	13
Figure 2.6	Convolutional with different filters	14
Figure 2.7	Example stride of 2	15
Figure 2.8	ReLU Operation	16
Figure 2.9	Types of pooling with 2*2 filters and stride 2	17
Figure 2.10	Flattening Operation	18
Figure 2.11	Fully connected layer	18
Figure 2.12	Typical architecture of CNN	19
Figure 2.13	Typical architecture of CNN	20
Figure 3.1	Project flow throughout the research and development of the project – first semester (left) and second semester (right).	34
Figure 3.2	Activate the virtual environment	35
Figure 3.3	Successfully running TensorFlow	36
Figure 3.4	Opening jupyter notebook	38
Figure 3.5	Open a new python notebook	39
Figure 3.6	Python notebook	39
Figure 3.7	CNN Pre-trained model	40
Figure 3.8	The pre-trained model after removing the prediction layer	40
Figure 3.9	Adding the new prediction layers	41
Figure 3.10	AlexNet Architecture	43
Figure 3.11	GoogLeNet Architecture (From Left to Right)	44

Figure 3.12	VGGNet Architecture (From Left to Right)	47
Figure 3.13	Skip connection method in ResNet	48
Figure 3.14	ResNet Architecture	49
Figure 3.15	DenseBlocks and Transition Layers	51
Figure 3.16	Architecture of DenseNet-121. Dx: Dense Block, Tx: Transition Block and DLx: Dense Layer	52
Figure 3.17	Fire Module with hyperparameters: $s_{1 \times 1} = 3$, $e_{1 \times 1} = 4$, and $e_{3 \times 3} = 4$	53
Figure 3.18	Architecture of SqueezeNet (Left), SqueezeNet with simple bypass (Middle), SqueezeNet with complex bypass (Right)	54
Figure 3.19	The content of CheXpert dataset	57
Figure 3.20	The corresponding disease labels for each patient for cheXpert dataset	58
Figure 3.21	The number of studies which contain the 12 diseases in the training set	59
Figure 3.22	The content of Shenzhen, China dataset	60
Figure 3.23	The content of Montgomery County, MD, USA dataset	60
Figure 3.24	The new valid set of the combined dataset	62
Figure 3.25	The new .CSV file for valid set of the combined dataset	63
Figure 3.26	Flowchart of training and validating the proposed model	64
Figure 3.27	The ROC curve	66
Figure 3.28	Distributions of positive and negative classes without overlapping	67
Figure 3.29	AUC = 1	67
Figure 3.30	Distributions of positive and negative classes with overlapping	67
Figure 3.31	AUC = 0.7	67
Figure 3.32	Distributions of positive and negative classes with fully overlapping	68
Figure 3.33	AUC = 0.5	68
Figure 3.34	completely predicting negative classes as positive and vice versa	68
Figure 3.35	AUC = 0	68

Figure 4.1	The AUC of VGG11 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33] , for each disease	74
Figure 4.2	The AUC of VGG11 with batch normalization compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	74
Figure 4.3	The AUC of VGG13 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	75
Figure 4.4	The AUC of VGG13 with batch normalization compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	75
Figure 4.5	The AUC of VGG16 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	76
Figure 4.6	The AUC of VGG16 with batch normalization compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	76
Figure 4.7	The AUC of VGG19 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	77
Figure 4.8	The AUC of VGG19 with batch normalization compared with AUC of CheXpert paper, for each disease	77
Figure 4.9	Average AUC of VGG11	78
Figure 4.10	Average AUC of VGG11_BN	78
Figure 4.11	Average AUC of VGG13	78
Figure 4.12	Average AUC of VGG13_BN	78
Figure 4.13	Average AUC of VGG16	79
Figure 4.14	Average AUC of VGG16_BN	79
Figure 4.15	Average AUC of VGG19	79
Figure 4.16	Average AUC of VGG19_BN	79
Figure 4.17	Comparing between average AUC for VGG with and without BN	80
Figure 4.18	The AUC of AlexNet compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	81
Figure 4.19	The AUC of GoogleNet compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	82
Figure 4.20	The AUC of SqueezeNet 1_1 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	82
Figure 4.21	Average AUC of AlexNet	83
Figure 4.22	Average AUC of GoogleNe	83

Figure 4.23	Average AUC of SqueezeNet 1_1	83
Figure 4.24	The AUC of DenseNet161 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	84
Figure 4.25	The AUC of DenseNet169 compared with AUC of CheXpert c, for each disease	85
Figure 4.26	The AUC of DenseNet201 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	85
Figure 4.27	Average AUC of DenseNet161	86
Figure 4.28	Average AUC of DenseNet169	86
Figure 4.29	Average AUC of DenseNet201	86
Figure 4.30	The AUC of ResNet50 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	87
Figure 4.31	The AUC of ResNet101 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	88
Figure 4.32	The AUC of ResNet152 compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	88
Figure 4.33	Average AUC of ResNet50	89
Figure 4.34	Average AUC of ResNet101	89
Figure 4.35	Average AUC of ResNet152	89
Figure 4.36	The difference between using different types of DenseNet model compared with different types of ResNet model	90
Figure 4.37	The average AUC for the different pre-trained models	91
Figure 4.38	The AUC of VGG19_BN for the new dataset compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	92
Figure 4.39	The AUC of DenseNet201 for the new dataset compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	93
Figure 4.40	The AUC of ResNet152 for the new dataset compared with AUC of CheXpert [33], for each disease	94
Figure 4.41	Average AUC of VGG19_BN	95
Figure 4.42	Average AUC of DenseNet201	95
Figure 4.43	Average AUC of ResNet152	96
Figure 4.44	The difference of average AUC between ResNet152, DenseNet201 and VGG19_BN pre-trained models on the new combined dataset	97

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AG-CNN	-	Attention Guided Convolution Neural Network
AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
AUC	-	Area Under the Curve
CAD	-	Computer Aided Diagnosis
CNN	-	Convolutional Neural Network
CPU	-	Central Processing Unit
CXR	-	Chest X-Ray
DL	-	Deep Learning
FN	-	False Negative
FP	-	False Positive
GAN	-	Generative Adversarial Network
GPU	-	Graphics Processing Unit
HLL	-	High Level Language
lr	-	Learning rate
LSTM	-	Long Short-Term Memory
NAN	-	Not A Number
NIH	-	National Institutes of Health
PWE	-	Pair Wise Error
ReLU	-	Rectified Linear Unit
RGB	-	Red, Green and Blue
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
TB	-	Tuberculosis
TL	-	Transfer Learning
TN	-	True Negative
TP	-	Ture Positive

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Chapter one has five sections. Section 1.1 shows the project background. In section 1.2 problem statement is clearly justified. Section 1.3 shows the objectives for this work. The next section shows the framework for this project. Finally, planning of this project report is illustrated in section 1.5.

1.1 Project Background

Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease caused by the bacillus *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. According to the World Health Organization, tuberculosis (together with HIV/AIDS) is the deadliest infectious disease in the world. In 2015, the World Health Organization estimated that around 9.6 million people were infected with TB, leading in 1.5 million deaths (1.1 million Aids-negative and 0.4 million Aids-positive) [1]. The percentage of infected individuals increased dramatically by 2016: 10.4 million confirmed cases of the illness and 1.8 million deaths were recorded. (1.4 million Aids-negative and 0.4 million Aids-positive) [2]. Most of these deaths might have been avoided if the disease was identified in earlier phases.

Chest radiographs seem to be the most popular radiological examinations. They are important for the management of different pathologies related to high death rates and present a large variety of potential knowledge, many of which is overt. The most popular studies in chest x-rays involve lung infects, catheters and abnormalities of the size or contour of the heart, thus most study in computer-aided detection and diagnosis of chest x-rays has concentrated on these pathologies [3]. Automated thoracic radiography interpretation at the stage of performing clinicians can provide significant benefits in several clinical settings, from enhanced workflow prioritization

and clinical decision-making support to huge-scale examination and global population medical programs.

In past years, deep learning strategies have accomplished excellent results in a wide range of machine learning activities [4]. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) have confirmed to be particularly strong for image classification tasks, and have been successfully applied in a variety of areas, such as galaxy morphology estimation [5], Advancement of photo-guided autonomous cars [6], face detection [7][8], huge-scale video classification [9] and many others [10][11][12]. There are already several Computer Aided Diagnostic (CAD) systems that use CNNs to detect diseases [13][14][15][16][17][18][19][20]. However, its implementation of tuberculosis (TB) detection stays limited.

1.2 Problem Statement

In the United States, the percentage of pathologists as the number of the medical workforce is declining [21], and the geographical distribution of pathologists favors wider, more urban counties [22]. Delays and backlogs in the timely interpretation of radiography have shown an evidently decreased quality of healthcare in this kind of massive health institutions as the United Kingdom. The National Health Service [23] and the United States Department for Veterans Affairs [24]. The scenario is much worse in resource-poor areas where radiological facilities are pretty scarce. As of 2015, only 11 radiologists have served 12 million Rwandans [25], whereas the entire nation of Liberia, with a population of 4 million, has only two performing radiologists [26]. Precise automated radiographic analysis has the potential to increase the efficiency of the pathologist workflow and widen expert knowledge to underserved countries.

It has been recorded that there is a proportional lack of expertise in the assessment of radiology in several common locations of TB, which may minimize screening effectiveness and work-up initiatives [27][28]. Therefore, there has been an interest in the use of computer-aided diagnosis for the detection of pulmonary TB at

chest radiography, with various strategies presented [27][29][30]. These days, there are several extremely accurate diagnostic techniques depending on molecular analysis and bacteriological culture, but unfortunately, most of them cost prohibitively for mass adoption in developing countries that are most affected by the disease. The lowest cost and most common diagnostic methods, such as sputum smear microscopy, are recorded to have sensitivity problems [31]. Another common diagnostic method uses frontal chest radiographic images, but unfortunately, this technique is restricted by the need for skilled staff to independently monitor every radiography that is not present in developing countries. If an automated technique was discovered capable of identifying the disease, it could support current diagnostic strategies and be used as a large scale detection tool to screen large populations that could not be managed manually [32], thereby significantly reducing costs and potentially saving many lives.

Hence, a focus to research and development of a novel approach is important to tackle chest X-ray images with various chest diseases such as Tuberculosis (TB), Lung infiltrates, catheters, Pneumothorax, Pleural Effusion, Edema, and Cardiomegaly. Also, improve classification accuracy. This project proposes an enhanced deep learning Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model with the transfer learning technique.

1.3 Objectives

Two primary goals characterized for this work

- (a) To do classification on CheXpert dataset for the different pathologies using different CNN models by applying transfer learning technique.
- (b) To do classification for the new combined dataset that contains TB disease.

1.4 Scope of work

The framework is shown in Table 1.1

Table 1.1 Scope of work

SCOPE	DETAILS
Platform	TensorFlow 2.0 – Open source machine learning library. PyTorch 1.3– Open source machine learning library.
Tool	Python 3.7.2 – Programming High level language
Area	Chest radiographs classification.
Focus	Classification accuracy on 5 diseases in radiology reports. In addition to classify TB disease.
Dataset	CheXpert dataset [33], which consist of 224,316 chest X-ray images of 65,240 patients. (frontal and lateral views). Two datasets [34], that contains the TB disease (frontal radiographs): 1- Guangdong Medical College, Shenzhen, China dataset, which consist of: 662 chest radiographs. 2- Montgomery County, MD, USA dataset, which consist of: 138 chest radiographs.
Model	DenseNet [35], GoogleNet [36], AlexNet [37], VGGNet [38], ResNet [39] and SqueezeNet [40]

1.5 Project Report Outline

There are five chapters in this work:

Chapter 1 is the introduction of this work which contains: project background, problem statement, objectives, the scope of work and finally the project planning.

Chapter 2 is the literature review of this work. The history of deep learning, Architecture of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), transfer learning technique and related works similar to this project are all shown in chapter 2.

Chapter 3 is the methodology of this work. shows how to use the TensorFlow platform to implement CNN classification. The architecture of the proposed model, the selection of the pre-trained network, transfer learning technique are all clearly discussed. Finally, preparing the datasets for this work is plainly shown in chapter 3.

Chapter 4 is the results and benchmarking of this work. The results of the application of transfer learning are discussed. The AUC of the different CNN pre-trained models is shown. Training and validation of chest X-ray datasets on the pre-trained models are shown.

Chapter 5 Conclusion and future work attached to this work are clearly shown.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. H. Organization and W. H. Organization, “Global tuberculosis report 2015. 2015.” 2015.
- [2] D. Falzon *et al.*, “World Health Organization treatment guidelines for drug-resistant tuberculosis, 2016 update,” *Eur. Respir. J.*, vol. 49, no. 3, p. 1602308, 2017.
- [3] B. van Ginneken, L. Hogeweg, and M. Prokop, “Computer-aided diagnosis in chest radiography: Beyond nodules,” *Eur. J. Radiol.*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 226–230, 2009.
- [4] J. Schmidhuber, “Deep learning in neural networks: An overview,” *Neural networks*, vol. 61, pp. 85–117, 2015.
- [5] S. Dieleman, K. W. Willett, and J. Dambre, “Rotation-invariant convolutional neural networks for galaxy morphology prediction,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, vol. 450, no. 2, pp. 1441–1459, 2015.
- [6] B. Huval *et al.*, “An empirical evaluation of deep learning on highway driving,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1504.01716*, 2015.
- [7] H. Li, Z. Lin, X. Shen, J. Brandt, and G. Hua, “A convolutional neural network cascade for face detection,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2015, pp. 5325–5334.
- [8] S. S. Farfade, M. J. Saberian, and L.-J. Li, “Multi-view face detection using deep convolutional neural networks,” in *Proceedings of the 5th ACM on International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval*, 2015, pp. 643–650.
- [9] A. Karpathy, G. Toderici, S. Shetty, T. Leung, R. Sukthankar, and L. Fei-Fei, “Large-scale video classification with convolutional neural networks,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2014, pp. 1725–1732.
- [10] J. Zbontar and Y. LeCun, “Computing the stereo matching cost with a convolutional neural network,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2015, pp. 1592–1599.
- [11] S. Ji, W. Xu, M. Yang, and K. Yu, “3D convolutional neural networks for human action recognition,” *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 35,

- no. 1, pp. 221–231, 2013.
- [12] S. Sudholt and G. A. Fink, “PHOCNet: A deep convolutional neural network for word spotting in handwritten documents,” in *2016 15th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR)*, 2016, pp. 277–282.
- [13] G. Apou *et al.*, “Detection of lobular structures in normal breast tissue,” *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 74, pp. 91–102, 2016.
- [14] K.-L. Hua, C.-H. Hsu, S. C. Hidayati, W.-H. Cheng, and Y.-J. Chen, “Computer-aided classification of lung nodules on computed tomography images via deep learning technique,” *Onco. Targets. Ther.*, vol. 8, 2015.
- [15] M. Havaei *et al.*, “Brain tumor segmentation with deep neural networks,” *Med. Image Anal.*, vol. 35, pp. 18–31, 2017.
- [16] J. Kawahara, A. BenTaieb, and G. Hamarneh, “Deep features to classify skin lesions,” in *2016 IEEE 13th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, 2016, pp. 1397–1400.
- [17] B. Van Ginneken, A. A. A. Setio, C. Jacobs, and F. Ciompi, “Off-the-shelf convolutional neural network features for pulmonary nodule detection in computed tomography scans,” in *2015 IEEE 12th International symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI)*, 2015, pp. 286–289.
- [18] Y. Miki *et al.*, “Classification of teeth in cone-beam CT using deep convolutional neural network,” *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 80, pp. 24–29, 2017.
- [19] F. Ciompi *et al.*, “Automatic classification of pulmonary peri-fissural nodules in computed tomography using an ensemble of 2D views and a convolutional neural network out-of-the-box,” *Med. Image Anal.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 195–202, 2015.
- [20] Y. Bar, I. Diamant, L. Wolf, and H. Greenspan, “Deep learning with non-medical training used for chest pathology identification,” in *Medical Imaging 2015: Computer-Aided Diagnosis*, 2015, vol. 9414, p. 94140V.
- [21] A. B. Rosenkrantz, D. R. Hughes, and R. Duszak Jr, “The US radiologist workforce: an analysis of temporal and geographic variation by using large national datasets,” *Radiology*, vol. 279, no. 1, pp. 175–184, 2015.
- [22] A. B. Rosenkrantz, W. Wang, D. R. Hughes, and R. Duszak Jr, “A county-level analysis of the US radiologist workforce: physician supply and subspecialty characteristics,” *J. Am. Coll. Radiol.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 601–606, 2018.

- [23] A. Rimmer, *Radiologist shortage leaves patient care at risk, warns royal college*, vol. 359. 2017.
- [24] S. Bastawrous and B. Carney, “Improving patient safety: avoiding unread imaging exams in the national VA enterprise electronic health record,” *J. Digit. Imaging*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 309–313, 2017.
- [25] D. A. Rosman *et al.*, “Imaging in the land of 1000 hills: Rwanda radiology country report,” *J. Glob. Radiol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 5, 2015.
- [26] F. S. Ali, S. G. Harrington, S. B. Kennedy, and S. Hussain, “Diagnostic Radiology in Liberia: a country report,” *J. Glob. Radiol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 6, 2015.
- [27] J. Melendez *et al.*, “An automated tuberculosis screening strategy combining X-ray-based computer-aided detection and clinical information,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, p. 25265, Apr. 2016.
- [28] A. H. Van’t Hoog *et al.*, “High sensitivity of chest radiograph reading by clinical officers in a tuberculosis prevalence survey,” *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1308–1314, 2011.
- [29] S. Antani and S. Candemir, “Automated detection of lung diseases in chest X-rays,” *US Natl. Libr. Med.*, 2015.
- [30] S. Jaeger *et al.*, “Automatic screening for tuberculosis in chest radiographs: a survey,” *Quant. Imaging Med. Surg.*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 89, 2013.
- [31] C. C. Leung, “Reexamining the role of radiography in tuberculosis case finding,” *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis. Off. J. Int. Union against Tuberc. Lung Dis.*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. 1279, 2011.
- [32] S. Jaeger *et al.*, “Automatic tuberculosis screening using chest radiographs,” *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 233–245, 2014.
- [33] J. Irvin *et al.*, “CheXpert: A Large Chest Radiograph Dataset with Uncertainty Labels and Expert Comparison,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1901.07031*, 2019.
- [34] S. Jaeger, S. Candemir, S. Antani, Y.-X. J. Wáng, P.-X. Lu, and G. Thoma, “Two public chest X-ray datasets for computer-aided screening of pulmonary diseases,” *Quant. Imaging Med. Surg.*, vol. 4, no. 6, p. 475, 2014.
- [35] S. Jégou, M. Drozdal, D. Vazquez, A. Romero, and Y. Bengio, “The one hundred layers tiramisu: Fully convolutional densenets for semantic segmentation,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, 2017, pp. 11–19.

- [36] C. Szegedy *et al.*, “Going deeper with convolutions,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2015, pp. 1–9.
- [37] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, “Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks,” in *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2012, pp. 1097–1105.
- [38] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, “Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1409.1556*, 2014.
- [39] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, “Deep residual learning for image recognition,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [40] F. N. Iandola, S. Han, M. W. Moskewicz, K. Ashraf, W. J. Dally, and K. Keutzer, “SqueezeNet: AlexNet-level accuracy with 50x fewer parameters and < 0.5 MB model size,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1602.07360*, 2016.
- [41] N. J. Nilsson, *Principles of artificial intelligence*. Morgan Kaufmann, 2014.
- [42] J. Mathew and M. M. P. Sebastian, “Deep Learning Techniques in Computational Linguistics,” in *2018 Second International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS)*, 2018, pp. 576–581.
- [43] K. Fukushima, “Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position,” *Biol. Cybern.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 193–202, 1980.
- [44] D. E. Rumelhart, G. E. Hinton, and R. J. Williams, “Learning representations by back-propagating errors,” *Cogn. Model.*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 1, 1988.
- [45] Y. LeCun *et al.*, “Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition,” *Neural Comput.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 541–551, 1989.
- [46] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, “Long short-term memory,” *Neural Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735–1780, 1997.
- [47] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, “Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database,” in *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [48] A. Madani, M. Moradi, A. Karargyris, and T. Syeda-Mahmood, “Semi-supervised learning with generative adversarial networks for chest X-ray classification with ability of data domain adaptation,” in *2018 IEEE 15th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2018)*, 2018, pp. 1038–1042.

- [49] P. Kumar, M. Grewal, and M. M. Srivastava, “Boosted cascaded convnets for multilabel classification of thoracic diseases in chest radiographs,” in *International Conference Image Analysis and Recognition*, 2018, pp. 546–552.
- [50] L. Yao, E. Poblens, D. Dagunts, B. Covington, D. Bernard, and K. Lyman, “Learning to diagnose from scratch by exploiting dependencies among labels,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1710.10501*, 2017.
- [51] Q. Guan, Y. Huang, Z. Zhong, Z. Zheng, L. Zheng, and Y. Yang, “Diagnose like a radiologist: Attention guided convolutional neural network for thorax disease classification,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1801.09927*, 2018.
- [52] P. Rajpurkar *et al.*, “CheXNet: Radiologist-Level Pneumonia Detection on Chest X-Rays with Deep Learning,” pp. 3–9, 2017.
- [53] X. Wang, Y. Peng, L. Lu, Z. Lu, M. Bagheri, and R. M. Summers, “ChestX-Ray8: Hospital-Scale Chest X-Ray Database and Benchmarks on Weakly-Supervised Classification and Localization of Common Thorax Diseases,” in *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2017, pp. 3462–3471.
- [54] Y. Bar, I. Diamant, L. Wolf, S. Lieberman, E. Konen, and H. Greenspan, “Chest pathology detection using deep learning with non-medical training,” in *2015 IEEE 12th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, 2015, pp. 294–297.
- [55] P. Lakhani and B. Sundaram, “Deep learning at chest radiography: automated classification of pulmonary tuberculosis by using convolutional neural networks,” *Radiology*, vol. 284, no. 2, pp. 574–582, 2017.
- [56] M. T. Islam, M. A. Aowal, A. T. Minhaz, and K. Ashraf, “Abnormality detection and localization in chest x-rays using deep convolutional neural networks,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1705.09850*, 2017.
- [57] U. K. Lopes and J. F. Valiati, “Pre-trained convolutional neural networks as feature extractors for tuberculosis detection,” *Comput. Biol. Med.*, vol. 89, no. February, pp. 135–143, 2017.
- [58] M. Lin, Q. Chen, and S. Yan, “Network in network,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1312.4400*, 2013.