OPTIMIZATION OF PI CONTROLLER FOR LEVEL CONTROL OF WATER TANK SYSTEM

SARAH BINTI JEWAHID

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Mechatronics and Automatic Control)

> School of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > AUGUST 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah. All praises to Allah for His all blessing in completing this master project. First and foremost, I would like to express my special appreciation to my supervisor, Ir. Dr. Shafishuhaza Sahlan, for her continuous supervision and guidance to help me throughout this master project journey. Not forgotten, my appreciation goes to all lecturers who have taught me throughout the master's program.

Sincere thanks to all my friends especially my classmates for their kindness and moral support during my study. Thanks for the friendship and memories. My gratitude also goes to my beloved family. Thanks for unconditional love and encouragement. Thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

The liquid level control is one of the most important parameter in most of industrial process such as in water treatment plant. The general control objective in water treatment system is to maintained a desired water level of the water tank when there is an inflow and outflow at the respective tank. Although the water tank system is considered as a simple plant from construction perspective, the control action faces with various challenges due to the complexity of the system which are influenced by nonlinear behavior and uncertainties. Inadequate control strategies to deal with the nonlinearities and uncertainties appearance will contribute to inaccurate levelling process. Proportional -Integral - Derivative (PID) controller is widely used as control algorithm in many process due to the simplicity and ease to use. However, conventional PID controller is not satisfactory to water level control system because it cannot perform better in nonlinear system as the controller parameters need to be tuned properly and continuously throughout the whole process. Therefore, this project proposes an optimized method to determine optimum PID control parameters for level control of water tank system using swarm optimization method. The solution is based on the idea that accurate selection of controller parameters in dealing with nonlinearities and uncertainties will contribute to high precision levelling process. The approach has several notable merits, namely rapid convergence, simplicity in determining algorithm parameters and finding the best optimal point. A comprehensive verification using simulation is carried out to determine the performance of the proposed method. From the simulation work, it is shows that swarm optimization method validates its ability to tune up controller parameters with high level of precision compared with manually adjustments of the controller parameters. The proposed method is shows it has better performance than manual tuning PID controller in terms of the time response.

ABSTRAK

Kebanyakan proses industri seperti di pusat rawatan air melibatkan kawalan aras cecair sebagai salah satu parameter yang penting. Secara asasnya, objektif kawalan di dalam sebuah sistem rawatan air adalah untuk mengekalakan aras air yang diperlukan khuusnya apabila melibatkan masukan dan keluaran air dalam sesuatu tangki. Walaupun secara dasarnya sistem tangki air dianggap ringkas dari perspektif struktur binaan, tindakan kawalan melibatkan pelbagai cabaran disebabkan oleh kesukaran sistem yang dipengaruhi oleh tindakan tidak linear dan perkara yang tidak dapat dijangka. Kelemahan strategi kawalan untuk mengatasi kesukaran ini akan mengakibatkan kepada proses kawalan air didalam tangi tidak jitu. Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) merupakan satu algoritma kawalan yang banyak digunakan dalam kebanyakan proses industri kerana strukturnya yang ringkas dan mudah digunakan. Namun, kawalan PID adakalanya tidak memadai dalam proses mengawal aras air kerana ia tidak dapat memberikan kawalan dengan baik dalam keadaan tidak linear memandangkan parameter-parameter kawalan perlu ditetapkan dengan berhati-hati secara berterusan. Oleh itu, projek ini mengetengahkan penggunaan satu kaedah untuk mengoptimumkan parameter kawalan PID untuk sistem tangki air dengan menggunakan Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). Kaedah yang dicadangkan adalah berlandasan kepada idea ketepatan pemilihan parameter kawalan dalam sesuatu sistem akan menyumbang kepada kejituan dalam proses kawalan aras cecair. Kaedah yang dicadangkan memiliki kelebihan seperti konvergensi pantas, penggunaan algoritma yang ringkas dan mudah dan berkebolehan mencari titik optimum yang terbaik. Verifikasi menggunakan platform simulasi telah dilaksanakan untuk memnetukan kebolehan kaedah yang dicadangkan. Hasil simulasi mendapati kaedah yang dicadangkan membuktikan ia mampu menetukan parameter kawalan dengan baik berbanding dengan kaedah penetuan parameter kawalan secara manual dalam analisis tindakbalas masa.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|------|
| DE | DECLARATION | | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | | iv | |
| ABSTRACT | | V | |
| ABS | STRAK | | vi |
| TA | BLE OF | CONTENTS | vii |
| LIS | T OF TA | BLES | X |
| LIST OF FIGURES | | xi | |
| LIS | T OF AB | BREVIATIONS | xii |
| | NADO | | 1 |
| CHAPTER I | INTRO | DUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Introdu | ction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Background | | 2 |
| 1.3 | Problem Statement | | 2 |
| 1.4 | Research Goal | | 3 |
| 1.5 | Researc | h Objectives | 3 |
| 1.6 | Researc | h Scopes | 3 |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITER | ATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| 2.1 | Introdu | ction | 5 |
| | 2.1.1 | Process Control | 5 |
| | 2.1.2 | Water treatment control purpose | 6 |
| 2.2 | Mathen | natical Modelling of Liquid Level | 6 |
| 2.3 | Controller Technique | | 7 |
| | 2.3.1 | Proportional-Integral-Derivative Controller | 7 |
| | 2.3.2 | Fuzzy Logic Control | 8 |
| | 2.3.3 | Model Predictive Controller | 9 |
| 2.4 | Optimiz | zed Method | 9 |
| | 2.4.1 | Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) | 10 |
| | 2.4.2 | Genetic Algorithm | 10 |

| | 2.4.3 Cuckoo Algorithm | 11 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2.5 | Previous studies on Liquid Level Control and Optimization Technique | 11 |
| | 2.5.1 Control technique for liquid level control system | 12 |
| | 2.5.2 Optimized tuning technique for liquid level control system | 13 |
| 2.6 | Summary | 14 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 15 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 15 |
| 3.2 | Water tank level control system | 16 |
| 3.3 | Liquid level model | 16 |
| 3.4 | Control System Design | 18 |
| | 3.4.1 PID Controller Design | 19 |
| | 3.4.2 PSO-PI Controller Design | 20 |
| 3.5 | Summary | 23 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 4 | RESULTS | 25 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 | RESULTS Introduction | 25 25 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling | 25 25 25 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance | 25 25 25 29 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance 4.3.1 Simulation of water level tank system (without controller) | 25 25 25 29 29 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 | RESULTSIntroductionSystem ModelingInitial System Performance4.3.1Simulation of water level tank system (without controller)4.3.2Simulation of water level tank system (with controller) | 25 25 29 29 31 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance 4.3.1 Simulation of water level tank system (without controller) 4.3.2 Simulation of water level tank system (with controller) Simulation of liquid level system with PI and PSO-PI controller | 25 25 29 31 33 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance 4.3.1 Simulation of water level tank system (without controller) 4.3.2 Simulation of water level tank system (with controller) Simulation of liquid level system with PI and PSO-PI controller | 25 25 29 29 31 33 35 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance 4.3.1 Simulation of water level tank system (without controller) 4.3.2 Simulation of water level tank system (with controller) Simulation of liquid level system with PI and PSO-PI controller 4.4.1 Simulation results for 1cm reference point 4.4.2 Simulation results for 10cm reference point | 25 25 29 29 31 33 35 37 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance 4.3.1 Simulation of water level tank system (without controller) 4.3.2 Simulation of water level tank system (with controller) Simulation of liquid level system with PI and PSO-PI controller 4.4.1 Simulation results for 1cm reference point 4.4.2 Simulation results for 10cm reference point 4.4.3 Simulation results for 27cm reference point | 25 25 29 29 31 33 35 37 39 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance 4.3.1 Simulation of water level tank system (without controller) 4.3.2 Simulation of water level tank system (with controller) Simulation of liquid level system with PI and PSO-PI controller 4.4.1 Simulation results for 1cm reference point 4.4.2 Simulation results for 10cm reference point 4.4.3 Simulation results for 27cm reference point 4.4.4 Controller performance under disturbance condition. | 25 25 29 29 31 33 35 37 39 39 |
| CHAPTER 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | RESULTS Introduction System Modeling Initial System Performance 4.3.1 Simulation of water level tank system (without controller) 4.3.2 Simulation of water level tank system (with controller) Simulation of liquid level system with PI and PSO-PI controller 4.4.1 Simulation results for 1cm reference point 4.4.2 Simulation results for 10cm reference point 4.4.3 Simulation results for 27cm reference point 4.4.4 Controller performance under disturbance condition. 4.4.5 Performance Comparison | 25 25 29 29 31 33 35 37 39 39 43 |

| CHAPTER 5 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 45 |
|------------|--------------------------------|----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 45 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 46 |
| REFERENCES | | 47 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE | |
|------------|---|------|--|
| Table 3.1 | Summary of PID parameter effect to closed loop system | 20 | |
| Table 4.1 | System Model Analysis | 26 | |
| Table 4.2 | Initial characteristics of water level tank system | 30 | |
| Table 4.3 | Controller performance comparison for Tank A | | |
| Table 4.4 | Controller performance comparison for Tank B | 33 | |
| Table 4.5 | PSO parameter | 34 | |
| Table 4.6 | Gain parameter for conventional PI and PSO-PI controller | 34 | |
| Table 4.7 | Closed loop performance for PI and PSO-PI controller (Reference=1cm) | 35 | |
| Table 4.8 | Performance Indexes between PI and PSO-PI controller | 35 | |
| Table 4.9 | Closed loop performance for PI and PSO-PI controller (Reference=10cm) | 37 | |
| Table 4.10 | Performance Indexes between PI and PSO-PI controller (Reference=10cm) | 37 | |
| Table 4.11 | Closed loop performance for PI and PSO-PI controller | 39 | |
| Table 4.12 | Performance Indexes between PI and PSO-PI controller | 39 | |
| Table 4.13 | Controller performance improvement. | 43 | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | JRE NO. TITLE | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.1 | Block diagram for liquid level system | 16 |
| Figure 3.2 | Representation of automatic liquid level control system | 17 |
| Figure 3.3 | Material balance inside the liquid tank | 17 |
| Figure 3.4 | Conventional PID structure | 19 |
| Figure 3.5 | Closed loop system with unity feedback | 20 |
| Figure 3.6 | Flow chart for PSO algorithm | 22 |
| Figure 4.1 | System model configuration | 26 |
| Figure 4.2 | Root locus for water tank A | 28 |
| Figure 4.3 | Root locus for water tank B | 28 |
| Figure 4.4 | Step response for uncompensated water level tank system | 30 |
| Figure 4.5 | Step response for compensated system (Tank A) | 31 |
| Figure 4.6 | Step response for compensated system (Tank B) | 33 |
| Figure 4.7 | Step response of PI and PSO-PI controller for Tank A and Tank B (Reference=1cm) | 36 |
| Figure 4.8 | Step response of PI and PSO-PI controller for Tank A and Tank B (Reference =10cm) | 38 |
| Figure 4.9 | Liquid level response with input disturbance rejection of Tank A and Tank B with PI-PSO controller | 41 |
| Figure 4.10 | Liquid level response with output disturbance rejection of Tank A and Tank B with PI-PSO controller | 42 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| PID | - | Proportional Integral Derivative |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|
| PSO | - | Particle Swarm Optimization |
| FLC | - | Fuzzy Logic Controller |
| Tr | - | Rise time |
| Ts | - | Settling time |
| Ess | - | Steady state error |
| OS | - | Overshoot |
| IAE | - | Integral Absolute Error |
| ISE | - | Integral Square Error |
| ITAE | - | Integral of Time with Absolute Error |
| | | |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Water treatment is a process to purify and treating raw water from natural sources such as lake, river or stream to get acceptable range for specific end user. The purified water is desirable for drinking water purpose, irrigation in agriculture sector, industrial water purpose, medical purpose and all types of domestic purpose. A water treatment plant comprises four major stages namely coagulation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection. Along the stages, water will be transferred from one stage to another stage and get treated and purified through mechanical process, chemical process and biological process.

Level control is essential in water treatment process as the process of treating the water involve the usage of water pump for water transference and water storage in all stages. The operation of pump is directly affected by the respective water volume in each tank which can be measured through transducer. During operation, the water pump need to continuously run to ensure sufficient amount of water could be delivered to consumer. The water pump can be damaged if the pump is alternately run and stop. Thus, it is necessary for water treatment plant to have a proper monitoring and controller to ensure the production requirement is met as well as provide safe operations to personnel and equipment. Apart from that, efficient water treatment process could contribute to improvement of plant operation quality, optimized energy usage and improve the plant productivity.

1.2 Problem Background

Generally, most water utilities are considering the optimization method of their plants operation to minimize the expenditures and to reach water quality specifications and regulations. The aim can be achieved by implementing appropriate process control and automation. Water level control is an important process parameter that need to be maintained at the desired level. However, there are many difficulties in controlling water level system. In some situation, system could operate under unstable condition such as operating below or above the set point. Thus, water level control is often encountered as a complex system with nonlinearities and uncertainties. The nonlinearities and uncertainties in the system could occurs due to several causes such as modelling errors, interruption during the process, noise in the measuring device and water turbulence. Improper action for dealing with the nonlinearities and uncertainties to the unsatisfactory response and stability. Therefore, designing a control algorithm in water level control system is necessary to reach greater system performance.

1.3 Problem Statement

Control system performance is directly affected by proper selection of controller parameter. Inaccurate tuning of the parameter could lead the system becomes unstable and possess poor performance characteristics for nonlinear system. Conventional Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) control is one of the best controller to be implemented. However, it is highly dependent on precise mathematical model of the process and tuning the optimal control parameter for PID control in nonlinearity condition of liquid level system is very difficult. One of the most commonly method used in determining the PID controller is trial and error method, but this method may take a longer time and quite difficult to obtain the suitable parameters (Djalal *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, an optimization algorithm is proposed to assist tuning process to ensure liquid level process system could achieve greater system performance.

1.4 Research Goal

This project will be conducted by integrating advantages offered by PID controller and optimization method. The proposed evolutionary algorithm will determine optimum PID controller parameters and thus enhancing water level control performance at various operating points.

1.5 Research Objectives

Several objectives have been identified to ensure the project's aim is achievable. The objectives are:

- 1. To develop a suitable model for automated liquid level process system.
- 2. To develop an PI and optimized PI controller for automated liquid level process system.
- 3. To perform a comparative study between PI and optimized PI in order to determine the best controller performance for automated liquid level process system.

1.6 Research Scopes

This project will be conducted based on the laboratory scale water treatment system and focusing on the water level controller only. Throughout the work, water level system is denoted by automated liquid level process system. The mathematical model of the liquid level system is formulated based on the prototype in the laboratory and will be used as the reference model for this project. Then, the controllers design and performance analysis will be carried out in simulation platform by using MATLAB and Simulink. The proposed optimizing method to be used in this project is Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm.

REFERENCES

- Alkhafaji, F. S. M., Hasan, W. Z. W., Isa, M. M. and Sulaiman, N. (2018) 'A novel method for tuning PID controller', 'Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering', 10(43435), pp. 33–38.
- AbedSabri, L. and Ahmed AL-Mshat, H. (2015) 'Implementation of Fuzzy and PID Controller to Water Level System using LabView', *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 116(11), pp. 6–10.
- Aghaei, A., Kiani, K. and Bayati, M. (2014) 'Optimizing Fuzzy Controller using Cuckoo Optimization Algorithm (COA)', International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering, 3(January 2017), pp. 1–10.
- Balochian, S. and Ebrahimi, E. (2013) 'Parameter Optimization via Cuckoo Optimization Algorithm of Fuzzy Controller for Liquid Level Control', 2013.
- Bas, A. (2016) 'Implementation of an adaptive fuzzy compensator for coupled tank liquid level control system', *Measurement*, 91, pp. 12–18.
- Cao, Hong Ying;Deng, N. (2016) 'Design of water tank level PID control based on kingview', IEEE 11th Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA), Hefei. IEEE, pp. 1926–1928.
- Cetin, M. and Iplikci, S. (2015) 'A novel auto-tuning PID control mechanism for nonlinear systems', *ISA Transactions*. Elsevier, 58, pp. 292–308.
- CHABNI, F., TALEB, R., BENBOUALI, A. and Amin, M. (2016) 'The Application of Fuzzy Control in Water Tank Level Using Arduino', *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 7(4), pp. 261– 265.
- Chao, C. T., Liu, M. T., Wang, C. J. and Chiou, J. S. (2018) 'A fuzzy adaptive controller for cuckoo search algorithm in active suspension system', *Journal* of Low Frequency Noise Vibration and Active Control, pp. 1–11.
- D. Dinesh Kumar, C. D. & S. G. (2013) 'Design And Implementation of Skogestad PID Controller For Interacting Spherical Tank System Diameter of Radius of Spherical Height of spherical', *International Journal of Advanced*

Electrical and Electronics Engineering (IJAEEE), 2(4), pp. 117–120.

- Djalal, M. R., Ajiatmo, D., Imran, A. and Robandi, I. (2015) 'Desain Optimal Kontroler Proportional Integral Derivative Motor dengan menggunakan Cuckoo Search Algortihm, pp. 121–126.
- Gizi, A. Al, Mustafa, M., Alsaedi, M. and Zreen, N. (2013) 'Fuzzy Control System Review', *Ijser.Org*, 4(1), pp. 1–8.
- Hasan Hafizel Haque, S., Imran Hassan, H. M. and Abul Hossain, S. M. (2014)'Comparison of Control System Using PLC & PID', ASEE 2014 Zone I Conference.
- Honc, D., Sharma K., R., Abraham, A., Dušek, F. and Pappa, N. (2016) 'Teaching and Practicing Model Predictive Control', *IFAC-PapersOnLine*. Elsevier B.V., 49(6), pp. 34–39.
- Hussain, M., Apama, V., Banu, U. S. and Lakshmanaprabu, S. K. (2017) 'Automation of two interacting conical tank process with multiobjective optimization of fractional PID controller with a metaheuristic optimizer, the cuckoo search algorithm', *Proceedings - TIMA 2017: 9th International Conference on Trends in Industrial Measurement and Automation.*
- Hussien, S. Y. S., Jaafar, H. I., Selamat, N. a, Shair, E. F. and Abidin, a F. Z. (2015)
 'Development of Mathematical Model for Coupled Tank System using System Development of Mathematical Model for Coupled Tank System using System Identification (SI)', *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)*, (MAY), pp. 73–77.
- Jafari, S., Bozorg-Haddad, O. and Chu, X. (2018) 'Cuckoo optimization algorithm (COA)', Studies in Computational Intelligence. Elsevier B.V., 720(8), pp. 39–49.
- Kamarudin, M. N., Rozali, S., Hairi, M. H., Hanaffi, F., Shahrieel, M., Aras, M., Khairi, M. and Zambri, M. (2018) 'Realization of Real-Time Hardware-inthe-Loop for a Liquid Level with Open-loop Ziegler Nichols Technique', *International Journal of Electrical Engineering and Applied Sciences* (*IJEEAS*), 1(2), pp. 47–52.
- Kishnani, M., Pareek, S. and Gupta, R. (2014) 'Optimal Tuning of PID Controller Using Meta', International Journal of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, 7(2), pp. 171–176.
- Lai, C. H., Chan, N. W. and Roy, R. (2017) 'Understanding public perception of and

participation in non-revenue water management in Malaysia to support urban water policy', *Water (Switzerland)*, 9(1).

- Mahapatro, S. R., Subudhi, B. and Ghosh, S. (2018) 'Design and experimental realization of a robust decentralized PI controller for a coupled tank system', *ISA Transactions*. Elsevier Ltd, (xxxx).
- Marini, F. and Walczak, B. (2015) 'Particle swarm optimization (PSO). A tutorial', Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems. Elsevier B.V., 149, pp. 153–165.
- Mary, S. A. J. and Linsely, J. A. (2017) 'Modeling and Control of Level Control Process- A Comparative Study', in *International Conference on Innovations* in Power and Advanced Computing Technologies, pp. 1–4.
- Mateo, R. M. A., Yoon, I. and Lee, J. (2006) 'Cooperation model for object group using load balancing', *Ijcsns*, 6(12), p. 138.
- Mukherjee, V. and Ghoshal, S. P. (2007) 'Intelligent particle swarm optimized fuzzy PID controller for AVR system', 77, pp. 1689–1698.
- Nadhir, M., Wahab, A., Nefti-meziani, S. and Atyabi, A. (2015) 'A Comprehensive Review of Swarm Optimization Algorithms', pp. 1–36.
- Perez, M. E. A., Romero, J. C. T. and Ramirez, J. H. P. (2016) 'System design and implementation to control an industrial process through a microcontroller and Lab VIEW', *Proceedings - 2016 International Conference on Mechatronics, Electronics, and Automotive Engineering, ICMEAE 2016*, pp. 90–95.
- Pratama, S. C., Susanto, E. and Wibowo, A. S. (2017) 'Design and implementation of water level control using gain scheduling PID back calculation integrator Anti Windup', *ICCEREC 2016 - International Conference on Control, Electronics, Renewable Energy, and Communications 2016, Conference Proceedings.* IEEE, pp. 101–104.
- Prusty, S. B., Pati, U. C. and Mahapatra, K. (2014) 'Implementation of Fuzzy-PID Controller to Liquid Level System using LabVIEW', in *The 2014 International Conference on Control, Instrumentation, Energy and Comumunication (CIEC)*, pp. 36–40.
- Rahmat, M. F. and Md Rozali, S. (2013) 'Modeling and Controller Design for a Coupled–Tank Liquid Level System: Analysis & Comparison', Jurnal Teknologi, 48(1), pp. 113–141.

- RAMYA, G., RAJ, K. M. and KALAIYARASI, M. (2014) 'Nonlinear Identification of Cascaded Two Tank System', *Ijireeice*, pp. 2084–2087.
- Reis, V. C., Santos, M. F., Carmo, M. J. and Ferreira, F. C. (2016) 'Control of level systems by arduino via PC platform', 2016 20th International Conference on System Theory, Control and Computing, ICSTCC 2016 - Joint Conference of SINTES 20, SACCS 16, SIMSIS 20 - Proceedings. IEEE, pp. 251–256.
- Sadeghi, M. S., Safarinejadian, B. and Farughian, A. (2014) 'Parallel distributed compensator design of tank level control based on fuzzy Takagi – Sugeno model', *Applied Soft Computing Journal*. Elsevier B.V., 21, pp. 280–285.
- Seborg, D., Mellichamp, D., Edga, T. and Doyle, F. (2011) 'Chapter 20: Model Predictive Control', *Process Dynamics and Control*, pp. 414–438.
- Song, Y. (2014) (2014) Intelligent PID controller based on fuzzy logic control and neural network technology for indoor environment quality improvement. PhD thesis, Intelligent PID controller based on fuzzy logic control and neural network technology for.
- Suresh Manic, K., Ananthasivam, S. and Rajinikanth, V. (2014) 'Soft computing approach to design pid controller for tank liquid level control problem', *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 10(Spec. Issue 1 on the second eureca 2014, 2-3 July 2014), pp. 82–97.
- Ťapák, P. and Huba, M. (2012) 'Nonlinear control of liquid level', IFAC Proceedings Volumes (IFAC-PapersOnline), 45(11 PART 1), pp. 218–223.
- Usha, A., Patel, H. and Narayana, K. V. L. (2014) 'Water Tank Level Control System using Self-Adaptive Fuzzy-PID Control', *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 3(6), pp. 1992–1997.
- Varalakshmi, B. and Bhaskaraiah, T. (2014) 'Temperature Control of Water Tank Level System by using Fuzzy - PID Controllers', *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 3(9), pp. 100–104.
- Vincent, A. K. and Nersisson, R. (2017) 'Particle swarm optimization based PID controller tuning for level control of two tank system', *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 263(5).
- Vojtesek, J., Dostal, P. and Maslan, M. (2014) 'Modelling And Simulation Of Water Tank', 8(Cd), pp. 297–303.
- Xin, K., Tao, T., Lu, Y., Xiong, X. and Li, F. (2014) 'Apparent losses analysis in

district metered areas of water distribution systems', Water Resources Management.

Yang, Z. J. and Sugiura, H. (2019) 'Robust nonlinear control of a three-tank system using finite-time disturbance observers', *Control Engineering Practice*. Elsevier Ltd, 84(December 2018), pp. 63–71.