

NOISE EXPOSURE OF CONSTRUCTION WORKERS DURING THE  
EARTHWORK STAGE

NORAKMALHIDAYAH EMBONG

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

NOISE EXPOSURE OF CONSTRUCTION WORKERS DURING  
THE EARTHWORK STAGE

NORAKMALHIDAYAH EMBONG

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Construction Management)

School of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Thank you, Almighty Allah, for granting and giving the strength and ability to complete my study successfully. My deepest gratitude and appreciation go to beloved Assoc Prof. Dr. Zaiton Haron, who has supported me mentally and physically throughout this study. She guided and encouraged me from early to the end of the journey.

My deep sense of gratitude goes to Pembinaan Sujaman Sdn. Bhd, Menuju Asas Sdn. Bhd. and Proven Construction and Development Sdn. Bhd., for their cooperation and generosity assistant during the data collection stage.

My appreciation also extends to my research group members and colleagues from MKAM Ipoh who have given me their support and shared their knowledge as well as words of wisdom which aided me immensely in my study. Last but not least, my sincere gratefulness also extends to my beloved husband and all my family members for their comfort and encouragement.

## ABSTRACT

Exposure to hazardous and excessive noise level among construction workers may affect the workers mentally and physically. It also generally may lead to more serious consequences that affected their safety and health as well. The aim of this study is to determine the noise exposure level experienced among construction workers during the earthwork stage. In order to achieve this aim, questionnaire surveys related to perception on noise exposures were carried out on management team and workers. It followed by the measurement of sound power level of construction machineries which are widely used during earthwork stage as these machineries are the noise sources in construction site. The measurements of noise exposure level experienced by operator and non-operator working in the area were then acquired. Sound power level of machineries were determined according to ISO 112000, Acoustics-Noise emitted by machinery and equipment while noise exposures were measured according Factories and Machinery Act 1967 (Act 139) P.U. (A) 1/89 Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulations 1989. The result from questionnaire survey found that 43% of the construction workers experiencing hearing loss symptom whereby 34.3% of them were among the workers who worked for more than 10 years in construction. It was obtained that 65% of construction machineries generated hazardous sound level ( $\geq 85$  dBA) and 49% of measured workers were exposed to noise above action level ( $\geq 85$  dBA). Analysis shows that noise exposure level of workers has good relationship with sound produced by machineries ( $r = 0.65$ ). The measurement results on noise exposure proved that construction workers at excavation stage susceptible to risk of developing hearing loss and this is coinciding with the finding from questionnaire survey.

## ABSTRAK

Pendedahan kepada bunyi bising (hingar) yang berbahaya dan berlebihan di kalangan pekerja pembinaan boleh menjejaskan pekerja secara mental dan fizikal. Ia juga boleh membawa kepada akibat yang lebih serius dan memberi kesan kepada keselamatan serta kesihatan mereka. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan tahap pendedahan hingar yang dialami di kalangan pekerja pembinaan semasa peringkat kerja tanah. Bagi mencapai matlamat ini, kaji selidik yang berkaitan dengan persepsi mengenai pendedahan bunyi dilakukan terhadap pihak pengurusan pembinaa dan juga para pekerja. Ia diikuti dengan pengukuran tahap kuasa bunyi jentera pembinaan yang digunakan secara meluas semasa peringkat kerja tanah di mana jentera ini adalah sumber bunyi bising utama di tapak bina. Pengukuran tahap pendedahan hingar yang dialami oleh pengendali dan bukan pengendali yang bekerja di kawasan tersebut turut diukur. Tahap kekuatan bunyi jentera telah ditentukan mengikut ISO 112000, Akustik-Kebisingan yang dihasilkan oleh jentera dan pendedahan bunyi pula diukur mengikut Akta Kilang dan Jentera 1967 (Akta 139) P.U. (A) 1/89 Peraturan-Peraturan Kilang dan Jentera (Pendedahan Bunyi) 1989. Hasil daripada kaji selidik didapati bahawa 43% pekerja pembinaan mengalami gejala kehilangan pendengaran di mana 34.3% daripada mereka adalah pekerja yang bekerja lebih dari 10 tahun dalam pembinaan. Didapati juga, 65% daripada jentera pembinaan menjana tahap bunyi berbahaya ( $\geq 85$  dBA) dan 49% pekerja pembinaan terdedah kepada bunyi melebihi tahap tindakan ( $\geq 85$  dBA). Analisa menunjukkan tahap pendedahan bunyi pekerja mempunyai hubungan yang baik dengan bunyi yang dihasilkan oleh jentera ( $r = 0.65$ ). Hasil pengukuran terhadap pendedahan bunyi membuktikan bahawa pekerja pembinaan di peringkat penggalian mudah terdedah kepada risiko kehilangan pendengaran dan ini adalah bertepatan dengan hasil dari kaji selidik.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background Study	1
	1.3 Problem Statement	2
	1.4 Research Objective	3
	1.5 Research Scope	3
	1.6 Significance of Research	4
	1.7 Summary	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 The Distinction of Sound and Noise	7
	2.3 Earthwork Stage of a Construction	9
	2.4 Noise Generated by Construction Machinery	10
	2.4.1 Factors Affected the Noise Level of Construction Machinery	11

2.4.2	Effects of Noise Intensity of Machine on Workers' Noise Exposure	13
2.5	Noise Exposure Regulation for Construction Workers	14
2.5.1	8-hour Time-Weighted Average (TWA)	15
2.5.2	Latest Regulation for Construction Workers Under OSHR 2019	16
2.6	Effects of Excessive Noise on Construction Workers	17
2.6.1	Hearing Loss	17
2.6.2	Workers' Health	20
2.7	Summary	20
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	Literature Review	23
3.3	Data Collection	24
3.3.1	Survey Questionnaires and Interview Session	27
3.3.2	Measurement of Sound Power Level of Construction Machinery	28
3.3.3	Measurement of Noise Exposure Level (8 hour-TWA) of Construction Workers	33
3.4	Data Analysis	35
3.4.1	Normality Test	35
3.4.2	Correlation Test (Pearson Correlation Test)	35
3.5	Summary	36
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1	Introduction	37
4.2	Perception of Construction Management Team Regarding Noise Exposure Among Construction Workers	37
4.3	Perception of Workers on Noise Exposure at Construction Sites	40
4.4	Effects of Excessive Noise on Construction Workers	42
4.5	Intensity of Sound Level Generated by machinery Involved in Earthwork Stage	45
4.6	Noise Exposure Level (8 hour-TWA) of Construction Workers	47

4.7	Proposed HPD	51
4.8	Summary	52
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>55</b>
5.1	Introduction	55
5.2	Conclusion	55
5.3	Recommendations	58
	5.3.1 Technical Improvements	58
	5.3.2 Safety Management Improvements	58
	5.3.3 Future Research Improvements	59
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>61</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Simplified guidance on the selection of hearing protection	20
Table 3.1	Machinery and workers from different sites and the number of samples taken	26
Table 4.1	Responses of construction workers regarding noise and safety	41
Table 4.2	Responses on the application of HPD according to worker's years of experiences in construction	41
Table 4.3	Crosstabulation result of wearing HPD and hearing problem symptom at 1m distance	44
Table 4.4	Years of working and noise-related diseases	44
Table 4.5	Correlation analysis result between sound power level and age of machine	47
Table 4.6	Correlation test result of the parameter	50

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	The relationship between amplitude and sound	8
Figure 2.2	Mechanism of sound waves (CCOHS, 2019)	9
Figure 2.3	Noise spectrum of workers that work with and without machinery (Fernandez <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	12
Figure 2.4	Linear relationship between machinery's age (excavator) and noise emission level (Haron <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	13
Figure 2.5	Linear relationship between machinery's age (compactor) and noise emission level (Haron <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	13
Figure 2.6	Mean hearing levels according to years in construction (Hong, 2005)	15
Figure 2.7	Example of a hearing protection devices	19
Figure 3.1	Framework of data collection methodology	25
Figure 3.2	Survey and interview session with construction workers	28
Figure 3.3	Excavator	29
Figure 3.4	Bulldozer	29
Figure 3.5	Roller-compactor	30
Figure 3.6	Dump truck	30
Figure 3.7	Drilling machine	31
Figure 3.8	Sound level meter Type 2 utilised for the measurements	31
Figure 3.9	Schematic diagram of noise measurement for bulldozer, compactor and dump truck working at full load	32
Figure 3.10	Schematic diagram of noise measurement for excavator working at full load	32
Figure 3.11	Schematic diagram of noise measurement for drilling machine working at full load and construction machinery at idling	33
Figure 3.12	Personal noise dosimeter used in this study	34
Figure 3.13	Machinery operators (a) and (b) are wearing the dosimeter	34

Figure 4.1	Responses on machinery as the main factor of noise at construction site	38
Figure 4.2	Responses on the responsibility of employer toward workers	39
Figure 4.3	Responses on noise was partly contributed to workers' health problem	39
Figure 4.4	Responses on employer awareness of OSHR 2019	40
Figure 4.5	Responses to the hearing problem at a one-meter distance according to worker's years of working in construction	43
Figure 4.6	Workers with noise-related disease and hearing problem at a one-meter distance	45
Figure 4.7	Mean sound power ( $L_w$ ) base on the type of machinery during working at full load and idling	46
Figure 4.8	Percentage of workers exposure by referring to FMR 1989	49
Figure 4.9	Workers exposure level according to their tasks	49
Figure 4.10	Daily mean exposure dose and duration experienced by workers	51
Figure 4.11	Protected TWA (PTWA) level of overexposed workers	52

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FMR	=	Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulation
OSHR	=	Occupational Safety and Health (Noise Exposure) Regulation
NIOSH	=	National Institute of Safety and Health
NIHL	=	Noise-Induced Hearing Loss
HPDs	=	Hearing Protection Devices
PPE	=	Personal Protective Equipment
dB	=	Decibel without frequency weighting
dBA	=	Decibel in A-Weighted
NRR	=	Noise Reduction Rating
ER	=	Exchange Rate
ISO	=	International Organization for Standardization
TWA	=	8-hours Time-Weighted Average

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Sample of questionnaires for management team	66
Appendix B	Sample of questionnaires for construction workers	71
Appendix C	Sample data of machinery's sound power level	77
Appendix D	Sample data of worker's exposure level	78

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Over these recent years, Malaysia is acknowledged as a fast-developing country where the construction sector plays an important task to accelerate and sustain its economic expansion. The construction sector performs an important and vital part in strengthening the economy of the nation by generating revenue, formatting the capital and creating employment which eventually established the gross domestic product and also the socio-economic growth of the country (Khan *et al.*, 2014). As the construction industry growing and developing, the noise released from the construction activities in construction sites become a concern to the nation as it is one of the main contributors to noise pollution. Construction not only creates a high level of noise but also causes high noise exposure risk as well as other safety issues to the workers. Excessive noise is very annoying and disturbing the human's life by causing hearing loss, high blood pressure and irregular heartbeat (Geetha and Ambika, 2015). In 2017, there were 6020 cases of occupational diseases and poisoning reported to the Malaysian Department of Safety and Health (DOSH). About 4787 cases or 78.9% were related to occupational diseases essentially hearing disorders and noise-induced hearing loss caused by excessive exposure to high-level noise.

### 1.2 Background Study

Noise is severely affected the construction workers in Malaysia nowadays due to the construction activities such as drilling, blasting, excavating and others which caused loud noise emission to the environment. Besides, the extensive usage of the heavy type of machinery such as earthmoving vehicles, drilling machinery, etc. in

construction activities also created excessive noise levels hazardous to the workers. The study revealed that 33% of construction workers in Malaysia were exposed to average noise exposure levels more than 90 dBA whereby 47% of them were dealing with heavy machinery during their working hours (Nawi et al., 2016). Heavy types of machinery like excavators, dozers, compactors and dump trucks are broadly utilized during the earthwork stage of a project particularly for excavation, site clearing, cutting and filling as well as compaction. Due to the impacts of multi types of heavy machinery employed at this stage higher noise level is generated compared to other stages in the construction process. Excavation generated the loudest level of noise since it has great variability of transmitted level of high noise essentially due the massive disparity between the background noise of the machinery engines and the peak levels effected by the hoe loading (Ballesteros et al., 2010) while recent study reported that 15.4% of the respondent found that excavators as the primary noise sources during the earthwork stage (Lee et al., 2019). Haron et al. (2014) also summarized that heavy machinery operators except excavators were exposed to time weighting averages (TWA) more than 90 dBA if they work within an open cab which was surpassing the hazardous noise level ( $\geq 85$  dBA) prescribed by FMR 1989.

### **1.3 Problem Statement**

The sound level produced by construction machinery affected the exposure level of the operators as well as workers who worked nearby the machinery in construction site. Previous research elsewhere reported that many construction workers were killed after being crushed by construction vehicles (Lancaster *et al.*, 2016) due to construction workers fail to hear the alarm of the construction vehicles. In Malaysia, Nawi *et al.* (2016) reported that the awareness of construction workers regarding noise hazard is still at low because of the acceptance that noise is a common enigma and become the reason for not correctly employed the personal protective equipment among the construction workers. Further, Mazlan *et al.* (2018) found that there was no direct relationship between noise in the construction area with hearing impairment experienced by the workers who seek SOCSO compensation although the workers were exposed between 70 to 140 dBA. However, this finding may be different

if the analysis was carried out by replacing the area noise (working environment) with the intensity of noise produced by machine as this parameter is more relevant with the noise exposure level of operators and consequently can affect their hearing. Based on this problem, the study investigation was conducted to determine the noise intensity produced by machine and noise exposure levels among machinery operators and non-operators as well as determine its relationship. The awareness and perceptions regarding the workers' noise exposure among the management and workers were also evaluated.

#### **1.4 Research Objective**

The study aims to evaluate the level of noise emitted by construction machinery which extensively involved during the earthwork stage as well as the noise exposure level endured by construction workers and the assent of permissible noise exposure to the construction sector. To achieve this aim, the study will be carried out based on the following objectives:

- a) To obtain the awareness of noise exposure of construction workers issues among management and workers as well as the prevalence of hearing loss among workers;
- b) To assess the intensity of sound produced by construction machinery during the earthwork stage;
- c) To evaluate the noise exposure levels experienced by operators and non-operators.

#### **1.5 Research Scope**

The scopes of study will be concentrating on the real on-site assessment as well as other related matters as per listed below;



- a) This study will be narrowed to the real on-site measurement of the level of noise exposure among construction workers emitted by machinery such as loader, compactor, excavator and dozer which are the common machinery employed during the earthwork stage. The sound power level of machinery will be measured according to ISO 112000, Acoustics-Noise emitted by machinery and equipment.
- b) The noise exposure level of construction workers which consists of the operator of machinery, nearby construction workers and site supervisors will be measured according to the Factories and Machinery Act 1967 (Act 139) P.U. (A) 1/89 Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulations 1989.
- c) The real on-site measurement of construction noise will be carried out at a few construction sites located at the state of Perak.
- d) The measured level of noise exposure is then compared with the level of permissible limit in Malaysian Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulations 1989.
- e) The brief overview of Malaysian Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulations 2019 and Occupational Safety and Health (Noise Exposure) Regulation 2019.

## **1.6 Significance of Research**

The sound power level generated by construction machinery affected the exposure level of the operators as well as nearby workers on site. Their noise exposure levels were believed to be higher compared to other off-site workers due to their direct involvement in the situations. Significance of this study are as follows;

- a) The measured level of noise produced by the construction machinery at the construction site could be a reference to related parties especially the construction contractor.
- b) The data obtained from noise exposure level among the construction workers in Perak to be used as proof in claims of occupational disease related to noise.
- c) The study also can be a reference to the public and private sector towards awareness and perception of construction workers on noise exposure and the readiness of the management of construction sites to comply with the new regulation regarding the audiometric test among workers highlighted by OSHR 2019.

## **1.7 Summary**

The noise produced by machinery in construction sites precariously affected construction workers' health as well as their safety. However, their claims for compensation from the authority most of the time rejected or found the dead-end because of failing to prove the hearing impairment they experienced was an occupationally related disease. Replacing the parameter with the noise intensity produced by the machine would make a difference as this parameter is more relevant to the noise exposure level of operators and consequently can affect their hearing.

## REFERENCES

- Factories and Machinery Act 1967 (Act 139) P.U. (A) 1/89 Factories and Machinery (Noise Exposure) Regulation 1989
- Malaysian Department of Environmental (DOE, 2014)
- Carletti, E. (2013). A Perception-Based Method for the Noise Control of Construction Machines, *Archives of Acoustics*, Vol. 38. (2) pp. 253–258.
- Ciorba, A., Benatti, A., Bianchini, C., Aimoni, C., Volpato, S., R.Bovo., Martini, A. (2011). High frequency hearing loss in the elderly: effect of age and noise exposure in an Italian group. *Journal of Laryngology & Otology* 125, 776–780.
- Davies, H. W., Teschke, K., Kennedy, S. M., Hodgson, M. R., Hertzman, C., and Demers, P. A. (2005). Occupational Exposure to Noise and Mortality from Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Journal of Epidemiology*; Vol 16: 25–32)
- Eaton, S. (2000). Construction Noise. *Workers Compensation Board of BC, Engineering Section*. Report. Ref. No:0135-20. Project No 7-11-99284.
- Edelson, J., Neitzal, R., Meischke, H., Danielle, W., Shepperd, L., Stover, B., and Seixas, N. (2009). Predictors of Hearing Protection Use in Construction Workers. *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* Vol. 53, No. 6, pp. 605–615
- Fausti, S. A., Wilmington, D. J., Helt, P. V., Helt, W. J., Martin, D. K. (2005). Hearing health and care: The need for improved hearing loss prevention and hearing conservation practices, *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, Volume 42(4), 45–62
- Fernández, M. D., Quintana, S., Chavarría, N., Ballesteros, J. A. (2008). Noise exposure of workers of the construction sector. *Applied Acoustics* 70, 753-760.
- Fernandez, M. D., Recuero, M., Blas, J. M. (2010). Definition of a labelling code for the noise emitted by machines. *Applied Acoustics*. 69; 141–146 for Remediation; A Review and Analysis. *AIHA Journal*, 63, 768-789.
- Gopinath, B., Thiagalingam, A., Teber, E., Mitchell, P. (2011). Exposure to workplace noise and the risk of cardiovascular disease events and mortality among older adults. *Preventive Medicine* 53. 390–394.
- Hamoda, M. F. (2008). Modeling of Construction Noise for Environmental Impact Assessment. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, Vol. 13. No. 1.

- Hansen, C. H. (2001). *Fundamentals of Acoustics*. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Lancaster J.A., Alali, K., Casali, J.G. Interaction of vehicles speed and auditory detection of backup alarms AKA: Can the construction worker get out of the way? In: proceedings of human factors and ergonomics society annual meeting, vol.51;2016. P. 1421-4
- Haron, Z., Abidin, M. Z., Han, L. M., Yahya, K., Jahya, Z., Said, K. M., Saim, A. A. (2014). Noise Exposure Among Machine Operators on Construction Sites in South Johor, Malaysia *Advanced Materials Research* Vols. 838-841, 2507-2512.
- Haron, Z., Hamidun, M. H., Yahya, K., Omar, W., Majid, A. M. (2012). Assessing Noise Emission Levels From Earthwork Construction Equipment. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering* 24(1):13-28 (2012)
- Haron, Z., Yahya, K., Darus, N. (2018). *Construction Noise: Issues, Measurements and Predictions*.
- Hattis, D. (1998). Occupational Noise Sources and Exposures in Construction Industries. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*. Vol. 4, No. 6, pp. 1417-1441
- Health and Safety Executive. (2013). *Noise Exposure Monitoring in Malaysia*.
- Kolarik, A. J., Brian, C. J., Zahorik, P., Cirste, S. (2015). Auditory Distance Perception in Humans: A Review of Cues, Development, Neuronal Bases and Effects of Sensory Loss. DOI 10.3758/s13414-015-1015-1
- Kurmis, A. P. and Apps, S. A. (2007). Occupationally-Acquired Noise-Induced Hearing Loss: A Senseless Workplace Hazard. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health* 20(2):127 – 136
- Lee, S. C., Kim, J. H., Hong, J. Y. (2019). Characterizing Perceived Aspects of Adverse Impact of Noise on Construction Managers on Construction Sites. *Building and Environment* 152 (2019) 17-27
- Legris, M., Poulin, P. (1998). Noise Exposure Profile among Heavy Equipment Operators, Associated Laborers, and Crane Operators. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal* 59:774–778
- Lercher, P., Hortnagl, J., Kofler, W. W. (1993). Work noise annoyance and blood pressure: combined effects with stressful working conditions. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. Vol 65, pp 23-28

- Lusk, S. L., Hong, O. S., Ronis, D. L., and Eakin, B. L., Kerr, M. J., Early, M. R. (1999). Effectiveness of an Intervention to Increase Construction Workers' Use of Hearing Protection. *Human Factors*. Vol. 41, No. 3, September 1999, pp. 487–494
- Manivasagam, D., (2019). Empowering Occupational Health Doctors Through The Occupational Safety & Health (Noise Exposure) Regulations 2019. June 2019, Vol. 16. No. 1
- Mazlan, A. N., Yahya, K., Haron, Z., Mohamad, N. A., Rasib, E. N. A., Jamaludin, N., Darus, N. (2018) Characteristics of Noise-induced Hearing Loss among Workers in Construction Industries. E2S Web of Conference 34, 02025 (2018)
- Malaysian Department of Safety and Health: Industrial Noise Control Module 2018
- Miyakita, T. and Ueda, A. (1997). Estimates of Workers with Noise –Induced Hearing Loss and Population at Risk. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*. 205 (4) , 441-449
- Morata, T. C., Fiorini, A. C., Fischer, F. M., Colacioppo, S., Wallingford, K. M., Krieg, E. F., Dunn, D. E., Gozzoli, L., Padrao, M. A., Cesar, C. L. (1997). Toluene-induced hearing loss among rotogravure printing workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 23(4):289-298.
- Morata, T. C., Themann, C. L., Randolph, R. L., Verbsky, B. L., Byrne, D. C., Reeves, E. R. (2005). Working in Noise with a Hearing Loss: Perceptions from Workers, Supervisors, and Hearing Conservation Program Managers. *Ear and Hearing*. Vol 26, 529-545.
- Muchenje, L. S. (2008). Determination Of Backup Alarm Masked Threshold In Construction Noise. Master of Science
- Muller, J., and Janssen, T. (2008). Impact of occupational noise on pure-tone threshold and distortion product otoacoustic emissions after one workday. *Hearing Research* 246; 9–22
- Nawi, N. M., Haron, Z., Jumali, S., Hassan, A. C. (2018) Occupational Noise Exposure of Construction Workers at Construction Sites in Malaysia.
- Neitzel, R., and Seixas, N. (2005). The Effectiveness of Hearing Protection Among Construction Workers. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene* 2: 227–238
- Neitzel, R., Seixas, N. S., Camp, J., Yost, M. (1999). An Assessment of Occupational Noise Exposures in Four Construction Trades. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*. Vol 60, pp 807–817

- Nelson, D. I., Nelson, R. Y., Concha-Barrientos, M., Fingerhut, P. H. M. (2005). The global burden of occupational noise-induced hearing loss. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. Copyright owner: Wiley-Liss, Inc.
- Palmer, K. T., Griffin, M. J., Syddall, H. E., Davis, A., Pannett, B., Coggon, D. (2002). Occupational Exposure to Noise and The Attributable Burden of Hearing Difficulties in Great Britain. *Occup Environ Med*. 59 pp 634–639.
- Peterson, J. S., Kovalchik, P. G. and Matetic, R. J. (2002). Research engineer, noise control team leader and hearing loss prevention branch chief, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
- Pinto, A., Nunes, I. L., Ribeiro, R. A. (2011). Occupational risk assessment in construction industry – Overview and reflection. *Safety Science* 49, 616–624.
- Whitaker, C. K., Seixas, N. S., Sheppard, L., Neitzel, R. (2004). Accuracy of task recall for epidemiological exposure assessment to construction noise. *Occup Environ Med* 61:135–142.
- Rehman, M. Z., Nawi, N. M., Ghazali, M. I. (2011). Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL) Prediction in Humans Using Modified Back Propagation Neural Network. *Proceeding of The International Conference on Advance Science Engineering and Information Technology*. 14-15 January 2011. Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- Rybalko, N. and Syka, J. (2005). Effect of noise exposure on gap detection in rats. *Hearing Research*, Vol 20, pp 63–72
- Said, K. M., Haron, Z., Hamidun, Saim, A. A., Abidin, M. Z., Yahya, K., Han, L. M. (2014). Occupational Noise Exposure among Road Construction Workers. *70:7* (2014) 15-19.
- Safework SA (2008). Noise in the Workplace. What you should know Government of South Australia.
- Seixas, N. S., Goldman, B., Sheppard, L., Neitzel, R., Norton, S., Kujawa, S. G. (2005). Prospective Noise Induced Changes to Hearing Among Construction Industry Apprentices. *Occup Environ Med* Vol 62:309–317
- Seixas, N. S., Ren, K., Neitzel, R., Camp, J., Yost, M. (2001). Noise Exposure among Construction Electricians. *AIHAJ* 62:615–621.
- Shone, G., Altschuler, R. A., Miller, J. M. and Nuttall, A. L. (1999). The effect of noise exposure on the aging ear. *Hearing Research*, 56 (1991) 173-178

- Spencer, E. (2007). Heavy Construction Equipment Noise Study Using Dosimetry and Time-Motion Studies. *Noise Control Engineering Journal*. Vol 55, No 4 pp 408-416
- Suter, A. H. (2002). Construction Noise: Exposure, Effects, and the Potential
- Suter, A. H. (2012). Engineering Controls for Occupational Noise Exposure; The Best Way to Save Hearing. *Sound/Vibration*. 45th Anniversary Issues. Toppila, E., Pyykko, I., Paakkonen, R. (2009). Evaluation of the Increased Accident Risk From Workplace Noise. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics (JOSE)*. Vol. 15 (2), pp 155–162
- Van Dijk, F. J. H. (1990). Epidemiological Research On Non-Auditory Effects Of Occupational Noise Exposure. *Environment International*, Vol. 16, pp. 405-409.
- Van Kempen, E. E. M. M., Kruize, H., Hendrick C., Boshuizen, Ameling, C. B., Staatsen, B. A. M. and de Hollander, A. E. M. (2002). The Association between Noise Exposure and Blood Pressure and Ischemic Heart Disease: A Meta-analysis. *Environmental Health Prospect* 110:307–317.
- Vardhan, H., Karmakar, N. C., and Rao, Y. V. (2005) "Experimental Study of Sources of noise from Heavy Earth Moving Machinery". *Noise Control Engineering Journal* 53(2):37-42
- Yoshioka, M., Uchida, Y., Sugiura, S., Ando, F., Shimokata, H., Nomura, H., Nakashima, T. (2010). The impact of arterial sclerosis on hearing with and without occupational noise exposure: A population-based aging study in males. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 37; 558–564
- Zhang, L., Kang, J., Luo, H., Zhong, B. (2018) Drivers' Physiological Response and Emotional Evaluation in the Noisy Environment of the Control Cabin of a Shield Tunneling Machine.
- Zheng, D., Cai, X., Song, H. and Chen, T. (1996). Study on Personal Noise Exposure in China. *Applied Acoustics*. Vol. 48, No. I, pp. 57