INVESTIGATING TOURIST'S AFFECTIVE ATTACHMENT IN MELAKA WORLD HERITAGE SITE BY USING PHOTO ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

SITI MARIAH BINTI MOHD BASRI

A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Tourism Planning)

Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DEDICATION

Dedicated to my dearest mother Zabidah Binti Awang, my beloved little sisters, Siti Aisyah Binti Dirhamsyah and Siti Sarah Binti Dirhamsyah.

May Allah keep us in His care and protection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Entirely Merciful, the Especially Merciful.

I would like to express my most sincere gratitude and appreciation to the following people who support me in making this journey possible. I ask Allah to reward all these people bountifully. May He continuingly bless us like He blessed those before us; those who loved Him, and He loved them in turn. Ameen.

Alhamdullilah, and thanks to Allah for His guidance to complete this thesis for my master. First,I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Syed Muhammad Rafy Bin Syed Jaafar who always giving greatly guides, and encourages me in completing this study, who gave the comments and suggestions, giving me valuable feedbacks to improve the research. It is an honor to know you with all your kindness.

On top of that, I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Amran Hamzah, Prof. Dr. Zainab Khalifah, and Prof. Madya Dr. Hairul Nizam Ismail, and Dr. Norhazliza Abd Halim for their valuable guidance and support throughout the completion of this research. Thank you for your comments, guides, teaching and support.

Then, I would like to extend my utmost appreciation to my family and friends especially Mohamad Amin bin Md Ali for their support and encouragement along the process of completing my research. Last but not least, I would like to extend my appreciation to all respondents that I had come across during the research. Thank you for your time and kindness.

ABSTRACT

This research explains in deeper on the affectives attachment of tourists when they visit Melaka World Heritage Site (WHS). Affective attachment in this research were analyzed using photo analysis technique which is participatory photo analysis. From the 22 photo of main attraction in the Core Zone of Melaka WHS researcher analyse the latent content of the photo from the tourists perspectives. The content were analysed on affective feelings, cognitive feeling and conative feelings. Affective feeling is an emotional feeling of a tourists to the place of their visit. Cognitive feeling is opposite to affective feeling which tourists made a choice in conscius mind compare to affective feeling which is emotionally driven. Conative feeling is more to the tourist's intention regarding on their experience in the place. Data was collected in around Melaka WHS and the result shows that the overall feelings of Melaka torist when visiting Melaka WHS are more on pleasantness quality (affective), uniqueness quality (cognitive) and tourists have positive behavioural intention (conative) to Melaka WHS. The discussion are based on tourist feeling to attraction and image of Melaka.

ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini menerangkan dengan lebih mendalam mengenai hubungan afektif pelancong apabila mereka melawat Tapak Warisan Dunia Melaka. Kajian hubungan afektif ini dianalisis menggunakan satu teknik foto analisis iaitu partisipatif foto analisis. Penyelidikan ini menggunakan 22 foto tarikan utama di Zon Teras Tapak Warisan Dunia Melaka untuk menganalisis kandungan tersirat (latent) foto dari perspektif pelancong. Kandungan tersirat ini dianalisis mengenai perasaan afektif, perasaan kognitif dan perasaan konatif. Perasaan afektif adalah emosi pelancong terhadap tempat lawatan mereka. Perasaan kognitif adalah bertentangan dengan perasaan afektif yang mana pelancong membuat pilihan dalam minda sedar berbanding perasaan afektif yang didorong secara emosional yang kuat. Rasa konatif adalah lebih kepada niat pelancong setelah merasai pengalaman mereka di tempat itu. Hasil data yang dikumpul di sekitar Tapak Warisan Dunia Melaka menunjukkan bahawa keseluruhan perasaan pelancong ketika melawat Tapak Warisan Dunia Melaka lebih kepada kualiti kesenangan (afektif), kualiti keunikan (kognitif). Pelancong mempunyai niat tingkah laku yang positif (konatif) mengenai Tapak Warisan Dunia Melaka. Perbincangan adalah berdasarkan kepada perasaan pelancong semasa ke arah tarikan dan imej Melaka.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | TITLE | PAGE | |
|-----------|---|------|--|
| DE | CLARATION | ii | |
| DE | DEDICATION | | |
| AC | KNOWLEDGEMENT | iv | |
| ABS | STRACT | V | |
| ABS | STRAK | vi | |
| TA | BLE OF CONTENTS | vii | |
| LIS | T OF TABLES | xi | |
| LIS | T OF FIGURES | xiii | |
| LIS | T OF ACRONYMS | XV | |
| LIS | T OF APPENDICES | xvi | |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 | |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 | |
| 1.2 | Background of Research | 1 | |
| 1.3 | Significant and Rationale of the Research | 3 | |
| 1.4 | Problem Statement | 5 | |
| 1.5 | Research Aim and Objectives | 7 | |
| 1.6 | Research Question | 7 | |
| 1.7 | The Scope of Research | 8 | |
| 1.8 | Understanding Key Areas of the Research | 8 | |
| 1.9 | Structure of the Thesis | 9 | |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 11 | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 11 | |
| 2.2 | Term and Definition | 11 | |
| 2.3 | Place Attachment | 12 | |
| | 2.3.1 The Definition of Place Attachment | 13 | |
| | 2.3.2 The Dimension of Place Attachment | 14 | |

| | 2.3.2.1 Person Dimension | 15 |
|-----------|--|----|
| | 2.3.2.2 Place Dimension | 16 |
| | 2.3.2.3 Psychological Process Dimension | 17 |
| | 2.3.3 Place Attachment in Tourism | 22 |
| | 2.3.4 Sense of Place | 24 |
| 2.4 | Volunteered Employed Photography (VEP) | 26 |
| | 2.4.1 The Relevance of VEP and Tourism | 27 |
| | 2.4.2 Photo Analysis Technique | 28 |
| | 2.4.3 Manifest and Latent | 30 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 35 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 35 |
| 3.2 | Research Design | 35 |
| 3.3 | Research Instrument | 37 |
| 3.4 | Sample Size and Population | 40 |
| 3.5 | Data Collection | 43 |
| 3.6 | Data Analysis Technique | 43 |
| | 3.6.1 Descriptive Analysis | 44 |
| | 3.6.2 Mean Score | 44 |
| | 3.6.3 Photo Analysis Technique | 45 |
| | 3.6.4 One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) | 47 |
| 3.7 | Study Area | 49 |
| 3.8 | Summary | 51 |
| CHAPTER 4 | ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS | 53 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 53 |
| 4.2 | Participants Profile | 54 |
| 4.3 | Photo Analysis | 56 |
| 4.4 | Affective Quality | 57 |
| | 4.4.1 Pleasantness | 58 |
| | 4.4.2 Arousal | 59 |
| | 4.4.3 Excitement | 61 |
| | 4.4.4 Relaxation | 62 |

| | | 4.4.5 | Relationship between different categories of affective | |
|---------|------|-----------------|--|----|
| | | | feeling | 63 |
| | 4.5 | Cognit | tive Quality | 64 |
| | | 4.5.1 | Uniqueness | 65 |
| | | 4.5.2 | Extent of Commercialization | 66 |
| | | 4.5.3 | Modernity Level | 67 |
| | | 4.5.4 | Crowdedness | 68 |
| | | 4.5.5 | Cleanliness | 69 |
| | | 4.5.6 | Economic Development | 70 |
| | | 4.5.7 | Friendliness | 71 |
| | | 4.5.8 | Personal Safety | 72 |
| | | 4.5.9 | Relationship between different categories of cognitive feeling | 72 |
| | 4.6 | Affect | ive Attachment Mapping | 74 |
| | 4.7 | Relation attach | onship between socio demographic profile and affective ment | 77 |
| | | 4.7.1 | Gender and Affective Quality | 77 |
| | | 4.7.2 | Travel Frequency | 78 |
| | | 4.7.3 | Nationality | 78 |
| | | 4.7.4 | Travel Companion | 79 |
| | 4.8 | | on various demographic profile with affective ment in Melaka WHS | 80 |
| | 4.9 | Conati | ive Behaviour | 80 |
| | 4.10 | Observ | vation | 81 |
| CHAPTEI | R 5 | CONC | CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 83 |
| | 5.1 | Introd | uction | 83 |
| | 5.2 | Differe | ent between day and night affective feeling | 84 |
| | 5.3 | Affect | ive Attacment | 85 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Pleasantness | 85 |
| | | 5.3.2 | Excitement | 88 |
| | | 5.3.3 | Relaxation | 89 |
| | 5.4 | Cognit | tive Attachment | 90 |
| | | 5.4.1 | Uniqueness | 90 |

| REFERENCES | | 95 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| 5.6 | Conclusion | 93 |
| 5.5 | Limitation of Research | 92 |
| | 5.4.2 Extent of Commercialization | 91 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| Table 2.1 | Personal Safety | 18 |
| Table 2.2 | Affective descriptors | 19 |
| Table 2.3 | Table show the cognitive feeling attribute and scale. (Kim & Stepchenkova, 2015) | 21 |
| Table 2.4 | "Push" and "pull" structure for tourism motivation (Uysal and Hagan, 1993) | 23 |
| Table 2.5 | Level of Sense of Place | 25 |
| Table 3.1 | Questionaire Divison (Field study, 2019). | 37 |
| Table 3.2 | Scale for level of affective feeling (Ordinal Scale) | 39 |
| Table 3.3 | Scale for level of cognitive feelings | 40 |
| Table 3.4 | Melaka Hotel Guest | 41 |
| Table 3.5 | Mean score range on the positive or negative feeling of affective attachment | 45 |
| Table 3.6 | Four type of photo analysis (Gapp, 2008) | 45 |
| Table 3.7 | Positive and negative affective feelings variables | 48 |
| Table 3.8 | Positive and negative cognitive feelings variables | 48 |
| Table 4.1 | Participant demographic data of gender, age and nationality | 54 |
| Table 4.2 | Participant demographic data travel companion, frequency of travel companion, frequency of visit, purpose of travel and education | 55 |
| Table 4.3 | Pleasantness Feeling | 59 |
| Table 4.4 | Arousal Feeling | 60 |
| Table 4.5 | Excitement Feeling | 61 |
| Table 4.6 | Relaxation Feeling | 62 |
| Table 4.7 | Relationship between different categories of affective feeling | 63 |
| Table 4.8 | Uniqueness | 65 |
| Table 4.9 | Extent of Commercialisation | 66 |

| Table 4.10 | Modernity | 67 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table 4.11 | Crowdedness | 68 |
| Table 4.12 | Cleanliness | 69 |
| Table 4.13 | Economic Development | 70 |
| Table 4.14 | Friendliness | 71 |
| Table 4.15 | Personal Safety | 72 |
| Table 4.16 | Relationship between different categories of cognitive feeling | 73 |
| Table 4.17 | Gender versus affective and cognitive quality | 77 |
| Table 4.18 | Travel frequency versus affective and cognitive quality | 78 |
| Table 4.19 | Nationality versus affective and cognitive quality | 79 |
| Table 4.20 | Travel companion versus affective and cognitive quality | 79 |
| Table 4.21 | Affective quality | 80 |
| Table 4.22 | Cognitive Quality | 80 |
| Table 4.23 | Conative Behaviour | 81 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|---|--------|
| Figure 1.1 | Research Structure | 9 |
| Figure 2.1 | The tripartite model of place attachment. (Scannell & Gifford, 2010) | 15 |
| Figure 2.2 | Clusters of adjectives descriptive of the affective quality of place | es. 20 |
| Figure 2.3 | Circular ordering of eight affective descriptors. | 20 |
| Figure 2.4 | Seven scale of sense of place. | 25 |
| Figure 2.5 | Four type of photo analysis. (Gapp, 2008). | 29 |
| Figure 2.6 | Variables in Affective Attchment. | 31 |
| Figure 2.7 | Photo analysis technique (Kim & Stepchenkova, 2015) | 32 |
| Figure 2.8 | Research Framework | 32 |
| Figure 3.1 | Example of question in Section A: Respondent Profile. | 38 |
| Figure 3.2 | Example of question in Section B: Image Analysis (affective feelings and cognitive feelings). | 39 |
| Figure 3.3 | Example of question in Section C: Behaviour Intention (Close-Ended Question). | 40 |
| Figure 3.4 | Variables in affective attachment | 46 |
| Figure 3.5 | Photo analysis technique (Kim & Stepchenkova, 2015) | 47 |
| Figure 3.6 | The Core and Buffer Zones of the Historic City of Melaka | 50 |
| Figure 4.1 | 22 photos in the Core Zone of Melaka WHS | 57 |
| Figure 4.2 | General affective quality in Melaka WHS | 58 |
| Figure 4.3 | General cognitive quality in Melaka WHS | 64 |
| Figure 4.4 | Affective attachment mapping | 75 |
| Figure 4.5 | Cognitive attachment mapping | 76 |
| Figure 5.1 | Picture during day time | 84 |
| Figure 5.2 | Picture during night time | 85 |
| Figure 5.3 | Photo of Pleasantness | 87 |
| Figure 5.4 | Photo of Excitement | 89 |

| Figure 5.5 | Photo of Relaxing | 90 |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 5.6 | Photo of Uniqueness | 91 |
| Figure 5.7 | Photo of Extent of Commercialization | 92 |

LIST OF ACRONYMS

VEP - Volunteer Employed Photography

WHS - World Heritage Site

UNESCO - The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural

Organization

WHO - World Heritage Organization

NKEA - National Key Economic Areas

ETP - Economic Transformation Programme

GNI - Gross National Income

MTBC - Melaka Tourism Business Club

MAH - Malaysian Association of Hotel

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|-------------------------------|------|
| Appendix A | Questionnaire English Version | 99 |
| Appendix B | Questionnaire Malay Version | 104 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This dissertation examines the affective attachment of tourist when they visit Melaka World Heritage Site (WHS) by using Volunteer Employed Photography (VEP). The exploratory research is to understand about the tourist experiences as a reflection on what they plan for that area. In future, the tourism planner can improve a better tourist experiences, and make tourist will feels more emotionally attached to the tourism destination.

In general, this chapter begins with background of the study, highlights the research fundamental and the overview of affective attachment in tourism. There were also problems statement been identified. Research aim, research question and research objectives are explained in the next section. Next, the scope of research importance is explained briefly. Finally, the chapter were determined with structure of thesis and emphasized the five synopsis contents inside.

Introduction chapter is mainly discussed about the introduction of the overall research. This chapter are mostly about the construct of the research to continue the last chapter which is discussion. This chapter are mostly discussed about the reason to further research and the reason for selecting tourist's affectives attachments for Melaka World Heritage Site (WHS) as a research.

1.2 Background of Research

The concept of place attachment had been generally discussed by researchers of environmental psychologists and human geography over the decades. Some scholars believe that the meaning has attributed to places and that place has been created in emotional relationship between people and places. Tuan (1979) defined the place in two general statuses; first is general symbols and draw is people's experiences. This concept implies a strong link between person and environment in terms of mental, emotional and cognitive (Hashem, Abbas, & Akbar, 2013). Seamon (2008) agreed in (Hashem et al., 2013) researchers name this concept in relation with place when people have a positive sense about it. In this process, when place become important for them, it led to attachment to place.

In general concept of place attachment Giuliani (2003) and Low & Altman (1992) agreed that place attachment as a multifaceted concept that characterizes the bonding between individuals and their important places. Relph (1976) and Tuan (1974), argue that a bond with a meaningful space, or sense of place is a universal affective tie that fulfils fundamental human needs. In 1998, Hay agrees that place attachment includes ancestral ties, which feeling like an 'insider,' and a desire to stay in the place. Then, some authors suggest that sense of place encompasses the sub concepts of place identity, place attachment, and place dependence (Jorgensen & Stedman, 2001). Kasarda & Janowitz (1974) stated by (Scannel and Gifford, 2010) that the urban sociologists and community scientists locate attachment at the city, home, and neighbourhood levels. This definitional diversity reflects the growing interest in place attachment, and can be seen as progress in the concept's theoretical development. According to (Hashem et al., 2013), in general, interaction between humans and places was divided in three dimensions which is emotional (affective), cognitive, and behavioural (conative).

The concept of place attachment is closely related to tourism development and been mentioned by (Weidenfeld, 2016). According to him, there are four interrelated component of place attachment in tourism. The first component is place identity. Place identity represents the identification of the tourist with a certain place or with its symbolic value. The second component is place dependence. Place dependence describes how much a specific place meets the tourists' needs, and can be perceived as the functional attachment component. The third component is affective attachment has so far received limited attention and refers to the strong feeling tourists feel towards a destination. The last component is social bond. Social bonds component

does not relate directly to spatial aspects, but to the social relations a specific place enhances. In this research, researcher will focus more on affective attachment which is the strong feeling of tourists feels towards the destination.

In order to analyses place attachment in a certain tourism destination, the proposed tools are by using Volunteer Employed Photography (VEP). VEP is a technique where photos in a certain destination were voluntarily taken whether by respondent or researcher, then the content of the photos were analyses. These photo analysis technique was already acknowledge by (Gapp, 2008) where there are four common technique that can be used for photo analysis. The first technique is direct photo analysis, second is supplemental photo analysis, the third is participatory photo analysis, and the last one is collaborative photo analysis. This technique was supported by Haywood (1990) that VEP considered as to be highly appropriate to tourism planning (Balomenou & Garrod, 2014). According to him, photographs could reveal something about peoples which how people could see and interpret the world.

Beside that, (Balomenou & Garrod, 2014) conclude there are four main reasons why VEP is particularly relevant to tourism planning practice. The first is the shift towards public participation in land-use planning as a means of involving those affected by planning decisions in making them. The second is the potential for VEP to assist with tourism planning at a local level which is necessarily land-use oriented. The third is that community involvement is essential for the success of tourism planning, as those with personal experience are best placed to determine what success should mean and how best to pursue it. The fourth is that photography is used in planning because it is considered to be the best way to map physical land use. By extension, tourists' and local residents' photographs may be a good way to 'map' their different perceptions and experiences of a tourism destination.

1.3 Significant and Rationale of the Research

The significance of study may provide a future researcher and an academician new knowledge about tourists psychological feeling in Melaka World Heritage Site (WHS). This study will open up new ideas about understanding tourists and tourist demand in order to improve a travel destination. The analysis will be beneficial to stakeholder community in Melaka World Heritage Site (WHS) from the government, building owner, business person, until the neighbourhood stay in the attraction. This research will provide a better understanding about how different affective attachment of tourist to feel the experience in a travel destination.

Furthermore, tourism planner will also get a deeper understanding about the tourist experiences as a reflection on what they plan for that area. In future, the tourism destination will improve a better tourist experiences and streighthen tourist emotionally attachment to destination.

Besides the benefit to the tourism planner, a service provider areound the area will also get benefit when then improve their knowledge and understand customers feeling. Understanding the emotion of a tourists when they travel to a certain destination is a crucial part since it is not something that can be easily describe. A positive emotional attachment to the destination will improve the level of experience and giving a positive experience to the wider market of all over the world. A positive impression will spread a positive image to the tourism market and bring more tourists to the destination. In order to gain more tourist, giving a valuable tourist experience will be crucial part. A better understanding of this study will enable the service providers in that area to be more effective in delivering their service meets the diver range of satisfaction. A better tourist experience also will increase high retention of visit and attracting new market segments.

Besides that, using Volunteer employed photography (VEP) tools is relevant to tourism planning as a shift towards public participation in land-use planning as a means of involving those affected by planning decisions in making them. VEP also potential to assist with tourism planning at a local level which is necessarily land-use oriented. Community involvement is essential for the success of tourism planning, as those with personal experience are best placed to determine what success should mean and how best to pursue it. Other than that, aerial photography is used in planning because it is the best way to map physical land use. By extension, tourists and residents

photographs may be a good way to 'map' their different perceptions and experiences of a tourism destination.

1.4 Problem Statement

Tourism industry is the driver income to Malaysia. It was listed as one National Key Economic Areas (NKEA) as a one of major income to Malaysia. Economic Transformation Programme (ETP) 2017 targeting business tourism to contribute RM3.9 billion in the incremental Gross National Income (GNI) and providing about 16,700 jobs by 2020 ("Malaysia Convention & Exhibition Bureu annual report 2017," 2017). This data showing Malaysia was targeting an improvement in tourism industry development in the future. In order to achieve the goal, there are few issues need to be highlighted.

In Melaka, Melaka Tourism Business Club president (MTBC) Chew Chert Fong estimated that the number of tourist arrivals to Melaka, especially from China, fell between 20% and 30% in 2018 compared to 2017. According to (The Star Online, 2019), tourism players here are no longer enjoying flourishing arrivals like before. This statement was supported by the chairman of the Melaka chapter of the Malaysian Association of Hotel (MAH) Abu Hassan Ismail also said there had been a drop in hotel occupancy rates in the state as he stated that its difficult for to provide an exact figures due to a disparity in occupancy rates among the different categories of hotels. In general MAH show there has been an overall drop for hotel occupancy (The Star Online, 2019). According to (Tourism Malaysia, 2019), starting from 2016 to 2017, report shows that number of international hotel guest were decreased.

In Melaka the problem arose when like any other developing city, they continuously seek for a better improvement. Align with 9th Malaysia Plan objectives, the plan is to develop more modern buildings and shopping arcades, concentrated mainly in the Core Zone Melaka town. These developments have caused both local and foreign tourists to flock the areas, which in turn cause traffic congestion, especially where car park facilities are lacking. Local residents avoid visiting the places where

facilities and services are not sufficient to accommodate the high numbers of visitors. In addition, the reclamation of land for the purpose of supporting new buildings for commercial purposes such as business office and shopping malls had ruined the strategic location of afamosa, an iconic heritage monument, which was previously at the sea front.

Heritage places are often shown as an effort to highlight the virtues of particular political ideologies. For instance, in state-socialist countries, tours typically involve visits to shrines and monuments dedicated to great communist leaders and patriots; they also include visits to schools, community centres, factories and specially designed villages where the residents are actors living an idealized lifestyle.

Malacca has known as heritage city, city with mixture of cultures, place for leisure and city of green technology (Amalina, 2015). United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) have nominated Malacca as the World Heritage site on 7th July 2008. In view of the culture and the heritage, Malacca is now a famous tourist attraction from all over the world. Unfortunately, nowadays there is a new building or structure was constructed and developed in some area at the core Zone of Melaka WHS (Chin, 2016). This was happen because of too many pressures from human activity, especially tourists could potentially damage the physical condition of the site and compromise its Outstanding Universal Value. Some of the local and repeated tourist are questionning how can a building that has never been there before, make it to the core heritage area? Who gave the approval? (The Star Online, 2016). This issues lead to the physical appearance of the Melaka WHS was disturb and changes slowly by recently. VEP are the relevance method to find out the examine the affective attachment of tourist when they visit Melaka World Heritage Site by using participatory photo analysis because photographs tell stories that can inform trip planners and designers, as well as destination managers and marketers, about visitor's preferences and expectations (Groves & Timothy, 2001).

Academics and practitioners alike concur that customer satisfaction and loyalty are an integral part of doing a business. Almost no business can survive without establishing a loyal customer base (Gremler & Brown, 1996). Previous researcher has been discussed that variables such as place attachment or place bonding to be an

important part of the self and to evoke strong emotions that would influence a person's behavior, including loyalty (Yuksel, Yuksel, & Bilim, 2010). Then (Hashem et al., 2013) supported that attachment to places is one of most important concepts in relationship between place and people.

Mainly, urban design research focused on the quality of the physical elements without understanding the psychological sense of place. It is important to understand the experiential place-making process through identifying place attachment dimensions (Ujang, 2012).

1.5 Research Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is to examine the affective attachment of tourist when they visit Melaka World Heritage Site by using participatory photo analysis. The affective attachment in this research consist of affective feeling, cognitive feeling, and conative behaviour.

There are three objectives:

- a) To find out affective attachment in Melaka WHS by using photo analysis technique.
- b) To discuss the most prominent attributes towards tourist affective attachment in Melaka WHS.
- c) To find out the different between socio demographic profile with afffective attachment in Melaka WHS.

1.6 Research Question

This research answers the followings questions:

- 1. What is the tourist affective attachment in Melaka WHS?
- 2. What is the most prominent attributes towards tourist affective attachment in Melaka WHS?

3. What is the different between tourist socio demographic profiles with emotional attachment in Melaka WHS?

1.7 The Scope of Research

Understanding an affective attachment of tourist, a research was conducted at Melaka WHS by using participatory photo analysis. Three dimensions which is emotional (affective), cognitive, and behavioural (conative) was used in evaluating 22 photographs taken from the core zone of Melaka WHS. Photographs taken were selected based on the nodes identified in the core zone of Melaka WHS.

Then, this research focus on relationship between between tourist socio demographic profiles with emotional attachment in Melaka WHS. Descriptive analysis used to collect data that describe the characteristics of persons, events, or situation. This research will focus on cross-sectional study which data are gathered just once, perhaps over a period of days or weeks or months to answer a research question.

1.8 Understanding Key Areas of the Research

There are several key areas was covered in this research which are place attachment, affective feeling, cognitive feeling, conative feeling and topophilia. Place attachment is a multifaceted concept that characterizes the bonding between individuals and their important places (Low & Altman, 1992). Affective feeling known as emotional interaction between human and places. It is also can be refer as the emotional bonds which make peoples behave irrationally to accomplish their desire (Hashem et al., 2013; Manzuma-ndaaba, Harada, Romle & Shamsudin, 2016).

According to (Caruana, 2002) as cited in (Manzuma-ndaaba et al., 2016) cognitive feeling is involves the consumer's conscious decision making process in the evaluation before a purchase is made. Conative feeling: consumer beliefs, feelings, and intention within the traditional consumer attitude structure. The behavioural

intention used to measure behaviour in the theory of planned behavior is effective at this level of loyalty dimension. Topophilia: literally means love of place. In other words, is the human being's affective ties with the material environment which implies a strong link between person and environment in terms of mental, emotional and cognitive (Tuan, 1974).

1.9 Structure of the Thesis




Figure 1.1 Research Structure

Figure 1.1 shows five stages of framework to conduct the research. Primary data was collected through questionnaire survey which analyzing the tourist affective attachment and also understanding behavior intention based on their feeling during their visitation in Melaka WHS.

As for the secondary evidence is obtained from the literature reading where it was done by the previous researchers. It will be included documents or other non-human intervention methods. Therefore, the previous academic researches, journals, books, news, as well as articles that related to the affective attachment are used in this research. For this stages, three attributes were explored which affective feeling, cognitive feeling, and conative feeling.

The third one is research implementation. This phase is the implementation where the questionnaire is sent handed to the respondents or it can be called as data collection. The questionnaires were distributed at the Core Zone Melaka WHS. Apart from that, the data collection conducted on the weekend which are 12 to 13 April and 26 to 28 April 2019. The reason of distributing questionnaires during weekend as researcher is due to leisure time of tourist and more tourist visited Melaka WHS as a touristic spot. Hence, from the survey, there is 130 data's being collected all together.

Next, in stage 4, research analysis was carried out based on the objectives and scope of research, then the results are used in stage 5 in findings and synthesis process.

REFERENCES

- Balomenou, N., & Garrod, B. (2014). Using volunteer-employed photography to inform tourism planning decisions: A study of St David 's Peninsula, Wales. *Tourism Management*, 44, 126–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman. 2014.02.015
- Buonincontri, P. (2017). Visitors 'Experience , Place Attachment and Sustainable Behaviour at Cultural Heritage Sites: A Conceptual Framework. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9071112
- Caruana, A. (2002), Service loyalty: The effects of service quality and the mediating role of customer satisfaction. European Journal of Marketing, 36(7/8), 811-829.
- Chalten, R. M. (n.d.). Photography's Role in Tourism Some Unexplored Relationships.
- Chin, C. (2016). Are we losing the "real" Malacca?
- City Branding of Malacca and Effect On Tourist Visit Intention. (2015), (June).
- Deutscher, I. (1966). Words and deeds: Social science and social policy. Social Problems, 13, 235-254
- Devine, A. &. (2016). Using Volunteer-Employed Photography to Inform Tourism Planning Decisions: A Case Study of St David 's Peninsula, Wales Abstract, 1–53.
- Gapp, R. P. (2008). The use of photographs in operations management research, (January 2008).
- Garrod, B. (2010). A Snapshot into the Past: The Utility of Volunteer-Employed Photography in Planning and Managing Heritage Tourism A Snapshot into the Past: The Utility of Volunteer-Employed Photography in Planning and Managing Heritage Tourism, (December 2014), 37–41. https://doi.org/10.2167/jht018.0
- Garrod, B., & Gössling, S. (2008). Introduction. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-045357-6.50004-8
- Hashem, H., Abbas, Y. S., & Akbar, H. A. (2013). Comparison the concepts of sense of place and attachment to place in Architectural Studies, *I*(1), 107–117.
- Hidalgo, M.C. and Hern ández, B. (2001). Place Attachment: Conceptual and empirical Questions. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 21, 273-281.

- Jorgensen & Stedman, R. C. (2001). Sense Of Place As An Attitude: Lakeshore Owners Attitudes Toward Their Properties. https://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.2001.0226
- Jorgenson, J. D. (2016). Attachment to tourism destinations: The role of memory and place attachment.
- Khoon, L. H. (2010). Impacts Of Photography And Immersive Imaging On Tourism: A Case Study On Mulu National Park.
- Kim, H., & Stepchenkova, S. (2015). Effect of tourist photographs on attitudes towards destination: Manifest and latent content. *Tourism Management*, 49, 29–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.02.004
- Knoblauch, T., Supervisor, N., & Number, H. H. (2014). place attachment and displays on Instagram with focus on emotional and functional dimensions that could amplify attachment.
- Low, S. M., & Altman, I. (1992). Place attachment: A conceptual inquiry. In Place Attachment. Human behaviour and environment: Advances in theory and research (pp. 1–12). New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Manzo Lynne C (2005) For better or worse: Exploring multiple dimensions of place meaning. Journal of Environmental Psychology 25, pp. 67–86.
- Manzuma-ndaaba, N. M., Harada, Y., Romle, A. R., & Shamsudin, A. S. (2016).Cognitive, Affective and Conative Loyalty in Higher Education Marketing:Proposed Model for Emerging Destinations, 6, 168–175.
- Mesch, G. S.,&Manor,O. (1998). Social ties, environmental perception, and local attachment. Environment and Behavior, 30(4), 504-519.
- Oliver, R.L. (1997), Satisfaction. A Behavioral Perspective on the Consumer. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.
- Oliver, R.L. (1999), Whence customer loyalty. Journal of Marketing, 63, 33-44.
- Ramkinssoon, H., Weiler, B., & Smith, G. (2012). Place attachment and proenvironmental behavior in national parks: the development of a conceptual framework. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 20(2), 257e276.
- Relph, E. (1976), Place and Placelessness. London: Pion.
- Russell, J. A., & Pratt, G. (1980). A Description of the Affective Quality Attributed to Environments, *38*(2), 311–322.
- Scannell, L., & Gifford, R. (2010). Defining place attachment: A tripartite organizing framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/

- 10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.09.006
- Shamai, S., & Ilatov, Z. (2005). MEASURING SENSE OF PLACE:, 96(5), 467-476.
- Stedman, R. C. (2002). Toward A Social Psychology Of Place Predicting Behavior From Place-Based Cognitions, Attitude, and Identity, 34(5), 561–581.
- Stedman, R., Beckley, T., Wallace, S., Ambard, M., Stedman, R., Beckley, T., ... Beckley, T. (2017). A Picture and 1000 Words: Using Resident- Employed Photography to Understand Attachment to High Amenity Places A Picture and 1000 Words: Attachment to High Amenity Places, 2216. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.2004.11950037.
- Stokols, D., & Shumaker, S. A. (1981). People in places: a transactional view of settings. In J. Harvey (Ed.), Cognition, social behavior and environment. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Tuan, Y.F. (1975). Place: An Experiential Perspective. Geographical Review, 65(2), 151–165.
- Tuan, Y.F. (1974). Tapophilia: A Study of Environmental Perception, Attitudes and Values. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Tuan, Y. F. (1979). Space and place: Humanistic perspective. In S. Gale & G. Olson, (Eds), Philosophy in Geography. Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
- Twigger-Ross, E. L., & Uzzell, D. L. (1996). Place and identity process. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 16, 205–220. https://doi.org/10.1006/jevp.1996.0017 UNESCO-UNEP.
- Ujang, N. (2012). Place Attachment and Continuity of Urban Place Identity, 49, 156–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.07.014.
- Using Volunteer-Employed Photography to Inform Tourism Planning Decisions: A Case Study of St David 's Peninsula, Wales Abstract. (n.d.), 1–53.
- Virdee, I. (2017). Photographic Tourism Research: Literature Review Photographic Tourism Research: Literature Review Photographic Tourism Research: Literature Review First Author, (August). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1 197064.
- Wei, S. & W. (2014). Development of the Model of Foreign Student Loyalty Studying in Thailand. *University of the Thai Chamber of Commerce E Prints UTCC*.
- Weidenfeld, A. (2016). Authenticity and place attachment of major visitor attractions, 52, 110–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.06.010.
- Yuksel, A., Yuksel, F., & Bilim, Y. (2010). Destination attachment: Effects on

- customer satisfaction and cognitive, affective and conative loyalty. *Tourism Management*, 31(2), 274–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.03.007
- Weidenfeld, A. (2016). Authenticity and place attachment of major visitor attractions, 52, 110–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.06.010.
- Yuksel, A., Yuksel, F., & Bilim, Y. (2010). Destination attachment: Effects on customer satisfaction and cognitive, affective and conative loyalty. *Tourism Management*, 31(2), 274–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.03.007.
- Yuksel, A., Yuksel, F., & Bilim, Y. (2010). Destination attachment: Effects on customer satisfaction and cognitive, affective and conative loyalty. *Tourism Management*, 31(2), 274–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.03.007.