

MULTIWALL CARBON NANOTUBES/LITHIUM
SALTS/POLYETHERSULFONE MEMBRANE FOR MICROALGAE
HARVESTING

NUR FARAHAH BINTI MOHD KHAIRUDDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Bioprocess Engineering)

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of ALLAH, the Almighty, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, Alhamdulillah, all praises be to Allah for His countless blessings, guidance and granting me good health, strength and time for the completion of my PhD thesis.

I would like to take this opportunity to submit my utmost heartiest gratitude to my supervisor Prof Ani Idris for her sincere, brilliant and humble guidance, supervision and invaluable advice. I would always thank her for always being there for me and never give up on me. The heartiest gratitude also goes to Dr. Mariani and Prof Khairiyah.

Heartfelt thanks to all my colleagues Effaliza, Sarah, Azura Hanis, Siti Asma', Nurdiana, Nursia and Maryam Farhana. Not forgotten, Hasrul, Irfan, Ehsan and Lee for their help, ideas and knowledge. Deeply thanks to all my Usrah teammate, my special friends Zulaikha, Suraya Hanim and Nazuha. I am forever thankful to Allah SWT for surrounded me with these good peoples who really taking care of me while I'm away from home.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all my family members, spouse, my family in-laws, uncles and aunties for their direct and indirectly motivation and assistance.

ABSTRACT

Membrane filtration for microalgae harvesting has been hampered by bio-fouling. In this research work, feasibility of microalgae harvesting using good anti fouling polyethersulfone (PES) membranes was examined. The main objective of the study is to develop a high-performance membrane with anti-fouling effect for microalgae harvesting. The antifouling PES membranes were fabricated using PES, multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) and two different additives i) lithium bromide (LiBr) ii) lithium chloride (LiCl) in dimethylacetamide. PES/MWCNT is the control membrane. The membranes were prepared via two methods; non-solvent induced phase separation (NIPS) and thermally induced phase separation (TIPS). The membrane performances were evaluated in terms of membrane flux, molecular weight cut-off and fouling performances. The results show that the morphology of the hybrid PES/MWCNT/LiCl and PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes were very much influenced by the phase separation method. Lithium salts helped to increase membrane porosity. Flux rates of the membranes were improved dramatically with increasing amount of additives when prepared using TIPS. Both NIPS and TIPS membranes can separate 100% of the microalgae. In terms of fouling propensity, TIPS membrane with LiCl exhibited more than 80% flux recovery while TIPS membrane with LiBr showed 100% flux recovery which exhibits excellent anti-fouling property. The membrane fabricated with 1 wt% MWCNT, 5 wt% LiBr and 18 wt% PES via TIPS process possessed an excellent filtration performance and anti-fouling effect. 5.5 g/l *Nannochloropsis* sp. have been fully retained using the fabricated membrane with average flux 28.9 L/m²h. Furthermore, the membrane demonstrated excellent anti-fouling effect owing to its higher membrane hydrophilicity (33.76°). Thus, the fabricated membrane can help to improve sustainability in algae-based production.

ABSTRAK

Penurasan membran untuk penuaian mikroalga telah terhalang oleh biokotoran. Di dalam kajian ini, kebolehan penuaian mikroalga menggunakan antikotoran membran polietersulfon (PES) yang baik telah dikaji. Objektif utama kajian ini ialah untuk menghasilkan sebuah membran berprestasi tinggi dengan kesan antikotoran untuk penuaian mikroalga. Antikotoran membran PES telah dibuat menggunakan PES, karbon nanotub dinding berbilang (MWCNT) dan dua bahan tambah berlainan i) litium bromida (LiBr) ii) litium klorida (LiCl) dalam dimetilasetamid. PES/MWCNT adalah membran kawalan. Membran telah dihasilkan melalui dua kaedah; fasa pemisahan bukan-pelarut teraruh (NIPS) dan fasa pemisahan haba teraruh (TIPS). Prestasi membran telah dinilai dari segi fluks membran, potongan berat molekul dan prestasi kotoran. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa morfologi hibrid membran PES/MWCNT/LiCl dan PES/MWCNT/LiBr sangat dipengaruhi oleh kaedah fasa pemisahan. Garam litium telah membantu untuk meningkatkan keliangan membran. Kadar fluks membran bertambah baik secara dramatik dengan penambahan jumlah bahan tambah apabila menggunakan TIPS. Kedua-dua membran NIPS dan TIPS boleh memisahkan mikroalga 100%. Dari segi kecenderungan untuk kotor, membran TIPS dengan LiCl mempamerkan lebih 80% perolehan fluks manakala membran TIPS dengan LiBr menunjukkan 100% perolehan fluks iaitu mempamerkan kecemerlangan sifat antikotoran. Membran yang diperbuat dengan 1 wt% MWCNT, 5 wt% LiBr dan 18 wt% PES melalui proses TIPS mempunyai prestasi penurasan dan kesan antikotoran yang cemerlang. 5.5 g/l *Nannochloropsis* sp. telah sepenuhnya ditahan menggunakan membran yang dibuat dengan purata fluks 28.9 L/m²h. Tambahan pula, membran tersebut menunjukkan kesan antikotoran yang cemerlang kerana ketinggian hidrofilik membran (33.76°). Oleh itu, membran yang dibuat dapat membantu untuk membaiki kelestarian pengeluaran berasaskan alga.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.0	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Statements	5
1.3	Objectives of the Study	9
1.4	Scope of the Study	10
1.5	Significance of the Study	11
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1	Introduction to Membranes Filtration	13
2.2	Membrane Fabrication	14
2.2.1	Polyethersulfone (PES) Membrane	15
2.2.2	Membrane Additives	20
2.2.2.1	Multiwall Carbone Nanotubes (MWCNT)	22
2.2.2.2	Lithium Salts	27
2.2.3	Phase Inversion	29
2.2.3.1	Non-solvent Induced Phase Separation (NIPS)	29
2.2.3.2	Thermally Induced Phase Separation (TIPS)	32

2.3	Membrane Filtration for Microalgae Harvesting	34
2.4	Membrane Fouling Mechanism	44
2.5	Algae Fouling	47
	2.5.1 Feed Condition	50
	2.5.2 Membrane Property	51
	2.5.3 Operating Condition	54
2.6	Algae Fouling Determination	55
	2.6.1 Irreversible and Reversible Fouling	55
	2.6.2 Fouled Membrane Composition	56
	2.6.3 Fouled Membrane Morphology	57
2.7	Anti-fouling Work for Microalgae Harvesting	58
2.8	Conclusion	61
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	63
3.1	Introduction	63
3.2	Membrane Materials	65
3.3	Hybrid Membrane Preparation	66
3.4	Preparation of Microalgae Stock	68
3.5	Membrane Characterization	70
	3.5.1 Chemical Composition	70
	3.5.2 Morphology	70
	3.5.3 Surface Roughness	71
3.6	Determination of Membranes Molecular Weight Cut-off (MWCO)	71
3.7	Hydrophilicity	72
3.8	Pore Size and Porosity	72
3.9	Water Filtration and Microalgae Harvesting Efficiency	73
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	77
4.1	Membrane Characteristic	77
	4.1.1 Membrane Composition	77
	4.1.2 Membrane Morphology	83
	4.1.3 Surface Roughness	85
4.2	Porosity and Pore Size	88
4.3	Membrane Molecular Weight Cut Off (MWCO)	92

4.4	Contact Angle	97
4.5	Water Permeation	103
4.6	Anti-fouling Analysis	104
4.7	Microalgae Harvesting Efficiency	106
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	111
5.1	Conclusion	111
5.2	Recommendation	112
REFERENCES		113
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		135

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Performance of UF/MF membranes for microalgae harvesting	3
Table 2.1	Modified membrane works to improve hydrophilic properties for filtrations.	17
Table 2.2	The list of PES membrane with various additives	20
Table 2.3	Lipid content of microalgae of different species (Brennan and Owende, 2010).	35
Table 2.4	Advantages and disadvantages of microalgae harvesting method	40
Table 2.5	Various membrane sizes for microalgae harvesting	52
Table 2.6	Filtration velocity and pressure during microalgae harvesting	54
Table 2.7	Membrane filtration for microalgae harvesting	59
Table 3.1	Materials involves in membrane preparation and characterization	65
Table 3.2	Composition of PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes	66
Table 3.3	Composition of PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes	67
Table 3.4	Filtration unit specification	74
Table 4.1	Surface roughness of the fabricated membranes	88
Table 4.2	PES/MWCNT/LiCl molecular weight cut-off	93
Table 4.3	PES/MWCNT/LiBr molecular weight cut-off	93
Table 4.4	Fouling properties; total fouling (Ft), reversible fouling (Fr), irreversible fouling (F _{ir}), flux recovery ratio (FRR) of NIPS and TIPS membranes. (Note: The data are mean values \pm standard deviation)	105

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Molecular structure of polyethersulfone	15
Figure 2.2	Acid functionalized MWCNT attached with carboxyl (COOH) group	25
Figure 2.3	Ternary phase diagram for (a) instantaneous de-mixing and (b) delayed de-mixing; T and B represent top and bottom of the film (source: Guillen <i>et al.</i> (2011))	30
Figure 2.4	Cross-section image of membrane with a) 18 wt% PVDF b) 20 wt% PVDF and 25 wt% PVDF (source: Jung <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	32
Figure 2.5	Cross-section of membrane structure in different coagulation bath temperature a) ice water; b) water bath (303 K); c) air bath at room temperature (303 K) ($\times 1$ k) (source: Gu <i>et al.</i> , 2006).	34
Figure 2.6	Factors that impede microalgae industry from growing	37
Figure 2.7	Order of suitability of harvesting techniques criterion	39
Figure 2.8	Three types of membrane fouling mechanism: a) pore blocking b) cake formation and c) scaling/adsorption.	45
Figure 3.1	Work flow of membrane preparation and microalgae filtration experiment.	64
Figure 3.2	<i>Nannochloropsis</i> sp. microalgae	69
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of cross-flow filtration rig	75
Figure 4.1	FTIR spectra of PES/MWCNT/LiBr and PES/LiBr membranes	78
Figure 4.2	FTIR spectra of PES/MWCNT/LiCl (TIPS) membrane	80
Figure 4.3	FTIR spectra of PES/MWCNT/LiCl and PES/LiCl membranes	81
Figure 4.4	FTIR spectra of PES/LiBr and PES/LiCl of TIPS membranes (NIPS)	82

Figure 4.5	SEM cross-section images (400x magnificence) of TIPS and NIPS membranes with various concentrations of MWCNT.	84
Figure 4.6	AFM images of membrane surface roughness	87
Figure 4.7	Porosity of PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes	90
Figure 4.8	Porosity of PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes	90
Figure 4.9	Average pore diameter of PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes	91
Figure 4.10	Average pore diameter of PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes	91
Figure 4.11	The MWCO of PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes with different additives via non-solvent induced phase separation (NIPS) process	94
Figure 4.12	The MWCO of PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes with different additives via non-solvent induced phase separation (TIPS) process	95
Figure 4.13	The MWCO of PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes with different additives via temperature induced phase separation (NIPS) process	96
Figure 4.14	The MWCO of PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes with different additives via temperature-induced phase separation (TIPS) process	97
Figure 4.15	Contact angle reading of PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes	99
Figure 4.16	Contact angle reading of PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes	100
Figure 4.17	Contact angle measurement versus membrane molecular weight cut off of the PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes with various additive concentrations	101
Figure 4.18	Contact angle measurement versus membrane molecular weight cut off of the PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes with various additive concentrations	102
Figure 4.19	Water permeation of PES/MWCNT/LiCl membranes	103
Figure 4.20	Water permeation of PES/MWCNT/LiBr membranes	104
Figure 4.21	VPSEM images of cross-section and top surface of a) fouled NIPS membrane and b) non-fouled TIPS membrane	106

Figure 4.22	<i>Nannochloropsis</i> sp. feed solution (b) fed to the cross flow membrane system, (a) permeate and (c) retentate obtained after filtration	107
Figure 4.23	Microalgae permeation flux for NIPS and TIPS membrane of P-M1- LiBr5 (initial concentration = 3.3 g/l (NIPS), 5.5 g/l (TIPS), time 30 minutes)	107
Figure 4.24	Membrane surface after 30 minutes of microalgae cross-flow filtration process	108
Figure 4.25	Water and algae flux for five sequential fluxes for TIPS P-M1-LiBr5 membrane at 1.5 bar	109
Figure 4.26	VPSEM micrographs of the surface and cross-section of the A) P-MWCNT membrane B) P-LiBr membrane C) P-M1-LiBr5 (NIPS) D) P-M1-LiBr5 (TIPS)	110

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Ag	-	Silver nanoparticles
AOM	-	Alga organic matter
Al ₂ O ₃	-	Alumina oxide
AFM	-	Atomic force microscope
BSA	-	Bovine serum albumin
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
CaCO ₃	-	Calcium carbonate
CNT	-	Carbon nanotubes
CA	-	Cellulose acetate
CP	-	Concentration polarization
CF	-	Concentration factor
DMAC	-	Dimethyl acetamide
DMF	-	Dimethyl formamide
DMSO	-	Dimethyl sulfoxide
EDX	-	Energy dispersive X-ray
EOM	-	Extracellular organic matter
EPS	-	Extracellular polymeric substances
FESEM	-	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
FRR	-	Flux recovery ratio
FTIR	-	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
FFA	-	Free fatty acid
H ₂ SO ₄	-	Sulfuric acid
HNO ₃	-	Nitric acid
LiBr	-	Lithium bromide
LiCl	-	Lithium chloride
LiF	-	Lithium fluoride
MBR	-	Membrane bioreactor
MF	-	Microfiltration
MWCO	-	Molecular weight cut-off
MWCNT	-	Multiwall carbon nanotube

NaClO	-	Sodium hypochlorite
NaOH	-	Sodium hydroxide
NF	-	Nanofiltration
NIPS	-	Non-solvent induced phase separation
NMP	-	N-methylpyrrolidone
PAN	-	Polyacrylonitrile
PE	-	Polyethylene
PES	-	Polyethersulfone
PEG	-	Polyethylene glycol
PSf	-	Polysulfone
PTE	-	Polytetrafluorethylene
PVA	-	Polyvinyl alcohol
PVC	-	Polyvinyl chloride
PVDF	-	Polyvinylidene fluoride
PVP	-	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
PP	-	polypropylene
RO	-	Reverse osmosis
SEM	-	Scanning electron microscopy
SiO ₂	-	Silicon Dioxide
SMP	-	Soluble microbial products
TIPS	-	Thermally induce phase separation
TiO ₂	-	Titanium oxide
TMP	-	Transmembrane pressure
TAG	-	Triglyceride
UF	-	Ultrafiltration
VIPS	-	Vapor induced phase separation
VPSEM	-	Variable pressure scanning electron microscopy
VRF	-	Volume reduction factor
ZnO	-	Zinc oxide

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Area
Ca	-	Calcium
°C	-	Degree celcius
ϵ	-	Porosity
Fe	-	Ferum
Fr	-	Reversible fouling
F_{ir}	-	Irreversible fouling
F_T	-	Total fouling
g/L	-	Gram per Liter
J_{wo}	-	Initial water flux
J_{w1}	-	Final water flux after cake layer removal
J_{w2}	-	Final water flux before cake layer removal
J_s	-	Microalgae permeation and steady state
J_o	-	Clean membrane initial flux
J_1	-	Flux of membrane before cleaning
J_2	-	Flux of membrane after cleaning
kDa	-	Kilo Dalton
Kg	-	Kilogram
kg/m^2hr	-	Permeation rate
L/m^2h	-	Liter meter square per hour
Mg	-	Magnesium
m/s	-	Meter per second
Na	-	Sodium
O_p	-	Optical density permeate
O_f	-	Optical density feed
R_T	-	Total membrane resistance
R_{cp}	-	Concentration polarization resistance
Rz	-	Height of the surface
Rq	-	Root mean square roughness
Rpv	-	Maximum depth of valleys

t	-	Time
V	-	Volume
V_f	-	Volume of feed
V_c	-	Volume of concentrate/ retentate
η	-	Viscosity
ΔP	-	Different of pressure

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Overview

Membrane filtration has emerged as a promising tool for many separation processes. This is because it is easy in operation, requires only low operating pressure and temperature and does not require any chemical addition. The concept of membrane filtration is molecular sieving through membrane pores which can be divided into nanofiltration, reverse osmosis, microfiltration (MF) and ultrafiltration (UF). A membrane itself is a thin permeable or semipermeable layer that only allows specific molecules to pass through it according to its pore sizes. A desirable membrane filtration process is one with high selectivity and flux and possesses good antifouling properties. The efficiency of membranes is always determined based on their flux, the percentage of rejection, concentration factor and volume reduction factor.

A membrane can be prepared either from polymers, ceramic or metal. Basically, ceramic membranes have better permeability and rejection (Lee and Cho, 2004). Ceramic membranes such as titanium dioxide (TiO_2), zirconium dioxide (ZrO_2), aluminium oxide (Al_2O_3) and silicon dioxide (SiO_2) possess higher fluxes and lower fouling (Hoffs *et al.*, 2011). However, filtration using ceramic membranes is rarely applied in water filtration compared to polymeric membrane. This is because ceramic membranes require high initial installation and production cost compared to polymeric membrane besides they are brittle that they need to be handled carefully.

In order to meet the economic feasibility, the price of ceramic membrane module at least need to be less than 4.25 times of polymeric membrane's price (Park *et al.*, 2014). At present, the excellent characteristic of polymer membranes made the polymer as the biggest competitor to the ceramic membrane. Various polymers have been used to make membranes including polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), polyethersulfone (PES), and cellulose acetate (CA). The PES membrane main attraction is its properties in chemical stabilities, mechanical strength and membrane-forming properties. Pristine PES membrane is hydrophobic and has problem in permeation and fouling when is used (Susanto and Ulbricht, 2009). Thus additives are always being introduced to the PES polymer to fabricate a membrane that is hydrophilic.

Normally, non-solvent hydrophilic polymer additives such as polyvinyl polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and polyethylene glycol (PEG) are added in PES casting solution to increase hydrophilicity. They also acted as pore-former agents to the PES membrane and help to create spongelike or fingerlike structures in the membrane sub-layer. Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) is also another common additives added in PES membrane fabrication due to its excellent hydrophilicity. Since PVA is unstable in organic solvent, it was usually grafted and cross-linked on the PES membrane surface (Liu, Kim and Kim, 2008; Guo *et al.*, 2008). The additives give the advantage to the PES membrane in terms of high flux rate.

However, the non-solvent additives are soluble in water and there are possibilities for the additives to leach out during immersion in the coagulation bath and resulted in adverse effects on membrane structure and hydrophilicity (Ahmad *et al.*, 2013). In order to overcome the mentioned issues, inorganic salts additives such as lithium bromide (LiBr) and lithium chloride (LiCl) were introduced in polymer casting. The significant effect of inorganic additives in polymer membrane is not only on improvement of membrane hydrophilicity but in membrane rejection rate. PES membrane with inorganic salt additive gives greater association with PES moieties and reduction in polymer chain mobility.

These additives make slow polymer precipitation due to weak non-solvent/solvent exchange and lead to a dense membrane with small pore size (Idris, Ahmed and Limin, 2010). The smaller the pore size, the greater the selectivity of the membrane which means the membrane molecular cut-off is improved and high rejection achieved. Nowadays, blending of PES with inorganic nanoparticles has attracted research interests because of the promising membrane results. The emerging technologies in nanoparticles industry have produced variety of nanoparticles such as alumina oxide (Al_2O_3), zinc oxide (ZnO), titanium oxide (TiO_2) and carbon nanotubes (CNT) nanoparticles.

These nanoparticles have been used in PES membrane making as additives to enhance membrane performances and anti-protein fouling. Nanoparticles additives have contributed in the membrane structure change to a fingerlike structure in membrane sub-layer which mainly promotes high permeation rate of the membrane (Sotto *et al.*, 2011). Membrane filtration is among one of the many techniques used for microalgae harvesting. It is able to harvest 99-100% (harvesting efficiency) of microalgae as depicted in Table 1.1 and only UF and MF processes are involved in microalgae harvesting.

Table 1.1 Performance of UF/MF membranes for microalgae harvesting

Author	Filtration Type	Velocity (m/s)	TMP (bar)	Filtration Flux (L/m²h)	Harvesting Efficiency
Castaing <i>et al.</i> , 2010	MF	N/A	0.3	29	99%
Castaing <i>et al.</i> , 2011	MF	N/A	0.3	108	99%
Frappart <i>et al.</i> , 2011	UF	1	1	>100	100%
Bilad <i>et al.</i> , 2013	MF	N/A	N/A	>50	100%
Hwang <i>et al.</i> , 2015	UF	1	2-3	96	100%
Hwang and Wu, 2015	MF	1	2	≈ 105	100%

Note: N/A= information not available

The application of membrane filtration in microalgae harvesting requires the maintenance of a pressure drop across the system to force fluid flow through a membrane. During the process, microalgae will deposit on the membrane and can grow thicker throughout the process which later cause a pressure drop across the membrane and decrease filtration flux (Barros *et al.*, 2015). This phenomenon is known as fouling. One of the advantages when using UF and MF techniques is the low required transmembrane pressure (TMP) and velocity that can reduce fouling propensity. This is because the high velocity and TMP that is attained via pumping through a highly restrictive valve can induce high shear on microalgae.

Shear is responsible for broken cells and release of microalgae products. The sheared algae can cause more drastic fouling than non-sheared microalgae (Ladner, Vardon and Clark, 2010). Membrane filtration for microalgae harvesting is still in its infant stage, unlike centrifugation that has been the most common technique for microalgae harvesting. Centrifugation is used in lab and pilot scale production. Centrifugation applies high rotational and shear forces to separate microalgae but consumes huge amounts of energy if it is being used for vast production. Normally, centrifuge is adjusted to maximize capture efficiency where the energy is consumed. According to Barros *et al.* (2015), high solid capture of 94% consumed 20 kWh of energy and 17% of solid capture consumed only 0.80 kWh but obviously is less efficient.

Meanwhile, belt filter system can be used for up-scale harvesting. A belt-filter system separation is based on gravity drainage followed by compression of filtered material. However, belt filter system is only suitable for high concentration algae culture. A study revealed that a belt filter system can recover microalgae suspension with minimum concentration is 6 g dry wt/L because when 4 g dry wt/L of microalgal suspension was used, the percent of microalgae recovered dropped significantly due to leakage in the filter section (Sandip, Smith and Faddis, 2015). Microalgae are eukaryotic unicellular organisms that can be found in saline or freshwater bodies.

There are numerous microalgae species around the world but only a handful such as *Scenedesmus*, *Chlorella*, *Haematococcus* and *Nannochloropsis* algae are known for their useful products. *Nannochloropsis* is known as one of the source of biodiesel because of its high percentage of triglyceride yield in relation to overall lipid content (Brennan and Owende, 2010). Previously, animal fats and vegetable oils are used for biodiesel production but they are not practical due to food competitor issues and large area requirement. Microalgae such as *Dunaliella* sp., *Chlorella* sp., and *Scenedesmus* sp., contain various pigments molecules like β -carotene, chlorophyll and carotenoids that have been used as colorants in cosmetic and food (Shah *et al.*, 2016) for a long time.

Meanwhile, *Haematococcus pluvialis* contains astaxanthin. Astaxanthin is used in cosmetics products, food supplements and pharmaceutical industries because of its free radical scavenging capacity and powerful antioxidant activity (Khanra *et al.*, 2018). Since microalgae holds economic value in various applications, they are sometimes cultivated indoor. Harvesting of cultivated microalgae is necessary so as to obtain their biomass before further processing them into valuable products.

1.2 Problem Statements

Filtration has been found satisfactory at recovering many type of microalgae cell. However its performance has been hampered by rapid bio-fouling (Zhang and Fu, 2018). The main foulants can be classified as algae cell, algae debris and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). Fouling in microalgae filtration is mainly due to the formation of a cake layer of algae cell on the membrane surface (Marbelia *et al.*, 2016). The EPS which is usually in soluble form and loosely bound will tightly bind with the algae cell and become part of the bio-fouling layer (Chang, Lee and Lee, 2019). The presence of EPS is always associated with slimy features due to the algae biofilm.

In nature, the biofilms are the main form of microbial life and they are important to ensure the algae survive in a hostile, nutrient-limited and rough aqueous environment (Upadhyayula and Gadhamshetty, 2010). After fouling of algae membrane cleaning is required in order to provide the membrane with adequate flux and separation. Generally, membrane cleaning can be divided into two types; physical and chemical cleaning. Physical cleaning includes backwash, forward flushing, back-flushing and back-pulsing which imposes shear forces on the membrane surface to loosen and dislodge the foulant. Generally, most membrane systems have backpulse/backwash device to minimize fouling by cleaning the membrane intermittent in between filtration period and rest period.

Especially in cross-flow filtrations where fast fouling can be observed thus periodic washing is a must. However, the adverse effect from frequent cleaning is less working time which lowered the filtration efficiency (Bhave *et al.*, 2012; Chen *et al.*, 2012). If the backwash frequency is too low, resistance due to fouling can become higher, which can also result in a low flux (Kwon *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, some membrane cannot withstand backwashing especially the flat panel membrane (Baerdemaeker *et al.*, 2013). Chemical cleaning method has been the popular method to remove algae foulant in many studies (Zhang *et al.*, 2010; Ríos *et al.*, 2012; Monte *et al.*, 2018; Gerardo *et al.*, 2015; Bilad *et al.*, 2012).

Normally, sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) and citric acid in various concentrations were normally pumped into the membrane module. After each cleaning, membranes were flushed with water. However, this method can shorten the life-span of the membrane itself. Thus regular membrane cleaning may not be the first option. Since 2010, a significant focus on application of auxiliary in membrane configuration for combating fouling has been found. The strategy to reduce fouling has focused on increasing the feed flow by installing vibrator, rotating disk, stirrer and blower. All of the added equipment is about configuration improvement and this means that less attention was given on membrane modification.

Additional, a review study by Liao *et al.* (2018) suggests that very few studies have been accomplished to optimize the properties of membrane for microalgae harvesting. Castaing *et al.* (2010) applied hollow fiber submerged filtration system with aeration effect for *Heterocapsa triquetra* harvesting. A blower was set at the bottom of the hollow fiber membrane to generate bubbles and it was found to slow down the fouling occurrence. Critical flux achieved for the harvesting was 29 L/m²h after 180 min of filtration under 0.3 bar TMP. Frappart *et al.* (2011) applied a rotating disk in cross-flow membrane to create dynamic movement during filtration and found that the permeation flux increased by two folds. However broken cells were observed recirculating through the throttling valve.

Bilad *et al.* (2013) performed a flat sheet submerged filtration for harvesting *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Chlorella vulgaris*. The filtration system was equipped with vibrator machine. The vibrations generated from the vibrator machine were from magnetic repulsion. The vibration was only subjected to the area of the membrane. The critical flux achieved was slightly higher than achieved by Castaing *et al.* (2010) which were above 50 L/m²h. Thus, the vibrated system is better than aerated system. Nurra *et al.* (2014) had performed microalgae harvesting using vibrated filtration system in pilot scale. A pilot plant with six photo bioreactors with a total capacity of 53,000 L are developed for cultivation, harvesting, cell disruption and lipid extraction.

The harvesting process is performed using a membrane vibrating set-up from New Logic Research Inc., model VSEP Series LP. Results from membrane filtration achieved microalgae filtration at 28.5 L/m²/h/bar using a PES with a molecular weight cut-off of 7000 Da. To support microalgae biomass demand, centrifugation was used in parallel with membrane filtration to harvest microalgae. In the centrifugation process a total of 28,100 L was treated in 11 batches. Each batch duration was 3 hours approximately at a recirculating flow rate of 1000 L/h. The total concentrated volume obtained was 20.3 L and the total dry biomass obtained was 2.64 kg.

Kim *et al.* (2014) used cross-flow electro-filtration system as a step to anti-fouling harvesting. A platinum plate has been placed on the opposite side of the electro-membrane with 5 mm distance to cause water electrolysis during filtration and served as the counter anode. The electro-membrane used caused electrical repulsion between the membrane surface and microalgae cell and fouling decreased which was indicated by the high concentration factor achieved. Kim *et al.* (2015) and Hwang and Wu (2015) performed microalgae harvesting using a cross-flow cell equipped with rotating disk. The rotation from the rotating disk can generate shear stress on membrane surface to mitigate the algae fouling. However, these systems are expensive due to current energy and limiting space in the rotating disk system.

Recently, Ye *et al.* (2018) combined the use of stirrer with forward osmosis (FO) type of filtration. The result achieved was not impressive as Bilad *et al.* (2013) with 23.3 L/m²h. However, the membrane fouling was reversible by simple hydraulic flushing which made the pure water flux remained more than 97% of original pure water flux. Amazing impact of vibration on membrane filtration was also recorded in a recent study by Zhao *et al.* (2018). A uniform shearing vibration was applied by using a simple shaker and it is not same as other vibration machines with the variable shear rate. The purpose was to produce more stable shear action on the membrane. The membrane fouling had a remarkable decline only with little power increment (2 Hz) and at a low frequency of 5 Hz.

Furthermore, according to Kim *et al.* (2019) rotating disks, vibration, and bubbling was not appropriate for hollow fiber membrane. Thus, Kim *et al.* (2019) introduced the use of a turbulent jet. The turbulent jet module has its own design with a perforated cylinder at the center of the module to create turbulent jets. The perforated cylinder consists of 40 holes and the diameter and length is 0.3 mm and 200 mm, respectively. Each hole acts as an inlet port located very close to the membrane. The turbulent jet worked by generating a locally high velocity and shear stress near the membrane. The turbulent jets directly impinging on the membrane surface in the radial directions while removing foulant.

Hence, a study on development of membrane with good properties to combat algae fouling is an opportunity. Drexler and Yeh (2014) mentioned that continuous research in polymer science or interfacial phenomena would help develop membrane that are better able to resist fouling. Previously, Hwang *et al.* (2015) studies the effects of hydrophilic additives Pluronic F-127 on PVDF membrane and found 100% of algae retention with permeation flux of 96 L/m²h that was larger by approximately 50% than a commercial hydrophilic membrane. Thus, the purpose of this research work is to contribute in the development of a new membrane with a combination of additives which able to reduce fouling issue in membrane filtration for microalgae harvesting.

PES is the chosen base polymer since it has high chemical and thermal stability. It is known for its good membrane forming properties that makes it one of the most popular polymers in producing membrane for water filtration application. Besides that, it is also one of membrane material that is commonly used in protein separation (Celik *et al.*, 2011). In this study, the PES will be blended with functionalized multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCNT) and lithium salts. MWCNT is a unique nanoparticle due to its electrical properties that makes it different from other nanoparticle (Bonard *et al.*, 2002). The electrical property is mainly due to the extra negative electron charge it consists which can benefit to polymer.

The lithium salts consist of lithium bromide (LiBr) and lithium chloride (LiCl) will be combined together with MWCNT to study their hybrid effects. The hybrid effect of lithium salts and MWCNT is the novel part in this research. The lithium salts alone has been known to increase the performance of membranes pure water permeation rate and rejection rate (Idris *et al.*, 2010).

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to develop a high-performance membrane with anti-fouling effect for microalgae harvesting by using polyethersulfone (PES) as the base polymer and functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) and lithium salts as additives. PES/MWCNT is the control membrane. In order to achieve the main objective, the following objectives need to be addressed;

- 1) To synthesize membrane with different concentrations of functionalized MWCNT and different lithium salts; LiBr and LiCl in PES polymer using two different phase inversion techniques; thermally induce phase separation (TIPS) and non-solvent induced phase separation (NIPS).
- 2) To evaluate the hybrid effect of functionalized MWCNT and lithium salts on PES membrane performance in terms of flux, molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) and rejection rate.
- 3) To use the membrane for harvesting *Nannochloropsis* sp. and determine the extent of bio-fouling of the fabricated membranes.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of the study mainly focuses on the development of anti-fouling PES membrane to be used in microalgae harvesting.

- I. Preparation of various PES membranes with two different additive of lithium salts (LiCl and LiBr) and MWCNT varied from 1-5wt% via blending and phase inversion techniques. Pristine membrane PES/MWCNT was also be prepared as the benchmark.

- II. Two types of phase inversion were used to form the membranes; non-solvent induced phase separation (NIPS) and thermally induced phase separation (TIPS).
- III. The fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) were used to characterize the fabricated membranes.
- IV. The surface roughness and hydrophilic property of the membranes were determined using atomic force microscope (AFM) and contact angle measurements respectively.
- V. The performance of fabricated membranes was initially evaluated in terms of MWCO, rejection rate, pure water and fluxes because these are the important parameters deciding the separation performance and was compared with the control membrane.
- VI. The membranes performances were then evaluated for microalgae harvesting and then anti-fouling properties were then evaluated.
- VII. The fouling propensity of the fabricated membrane were determined in term of reversible fouling (F_r) and irreversible fouling (F_{ir}). Only membrane that shows better anti-fouling was evaluated for harvesting efficiency. The microalgae harvesting efficiency was determined using volume reduction factor (VRF) and concentration factor (CF).
- VIII. Microalgae genus *Nannochloropsis* that has been receiving much research interest due to its ability to synthesize lipids for biodiesel production has been used as algae model.

1.5 Significance of the Study

The work herein intends to demonstrate the synergistic effect of combining MWCNT with LiBr/LiCl as additives to improve the membrane property and at the same time prevent fouling. This antifouling behavior developed was demonstrated by its ability to harvest microalgae successfully and at the same time be reused again without losing its initial properties. The novel PES membrane formulated with both LiBr and functionalized MWCNT not only producing membrane with excellent anti-fouling behavior but also possess high permeation rate and durability.

The TIPS method used was able to produce membrane with excellent hydrophilic characteristic with zero irreversible fouling ratio that translates to 100% flux recovery. Thus, this study able to demonstrates novel membrane fabrication for better anti-fouling property for microalgae harvesting.

REFERENCES

- Abidin, M. N. Z., Goh, P. S., Ismail, A. F., Othman, M. H. D., Hasbullah, H., Said, N., and Ng, B. C. (2017). Development of Biocompatible and Safe Polyethersulfone Hemodialysis Membrane Incorporated with Functionalized Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 77, 572–582.
- Ahmad, A. L., Mat Yasin, N. H., Derek, C. J. C., and Lim, J. K. (2011). Optimization of Microalgae Coagulation Process using Chitosan. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 173, 879–882.
- Ahmad, A. L., Mat Yasin, N. H., Derek, C. J. C., and Lim, J. K. (2012). Crossflow Microfiltration of Microalgae Biomass for Biofuel Production. *Desalination*. 302, 65–70.
- Ahmad, A. L., Abdulkarim, A. A., Ooi, B. S., and Ismail, S. (2013). Recent Development in Additives Modifications of Polyethersulfone Membrane for Flux Enhancement. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 223, 246–267.
- Alpatova, A., Kim, E., Sun, X., Hwang, G., Liu, Y., and El-Din, M. G. (2013). Fabrication of Porous Polymeric Nanocomposite Membranes with Enhanced Anti-fouling Properties: Effect of Casting Composition. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 444, 449–460.
- Andrews, R., and Weisenberger, M. (2004). Carbon Nanotube Polymer Composites. *Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science*. 8(1), 31–37.
- Antony, A. and Leslie, G. (2011). Degradation of Polymeric Membranes in Water and Wastewater Treatment. *Advanced Membrane Science and Technology for Sustainable Energy and Environmental Applications*. 718–745.
- Arthanareeswaran, G., and Starov, V. M. (2011). Effect of Solvents on Performance of Polyethersulfone Ultrafiltration Membranes: Investigation of Metal Ion Separations. *Desalination*, 267(1), 57–63.
- Babel, S., and Takizawa, S. (2010). Microfiltration Membrane Fouling and Cake Behavior during Algal Filtration. *Desalination*. 261, 46–51.
- Baerdemaeker, T. D., Lemmens, B., Dotremont, C., Fret, J., Roef, L., Goiris, K., and Diels, L. (2013). Benchmark Study on Algae Harvesting with Backwashable Submerged Flat Panel Membranes. *Bioresource Technology*. 129, 582–591.

- Bannwarth, S., Trieu, T., Oberschelp, C., and Wessling, M. (2016). On-line Monitoring of Cake Layer Structure during Fouling on Porous Membranes by In-situ Electrical Impedance Analysis. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 503, 188–198.
- Baicha, Z., Salar-Garc, M. J., Ortiz-Mart, V. M., Hernandez-Fernandez, F. J., De Los, R., A. P., Labjar, N., and Elmahi, M. (2016). A Critical Review on Microalgae as an Alternative Source for Bioenergy Production: A Promising Low Cost Substrate for Microbial Fuel Cells. *Fuel Processing Technology*. 154, 104–116.
- Balta, S., Sotto, A., Luis, P., Benea, L., Van der Bruggen, B., and Kim, J. (2012). A New Outlook on Membrane Enhancement with Nanoparticles: The Alternative of ZnO. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 389, 155–161.
- Barros, A. I., Gonçalves, A. L., Simões, M., and Pires, J. C. M. (2015). Harvesting Techniques Applied to Microalgae: A Review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 41, 1489–1500.
- Bartholome, C., Miaudet, P., Derré, A., Maugey, M., Roubeau, O., Zakri, C., and Poulin, P. (2008). Influence of Surface Functionalization on the Thermal and Electrical Properties of Nanotube–PVA Composites. *Composites Science and Technology*. 68, 2568–2573.
- Baur, E., Osswald, T. A., & Rudolph, N. (2018). Material Properties. *Plastics Handbook*, 625–663.
- Behboudi, A., Jafarzadeh, Y., and Yegani, R. (2018). Incorporation of Silica Grafted Silver Nanoparticles into Polyvinyl Chloride/Polycarbonate Hollow Fiber Membranes for Pharmaceutical Wastewater Treatment. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. 135, 153–165.
- Bhave, R., Kuritz, T., Powell, L., and Adcock, D. (2012). Membrane-Based Energy Efficient Dewatering of Microalgae in Biofuels Production and Recovery of Value Added Co-Products. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 46, 5599–5606.
- Bilad, M. R., Vandamme, D., Foubert, I., Muylaert, K., and Vankelecom, I. F. J. (2012). Harvesting Microalgal Biomass using Submerged Microfiltration Membranes. *Bioresource Technology*. 111, 343–52.

- Bilad, M. R., Discart, V., Vandamme, D., Foubert, I., Muylaert, K., and Vankelecom, I. F. J. (2013). Harvesting Microalgal Biomass Using A Magnetically Induced Membrane Vibration (MMV) System: Filtration Performance and Energy Consumption. *Bioresource Technology*. 138, 329–338.
- Bilad, M. R., Arafat, H. A, and Vankelecom, I. F. J. (2014a). Membrane Technology in Microalgae Cultivation and Harvesting: A Review. *Biotechnology Advances*. 32, 1283–1300.
- Bilad, M. R., Discart, V., Vandamme, D., Foubert, I., Muylaert, K., and Vankelecom, I. F. J. (2014b). Coupled Cultivation and Pre-Harvesting of Microalgae In a Membrane Photobioreactor (MPBR). *Bioresource Technology*. 155, 410–7.
- Bilad, M. R., Marbelia, L., Naik, P., Laine, C., and Vankelecom, I. F. J. (2014c). Direct Comparison of Aerated and Vibrated Filtration Systems for Harvesting Of *Chlorella Vulgaris*. *Algal Research*. 6, 32–38.
- Bonard, J., Croci, M., Klinke, C., Kurt, R., Noury, O., and Weiss, N. (2002). Carbon Nanotube Films as Electron Field Emitters. *Carbon*. 40, 1715–1728.
- Bourdiol, F., Mouchet, F., Perrault, A., Fourquaux, I., Datas, L., Gancet, C., and Flahaut, E. (2013). Biocompatible Polymer-Assisted Dispersion of Multi Walled Carbon Nanotubes in Water, Application to the Investigation of their Ecotoxicity Using *Xenopus Laevis* Amphibian Larvae. *Carbon*. 54, 175–191.
- Bowen, W. R., and Jenner, F. (1995). Theoretical Descriptions of Membrane Filtration of Colloids and Fine Particles: An Assessment and Review. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*. 56, 141–200.
- Brennan, L., and Owende, P. (2010). Biofuels from Microalgae — A Review of Technologies for Production , Processing , and Extractions of Biofuels and Co-Products. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 14, 557–577.
- Bruggen, B. (2009). Chemical Modification of Polyethersulfone Nanofiltration Membranes: A review. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 114(1), 630–642.
- Bruggen, B. (2018). Microfiltration, Ultrafiltration, Nanofiltration, Reverse osmosis, and Forward osmosis. *Fundamental Modelling of Membrane Systems*. 25–70.
- Bussy, C., Pinault, M., Cambedouzou, J., Landry, M. J., Jegou, P., Mayne-L'hermite, M., and Lanone, S. (2012). Critical Role of Surface Chemical Modifications Induced By Length Shortening on Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes-Induced Toxicity. *Particle and Fibre Toxicology*. 9, 46–61.

- Castaing, J. B., Masse, A., Pontie, M., Sechet, V., Haure, J., and Jaouen, P. (2010). Investigating Submerged Ultrafiltration (UF) and Microfiltration (MF) Membranes for Seawater Pre-Treatment Dedicated to Total Removal of Undesirable Micro-Algae. *Desalination*. 253, 71–77.
- Castaing, J. B., Massé, A., Séchet, V., Sabiri, N., Pontié, M., Haure, J., and Jaouen, P. (2011). Immersed Hollow Fibres Microfiltration (MF) for Removing Undesirable Micro-Algae and Protecting Semi-Closed Aquaculture Basins. *Desalination*. 276, 386–396.
- Celik, E., Liu, L., and Choi, H. (2011). Protein Fouling Behavior of Carbon Nanotube/Polyethersulfone Composite Membranes During Water Filtration. *Water Research*. 45, 5287–94.
- Chang, S., Waite, T. D., Schäfer, A. I., and Fane, A. G. (2002). Adsorption of Trace Steroid Estrogens to Hydrophobic Hollow Fibre Membranes. *Desalination*. 146, 1-3.
- Chang, X., Zhang, C., He, Y., Dong, X., Jin, W., and Xu, N. (2009). A Comparative Study of the Performance of Symmetric and Asymmetric Mixed-conducting Membranes. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 17(4), 562–570.
- Chang, Y. R., Lee, Y. J., and Lee, D. J. (2019). Membrane Fouling During Water or Wastewater Treatments: Current Research Updated. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*. 94, 88-96.
- Chellam, S., and Xu, W. (2006). Blocking Laws Analysis of Dead-End Constant Flux Microfiltration of Compressible Cakes. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 301, 248–257.
- Chen, X., Huang, C., and Liu, T. (2012). Harvesting of Microalgae *Scenedesmus* Sp. Using Polyvinylidene Fluoride Microfiltration Membrane. *Desalination and Water Treatment*. 45, 177–181.
- Chen, Y., Dang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., and Liu, J. (2013). Preparation and Antibacterial Property of PES/AgNO₃ Three-Bore Hollow Fiber Ultrafiltration Membranes. *Water Science and Technology: A Journal of the International Association on Water Pollution Research*. 67, 1519–24.
- Cheng, J. J., and Timilsina, G. R. (2011). Status and Barriers of Advanced Biofuel Technologies: A Review. *Renewable Energy*. 36, 3541–3549.

- Chiou, Y., Hsieh, M., and Yeh, H. (2010). Effect of Algal Extracellular Polymer Substances on UF Membrane Fouling. *Desalination*. 250, 648–652.
- Chu, H., Zhao, F., Tan, X., Yang, L., Zhou, X., Zhao, J., and Zhang, Y. (2016). The Impact of Temperature on Membrane Fouling in Algae Harvesting. *Algal Research*. 16, 458–464.
- Chung, Y. T., Mahmoudi, E., Mohammad, A. W., Benamor, A., Johnson, D., and Hilal, N. (2017). Development of Polysulfone-Nanohybrid Membranes using ZnO-GO Composite for Enhanced Antifouling and Antibacterial Control. *Desalination*. 402, 123–132.
- Discart, V., Bilad, M. R., Moorkens, R., Arafat, H., and Vankelecom, I. F. J. (2015). Decreasing Membrane Fouling During *Chlorella Vulgaris* Broth Filtration via Membrane Development and Coagulant Assisted Filtration. *Algal Research*, 9, 55–64.
- Drexler, I. L. C., and Yeh, D. H. (2014). Membrane Applications for Microalgae Cultivation and Harvesting: A Review. *Revolution Environmental Science Biotechnology*. 13, 487–504.
- Du, J. R., Peldszus, S., Huck, P. M., and Feng, X. (2009). Modification of Poly(vinyl fluoride) Ultrafiltration Membranes with Poly(vinyl alcohol) for Fouling Control in Drinking Water Treatment. *Water Research*. 43, 4559-4568.
- Dutta, R. K., Nenavathu, B. P., Gangishetty, M. K., and Reddy, A. V. R. (2013). Antibacterial Effect of Chronic Exposure of Low Concentration ZnO Nanoparticles on *E. Coli*. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health. Part A, Toxic/hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering*. 48, 871–878.
- El Badawi, N., Ramadan, A. R., Esawi, A. M. K., and El-Morsi, M. (2014). Novel Carbon Nanotube-Cellulose Acetate Nanocomposite Membranes for Water Filtration Applications. *Desalination*. 344, 79–85.
- Farid, M. S., Shariati, A., Badakhshan, A., and Anvaripour, B. (2013). Using Nano-Chitosan for Harvesting Microalgae *Nannochloropsis* Sp. *Bioresource Technology*. 131, 555–559.
- Fasaei, F., Bitter, J. H., Slegers, P. M., and Van Boxtel, A. J. B. (2018). Techno-Economic Evaluation of Microalgae Harvesting and Dewatering Systems. *Algal Research*. 31, 347–362.

- Feng, L., Li, X., Du, G., and Chen, J. (2009). Bioresource Technology Characterization and Fouling Properties of Exopolysaccharide Produced by *Klebsiella Oxytoca*. *Bioresource Technology*. 100, 3387–3394.
- Fontananova, E., Grosso, V., Aljlil, S. A., Bahattab, M. A., Vuono, D., Nicoletta, F. P., and Di Profio, G. (2017). Effect of Functional Groups on the Properties of Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes/Polyvinylidene fluoride Composite Membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 541, 198–204.
- Frappart, M., Massé, A., Jaffrin, M. Y., Pruvost, J., and Jaouen, P. (2011). Influence of Hydrodynamics in Tangential and Dynamic Ultrafiltration Systems for Microalgae Separation. *Desalination*. 265, 279–283.
- Garrett, D. E. (2004). Lithium. *Handbook of Lithium and Natural Calcium Chloride*, 1–235.
- Gerardo, M. L., Zanain, M. A., and Lovitt, R. W. (2015). Pilot-Scale Cross-Flow Microfiltration of *Chlorella Minutissima*: A Theoretical Assessment of The Operational Parameters on Energy Consumption. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 280, 505–513.
- Ghiggi, F. F., Pollo, L. D., Cardozo, N. S. M., and Tessaro, I. C. (2017). Preparation and Characterization of Polyethersulfone/N-Phthaloyl-Chitosan Ultrafiltration Membrane with Antifouling Property. *European Polymer Journal*. 92, 61–70.
- Gu, M., Zhang, J., Wang, X., Tao, H., and Ge, L. (2006). Formation of Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride) (PVDF) Membranes via Thermally Induced Phase Separation. *Desalination*. 192(1-3), 160–167.
- Guillen, G. R., Pan, Y., Li, M., and Hoek, E. M. V. (2011). Preparation and Characterization of Membranes Formed by Nonsolvent Induced Phase Separation: A Review. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*. 50(7), 3798–3817.
- Guldhe, A., Singh, B., Rawat, I., Ramluckan, K., and Bux, F. (2014). Efficacy of Drying and Cell Disruption Techniques on Lipid Recovery from Microalgae for Biodiesel Production. *Fuel*. 128, 46–52.
- Guo, R., Fang, X., Wu, H., and Jiang, Z. (2008). Preparation and Pervaporation Performance of Surface Crosslinked PVA/PES Composite Membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 322, 32–38.

- Guo, W., Ngo, H. H., and Li, J. (2012). A Mini-Review on Membrane Fouling. *Bioresource Technology*. 122, 27–34.
- Hadjoudja, S., Deluchat, V., and Baudu, M. (2010). Cell Surface Characterisation of *Microcystis Aeruginosa* and *Chlorella Vulgaris*. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 342, 293–299.
- Halim, R., Harun, R., Danquah, M. K., and Webley, P. A. (2012). Microalgal Cell Disruption for Biofuel Development. *Applied Energy*. 91, 116–121.
- He, Y and Wang, J (2018). Detection of Illegal Dyes in Foods Using A Polyethersulfone/Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes Composite Membrane as A Cleanup Method. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*. 17(3), 716–722.
- Henderson, R., Parsons, S. A., and Ñann, B. J. (2008). The Impact of Algal Properties and Pre-Oxidation on Solid – Liquid Separation of Algae. *Water Research*. 42, 1827–1845.
- Hilal, N., Ogunbiyi, O. O., Nick, J. M., and Nigmatullin, R. (2005). Methods Employed for Control of Fouling in MF and UF Membranes: A Comprehensive Review. *Separation Science and Technology*. 40, 1957–2005.
- Hofs, B., Ogier, J., Vries, D., Beerendonk, E., Cornelissen, E. (2011). Comparison of Ceramic and Polymeric Membrane Permeability and Fouling using Surface Water. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 79. 365-374.
- Honda, R. R. W., Komura, H., Teraoka, Y., Noguchi, M., and Hoek, E. M. V. (2015). Bioresource Technology Effects of Membrane Orientation on Fouling Characteristics of Forward Osmosis Membrane in Concentration of Microalgae Culture. *Bioresource Technology*. 197, 429–433.
- Hou, D., Fan, H., Jiang, Q., Wang, J., and Zhang, X. (2014). Preparation and Characterization of PVDF Flat-Sheet Membranes for Direct Contact Membrane Distillation. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 135, 211–222.
- Huang, C., Chen, X., and Liu, T. (2012). Harvesting of *Chlorella Sp* . using Hollow Fiber Ultrafiltration. *Environmental Science Pollution Research*. 19, 1416–1421.
- Hwang, K. J., and Wu, S. E. (2015). Disk Structure on the Performance of A Rotating-Disk Dynamic Filter: A Case Study on Microalgae Microfiltration. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. 94, 44–51.

- Hwang, T., Rao, M., and Han, J. (2015). Microalgae Recovery by Ultrafiltration using Novel Fouling-Resistant PVDF Membranes with In-situ Pegylated Polyethyleneimine Particles. *Water Research*. 73, 181–192.
- Idris, A., Ahmed, I., and Limin, M. A. (2010). Influence of Lithium Chloride, Lithium Bromide and Lithium Fluoride Additives on Performance of Polyethersulfone Membranes and its Application in the Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent. *Desalination*. 250, 805–809.
- Idris, A., Mat Zain, N., and Noordin, M. Y. (2007). Synthesis, Characterization and Performance of Asymmetric Polyethersulfone (PES) Ultrafiltration Membranes with Polyethylene Glycol of Different Molecular Weights as Additives. *Desalination*. 207, 324–339.
- Irfan, M., Idris, A., Yusof, N. M., Khairuddin, N. F. M., and Akhmal, H. (2014). Surface Modification and Performance Enhancement of Nano-Hybrid F-MWCNT/PVP90/PES Hemodialysis Membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 467, 73–84.
- Irfan, M., Irfan, M., Shah, S. M., Baig, N., Saleh, T. A., Ahmed, M., Idris, A. (2019). Hemodialysis Performance and Anticoagulant Activities of PVP-K25 and Carboxylic-Multiwall Nanotube Composite Blended Polyethersulfone Membrane. *Materials Science and Engineering:C*. 103 (2019) 109769.
- Ismail, A. F., Mukhlis, A. R., Othman, M. H. D., and Matsuura, T. (2018). Membrane Separation Principles and Applications. *Material Selection to Mechanisms and Industrial Uses*, Elsevier, page 8.
- Jafarzadeh, Y., and Yegani, R. (2015). Analysis of Fouling Mechanisms In TiO₂ Embedded High Density Polyethylene Membranes for Collagen Separation. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. 93, 684–695.
- Jones, K. L., and O'Melia, C. R. (2000). Protein and Humic Acid Adsorption onto Hydrophilic Membrane Surfaces: Effects of pH and Ionic Strength. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 165(1), 31–46.
- Jung, J. T., Kim, J. F., Wang, H. H., di Nicolo, E., Drioli, E., and Lee, Y. M. (2016). Understanding the Non-Solvent Induced Phase Separation (NIPS) Effect during the Fabrication of Microporous PVDF Membranes via Thermally Induced Phase Separation (TIPS). *Journal of Membrane Science*. 514, 250–263.

- Kanagaraj, P., Nagendran, A., Rana, D., Matsuura, T., Neelakandan, S., Karthikkumar, T., and Muthumeenal, A. (2015). Influence of N-Phthaloyl Chitosan on Poly (Ether Imide) Ultrafiltration Membranes and its Application in Biomolecules and Toxic Heavy Metal Ion Separation and their Antifouling Properties. *Applied Surface Science*. 329, 165–173.
- Khanra, S., Mondal, M., Halder, G., Tiwari, O. N., Gayen, K., and Bhowmick, T. K. (2018). Downstream Processing of Microalgae for Pigments, Protein and Carbohydrate in Industrial Application: A Review. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*. 110, 60–84.
- Kim, D. Y., Hwang, T., Oh, Y. K., and Han, J. I. (2014). Harvesting *Chlorella* Sp. KR-1 Using Cross-Flow Electro-Filtration. *Algal Research*. 6, 170–174.
- Kim, K., Jung, J. Y., Kwon, J. H., and Yang, J. W. (2015). Dynamic Microfiltration with A Perforated Disk for Effective Harvesting of Microalgae. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 475, 252–258.
- Kim, D., Kwak, M., Kim, K., and Chang, Y. K. (2019). Turbulent Jet-Assisted Microfiltration for Energy Efficient Harvesting of Microalgae. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 575, 170-178.
- Kochkodan, V., Tsarenko, S., Potapchenko, N., Kosinova, V., and Goncharuk, V. (2008). Adhesion of Microorganisms to Polymer Membranes: A Photobactericidal Effect of Surface Treatment with TiO₂. *Desalination*. 220, 380–385.
- Kumar, M., Gholamvand, Z., Morrissey, A., Nolan, K., Ulbricht, M., and Lawler, J. (2016). Preparation and Characterization of Low Fouling Novel Hybrid Ultrafiltration Membranes Based on the Blends of GO–TiO₂ Nanocomposite and Polysulfone for Humic Acid Removal. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 506, 38–49.
- Kwon, B., Park, N., and Cho, J. (2005). Effect of Algae on Fouling and Efficiency of UF Membranes. *Desalination*. 179(1-3), 203–214.
- Kwon, H., Lu, M., and Lee, J. (2014). Optimization of Hollow Fiber Membrane Cleaning Process for Microalgae Harvest. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 31(6), 949–955.
- Ladner, D. A., Vardon, D. R., and Clark, M. M. (2010). Effects of Shear on Microfiltration and Ultrafiltration Fouling by Marine Bloom-Forming Algae. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 356, 33–43.

- Lam, M. K., and Lee, K. T. (2012). Microalgae Biofuels: A Critical Review of Issues, Problems and the Way Forward. *Biotechnology Advances*. 30, 673–690.
- Lawrence Arockiasamy, D., Alhoshan, M., Alam, J., Muthumareeswaran, M. R., Figoli, A., and Arun, K. S. (2017). Separation of Proteins and Antifouling Properties of Polyphenylsulfone Based Mixed Matrix Hollow Fiber Membranes. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 174, 529–543.
- Le, N. L., and Nunes, S. P. (2016). Materials and Membrane Technologies for Water and Energy Sustainability. *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, 7, 1–28.
- Lee, S., and Cho, J. (2004). Comparison of Ceramic and Polymeric Membranes for Natural Organic Matter (NOM) Removal. *Desalination*, 160(3), 223–232.
- Lee, J., Ye, Y., Ward, A. J., Zhou, C., Chen, V., Minett, A. I., and Shi, J. (2016). High Flux and High Selectivity Carbon Nanotube Composite Membranes for Natural Organic Matter Removal. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 163, 109–119.
- Li, Y., Ding, J., Luan, Z., Di, Z., Zhu, Y., and Xu, C. (2003). Competitive Adsorption of Pb^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Cd^{2+} Ions from Aqueous Solutions by Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes. *Carbon*. 41, 2787–2792.
- Li, M., Constantinescu, D., Wang, L., Mohs, A., and Gmehling, J. (2010). Solubilities of NaCl, KCl, LiCl, and LiBr in Methanol, Ethanol, Acetone, and Mixed Solvents and Correlation using the LIQUAC Model. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*. 49(10), 4981–4988.
- Li, X., Fang, X., Pang, R., Li, J., Sun, X., Shen, J., and Wang, L. (2014). Self-Assembly of TiO_2 Nanoparticles Around the Pores of PES Ultrafiltration Membrane for Mitigating Organic Fouling. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 467, 226–235.
- Liao, Y., Bokhary, A., Maleki, E., and Liao, B. (2018). A Review of Membrane Fouling and its Control in Algal-Related Membrane Processes. *Bioresource Technology*. 264, 343–358.
- Lin, D. J., Chang, H. H., Chen, T. C., Lee, Y. C., and Cheng, L. P. (2006). Formation of Porous Poly(vinylidene fluoride) Membranes with Symmetric or Asymmetric Morphology by Immersion Precipitation in the Water/TEP/PVDF System. *European Polymer Journal*. 42(7), 1581–1594.

- Lin, H., Chen, J., and Gao, W. (2014). A Critical Review of Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPSS) in Membrane Bioreactors. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 460, 110–125.
- Liu, B., Qu, F., Liang, H., Gan, Z., Yu, H., Li, G., and Van der Bruggen, B. (2017). Algae-Laden Water Treatment Using Ultrafiltration: Individual and Combined Fouling Effects of Cells, Debris, Extracellular and Intracellular Organic Matter. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 528, 178–186.
- Liu, S. X., Kim, J. T., and Kim, S. (2008). Effect of Polymer Surface Modification on Polymer-Protein Interaction via Hydrophilic Polymer Grafting. *Journal of Food Science*. 73(3), 143–150.
- Liu, Z., Cui, Z., Zhang, Y., Qin, S., Yan, F., and Li, J. (2017). Fabrication of Polysulfone Membrane via Thermally Induced Phase Separation Process. *Materials Letters*, 195, 190–193.
- Lloyd, D. R., Kim, S. S., and Kinzer, K. E. (1991). Microporous Membrane Formation via Thermally-Induced Phase Separation. II. Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 64, 1–11.
- Lloyd, D. R., Kinzer, K. E., and Tseng, H. S. (1990). Microporous Membrane Formation via Thermally Induced Phase Separation. I. Solid-Liquid Phase Separation. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 52, 239–261.
- Ma, X., Su, Y., Sun, Q., Wang, Y., and Jiang, Z. (2007). Enhancing the Antifouling Property of Polyethersulfone Ultrafiltration Membranes through Surface Adsorption-Crosslinking of Poly(Vinyl Alcohol). *Journal of Membrane Science*. 300(1-2), 71–78.
- Mannella, G. A., Conoscenti, G., Pavia, F. C., Carrubba, V. La, and Brucato, V. (2015). Preparation of Polymeric Foams with A Pore Size Gradient via Thermally Induced Phase Separation (TIPS). *Materials Letters*. 160, 31–33.
- Mansourizadeh, A., and Ismail, A. F. (2010). Effect of LiCl Concentration in the Polymer Dope on the Structure and Performance of Hydrophobic PVDF Hollow Fiber Membranes for CO₂ Absorption. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 165, 980–988.

- Mansourpanah, Y., Madaeni, S. S., Rahimpour, A., Adeli, M., Hashemi, M. Y., Moradian, M. R. Fabrication New PES-Based Mixed Matrix Nanocomposite Membranes using Polycaprolactone Modified Carbon Nanotubes as the Additive: Property Changes and Morphological Studies. *Desalination*. 277, 171–177.
- Marbelia, L., Mulier, M., Vandamme, D., Muylaert, K., Szymczyk, A., and Vankelecom, I. F. J. (2016). Polyacrylonitrile Membranes for Microalgae Filtration: Influence of Porosity, Surface Charge and Microalgae Species on Membrane Fouling. *Algal Research*. 19, 128–137.
- Martins, A., Caetano, N. S., and Mata, T. M. (2010). Microalgae for Biodiesel Production and Other Applications: A Review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 14, 217–232.
- Mathieu, L., and Jin, X. (2016). Microalgae (*Scenedesmus Obliquus*) Dewatering using Forward Osmosis Membrane: Influence of Draw Solution Chemistry. *Algal Research*. 15, 1-8.
- Meng, S., and Liu, Y. (2013). Alginate Block Fractions and Their Effects on Membrane Fouling. *Water Research*. 47, 6618–6627.
- Mishra, A., and Jha, B. (2009). Isolation and Characterization of Extracellular Polymeric Substances from Micro-Algae *Dunaliella Salina* under Salt Stress. *Bioresource Technology*. 100(13), 3382–3386.
- Mo, W., Soh, L., Werber, J. R., Elimelech, M., and Zimmerman, J. B. (2015). Application of Membrane Dewatering for Algal Biofuel. *Algal Research*. 11, 1–12.
- Mondal, P., and Purkait, M. K. (2017). Effect of Polyethylene Glycol Methyl Ether Blend Humic Acid on Poly (Vinylidene Fluoride-Co-Hexafluoropropylene) PVDF-HFP Membranes: Ph Responsiveness and Antifouling Behavior with Optimization Approach. *Polymer Testing*. 61, 162–176.
- Monte, J., Sá, M., Galinha, C. F., Costa, L., Hoekstra, H., Brazinha, C., and Crespo, J. G. (2018). Harvesting of *Dunaliella Salina* by Membrane Filtration at Pilot Scale. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 190, 252–260.
- Muylaert, K., Bastiaens, L., Vandamme, D., and Gouveia, L. (2017). Harvesting of microalgae: Overview of process options and their strengths and drawbacks. *Microalgae-Based Biofuels and Bioproducts*. 113–132.

- Nishi, T., Wang, T. T., and Kwei, T. K. (1975). Thermally Induced Phase Separation Behavior of Compatible Polymer Mixtures. *Macromolecules*. 8(2), 227–234.
- Noor, N. D. M., Yusof, N. M., Ahmed, I., Hesampour, M., and Idris, A. (2012). Influence of Sodium Bromide Additive on Polyethersulfone Ultrafiltration Membranes. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 1746–1755.
- Nurra, C., Torras, C., Clavero, E., Ríos, S., Rey, M., Lorente, E., and Salvadó, J. (2014). Biorefinery Concept in A Microalgae Pilot Plant. Culturing, Dynamic Filtration and Steam Explosion Fractionation. *Bioresource Technology*. 163, 136–142.
- Orooji, Y., Faghih, M., Razmjou, A., Hou, J., Moazzam, P., Emami, N., and Jin, W. (2017). Nanostructured Mesoporous Carbon Polyethersulfone Composite Ultrafiltration Membrane with Significantly Low Protein Adsorption and Bacterial Adhesion. *Carbon*. 111, 689–704.
- Pang, W. Y., Ahmad, A. L., and Zaulkiflee, N. D. (2019). Antifouling and Antibacterial Evaluation of ZnO/MWCNT Dual Nanofiller Polyethersulfone Mixed Matrix Membrane. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 249, 109358.
- Park, S. H., Park, Y. G., Lim, J. L., and Kim, S. (2014). Evaluation of Ceramic Membrane Applications for Water Treatment Plants with A Life Cycle Cost Analysis. *Desalination and Water Treatment*. 54(4-5), 973–979.
- Pavez, J., Cabrera, F., Azócar, L., Torres, A., and Jeison, D. (2015). Ultrafiltration of Non-Axenic Microalgae Cultures: Energetic Requirements and Filtration Performance. *Algal Research*. 10, 121–127.
- Pearce, G. (2007). Introduction to membranes: Membrane selection. *Filtration and Separation*. 44(3), 35–37.
- Pearce, G (2011). *Fundamental*. The MBR Book. 55–207.
- Perales-Vela, H. V., Peña-Castro, J. M., and Cañizares-Villanueva, R. O. (2006). Heavy Metal Detoxification in Eukaryotic Microalgae. *Chemosphere*. 64, 1–10.
- Pourjafar, S., Rahimpour, A., and Jahanshahi, M. (2012). Synthesis and Characterization of PVA/PES Thin Film Composite Nanofiltration Membrane Modified With TiO₂ Nanoparticles for Better Performance and Surface Properties. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*. 18, 1398–1405.
- Polyakov, Y. S., and Zydney, A. L. (2013). Ultrafiltration Membrane Performance: Effects of Pore Blockage/Constriction. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 434, 106–120.

- Procházková, L., Rodríguez-Muñoz, Y., Procházka, J., and Wanner, J. (2014). Simple Spectrophotometric Method for Determination of Polyvinylalcohol in Different Types of Wastewater. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*. 94(4), 399–410.
- Purkait, M. K., Sinha, M. K., Mondal, P., and Singh, R. (2018). Introduction to Membranes. *Stimuli Responsive Polymeric Membranes - Smart Polymeric Membranes*. 1–37.
- Pulz, O., and Gross, W. (2004). Valuable Products from Biotechnology of Microalgae. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 65, 635–648.
- Qu, F., Liang, H., Tian, J., Yu, H., Chen, Z., and Li, G. (2012). Ultrafiltration (UF) Membrane Fouling Caused by Cyanobacteria : Fouling Effects of Cells and Extracellular Organics Matter (EOM) Pressure Controller. *Desalination*. 293, 30–37.
- Qu, F., Liang, H., Wang, Z., Wang, H., Yu, H., and Li, G. (2012). Ultrafiltration Membrane Fouling by Extracellular Organic Matters (EOM) of *Microcystis Aeruginosa* in Stationary Phase: Influences of Interfacial Characteristics of Foulants and Fouling Mechanisms. *Water Research*. 46, 1490–500.
- Qu, F., Liang, H., Zhou, J., Nan, J., Shao, S., Zhang, J., and Li, G. (2014). Ultrafiltration Membrane Fouling Caused by Extracellular Organic Matter (EOM) from *Microcystis Aeruginosa*: Effects of Membrane Pore Size and Surface Hydrophobicity. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 449, 58–66.
- Rabiee, H., Vatanpour, V., Farahani, M. H. D. A., and Zarrabi, H. (2015). Improvement in Flux and Antifouling Properties of PVC Ultrafiltration Membranes by Incorporation of Zinc Oxide (ZnO) Nanoparticles. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 156, 299–310.
- Rahimpour, A., Jahanshahi, M., Khalili, S., Mollahosseini, A., Zirepour, A., and Rajaeian, B. (2012). Novel Functionalized Carbon Nanotubes for Improving the Surface Properties and Performance of Polyethersulfone (PES) Membrane. *Desalination*. 286, 99–107.
- Rahimpour, A., Madaeni, S. S., and Mansourpanah, Y. (2010). Fabrication of Polyethersulfone (PES) Membranes with Nano-Porous Surface using Potassium Perchlorate (KClO₄) as an Additive in the Casting Solution. *Desalination*. 258, 79–86.

- Rajabzadeh, S., Maruyama, T., Ohmukai, Y., Sotani, T., and Matsuyama, H. (2009). Preparation of PVDF/PMMA Blend Hollow Fiber Membrane via Thermally Induced Phase Separation (TIPS) Method. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 66, 76–83.
- Rao Kotte, M., Hwang, T., Han, J. I., and Diallo, M. S. (2015). A One-Pot Method for the Preparation of Mixed Matrix Polyvinylidene Fluoride Membranes with In-situ Synthesized and Pegylated Polyethyleneimine Particles. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 474, 277–287.
- Razmjou, A., Mansouri, J., and Chen, V. (2011). The Effects of Mechanical and Chemical Modification of TiO₂ Nanoparticles on the Surface Chemistry, Structure and Fouling Performance of PES Ultrafiltration Membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 378, 73–84.
- Richards, R. G., and Mullins, B. J. (2013). Using Microalgae for Combined Lipid Production and Heavy Metal Removal from Leachate. *Ecological Modelling*. 249, 59–67.
- Rickman, M., Pellegrino, J., and Davis, R. (2012). Fouling Phenomena during Membrane Filtration of Microalgae. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 423-424, 33–42.
- Ríos, S. D., Salvadó, J., Farriol, X., and Torras, C. (2012). Antifouling Microfiltration Strategies to Harvest Microalgae for Biofuel. *Bioresource Technology*. 119,406–18.
- Riyasudheen, N., and Sujith, A. (2012). Formation Behavior and Performance Studies of Poly(Ethylene-Co-Vinyl Alcohol)/Poly(Vinyl Pyrrolidone) Blend Membranes Prepared by Non-Solvent Induced Phase Inversion Method. *Desalination*, 294, 17–24.
- Rossignol, N., Vandanjon, L., Jaouen, P., and Quéméneur, F. (1999). Membrane Technology for the Continuous Separation Microalgae/Culture Medium: Compared Performances of Cross-Flow Microfiltration and Ultrafiltration. *Aquacultural Engineering*, 20, 191–208.
- Sadhegi, I., Aroujalian, A., Raisi, A., Dabir, B., and Mahdi, F. (2013). Surface Modification of Polyethersulfone Ultrafiltration Membranes by Corona Air Plasma for Separation of Oil/Water Emulsions. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 430, 24-6.

- Sandip, A., Smith, V. H., and Faddis, T. N. (2015). An Experimental Investigation of Microalgal Dewatering Efficiency of Belt Filter System. *Energy Reports*. 1, 169–174.
- Sahoo, N. G., Rana, S., Cho, J. W., Li, L., and Chan, S. H. (2010). Polymer Nanocomposites based on Functionalized Carbon Nanotubes. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 35(7), 837–867.
- Sawada, I., Fachrul, R., Ito, T., Ohmukai, Y., Maruyama, T., and Matsuyama, H. (2012). Development of A Hydrophilic Polymer Membrane Containing Silver Nanoparticles with Both Organic Antifouling and Antibacterial Properties. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 387-388.
- Shah, M. M. R., Liang, Y., Cheng, J. J., and Daroch, M. (2016). Astaxanthin-Producing Green Microalga *Haematococcus Pluvialis*: from Single Cell to High Value Commercial Products. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 7, 1–28.
- Shah, P., and Murthy, C. N. (2013). Studies on the Porosity Control of MWCNT/Polysulfone Composite Membrane and its Effect on Metal Removal. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 437, 90–98.
- Shen, L., Bian, X., Lu, X., Shi, L., Liu, Z., Chen, L., and Fan, K. (2012). Preparation and Characterization of ZnO/Polyethersulfone (PES) Hybrid Membranes. *Desalination*. 293, 21–29.
- Sheng, G. P., Yu, H. Q., and Li, X. Y. (2010). Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS) of Microbial Aggregates in Biological Wastewater Treatment Systems: A Review. *Biotechnology Advances*. 28, 882–894.
- Shukla, A. K., Alam, J., Ansari, M. A., Alhoshan, M., Alam, M., and Kaushik, A. (2019). Selective Ion Removal and Antibacterial Activity of Silver-Doped Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotube / Polyphenylsulfone Nanocomposite Membranes. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*. 233, 102–112.
- Shi, L., Wang, R., Cao, Y., Tee, D., and Hwa, J. (2008). Effect of Additives on the Fabrication of Poly Asymmetric Microporous Hollow Fiber Membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 315, 195–204.
- Shirazi, S., Lin, C. J., and Chen, D. (2010). Inorganic fouling of Pressure-Driven Membrane Processes - A Critical Review. *Desalination*. 250, 236–248.
- Singh, G., and Patidar, S. K. (2018). Microalgae Harvesting Techniques: A Review. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 217, 499–508.

- Sjöholm, E., Gustafsson, K., Eriksson, B., Brown, W., and Colmsjö, A. (2000). Aggregation of Cellulose in Lithium Chloride/N,N-Dimethylacetamide. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 41(2), 153–161.
- Son, M., Kim, H., Jung, J., Jo, S., and Choi, H. (2017). Influence of Extreme Concentrations of Hydrophilic Pore-Former on Reinforced Polyethersulfone Ultrafiltration Membranes for Reduction of Humic Acid Fouling. *Chemosphere*. 179, 194–201.
- Sotto, A., Boromand, A., Zhang, R., Luis, P., Arsuaga, J. M., Kim, J., and Van der Bruggen, B. (2011). Effect of Nanoparticle Aggregation at Low Concentrations of TiO₂ on the Hydrophilicity, Morphology, and Fouling Resistance of PES-TiO₂ Membranes. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 363, 540–50.
- Spolaore, P., Joannis-Cassan, C., Duran, E., and Isambert, A. (2006). Commercial Applications of Microalgae. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*. 101, 87–96.
- Sun, X., Wang, C., Tong, Y., Wang, W., and Wei, J. (2013). A Comparative Study of Microfiltration and Ultrafiltration for Algae Harvesting. *Algal Research*. 2, 437–444.
- Suresh Kumar, K., Dahms, H. U., Won, E. J., Lee, J. S., and Shin, K. H. (2015). Microalgae - A Promising Tool for Heavy Metal Remediation. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 113, 329–352.
- Susanto, H., and Ulbricht, M. (2009). Characteristics, Performance and Stability of Polyethersulfone Ultrafiltration Membranes Prepared by Phase Separation Method Using Different Macromolecular Additives. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 327, 125–135.
- Tasselli, F. (2014). Non-solvent Induced Phase Separation Process (NIPS) for Membrane Preparation. *Encyclopedia of Membranes*. 1–3.
- Upadhyayula, V. K. K., and Gadhamshetty, V. (2010). Appreciating the Role of Carbon Nanotube Composites in Preventing Biofouling and Promoting Biofilms on Material Surfaces in Environmental Engineering: A review. *Biotechnology Advances*. 28(6), 802–816.

- Vatanpour, V., Madaeni, S. S., Moradian, R., Zinadini, S., and Astinchap, B. (2011). Fabrication and Characterization of Novel Antifouling Nanofiltration Membrane Prepared from Oxidized Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube/Polyethersulfone Nanocomposite. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 375, 284–294.
- Vatanpour, V., Madaeni, S. S., Khataee, A. R., Salehi, E., Zinadini, S., and Monfared, H. A. (2012). TiO₂ Embedded Mixed Matrix PES Nanocomposite Membranes: Influence of Different Sizes and Types of Nanoparticles on Antifouling and Performance. *Desalination*. 292, 19–29.
- Villacorte, L. O., Ekowati, Y., Neu, T. R., Kleijn, J. M., Winters, H., Amy, G., and Kennedy, M. D. (2015). Characterisation of Algal Organic Matter Produced by Bloom-Forming Marine and Freshwater Algae. *Water Research*. 73, 216–230.
- Villacorte, L. O., Ekowati, Y., Winters, H., Amy, G., Schippers, J. C., and Kennedy, M. D. (2015). MF/UF Rejection and Fouling Potential of Algal Organic Matter from Bloom-Forming Marine and Freshwater Algae. *Desalination*. 367, 1–10.
- Wang, D. M., and Lai, J. Y. (2013). Recent Advances in Preparation and Morphology Control of Polymeric Membranes Formed by Nonsolvent Induced Phase Separation. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*. 2(2), 229–237.
- Warsinger, D. M., Chakraborty, S., Tow, E. W., Plumlee, M. H., Bellona, C., Loutatidou, S., and Lienhard, J. H. (2018). A Review of Polymeric Membranes and Processes for Potable Water Reuse. *Progress in Polymer Science*. 81, 209–237.
- Wang, T. H., Chu, S. H., Tsai, Y. Y., Lin, F. C., and Lee, W. C. (2015a). Influence of Inoculum Cell Density and Carbon Dioxide Concentration on Fed-Batch Cultivation of *Nannochloropsis Oculata*. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 77, 9–15.
- Wang, J., Guo, X., Wang, Q., Sun, H., Wang, X. and Gao, C. (2015b). Enhanced Biofouling Resistance of Polyethersulfone Membrane Surface Modified with Capsaicin Derivative and Itaconic Acid. *Applied Surface Science*. 356, 467–474.
- Wei, P., Cheng, L. H., Zhang, L., Xu, X. H., Chen, H. L., and Gao, C. J. (2014). A Review of Membrane Technology for Bioethanol Production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 30, 388–400.
- Xiao, R., and Zheng, Y. (2016). Overview of Microalgal Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS) and Their Applications. *Biotechnology Advances*. 34, 1225–1244.

- Ye, J., Zhou, Q., Zhang, X., and Hu, Q. (2018). Microalgal Dewatering Using A Polyamide Thin Film Composite Forward Osmosis Membrane and Fouling Mitigation. *Algal Research*, 31, 421–429.
- Young, Y.F., Lee, H. J., Shen, Y. S., Tseng, S. H., Lee, C. Y., Tai, N. H., and Chang, H. Y. (2012). Toxicity Mechanism of Carbon Nanotubes on *Escherichia Coli*. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*. 134, 279–286.
- Yuan, S., Li, J., Zhu, J., Volodine, A., Li, J., Zhang, G., and Bruggen, B. V. D. (2018). Hydrophilic Nanofiltration Membranes with Reduced Humic Acid Fouling Fabricated from Copolymers Designed by Introducing Carboxyl Groups in the Pendant Benzene Ring. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 563, 655–663.
- Yunos, M. Z., Harun, Z., Basri, H., and Ismail, A. F. (2014). Studies on Fouling by Natural Organic Matter (NOM) on Polysulfone Membranes: Effect of Polyethylene Glycol (PEG). *Desalination*. 333, 36–44.
- Zeng, X., Danquah, M. K., Chen, X. D., and Lu, Y. (2011). Microalgae Bioengineering: From CO₂ Fixation to Biofuel Production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 15, 3252–3260.
- Zhang, M., Zhang, K., De Gusseme, B., and Verstraete, W. (2012). Biogenic Silver Nanoparticles (Bio-Ag-0) Decrease Biofouling of Bio-Ag 0/Pes Nanocomposite Membranes. *Water Research*. 46, 2077–87.
- Zhang, X., Hu, Q., Sommerfeld, M., Puruhito, E., and Chen, Y. (2010). Harvesting Algal Biomass for Biofuels using Ultrafiltration Membranes. *Bioresource Technology*. 101, 5297–304.
- Zhang, X., Jiang, Z., Chen, L., Chou, A., Yan, H., Zuo, Y. Y., and Zhang, X. (2013). Influence of Cell Properties on Rheological Characterization of Microalgae Suspensions. *Bioresource Technology*. 139, 209–213.
- Zhang, X., Lin, B., Zhao, K., Wei, J., Guo, J., Cui, W., and Li, J. (2015). A Free-Standing Calcium Alginate/Polyacrylamide Hydrogel Nanofiltration Membrane with High Anti-Fouling Performance: Preparation and Characterization. *Desalination*. 365, 234–241.
- Zhang, Y., Zhao, Y., Chu, H., Zhou, X., and Dong, B. (2014). Dewatering of *Chlorella Pyrenoidosa* using Diatomite Dynamic Membrane: Filtration Performance, Membrane Fouling and Cake Behavior. *Colloids and Surfaces: B, Biointerfaces*. 113, 458–66.

- Zhang, Y. and Fu, Q. (2018). Algal Fouling of Microfiltration and Ultrafiltration Membranes and Control Strategies: A Review. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 203, 193-208.
- Zhao, B., Wang, J., Li, Z., Liu, P., Chen, D., and Zhang, Y. (2008). Mechanical Strength Improvement of Polypropylene Threads Modified by PVA/CNT Composite Coatings. *Materials Letters*. 62(28), 4380–4382.
- Zhao, C., Xue, J., Ran, F., and Sun, S. (2013). Modification of Polyethersulfone Membranes – A Review of Methods. *Progress in Materials Science*. 58(1), 76–150.
- Zhao, X., Su, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, R., Zhao, J., and Jiang, Z. (2014). Engineering Amphiphilic Membrane Surfaces Based on PEO and PDMS Segments for Improved Antifouling Performances. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 450, 111–123.
- Zhao, F., Chu, H., Tan, X., Zhang, Y., Yang, L., Zhou, X., and Zhao, J. (2016). Comparison of Axial Vibration Membrane and Submerged Aeration Membrane in Microalgae Harvesting. *Bioresource Technology*. 208, 178–183.
- Zhao, F., Chu, H., Yu, Z., Jiang, S., Zhao, X., Zhou, X., and Zhang, Y. (2017). The Filtration and Fouling Performance of Membranes with Different Pore Sizes in Algae Harvesting. *Science of the Total Environment*. 587-588, 87–93.
- Zhao, F., Zhang, Y., Chu, H., Jiang, S., Yu, Z., Wang, M., and Zhao, J. (2018). A Uniform Shearing Vibration Membrane System Reducing Membrane Fouling in Algae Harvesting. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 196, 1026–1033.
- Zheng, L., Wu, Z., Wei, Y., Zhang, Y., Yuan, Y., and Wang, J. (2016). Preparation of PVDF-CTFE Hydrophobic Membranes for MD Application: Effect of LiCl-Based Mixed Additives. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 506, 71–85.
- Zhou, C., Hou, Z., Lu, X., Liu, Z., Bian, X., Shi, L., and Li, L. (2010). Effect of Polyethersulfone Molecular Weight on Structure and Performance of Ultrafiltration Membranes. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*. 49, 9988–9997.
- Zhou, Y. J., Buijs, N. A., Siewers, V., and Nielsen, J. (2014b). Fatty Acid-Derived Biofuels and Chemicals Production in *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*. 2, 1–6.

- Zhou, S., Shao, Y., Gao, N., Li, L., Deng, J., Tan, C., and Zhu, M. (2014a). Influence of Hydrophobic / Hydrophilic Fractions of Extracellular Organic Matters of *Microcystis Aeruginosa* on Ultrafiltration Membrane Fouling. *Science of the Total Environment*. 470-471, 201–207.
- Zinadini, S., Rostami, S., Vatanpour, V., and Jalilian, E. (2017). Preparation of Antibiofouling Polyethersulfone Mixed Matrix NF Membrane Using Photocatalytic Activity of ZnO/MWCNTS Nanocomposite. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 529, 133–141.
- Zularisam, A. W., Ismail, A. F., and Salim, R. (2006). Behaviours of Natural Organic Matter in Membrane Filtration for Surface Water Treatment — A Review. *Desalination*. 194(1-3), 211–231.
- Zuo, J. H., Li, Z. K. Wei, C., Yan, X., Chen, Y., and Lang, W. Z. (2019). Fine Tuning the Pore Size and Permeation Performances of Thermally Induced Phase Separation (TIPS) -Prepared PVDF Membranes With Saline Water As Quenching Bath. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 577, 79-90.
- Zydney, A. L., Ho, C. C., and Yuan, W. (2003). Fouling phenomena during microfiltration: effects of pore blockage, cake filtration, and membrane morphology. *New Insights into Membrane Science and Technology: Polymeric and Biofunctional Membranes*. 27–44.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. **Khairuddin, N.F.M.,** Idris, A. & Hock, L.W. (2019). Harvesting *Nannochloropsis sp.* using PES/MWCNT/LiBr membrane with good antifouling properties. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 212, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.11.013>. **(Q1, IF: 3.927)**

Indexed Journal

1. **Khairuddin, N.F.M.,** Idris, A., Irfan, M. & Loong, T. C. (2017). Microalgae harvesting of *Nannochloropsis sp.* using polyethersulphone/lithium chloride/functionalised multiwall carbon nanotube membranes fabricated via temperature induced phase inversion and non-solvent induced phase inversion. *International Journal of Nanoparticles*, 9, 71-87, <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJNP.2017.086133>. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**
2. **Khairuddin, N.F.M,** Idris, A., Ahmed, I., & Mohd Yusof, N. (2014). Influence of multiwall carbon nanotube on polyethersulfone/ polyvinyl alcohol blend membranes. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 67, 31-35, <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v67.2730> **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**