

NONLINEAR AUTOREGRESSIVE WITH EXOGENOUS INPUT NEURAL  
NETWORK FOR STRUCTURAL DAMAGE DETECTION UNDER AMBIENT  
VIBRATION

SAREHATI BINTI UMAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2020

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to my husband, parents, and siblings for their love, encouragement, and prayers.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I wish to express my deepest and sincere gratitude to Dr. Mohammadreza Vafaei and Dr. Sophia C. Alih who have been ideal supervisors through their guidance and advise throughout the course of this study. The valuable time and continued support they placed into the completion of this thesis are exceptionally indebted.

Special thanks are expressly dedicated to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for their substantial funding contribution to my PhD study.

Sincere appreciation is also extended to all technical staff in the Structural Laboratory, School of Civil Engineering and in the Noise and Vibration Laboratory, School of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for their incomparable assistance during the performance of the experimental works.

Foremost, I would like to thank my husband for his great patience, understanding and support during my PhD journey. Not to forget my parents, siblings and friends for their endless encouragement and prayers.

## ABSTRACT

Time-series method has become of interest in damage detection, particularly for automated and continuous structural health monitoring. In comparison to the commonly used method based on modal data, time-series method offers a straightforward application due to having no requirement for modal analysis. Sensor clustering has been proven effective in improving the ability of time-series method to detect, locate and quantify damage. However, most of the applications rely on free vibration response that can be obtained directly by impact testing, which is difficult to practice for in-service structures, or indirectly by transforming the ambient vibration response. Therefore, a reliable method that allows direct utilisation of ambient vibration response for damage detection in structures without any data transformation is proposed in this study. The implementation of the proposed response-only method involves a three-stage procedure; (i) sensor clustering, (ii) time-series modelling and (iii) damage detection. Each sensor cluster is represented by a time-series model called nonlinear autoregressive with exogenous inputs (NARX) model, which is developed via artificial neural network (ANN) using undamaged acceleration data. The model is then utilised for predicting the damaged response and the difference between prediction errors is used to extract damage sensitive feature (DSF). The existence of uncertainties is addressed through setting up a damage threshold using several sets of undamaged data. The effectiveness of the method is demonstrated through a numerical slab model and experimental structures of reinforced concrete slabs and steel arches. It is found that the proposed structural damage detection approach based on NARX neural network is superior to linear ARX model as the approach is able to detect damage under ambient vibration. The results show that the highest predicted DSF corresponds to the location of damage and its value increases relatively with the severity of damage. Better damage detection is obtained when damage threshold is integrated into the proposed approach where the precision is increased by more than 24%. Overall, the proposed method is proven applicable to identify the existence, location and relative severity of structural damage under ambient vibration.

## ABSTRAK

Pengesanan kerosakan pada struktur berasaskan kaedah siri masa telah menjadi tarikan terutamanya bagi pengawasan kesihatan struktur secara automatik dan berterusan. Berbanding kaedah yang biasa digunakan iaitu berdasarkan data modal, kaedah siri masa lebih mudah digunakan kerana tidak memerlukan analisis modal. Konsep penggugusan penderia telah terbukti berkesan dalam menambah baik kebolehan kaedah siri masa bagi mengesan kewujudan, lokasi dan tahap kerosakan struktur. Walau bagaimanapun, penggunaannya bergantung kepada getaran bebas yang boleh diperolehi sama ada secara langsung melalui ujian hentaman yang sukar dilaksanakan pada struktur yang sedang beroperasi, atau secara tidak langsung melalui pengubahan data getaran ambien. Oleh itu, satu kaedah yang membolehkan penggunaan terus data getaran ambien untuk pengesanan kerosakan tanpa melibatkan pengubahan data telah dicadangkan dalam kajian ini. Perlaksanaan kaedah yang dicadangkan melibatkan tiga peringkat iaitu (i) penggugusan penderia, (ii) permodelan siri masa dan (iii) pengesanan kerosakan. Setiap gugusan penderia diwakili oleh satu model siri masa iaitu model tak lurus auto mundur dengan input luar kawalan (NARX) yang dibina melalui rangkaian neural tiruan (ANN) menggunakan data pecutan struktur tidak rosak. Model ini kemudiannya digunakan untuk meramal data struktur yang telah rosak dan perbezaan antara ralat ramalan digunakan untuk mengekstrak ciri sensitif kerosakan (DSF). Kewujudan ketidaktepatan diambil kira melalui penetapan satu ambang kerosakan menggunakan beberapa set data struktur tidak rosak. Keberkesanan kaedah pengesanan kerosakan ditunjukkan melalui satu model berangka bagi papak dan dua struktur ujikaji iaitu papak konkrit bertetulang dan gerbang keluli. Didapati bahawa kaedah pengesanan kerosakan yang dicadangkan iaitu berdasarkan rangkaian neural NARX adalah lebih baik berbanding model lurus ARX kerana ia dapat mengesan kerosakan di bawah getaran ambien. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa DSF yang paling tinggi sepadan dengan lokasi kerosakan dan nilainya bertambah secara relatif dengan tahap kerosakan struktur. Pengesanan kerosakan yang lebih baik diperolehi apabila ambang kerosakan digabung dengan kaedah yang dicadangkan dengan ketepatannya meningkat lebih daripada 24%. Secara keseluruhannya, kaedah yang dicadangkan terbukti dapat digunakan untuk mengenal pasti kewujudan, lokasi dan tahap relatif kerosakan struktur di bawah getaran ambien.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                  | <b>TITLE</b>                          | <b>PAGE</b> |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
|                  | <b>DECLARATION</b>                    | <b>ii</b>   |
|                  | <b>DEDICATION</b>                     | <b>iii</b>  |
|                  | <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>                | <b>iv</b>   |
|                  | <b>ABSTRACT</b>                       | <b>v</b>    |
|                  | <b>ABSTRAK</b>                        | <b>vi</b>   |
|                  | <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>              | <b>vii</b>  |
|                  | <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                 | <b>xi</b>   |
|                  | <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>                | <b>xii</b>  |
|                  | <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>          | <b>xvii</b> |
|                  | <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>                | <b>xix</b>  |
|                  | <b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>             | <b>xx</b>   |
| <b>CHAPTER 1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>                   | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1              | Introduction                          | 1           |
| 1.2              | Background of study                   | 1           |
| 1.3              | Research problem statement            | 3           |
| 1.4              | Research objectives                   | 4           |
| 1.5              | Significance of study                 | 5           |
| 1.6              | Research scope and limitations        | 5           |
| 1.7              | Thesis outline                        | 7           |
| <b>CHAPTER 2</b> | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>              | <b>9</b>    |
| 2.1              | Introduction                          | 9           |
| 2.2              | Structural Health Monitoring          | 9           |
| 2.3              | Vibration-based damage detection      | 13          |
| 2.3.1            | Modal-domain damage detection methods | 16          |
| 2.3.1.1          | Natural frequency-based methods       | 16          |

|  |   |           |
|--|---|-----------|
| 2.3.1.2  | Mode shape change and its derivative methods                      | 20        |
| 2.3.1.3  | Modal flexibility-based methods                                   | 24        |
| 2.3.2  | Frequency-domain damage detection methods                         | 26        |
| 2.3.2.1  | FRF-based methods   | 26        |
| 2.3.2.2  | PSD-based methods   | 28        |
| 2.3.3  | Time-domain damage detection methods                              | 29        |
| 2.3.3.1  | Time-series models  | 30        |
| 2.3.3.2  | Model coefficients-based methods                                  | 34        |
| 2.3.3.3  | Model residuals-based methods                                     | 37        |
| 2.4  | ANN for damage detection  | 46        |
| 2.4.1  | ANN trained with modal-domain data                                | 48        |
| 2.4.2  | ANN trained with frequency-domain data                            | 50        |
| 2.4.3  | ANN trained with time-domain data                                 | 51        |
| 2.5  | Concluding remarks  | 54        |
| <b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND</b> |   | <b>57</b> |
| 3.1  | Introduction  | 57        |
| 3.2  | Research design and procedures                                    | 57        |
| 3.3  | Phase 1: Development of numerical model                           | 60        |
| 3.4  | Phase 2: Development of time-series approach for damage detection | 65        |
| 3.4.1  | Stage I: Sensor clustering  | 66        |
| 3.4.2  | Stage II: Time-series modelling                                   | 67        |
| 3.4.2.1  | Development of ARX model  | 68        |
| 3.4.2.2  | Development of NARX neural network                                | 70        |
| 3.4.3  | Stage III: Damage detection                                       | 77        |
| 3.5  | Phase 3: Sensitivity study  | 78        |
| 3.6  | Phase 4: Determination of damage threshold                        | 80        |
| 3.7  | Phase 5: Experimental verification                                | 83        |

|                  |  |            |
|------------------|--|------------|
| <b>CHAPTER 4</b> | <b>ACCELERATION-BASED DAMAGE DETECTION<br/>USING TIME-SERIES APPROACH WITH SENSOR CLUSTERING</b> | <b>85</b>  |
| 4.1              | Introduction   | 85         |
| 4.2              | Sensor clustering  | 85         |
| 4.3              | Damage detection based on ARX model  | 87         |
| 4.3.1            | ARX modelling  | 87         |
| 4.3.2            | Damage detection   | 90         |
| 4.4              | Damage detection based on NARX neural network  | 97         |
| 4.4.1            | NARX neural network training   | 97         |
| 4.4.2            | Damage detection   | 102        |
| 4.4.2.1          | Damage at single location  | 102        |
| 4.4.2.2          | Effect of damage severity  | 108        |
| 4.4.2.3          | Damage at multiple location  | 111        |
| 4.5              | Sensitivity study  | 114        |
| 4.5.1            | Effect of sampling frequency   | 114        |
| 4.5.2            | Effect of sampling duration  | 117        |
| 4.5.3            | Effect of less sensor  | 120        |
| 4.6              | Damage threshold determination   | 123        |
| 4.6.1            | Effect of measurement noise  | 123        |
| 4.6.2            | Damage detection using NARX neural network with<br>damage threshold                              | 130        |
| 4.7              | Chapter summary  | 135        |
| <b>CHAPTER 5</b> | <b>EXPERIMENTAL STUDY</b>  | <b>137</b> |
| 5.1              | Introduction   | 137        |
| 5.2              | Experimental study I: Reinforced concrete slab   | 137        |
| 5.2.1            | Specimen details and preparation   | 137        |
| 5.2.2            | Experimental setup and procedure   | 139        |
| 5.2.3            | Damage cases   | 143        |
| 5.2.4            | Experimental results   | 145        |
| 5.2.5            | Damage detection using NARX neural network   | 150        |
| 5.2.6            | Determination of damage threshold for experimental<br>slab structures                            | 158        |

|                  |   |            |
|------------------|---|------------|
| 5.3              | Experimental study II: Steel arch   | 161        |
| 5.3.1            | Test structure  | 161        |
| 5.3.2            | Vibration test  | 162        |
| 5.3.3            | Experimental results  | 166        |
| 5.3.4            | Damage detection using NARX neural network  | 168        |
| 5.3.4.1          | Structure A: Mid-span damage  | 173        |
| 5.3.4.2          | Structure B: Damage near support  | 175        |
| 5.3.4.3          | Structure B: Multiple damage  | 177        |
| 5.3.5            | Determination of damage threshold for experimental arch structures  | 180        |
| 5.4              | Chapter summary   | 187        |
| <b>CHAPTER 6</b> | <b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>  | <b>189</b> |
| 6.1              | Summary and conclusions   | 189        |
| 6.1.1            | Objective 1: To develop a structural damage detection method under ambient vibration using acceleration-based nonlinear ARX (NARX) neural network combined with sensor clustering | 190        |
| 6.1.2            | Objective 2: To propose a damage threshold to consider uncertainties in vibration-based damage detection  | 191        |
| 6.1.3            | Objective 3: To demonstrate experimentally the efficiency of the proposed method for damage detection in reinforced concrete slab and steel arch structures                       | 192        |
| 6.2              | Recommendations for future works  | 192        |
| 6.3              | Contributions   | 193        |
|                  | <b>REFERENCES</b>   | <b>195</b> |
|                  | <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>   | <b>219</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>TABLE NO.</b> | <b>TITLE</b>  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| Table 2.1        | Type of structure used in the reviewed studies  | 18          |
| Table 2.2        | Summary on the reviewed time-domain based methods   | 41          |
| Table 2.3        | Disadvantages of vibration-based damage detection methods.  | 55          |
| Table 4.1        | Sensor clustering for a slab with 16 sensors  | 86          |
| Table 4.2        | Simulated damage cases  | 90          |
| Table 4.3        | Simulated single damage cases   | 102         |
| Table 4.4        | Prediction of single damage cases   | 103         |
| Table 4.5        | Variation of DSF with damage severity   | 109         |
| Table 4.6        | DFS of single and multiple damage cases   | 113         |
| Table 4.7        | Sensor clustering on the reduced number of sensors  | 121         |
| Table 4.8        | Simulated damage cases for study on the effect of noise   | 124         |
| Table 5.1        | Damage cases of slab structures   | 145         |
| Table 5.2        | Cube compressive strength   | 146         |
| Table 5.3        | Experimentally measured natural frequencies of the undamaged slab specimens                           | 148         |
| Table 5.4        | Performance of the proposed method with and without damage threshold for experimental slabs           | 161         |
| Table 5.5        | Damage level for arch structure   | 165         |
| Table 5.6        | Natural frequencies of undamaged arch   | 166         |
| Table 5.7        | Performance of the proposed method with and without damage threshold for experimental arch structures | 186         |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>FIGURE NO.</b> | <b>TITLE</b>   | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| Figure 2.1        | Schematic view of a SHM system   | 10          |
| Figure 2.2        | The process of vibration data  | 15          |
| Figure 2.3        | Structures used by Contursi <i>et al.</i> (1998)   | 19          |
| Figure 2.4        | Method based on model coefficients   | 35          |
| Figure 2.5        | Method based on model residuals  | 38          |
| Figure 2.6        | General architecture of ANN (Kalogirou, 2003)  | 46          |
| Figure 2.7        | Commonly used transfer functions   | 47          |
| Figure 3.1        | Overview of research flow  | 59          |
| Figure 3.2        | Two-span slab  | 60          |
| Figure 3.3        | Meshing with sensor and load locations   | 61          |
| Figure 3.4        | First three frequencies and mode shapes of the simulated undamaged slab                                    | 61          |
| Figure 3.5        | Load curve based on Ricker function  | 62          |
| Figure 3.6        | Location of impact load  | 63          |
| Figure 3.7        | Acceleration at sensor 4 under impact excitation   | 63          |
| Figure 3.8        | Acceleration at sensor 4 under ambient vibration   | 63          |
| Figure 3.9        | Segmentation of the numerical slab   | 64          |
| Figure 3.10       | Type of cracking in concrete beam (ACI Committee and International Organization for Standardization, 2008) | 64          |
| Figure 3.11       | Damage detection using time-series approach with sensor clustering   | 65          |
| Figure 3.12       | Sensor clustering  | 67          |
| Figure 3.13       | Developing ARX models for different sensor cluster   | 69          |
| Figure 3.14       | Architecture of NARX neural network  | 71          |
| Figure 3.15       | NARX neural network with two inputs, one output and three hidden neurons                                   | 72          |
| Figure 3.16       | Flowchart of damage threshold determination  | 82          |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 3.17 | Damage simulation in the experimental models.   | 83  |
| Figure 4.1  | Sensor numbers for the slab   | 86  |
| Figure 4.2  | Sample acceleration response and residual error of sensor 4 for undamaged state under impact excitation       | 88  |
| Figure 4.3  | Sample acceleration response and residual error of sensor 4 for undamaged state under ambient vibration       | 89  |
| Figure 4.4  | Performance of ARX model  | 89  |
| Figure 4.5  | Acceleration response at sensor 4 for undamaged and damaged conditions under impact excitation                | 90  |
| Figure 4.6  | Acceleration response at sensor 4 for undamaged and damaged conditions under ambient vibration                | 91  |
| Figure 4.7  | ARX model prediction results for damage case D1 under impact excitation                                       | 93  |
| Figure 4.8  | ARX model prediction results for damage case D2 under impact excitation                                       | 94  |
| Figure 4.9  | ARX model prediction results for damage case D1 under ambient vibration                                       | 95  |
| Figure 4.10 | ARX model prediction results for damage case D2 under ambient vibration                                       | 96  |
| Figure 4.11 | Architecture of the NARX neural network for each sensor cluster   | 97  |
| Figure 4.12 | NARX neural network performance under ambient vibration with different number of input and output orders      | 99  |
| Figure 4.13 | Network performance with different number of hidden neurons   | 100 |
| Figure 4.14 | Sample response of reference sensors and network predictions of the numerical slab at the undamaged condition | 101 |
| Figure 4.15 | Prediction of the NARX neural network for damage located at the mid-span                                      | 104 |
| Figure 4.16 | Prediction of the NARX neural network for damage near the end support   | 105 |
| Figure 4.17 | Prediction of the NARX neural network for damage near the middle support                                      | 106 |
| Figure 4.18 | Variation of DSF at sensor 4 and 5 with damage severity   | 110 |

|             |  |     |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.19 | Prediction of the NARX neural network for multiple damage case                                   | 112 |
| Figure 4.20 | Damage detection results with different sampling frequencies                                     | 116 |
| Figure 4.21 | Effect of sampling frequency on damage detection performance                                     | 117 |
| Figure 4.22 | Damage detection results with different sampling durations                                       | 119 |
| Figure 4.23 | Effect of sampling duration on damage detection performance                                      | 120 |
| Figure 4.24 | Location of the sensors in the reduced sensor case   | 120 |
| Figure 4.25 | Damage detection results using reduced number of sensors   | 122 |
| Figure 4.26 | Results of Damage Case 1 at different noise levels   | 125 |
| Figure 4.27 | Results of Damage Case 2 at different noise levels   | 126 |
| Figure 4.28 | Results of Damage Case 3 at different noise levels   | 127 |
| Figure 4.29 | DSF of different noise levels  | 128 |
| Figure 4.30 | Results of NARX neural network with damage threshold for Damage Case 1 at different noise levels | 132 |
| Figure 4.31 | Results of NARX neural network with damage threshold for Damage Case 2 at different noise levels | 133 |
| Figure 4.32 | Results of NARX neural network with damage threshold for Damage Case 3 at different noise levels | 134 |
| Figure 5.1  | Reinforcement layout   | 138 |
| Figure 5.2  | Reinforcement placement before casting   | 139 |
| Figure 5.3  | The constructed reinforced concrete slab   | 139 |
| Figure 5.4  | Mechanical properties testing  | 140 |
| Figure 5.5  | Experimental setup for modal testing   | 141 |
| Figure 5.6  | Arrangement of the sensors and impact locations  | 142 |
| Figure 5.7  | Curve fitting software in MEscapeVES   | 142 |
| Figure 5.8  | Rubber mallet used in ambient testing  | 143 |
| Figure 5.9  | Sensor and impact locations for ambient testing  | 143 |
| Figure 5.10 | Induced notch-type damage  | 144 |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 5.11 | Damage locations (a) Damage Case A (b) Damage Case B1 (c) Damage Case B2  | 145 |
| Figure 5.12 | Stress-strain relationship under cyclic loading   | 146 |
| Figure 5.13 | Overlay FRF of the undamaged slab A from modal test   | 148 |
| Figure 5.14 | Overlay FRF of the undamaged slab B from modal test   | 148 |
| Figure 5.15 | Mode shapes of the slab specimens for undamaged state   | 149 |
| Figure 5.16 | Natural frequency change of the slab structures   | 150 |
| Figure 5.17 | Ambient data from the undamaged slab A  | 151 |
| Figure 5.18 | Sample measured data and predicted with NARX neural network for the experimental slab A under undamaged condition | 154 |
| Figure 5.19 | Prediction error of the NARX neural network for undamaged and damage Case A                                       | 155 |
| Figure 5.20 | Damage detection results for the experimental slab structures   | 157 |
| Figure 5.21 | Threshold determination of slab A   | 159 |
| Figure 5.22 | Threshold determination of slab B   | 159 |
| Figure 5.23 | Damage detection results for the experimental slab structures after deduction of damage threshold                 | 160 |
| Figure 5.24 | Dimension of the arch structure   | 162 |
| Figure 5.25 | Vibration test setup  | 163 |
| Figure 5.26 | Location and direction of excitation and sensors for impact test  | 164 |
| Figure 5.27 | Damage simulation of the arch   | 165 |
| Figure 5.28 | Mode shapes of undamaged arch   | 166 |
| Figure 5.29 | Change in the natural frequencies of arch structure A   | 168 |
| Figure 5.30 | Change in the natural frequencies of arch structure B   | 168 |
| Figure 5.31 | Measured acceleration data for the undamaged arch structure A under ambient vibration                             | 169 |
| Figure 5.32 | Comparison of the predicted response at sensor 1, 4 and 7 by the NARX neural network                              | 170 |
| Figure 5.33 | Prediction error for the undamaged and Damage Level 3 of arch structure A   | 172 |
| Figure 5.34 | Results of the NARX neural network for arch structure A   | 174 |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 5.35 | Results of the NARX neural network for arch structure B (damage near support)                   | 176 |
| Figure 5.36 | Results of the NARX neural network for arch structure B (multiple damage)                       | 179 |
| Figure 5.37 | Threshold determination for arch structure A  | 181 |
| Figure 5.38 | Threshold determination for arch structure B  | 181 |
| Figure 5.39 | Results of the NARX neural network with damage threshold for arch structure A                   | 183 |
| Figure 5.40 | Results of the NARX neural network with damage threshold for arch structure B (single damage)   | 184 |
| Figure 5.41 | Results of the NARX neural network with damage threshold for arch structure B (multiple damage) | 185 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|       |   |  |
|-------|---|--|
| A/D   | - | Analogue-to-digital                                |
| ACF   | - | Autocorrelation function                           |
| ANN   | - | Artificial neural network                          |
| AR    | - | Autoregressive                                     |
| ARIMA | - | Autoregressive with integrated moving average      |
| ARMA  | - | Autoregressive moving average                      |
| ARMAX | - | Autoregressive moving average with exogenous input |
| ARX   | - | Autoregressive with exogenous input                |
| CMSE  | - | Cross modal strain energy                          |
| COMAC | - | Co-ordinate Modal Assurance Criterion              |
| DIM   | - | Damage index method                                |
| DLAC  | - | Damage Location Assurance Criterion                |
| DOF   | - | Degree of freedom                                  |
| DSF   | - | Damage sensitive features                          |
| EMD   | - | Empirical mode decomposition                       |
| FE    | - | Finite element                                     |
| FFT   | - | Fast Fourier Transform                             |
| FR    | - | Fit ratio  |
| FRF   | - | Frequency response function                        |
| GA    | - | Genetic algorithm                                  |
| MAC   | - | Modal Assurance Criterion                          |
| MI    | - | Mutual information                                 |
| MISO  | - | Multi input single output                          |
| MSE   | - | Mean square error                                  |
| MSEC  | - | Modal strain energy change                         |
| NARX  | - | Nonlinear autoregressive with exogenous input      |
| NDT   | - | Non-destructive test                               |
| NNE   | - | Neural network emulator                            |
| NSIN  | - | Neural system identification networks              |
| PACF  | - | Partial autocorrelation function                   |

|         |   |  |
|---------|---|--|
| PCA     | - | Principle component analysis                                     |
| PENN    | - | Parametric evaluation neural network                             |
| POMs    | - | Proper orthogonal modes  |
| PSD     | - | Power spectral density   |
| RMS     | - | Root mean square   |
| RMSPDDV | - | Root mean square of prediction displacement difference<br>vector |
| SD      | - | Standard deviation   |
| SHM     | - | Structural health monitoring                                     |
| SNR     | - | Signal-to-noise ratio  |
| SSA     | - | Singular spectrum analysis                                       |
| SSE     | - | Spectral strain energy   |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|               |   |                                 |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|
| $M$           | - | Mass                            |
| $C$           | - | Damping                         |
| $K$           | - | Stiffness                       |
| $F$           | - | Flexibility                     |
| $\ddot{x}(t)$ | - | Acceleration                    |
| $\dot{x}(t)$  | - | Velocity                        |
| $x(t)$        | - | Displacement                    |
| $\omega_i$    | - | Modal natural angular frequency |
| $\Phi_i$      | - | Mode shapes                     |
| $E$           | - | Young's modulus                 |
| $\rho$        | - | Mass density                    |
| $\mu$         | - | Poisson's ratio                 |
| $\sigma$      | - | Standard deviation              |
| $t_0$         | - | Start time                      |
| $td$          | - | Load duration                   |
| $A$           | - | Load amplitude                  |
| $n_u$         | - | Input order                     |
| $n_y$         | - | Output order                    |

## LIST OF APPENDICES

| <b>APPENDIX</b> | <b>TITLE</b>  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| Appendix A      | Example of Matlab script for FE modelling using SDT | 216         |
| Appendix B      | Example of Matlab script for ARX Modelling          | 218         |

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Civil engineering infrastructures such as bridges, buildings and many others play important roles in providing essential welfare for society. However, the resistance of the in-service infrastructures deteriorates with time, owing to many factors such as exposure to harsh environment, long-term fatigue and natural hazard i.e. earthquakes and storms. These factors accumulate local and global damage such as crack, corrosion, material disintegration and many others that will cost more for repair works or at worst, can cause catastrophic structural failure that involves severe economic and human life losses. Incidences due to loss of structural integrity can be found worldwide. For example, the collapse of the I-35W bridge over the Mississippi River in Minneapolis, Minnesota, on 01 August 2007, in which the National Transportation Safety Board reported that failure of gusset plates U10W initiated the collapse (Liao and Okazaki, 2009). Warning signs in the form of out-of-plane displacements went undetected (Li and Hao, 2016), causing injury to 145 people and the death of 13 others. The incident exposes the weakness of visual inspection and indicates that a more sophisticated early detection of damage through a Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) system is important for ensuring the reliability and safety of infrastructure.

### 1.2 Background of study

For decades, structural integrity has been maintained by means of manual visual inspection and non-destructive test (NDT) such as ultrasonic waves, magnetic field, radio-frequency, eddy-current, thermal field etc. Although NDTs have been applied widely, such methods are regarded as local method as the vicinity of the damage is generally required. These local assessments are usually performed

periodically and therefore, structural conditions in between the inspection intervals cannot be obtained. In addition, the results of these techniques are dependent on human expertise, hence prone to human error and lead to subjective conclusion.

Researchers have long sought for a better solution to the problems concerned and proposed a method to assess structural condition as a whole with no requirement for prior information of the suspected damaged region. The method is referred to as global method, called SHM system. The system is formed by a sophisticated technology, incorporating sensing devices with advanced data collection and processing as well as damage detection algorithm. With SHM system, structural monitoring and evaluation can be performed in real-time under regular operation, hence improving structural safety and reliability, prolonging the life of structure, reducing downtime and reducing maintenance cost (Mufti *et al.*, 2005).

Damage is defined as changes of physical properties of a structural system, including material property, geometry and boundary conditions (Farrar *et al.*, 2001). A key element in SHM is damage detection which usually makes use of vibration properties to identify damage. Damage identification can be further classified into four levels: (I) detection of damage presence, (II) damage localisation, (III) damage quantification, and (IV) estimation of remaining service life. In recent years, vibration-based damage detection has been intensively developed by researchers and practitioner in the SHM field to identify damage presence, location and severity. The theory behind vibration-based damage detection is that vibration parameters are the functions of the physical properties of structures such as mass and stiffness. Therefore, the presence of damage will change the behaviour of structural vibration properties and by examining the change, damage information can be obtained.

The methods of vibration-based damage detection can be divided into three categories depending on the type of vibration parameters, which are modal-based, frequency-based and time-series based damage detection methods. As for the first category, damage detection is made based on modal parameters such as natural frequencies and mode shapes which require extraction from measured vibration data. Therefore, the reliability of damage identification is likely dependent on the accuracy

of the extracted parameters. Furthermore, there are some arguments on the suitability of modal data in damage detection. For instance, modal data is not sensitive to local damage and minor damage because damage is a local phenomenon, while modal data is a structural global feature (Alvandi and Cremona, 2006; Worden *et al.*, 2008). This limitation can be overcome by using higher modes where the modes correspond more to local changes, but measuring high vibration modes is more difficult in real practice, especially for large and heavy structures under ambient excitation, which are usually low in frequency (Mei and Gül, 2015). In contrast, frequency response function (FRF) which falls in the frequency-based method provides more information as compared to modal domain, but its prime drawback is the requirement for the accurate known input (Deraemaeker and Preumont, 2006), hence limiting its practicability for the in-service structures as the excitation under operation conditions is generally difficult to measure. Moreover, the conversion from time-domain to frequency-domain data discards some information contained in the measured response (Lei *et al.*, 2019). On the other hand, the method based on time-domain performs damage detection through direct analysis of the measured time-series response. Therefore, the implementation of this category of method is relatively more feasible for automation of the SHM system since it is simpler, faster and can avoid the innate errors of modal extraction. As a result, it seems to be very beneficial to apply the time-series approach for damage detection in this study.

### **1.3 Research problem statement**

As mentioned earlier, the common method used in vibration-based damage detection is based on modal-domain data that is insensitive to minor damage and time-consuming due to dependency on the modal feature extraction. An alternative has been initiated to avoid the modal extraction via time-series analysis. Although the applications of time-series approaches have revealed great potential at damage detection due to its simplicity (no requirement of finite element (FE) model) and straightforward properties (without modal extraction), most of them are up to Level II damage identification only. In this regard, the concept of sensor clustering introduced by Gul and Catbas (2011b) seems promising as the method resulted in good sensitivity





baseline condition is referred to the initially undamaged structure, thus the method will be able to identify all the future damages after the construction. When the data of the newly constructed structure is not available, the existing structure can also be taken as the baseline, provided it is assured that the structure is damage-free. The baseline condition used in this study is referred to the healthy structure which is in its undamaged state. To show the superiority of the proposed approach based on NARX neural network, the applicability of linear ARX model is investigated numerically to detect damage under both impact excitation and ambient vibration. Then, the feasibility of the proposed approach based on NARX neural network is further demonstrated through numerical and experimental examples. Due to the large scope of the research, field work is not conducted in this study.

In the development of NARX neural network, series-parallel NARX neural network with one hidden layer architecture is utilised. The structure of the NARX network is comprised of tan-sigmoid transfer functions in the hidden layer and a linear transfer function in the output layer (Rai and Upadhyay, 2017). The number of hidden neurons as well as the orders of input and output are selected through trial and error approach. For network training process, backpropagation learning algorithm with Levenberg–Marquardt learning function is used (Sheremetov *et al.*, 2014). These network configurations are used throughout the numerical study as well as experimental study.

In numerical study, a continuous two-span concrete slab is employed in Chapter 4. The slab is modelled using the Structural Dynamics Toolbox (SDT) (Balms *et al.*, 2009) which is run on the Matlab platform with presumed physical and material properties. Damage in many studies (Roy *et al.*, 2015; Abdeljaber and Avci, 2016; Rahami *et al.*, 2018; Azim and Gul, 2019) has been represented as a stiffness reduction, particularly Young's modulus ( $E$ ) value (Wickramasinghe *et al.*, 2016; Clementi *et al.*, 2017; Umar *et al.*, 2018; Hellgren *et al.*, 2020). Although stiffness reduction may not represent precisely all damage types in civil engineering, it is applicable to damage due to bolt loosening, corrosion and cracking (Sun and Büyükköztürk, 2015). Therefore, damage in the numerical example is modelled by reducing the  $E$  value of selected segments. In the consideration of noise as presented

in section 4.6, the original simulated acceleration responses are contaminated with white gaussian noise in the form of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) (Krishnasamy *et al.*, 2018). The effects of sampling frequency, sampling duration, reduced number of sensors and measurement noise on the ability of the proposed approach to detect damage in the numerical slab model are investigated.

In experimental study, ambient vibration test is conducted on two types of experimental structures, which are reinforced concrete slab and semi-circular steel arch. The purpose of having two different experimental models is to examine the effectiveness of the proposed method to detect damage in different types of structure. To create damage cases, notch-type damage and saw cut damage are simulated for slab and arch structures, respectively. The recorded uniaxial acceleration responses are used for the verification of the proposed approach for damage detection.

## **1.7 Thesis outline**

This thesis consists of six chapters and has been organised as follows:

Chapter 1 presents the background of the study area, the problem statements, the research objectives, the significance of study, the research scope and limitations, the outline of the thesis.

Chapter 2 presents the review of existing literature related to SHM, the basic theory of vibration-based damage detection as well as various damage detection methods which are further categorised according to the type of vibration parameters. The advantages and disadvantages of each method are discussed in the chapter. The applications of ANN for vibration-based damage detection are also reviewed.

Chapter 3 describes the research methodology and theoretical background of this study. The proposed time-series approach is explained in this chapter through a three-stage procedure: sensor clustering, time-series modelling and damage detection. The design of linear ARX model and NARX neural network are further detailed in the

stage of time-series modelling. The process to determine damage threshold is also included in the chapter.

Chapter 4 demonstrates the application of the NARX neural network with sensor clustering in damage detection under ambient vibration using a numerical model. To show the superiority of the NARX neural network, the performance of linear ARX model in identifying damage under impact excitation and ambient vibration are also presented. Sensitivity studies on the effect of sampling frequency, sampling duration and a smaller number of sensors to the damage detectability of the proposed method are conducted. Besides, this chapter also addresses the effect of uncertainties in the vibration data, in which damage threshold is determined and then incorporated with the proposed NARX neural network.

Chapter 5 provides the details of experimental models, vibration testing procedures and damage scenarios. The implementation of the proposed NARX neural network is demonstrated using the measured experimental data. Also, the final damage detection results by incorporating damage threshold for each experimental structure are presented.

Chapter 6 concludes the study by highlighting the foremost findings of the study according to the research objectives and suggests some recommendations for future work related to the subject of this study.

## REFERENCES

- Abdel Wahab, M. M. and De Roeck, G. (1999) 'Damage detection in bridges using modal curvatures: application to a real damage scenario', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 226(2), 217–235.
- Abdeljaber, O. and Avci, O. (2016) 'Nonparametric structural damage detection algorithm for ambient vibration response: Utilizing artificial neural networks and self-organizing maps', *Journal of Architectural Engineering*, 22(2), 04016004.
- ACI Committee and International Organization for Standardization (2008) *Building code requirements for structural concrete (ACI 318-08) and commentary*. American Concrete Institute.
- Allemang, R. J. and Brown, D. L. (1982) 'A correlation coefficient for modal vector analysis', in *Proceedings of the 1st International Modal Analysis Conference*. Orlando, Florida: Society of Experimental Mechanics, 110–116.
- Altunışık, A. C., Okur, F. Y., Karaca, S. and Kahya, V. (2019) 'Vibration-based damage detection in beam structures with multiple cracks: modal curvature vs. modal flexibility methods', *Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation*, 34(1), 33–53.
- Alvandi, A. and Cremona, C. (2006) 'Assessment of vibration-based damage identification techniques', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 292(1–2), 179–202.
- Arangio, S. and Beck, J. L. (2012) 'Bayesian neural networks for bridge integrity assessment', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 19(1), 3–21.
- Arangio, S. and Bontempi, F. (2015) 'Structural health monitoring of a cable-stayed bridge with Bayesian neural networks', *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 11(4), 575–587.
- Ayat, S., Farahani, H. A., Aghamohamadi, M., Alian, M., Aghamohamadi, S. and Kazemi, Z. (2013) 'A comparison of artificial neural networks learning algorithms in predicting tendency for suicide', *Neural Computing and Applications*, 23(5), 1381–1386.

- Azim, M. R. and Gul, M. (2019) ‘Damage detection of steel girder railway bridges utilizing operational vibration response’, *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 26(11).
- Azim, M. R. and Gul, M. (2020) ‘Damage Detection of Steel-Truss Railway Bridges Using Operational Vibration Data’, *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 146(3), 04020008.
- Balmes, E., Bianchi, J. and Leclere, J. (2009) ‘Structural Dynamics Toolbox 6.2 (for use with MATLAB)’. Paris, France: SDTools.
- Bandara, R. P., Chan, T. H. T. and Thambiratnam, D. P. (2014) ‘Frequency response function based damage identification using principal component analysis and pattern recognition technique’, *Engineering Structures*, 66, 116–128.
- Bao, C., Hao, H. and Li, Z. (2013a) ‘Multi-stage identification scheme for detecting damage in structures under ambient excitations’, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 22(4), 045006.
- Bao, C., Hao, H. and Li, Z. (2013b) ‘Vibration-based structural health monitoring of offshore pipelines: numerical and experimental study’, *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 20(5), 769–788.
- Basheer, I. A. and Hajmeer, M. (2000) ‘Artificial neural networks: fundamentals, computing, design, and application’, *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 43(1), 3–31.
- Bayissa, W. L. and Haritos, N. (2007) ‘Structural damage identification in plates using spectral strain energy analysis’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 307(1–2), 226–249.
- Bayissa, W. L., Haritos, N. and Sofi, M. (2011) ‘Comparative study of broadband damage localization methods applied to test data’, *Ocean Engineering*, 38(2–3), 329–340.
- Ben-Ali, J., Fnaiech, N., Saidi, L., Chebel-Morello, B. and Fnaiech, F. (2015) ‘Application of empirical mode decomposition and artificial neural network for automatic bearing fault diagnosis based on vibration signals’, *Applied Acoustics*, 89, 16–27.
- Bernal, D. (2002) ‘Load Vectors for Damage Localization’, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 128(1), 7–14.

- Bernal, D. and Gunes, B. (2004) 'Flexibility Based Approach for Damage Characterization: Benchmark Application', *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 130(1), 61–70.
- Box, G. E. P., Jenkins, G. M. and Reinsel, G. C. (2008) *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*. 4th edn. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cantieni, R. (2005) 'Experimental methods used in system identification of civil engineering structures', in *Proceedings of the International Operational Modal Analysis Conference (IOMAC)*, 249–260.
- Carden, E. P. and Brownjohn, J. M. W. (2008) 'ARMA modelled time-series classification for structural health monitoring of civil infrastructure', *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 22(2), 295–314.
- Carden, E. P. and Fanning, P. (2004) 'Vibration Based Condition Monitoring: A Review', *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 3(4), 355–377.
- Cawley, P. and Adams, R. D. (1979) 'The location of defects in structures from measurements of natural frequencies', *The Journal of Strain Analysis for Engineering Design*, 14(2), 49–57.
- Cha, Y.-J. and Buyukozturk, O. (2015) 'Structural Damage Detection Using Modal Strain Energy and Hybrid Multiobjective Optimization', *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 30(5), 347–358.
- Chang, P. C., Flatau, A. and Liu, S. C. (2003) 'Review Paper: Health Monitoring of Civil Infrastructure', *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 2(3), 257–267.
- Clementi, F., Pierdicca, A., Formisano, A., Catinari, F. and Lenci, S. (2017) 'Numerical model upgrading of a historical masonry building damaged during the 2016 Italian earthquakes: the case study of the Podestà palace in Montelupone (Italy)', *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, 7(5), 703–717.
- Contursi, T., Messina, A. and Williams, E. J. (1998) 'A Multiple-Damage Location Assurance Criterion Based on Natural Frequency Changes', *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 4(5), 619–633.
- Cornwell, P., Doebling, S. W. and Farrar, C. R. (1999) 'Application of the strain energy damage detection method to plate-like structures', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 224(2), 359–374.

- Dackermann, U., Li, J. and Samali, B. (2010) ‘Dynamic-Based Damage Identification Using Neural Network Ensembles and Damage Index Method’, *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 13(6), 1001–1016.
- Dackermann, U., Li, J. and Samali, B. (2013) ‘Identification of member connectivity and mass changes on a two-storey framed structure using frequency response functions and artificial neural networks’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 332(16), 3636–3653.
- Das, S. and Saha, P. (2018) ‘Structural health monitoring techniques implemented on IASC–ASCE benchmark problem: a review’, *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, 8(4), 689–718.
- Das, S., Saha, P. and Patro, S. K. (2016) ‘Vibration-based damage detection techniques used for health monitoring of structures: a review’, *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, 6(3), 477–507.
- Deraemaeker, A. and Preumont, A. (2006) ‘Vibration based damage detection using large array sensors and spatial filters’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 20(7), 1615–1630.
- Dessi, D. and Camerlengo, G. (2015) ‘Damage identification techniques via modal curvature analysis: Overview and comparison’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 52–53, 181–205.
- Doebling, S. W., Farrar, C. R., Prime, M. B. and Shevitz, D. W. (1998) ‘A summary review of vibration-based damage identification methods’, *Shock and vibration digest*, 30(2), 91–105.
- Doebling, S. W., Farrar, C. R. and Prime, M. B. (1996) *Damage identification and health monitoring of structural and mechanical systems from changes in their vibration characteristics: A literature review*. New Mexico: Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- Downey, A., Hu, C. and Laflamme, S. (2018) ‘Optimal sensor placement within a hybrid dense sensor network using an adaptive genetic algorithm with learning gene pool’, *Structural Health Monitoring*, 17(3), 450–460.
- Entezami, A., Sarmadi, H., Behkamal, B. and Mariani, S. (2020) ‘Big Data Analytics and Structural Health Monitoring: A Statistical Pattern Recognition-Based Approach’, *Sensors*, 20(8), 2328.

- Entezami, A. and Shariatmadar, H. (2018) 'An unsupervised learning approach by novel damage indices in structural health monitoring for damage localization and quantification', *Structural Health Monitoring*, 17(2), 325–345.
- Entezami, A. and Shariatmadar, H. (2019) 'Damage localization under ambient excitations and non-stationary vibration signals by a new hybrid algorithm for feature extraction and multivariate distance correlation methods', *Structural Health Monitoring*, 18(2), 347–375.
- Entezami, A., Shariatmadar, H. and Karamodin, A. (2018) 'Data-driven damage diagnosis under environmental and operational variability by novel statistical pattern recognition methods', *Structural Health Monitoring*, 18(5–6), 1416–1443.
- Esfandiari, A., Nabiyan, M. and Rofooei, F. R. (2020) 'Structural damage detection using principal component analysis of frequency response function data', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 27(7).
- Eun, H. C., Park, S. Y. and Kim, R. J. (2013) 'Damage detection of shear building structure based on FRF response variation', *Advanced Science and Technology Letters*, 32(Architecture and Civil Engineering 2013), 18–25.
- Fan, W. and Qiao, P. (2011) 'Vibration-based Damage Identification Methods: A Review and Comparative Study', *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 10(1), 83–111.
- Fang, S.-E. and Perera, R. (2009) 'Power mode shapes for early damage detection in linear structures', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 324(1–2), 40–56.
- Fang, S. M., Niedzwecki, J. M., Ozbey, M. C. and Cummis, T. J. (2017) 'A Brief Review of Structural Health Monitoring with Special Focus on Damage Detection and Sensor Optimization', in *Encyclopedia of Maritime and Offshore Engineering*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1–8.
- Farahani, R. V. and Penumadu, D. (2016) 'Damage identification of a full-scale five-girder bridge using time-series analysis of vibration data', *Engineering Structures*, 115, 129–139.
- Farrar, C. R., Park, G., Allen, D. W. and Todd, M. D. (2006) 'Sensor network paradigms for structural health monitoring', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 13(1), 210–225.

- Farrar, C. R., Doebling, S. W. and Nix, D. A. (2001) 'Vibration-based structural damage identification', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 359(1778), 131–149.
- Farrar, C. R. and Jauregui, D. A. (1998) 'Comparative study of damage identification algorithms applied to a bridge: I. Experiment', *Smart Materials and Structures*, 7(5), 704–719.
- Farrar, C. R. and Worden, K. (2007) 'An introduction to structural health monitoring', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 365(1851), 303–315.
- Fassois, S. D. and Kopsaftopoulos, F. P. (2013) 'Statistical Time Series Methods for Vibration Based Structural Health Monitoring', in: Springer, Vienna, 209–264.
- Fassois, S. D. and Sakellariou, J. S. (2007) 'Time-series methods for fault detection and identification in vibrating structures', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 365(1851), 411–448.
- Ferreira, P. M. and Ruano, A. E. (2011) 'Evolutionary Multiobjective Neural Network Models Identification: Evolving Task-Optimised Models', in: Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 21–53.
- Figueiredo, E., Figueiras, J., Park, G., Farrar, C. R. and Worden, K. (2011) 'Influence of the Autoregressive Model Order on Damage Detection', *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 26(3), 225–238.
- Finnoff, W., Hergert, F. and Zimmermann, H. G. (1993) 'Improving model selection by nonconvergent methods', *Neural Networks*, 6(6), 771–783.
- Fugate, M. L., Sohn, H. and Farrar, C. R. (2001) 'Vibration-based damage detection using statistical process control', *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 15(4), 707–721.
- Geman, S., Bienenstock, E. and Doursat, R. (1992) 'Neural Networks and the Bias/Variance Dilemma', *Neural Computation*, 4(1), 1–58.
- Ghadimi, S. and Kourehli, S. S. (2017) 'Multiple crack identification in Euler beams using extreme learning machine', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 21(1), 389–396.
- Goh, L. D., Bakhary, N., Rahman, A. A. and Ahmad, B. H. (2013) 'Application of Neural Network for Prediction of Unmeasured Mode Shape in Damage Detection', *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 16(1), 99–113.

- González-Pérez, C. and Valdés-González, J. (2011) ‘Identification of Structural Damage in a Vehicular Bridge using Artificial Neural Networks’, *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 10(1), 33–48.
- Gul, M. and Catbas, F. N. (2009) ‘Statistical pattern recognition for Structural Health Monitoring using time series modeling: Theory and experimental verifications’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 23(7), 2192–2204.
- Gul, M. and Catbas, F. N. (2011a) ‘Damage assessment with ambient vibration data using a novel time series analysis methodology’, *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 137(12), 1518–1526.
- Gul, M. and Catbas, F. N. (2011b) ‘Structural health monitoring and damage assessment using a novel time series analysis methodology with sensor clustering’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 330(6), 1196–1210.
- Hellgren, R., Malm, R. and Ansell, A. (2020) ‘Performance of data-based models for early detection of damage in concrete dams’, *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 1–15.
- Hossain, M. S., Ong, Z. C., Ismail, Z., Noroozi, S. and Khoo, S. Y. (2017) ‘Artificial neural networks for vibration based inverse parametric identifications: A review’, *Applied Soft Computing*, 52, 203–219.
- Hossain, M. S., Ong, Z. C., Ng, S.-C., Ismail, Z. and Khoo, S. Y. (2018) ‘Inverse identification of impact locations using multilayer perceptron with effective time-domain feature’, *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, 26(3), 443–461.
- Hui, Y., Law, S. S. and Ku, C. J. (2017) ‘Structural damage detection based on covariance of covariance matrix with general white noise excitation’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 389, 168–182.
- Humar, J., Bagchi, A. and Xu, H. (2006) ‘Performance of Vibration-based Techniques for the Identification of Structural Damage’, *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 5(3), 215–241.
- Hung, S.-L., Huang, C. S., Wen, C. M. and Hsu, Y. C. (2003) ‘Nonparametric Identification of a Building Structure from Experimental Data Using Wavelet Neural Network’, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 18(5), 356–368.
- Hyndman, R. J. and Athanasopoulos, G. (2018) *Forecasting: Principles and Practice*. 2nd edn. Melbourne, Australia: OTexts. Available at: <https://otexts.com/fpp2>.

- Ismail, Z. (2012) ‘Application of residuals from regression of experimental mode shapes to locate multiple crack damage in a simply supported reinforced concrete beam’, *Measurement*, 45(6), 1455–1461.
- Ismail, Z., Abdul Razak, H. and Abdul Rahman, A. G. (2006) ‘Determination of damage location in RC beams using mode shape derivatives’, *Engineering Structures*, 28(11), 1566–1573.
- Jaishi, B. and Ren, W.-X. (2006) ‘Damage detection by finite element model updating using modal flexibility residual’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 290(1–2), 369–387.
- James Hu, S.-L., Wang, S. and Li, H. (2006) ‘Cross-Modal Strain Energy Method for Estimating Damage Severity’, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 132(4), 429–437.
- Kalogirou, S. A. (2003) ‘Artificial intelligence for the modeling and control of combustion processes: a review’, *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 29(6), 515–566.
- Kanwar, V. S., Singh, R. P., Kwatra, N. and Aggarwal, P. (2016) ‘Monitoring of RCC structures affected by earthquakes’, *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, 7(1), 37–64.
- Kao, C. Y. and Hung, S.-L. (2003) ‘Detection of structural damage via free vibration responses generated by approximating artificial neural networks’, *Computers & Structures*, 81(28–29), 2631–2644.
- Kim, J.-T., Ryu, Y.-S., Cho, H.-M. and Stubbs, N. (2003) ‘Damage identification in beam-type structures: frequency-based method vs mode-shape-based method’, *Engineering Structures*, 25(1), 57–67.
- Kim, M.-J. and Eun, H.-C. (2017) ‘Identification of damage-expected members of truss structures using frequency response function’, *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 9(1), 1687814016687911.
- Ko, J. M. and Ni, Y. Q. (2005) ‘Technology developments in structural health monitoring of large-scale bridges’, *Engineering Structures*, 27(12), 1715–1725.
- Ko, J. M., Wong, C. W. and Lam, H. F. (1994) ‘Damage detection in steel framed structures by vibration measurement approach’, in *Proceedings of the 12th International Modal Analysis*, 280–286.

- Krishnasamy, L., Arumulla, R. M. R. and Naga, G. (2018) 'An improved damage diagnostic technique based on Singular Spectrum Analysis and time series models', *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 14(10), 1412–1431.
- Kumar, R. P., Oshima, T., Mikami, S., Miyamori, Y. and Yamazaki, T. (2012) 'Damage identification in a lightly reinforced concrete beam based on changes in the power spectral density', *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering*, 8(8), 715–727.
- Labib, A., Kennedy, D. and Featherston, C. A. (2015) 'Crack localisation in frames using natural frequency degradations', *Computers and Structures*, 157, 51–59.
- Lakshmi, K. and Rama Mohan Rao, A. (2014) 'A robust damage-detection technique with environmental variability combining time-series models with principal components', *Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation*, 29(4), 357–376.
- Lakshmi, K., Rama Mohan Rao, A. and Gopalakrishnan, N. (2017) 'Singular spectrum analysis combined with ARMAX model for structural damage detection', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 24(9), e1960.
- de Lautour, O. R. and Omenzetter, P. (2010) 'Damage classification and estimation in experimental structures using time series analysis and pattern recognition', *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 24(5), 1556–1569.
- Lee, J. (2009) 'Identification of multiple cracks in a beam using natural frequencies', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 320(3), 482–490.
- Lee, J. J., Lee, J. W., Yi, J. H., Yun, C. B. and Jung, H. Y. (2005) 'Neural networks-based damage detection for bridges considering errors in baseline finite element models', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 280(3–5), 555–578.
- Lee, J. W. (2016) 'Crack identification method for tapered cantilever pipe-type beam using natural frequencies', *International Journal of Steel Structures*, 16(2), 467–476.
- Lee, Y.-S. and Chung, M.-J. (2000) 'A study on crack detection using eigenfrequency test data', *Computers & Structures*, 77(3), 327–342.
- Lei, J., Liu, C. and Jiang, D. (2019) 'Fault diagnosis of wind turbine based on Long Short-term memory networks', *Renewable Energy*, 133, 422–432.
- Li, H., Fang, H. and James Hu, S.-L. (2007) 'Damage localization and severity estimate for three-dimensional frame structures', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 301(3–5), 481–494.

- Li, J., Dackermann, U., Xu, Y.-L. and Samali, B. (2011) ‘Damage identification in civil engineering structures utilizing PCA-compressed residual frequency response functions and neural network ensembles’, *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 18(2), 207–226.
- Li, J. and Hao, H. (2014) ‘Substructure damage identification based on wavelet-domain response reconstruction’, *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 13(4), 389–405.
- Li, J. and Hao, H. (2016) ‘Health monitoring of joint conditions in steel truss bridges with relative displacement sensors’, *Measurement*, 88, 360–371.
- Li, J., Hao, H. and Lo, J. V. (2015) ‘Structural damage identification with power spectral density transmissibility: numerical and experimental studies’, *Smart Structures and Systems*, 15, 15–40.
- Li, J., Hao, H. and Zhu, H.-P. (2014) ‘Dynamic Assessment of Shear Connectors in Composite Bridges with Ambient Vibration Measurements’, *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 17(5), 617–637.
- Li, X. Y. and Law, S. S. (2010) ‘Matrix of the covariance of covariance of acceleration responses for damage detection from ambient vibration measurements’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 24(4), 945–956.
- Liao, M. and Okazaki, T. (2009) *A Computational Study of the I-35W Bridge Collapse*. Center for Transportation Studies, University of Minnesota, Report No. CTS 09-21. Available at: <https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/150965> (Accessed: 12 August 2019).
- Liberatore, S. and Carman, G. P. (2004) ‘Power spectral density analysis for damage identification and location’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 274(3–5), 761–776.
- Lin, Y. Z., Nie, Z. H. and Ma, H. W. (2017) ‘Structural Damage Detection with Automatic Feature-Extraction through Deep Learning’, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 32(12), 1025–1046.
- Liu, H., Song, G., Jiao, Y., Zhang, P. and Wang, X. (2014) ‘Damage Identification of Bridge Based on Modal Flexibility and Neural Network Improved by Particle Swarm Optimization’, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2014, 1–8.
- Liu, K., Yan, R.-J. and Guedes Soares, C. (2018) ‘Damage identification in offshore jacket structures based on modal flexibility’, *Ocean Engineering*, 170, 171–185.

- Liu, X., Lieven, N. A. J. and Escamilla-Ambrosio, P. J. (2009) ‘Frequency response function shape-based methods for structural damage localisation’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 23(4), 1243–1259.
- Ljung, L. (1999) *System Identification: Theory for the User*. 2nd edn. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Long, J. and Büyüköztürk, O. (2017) ‘Decentralised one-class kernel classification-based damage detection and localisation’, *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 24(6), e1930.
- Lu, Y. and Gao, F. (2005) ‘A novel time-domain auto-regressive model for structural damage diagnosis’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 283(3–5), 1031–1049.
- Luo, J., Liu, G. and Huang, Z. (2017) ‘Damage detection for shear structures based on wavelet spectral transmissibility matrices under nonstationary stochastic excitation’, *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 24(1), e1862.
- Lyn Dee, G., Bakhary, N., Abdul Rahman, A. and Hisham Ahmad, B. (2010) ‘A Comparison of Artificial Neural Network Learning Algorithms for Vibration-Based Damage Detection’, *Advanced Materials Research*, 163–167, 2756–2760.
- Mahapatra, S. S. and Sood, A. K. (2012) ‘Bayesian regularization-based Levenberg–Marquardt neural model combined with BFOA for improving surface finish of FDM processed part’, *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 60(9–12), 1223–1235.
- Maia, N. M. M., Silva, J. M. M., Almas, E. A. M. and Sampaio, R. P. C. (2003) ‘Damage detection in structures: from mode shape to frequency response function methods’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 17(3), 489–498.
- Makki Alamdari, M., Li, J. and Samali, B. (2014) ‘FRF-based damage localization method with noise suppression approach’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 333(14), 3305–3320.
- Masciotta, M.-G., Ramos, L. F., Lourenço, P. B., Vasta, M. and De Roeck, G. (2016) ‘A spectrum-driven damage identification technique: Application and validation through the numerical simulation of the Z24 Bridge’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 70–71, 578–600.
- Masciotta, M.-G., Ramos, L. F., Lourenço, P. B. and Vasta, M. (2017) ‘Spectral algorithm for non-destructive damage localisation: Application to an ancient masonry arch model’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 84, 286–307.

- Masri, S. F., Nakamura, M., Chassiakos, A. G. and Caughey, T. K. (1996) 'Neural Network Approach to Detection of Changes in Structural Parameters', *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 122(4), 350–360.
- Masri, S. F., Smyth, A. W., Chassiakos, A. G., Caughey, T. K. and Hunter, N. F. (2000) 'Application of Neural Networks for Detection of Changes in Nonlinear Systems', *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 126(7), 666–676.
- Mattson, S. G. and Pandit, S. M. (2006) 'Statistical moments of autoregressive model residuals for damage localisation', *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 20(3), 627–645.
- Mehrjoo, M., Khaji, N., Moharrami, H. and Bahreininejad, A. (2008) 'Damage detection of truss bridge joints using Artificial Neural Networks', *Expert Systems with Applications*, 35(3), 1122–1131.
- Mei, L., Mita, A. and Zhou, J. (2016) 'An improved substructural damage detection approach of shear structure based on ARMAX model residual', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 23(2), 218–236.
- Mei, Q. and Gul, M. (2016) 'A fixed-order time series model for damage detection and localization', *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, 6(5), 763–777.
- Mei, Q. and Gül, M. (2015) 'Novel Sensor Clustering–Based Approach for Simultaneous Detection of Stiffness and Mass Changes Using Output-Only Data', *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 141(10), 04014237.
- Mei, Q., Gul, M. and Azim, M. R. (2020) 'Densely connected deep neural network considering connectivity of pixels for automatic crack detection', *Automation in Construction*, 110.
- Messina, A., Jones, I. A. and Williams, E. J. (1996) 'Damage detection and localization using natural frequency changes', in *14th International Modal Analysis Conference*, 67–76.
- Messina, A., Williams, E. J. and Contursi, T. (1998) 'Structural damage detection by a sensitivity and statistical-based method', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 216(5), 791–808.
- Moezi, S. A., Zakeri, E., Zare, A. and Nedaei, M. (2015) 'On the application of modified cuckoo optimization algorithm to the crack detection problem of cantilever Euler–Bernoulli beam', *Computers & Structures*, 157, 42–50.

- Moezi, S. A., Zakeri, E. and Zare, A. (2018) 'Structural single and multiple crack detection in cantilever beams using a hybrid Cuckoo-Nelder-Mead optimization method', *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 99, 805–831.
- Moradi, S., Razi, P. and Fatahi, L. (2011) 'On the application of bees algorithm to the problem of crack detection of beam-type structures', *Computers & Structures*, 89(23–24), 2169–2175.
- Morassi, A. and Rollo, M. (2001) 'Identification of Two Cracks in a Simply Supported Beam from Minimal Frequency Measurements', *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 7(5), 729–739.
- Mosavi, A. A., Dickey, D., Seracino, R. and Rizkalla, S. (2012) 'Identifying damage locations under ambient vibrations utilizing vector autoregressive models and Mahalanobis distances', *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 26, 254–267.
- Mufti, A. A., Bakht, B., Tadros, G., Horosko, A. T. and Sparks, G. (2005) 'Are Civil Structural Engineers "Risk Averse"? Can Civionics Help?', in *Sensing Issues in Civil Structural Health Monitoring*. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 3–12.
- Nair, K. K., Kiremidjian, A. S. and Law, K. H. (2006) 'Time series-based damage detection and localization algorithm with application to the ASCE benchmark structure', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 291(1–2), 349–368.
- Ndambi, J.-M., Vantomme, J. and Harri, K. (2002) 'Damage assessment in reinforced concrete beams using eigenfrequencies and mode shape derivatives', *Engineering Structures*, 24(4), 501–515.
- Neves, A. C., González, I., Leander, J. and Karoumi, R. (2017) 'Structural health monitoring of bridges: a model-free ANN-based approach to damage detection', *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, 7(5), 689–702.
- Ni, Y. Q., Zhou, H. F., Chan, K. C. and Ko, J. M. (2008) 'Modal Flexibility Analysis of Cable-Stayed Ting Kau Bridge for Damage Identification', *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 23(3), 223–236.
- Ni, Y. Q., Zhou, H. F. and Ko, J. M. (2009) 'Generalization Capability of Neural Network Models for Temperature-Frequency Correlation Using Monitoring Data', *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 135(10), 1290–1300.
- Ni, Y. Q., Zhou, X. T. and Ko, J. M. (2006) 'Experimental investigation of seismic damage identification using PCA-compressed frequency response functions and neural networks', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 290(1–2), 242–263.

- Nie, Z., Hao, H. and Ma, H. (2012) ‘Using vibration phase space topology changes for structural damage detection’, *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 11(5), 538–557.
- Oh, C. K. and Sohn, H. (2009) ‘Damage diagnosis under environmental and operational variations using unsupervised support vector machine’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 325(1–2), 224–239.
- Omenzetter, P. and Brownjohn, J. M. W. (2006) ‘Application of time series analysis for bridge monitoring’, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 15(1), 129.
- Padil, K. H., Bakhary, N., Abdulkareem, M., Li, J. and Hao, H. (2020) ‘Non-probabilistic method to consider uncertainties in frequency response function for vibration-based damage detection using Artificial Neural Network’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 467, 115069.
- Pandey, A. K. and Biswas, M. (1994) ‘Damage Detection in Structures Using Changes in Flexibility’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 169(1), 3–17.
- Pandey, A. K. and Biswas, M. (1995) ‘Experimental verification of flexibility difference method for locating damage in structures’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 184(2), 311–328.
- Pandey, A. K., Biswas, M. and Samman, M. M. (1991) ‘Damage detection from changes in curvature mode shapes’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 145(2), 321–332.
- Pandey, P. C. and Barai, S. V. (1995) ‘Multilayer perceptron in damage detection of bridge structures’, *Computers & Structures*, 54(4), 597–608.
- Peeters, B., Maeck, J. and Roeck, G. De (2001) ‘Vibration-based damage detection in civil engineering: excitation sources and temperature effects’, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 10(3), 518–527.
- Perera, R. and Ruiz, A. (2008) ‘A multistage FE updating procedure for damage identification in large-scale structures based on multiobjective evolutionary optimization’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 22(4), 970–991.
- Perez-Ramirez, C. A., Amezquita-Sanchez, J. P., Valtierra-Rodriguez, M., Adeli, H., Dominguez-Gonzalez, A. and Romero-Troncoso, R. J. (2019) ‘Recurrent neural network model with Bayesian training and mutual information for response prediction of large buildings’, *Engineering Structures*, 178, 603–615.

- Porcu, M. C., Patteri, D. M., Melis, S. and Aymerich, F. (2019) 'Effectiveness of the FRF curvature technique for structural health monitoring', *Construction and Building Materials*, 226, 173–187.
- Qian, Y. and Mita, A. (2008) 'Acceleration-based damage indicators for building structures using neural network emulators', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 15(6), 901–920.
- Qiao, P., Lu, K., Lestari, W. and Wang, J. (2007) 'Curvature mode shape-based damage detection in composite laminated plates', *Composite Structures*, 80(3), 409–428.
- Rahami, H., Ghodrati Amiri, G., Amini Tehrani, H. and Akhavat, M. (2018) 'Structural Health Monitoring for Multi-story Shear Frames Based on Signal Processing Approach', *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology - Transactions of Civil Engineering*, 42(3), 287–303.
- Rai, A. and Upadhyay, S. H. (2017) 'The use of MD-CUMSUM and NARX neural network for anticipating the remaining useful life of bearings', *Measurement*, 111, 397–410.
- Ren, W.-X. and Sun, Z.-S. (2008) 'Structural damage identification by using wavelet entropy', *Engineering Structures*, 30(10), 2840–2849.
- Rosales, M. B., Filipich, C. P. and Buezas, F. S. (2009) 'Crack detection in beam-like structures', *Engineering Structures*, 31(10), 2257–2264.
- Roy, K., Bhattacharya, B. and Ray-Chaudhuri, S. (2015) 'ARX model-based damage sensitive features for structural damage localization using output-only measurements', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 349, 99–122.
- Rucevskis, S., Janeliukstis, R., Akishin, P. and Chate, A. (2016) 'Mode shape-based damage detection in plate structure without baseline data', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 23(9), 1180–1193.
- Rytter, A. (1993) *Vibrational Based Inspection of Civil Engineering Structures*. PhD Thesis, Dept. of Building Technology and Structural Engineering, Aalborg University.
- Salawu, O. S. (1997) 'Detection of structural damage through changes in frequency: a review', *Engineering Structures*, 19(9), 718–723.
- Salawu, O. S. and Williams, C. (1994) 'Damage location using vibration mode shapes', in *Proceedings of the 12th International Modal Analysis Conference*, 933.

- Salehi, M., Ziaei-Rad, S., Ghayour, M. and Vaziri-Zanjani, M. A. (2013) 'A frequency response based structural damage localization method using independent component analysis', *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 27(3), 609–619.
- Salgado, R., Cruz, P. J., Ramos, L. F. and Lourenço, P. B. (2006) 'Comparison between damage detection methods applied to beam structures', in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Bridge Maintenance, Safety and Management - Bridge Maintenance, Safety, Management, Life-Cycle Performance and Cost*. Washington, D.C, 241–242.
- Samali, B., Dackermann, U. and Li, J. (2012) 'Location and Severity Identification of Notch-Type Damage in a Two-Storey Steel Framed Structure Utilising Frequency Response Functions and Artificial Neural Network', *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 15(5), 743–757.
- Sampaio, R. P. C., Maia, N. M. M. and Silva, J. M. M. (1999) 'Damage detection using the frequency-response-function curvature', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 226(5), 1029–1042.
- Seo, D.-C. and Lee, J.-J. (1999) 'Damage detection of CFRP laminates using electrical resistance measurement and neural network', *Composite Structures*, 47(1–4), 525–530.
- Seyedpoor, S. M. (2012) 'A two stage method for structural damage detection using a modal strain energy based index and particle swarm optimization', *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 47(1), 1–8.
- Seyedpoor, S. M. and Montazer, M. (2016) 'A damage identification method for truss structures using a flexibility-based damage probability index and differential evolution algorithm', *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, 24(8), 1303–1322.
- Sha, G., Radziński, M., Cao, M. and Ostachowicz, W. (2019) 'A novel method for single and multiple damage detection in beams using relative natural frequency changes', *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 132, 335–352.
- Sha, G., Radziński, M., Soman, R., Cao, M., Ostachowicz, W. and Xu, W. (2020) 'Multiple damage detection in laminated composite beams by data fusion of Teager energy operator-wavelet transform mode shapes', *Composite Structures*, 235, 111798.

- Sheremetov, L., Cosultchi, A., Martínez-Muñoz, J., Gonzalez-Sánchez, A. and Jiménez-Aquino, M. A. (2014) ‘Data-driven forecasting of naturally fractured reservoirs based on nonlinear autoregressive neural networks with exogenous input’, *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 123, 106–119.
- Shi, Z. and O’Brien, W. (2019) ‘Development and implementation of automated fault detection and diagnostics for building systems: A review’, *Automation in Construction*. Elsevier B.V., 215–229.
- Shi, Z. Y., Law, S. S. and Zhang, L. M. (1998) ‘Structural damage localization from modal strain energy change’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 218(5), 825–844.
- Shi, Z. Y., Law, S. S. and Zhang, L. M. (2000a) ‘Damage Localization by Directly Using Incomplete Mode Shapes’, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 126(6), 656–660.
- Shi, Z. Y., Law, S. S. and Zhang, L. M. (2000b) ‘Structural Damage Detection from Modal Strain Energy Change’, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 126(12), 1216–1223.
- Shi, Z. Y., Law, S. S. and Zhang, L. M. (2002) ‘Improved Damage Quantification from Elemental Modal Strain Energy Change’, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 128(5), 521–529.
- Sohn, H., Farrar, C. R., Hunter, N. F. and Worden, K. (2001) ‘Structural Health Monitoring Using Statistical Pattern Recognition Techniques’, *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, 123(4), 706.
- Sohn, H., Czarnecki, J. A. and Farrar, C. R. (2000) ‘Structural Health Monitoring Using Statistical Process Control’, *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 126(11), 1356–1363.
- Sohn, H. and Farrar, C. R. (2001) ‘Damage diagnosis using time series analysis of vibration signals’, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 10(3), 446–451.
- Štimac, I. and Kožar, I. (2005) ‘Damage detection from displacement-in-time function’, in *Proceedings of the Youth Symposium on Experimental Solid Mechanics*, 5–6.
- Stubbs, N. and Kim, J.-T. (1996) ‘Damage localization in structures without baseline modal parameters’, *AIAA Journal*, 34(8), 1644–1649.
- Sun, H. and Büyüköztürk, O. (2015) ‘Optimal sensor placement in structural health monitoring using discrete optimization’, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 24(12), 125034.

- Suresh, S., Omkar, S. N., Ganguli, R. and Mani, V. (2004) 'Identification of crack location and depth in a cantilever beam using a modular neural network approach', *Smart Materials and Structures*, 13(4), 907–915.
- Tijani, I. B., Akmeliawati, R., Legowo, A. and Budiyono, A. (2014) 'Nonlinear identification of a small scale unmanned helicopter using optimized NARX network with multiobjective differential evolution', *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 33, 99–115.
- Tsang, A. H. C. (1995) 'Condition-based maintenance: tools and decision making', *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, 1(3), 3–17.
- Umar, S., Bakhary, N. and Abidin, A. R. Z. (2018) 'Response surface methodology for damage detection using frequency and mode shape', *Measurement*, 115, 258–268.
- Vafaei, M. and Alih, S. C. (2018) 'Adequacy of first mode shape differences for damage identification of cantilever structures using neural networks', *Neural Computing and Applications*, 30(8), 2509–2518.
- Wang, D., Xiang, W., Zeng, P. and Zhu, H. (2015) 'Damage identification in shear-type structures using a proper orthogonal decomposition approach', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 355, 135–149.
- Wang, S. and Xu, M. (2018) 'Modal Strain Energy-based Structural Damage Identification: A Review and Comparative Study', *Structural Engineering International*, 29(2), 234–248.
- Wang, Y., Loh, K., Lynch, J. P. and Fraser, M. (2006) 'Vibration Monitoring of the Voigt Bridge using Wired and Wireless Monitoring Systems', in *Proceedings of the 4th China-Japan-US Symposium on Structural Control and Monitoring*, 16–17. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/250049330> (Accessed: 12 April 2019).
- Wang, Z., Lin, R. M. and Lim, M. K. (1997) 'Structural damage detection using measured FRF data', *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 147(1–2), 187–197.
- West, W. M. (1986) 'Illustration of the use of modal assurance criterion to detect structural changes in an orbiter test specimen', in *Proceedings of the 4th International Modal Analysis Conference*. Los Angeles, California.

- Wickramasinghe, W. R., Thambiratnam, D. P., Chan, T. H. T. and Nguyen, T. (2016) 'Vibration characteristics and damage detection in a suspension bridge', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 375, 254–274.
- Worden, K., Farrar, C. R., Haywood, J. and Todd, M. (2008) 'A review of nonlinear dynamics applications to structural health monitoring', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 15(4), 540–567.
- Worden, K. and Burrows, A. . (2001) 'Optimal sensor placement for fault detection', *Engineering Structures*, 23(8), 885–901.
- Worden, K., Manson, G. and Fieller, N. R. J. (2000) 'Damage detection using outlier analysis', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 229(3), 647–667.
- Wu, X., Ghaboussi, J. and Garrett, J. H. (1992) 'Use of neural networks in detection of structural damage', *Computers & Structures*, 42(4), 649–659.
- Xia, Y. and Hao, H. (2003) 'Statistical damage identification of structures with frequency changes', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 263(4), 853–870.
- Xiang, C. S., Zhou, Y., Di, S. K., Wang, L. X. and Cheng, J. S. (2015) 'Detection Indicator of Structural Nondestructive Damage Based on Flexibility Curvature Difference Rate', *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 744–746, 46–52.
- Xing, Z. and Mita, A. (2012) 'A substructure approach to local damage detection of shear structure', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 19(2), 309–318.
- Xu, B., Song, G. and Masri, S. F. (2012) 'Damage detection for a frame structure model using vibration displacement measurement', *Structural Health Monitoring: An International Journal*, 11(3), 281–292.
- Xu, M., Wang, S. and Jiang, Y. (2019) 'Iterative two-stage approach for identifying structural damage by combining the modal strain energy decomposition method with the multiobjective particle swarm optimization algorithm', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 26(2), e2301.
- Xu, W., Cao, M., Ostachowicz, W., Radziński, M. and Xia, N. (2015) 'Two-dimensional curvature mode shape method based on wavelets and Teager energy for damage detection in plates', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 347, 266–278.
- Xu, Y. L., Huang, Q., Zhan, S., Su, Z. Q. and Liu, H. J. (2014) 'FRF-based structural damage detection of controlled buildings with podium structures: Experimental investigation', *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 333(13), 2762–2775.
- Yan-, Y. J., Yang, H. F., Wu, Z. Y. and Ge, X. (2010) 'Damage Detection Method for Composite Structures Based on a Combined Technique of Cross Modal Strain

- Energy and Niche Genetic Algorithms’, *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 16(11), 1673–1683.
- Yan, A.-M., Kerschen, G., De Boe, P. and Golinval, J.-C. (2005) ‘Structural damage diagnosis under varying environmental conditions—Part I: A linear analysis’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 19(4), 847–864.
- Yan, L., Elgamal, A. and Cottrell, G. W. (2013) ‘Substructure Vibration NARX Neural Network Approach for Statistical Damage Inference’, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 139(6), 737–747.
- Yan, W.-J., Huang, T.-L. and Ren, W.-X. (2010) ‘Damage Detection Method Based on Element Modal Strain Energy Sensitivity’, *Advances in Structural Engineering*, 13(6), 1075–1088.
- Yan, Y. J., Cheng, L., Wu, Z. Y. and Yam, L. H. (2007) ‘Development in vibration-based structural damage detection technique’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 21(5), 2198–2211.
- Yan, Y. J. and Yam, L. H. (2004) ‘Detection of delamination damage in composite plates using energy spectrum of structural dynamic responses decomposed by wavelet analysis’, *Computers & Structures*, 82(4–5), 347–358.
- Yao, R. and Pakzad, S. N. (2012) ‘Autoregressive statistical pattern recognition algorithms for damage detection in civil structures’, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 31, 355–368.
- Yoon, M. K., Heider, D., Gillespie, J. W., Ratcliffe, C. P. and Crane, R. M. (2010) ‘Local damage detection with the global fitting method using operating deflection shape data’, *Journal of Nondestructive Evaluation*, 29(1), 25–37.
- Yuen, K.-V., Au, S. K. and Beck, J. L. (2004) ‘Two-Stage Structural Health Monitoring Approach for Phase I Benchmark Studies’, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 130(1), 16–33.
- Yuen, K.-V. and Lam, H.-F. (2006) ‘On the complexity of artificial neural networks for smart structures monitoring’, *Engineering Structures*, 28(7), 977–984.
- Zang, C. and Imregun, M. (2001) ‘Structural damage detection using artificial neural networks and measured FRF data reduced via principal component projection’, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 242(5), 813–827.
- Zhang, Q. W. (2007) ‘Statistical damage identification for bridges using ambient vibration data’, *Computers & Structures*, 85(7–8), 476–485.

- Zheng, H. and Mita, A. (2007) 'Two-stage damage diagnosis based on the distance between ARMA models and pre-whitening filters', *Smart Materials and Structures*, 16(5), 1829–1836.
- Zheng, Z. D., Lu, Z. R., Chen, W. H. and Liu, J. K. (2015) 'Structural damage identification based on power spectral density sensitivity analysis of dynamic responses', *Computers & Structures*, 146, 176–184.
- Zhu, H., Yu, H., Gao, F., Weng, S., Sun, Y. and Hu, Q. (2020) 'Damage identification using time series analysis and sparse regularization', *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, e2554.