EFFECTIVENESS OF ULTRAVIOLET TREATMENT IN MITIGATING MICROBIOLOGICALLY INFLUENCED CORROSION

AKRIMA BINTI ABU BAKAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

> School of Civil Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > AUGUST 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to

my mother; who taught me that never stop when you decide to start until you reach the finishing line.

my husband; you dried my tears and give me strength along the way.

my kids; all of you are parts of this war. This is the proved that I am once a fighter. In your future, don't ever quit in your war that you are facing. You were born by a fighter and you will be a great fighter too.

my beloved family and friends; who always supported me in every way they could.

"The path from dreams to success does exist. May you have the vision to find it, the courage to get on to it and perseverance to follow it "

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ALHAMDULILLAH, all praises to Allah S.W.T. for the strengths and His love and blessing in completing this thesis. I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norhazilan Md. Noor and co-supervisor Prof. Nordin Yahaya; Dr. Libriati Zardasti, for their constant encouragement, immense enthusiasm for this work, guidance and for being there when it mattered most. Without their supervision and constant help this thesis would not have been possible. My sincere thanks to all bacteria's team members; Fahmy, Arman, and Mardhiah. Other RESA members such as Mimi, Rabeah, Suhaila, Lim Kar Sing and others for their kindness, help and support in completing this research. My acknowledgement also goes to all lecturers and technician of SCE's and SME's for the help. Last but not least, my deepest appreciation to my beloved husband Shahrizam Abdul Malik, my kids; Luthfi, Dhuha, Jannah, my mother, brothers, and sisters for all the sacrifices that you have made on my behalf. Your prayer for me that made me sustained this far. Thank you.

ABSTRACT

The destructive effect of microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC) of carbon steel in pipelines has been widely found in soil and water environments. Chemical biocides are normally used for MIC mitigation in pipelines. However, many problems were encountered in its application, causing biocides usage to remain controversial. Ultraviolet (UV) radiation is seen as a possible alternative for chemical biocides. Nevertheless, information on the efficiency of UV treatment and the influences of UV parameters on corrosion process is limited, thus restricting any efforts to explore the potential application of UV as a chemical biocide replacement. This study aims to identify the effectiveness of UV disinfection against MIC caused by Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB) strain. The investigation utilized two different samples of SRB sources: Baram-C and ATCC7757 strains. The Baram-C SRB consortium sample was cultivated from raw crude oil gathered from one of the main trunk lines at Baram Delta Operation, Sarawak, Malaysia, while the ATCC7757 SRB sample was sourced from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The observation on bacteria growth revealed that the preferred pH and temperature for the active cultivation of Baram-C and ATCC7757 strains were pH 8.5 and 37°C, respectively inside the Modified Baar's media. The corrosion process was found more severe in biotic condition by approximately 50% based on metal loss results. The maximum corrosion rate in biotic environment was recorded at 0.3209 mm/year and 0.5042 mm/year for Baram-C and ATCC7757 strains, respectively, as compared to the 0.1791 mm/year corrosion rate in an abiotic sample. One-Factor-At-a-Time (OFAT) analysis was performed under the influence of UV time of exposure, types of UV lamps, numbers of UV lamps and treated volume. The optical density reading showed that UV treatment was able to suppress the number of bacteria up to almost 99% after 28 days of incubation. The effect on bacteria growth was similar for both strains. However, when a variety of UV treatment parameters were applied, different bacterial strains indicated different rates of metal loss. Furthermore, Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was used as a tool to determine the relationship between UV parameter and metal loss by using two different types of UV lamps (10 watts and 14 watts). The RSM models were successfully developed with R^2 of 0.8990 and 0.9020 for UV lamps with 10 watts and 14 watts, respectively. ANOVA results indicate that the effects of treated volume do not depend on the level of factors contact time and numbers of UV lamp for 10 watts lamps, whereas for 14 watts lamps, the contact time and number of UV lamp do affect each other. The result also suggests that the effectiveness of UV treatment does not only depend on UV lamp's intensity to provide optimum curing. The experimental test and numerical analysis performed in this research has provided a comprehensive understanding of the efficiency of UV treatment on the extermination of SRB strains and reduction of metal loss rates. The findings also produced a numerical measurement of metal loss rate due to SRB as a function of UV radiation. This can serve as an impetus for the transition of UV technology from its infancy level to the real-world practice of corrosion mitigation in the oil and gas industry.

ABSTRAK

Kesan pemusnahan mikrobiologi yang mempengaruhi kakisan (MIC) keluli karbon dalam saluran paip telah banyak ditemui didalam persekitaran tanah dan air. Biosid bertoksik biasanya digunakan untuk mengatasi masalah MIC dalam saluran paip. Walau bagaimanapun, pengunaan biosid mempunyai banyak masalah menyebabkan penggunaannya masih dipersoal. Radiasi Ultralembayung (UV) dilihat sebagai alternatif untuk menggantikan pengunaan biosid. Walau bagaimanapun, maklumat mengenai kecekapan rawatan UV dan pengaruh parameter UV pada proses kakisan adalah terhad, sekali gus menyekat sebarang usaha untuk meneroka penggunaannya sebagai pengganti biosid. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti keberkesanan pembasmian kuman UV terhadap MIC yang disebabkan oleh Bakteria Pengurangan Sulfat (SRB). Siasatan menggunakan dua contoh sumber SRB yang berbeza: jenis Baram-C dan ATCC7757. Sampel konsortium SRB Baram-C diambil dari minyak mentah yang dikumpulkan dari salah satu garisan paip utama di Baram Delta Operation, Sarawak, Malaysia, manakala sampel ATCC7757 SRB diperoleh dari Koleksi Kultur Jenis Amerika (ATCC). Pemerhatian terhadap pertumbuhan bakteria menunjukkan bahawa pH dan suhu pilihan untuk Baram-C dan ATCC7757 untuk aktif membiak adalah pada pH 8.5 dan 37°C, masing-masing di dalam media Modified Baar. Proses karat didapati lebih teruk dalam keadaan biotik dengan kirakira 50% berdasarkan hasil kehilangan logam. Kadar kakisan maksimum dalam persekitaran biotik dicatat pada 0.3209 mm/tahun dan 0.5042 mm/tahun bagi jenis Baram-C dan ATCC7757, berbanding dengan kadar kakisan 0.1791 mm/tahun dalam sampel abiotik. Analisis Satu-Faktor-Pada-Satu-Masa (OFAT) dilakukan di bawah pengaruh masa pendedahan, jenis lampu UV, bilangan lampu UV dan isipadu sampel yg dirawat. Hasil dari data kepadatan optik menunjukkan bahawa rawatan UV mampu mengurangkan jumlah bakteria pada hampir 99% setelah 28 hari diinkubasi. Kesan pertumbuhan bakteria adalah serupa untuk kedua-dua jenis. Walau bagaimanapun, apabila pelbagai parameter rawatan UV digunakan, jenis bakteria yang berbeza telah menunjukkan kadar kehilangan logam yang berlainan. Selain itu, Kaedah Tindakbalas Permukaan (RSM) digunakan sebagai alat untuk menentukan hubungan antara parameter UV dan kehilangan logam dengan menggunakan dua lampu UV berlainan kuasa (10 watts dan 14 watts). Model RSM berjaya dibangunkan dengan nilai R² 0.8990 dan 0.9020 untuk lampu UV dengan kuasa 10 watts dan 14 watts. Dari analisis ANOVA, dapat diperhatikan bahawa kesan bagi isipadu sampel dirawat tidak bergantung pada faktor masa pendedahan dan keamatan UV untuk lampu berkuasa 10 watts, namun begitu pada lampu 14 watts, faktor masa pendedahan dan jumlah lampu adalah berkaitan antara satu sama lain. Hasilnya juga menunjukkan bahawa keberkesanan rawatan UV tidak banyak bergantung pada nilai keamatan lampu UV. Ujian eksperimen dan analisis berangka yang dilakukan dalam kajian ini telah memberikan pemahaman yang komprehensif tentang kecekapan rawatan UV pada pemusnahan jenis SRB dan pengurangan kadar kehilangan logam. Penemuan ini juga menghasilkan pengukuran berangka kadar kehilangan logam disebabkan oleh SRB sebagai fungsi sinaran UV. Ini boleh bertindak sebagai dorongan untuk mentransisi teknologi UV dari tahap awal kepada amalan di dunia nyata di dalam industri minyak dan gas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE

DECLARATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ABSTRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	XV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XX
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Background of Problem	2
1.3	Research Statement	4
1.4	Research Aims and Objectives	6
1.5	Research Scope	7
1.6	Significant of Research	7
1.7	Structure of the Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Basic Mechanism of Corrosion	12
2.3	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion	15
2.4	Biofilm Development Stages	15
2.5	MIC Causative Mechanism	18
	2.5.1 Sulfate Reducing Bacteria	19

	2.5.1.1 Principle of Metal Corrosion by SRB	21
26	Oil and Gas Field in Malaysia Scenario	21
2.0	2.6.1 Baram Delta Operation Site Study	2 4 25
27	Remediation Technologies for Monitoring and	25
2.1	Mitigation of MIC	27
	2.7.1 Microbial Monitoring Technique	28
	2.7.2 Mitigation and Treatment Programs	30
2.8	Biocides Treatment	30
2.9	Review of Ultraviolet Treatment	35
	2.9.1 Recent Development in UV Microbial Disinfection	35
	2.9.2 Fundamental of UV Bacteria Disinfection	39
	2.9.3 Factors Affecting the UV Performance	42
	2.9.4 UV Treatment in Water Industry	44
	2.9.5 Potential Application of UV treatment in Oil and Gas Pipelines	46
2.10	Data Experimental Analysis	48
	2.10.1 One-Factor-at-a-Time (OFAT)	48
	2.10.2 Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	49
2.11	Summary of Research Gap	51
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	Overview of the Research Design	55
3.3	Material and Equipment	56
	3.3.1 Selection and Steel Coupon Preparation	56
	3.3.2 Microorganism Strain Selection	59
	3.3.3 Medium Preparation and Inoculation	60
	3.3.3.1 Incubation Period	62
	3.3.4 Surface Microscopic Observation	63
	3.3.5 Ultraviolet Radiation Treatment	65
3.4	Data Collection Method	67
	3.4.1 Bacteria Growth Evaluation Method	68

			3.4.1.1	Optical Delisity Method	68
			3.4.1.2	Cell Number Counting Method	70
		3.4.2	Metal Lo	oss Study	71
	3.5	Data A	Analytical	Method	72
		3.5.1	Graphica	ıl Analysis	73
		3.5.2	Statistica	ıl Analysis	73
	3.6	Metho	odology of	Component 1	74
		3.6.1	Microbia	al Identification	75
		3.6.2	Preferab	le medium growth selection	78
	3.7	Metho	odology of	Component 2	80
		3.7.1	Optimum	n Growth Condition	81
	3.8	Metho	odology of	Component 3	82
	3.9	Metho	odology of	Component 4	84
		3.9.1	Test Mat	rix for OFAT Procedure	86
		3.9.2	RSM Ex	perimental Design	87
		.			
	3.10	Limita	ation		90
	3.103.11	Concl	uding Ren	narks	90 90
HAPTEF ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 CON A	Limita Concl MICF ND BIC	ation uding Ren ROBIOL(DCORRO	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION	90 90 91
HAPTEF ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 ON A1 4.1	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd	ation uding Ren ROBIOL(DCORRO uction	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES ISION	90 90 91 91
HAPTER ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 CON A 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro	ation uding Ren ROBIOL(DCORRO uction organism	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION Microbiological Detection	90 90 91 91 91
HAPTEF ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 CON A 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICH ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1	ation uding Ren ROBIOLO DCORRO uction organism Microbio	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION Microbiological Detection Dological Detection	90 90 91 91 91 92
HAPTEF ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 CON A 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1	ation uding Ren ROBIOLO DCORRO uction organism Microbio 4.2.1.1	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION Microbiological Detection ological Detection SRB culture in SRB-kit	90 90 91 91 91 92 92
HAPTEF ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 (ON A) 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1	ation uding Ren ROBIOLC DCORRO uction organism Microbio 4.2.1.1 4.2.1.2	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION Microbiological Detection ological Detection SRB culture in SRB-kit 16S rDNA of Sample Microorganisms	90 90 91 91 91 92 92 92
HAPTEI ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 (ON A) 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1	ation uding Ren ROBIOL(DCORRO uction organism Microbio 4.2.1.1 4.2.1.2 Metallur	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION Microbiological Detection ological Detection SRB culture in SRB-kit 16S rDNA of Sample Microorganisms gical Observation	90 90 91 91 92 92 92 93 101
HAPTEI ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 (ON A) 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3	ation uding Ren ROBIOLO DCORRO uction organism Microbio 4.2.1.1 4.2.1.2 Metallur Chemica	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION Microbiological Detection ological Detection SRB culture in SRB-kit 16S rDNA of Sample Microorganisms gical Observation l Analysis	90 90 91 91 92 92 92 93 101 103
HAPTEI ETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 CON A 4.1 4.2 4.3	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Optim	ation uding Ren ROBIOLO DCORRO uction organism Microbio 4.2.1.1 4.2.1.2 Metallur Chemica	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DSION Microbiological Detection ological Detection SRB culture in SRB-kit 16S rDNA of Sample Microorganisms gical Observation I Analysis onment for SRB Growth Condition	90 90 91 91 92 92 93 101 103 107
HAPTEH DETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 (ON A) 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Optim 4.3.1	ation uding Ren ROBIOLO DCORRO uction organism Microbio 4.2.1.1 4.2.1.2 Metallur Chemica num Envire Preferab	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES SION Microbiological Detection ological Detection SRB culture in SRB-kit 16S rDNA of Sample Microorganisms gical Observation I Analysis onment for SRB Growth Condition le Enriched Media	90 90 91 91 91 92 92 92 93 101 103 107 107
CHAPTEH DETECTI	3.10 3.11 R 4 ON A 4.1 4.2	Limita Concl MICE ND BIC Introd Micro 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 Optim 4.3.1 4.3.2	ation uding Ren ROBIOLC DCORRO uction organism Microbio 4.2.1.1 4.2.1.2 Metallur Chemica num Envire Preferab SRB G Tempera	narks DGICAL ACTIVITIES DGICAL ACTIVITIES SION Microbiological Detection ological Detection SRB culture in SRB-kit 16S rDNA of Sample Microorganisms gical Observation I Analysis onment for SRB Growth Condition le Enriched Media rowth Pattern Based on pH and ture	90 90 91 91 91 92 92 93 101 103 107 107

4.5	Discussion on Corrosion Behavior by SRB	121
4.6	Concluding Remarks	125
CHAPTER 5 TREATMENT	DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT OF ULTRAVIOLET	127
5.1	Introduction	127
5.2	Overview on Factorial Analysis of UV Parameters on Bacterial Growth and Metal Loss	127
5.3	Factorial Analysis on UV Contact Time	128
	5.3.1 Influences on Bacterial Growth	128
	5.3.2 Influences on Metal Loss	130
5.4	Factorial Analysis of Types of UV Lamp	132
	5.4.1 Influences on Bacteria Growth	132
	5.4.2 Influences on Metal Loss	134
5.5	Factorial Analysis of Numbers of UV Lamps	137
	5.5.1 Influences on Bacterial Growth	137
	5.5.2 Influences on Metal Loss	138
5.6	Factorial Analysis of Treated Volume	141
	5.6.1 Influences on Bacteria Growth	141
5.7	Observation on Influence of UV Parameter from OFAT Analysis	145
5.8	Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	146
	5.8.1 Box Behnken Analysis	148
	5.8.2 Statistical Analysis for Selected Model	150
	5.8.3 Effect of UV Experimental Parameters to Metal Loss of Carbon Steel	152
	5.8.4 Perturbation Plot	161
	5.8.5 Validation and Optimization	163
5.9	Surface Morphology Observation after the UV Treatment	166
5.10	Concluding Remarks	171
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	175
6.1	Introduction	175
6.2	Conclusion	175

	6.3	Contribution of the Research	177
	6.4	Recommendation for Future Work	178
DEFEDEN	ICES		101
NEFENEI	NCES		191

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Journals on the impact of MIC towards metal	12
Table 2.2	Typical biocide types used to control MIC in oil and gas pipelines (AlAbbas, 2013)	32
Table 2.3	Advantages and disadvantages of biocide usage (Mohd Ali, 2016)	34
Table 2.4	Researchers and the types of green biocides	35
Table 2.5	Advantages and disadvantages of ultraviolet radiation treatment (Clark et al., 1984; Wolfe, 1990; Wang et al., 2005; Lawal et al., 2010)	37
Table 2.5	Advantages and disadvantages of ultraviolet radiation treatment (Clark et al., 1984; Wolfe, 1990; Wang et al., 2005; Lawal et al., 2010) (con't)	38
Table 2.6	RSM as a method to optimize in ultraviolet studies	51
Table 3.1	Chemical Analysis of API 5L grade X-70 carbon steel pipelines	57
Table 3.2	Summary of incubation period by other researchers in bio- corrosion	63
Table 3.3	Ingredient for Clarke's solution (ASTM G1-03, 2011)	71
Table 3.4	Composition of the 1249 MBM (ASTM standard test method E979-91)	79
Table 3.5	Composition of PMB (Reis et al., 1992; Sheng, Ting and Pehkonen, 2007; Ghazy et al., 2011)	80
Table 3.6	Composition of PMC (Postgate, 1984)	80
Table 3.7	Summary of incubation temperature by other researchers in bio-corrosion research	82
Table 3.8	Parameters considered and its range	86
Table 3.9	Details condition setup for each parameter influences during the UV treatment.	87
Table 3.10	RSM terms of actual and coded factors	88
Table 3.11	The experimental design matrix	89

Table 4.1	6S rDNA taxonomic rank classification of SRB strain in Baram oilfield samples	98
Table 4.2	Taxonomic rank of microbial diversity of Pseudomonas sp., Shewanella sp. and Vibrio sp. in Baram oilfield crude oil sample	99
Table 4.2	Taxonomic rank of microbial diversity of Pseudomonas sp., Shewanella sp. and Vibrio sp. in Baram oilfield crude oil sample (con't)	100
Table 4.3	Percentage of elements on the coupons surface in different exposure conditions	105
Table 4.4	Preferable growth condition for both SRB strain	117
Table 4.5	Paired sample T-test for metal weight loss between abiotic and biotic samples condition	121
Table 5.1	Tukeys' HSD for Baram-C and ATCC7757 metal weight loss between untreated and time exposure parameters	132
Table 5.2	Tukeys' HSD for Baram-C and ATCC7757 metal weight loss between untreated and power of UV lamps	136
Table 5.3	The Tukeys' HSD test for Baram-C and ATCC7757 metal weight loss between untreated and treated influenced by number of the UV lamp	140
Table 5.4	The independent sample test for Baram-C and ATCC7757 metal weight loss between untreated and treated influenced by treated volume	144
Table 5.5	Experimental factors and variables values	146
Table 5.6	Experimental responses for 10 wattss (Y_1) and 14 wattss (Y_2) lamp	147
Table 5.7	Adequency of model tested for Y_1 and Y_2	149
Table 5.8	ANOVA response for surface quadratic model for Y_1	152
Table 5.9	ANOVA response for surface quadratic model for Y_2	153
Table 5.10	Most influenced factor from ANOVA analysis	153
Table 5.11	Optimum value condition based on metal loss minimum value	163
Table 5.12	Model validation of predicted value at optimal treatment condition	163

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Illustration of common form of corrosion (Javaherdashti, 2008)	13
Figure 2.2	Two- and three-dimensional images of (a) a single pit and (b) image resembled a Desulfovibrio desulfuricans bacterial cell on the stainless steel 316 coupons (Sheng et al., 2007)	14
Figure 2.3	Cleaned pipeline surface at the rupture location with pitting corrosion presented (Eckert & Lund Skovhus, 2014)	14
Figure 2.4	Biofilm formation (Geesey, 1993)	17
Figure 2.5	Three steps of biofilm life cycle: (1) attachment, (2) growth of colonies, (3) detachment in clumps or 'seeding dispersal (Stoodley, 2003)	17
Figure 2.6	Schematic of a corroding metal electrode. Formal breakdown into two half-cells of a galvanic element (oxidation reaction at the anode, reduction reaction at the cathode)	22
Figure 2.7	Detailed bacterial processes under anaerobic condition (Muyzer & Stams, 2008)	23
Figure 2.8	The lengths and types of transporting products in Malaysia (Agency, 2015)	25
Figure 2.9	Raw samples from Baram oil well tank by-product (Baram raw by product is in the left cylinder)	26
Figure 2.10	Illustration of Baram Delta field layout and pipeline system. Pipelines assessed as highest risk of MIC denote by the broken line. The dotted line between the Baronia B platform and the Baronia A platform denotes the seawater injection line (Allison et. al., 2008)	27
Figure 2.11	Electromagnetic spectrum with expanded scale of UV radiation (Macrae et al., 1993)	40
Figure 2.12	Effect of UV radiation causing dimerization of adjacent thymine-base pairs (Schreier et al., 2010)	41
Figure 2.13	Schematic diagram of UV radiation effects on microorganisms	42

Figure 2.14	UV-oxidation process in a treatment system	45
Figure 2.15	The flow of process in an oil production (the red box marked the proposed area of the UV reactor installation in the oil production system)	48
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the research methodology	56
Figure 3.2	Hot cut and cold cut method	57
Figure 3.3	Painted and polished coupons	58
Figure 3.4	Flowchart of carbon steel sample preparation	59
Figure 3.5	Crude oil samples collection	60
Figure 3.6	Flow of medium and bacteria's inoculation	61
Figure 3.7	Prepared de-oxygenized bottles with carbon steel coupon	62
Figure 3.8	Procedure to de-oxygenation the testing bottles to create anaerobic	62
Figure 3.9	Carbon steel coupons were immersed in series of ethanol solution for 4 hours	64
Figure 3.10	FESEM model Supra 35VP	65
Figure 3.11	Third (3rd) Hydrolytic Clear Glass vials	66
Figure 3.12	UV radiation treatment setup in laminar flow cabinet	66
Figure 3.13	Flow cabinet opening was closed using a piece of hard wood	67
Figure 3.14	Overview of data collection	68
Figure 3.15	Optical density method properties	69
Figure 3.16	Cell number counting properties	70
Figure 3.17	The coupons were cleaned with Clark's solution	72
Figure 3.18	The diversion of data analysis on each component	73
Figure 3.19	Example of potential outlier detection using Boxplot method in a sample size	74
Figure 3.20	Overview of Component 1	75
Figure 3.21	SRB kits from Sani Check Biosan Lab USA	76
Figure 3.22	Anaerobic chambers incubator	77
Figure 3.23	Positive indication (black color) of the SRB growth on the slant agar	77

Figure 3.24	Workflow of preferable medium growth selection	78
Figure 3.25	Overview of Component 2	81
Figure 3.26	Overview of Component 3	83
Figure 3.27	Overview of Component 4	85
Figure 4.1	(a) SRB-kit without SRB presence and (b) Positive results of SRB existence after the samples inoculation	92
Figure 4.2	Baram agarose gel analysis	94
Figure 4.3	Taxonomy kingdom distribution in Baram samples	94
Figure 4.4	Taxonomy phylum distribution in Baram samples	95
Figure 4.5	(a) Detailed list on the species associated in the Baram oilfield crude oil samples	96
Figure 4.6	Morphology of carbon steel pipelines grade API 5L grade X70, carbide phase (black grains, red arrow) and ferrite phase (white grains, green arrow)	102
Figure 4.7	FESEM image of carbon steel coupon after cleaned to mirror surface	102
Figure 4.8	Carbon steel FESEM image after exposure to SRB (a) ATCC7757 and (b) Baram-C	103
Figure 4.9	EDS analysis on the coupon surface before exposure	104
Figure 4.10	EDS after the exposure of Baram-Consortium	104
Figure 4.11	EDS after the exposure of ATCC7757	104
Figure 4.12	SRB growth in different media for Baram-C	108
Figure 4.13	SRB growth in different media for ATCC7757	108
Figure 4.14	Optical density vs days at 20°C for Baram-C	109
Figure 4.15	Optical density vs days at 37°C for Baram-C	110
Figure 4.16	Optical density vs days at 60°C for Baram-C	111
Figure 4.17	Optical density vs days at 20°C for ATCC7757	111
Figure 4.18	Optical density vs days at 37°C for ATCC7757	112
Figure 4.19	Optical density vs days at 60°C for ATCC7757	112
Figure 4.20	Cell number counting vs days for Baram-C at 20°C	113
Figure 4.21	Cell number counting vs days for Baram-C at 37°C	114
Figure 4.22	Cell number counting vs days for Baram-C at 60°C	114

Figure 4.23	Cell number counting vs days for ATCC7757 at 20°C	115
Figure 4.24	Cell number counting vs days for ATCC7757 at 37°C	115
Figure 4.25	Cell number counting vs days for ATCC7757 at 60°C	116
Figure 4.26	Microorganism growth curve (Rolfe et al., 2012)	118
Figure 4.27	Metal weight loss at pH 8.5 with temperature 37°C	119
Figure 4.28	Corrosion rate versus time	122
Figure 4.29	FESEM images of the biotic system on the coupon surface after biofilm was removed at magnification in 1000x, a) coupon surface before SRB exposure. b) coupon surface after SRB exposure (rounded pitting corrosion)	124
Figure 5.1	Optical density reading vs days Baram-C	129
Figure 5.2	Optical density reading vs days ATCC7757	129
Figure 5.3	Metal loss over time Baram-C	130
Figure 5.4	Metal loss over time ATCC7757	131
Figure 5.5	Optical density reading vs days Baram-C	133
Figure 5.6	Optical density reading vs days ATCC7757	134
Figure 5.7	Metal loss over days Baram-C	135
Figure 5.8	Metal loss over days ATCC7757	135
Figure 5.9	Optical density reading vs days Baram-C	137
Figure 5.10	Optical density reading vs days ATCC7757	138
Figure 5.11	Metal loss over days Baram-C	139
Figure 5.12	Metal loss over days ATCC7757	139
Figure 5.13	Optical density reading vs days Baram-C	141
Figure 5.14	Optical density reading vs days ATCC7757	142
Figure 5.15	Metal loss over days Baram-C	143
Figure 5.16	Metal loss over days ATCC7757	143
Figure 5.17	Normal plot of residuals for (Y_1) and (Y_2)	151
Figure 5.18	Effect of UV contact time and numbers of UV lamps in 3D surface model and contour plot for Y_1	155
Figure 5.19	Effect of numbers of UV lamps and treated volume in 3D surface model and contour plot for Y_1	156

Figure 5.20	Effect of treated volume and UV contact time in 3D surface model and contour plot for Y_1	157
Figure 5.21	Effect of UV contact time and numbers of UV lamps in 3D surface model and contour plot for Y_2	158
Figure 5.22	Effect of numbers of UV lamps and treated volume in 3D surface model and contour plot for Y_2	159
Figure 5.23	Effect of treated volume and UV contact time in 3D surface model and contour plot for Y_2	160
Figure 5.24	Perturbation plot Y ₁	162
Figure 5.25	Perturbation plot Y ₂	162
Figure 5.26	Metal loss vs number of runs for 10 watts (Y_1) and 14 watts (Y_2)	164
Figure 5.27	FESEM image of API 5L X70 before (picture a) and after (picture b) exposure to 30 minutes of UV radiation treatment at magnification 2500 x (BARAM)	167
Figure 5.28	FESEM image for API 5L X70 before (picture a) and after (picture b) exposure to 30 minutes of UV radiation treatment at magnification 2500x (ATCC7757)	168
Figure 5.29	FESEM image of biofilm with Baram-C dead cell attached onto API 5L X70 after exposure to UV radiation treatment at magnification 5000x	169
Figure 5.30	Schematic diagram of UV radiation treatment effect towards the microorganisms	169
Figure 5.31	EDS analysis image for API 5L X70 before (a) and after (b) exposure to 30 minutes of UV radiation treatment (BARAM)	170
Figure 5.32	EDS analysis image for API 5L X70 before (a) and after (b) exposure to 30 minutes of UV radiation treatment (ATCC7757)	171

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variance
API	-	American Petroleum Institute
APB	-	Acid Producing Bacteria
ASTM	-	American Society of Testing of Materials American
ATCC	-	American Type Culture Collection
BBD	-	Box Behnken Design
BDO	-	Baram Delta Operation
CCD	-	Central Composite Design
CFD	-	Computational fluid dynamic
DOE	-	Design of Experiments
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDS	-	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
EPS	-	Extracellular Polymer Substance
Fe	-	Iron
Fe(OH) ₂	-	Iron (II) Hydroxide
FESEM	-	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
GDS	-	Glow Discharge Spectrometry
H_2S	-	Hydrogen sulfide
HSD	-	Honest Significant Difference
IBM SPSS	-	International Business Machines Statistical Package
IRB	_	for Social Science Iron Reducing Bacteria
мсот	_	Miri Crude Oil Terminal
MIC	_	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion
MB	_	Magnetic Bacteria
MBM	_	Modified Baar's Medium
MMM	_	Molecular Microbiological Methods
NACE	_	National Agency of Corrosion Engineer
NGS	_	Next Generation Sequencing
NPI	_	Non-Physical Inhibitor
OFAT	_	One Factor at a Time Principle
01111	-	

OD	-	Optical Density
PMB	-	Postgate Medium B
PMC	-	Postgate Medium C
QAC	-	Quaternary Ammonium Compounds
rDNA	-	Recombinant Deoxyribonucleic Acid
RNA	-	Ribonucleic Acid
RSM	-	Response Surface Method
Si-C	-	Silicon Carbide
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SRB	-	Sulfate Reducing Bacteria
TEM	-	Transmission Electron Microscopy
TTS	-	Total Suspended Solids
USD	-	United State Dollar
US	-	Ultrasonic
UV	-	Ultraviolet
X70	-	Pipe having a minimum yield strength of 70 ksi

LIST OF SYMBOLS

- °C Degree Celcius
- A Area
- D Density
- % Percentage
- $W_{\rm o}$ Initial weight of coupon
- W_a Final weight of coupon
- R² Coefficient of determination
- K Constant
- T Time of exposure

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A		195
Appendix B		198
Appendix C		199

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Corrosion is one of the phenomena of natural deterioration that causes economic and environmental damage due to structural failure of oil and gas infrastructure. Its natural spontaneous process has a devastating impact upon the long-term integrity of oil and gas infrastructure (Norhazilan et al., 2011; Abdullah, 2017) Pipeline system is used to transport crude oils over long distances and hence, faces serious corrosion problem that can lead to system failure. For years, numerous failures of pipeline system that distribute crude oils and gas have been reported globally. Even though steel pipe materials with high strength grade such as X70 have been introduced, corrosion still persists and dominates the statistics as the major cause of pipeline failure. Of the many corrosion mechanisms, previous investigation results have concluded that the pipe is most likely to suffer severe corrosion damage due to Microbiology Influenced Corrosion (MIC) (Forte Giacobone et al., 2011; Abdullah et al., 2014; Nan et al., 2015; Afizza et al., 2016; Ching et al., 2016; Narenkumar et al., 2017).

In general, the oil field is an interesting place for microbes to multiply aggressively. The conditions encounter a range of features, from cold to hot, and from fully aerobic to anaerobic. These conditions breed different types of microorganisms that have adapted to the environments in which they live. Microbial activity in any environment occurs in the presence of water, a carbon source, an electron donor and an electron acceptor, all of which can be present in oil pipelines (Almahamedh et al., 2011). This implies that the increase in corrosion rate is due to the presence of bacterial activities such as Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB) which accelerate the rate of anodic and/or cathodic reactions (Muthukumar, 2014). It is a huge challenge to mitigate this delicate phenomenon, albeit the fact that it cannot be

simply eliminated altogether from the deteriorating infrastructure. Nevertheless, with careful steps, it can be well-controlled. Until today, the recommended MIC mitigation method by most companies is via biocide consumption. However, several issues associated with biocide need to be addressed such as environmental pollution and high cost of operation (Ashraf et al., 2014). Therefore, alternative strategies to MIC mitigation method need to be readily available in the near future in order to accommodate the concern on biocide usages.

1.2 Background of Problem

Oil companies are constantly under significant pressure to cut production costs, including the maintenance program. The pipeline system network transports oil and gas products from oil wells to processing terminals, distribution sites and lastly to purchasers all across the country. During the oil and gas transportation process, a continuous interaction between the product and the pipeline steel surface could result in a variety of corrosion deterioration mechanisms (AlAbbas et al., 2013). A severely corroded pipeline is highly recommended to be replaced in order to maintain its integrity throughout its life span. However, this replacement requires enormous operational expenditure and substantial production loss. Nevertheless, the integrity of pipeline cannot be compromised as safety is the most crucial aspect that should be considered in this high-risk industry.

Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion has been identified as the major cause of corrosion failures (Javed et al., 2016). MIC is a common mechanism of corrosion and it is used to designate corrosion due to the presence of microorganisms and their activities (Li et al., 2016). It is also believed to be culpable for diminishing pipeline's integrity and increasing the costs of petroleum pipelines' operations and maintenance to over 40% (AlAbbas et al., 2013; Afizza et al., 2016). Muthukumar (2014) highlighted that the petroleum production environment is particularly suitable for the MIC activities because it handles large volumes of de-aerated water. Previous studies have investigated the impact of MIC and its mitigation efforts; however, its role in deteriorating engineered materials remains misunderstood (Abdullah et al., 2014; Rasol et al., 2014; Mohd Ali, 2016). Electrochemical and microbial corrosion could exacerbate each other; thus, serious attention to control the phenomena should be raised to avoid greater risks of pipeline failure. The microbial contamination can result in the loss of production time and increased refining costs (Afizza et al., 2016); millions of dollars is an expected economic loss (Wang et al., 2005). Groysman (2017) stated that the oil, gas and refinery equipment are extremely vulnerable to a variety of corrosion phenomena that can lead to serious accidents and it is understood that SRB accounts for approximately 40% of internal corrosion in the oil and gas industry (Koch et al., 2001). Therefore, a minor yet critical element of microbial contamination control of petroleum production is a vital aspect that should be taken into consideration (Turkiewicz, Brzeszcz, & Kapusta, 2013).

Biocides injection such as glutaraldehyde is a typical technique to mitigate MIC. This toxic and abrasive chemical needs to be handled carefully because it is harmful to living creatures (Lavania et al., 2011; Gomes et al., 2016). It is also costly and contributes to serious environmental pollutions. Increasing the dose of biocide may or may not be successful in overcoming the protections since microorganisms tend to be resistant to different types of biocides over time (McKinney & Pruden, 2012; Otter et al., 2014). Driven by the environmental pollution concern, several engineers and researchers have investigated the potential use of several types of natural biocides, namely cow urine (Lavania et al., 2011); cumin (Morshedi et al., 2015) and eucalyptus oil (Ashraf et al., 2014) as alternatives to chemical biocides. However, these natural biocides are impractical from the operational cost perspective in mitigating MIC in the well-known oil and gas production due to its large network system. Furthermore, injection of biocides in the pipeline costs a handsome amount of money, namely due to raw material preparation and temporary termination of production operations.

Ultraviolet (UV) radiation is one of the potential substances which has been developed as a non-physical inhibitor (NPI) in the past decade (Mohd Ali, 2016). Bacterial disinfection using UV radiation has been successfully used in municipal wastewater treatment and thus, carries great potential for the implementation in drinking water applications (Ashraf et al., 2014). The usage of UV technology in

water treatment industry offers several inherent advantages over most traditional technologies. However, it is not a direct application when it comes to oil and gas industry because crude oil in a system could have an impact on the UV treatment effectiveness. Nevertheless, Allison, Clough & Park (2008) stated that many of the crude oil pipelines operation were reported with more than 40% water. Thus, at least the MIC living in the water produced along with the crude oil could be mitigated by using UV radiation. UV radiation is a non-reagent technology which imparts energy to water stream for disinfection process. It is a fast, effective and environmentalfriendly bacterial disinfection method. Laboratory and field experiments have shown that injected UV radiation may be as effective as many biocides in acting as a treatment for microbial control (Abu Bakar et al., 2017). However, most previous studies focus on the level of effectiveness of the UV radiation to exterminate bacteria, rather than investigating its effect on mitigating corrosion, the after-effect of UV radiation on microbe reproduction and the impact to the carbon steel biocorrosion. If the performance of UV radiation treatment towards mitigating MIC in carbon steel pipelines can be further investigated and evaluated, perhaps it can be a great compliment or a substitute to the usage of costly chemical biocides.

1.3 Research Statement

The results from previous studies on the usage of UV to mitigate MIC in oil and gas pipeline is still fraught with many arguments, specifically on the role of MIC in the deterioration of engineering materials such as carbon steel pipeline. Most of the studies focused on the bacteria survival after the UV treatment or in other words, how much bacteria can be terminated by UV radiation (Clark, Luppens, Co, Tucker, & Petru, 1984; Lawal et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2005). Less attention was paid to the influence of the remaining bacteria on the continuity of the corrosion process in pipeline. This piece of information is extremely vital since the remaining small percentage of live bacteria, if not in dormant mode, can reproduce after the UV treatment is done and restart the corrosion process. If this happens, the whole process of UV treatment would be to no avail. Previous works on UV treatment also failed to interpret the efficiency of UV treatment under various operational and environmental parameters. The question of how to optimize UV treatment for MIC mitigation according to different combination of operational and environmental parameters remains unrequited since previous experiments were done under fixed conditions. Various concerns emerge of its application in this regard: What is the suitable condition for UV operation? What about UV effectiveness on different type of microbe? Which one is more important, time of exposure to UV radiation or the intensity of UV lamp? All these questions must be answered with statistical evidences in order to uncover the capability of UV in MIC mitigation.

The statistical evidence and numerical modelling on the level of governance of UV parameters towards bacteria survivability and its impact on the pipeline material is greatly lacking. This effort is vital to ensure that UV treatment can reach its optimum capability during the mitigation process. Details on sensitivity analysis on the parameter of UV technology are also currently missing from the literature. Consequently, the waste from UV emission cannot be reduced and information on preliminary monitoring and MIC mitigation plan involving UV systems is barely available. The availability of data pertaining to UV parameters' influence on bacteria survival and corrosion impacts are crucial to ensure that the treatment system could reach its maximum efficiency during the treatment process. Furthermore, investigating the versatility of UV treatment on various bacteria in local environment conditions is essential to represent the data on UV treatment in the real site conditions. This is because different strains of MIC might have different responses towards different environments (Mohd Ali, 2016; Abdullah, 2017). In addition, there is no solid evidence proving that the commercially available Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria (SRB) can closely simulate the performance of the SRB strain which has been isolated from local site.

This research provides empirical evidence on what will happen to the remaining bacteria after UV treatment. If the bacteria are not in dormant mode, the research seeks to find out to what extend the level of impact towards corrosion severity can be practically measured? Moreover, statistical evidence can quantitatively display the performance of UV, not only on bacteria termination, but also on the mitigation of MIC. This information is highly required by the industry so

that UV technology can be well designed for full scale MIC mitigation exercise on the corroding pipeline, comparable to biocide injection.

1.4 Research Aims and Objectives

This research aims to investigate the performance of UV treatment in controlling Microbiology Influenced Corrosion (MIC) in steel pipeline caused by different strains of Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB). The performance of UV treatment is measured using multi-regression numerical model, correlating metal loss volume, operational parameters and treated volume. The following objectives are identified as steps towards achieving the research aims:

- 1. To identify the microbial diversity in Baram-Consortium and most suitable enriched media for each SRB strain.
- 2. To investigate the optimum growth pattern of different strains of SRB under the influence of pH and temperature.
- To identify the metal loss rate of grade X70 carbon steel coupon, subject to SRB optimum growth condition.
- 4. To determine the correlation between the effectiveness of UV radiation treatment on MIC mitigation process and the operational parameters, including time of exposure, types of UV lamps, numbers of UV lamps and treated volume.

1.5 Research Scope

This research consists of laboratory scale experimental work to reveal the effectiveness of UV radiation in controlling MIC activities and their impact on API 5L X70 carbon steel coupons. Two types of MIC samples that focus mainly on SRB strains were used as the experiment's substances under the simulation of anaerobic condition in laboratory. Samples of SRB consortium cultivated from raw crude oil gathered from the crude oil in one of the main trunk lines at Baram Delta Operation, Sarawak, Malaysia and American Type Culture Collection SRB (ATCC7757) growth were used for the comparative study. Investigation on bacteria growth and optimum environment was performed under various media, pH levels and temperatures for SRB to proliferate, respectively. The impact of UV exposure to biocorrosion conditions on carbon steel coupon for 28 days in certain samples is discussed in this study for disinfection alternatives. One-Factor-At-a-Time (OFAT) analysis was performed under the influences of UV lamp consequent to the time of exposure, number of UV lamps, types of UV lamps and treated volume for both bacteria samples. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was used as a tool to perform further analysis upon uncovering the aforementioned relationships between the UV parameter influences. However, only samples of Baram-C were used in the RSM analysis due to time and cost allocation constrains. Graphical and statistical approaches were also utilized to extend the understanding on the findings of this study.

1.6 Significant of Research

On this note, the outcome of this research will provide comparable data and profound understanding on the effect of several UV parameters on the extermination of several bacteria strains and metal loss. The optimum efficiency of the UV parameter usage can then be identified numerically. The proposed model from this study can also predict the response of metal loss after UV treatment. Therefore, future works on the development of the UV treatment related to financial and corrosion mitigation scheme can be properly designed. Solid evidence on the UV performance needs to be established to ensure that UV radiation could be a viable option for mitigating MIC activity and simultaneously, minimizing the bio-corrosion impact triggered by MIC. This will shed light on the possibility of utilizing UV as the best complement or replacement for biocides application as an improved and more sustainable MIC mitigation technology. In addition, these findings may also be considered as a kick start towards serious efforts to harness UV technology as a practical technology in corrosion mitigation by oil and gas industry instead of applying it only as conceptual technology at a pilot scale.

1.7 Structure of the Thesis

This thesis is structured into six chapters. The general principle and background of the study are described in Chapter 1. This chapter also justified the research problem and significant of the conducted study. The relevant issues and literature of the research objective is described in Chapter 2. Comprehensive literature survey and overview from previous research are discussed among research subjects. Information on MIC mechanism and UV potential are also reviewed.

Chapter 3 is organized to describe the methodology of study in attaining research objectives. Particulars to conduct laboratory experimentation works, design of experiment and statistical approach are well elaborated. Overall research methodology is diverted into three components to give clear view and better understanding through all elements in the research study. Results and analysis focusing on the behaviour of research microorganism, their growth and preferable environment conditions are presented in Chapter 4. The detailed bacteria identification and information are also explained in this section. Impact to biocorrosion of the respected bacteria is explicated to verify their threat to the reliability and integrity to the pipelines system particularly to the carbon steel.

In Chapter 5, the data analysis for mitigation properties is reported. Influences of various UV parameters are shown and elucidated. The interaction between particular elements is analysed. Diagnostic on the Response Surface Methodologies are described and predictive formula to estimate metal loss after the UV treatment is also presented. Argument in the optimization upon UV treatment and impact to the attached biofilm are also elaborated. Chapter 6 summarised the major conclusions drawn from the research objectives and the recommendations is also outlined for future research. Overall, this thesis provides visionary documents for future works and possible implementation of the UV treatment in oil and gas industry.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A. (2017). External Corrosion Growth For Buried Steel Pipeline In Environment Containing Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. Thesis, Doctor of Philosophy (Civil- Structure and Material), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Abdullah, A., Yahaya, N., Noor, N. M., & Rasol, R. M. (2014). MIC of API 5L X70 Carbon Steel by ATCC 7757 and Consortium of SRB. *Journal of Chemistry*, *Hindawi Publication Corporation*, 2014(Paper ID : 130345).
- Abu Bakar, A., Mohd Ali, M. K. F., Md. Noor, N., Yahaya, N., Ismail, M., & A. Rashid, A. S. (2017). Control of Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion using Ultraviolet Radiation. *Sains Malaysiana*, 46(8), 1323–1331.
- Afizza, I. M., Muhd Faizul, H. A. H., Mohd Fadly, Y., Ngau Uvang, J., & Mohd Hazriq, S. I. S. (2016). Microbial Controlling Strategy for Upstream Oilfield Process System. In *Offshore Technology Conference*.
- Ahmadi, R., Rezaee, A., Anvari, M., Hossini, H., & Rastegar, S. O. (2016). Optimization of Cr(VI) removal by sulfate-reducing bacteria using response surface methodology. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(24), 11096– 11102. https://doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1041055
- Akpan, G. U., Abah, G., & Akpan, B. D. (2013). Correlation between Microbial Populations Isolated From Biofilms of Oil Pipelines and Corrosion Rates, 2(3), 39–46.
- Al-Jaroudi, S. S., Ul-Hamid, a, & Al-Gahtani, M. M. (2011). Failure of crude oil pipeline due to microbiologically induced corrosion. *Corrosion Engineering, Science and Technology*, 46(4), 568–579. https://doi.org/10.1179/147842210X12695149033819
- Alabbas, F., Kakpovbia, A., Spear, J. R., & Olson, D. L. (2012). Effects of sulfate reducing bacteria on the corrosion of X-65 pipeline carbon steel. NACE International, (C2012-0001140), 1–14.
- AlAbbas, F. M. (2013). An Investigation of Microbial Diversity in Crude Oil & Seawater Injection System and Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC) of Linepipe Steels under Different Exposure Conditions. ProQuest Dissertations And Theses; Thesis (Ph.D.)--Colorado School of Mines.

- Alabbas, F. M., Kakpovbia, A., Williamson, C., Spear, J. R., Olson, D. L., & Mishra,
 B. (2013). Microbial Community Associated With Corrosion Products
 Collected From Sour Oil Crude and Seawater Injection Pipelines. In *Nace International Corrosion Conference and Expo 2013* (pp. 1–14).
- Alabbas, F. M., Williamson, C., Bhola, S. M., Spear, J. R., Olson, D. L., Mishra, B., & Kakpovbia, A. E. (2013). Influence of sulfate reducing bacterial biofilm on corrosion behavior of low-alloy, high-strength steel (API-5L X80). *Journal of Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 78, p.p 34-42.
- Allison, P. W., Clough, D., Park, B., Vance, I., & Thompson, M. J. (2008). The Investigation Of Microbial Activity In An Offshore Oil Production Pipeline System And The Development Of Strategies To Manage The Potential For Microbially Influenced Corrosion. NACE INTERNATIONAL Corrosion 2008 Conference And Expo, (08651), 1–17.
- Almahamedh, H. H., Mishra, B., Spear, J. R., Olson, D. L., & Williamson, C. (2011). Sulfate Reducing Bacteria Influenced Corrosion of Carbon Steel Nutrient Effects. *Corrososion 2011 Conference and Expo*, (Paper No. 11232), 1–14.
- Almahamedh, H. H., Spear, J. R., Olson, D. L., Williamson, C., & Mishra, B. (2011). Identification of Microorganisms and Their Effects on Corrosion of Carbon Steels Pipelines. In NACE INTERNATIONAL Corrosion 2011 Conference and Expo (pp. 1–11).
- Almeda, R., Harvey, T. E., Connelly, T. L., Baca, S., & Buskey, E. J. (2016). Influence of UVB radiation on the lethal and sublethal toxicity of dispersed crude oil to planktonic copepod nauplii. *Chemosphere*, 152, 446–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.02.129
- Anderson, M. J., & Whitcomb, P. J. (2013). DOE Simplified: Practical Tools for Effective Experimentation. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling (Vol. 53). https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Anuar, N., Mohd Adnan, A. F., Saat, N., Aziz, N., & Mat Taha, R. (2013). Optimization of extraction parameters by using response surface methodology, purification, and identification of anthocyanin pigments in melastoma malabathricum fruit. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/810547
- Ashassi-Sorkhabi, H., Moradi-Haghighi, M., Zarrini, G., & Javaherdashti, R. (2012). Corrosion behavior of carbon steel in the presence of two novel iron-oxidizing

bacteria isolated from sewage treatment plants. *Biodegradation*, 23(1), 69–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-011-9487-8

- Ashraf, M. A., Ullah, S., Ahmad, I., Qureshi, A. K., Balkhair, K. S., & Abdur Rehman, M. (2014). Green biocides, a promising technology: Current and future applications to industry and industrial processes. *Journal of the Science* of Food and Agriculture, 94(3), 388–403. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6371
- Bakar, M. A., Chong, Y. Y., Nasir, E., Din, A., Fui, C. C., Adamson, G., ... Valdez,
 R. (2011). EOR Evaluation for Baram Delta Operations Fields, Malaysia. SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference, (Paper ID: 144533), 1–10.
- Beavers, J. A., & Thompson, N. G. (2006). External Corrosion of Oil and Natural Gas Pipelines. ASM Handbook, Volume 13C, Corrosion: Environments and Industries, 13C, 1015–1026. https://doi.org/10.1361/asmhba0004213
- Beech, I., Bergel, A., Mollica, A., Flemming, H., Scotto, V., & Sand, W. (2000). Microbially Influenced Corrosion Of Industrial Materials. *Brite Euram Thematic Network On Mic Of Industrial Materials Task*, (September).
- Bennet, D. (2017). Oilfield Microbiology: Effective Evaluation of Biocide Chemicals, (October), 17–19.
- Bernardez, L. A., & Lima, L. R. P. D. A. (2015). Improved method for enumerating sulfate-reducing bacteria using optical density. *MethodsX*, *2*, 249–255.
- Bezerra, M. A., Santelli, R. E., Oliveira, E. P., Villar, L. S., & Escaleira, L. A. (2008). Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta*, 76(5), 965–977. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.05.019
- Bhola, S. M., Alabbas, F. M., Bhola, R., Spear, J. R., Mishra, B., Olson, D. L., & Kakpovbia, A. E. (2014). Neem extract as an inhibitor for biocorrosion influenced by sulfate reducing bacteria: A preliminary investigation. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 36, 92–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2013.09.015
- Boivin, J. (1995). Oil industry biocides. *Materials Performance*, *34*(2), 65–68. Retrieved from http://cat.inist.fr/?aModele=afficheN&cpsidt=3552090
- Budiea, A. M. A. (2015). Long Termprediction Of Pipeline Corrosion Under Tropical Seabed Sediment. Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

Cantor, A., Bushman, J., Glodoski, M., & Kiefer, E. (2006). Copper pipe failure by

microbiologically influenced corrosion. *Materials Performance*, (June). Retrieved from http://cat.inist.fr/?aModele=afficheN&cpsidt=17836731

- Chaves, I. a., & Melchers, R. E. (2011). Pitting corrosion in pipeline steel weld zones. *Corrosion Science*, 53(12), 4026–4032. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2011.08.005
- Ching, T. H., Yoza, B. A., Wang, R., Masutani, S., Donachie, S., Hihara, L., & Li, Q. X. (2016). Biodegradation of biodiesel and microbiologically induced corrosion of 1018 steel by Moniliella wahieum Y12. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 108, 122–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.11.027
- Christensen, J., & Linden, K. G. (2003). How particles affect UV light in the UV disinfection of unfiltered drinking water. *Journal / American Water Works Association*, 95(4), 179–189. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1551-8833.2003.tb10344.x
- Chun, S. Y., An, S. W., Lee, S. J., Kim, J. T., & Chang, S. W. (2014). Optimization of sulfamethoxazole degradation by TiO2/hydroxyapatite composite under ultraviolet irradiation using response surface methodology. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 31(6), 994–1001. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-014-0027-1
- Clark, J. B., Luppens, J. C., Co, P., Tucker, P. T., & Petru, P. (1984). Using Ultraviolet Radiation for Controlling Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Injection Water. 59th Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Texas, (Paper ID: 13245), 1–6.
- Clarke, S. (2006). Ultraviolet Light Disinfection in the Use of Individual Water Purification Devices. U.S Army Public Health Command, 1–12. https://doi.org/TIP #31-006-0211 ULTRAVIOLET
- Cord-Ruwisch, R., Widdel, F., & Konstanz, U. (1987). Sulfate Reducing Bacteria and Their Activities in Oil Production. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, (January), 97–106.
- Crane, B., Neal, G., & Warren, W. (2011). Improved Process Provides More Effective Ultraviolet Light Disinfection of Fracturing Fluids. SPE Americas E&P Health, Safety, and Environmental, (Maxwell 2005), 1–9.
- Cullimore, & Johnston. (2004). Inter-Relationship between Sulfate Reducing Bacteria Associated with Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion and Other Bacterial Communities in Wells. *NACE INTERNATIONAL Corrosion 2004*,

(Paper No 04588).

- de Carvalho, C. C. C. R. (2017). Biofilms: Microbial Strategies for Surviving UV Exposure, 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56017-5_19
- Dexter, S. C., & LaFontaine, J. P. (1998). Effect of Natural Marine Biofilms on Galvanic Corrosion. Corrosion, 54(11), 851–861. https://doi.org/10.5006/1.3284804
- Dong, Z. H., Liu, T., & Liu, H. F. (2011). Influence of EPS isolated from thermophilic sulphate-reducing bacteria on carbon steel corrosion. *Biofouling*, 27(5), 487–495. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2011.584369
- Donlan, R. M. (2002). Biofilms: microbial life on surfaces. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 8(9), 881–890. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0809.020063
- Dotson, A. D., Rodriguez, C. E., & Linden, K. G. (2012). UV disinfection implementation status in US water treatment plants. *Journal American Water Works* Association, 104(5), 318–324. https://doi.org/10.5942/jawwa.2012.104.0075
- Dunne, W. M. (2002). Bacterial adhesion: Seen any good biofilms lately? *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.15.2.155-166.2002
- Eckert, R. B., & Lund Skovhus, T. (2014). Practical Aspects of MIC Detection, Monitoring and Management in the Oil and Gas Industry Torben. *Corrosion* 2014, (Paper No. 3920).
- Edyvean, R. G. J. (1991). Hydrogen Sulphide - A Corrosive Metabolite, 27, 109– 120.
- Elmawgoud, H. a., Elshiekh, T. M., Khalil, S. a., Alsabagh, a. M., & Tawfik, M. (2015). Modeling of hydrogen sulfide removal from Petroleum production facilities using H2S scavenger. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, 24(2), 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2015.05.003
- Environmental Protection Agency. (1992). Ultraviolet radiation. *EPA Guidance Manual. Alternative Disinfectants and Oxidants*, (April 1999), 8.1-8.25.
- Forte Giacobone, A. F., Rodriguez, S. A., Burkart, A. L., & Pizarro, R. A. (2011). Microbiological induced corrosion of AA 6061 nuclear alloy in highly diluted media by Bacillus cereus RE 10. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 65(8), 1161–1168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2011.08.012
- Gates, F. L. (1929). A study of the bactericidal action of ultraviolet light. *Journal of General Physiology*, 231–260.

- Gloe, L., Neal, G., & Kleinwolterink, K. (2010). Ultraviolet Light Disinfection of Fracturing Fluids. *Proceedings of SPE International Health, Safety and Environmental Conference*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.2118/126851-MS
- Gomes, I. B., Malheiro, J., Mergulhão, F., Maillard, J. Y., & Simões, M. (2016). Comparison of the efficacy of natural-based and synthetic biocides to disinfect silicone and stainless steel surfaces. *Pathogens and Disease*, 74(4), ftw014. https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftw014
- Gomes, M. S., Das, M., Cardoso, G., Soares, M. J., Batista, L. R., Machado, S. M. F., ... Rodrigues, L. M. A. (2014). Use of Essential Oils of the Genus Citrus as Biocidal Agents. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 5(February), 299–305. https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2014.53041
- Grondin, E., Lefebvre, Y., Perreault, N., & Given, K. (1996). Strategies for the Effective Application of Microbiological Control to Aluminum Casting Cooling Systems (pp. 14–17 May). ET 96, Chicago, Illinois USA.
- Groysman, A. (2017). Corrosion problems and solutions in oil, gas, refining and petrochemical industry. *Koroze a Ochrana Materialu*, 61(3), 100–117. https://doi.org/10.1515/kom-2017-0013
- Gu, T. (2014). Theoretical modeling of the possibility of acid producing bacteria causing fast pitting biocorrosion. *Journal of Microbial & Biochemical Technology*, 06(02), 68–74. https://doi.org/10.4172/1948-5948.1000124
- Guiamet, P. S., Saravia, S. G. G. De, & Videla, H. A. (1999). An innovative method for preventing biocorrosion through microbial adhesion inhibition. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 43(1–2), 31–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-8305(98)00065-1
- Herrera, L. K., & Videla, H. A. (2009). Role of iron-reducing bacteria in corrosion and protection of carbon steel. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 63(7), 891–895. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2009.06.003
- Hwa, C. . (2012). Generation Of Ultraviolet: System Functions, Control And
Applications. Thesis, Bachelor of Engineering (Mechanical Manufacturing),
UniversitiUniversitiTeknologiMalaysia.

https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

Ismail, M. (2015). Influence Of Environmental Parameters On Microbiologically-Induced Corrosion Of Buried Pipeline. Thesis Master of Engineering (Structure), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

- Ismail, M., Noor, N. M., Yahaya, N., Abdullah, A., Rasol, R. M., & Rashid, A. S. a. (2014). Effect of pH and Temperature on Corrosion of Steel Subject to Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.3923/jest.2014.209.217
- Javaherdashti, R. (2004). Modeling microbiologically influenced corrosion of N-80 carbon steel by fuzzy calculus. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*, 35(7), 2051–2056. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-004-0153-1
- Javaherdashti, R. (2008). Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion An Engineering Insight. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Javaherdashti, R. (2011). Impact of sulphate-reducing bacteria on the performance of engineering materials. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 91(6), 1507– 1517. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3455-4
- Javed, M. A., Neil, W. C., Stoddart, P. R., & Wade, S. A. (2016). Influence of carbon steel grade on the initial attachment of bacteria and microbiologically influenced corrosion. *Biofouling*, 32(1), 109–122. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2015.1128528
- Kieu, T. Q. H., Nguyen, T. Y., Dang, T. Y., Nguyen, T. B., Vuong, T. N., & Horn, H. (2015). Optimization of sulfide production by an indigenous consortium of sulfate-reducing bacteria for the treatment of lead-contaminated wastewater. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, 38(10), 2003–2011. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-015-1441-4
- Krzywinski, M., & Altman, N. (2014). Visualizing samples with box plots. *Nature Methods*, *11*(2), 119–120. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2813
- Kumar, A., Prasad, B., & Mishra, I. M. (2008). Optimization of process parameters for acrylonitrile removal by a low-cost adsorbent using Box-Behnken design. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 150(1), 174–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.09.043
- Lane, R. A. (2005). Under the microscope: Understanding, detecting, and preventing microbiologically influenced corrosion. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention*, 5(5), 10–12. https://doi.org/10.1361/154770205X65891
- Lavania, M., Sarma, P. M., Mandal, A. K., Cheema, S., & Lai, B. (2011). Efficacy of natural biocide on control of microbial induced corrosion in oil pipelines mediated by Desulfovibrio vulgaris and Desulfovibrio gigas. *Journal of*

Environmental Sciences, 23(8), 1394–1402. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60549-9

- Lawal, O., Shannon, K., Gloe, L., King, K., Warren, W., Hargy, T., & Fong, F. (2010). Ultraviolet Disinfection Effectively Controls Oilfield Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. *IUVA News*, (September), 17–20.
- Lee, W., Lewandowski, Z., Nielsen, P. H., & Hamilton, W. A. (1995). Role of sulfate-reducing bacteria in corrosion of mild steel: A review. *Biofouling*, 8(3), 165–194. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927019509378271
- Lesikar, B., & O'Neill, C. (2014). Ultraviolet Light Disinfection. *The Texas A&M System Extension*, (AgriLife Communication).
- Li, H., Zhou, E., Zhang, D., Xu, D., Xia, J., Yang, C., ... Yang, K. (2016). Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion of 2707 Hyper-Duplex Stainless Steel by Marine Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilm. *Scientific Reports*, 6(February), 20190. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20190
- Licina, G. (2007). Monitoring Methods for MIC. *NACE INTERNATIONAL Corrosion 2007*, Paper No. 07336.
- Little, B. J., Lee, J. S., & Ray, R. I. (2006). Diagnosing Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion : A State-of-the-Art Review. *Corrosion*, 62(11), 1006–1017.
- Little, B., Wagner, P., & Mansfeld, F. (1992). An overview of microbiologically influenced corrosion. *Electrochimica Acta*, 37(12), 2185–2194. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(92)85110-7
- Little, Brenda J., & Lee, J. S. (2014). Microbiologically influenced corrosion: an update. *International Materials Reviews*, 59(7), 384–393. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743280414Y.0000000035
- Little, Brenda J, & Lee, J. S. (2009). *Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion* (Vol. Book Chapt).
- Lyles, C. N., Le, H. M., Beasley, W. H., McInerney, M. J., & Suflita, J. M. (2014). Anaerobic hydrocarbon and fatty acid metabolism by syntrophic bacteria and their impact on carbon steel corrosion. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5(APR), 1– 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00114
- Mansfeld, F. (2007). The interaction of bacteria and metal surfaces. *Electrochimica Acta*, 52(27 SPEC. ISS.), 7670–7680. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2007.05.006
- Maxwell, S., & Campbell, S. (2006). Monitoring the Mitigation of MIC Risk in

Pipelines. Corrosion NACExpo 2006, 61st Annual Conference & Exposition, (06662), 1–16.

- McIlwaine, D. B. (2005). Oilfield application for biocides. *Directory of Microbicides* for the Protection of Materials, 157–175. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-2818-0_10
- McKinney, C. W., & Pruden, A. (2012). Ultraviolet disinfection of antibiotic resistant bacteria and their antibiotic resistance genes in water and wastewater. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46, 13393–13400. https://doi.org/10.1021/es303652q
- Md Noor, N., Kar Sing, L., Yahaya, N., & Abdullah, A. (2011). Corrosion Study on X70-Carbon Steel Material Influenced by Soil Engineering Properties. *Advanced Materials Research*, 311–313, 875–880. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.311-313.875
- Melchers, R. E., & Jeffrey, R. J. (2008). Probabilistic models for steel corrosion loss and pitting of marine infrastructure. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 93(3), 423–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2006.12.006
- Mills, P., & Raymont, J. (2009). Ultraviolet (UV) Measurement for Formulators: PartI. *Paint and Coating Industry Magazine*, (Paint Coat. Ind. Mag.).
- Mishra, A., Kumar, S., & Kumar, S. (2008). Application of Box-Benhken experimental design for optimization of laccase production by Coriolus versicolor MTCC138 in solid-state fermentation. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 67(12), 1098–1107.
- Mohd Ali, Muhammad Khairool Fahmy;, Md. Noor, N., Yahaya, N., Abu Bakar, A., & Ismail, M. (2015). Effectiveness of Ultraviolet Light For Mitigating Risk of Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion in Steel Pipeline. *Jurnal Teknologi*, *4*, 27–32.
- Mohd Ali, Muhammad Khairool Fahmy. (2016). Effectiveness Of Hybrid Soliwave Technique In Mitigation Of Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion. *Thesis Master of Engineering (Structure), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.*
- Mohd Rasol, R., Md Noor, N., Yahaya, N., Abdullah, A., Abu Bakar, A., & A Rashid, A. S. (2013). Combination effects of ultrasound wave and biocide treatment on the growth of sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB). *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 52(April 2016), 1–10. https://doi.org/doi:10.1080/19443994.2013.855005

- Morshedi, D., Aliakbari, F., Tayaranian-Marvian, A., Fassihi, A., Pan-Montojo, F., & Pérez-Sánchez, H. (2015). Cuminaldehyde as the Major Component of Cuminum cyminum, a Natural Aldehyde with Inhibitory Effect on Alpha-Synuclein Fibrillation and Cytotoxicity. *Journal of Food Science*, 80(10), H2336–H2345. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13016
- Mudryk, Z. J., Podgórska, B., Ameryk, A., & BolaŁek, J. (2000). The occurrence and activity of sulphate-reducing bacteria in the bottom sediments of the Gulf of Gdańsk. *Oceanologia*, 42(1), 105–117.
- Muthukumar, N. (2014). Petroleum Products Transporting Pipeline Corrosion-A Review. In *The Role of Colloidal Systems in Environmental Protection* (pp. 527–571). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63283-8.00021-1
- Muthukumar, N., Rajasekar, a, Ponmariappan, S., Mohanan, S., Maruthamuthu, S., Muralidharan, S., ... Raghavan, M. (2003). Microbiologically influenced corrosion in petroleum product pipelines--a review. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, 41(9), 1012–1022.
- Muyzer, G., & Stams, A. J. M. (2008). The ecology and biotechnology of sulphatereducing bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6(6), 441–454. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1892
- Najib, T., Solgi, M., Farazmand, A., Heydarian, S. M., & Nasernejad, B. (2017). Optimization of sulfate removal by sulfate reducing bacteria using response surface methodology and heavy metal removal in a sulfidogenic UASB reactor. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 5(4), 3256–3265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.06.016
- Nan, L., Xu, D., Gu, T., Song, X., & Yang, K. (2015). Microbiological influenced corrosion resistance characteristics of a 304L-Cu stainless steel against Escherichia coli. *Materials Science & Engineering. C, Materials for Biological Applications*, 48, 228–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.12.004
- Narenkumar, J., Parthipan, P., Usha Raja Nanthini, A., Benelli, G., Murugan, K., & Rajasekar, A. (2017). Ginger extract as green biocide to control microbial corrosion of mild steel. *3 Biotech*, 7(2), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-017-0783-9
- Neideen, T., & Brasel, K. (2007). Understanding Statistical Tests, (414). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2007.02.001

Nemati, M., Jenneman, G. E., & Voordouw, G. (2001). Mechanistic study of

microbial control of hydrogen sulfide production in oil reservoirs. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 74(5), 424–434. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.1133

- Norhazilan, M. N., Nordin, Y., Lim, K. S., Siti, R. O., & Norhamimi, M. H. (2012). Relationship between Soil Properties and Corrosion of Carbon Steel, 8(3), 1739–1747.
- Okeniyi, J., Okpala, S., Omoniyi, O., Oladele, I., Ambrose, I., Menkiti, M., ... Popoola, A. (2013). Methods Of Astm G16 And Conflicts In Corrosion Test Data: Case Study Of Nano 2 Effectiveness On Steel-Rebar Corrosion, 7(3), 2589–2597.
- Olivares, G. Z. (2003). Sulfate Reducing Bacteria Influence on the Cathodic Protection of. *Water*, (03087), 1–19.
- Othman, S. R. (2015). Modelling Of External Corrosion Growth Of Steel Pipeline In Soil For Tropical Climate. *Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia*.
- Otter, J. A., Vickery, K., Walker, J. T., Pulcini, E., Stoodley, P., Goldenberg, S. D., ... Edgeworth, J. D. (2014). Susceptibility: Implications for Hospital Cleaning and Disinfection, 44(JANUARY).
- Rajala, P., Carpen, L., Vepsalainen, M., Raulio, M., Sohlberg, E., & Bomberg, M. (2015). Microbially induced corrosion of carbon steel in deep groundwater environment. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(June), 647. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00647
- Rasol, R. M., Yahaya, N., Noor, N., & Abdullah, A. (2014). Mitigation of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria (SRB), Desulfovibrio Vulgaris Using Low Frequency Ultrasound Radiation, 17(January).
- Rezaee, R., Maleki, A., Jafari, A., Mazloomi, S., Zandsalimi, Y., & Mahvi, A. H. (2014). Application of response surface methodology for optimization of natural organic matter degradation by UV/H2O2 advanced oxidation process. *Journal* of Environmental Health Science and Engineering, 12(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/2052-336X-12-67
- Rolfe, M. D., Rice, C. J., Lucchini, S., Pin, C., Thompson, A., Cameron, A. D. S., ... Hinton, J. C. D. (2012). Lag phase is a distinct growth phase that prepares bacteria for exponential growth and involves transient metal accumulation. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 194(3), 686–701. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.06112-11

- Rowzee, M. (2005). Disinfection by ultraviolet light. National Driller, Proquest Central, 26(9), pg. 29. Retrieved from http://www.lenntech.com/library/uv/will1.htm
- Sahrani, F. K., Ibrahim, Z., Yahya, A., & Aziz, M. (2008). Isolation and identification of marine sulphate-reducing bacteria, Desulfovibrio sp. and Citrobacter freundii from Pasir Gudang, Malaysia. Sains Malaysiana, 37(4), 365–371.
- Samsudin, N., Hashim, Y. Z. H. Y., Arifin, M. A., Mel, M., Salleh, H. M., Sopyan, I., & Jimat, D. N. (2017). Optimization of ultraviolet ozone treatment process for improvement of polycaprolactone (PCL) microcarrier performance. *Cytotechnology*, 69(4), 601–616. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10616-017-0071-x
- Sarioğlu, F., Javaherdashti, R., & Aksöz, N. (1997). Corrosion of a drilling pipe steel in an environment containing sulphate-reducing bacteria. *International Journal* of Pressure Vessels and Piping, 73(2), 127–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-0161(97)00022-7
- Schreier, W. J., Kubon, J., Clivio, P., Zinth, W., & Gilch, P. (2010). DNA photodamage: Study of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer formation in a locked thymine dinucleotide. *Spectroscopy*, 24(3–4), 309–316. https://doi.org/10.3233/SPE-2010-0437
- Sheng, X., Ting, Y. P., & Pehkonen, S. O. (2007). The influence of sulphatereducing bacteria biofilm on the corrosion of stainless steel AISI 316. *Corrosion Science*, 49(5), 2159–2176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2006.10.040
- Stanley, L., & Jones, B. (2004). Microbe-assisted external corrosion in oil and gas pipelines. Pipeline Worlds.
- T.Place, K. R. L. (2013). Managing Corrosion of Pipelines that Transport Crude Oils.
 NACE International, 52(5), 28–35. Retrieved from http://www.corrosioncenter.ohiou.edu/documents/Managing Corrosion of Pipelines that Transport Crude Oils - MP - May2013.pdf
- Thomson, F. (2003). Ultraviolet Light. In *Halma PLC, London, UK Copyright, Elsevier Science Ltd.* (pp. 5885–5889). Retrieved from https://www.boundless.com/physics/textbooks/boundless-physicstextbook/electromagnetic-waves-23/the-electromagnetic-spectrum-165/ultraviolet-light-596-11177/

Turkiewicz, A., Brzeszcz, J., & Kapusta, P. (2013). The application of biocides in the

oil and gas industry. Nafta-Gaz, 103-111.

- Unsal, T., Jia, R., Kumseranee, S., Punpruk, S., & Gu, T. (2019). Laboratory investigation of microbiologically influenced corrosion of carbon steel in hydrotest using enriched artificial seawater inoculated with an oilfield biofilm consortium. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 100(February), 544–555. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2019.02.053
- Verma, A., Bishnoi, N. R., & Gupta, A. (2017). Optimization study for Pb(II) and COD sequestration by consortium of sulphate-reducing bacteria. *Applied Water Science*, 7(5), 2309–2320. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-016-0402-7
- Videla, H. A. (2002). Prevention and control of biocorrosion. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 49(4), 259–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-8305(02)00053-7
- Videla, Héctor A. (2010). Biocorrosion and biofouling of metals and alloys of industrial usage. Present state of the art at the beginning of the new millennium. *Revista de Metalurgia*, 39(Extra), 256–264. https://doi.org/10.3989/revmetalm.2003.v39.iExtra.1128
- Videla, Hector A., Le Borgne, S., Panter, C., Raman, R. K. S., & Borgne, S. Le. (2008). MIC Of Steels By Iron Reducing Bacteria. NACE INTERNATIONAL Corrosion 2008 Conference And Expo, 08505(08505), 1–10.
- Wang, J., Yang, F., Yuan, X., Liu, B., Wu, H., & Sui, X. (2005). Successfully Sterilizing the Sulfate Bacteria With Ultraviolet Radiation in Produced- Water Treatment in Daqing Oilfield. *Asia Pacific Oil & Gas Conference and Exhibition*, (Paper No. SPE 93148), 1–5.
- Wolfe, R. L. (1990). Ultraviolet disinfixtion of potable water. *Environ. Sci. Technol*, 24(6).
- Xu, Dake;, & Gu, T. (2011). Bioenergetics Explains When and Why More Severe MIC Pitting by SRB Can Occur. Nace International Corrosion Conference and Expo 2011, Paper No.
- Xu, Dake, & Gu, T. (2014). Carbon source starvation triggered more aggressive corrosion against carbon steel by the Desulfovibrio vulgaris biofilm. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 91, 74–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.03.014
- Yuzwa, G. F. (1991). Corrosion by sulphate reducing bacteria. In ALBERTA Public Works, Supply & Services Property Management Operations Division Water

Treatment Co-ordinators' Meeting #14 Edmonton, Alberta.

- Zhang, C., Wen, F., & Cao, Y. (2011). Progress in research of corrosion and protection by sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 10(PART B), 1177–1182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2011.09.188
- Zhang, Y., Wu, W. J., Song, G. S., & Ahn, B. Y. (2015). Optimization of ultraviolet irradiate conditions for vitamin D2 synthesis in shitake mushrooms (Lentinula edodes) by using response surface methodology. *Journal of Applied Biological Chemistry*, 58(1), 25–29. https://doi.org/10.3839/jabc.2015.006