

ADSORPTION OF LIGNOSULFONATES ONTO CLAY MINERALS AND
THEIR EFFECTIVENESS AS A SACRIFICIAL AGENT

CHONG AIK SHYE

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ADSORPTION OF LIGNOSULFONATES ONTO CLAY MINERALS AND
THEIR EFFECTIVENESS AS A SACRIFICIAL AGENT

CHONG AIK SHYE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Muhammad A. Manan, for managing my project timeline, providing me with all required facilities and reviewing my thesis. Besides that, I would like to give thanks to my co supervisor Professor Emeritus Dr. Ahmad Kamal Bin Idris for his continuous support, kindness, inspiration, encouragement and valuable guidance during this PhD journey. Thanks, are also extended to Dr. Zainul Akmal Zakaria for trusted and granted me permission to do my experimental work in his laboratory without any charges. I also wish to express my appreciation to Encik Fairuz Mohamed, Roslan Jas, Encik Zulkifle Nasir and Puan Noorhafiza Mazalan, technical staff at Petroleum department for providing excellent assistance. Thanks to my friend Azad Anugerah and Tengku Amran Tengku Mohd for being there to give mentally support. Finally, the Doctorate support groups which available in facebook shared plenty of tips on how to survive in PhD, writing, viva and so on.

ABSTRACT

Surfactant adsorption on reservoir rock surface is a fundamental issue in surfactant based enhanced oil recovery. Reservoirs contain a significant amount of clays that results in large surface areas, thus causing a large portion of the surfactant to be adsorbed. Sacrificial agent (SA) is meant to be sacrificed, hence serving as a shield that protects the formation of rock by adsorbing into active adsorption site and prevents the subsequent surfactant to be adsorbed onto the surface. Despite the promising initial results, the suitability of numerous available types of lignosulfonate (LS) in the vast market as SA has yet to be investigated. Having that said, the objectives of this study are to determine the readiness of four LS types to adsorb onto clay minerals based on their functional groups, to investigate the adsorption capability and to define the effective method (mixture or pre-treatment), as well as to identify the underlying mechanism responsible for the effectiveness to reduce cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) adsorption. The most commonly used method to measure adsorption refers to the depletion method, where the concentration before and after adsorption are measured. Adsorption data obtained from the depletion method can be modelled into adsorption model to describe the adsorption process. Four types of LS, which are sodium LS (SLS), ammonium LS (ALS), magnesium LS (MLS), and calcium LS (CLS), were compared in terms of functional group, adsorption capability, and adsorption model to determine their readiness to adsorb onto kaolinite and montmorillonite. Different LS types, concentration, contact time, and model of adsorption were among the parameters tested with different brine salinity and pH. Both mixture and pre-treatment methods were investigated in depth to identify the underlying mechanism responsible to effectively reduce CTAB adsorption. A major finding from this study is that the functional groups in LS, such as (a) hydroxyl group in phenolic and aliphatic, (b) methyl and methylene, (c) aromatic, (d) sulfonic acids and stretching aliphatic, and (e) CH_x bending out plane, were involved in the adsorption process onto kaolinite and montmorillonite. The mechanism appeared to be driven by electrostatic forces. SLS displayed the highest readiness to adsorb onto kaolinite and montmorillonite, which adhered to the following sequence $\text{SLS} > \text{ALS} > \text{CLS} > \text{MLS}$. Higher LS concentration and salinity led to higher adsorption, especially with the change of monovalent salt to divalent salt. Nonetheless, pH had no impact on adsorption. This signifies that pH modification may be ignored when using LS as SA. Equilibrium and kinetic adsorptions adhered to the Freundlich model and the pseudo-second order, respectively. Electrostatic forces, cation- π interaction, hydrophobic interaction, and cation bridging had a crucial role in the adsorption mechanism of LS with kaolinite and montmorillonite. The SLS as SA had successfully reduced CTAB adsorption via pre-treatment method. The effective underlying mechanism revealed in this study is SLS as SA that displayed high adsorption readiness, along with cation bridging assistance from divalent salt and reversed surface charge. As high as 50% CTAB reduction was recorded in the experimental work. As such, this study concludes that SLS is suitable to function as SA to reduce cationic adsorption onto kaolinite and montmorillonite. Pre-treatment is an effective way to reduce CTAB adsorption.

ABSTRAK

Penjerapan surfaktan di permukaan batuan reservoir merupakan salah satu isu asas dalam penggunaan surfaktan bagi perolehan minyak tertingkat. Reservoir yang mengandungi kandungan lempung yang tinggi boleh menghasilkan keluasan permukaan batuan yang lebih besar yang menyebabkan sebahagian besar surfaktan terjerap. Ejen korban (SA) adalah bahan yang dijadikan korban, berpotensi melindungi batuan dengan menjerap ke permukaan aktif penjerapan dan berikutnya menghalang surfaktan dari menjerap ke permukaan batuan. Walaupun hasil kajian awal yang menyakinkan, kesesuaian pelbagai jenis lignosulfonat (LS) yang berada di pasaran sebagai SA masih belum disiasat. Oleh yang demikian, objektif penyelidikan ini adalah untuk menentukan kesediaan empat jenis LS untuk menjerap pada mineral lempung berdasarkan kumpulan fungsinya, untuk menyiasat keupayaan penjerapan dan untuk mengenalpastikan kaedah yang berkesan (campuran atau pra-rawatan) di samping mekanisme yang bertanggungjawab terhadap keberkesanan untuk mengurangkan penjerapan cetyl trimetil amonium bromida (CTAB). Kaedah yang paling lazim untuk mengukur penjerapan adalah kaedah susutan di mana kepekatan sebelum dan selepas penjerapan diukur. Data penjerapan yang diperolehi dapat dimodelkan ke dalam model penjerapan yang dapat menjelaskan proses penjerapan. Empat jenis LS iaitu sodium LS (SLS), amonium LS (ALS), magnesium LS (MLS) dan kalsium LS (CLS) dibandingkan dari segi kumpulan fungsi, keupayaan penjerapan dan model penjerapan untuk menentukan kesediaan penjerapan ke atas kaolinit dan montmorilonit. Jenis LS, kepekatan, masa sentuh dan model penjerapan LS adalah parameter yang diuji, di samping kepelbagaian pH dan kemasinan air garam. Kaedah campuran dan pra-campuran diteliti secara mendalam untuk mencari mekanisme yang bertanggungjawab untuk pengurangan penjerapan CTAB. Penemuan utama daripada kajian ini adalah didapati kumpulan fungsi dalam LS seperti (a) kumpulan hidroksil dalam fenolik dan alifatik, (b) metil dan metilena, (c) aromatik, (d) asid sulfonik dan alifatik regangan, dan (e) lenturan CH_x terlibat dalam proses penjerapan kaolinit dan montmorilonit. Mekanisme kelihatan didorong oleh daya elektrostatik. SLS mudah dijerap oleh kaolinit dan montmorilonit dan didapati mengikut urutan $\text{SLS} > \text{ALS} > \text{CLS} > \text{MLS}$. Kepekatan LS dan kemasinan yang lebih tinggi menghasilkan penjerapan yang lebih tinggi terutamanya semasa perubahan dari monovalen kepada divalen, manakala pengaruh pH tidak signifikan. Pengubahsuaian pH dapat diabaikan ketika menggunakan LS sebagai SA. Penjerapan adalah mengikut model Freundlich dan penjerapan kinetik mengikut urutan pseudo-kedua. Daya elektrostatik, interaksi kation- π , interaksi hidrofobik dan penghubung kation memainkan peranan penting dalam mekanisme penjerapan antara LS dengan kaolinit dan montmorilonit. SLS sebagai SA berjaya mengurangkan penjerapan CTAB dengan menggunakan kaedah pra-rawatan. Mekanisme berkesan yang didedahkan dalam kajian ini adalah SLS sebagai SA yang menunjukkan kesediaan penjerapan yang tinggi, bersama dengan bantuan penghubung kation dari garam divalen dan cas permukaan berbalik. Sebanyak 50% pengurangan CTAB dicatatkan dalam kajian ini. Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini menyimpulkan bahawa SLS sesuai berfungsi sebagai SA untuk mengurangkan penjerapan kationik pada kaolinit dan montmorilonit. Pra-rawatan adalah kaedah yang berkesan untuk mengurangkan penjerapan CTAB.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xxvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Problem Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	6
1.3	Research Objectives	7
1.4	Scope of the Study	8
1.5	Significance of the Study	10
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1	Overview of Problem Background	11
2.1.1	Why Clay Minerals?	11
2.1.2	Why Cationic Surfactant?	13
2.2	Adsorption on Solid-Liquid Interface	14
2.2.1	Clay Minerals	14
2.2.2	Type of Surfactants	19
2.2.3	Method to Measure Adsorption	19
2.2.4	Adsorption Model	21

2.3	Method to Prevent Surfactant Cationic Adsorption	27
2.3.1	Addition Salt of Multivalent Cations	27
2.4	Alternative Methods	28
2.4.1	Mixed Surfactant	28
2.4.2	New Surfactant from Tree Leaves	30
2.4.3	Surface Active Ionic Liquids (SAIL)	31
2.4.4	Sacrificial Agent (SA)	31
2.4.4.1	Polyelectrolyte	33
2.4.4.2	Lignin Based	35
2.5	Lignosulfonate Adsorption – Readiness to Adsorb	37
2.5.1	Effect of Lignosulfonate Type	37
2.5.2	Effect of Lignosulfonate Functional Group	38
2.5.3	Effect of Lignosulfonate Concentration	39
2.5.4	Effect of Salinity	41
2.5.5	Effect of Contact Time	43
2.5.6	Effect of pH	45
2.5.7	Adsorption Model	46
2.5.8	Summary of Lignosulfonate Adsorption	46
2.6	Lignosulfonate as Sacrificial Agent to Reduce Surfactant Adsorption – the Effectiveness as SA	49
2.6.1	Anionic and Mixture of Anionic/Nonionic	49
2.6.2	Method and effective mechanism of Lignosulfonate as Sacrificial Agent	50
2.6.2.1	Mixture	50
2.6.2.2	Pre-Treatment	52
2.7	Summary of Literature Review	55
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	57
3.1	Research Strategy	57
3.2	Materials	59
3.2.1	Adsorbents	60
3.2.1.1	Clay Minerals	60
3.2.2	Fluid System and Adsorbate	60

3.2.2.1	Deionised Water	60
3.2.2.2	Salt	60
3.2.2.3	Acid and Base	61
3.2.2.4	Surfactant	61
3.2.2.5	Lignosulfonate (LS)	61
3.3	Equipment and Apparatus	62
3.3.1	Equipment for Characterization of Clay Minerals	62
3.3.2	Equipment for Characterization of Solutions	63
3.3.3	Apparatus for Adsorption	63
3.4	Experimental Procedures and Setup	64
3.4.1	Characterization of Clay Minerals	64
3.4.1.1	Mineralogy Composition, Surface Area (BET), Functional Groups and Zeta Potential Charge of Kaolinite and Montmorillonite	64
3.4.2	Characterization of Lignosulfonate (LS)	65
3.4.2.1	LS Properties	65
3.4.2.2	Identification of Functional Groups	66
3.4.2.3	CAC Measurement	66
3.4.2.4	Calibration Curve	67
3.4.3	Lignosulfonate Adsorption onto Kaolinite and Montmorillonite	68
3.4.3.1	Functional Group	69
3.4.3.2	Adsorption Capability	69
3.4.4	Lignosulfonate Adsorption Model	70
3.4.5	Lignosulfonate as Sacrificial Agent to Reduce CTAB Adsorption	71
3.4.5.1	Lignosulfonate as Mixture	72
3.4.5.2	Lignosulfonate as Pre-Treatment	72
3.5	Summary of Methodology	73

CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	75
4.1	Characterization of Clay Minerals	75
4.1.1	Mineralogy Composition, Surface Area (BET), Functional Group and Zeta Potential Charge for Kaolinite	75
4.1.2	Mineralogy Composition, Surface Area (BET), Functional Group and Zeta Potential Charge for Montmorillonite	79
4.1.3	Summary on Clay Minerals Characterization	82
4.2	Characterization of Lignosulfonates	82
4.2.1	Properties and Functional Groups of Lignosulfonates	82
4.2.2	Critical Aggregation Concentrations (CAC)	84
4.2.3	Calibration Curves	86
4.2.4	Summary on LS Characterization	88
4.3	Static Adsorption of Lignosulfonate and Readiness to Adsorb onto Clay Minerals	88
4.3.1	Functional Group	88
4.3.1.1	Adsorption onto Kaolinite	89
4.3.1.2	Adsorption onto Montmorillonite	94
4.3.2	Adsorption Capability	100
4.3.2.1	Effect of LS Types	100
4.3.2.2	Effect of LS Concentration	104
4.3.2.3	Effect of Salinity	107
4.3.2.4	Effect of pH	116
4.3.2.5	Effect of Contact Time	119
4.3.2.6	Summary	124
4.3.3	Adsorption Model	124
4.3.3.1	Equilibrium Model	125
4.3.3.2	Kinetic Model	127
4.3.4	Proposed Adsorption Mechanism for Lignosulfonate Adsorption	132
4.4	Method of Lignosulfonate as Sacrificial Agent to Reduce CTAB Adsorption	134

4.4.1	Mixture	134
4.4.2	Pre-Treatment	139
4.4.3	Mixture versus Pre-Treatment	142
4.4.4	Schematic diagram for mixture and pre-treatment	144
4.5	Proposed Method for Effectively use of LS as Sacrificial Agent	145
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	149
5.1	Conclusions	149
5.2	Recommendations	150
REFERENCES		153
APPENDICES		165

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	XRD result on actual core samples from West Malaysia Oil Field (Source: Osman <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	12
Table 2.2	Effect of natural and synthetic surfactant on oil-water IFT (Source: Daglia Sofla <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	13
Table 2.3	Comparison between kaolinite and montmorillonite clay minerals	17
Table 2.4	Summary of surfactant type commonly used in EOR	20
Table 2.5	Selected adsorption studies using UV-Vis in depletion method	23
Table 2.6	Vibrational wavenumbers (cm^{-1}) assigned to SLS, ALS, CLS and MLS	38
Table 2.7	Summary of previous studies on lignosulfonate adsorption and as sacrificial agent in reducing surfactant adsorption	48
Table 2.8	Effect of ALS as SA on anionic CME adsorption onto Berea sandstone by Bonnie (1989)	54
Table 3.1	Characterization of basic properties measurement	57
Table 3.2	Adsorption experiment for lignosulfonate	58
Table 3.3	Adsorption of selected lignosulfonate as sacrificial agent	58
Table 3.4	List of materials used in the experimental work	62
Table 3.5	List of equipment/apparatus used in the experimental work	63
Table 3.6	Lignosulfonate concentrations for CAC, calibration curve, and static adsorption test	68
Table 3.7	CTAB concentrations for calibration curve and static adsorption test	68
Table 3.8	CTAB mixture with different concentration of selected LS for calibration curve and adsorption test	68
Table 4.1	Chemical composition of kaolinite based on XRF	76
Table 4.2	Zeta potential for kaolinite under influence of acid, neutral and alkali	78
Table 4.3	Chemical composition of montmorillonite based on XRF	80

Table 4.4	Zeta potential for montmorillonite under influence of acid, neutral and alkali	81
Table 4.5	Properties of lignosulfonates	83
Table 4.6	Critical aggregation concentration and conductivity value for LS	86
Table 4.7	Calibration curves for LS in different salinity	87
Table 4.8	LS isotherm for R_L and $1/n$ in monovalent and divalent	126
Table 4.9	Equilibrium adsorption model: Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin applied for the LS adsorption onto kaolinite	127
Table 4.10	Kinetics parameter for the adsorption of LS onto kaolinite at different salinity concentration	129
Table 4.11	Kinetics parameter for the adsorption of LS onto montmorillonite at different salinity concentration	130
Table 4.12	Calibration curve of CTAB at different concentration of SLS	134

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	(a) Silica sheets consist of SiO_4^{2-} –tetrahedra connected at three corners forming a hexagonal network in the same direction called tetrahedral sheets and (b) Octahedron consists of central cation (Al^{3+} , Fe^{2+} , Mg^{2+}) surrounded by 6 oxygens (or hydroxyls) (Source: Uddin, 2017)	15
Figure 2.2	Structure of (a) Kaolinite and (b) Montmorillonite (Source: Gopal and Sen, 2008)	15
Figure 2.3	Schematic representation of zeta potential (ζ) (Source: Chilingar and Mohammed Haroun, 2014)	18
Figure 2.4	Zeta potential (mV) versus electrolyte concentration for different minerals, (a) calcite, (b) dolomite, (c) quartz and (d) kaolin at 20°C (Source: Jian <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	18
Figure 2.5	(Left) Adsorption of anionic surfactant on positively charged surface, (middle) competitive adsorption of surfactant and species with low number of charges per molecule, (right) preferential adsorption of high-molecular weight polyelectrolyte over surfactant adsorption (ShamsiJazeyi <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	34
Figure 2.6	Lignin derivatives	36
Figure 2.7	Lignosulfonate molecular structure (Ouyang <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	36
Figure 2.8	CLS adsorption and desorption (mg/g) onto Berea sandstone versus CLS concentration (mg/L) by Bai <i>et al.</i> (2004)	39
Figure 2.9	CLS adsorption and desorption (mg/g) onto limestone versus CLS concentration (mg/L) by Bai and Grigg (2005)	40
Figure 2.10	CLS adsorption and desorption (mg/g) onto dolomite versus CLS concentration (mg/L) by Bai <i>et al.</i> (2009)	40
Figure 2.11	Effect of salt type and concentration on CLS adsorption onto limestone by Bai and Grigg (2005)	42
Figure 2.12	Effect of salt types and concentration on CLS adsorption onto dolomite by Bai <i>et al.</i> (2009)	42
Figure 2.13	Effect of ionic strength, brine concentration and temperature on CLS adsorption onto Berea sandstone by Grigg and Bai (2004)	43

Figure 2.14	Effect of adsorption time on CLS adsorption onto limestone by Bai and Grigg (2005)	44
Figure 2.15	Effect of adsorption time on CLS adsorption onto dolomite surface by Bai <i>et al.</i> (2009)	44
Figure 2.16	pH effect on CLS adsorption onto limestone by Bai and Grigg (2005)	45
Figure 2.17	Effect of CLS as SA on anionic CD adsorption onto sandstone versus time by Grigg <i>et al.</i> (2004)	51
Figure 2.18	Effect of CLS as SA on SDS adsorption density onto silica by Safarzadeh <i>et al.</i> (2011)	52
Figure 2.19	Effect of ALS on anionic petroleum sulfonate adsorption onto Berea sandstone by Hong <i>et al.</i> (1987)	54
Figure 2.20	Effect of CLS as SA on anionic CD adsorption onto limestones versus time by Bai <i>et al.</i> (2004)	55
Figure 3.1	Research workflow	59
Figure 4.1	Mineralogy of kaolinite based on XRD	76
Figure 4.2	Spectrum band of kaolinite based on FTIR-ATR	77
Figure 4.3	Zeta potential charge for kaolinite at 30 °C in (a) NaCl and (b) CaCl ₂	78
Figure 4.4	Mineralogy of montmorillonite based on XRD	79
Figure 4.5	Spectrum band of montmorillonite based on FTIR-ATR	80
Figure 4.6	Zeta potential for montmorillonite at 30°C in (a) NaCl and (b) CaCl ₂	81
Figure 4.7	Functional group of SLS, ALS, CLS and MLS based on FTIR-ATR	84
Figure 4.8	Absorbance versus Lignosulfonate concentration to identify the critical aggregation concentration for all lignosulfonate types (SLS, ALS, CLS and MLS)	85
Figure 4.9	Conductivity $\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$ versus Lignosulfonate concentration to determine the critical aggregation concentration for each lignosulfonate (SLS, ALS, CLS, MLS)	85
Figure 4.10	Spectrum analysis for kaolinite before and after LS adsorption	90
Figure 4.11	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of SLS onto kaolinite	91
Figure 4.12	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of ALS onto kaolinite	92

Figure 4.13	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of MLS onto kaolinite	93
Figure 4.14	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of CLS onto kaolinite	93
Figure 4.15	Spectrum for montmorillonite before and after LS adsorption	95
Figure 4.16	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of SLS onto montmorillonite	96
Figure 4.17	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of ALS onto montmorillonite	97
Figure 4.18	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of MLS onto montmorillonite	98
Figure 4.19	Spectrum analysis after the adsorption of CLS onto montmorillonite	99
Figure 4.20	Adsorption capability versus LS type onto kaolinite in NaCl, (a) 1 wt% and (b) 3 wt%	101
Figure 4.21	Adsorption capability versus LS type onto kaolinite in CaCl ₂ , (a) 0.1 wt% and (b) 0.5 wt%	101
Figure 4.22	Adsorption capability versus LS type onto montmorillonite in NaCl, (a) 1 wt% and (b) 3 wt%	103
Figure 4.23	Adsorption capability versus LS type onto montmorillonite in CaCl ₂ , (a) 0.1 wt% and (b) 0.5 wt%	103
Figure 4.24	Adsorption capability versus LS concentration in 1 wt% of NaCl onto kaolinite	106
Figure 4.25	Adsorption capability versus LS concentration in 0.1 wt% of CaCl ₂ onto kaolinite	106
Figure 4.26	Comparison of adsorption capability versus LS concentration between kaolinite with Berea (Grigg and Bai, 2004), limestones (Bai and Grigg, 2005) and dolomite (Bai <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	107
Figure 4.27	Lignosulfonate adsorption capability (mg/g) versus monovalent NaCl concentration (wt%) at 200 ppm fixed LS concentration onto kaolinite	108
Figure 4.28	Lignosulfonate adsorption capability (mg/g) versus divalent CaCl ₂ concentration (wt%) at 200 ppm fixed LS concentration onto kaolinite	108
Figure 4.29	Lignosulfonate adsorption capability (mg/g) versus monovalent NaCl concentration (wt%) at 200 ppm fixed LS concentration onto montmorillonite	109

Figure 4.30	Lignosulfonate adsorption capability (mg/g) versus divalent CaCl ₂ concentration (wt%) at 200 ppm fixed LS concentration onto montmorillonite	110
Figure 4.31	Comparison of adsorption capability (mg/g) versus NaCl concentration (wt%) onto montmorillonite with previous finding by Bai and Grigg (2005) onto limestone and Bai <i>et al.</i> (2009) dolomite	111
Figure 4.32	Comparison of adsorption capability (mg/g) versus CaCl ₂ (wt%) concentration onto montmorillonite with previous finding by Bai and Grigg (2005) onto limestone and Bai <i>et al.</i> (2009) dolomite	111
Figure 4.33	Lignosulfonate adsorption capability versus 1 wt% of NaCl and 0.1 wt% of CaCl ₂ onto kaolinite, (a) SLS, (b) ALS, (c) MLS and (d) CLS	113
Figure 4.34	Lignosulfonate adsorption capability versus 1 wt% of NaCl and 0.1 wt% of CaCl ₂ onto montmorillonite, (a) SLS, (b) ALS, (c) MLS and (d) CLS	114
Figure 4.35	Comparison of CLS adsorption capability (mg/g) versus salt concentration (wt%) onto kaolinite with previous finding by Grigg and Bai (2004) onto Berea sandstone	115
Figure 4.36	Adsorption capability versus different pH onto kaolinite with fixed concentration of 200 ppm in 1 wt% NaCl	116
Figure 4.37	Adsorption capability versus different pH onto kaolinite with fixed concentration of 200 ppm in 0.1 wt% CaCl ₂	117
Figure 4.38	Comparison of adsorption capability versus pH onto kaolinite fixed concentration of 200 ppm at 1 wt% NaCl and pH studies done by Bai and Grigg (2005) onto limestone	118
Figure 4.39	Comparison of adsorption capability versus pH onto kaolinite fixed concentration of 200 ppm at 0.1 wt% CaCl ₂ and pH studies done by Bai and Grigg (2005) onto limestone	118
Figure 4.40	Adsorption capability in a function of time with varying LS type in 1 wt% of NaCl onto kaolinite	120
Figure 4.41	Two regimes identified for SLS and MLS adsorption in 1 wt% of NaCl onto kaolinite explaining faster rate of adsorption	121
Figure 4.42	Three regimes identified for ALS and CLS adsorption in 1 wt% of NaCl onto kaolinite	121
Figure 4.43	Adsorption capability in a function of time with varying LS type in 3 wt% of NaCl onto kaolinite	122

Figure 4.44	Adsorption capability in a function of time with varying LS type in 0.1 wt% of CaCl ₂ onto kaolinite	122
Figure 4.45	Adsorption capability in a function of time with varying LS type in 3 wt% of NaCl onto montmorillonite	123
Figure 4.46	Adsorption capability in a function of time with varying LS type in 0.1 wt% of CaCl ₂ onto montmorillonite	123
Figure 4.47	Schematic illustration that proposes the mechanism for LS adsorption, (a) electrostatic repulsion of anionic LS and negatively charged surface, (b) increase in salt and shrinkage of LS chain to be closer to each other with plenty of cation binding available, and (c) cation- π and hydrophobic interaction reduced the distance of LS aromatic rings and kaolinite/montmorillonite surface, thus enhancing LS adsorption through cation bridging	133
Figure 4.48	CTAB adsorption versus mixture concentration (mixture of CTAB and 100 ppm SLS) onto kaolinite	135
Figure 4.49	CTAB adsorption versus CTAB concentration (mixture of CTAB and 500 ppm SLS) onto kaolinite	136
Figure 4.50	CTAB adsorption versus CTAB concentration (mixture of CTAB and 1000 ppm SLS) onto kaolinite	136
Figure 4.51	CTAB adsorption versus CTAB concentration (mixture of CTAB and 5000 ppm SLS) onto kaolinite	137
Figure 4.52	CTAB adsorption onto pre-treatment of kaolinite with 100 ppm of SLS versus CTAB concentration	140
Figure 4.53	CTAB adsorption onto pre-treatment of kaolinite with 500 ppm of SLS versus CTAB concentration	140
Figure 4.54	CTAB adsorption onto pre-treatment of kaolinite with 1000 ppm of SLS versus CTAB concentration	141
Figure 4.55	CTAB adsorption with and without SA as mixture and pre-treatment at 400 ppm CTAB and 1000 ppm of SLS as SA	143
Figure 4.56	LS as mixture in CTAB surfactant and negatively charged surface. (a) CTAB cationic surfactant, (b) LS was added into CTAB and made CTAB cationic surfactant more positive, (c) stronger positively charged surfactant encountered with negatively charged surface caused electrostatic attraction, thus increased the surfactant adsorption	144
Figure 4.57	LS as pre-treatment in cationic surfactant and negatively charged surface. (a) negatively charged surface, (b) contacted LS onto surface, LS adsorbed readily with the assistance of cation bridging from the divalent salt and	

- reversed the net surface charge by creating a layer, (c) cationic surfactant encountered the positively charge surface and caused electrostatic repulsion, hence reduced the surfactant adsorption 145
- Figure 4.58 Mixture as LS in anionic surfactant and negatively charged surface, (a) anionic surfactant, (b) LS was added into anionic surfactant and made anionic surfactant more negative, (c) stronger negatively charged surfactant encountered with negatively charged surface and caused electrostatic repulsion that reduced surfactant adsorption 146
- Figure 4.59 Mixture as LS in cationic surfactant and positively charged surface, (a) cationic surfactant, (b) LS was added into cationic surfactant and made cationic surfactant more positive, (c) stronger positively charged surfactant encountered with positively charged surface that caused electrostatic repulsion and reduced surfactant adsorption 146
- Figure 4.60 Pre-treatment as LS in negatively charged surface and cationic surfactant, (a) negatively charged surface, (b) contacted LS onto surface, LS adsorbed readily with the assistance of cation bridging from the divalent salt and reversed the net surface charge by creating a layer, (c) cationic surfactant encounter the positively charged surface and caused electrostatic repulsion that reduced surfactant adsorption 147
- Figure 4.61 Pre-treatment as LS in positively charged surface and anionic surfactant, (a) positively charged surface, (b) contacted SA onto surface, LS adsorbed readily and reversed the net surface charge by creating a layer, (c) anionic surfactant encountered the negatively charged surface and caused electrostatic repulsion that reduced surfactant adsorption 147

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	-	Absorbance
AES	-	Alkyl Ethoxy Sulfate
ALS	-	Ammonium Lignosulfonate
AOS	-	Alpha Olefin Sulfonate
ASP	-	Alkaline, Surfactant and Polymer
BCI	-	Hyamine
CAC	-	Critical Aggregation Concentration
CaCl ₂	-	Calcium Chloride
CD1045	-	Chaser 1045
CEC	-	Cation Exchange Capacity
CLS	-	Calcium Lignosulfonate
CMC	-	Critical Micelle Concentration
CME	-	Carboxymethylated Ethoxylated
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
Conc	-	Concentration
CTAB	-	Cetyltrimethylammonium Bromide
DTAB	-	Dodecyl Trimethyl Ammonium bromide
DPC	-	Dodecylpyridinium Chloride
EDL	-	Electrical Double Layer
EOR	-	Enhanced Oil Recovery
Exp	-	Experiment
FTIR	-	Fourier Transform Infrared- attenuated total Reflectance
IEP	-	Isoelectric Point
IFT	-	Interfacial Tension
IOS	-	Internal Olefin Sulfonate
LS	-	Lignosulfonate
m	-	Weight of solid
MLS	-	Magnesium Lignosulfonate
mg/g	-	milligram/gram
ml	-	millilitre

Na ₂ CO ₃	-	sodium carbonate
NaBO ₂	-	Sodium Metaborate
NaCl	-	Sodium Chloride
NaHCO ₃	-	Sodium Bicarbonate
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide
OOIP	-	Original Oil In Place
ORS	-	alkylbenzene sulfonate
PET	-	polyelectrolyte
PSS	-	Polystyrene Sulfonate
ppm	-	part per million
PV	-	Pore Volume
PZC	-	Point of Zero Charge
SA	-	Sacrificial Agent
SAG	-	Surfactant Alternative Gas injection
SDS	-	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
SDSH	-	Sodium Dihexylsulfosuccinate
SLS	-	Sodium Lignosulfonate
ST	-	Surface Tension
T	-	Tetrahedral
TX-100	-	Triton 100
UV-VIS	-	Ultraviolet visible
V	-	Volume
XRD	-	X-Ray Diffractometer
XRF	-	X-Ray Fluorescent

LIST OF SYMBOLS

q_e	-	Amount of surfactant/lignosulfonate solution adsorbed
C_o	-	Initial concentration
C_e	-	Equilibrium concentration
q_o	-	Langmuir constant signify adsorption capability
K_L	-	Langmuir constant signify energy of adsorption
K_f	-	Freundlich constant signify to adsorption capability
$1/n$	-	Freundlich constant signify adsorption intensity
B	-	Temkin constant related to distribution of bonding energies
K_t	-	Temkin constant related to distribution of bonding energies
t	-	time
q_t	-	Lignosulfonate adsorbs at time t
K_1	-	Constant rate pseudo-first order
K_2	-	Constant rate for pseudo-second order
h	-	Initial adsorption time
$t_{1/2}$	-	Half adsorption time
K_i	-	Constant diffusion ratio

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Summary of the depletion method	167
Appendix B	Surface charge	170
Appendix C	UV-Vis spectrum for LS	172
Appendix D	Calibration curve and regression curve for LS	176
Appendix E	Equilibrium adsorption of LS onto kaolinite	188
Appendix F	Kinetic adsorption of LS onto kaolinite	190
Appendix G	Kinetic adsorption of LS onto montmorillonite	196
Appendix H	UV-Vis spectrum for CTAB	202
Appendix I	Calibration curve for CTAB	202
Appendix J	Calibration curve for CTAB mix with SLS	203

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Background

Surfactants are applied mainly due to their remarkable ability to influence the properties of surfaces and interfaces. In surfactant-based enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods, trapped oil can be mobilised by reducing the interfacial tension (IFT) of oil-water interface and by altering the wettability of rock by using the injected surfactant solution (Reed & Healy, 1977). This technique has been proven to recover trapped oil that cannot be recovered via conventional method of water flooding (Hirasaki *et al.*, 2011; Sheng, 2015). Surfactant is also used as a foaming agent in CO₂ gas flooding to create stable foam, so as to minimize gas mobility in oil displacement (Bai, 2005; Syahputra *et al.*, 2000). Nonetheless, surfactant loss appears to be a major challenge in surfactant flooding (Kovalev *et al.*, 2016; Sedaralit *et al.*, 2015; Zhu, 2015; Zhu *et al.*, 2012).

Surfactant loss in reservoir stems from several mechanisms, including surfactant adsorption, surfactant precipitation, and surfactant entrapment (Somasundaran *et al.*, 1984; Novosad, 1984). Surfactant loss due to adsorption on solid surface has been a main issue in surfactant-based EOR (Saxena *et al.*, 2019; Wu *et al.*, 2016). In pilot field test for chemical flooding, especially in Alkali Surfactant flooding (AS), the role of alkali is to reduce surfactant adsorption. Alkali, such as sodium hydroxide (NaOH/caustic soda), sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃/soda ash), sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃), and sodium metaborate (NaBO₂), has been applied as chemical agent to decrease the adsorption of anionic surfactants on rocks (Hirasaki *et al.*, 2011; Sheng, 2013). Alkali increases the pH and the net negative surface charge, thus reducing the adsorption of anionic surfactants due to electrostatic repulsion (Hirasaki *et al.*, 2011). Nevertheless, this is limited to reservoirs with low salinity, as alkali is sensitive to divalent cations, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺, thus causing alkali to precipitate

and become ineffective. In high salinity environment, these multivalent ions can adsorb onto negatively charged rock surfaces and may reverse the sign of the surface charge (Austad *et al.*, 1991, Tabatabal *et al.*, 1993). Having that said, use of cationic surfactant leads to minimal adsorption due to electrostatic repulsion. Although inclusion of salt can reduce cationic adsorption, adsorption is still bound to happen (Nandwani *et al.*, 2019).

Limited laboratory studies have actually attempted to address the cationic surfactant adsorption by introducing new formulations that suit a particular reservoir condition (Ali & Reza, 2013; Barati *et al.*, 2015; Cui *et al.*, 2014; Iglauer *et al.*, 2010; Nandwani *et al.*, 2019, Zendehboudi *et al.*, 2013). However, it has always been impossible to identify a suitable surfactant for each unique reservoir condition to work as desired. As such, the term “sacrificial agent” (SA) was coined to minimize surfactant adsorption. Nevertheless, studies concerning SA has been in scarcity (Bai *et al.*, 2009, ShamsiJazeyi *et al.*, 2014, Weston *et al.*, 2014). The SA refers to a substance that can mitigate the adsorption of surfactants into a formation. The SA can adsorb on and occupy sites within the matrix of the formation, thus the ability to eliminate or substantially decrease the tendency for the subsequent injected surfactant in the micellar to adsorb on rock matrix. Additionally, SA is more cost effective than surfactant, easy to access with huge capacity, and environment-friendly. A range of chemicals has been tested as potential SA, including silicates, carbonates, inorganic salts (Falcone *et al.*, 1982), water-soluble polyelectrolytes (ShamsiJazeyi *et al.*, 2014), ethoxylated sulfonates, carboxylic acids, and lignin-based derivatives (Bonnie, 1989; Novosad, 1984). Although each has its own advantages over the other, some studies have suggested lignin-based derivatives as effective SA due to its renewable and sustainable property, environment-friendly, available commercially, and very affordable (Mu *et al.*, 2013; Norgren & Edlund, 2014; Suhas *et al.*, 2007; Watkins *et al.*, 2015).

The application of lignin as SA to enhance oil recovery has been practiced for less than five decades. Lignin is a natural polymer found in the cell wall of woody tree type and it is usually attained from discharge waste emitted from paper mills. The importance of lignin first drew attention when salt lignosulfonate (LS) as SA was

introduced. Novosad (1984) conducted an experiment using Berea sandstone cores to assess the effect of ammonium lignosulfonate (ALS) on oil production during surfactant flooding. The primary objective of the experiment was to prove that LS used as pre-flush could reduce anionic surfactant adsorption and increase oil recovery. However, the study outcomes showed that oil recovery without pre-flush was 79%, which was higher than oil recovery with ALS pre-flush at 68%. This indicated that ALS better served as mixture. Hong *et al.* (1987) evaluated ALS as SA using rock from Glenn Pool reservoir. In a laboratory test, unfortunately, no oil recovery experiment was conducted. The only result from the work was that ALS had successfully reduced anionic surfactant adsorption by 39%. Safarzadeh *et al.* (2011) examined the effect of calcium lignosulfonate (CLS) on surfactant alternative gas injection (SAG) and concluded that CLS added with surfactant can reduce anionic adsorption. Nevertheless, studies that have applied LS as SA to reduce surfactant adsorption are rather limited, thus constraining the discussion on which method and mechanism that dictate the effectiveness of LS as SA. Surfactant involved with the usage of SA is, thus far, constrained to anionic.

Rock type is another crucial factor in determining the successful application of LS as SA. Most rock types in the discussion of LS adsorption are limited to Berea sandstone and carbonate rock. For instance, Grigg and Bai (2004), Bai and Grigg (2005) and Bai *et al.* (2009) conducted static and dynamic experiments to assess the adsorption behaviour of CLS as SA onto Berea sandstone, limestone, and dolomite core. However, surfactant was excluded from the three studies. Furthermore, one cannot dismiss the potential effect of clay minerals on surfactant adsorption, such as the reservoirs in Malaysia (Kovalev *et al.*, 2016) and Sirikit oilfield in northern Thailand (Srisuriyachai *et al.*, 2019). Several core samples from West Malaysia oilfield revealed the presence of clay from 15% to 27% from the total rock mineralogy (Osman *et al.*, 2014), which contained high mineral of kaolinite. Clay minerals in rock can affect the adsorption in many ways, thus making the recovery process uneconomical (Gogoi, 2011). This limitation had led to this investigation.

Reduction of surfactant adsorption using LS as SA had been very much limited to anionic and non-ionic surfactants. Safarzadeh *et al.* (2011) reported on the use of CLS as SA, in which sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (anionic surfactant) adsorption decreased by 22%. In an experiment carried out by Bonnie (1989), which used mixed surfactants of anionic and non-ionic carboxymethylated ethoxylated (CME), a reduction of 69% surfactant adsorption was recorded when using LS as SA. Meanwhile, Hong *et al.* (1987), who used Petrostep 405 and 420 (mixed surfactants of anionic and non-ionic) with ALS as SA, reported reduction of more than 50% surfactant adsorption. The literature depicts that anionic surfactant is still preferred for sandstone reservoir due to the similar charges shared between the surfactant and the rock surface, thus the ability to automatically reduce surfactant adsorption by repulsion forces. Some conventional ways to optimise the surfactant flooding at a successful rate are by matching the charge of surfactant with the charge of rock surface. As such, anionic surfactant is more suitable for sandstones reservoir, while cationic surfactant in carbonate reservoir. To date, no study has used LS as SA to reduce cationic surfactant adsorption. The success of LS as SA in reducing cationic surfactant has a great impact on surfactant selection. More surfactant selection that varies from anionic and cationic can be an option with the presence of LS as SA. The method and the underlying mechanism responsible for the effectiveness of LS as SA can be comprehended for anionic and cationic surfactants.

The LS is the preferred material for SA due to its ability to dissolve in water. However, over the years, LS had been studied separately without any attempt to relate or compare with various LS types in terms of sacrificial use. For instance, Hong *et al.* (1987) examined ALS, Debon *et al.* (1991) studied sodium lignosulfonate (SLS), while Bai *et al.* (2009) and Safarzadeh *et al.* (2011) assessed CLS. In their report, the selection of LS for their research work was not mentioned. These clearly reveal a gap of knowledge, thus presenting an interesting area to explore. It is crucial to compare various LS readiness to adsorb in terms of adsorption ability, functional groups of LS involved in adsorption, and the adsorption mechanism model so that the behaviour of LS can be well studied and understood.

Other factors that can influence the adsorption are the environment and the condition of the reservoir system, such as salinity, temperature, and pH (Hu *et al.*, 2017; Li *et al.*, 2018; Saxena *et al.*, 2019). Grigg *et al.* (2004), for example, examined the effect of CLS adsorption onto Berea sandstone in the mixture of monovalent and divalent (5 wt% of NaCl and 5 wt% of CaCl₂), and single divalent (10 wt% of CaCl₂) indicated that CaCl₂ in CLS solution displayed greater influence on CLS adsorption. Similar finding with the same concentrations (0 wt%, 2 wt%, and 5 wt%) of NaCl and CaCl₂ solutions, which revealed that CaCl₂ exerted greater influence on CLS adsorption on limestone and dolomite, had been reported by Bai and Grigg (2005) and Bai *et al.* (2009). Nonetheless, no study has examined lower concentration of divalent and higher concentration of monovalent. As for temperature, most of the previous work showed that increased temperature had decreased the adsorption due to exothermic reaction (see Azam *et al.*, 2013; Saxena *et al.*, 2019; Yekeen *et al.*, 2017). Increment in temperature reduced the surface energy of the adsorbent. Therefore, adsorption has always been exothermic, except for non-ionic adsorption that has been reported as endothermic (Belhaj *et al.*, 2019; Khazri *et al.*, 2017; Tate, 1965). In Bai's work on the effect of temperature on CLS adsorption onto Berea sandstone and limestones, increased temperature from 30°C to 50°C has decreased CLS adsorption. Last but not least, the effect of pH on the adsorption highly depended on the type of surfactant, rock and salinity. Bera *et al.* (2013) reported that the influence of pH greatly relied on surfactant type. Generally, increased pH decreased the adsorption of anionic surfactant, but increased for cationic surfactant. As for non-ionic surfactant, the adsorption decreased up to pH 7 and remained constant at alkaline region. Hence, different types of LS must be examined to determine the role of pH.

For most of the adsorption investigation, depletion method seems reliable to measure the adsorption in both static and dynamic tests. Depletion method measures the concentration of a solution before and after contacted with solid (Salari & Ahmadi, 2016; ShamsiJazeyi *et al.*, 2014; Yekeen *et al.*, 2017). The results obtained from the depletion method have been discussed in light of adsorption behaviour through adsorption model. Bai *et al.* (2005) concluded that CLS adsorption onto Berea sandstone, limestone, and dolomite core adhered to the Freundlich isotherm, which explained multilayer adsorption on the surface. Qiu *et al.* (2009), on the other hand, found the adsorption isotherms to be Langmuir type for the adsorption of CLS on

titanium dioxide (TiO₂) particles. This shows that different solid surfaces generated different adsorption models despite the use of the same solution. Unfortunately, the adsorption model for LS in the literature seems to be limited to Berea sandstone, dolomite, and limestone. Additionally, the adsorption model is limited to CLS. Such limitation has motivated to continue research in this area.

1.2 Problem Statement

The readiness of LS to adsorb has not been investigated before, as there was no attempt to compare various types of LS. The underlying mechanism that is responsible for the effectiveness of LS as SA has not been fully understood. Studies on surfactant involved in LS as SA are limited to anionic and a mixture of anionic and non-ionic.

The LS was assessed previously without comparing with other types of LS, thus limiting the usage of LS as SA. Readiness of LS to adsorb in terms of functional group and adsorption capability was not studied. Both readiness and adsorption capability of LS as SA can provide meaningful insight on how well LS can serve as a shield to protect the rock surface from adsorption of subsequent injected surfactant.

The method of LS as an effective SA is a crucial element for reservoirs in order to determine the success of surfactant aided by flooding, whether by using as mixture or pre-treatment. The underlying mechanism of mixture or pre-treatment that explains how effectively SA works to reduce surfactant adsorption is crucial. However, prior studies related to LS as SA have omitted the aspects of when, why, and how LS can be used effectively as SA to reduce surfactant adsorption. Therefore, there is a pressing need to comprehensively understand the mechanism for LS as SA, as well as to address when and how to effectively use LS as SA.

The issue pertaining to the presence of clay that affects surfactant adsorption is indeed crucial in reservoirs across Malaysia with high clay content. Researchers have concluded that surfactant adsorption results are affected by the presence of clays typically found interbedded in the rock matrix. Previous studies on LS, however, are limited to Berea sandstone and carbonate rock. Past studies on LS as SA are also limited to anionic and a mixture of anionic and non-ionic.

1.3 Research Objectives

This study determined the readiness of LS adsorption onto clay minerals, apart from identifying the method and the underlying mechanism responsible for the effectiveness of LS as SA in reducing Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) cationic surfactant adsorption. The objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To determine the readiness of four lignosulfonate types to adsorb onto clay minerals based on their functional groups.
2. To investigate the adsorption capability in the function of lignosulfonates type, concentration, contact time, and model of adsorption onto clay minerals with different brine salinity and pH values.
3. To define the method (mixture or pre-treatment) and the underlying mechanism responsible for the effectiveness of the selected lignosulfonate to reduce CTAB adsorption.

1.4 Scope of the Study

In order to achieve the research objectives, the depletion method was used to measure static adsorption. Depletion method was also employed to determine the concentration of solution before and after the adsorption test. The difference reflects the adsorption density or the adsorption capability in unit mg/g. Adsorption capability refers to the quantity (mg) of adsorbate that can adhere to per gram of the adsorbent material. The higher is the quantity of adsorption capability for LS as SA, the greater is its readiness and efficiency to shield rock surface.

Four types of LS were selected for this study, namely CLS, magnesium LS (MLS), SLS, and ALS, due to their water-soluble characteristic, negative charge, and some of these LS types have been studied in isolation. Although the LS was received in powder form, it was examined in solution form by diluting in aqueous. The LS types and concentration were assessed. However, the size and the molecular weight of LS were dismissed. All LS types are available commercially. The readiness of LS and its adsorption mechanism onto clay minerals had been investigated in this study.

Kaolinite and montmorillonite were the clay minerals assessed in this study due to their high adsorption of CTAB. Kaolinite is found in abundance in Malaysia's reservoir, while montmorillonite is found the least. These negatively charged clay minerals and the positively charged CTAB can enhance CTAB adsorption onto clay minerals. The selected LS with the highest adsorption capability was mixed with CTAB to evaluate the adsorption of CTAB onto clay minerals. Apart from the mixture approach, the selected LS with the highest adsorption capability was pre-treated with clay minerals prior to CTAB encounter to assess CTAB adsorption.

Cationic CTAB was used as surfactant due to its availability and it is often used in experimental work. The CTAB can further reduce the IFT to low IFT. The advantages of using cationic surfactant over anionic surfactant are that cationic forms stable solutions in brine and wettability alteration. The adsorption of both negatively charged clay mineral and anionic surfactant can spontaneously be reduced by electrostatic repulsion. Studies have proven the success of LS as SA in reducing anionic surfactant. To the best of the author's knowledge, no study has reported LS as SA to reduce cationic surfactant.

Monovalent (NaCl) and divalent (CaCl_2) salts were used to test all adsorption experiments in static condition. The concentration ranged at 1-5 wt% for monovalent salt, while 0.1-1.0 wt% for divalent salt. Lower concentration of divalent salt and higher concentration of monovalent were purposely used to identify the effect of these salts. Acid and alkali regions (1-11) were studied for pH. Temperature was omitted as the universal trend shows that adsorption is reduced upon increment in temperature. All adsorption tests were conducted in aerobic environment.

Static adsorption test was performed to investigate the readiness of LS to adsorb onto clay minerals. Equilibrium and kinetic adsorption models were used to describe LS adsorption. The efficiency of LS as SA to reduce CTAB through mixture or pre-treatment was determined in static adsorption.

1.5 Significance of the Study

The significance of this study is described based on several aspects, such as material, empirical data, conceptual/theoretical, and practicality, as follows:

- (a) Material – This study assessed different types of LS and examined the readiness of LS adsorption onto clay. It is the first attempt to compare LS type, functional group, and adsorption capability onto clay minerals with different brine salinity, concentration, and pH values. This study not only evaluated the adsorption behaviour of different LS, but also comprehensively explored the mechanisms responsible for the effectiveness of LS as SA.
- (b) Empirical data – This study presents evidence based on experimental work to show the readiness of LS to adsorb onto clay minerals and the best method with effective underlying mechanism to reduce cationic adsorption using SA.
- (c) Conceptual/Theoretical – This study tested the compatibility in cationic surfactant that is directly link to other parameters and potentially enhanced the theory of LS as SA, which is limited to anionic surfactant in the past.
- (d) Practicality – The success of LS as SA in reducing both anionic and cationic surfactants at sandstone and carbonate reservoir conditions benefits the surfactant selection. Broader variety of surfactants can be selected with less worry on the adsorption.

REFERENCES

- Abdul, M., Azum, N., Asiri, A. M., Alfai, S. Y. M. and Alharthi, S. S. (2017) 'Interaction between antidepressant drug and anionic surfactant in low concentration range in aqueous/salt/urea solution: A conductometric and fluorometric study', *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 227, 1–14.
- Agrawal, A., Kaushik, N. and Biswas, S. (2014) 'Derivatives and applications of lignin—An Insight', *The SciTech Journal*, 1(07), 30–36.
- Ahmadi, M.A. and Shadzadeh, S.R. (2015) 'Experimental investigation of a natural surfactant adsorption on shale-sandstone reservoir rocks: Static and dynamic conditions', *Fuel*, 159, 15–26.
- Ahmed, A., Y. Chaker., EI H. Beelarbi., O. Abbas., J.N. Chotard., H.B. Abassi., A. Nguyen Van Nhien., M. El Hadri and S. Bresson. (2018) 'XRD and ATR/FTIR investigations of various montmorillonite clays modified by monocationic and dicationic imidazolium ionic liquids', *Journal of Molecular Structure*, 1173, 653–664.
- Ali, M. and Reza, S. (2013) 'Experimental investigation of adsorption of a new nonionic surfactant on carbonate minerals', *Fuel*, 104, 462–467.
- Amirianshoja, T., Junin, R., Idris, A.K and Rahmani, O. (2013) 'A comparative study of surfactant adsorption by clay minerals', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 101, 21-27.
- Arabloo, M., Ghazanfari, M.H. and Rashtchian, D. (2015) 'Spotlight on kinetic and equilibrium adsorption of a new surfactant onto sandstone minerals: A comparative study', *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 50, 12–23.
- Arel, H.Ş. (2017) 'The effect of lignosulfonates on concretes produced with cements of variable fineness and calcium aluminate content', *Construction and Building Materials*, 131, 347–360.
- Austad, T., Lovreide, T., Olsvik, K., Rolfsvfig, T.A., Staurland, G. (1991) 'Adsorption I . Competitive static adsorption of mixtures of ethoxylated surfactants onto kaolinite and quartz', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 6, 107–124

- Ayawei, N., Ebelegi, A.N. and Wankasi, D. (2017) 'Modelling and interpretation of adsorption isotherms', *Journal of Chemistry*, 1-12.
- Azam, M.R., Tan, I.M., Ismail, L., Mushtaq, M., Nadeem, M. and Sagir, M. (2013) 'Static adsorption of anionic surfactant onto crushed Berea sandstone', *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 3(3), 195–201.
- Baijun Bai (2005) *Sorption of surfactant and sacrificial agent used in CO₂ foam flooding*. Ph.D. Thesis, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology.
- Bai, B and Grigg, R.B. (2005) Kinetics and equilibria of calcium lignosulfonate adsorption and desorption onto limestone. *SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry*. 2-4 February. Houston, Texas: SPE, 1-11.
- Bai, B., Wu, Y. and Grigg, R.B. (2009) 'Adsorption and desorption kinetics and equilibrium of calcium lignosulfonate on dolomite porous media', *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 113(31), 13772–13779.
- Barati, A., Najafi, A., Daryasafar, A., Nadali, P. and Moslehi, H. (2016) 'Adsorption of a new nonionic surfactant on carbonate minerals in enhanced oil recovery : Experimental and modeling study', *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 5, 55–63.
- Belhaj, A.F., Elraies, K.A., Mahmood, S.M., Zulkifli, N.N., Akbari, S., Hussien and O.S.E. (2019) 'The effect of surfactant concentration, salinity, temperature, and pH on surfactant adsorption for chemical enhanced oil recovery: A review', *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 1-13.
- Bera, A., Kumar, T., Ojha, K. and Mandal, A. (2013) 'Adsorption of surfactants on sand surface in enhanced oil recovery: Isotherms, kinetics and thermodynamic studies', *Applied Surface Science*, 284, 87–99.
- Boeriu, C.G., Bravo, D., Gosselink, R.J.A. and Van Dam, J.E.G. (2004) 'Characterisation of structure-dependent functional properties of lignin with infrared spectroscopy', *Industrial Crops and Products*, 20(2), 205–218.
- Bonnie Gall. (1989) *Use of sacrificial agents to reduce carboxymethylated ethoxylated surfactant loss during chemical flooding*. Bartlesville, Oklahoma: National Energy Technology Laboratory National Petroleum Technology Office.
- Brigatti M.F., Galan E. and Theng B. K. G. (2006) 'Structures and minerology of clay minerals'. In *Handbook of Clay Science* . Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 19-86.

- Budhathoki, M., Barnee, S.H.R., Shiau, B.J. and Harwell, J.H. (2016) 'Improved oil recovery by reducing surfactant adsorption with polyelectrolyte in high saline brine', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 498, 66–73.
- Chilingar, G. V. and Mohammed Haroun. (2014). *Electrokinetics for Petroleum and Environmental Engineers*. Canada: Scrivener Publishing LLC.
- Christopher, B., Kuiwu, Liu and Oswald G. (2017) 'Diagenesis and reservoir properties of the Permian Ecca Group Sandstones and Mudrocks in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa', *Minerals*, 7, 88.
- Cui, L., Ma, K., Abdala, A. a., Lu, L.J., Tanakov, I., Biswal, S.L. and Hirasaki, G.J. (2014) Adsorption of a switchable cationic surfactant on natural carbonate minerals. *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*. 12-16 April, Tulsa, Oklahoma: SPE, 1–16.
- Daghlian Sofla, S.J., Sharifi, M. and Hemmati Sarapardeh, A. (2016) 'Toward mechanistic understanding of natural surfactant flooding in enhanced oil recovery processes: The role of salinity, surfactant concentration and rock type', *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 222, 632–639.
- Dahbag, M., AlQuraishi, A. and Benzagouta, M. (2015) 'Efficiency of ionic liquids for chemical enhanced oil recovery', *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 5(4), 353–361.
- Daoshan, L., Shouliang, L., Yi, L., & Demin, W. (2004) 'The effect of biosurfactant on the interfacial tension and adsorption loss of surfactant in ASP flooding', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 244(1–3), 53–60.
- Debons, F.E. and Whittington, L.E (1991) A novel lignin-based surfactant system for the Salem Unit. *66th Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 6-9 October. Dallas, TX: SPE, 511–521.
- Dimov N.K, V.L. Kolev, P.A. Kralchevsky, L.G. Lyutov, G. Broze and A. Mehreteab. (2002) 'Adsorption of ionic surfactants on solid particles determined by zeta-potential measurements: Competitive binding of counterions', *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 256(1), 23–32.
- Duarte-Silva, R., Villa-García, M.A., Rendueles, M. and Díaz, M. (2014) 'Structural, textural and protein adsorption properties of kaolinite and surface modified kaolinite adsorbents', *Applied Clay Science*, 90, 73–80.

- Ekosse Georges-IVO E. (2005) 'Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometry and X-ray powder diffractometry as complementary techniques in characterizing clay size fraction of kaolin', *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 9(2), 43-48.
- Falcone, J.S., JR., P.H. Krumrine and Schweiker, G.C. (1982) 'The use of inorganic sacrificial agents in combination with surfactants in enhanced oil recovery', *Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society*, 59(10), 826A-832A
- Gbadamosi, A.O., Junin, R., Manan, M.A., Agi, A. and Yusuff, A.S. (2019) 'An overview of chemical enhanced oil recovery: Recent advances and prospects', *International Nano Letters*, 9(3), 171-202.
- Ge, Y., Li, D. and Li, Z. (2014) 'Effects of lignosulfonate structure on the surface activity and wettability to a hydrophobic powder', *BioResources*, 9(4), 7119–7127.
- Gogoi, S.B. (2011) 'Adsorption of a lignin-based surfactant on Nahorkatiya porous media', *Indian Chemical Engineer*, 52(4), 37-41.
- Gogoi, S.B. (2011) 'Adsorption-Desorption of surfactant for enhanced oil recovery', *Transport Porous Media*, 90, 589-604.
- Gopal, K., Sen, S. (2008) 'Adsorption of a few heavy metals on natural and modified kaolinite and montmorillonite : A review', *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 140, 114–131.
- Goring D.A.I. and Rezanowich A. (1960) 'The huggins viscosity coefficient for polyelectrolyte microgel', *Colloid Science*, 15(5), 472-482.
- Gosselink, R.J.A., De Jong, E., Guran, B. and Abächerli, A. (2004) 'Co-ordination network for lignin - Standardisation, production and applications adapted to market requirements (EUROLIGNIN)', *Industrial Crops and Products*, 20(2), 121–129.
- Grigg, R.B. and Bai, B. (2004) 'Calcium lignosulfonate adsorption and desorption on Berea sandstone', *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 279(1), 36–45.
- Grigg Reid B., Bai, B. and Liu, Y. (2004) Competitive adsorption of a hybrid surfactant system onto five minerals, berea sandstone and limestone. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 26-29 September. Texas, U.S.A: SPE, 1-12.

- Gueu, S., Yao, B.K. (2019) 'Physicochemical characterization of three natural clays used as adsorbent for the humic acid removal from aqueous solution', *Journal of Adsorption Science & Technology*, 37(1-2), 77-94.
- Guggenheim, S and R.T. Martin. (1995) 'Definition of clay and clay mineral. *The Mineralogical Society*', 30, 257-259.
- Guo, M.H., Wang, Y. and Liu, F.Y. (2010) 'Performance analysis of ammonium lignosulfonate/urea formaldehyde-free fiberboards' *Advanced Materials Research*, 113–116, 1774–1778.
- Hirasaki, G., Miller, C. and Puerto, M. (2011) 'Recent advances in surfactant EOR', *SPE Journal*, 16(4), 3–5.
- Hong S.A. and Bae, J.H. (1990) 'Field experiment of lignosulfonate preflushing for surfactant adsorption reduction', *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 467-474
- Hong, S.A., Bae, J.H. and Lewis, G.R. (1987) 'An evaluation of lignosulfonate as a sacrificial adsorbate in surfactant flooding', *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 17–27.
- Hu, X., Zhang, H. and Sun, Z. (2017) 'Adsorption of low concentration ceftazidime from aqueous solutions using impregnated activated carbon promoted by Iron, Copper and Aluminum', *Applied Surface Science*, 392, 332–341.
- Huang, Z., Yan, Z. and Gu, T. (1989) 'Mixed adsorption of cationic and anionic surfactants from aqueous solution on silica gel', *Colloids and Surfaces*, 36(3), 353-358.
- Iglauer, S., Wu, Y., Shuler, P., Tang, Y. and Goddard, W.A. (2010) 'New surfactant classes for enhanced oil recovery and their tertiary oil recovery potential', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 71(1-2),23-29.
- Jian, G., Puerto, M., Wehowsky, A., Miller, C., George, J., Biswal, S.L. (2017) 'Characterizing adsorption of associating surfactants on carbonates surface', *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 513, 684-692.
- Kalfoglw, I.G. Lignosulfonates as sacrificial agents in oil recovery processes. U.S. Patent 4,196,777. 1980.
- Kamal, M.S., Hussein, I.A and Abdullah, S.S. (2017) 'Review on surfactant flooding: Phase behavior, retention, IFT and field applications', *Energy Fuels*, 31, 8, 7707-7720.

- Khang, V.C., Korovkin, M. V. and Ananyeva, L.G. (2016) ‘Identification of clay minerals in reservoir rocks by FTIR spectroscopy’, *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 43(1), 4–8.
- Khazri, H., Ghorbel-abid, I., Kalfat, R. and Trabelsi-Ayadi, M. (2017) ‘Removal of ibuprofen, naproxen and carbamazepine in aqueous solution onto natural clay: equilibrium, kinetics, and thermodynamic study’, *Applied Water Science*, 7(6), 3031–3040.
- Klapiszewski, L., Jamrozik, A., Strzemiecka, B., Matykiewicz, D., Voelkel, A. and Jesionowski, T. (2017) ‘Activation of magnesium lignosulfonate and kraft lignin: Influence on the properties of phenolic resin-based composites for potential applications in abrasive materials’, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18(6), 1–19.
- Klapiszewski, L., Zietek, J., Ciesielczyk, F., Siwinska-Stefanska, K. and Jesionowski, T. (2018) ‘Magnesium silicate conjugated with calcium lignosulfonate: In situ synthesis and comprehensive physicochemical evaluations’, *Physicochemical Problems of Mineral Processing*, 54(3), 793–802.
- Konicki, W., Aleksandrak, M., Moszyński, D. and Mijowska, E. (2017) ‘Adsorption of anionic azo-dyes from aqueous solutions onto graphene oxide : Equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic studies’, *Journal of Colloid And Interface Science*, 496, 188-200.
- Kovalev.K, B. Agarwal, R. Harris, and H. Jasper. (2016) North Sabah EOR ADP – Examining the Challenges of Offshore ASP Development. *SPE EOR Conference at Oil and GAS West Asia*. 21-23 March. Muscat, Oman: SPE, 1-15.
- Kuznesof Paul M. and M. Cecilia F. Toledo. (2007) *Calcium Lignosulfonate*. Switzerland: DSM Nutritional Products
- Lebo, S.E., Bråten, S.M., Fredheim, G.E., Lutnaes, B.F., Lauten, R.A., Myrvold, B.O. and McNally, T.J. (2009) *Recent Advances in the Characterization of Lignosulfonates*, in Thomas Q. Hu. *Characterization of Lignocellulosic Materials*. Oxford: Blackwell, pp.189–205.
- Li, H. Qin., Huang, G. He., An, C. Jiang and Zhang, W. Xiang. (2012) ‘Kinetic and equilibrium studies on the adsorption of calcium lignosulfonate from aqueous solution by coal fly ash’, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 200–202, 275–282.

- Li, K., Jing, X., He, S., Wei, B. (2016) ‘Static Adsorption and Retention of Viscoelastic Surfactant in Porous Media : EOR Implication’, *Energy Fuels*, 30 (11), 9089–9096.
- Li, W., Dai, C., Ouyang, J., Aziz, H., Tao, J., He, X. and Zhao, G. (2018) ‘Adsorption and retention behaviors of heterogeneous combination flooding system composed of dispersed particle gel and surfactant’, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 538, 250-261
- Li, Y., Zhang, W., Kong, B., Puerto, M., Bao, X., Sha, O., Shen, Z., Yang, Y., Liu, Y., Gu, S., Miller, C. and Hirasaki, G.J. (2014) ‘Mixtures of anionic-cationic surfactants: A new approach for enhanced oil recovery in low-salinity, high-temperature sandstone reservoir’, *SPE Journal*, 1–19.
- Li, Z., Schulz, L., Ackley, C., Fenske, N., 2010. ‘Adsorption of tetracycline on kaolinite with pH-dependent surface charges’, *Journal Colloid Interface Science*, 351, 254–260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2010.07.034>
- Liu, X., Lu, X., Wang, R., Jan, E. (2012) ‘Atomic scale structures of interfaces between kaolinite edges and water’, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 92, 233-242.
- Lu, J., Liyanage, P.J., Solairaj, S., Adkins, S., Arachchilage, G.P., Kim, D.H., Britton, C., Weerasooriya, U. and Pope, G.A. (2014) ‘New surfactant developments for chemical enhanced oil recovery’, *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 120, 94–101.
- Lv, W., Bazin, B., Ma, D., Liu, Q., Han, D., & Wu, K. (2011) ‘Static and dynamic adsorption of anionic and amphoteric surfactants with and without the presence of alkali’, *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 77(2), 209–218
- Martiz, A., Samaniego, S., Aray, Y. and Paredes, R. (2015) Synergism between ionic and nonionic surfactants for producing low interfacial tension at oil-water interface. *SPE Latin American and Caribbean Petroleum Engineering Conference*. 18-20 November. Quito, Ecuador: SPE, 1-17.
- Mohammad, A., Wade, W.H., and Weerasooriya, V. (1995) ‘Optimized microemulsions formulated with propoxylated guerbet alcohol and propoxylated tridecyl alcohol sodium sulfates’, *J. Dispersion Sci. Technol*, 16, 135– 155.
- Mu, W., Ben, H., Ragauskas, A. and Deng, Y. (2013) ‘Lignin Pyrolysis Components and Upgrading-Technology Review’, *Bioenergy Research*, 6(4), 1183–1204.

- Mubiayi, M.P. (2013) Characterisation of sandstones: Mineralogy and physical properties. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering*. 3-5 July. London, U.K:WCE, 2171–2176.
- Muherei, M.A. and Junin, R. (2008) ‘Mixing effect of anionic and nonionic surfactants on micellization, adsorption and partitioning of nonionic surfactant’, *Modern Applied Science*, 2(3), 3–12.
- Muherei, M.A., Junin, R. and Bin Merdhah, A.B. (2009) ‘Adsorption of sodium dodecyl sulfate, Triton X100 and their mixtures to shale and sandstone: A comparative study’, *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 67, 149–154.
- Nabipour, M., Ayatollahi, S., Keshavarz, P. (2017) ‘Application of different novel and newly designed commercial ionic liquids and surfactants for more oil recovery from an Iranian oil field’, *Journal Molecular Liquids*, 230, 579–588.
- Nandwani, S.K., Chakraborty, M., Gupta, S. (2019) ‘Adsorption of Surface Active Ionic Liquids on Different Rock Types under High Salinity Conditions’, *Sci. Rep.*, 1–16.
- Negin, C., Ali, S. and Xie, Q. (2017) ‘Most common surfactants employed in chemical enhanced oil recovery’, *Petroleum*, 3(2), 197–211.
- Ni, X., Li, Z. and Wang, Y. (2018) ‘Adsorption characteristics of anionic surfactant sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate on the surface of montmorillonite minerals’, *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 6, 1–10.
- Norgren, M. and Edlund, H. (2014) ‘Lignin: Recent advances and emerging applications’, *Current Opinion in Colloid and Interface Science*, 19(5), 409–416.
- Novosad J. (1984) ‘Laboratory evaluation of lignosulfonates as sacrificial adsorbates in surfactant flooding’, *Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 23(3), 24-28.
- Osman, A.M., Halim, N.H., Alwi, N., Sedaralit, M.F., Ibrahim, J.M., Hamid, P.A. and Ohen, H.A. (2014) Investigation of fine migration , clay swelling and injectivity problem during FAWAG study in West Malaysia Oil Field. *International Petroleum Technology Conference*. 10-12 December. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: IPTC, 1-13.
- Ouyang, X., Deng, Y., Qian, Y., Zhang, P. and Qiu, X. (2011) ‘Adsorption characteristics of lignosulfonates in salt-free and salt-added aqueous solutions’, *Biomacromolecules*, 12(9), 3313–3320.

- Paria, S. and Khilar, K.C. (2004) 'A review on experimental studies of surfactant adsorption at the hydrophilic solid-water interface', *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 110(3), 75–95.
- Park, S., Lee, E.S. and Sulaiman, W.R.W. (2015) 'Adsorption behaviors of surfactants for chemical flooding in enhanced oil recovery', *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 21, 1239–1245.
- Paulina, M.Mwangi and Dandlina, N.Rao. (2014) 'An Overview of Surfactants in Enhanced Oil Recovery' in Laurance S.Romsted. (ed.) *Surfactant Science and Technology*. Baco Raton FL:CRC Press, pg. 489-505.
- Pillai, P., Kumar, A., Mandal, A. (2018) 'Mechanistic studies of enhanced oil recovery by imidazolium-based ionic liquids as novel surfactants', *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 63, 262–274.
- Qiu, H., Lv, L., Pan, B., Zhang, Qing-Jian, Zhang, W. and Zhang, Quan-Xing. (2009) 'Critical review in adsorption kinetic models', *Zhejiang University Science A*, 10(5), 716–724.
- Qiu, X., Yan, M., Yang, D., Pang, Y. and Deng, Y. (2009) 'Effect of straight-chain alcohols on the physicochemical properties of calcium lignosulfonate', *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 338(1), 151–155.
- Qiu, X., Kong, Q., Zhou, M. and Yang, D. (2010) 'Aggregation behavior of sodium lignosulfonate in water solution', *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 114(48), 15857–15861.
- Rao, P. and He, M. (2006) 'Adsorption of anionic and nonionic surfactant mixtures from synthetic detergents on soils', *Chemosphere*, 63(7), 1214–1221.
- Reed, R.L. and Healy, R.N. (1977) Some physicochemical aspects of microemulsion flooding: A review, *Academic Press*, 383-347.
- Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., Sanchez-Martin, M.J. and Sanchez-Camazano, M. (2005) 'A comparative study of adsorption of an anionic and a non-ionic surfactant by soils based on physicochemical and mineralogical properties of soils', *Chemosphere*, 61(1), 56–64.
- Rosen, M.J. and Kunjappu, J.T. (2012) *Surfactants and Phenomena*. 4th edn. Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Rosen, M.J and Li, F. (2001) 'The adsorption of Gemini and conventional surfactants onto some solids and the removal of 2-Naphthol by the soil surfaces', *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 234, 418-424.

- Safarzadeh, M.A., Alireza, S., Nejad, T. and Sahraei, E. (2011) 'Experimental investigation of the effect of calcium lignosulfonate on adsorption phenomenon in surfactant alternative gas injection', *Journal of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering*, 45(2), 141–151.
- Sakthivel, S., Velusamy, S., Nair, V.C., Sharma, T., Sangwai, J.S. (2017) 'Interfacial tension of crude oil-water system with imidazolium and lactam-based ionic liquids and their evaluation for enhanced oil recovery under high saline environment', *Fuel*, 191, 239–250.
- Salari, Z. and Ahmadi, M.A. (2016) 'Experimental studies of ionic surfactant adsorption onto carbonate rocks', *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 38(4), 549–554.
- Sastry, N. V. and Dave, P.N. (1999) 'Adsorption behavior of surfactant-polyacrylamide mixtures with kaolin', *Journal of Surfactants and Detergents*, 2(4), 459–472.
- Saxena, N., Kumar, A. and Mandal, A. (2019) 'Adsorption analysis of natural anionic surfactant for enhanced oil recovery: The role of mineralogy, salinity, alkalinity and nanoparticles', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 173, 1264-1283.
- Schoonheydt R. A. and Johnston C. T. (2006) *Surface and interface chemistry of clay minerals*. In Handbook of Clay Science. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 87–114
- Sedaralit, M.F, Ghadami, N. and Arsanti, D. (2015) Uncertainty assessment of chemical EOR in one of the offshore fields in Malaysia. *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference*. 11-13August. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: SPE, 1-15.
- Shaikh, S. M. R., Nasser, M. S., Hussein, I., Benamor, A., Onaizi, S. A. and Qiblawey, H. (2017) 'Influence of polyelectrolytes and other polymer complexes on the flocculation and rheological behaviors of clay minerals: A comprehensive review', *Separation and Purification Technology*, 187, 137–161.
- ShamsiJazeyi, H., Verduzco, R. and Hirasaki, G.J. (2014) 'Reducing adsorption of anionic surfactant for enhanced oil recovery: Part I. Competitive Adsorption Mechanism', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 453, 162–167.
- Sheng, J.J. (2013) A Comprehensive review of Alkaline-Surfactant-Polymer (ASP) flooding. *SPE Western Regional & AAPG Pacific Section Meeting*. 19-25April. Monterey, California: SPE, 1-20.

- Sheng, J.J. (2015) Status of surfactant EOR technology. *Petroleum*, 1(2), 97–105.
- Shu Jiang (2012) *Clay minerals from the perspective of Oil and Gas Exploration*, in Marta, V and Grażyna S.M (eds.) *Clay minerals in nature- Their characterization, modification and application*. Croatia: Intech, pp 21-38.
- Shye, C.A., Manan, M.A. and Idris, A.K. (2019) ‘Influence of lignosulfonate types and electrolyte concentrations on the adsorption of lignosulfonate onto clay’, *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(2), 72–80.
- Somasundaran P, Celik M, Goyal A and Manev E. (1984) ‘The role of surfactant precipitation and redissolution in the adsorption of sulfonate on minerals’, *Society Petroleum Engineers of AIME*, (2), 233–239.
- Somasundaran, P. and Hanna, H. S. (1985) ‘Adsorption/desorption of sulfonates by reservoir rock minerals in solutions of varying sulfonate concentrations’, *Journal of Society Petroleum Engineers*, 25(3), 343–350.
- Somasundaran, P and Zhang, L. (2006) ‘Adsorption of surfactants on minerals for wettability control in improved oil recovery processes’, *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 52, 198-212.
- Srisuriyachai, F., Pancharoen, M., Laochamroonvorapongse, R. and Vathanapanich, Y. (2019) Reduction of surfactant adsorption: A study of dynamic surfactant adsorption/desorption in shaly-sandstone reservoir. *Proceedings of European Symposium on Improve Oil Recovery*. 8-11 April. Pau, France: European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers, 1-9.
- Suhas, Carrott, P.J.M. and Ribeiro Carrott, M.M.L. (2007) ‘Lignin - from natural adsorbent to activated carbon: A Review’, *Bioresource Technology*, 98(12), 2301–2312.
- Syahputra, A., Tsau, J.S. and B.Grigg, R. (2000) Laboratory evaluation of using lignosulfonate and surfactant mixture in CO₂ flooding. *Proceedings of SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*. 3-5 April. Tulsa, Oklahoma: SPE, 1-9.
- Tabatabal, A., Gonzalez, M. V, Harwell, J.H., Scamehorn, J.F. (1993) ‘Reducing Surfactant Adsorption in Carbonate Reservoirs’, *Journal of Society Petroleum Engineering*, 117–122.
- Tate, J.R., J.M Corkill and J.F. Goodman (1965) *Adsorption of non-ionic surface-active agents at the graphon / solution interface*. Newcastle upon Tyne, 12: Procter and Gamble Limited, Basic Research Dept.

- Tang, Q., Zhou, M., Yang, D. and Qiu, X. (2015) 'Effects of pH on aggregation behavior of sodium lignosulfonate (NaLS) in concentrated solutions', *Journal of Polymer Research*, 22(4), 1-10.
- Teng, X., Xu, H., Song, W., Shi, J., Xin, J., Hiscox, W. C. and Zhang, J. (2017) 'Preparation and properties of hydrogels based on pegylated lignosulfonate amine', *ACS Omega*, 2(1), 251–259.
- Tiraferrri, A., Maroni, P. and Borkovec, M. (2015) 'Adsorption of polyelectrolytes to like-charged substrates induced by multivalent counterions as exemplified by poly(styrene sulfonate) and silica', *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 17(16), 10348–10352.
- Torn, L.H., De Keizer, A., Koopal, L.K. and Lyklema, J. (2003) 'Mixed adsorption of poly(vinylpyrrolidone) and sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate on kaolinite', *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 260(1), 1–8.
- Uddin M.K (2017) 'A review on the adsorption of heavy metals by clay minerals, with special focus on the past decade', *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 308, 438-462.
- Upadhyaya, A., Acosta, E.J., Scamehorn, J.F. and Sabatini, D.A. (2007) 'Adsorption of anionic-cationic surfactant mixtures on metal oxide surfaces', *Journal of Surfactants and Detergents*, 10(4), 269–277.
- Vasconcelos I. F., Bunker B. A. and Cygan R. T. (2007) 'Molecular dynamics modeling of ion adsorption to the basal surfaces of kaolinite', *J. Phys. Chem. C* 111, 6753–6762.
- Wang, F. H. L. (1993) 'Effects of Reservoir Anaerobic, Reducing Conditions on Surfactant Retention in Chemical Flooding', *SPE Reservoir. Engineering*, 8 (02), 108–116.
- Wang, X., Jiang, C., Hou, B., Wang, Y., Hao, C., Wu, J. (2018) 'Chemosphere Carbon composite lignin-based adsorbents for the adsorption of dyes', *Chemosphere*, 206, 587–596.
- Wang, X., Lee, S.Y., Miller, K., Stocker, I., Clarke, S., Casford, M., Gutfreund, P., Skoda, M.W.A. (2013) 'Cation bridging studied by specular neutron reflection', *Langmuir American Chemical Society*, 29, 5520–5527.
- Warchol Mark P. and Walter W. Gale. Enhanced Oil Recovery Process Utilizing A Lignosulfonate as a solubilizing Agent in an Afterflush. U.S. 4,479,542. 1984.

- Watkins, D., Nuruddin, M., Hosur, M., Tcherbi-Narteh, A. and Jeelani, S. (2015) 'Extraction and characterization of lignin from different biomass resources', *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 4(1), 26–32.
- Weston, J.S., Harwell, J.H., Shiau, B.J. and Kabir, M. (2014) 'Disrupting admicelle formation and preventing surfactant adsorption on metal oxide surfaces using sacrificial polyelectrolytes', *Langmuir*, 30(22), 6384–6388.
- Wolela Ahmed. (2008) 'Contrast in clay mineralogy and their effect on reservoir properties in sandstone formations', *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop*, 22(1), 41–65.
- Wu, Y., Chen, W., Dai, C., Huang, Y., Li, H., Zhao, M., He, L. and Jiao, B. (2016) 'Reducing surfactant adsorption on rock by silica nanoparticles for enhanced oil recovery', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 153, 283–287
- Xiao, F., Zhang, X., Penn, L., Gulliver, J.S. and Simcik, M.F. (2011) 'Effects of monovalent cations on the competitive adsorption of perfluoroalkyl acids by kaolinite: Experimental studies and modeling', *Environmental Science and Technology*, 45(23), 10028–10035.
- Xu, H., Yu, G., Mu, X., Zhang, C., DeRoussel, P., Liu, C., Li, B. and Wang, H. (2015) 'Effect and characterization of sodium lignosulfonate on alkali pretreatment for enhancing enzymatic saccharification of corn stover', *Industrial Crops and Products*, 76, 638–646.
- Yan, M., Yang, D., Deng, Y., Chen, P., Zhou, H. and Qiu, X. (2010) 'Influence of pH on the behavior of lignosulfonate macromolecules in aqueous solution', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 371(1–3), 50–58.
- Yekeen, N., Manan, M.A., Idris, A.K. and Samin, A.M. (2017) 'Experimental investigation of minimization in surfactant adsorption and improvement in surfactant-foam stability in presence of silicon dioxide and aluminum oxide nanoparticles', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 159, 115–134.
- Yekeen, N., Manan, M.A., Idris, A.K. and Samin, A.M. (2017) 'Influence of surfactant and electrolyte concentrations on surfactant adsorption and foaming characteristics', *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 149, 612–622.
- Yu, H., Zhu, L. and Zhou, W. (2007) 'Enhanced desorption and biodegradation of phenanthrene in soil-water systems with the presence of anionic-nonionic mixed surfactants', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 142(1–2), 354–361

- Zendehboudi, S., Ahmadi, M.A., Rajabzadeh, A.R., Mahinpey, N. and Chatzis, I. (2013) 'Experimental study on adsorption of a new surfactant onto carbonate reservoir samples-application to EOR', *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 91(8), 1439–1449
- Zeng, X., Quaye, J. and Osseo-Asare, K. (2004) 'Partition of hematite in the Triton X-100/Dextran aqueous biphasic system', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 246(1–3), 135–145.
- Zhang, Q. and Chuang, K.T. (2001) 'Adsorption of organic pollutants from effluents of a Kraft pulp mill on activated carbon and polymer resin', *Advances in Environmental Research*, 5(3), 251–258.
- Zhang Q.Q., Zhang, B.-X. Cai, W.-J. Xu, H.-Z. Gang, J.-F. Liu, S.-Z. Yang, B.-Z. Mu. (2015) 'Novel zwitterionic surfactant derived from castor oil and its performance evaluation for oil recovery', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 483, 87–95.
- Zhang, X., Liu, H., Xing, H., Li, H., Hu, H., Li, A., Yao, H. (2017) 'Improved sodium adsorption by modified kaolinite at high temperature using intercalation-exfoliation method', *Fuel*, 191, 198–203.
- Zhu Youyi. (2015) Current developments and remaining challenges of chemical flooding EOR techniques in China. *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference*. 11-13 August. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: SPE, 1-18.
- Zhu, Y.Y., Jian, G.Q., Wang, Z., Lei, M. and Hou, Q.F. (2012) 'Development progress of surfactants for chemical combination flooding', *Advanced Materials Research*, 524–527, 1673–1680.