

GEOPHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF WEATHERED SEDIMENTARY  
ROCK MASS FOR SURFACE EXCAVATION PURPOSE

SITI NORSALKINI MOHD. AKIP TAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

School of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2020

## DEDICATION

In the name of Most Kind and Merciful Allah the Almighty

This thesis is specially dedicated to:

**My dearest parents, my pillar of strength, *Mohd Akip Tan Bin Abdullah and Sabariah Binti Yassin***, who gave me the world, support me all the way, endless love, belief and encouragement through the years.

**My beloved husband, *Mohd Azizi Bin Zailah***, for his love, faith, patience, support, understanding and for enduring the ups and downs during the completion of this thesis.

**My parents in law, *Zailah Bin Juraimi and Jumantan Binti Abd Manap***, for their love, supports and prayers, be it spiritually or physically.

**My daughter, *Nur Zulfa Natasha Binti Mohd Azizi***, for her love and understanding.

**My siblings, *Siti Norsalkila, Siti Norrasikin, Mohd Akmall Ariffin***, for their care and devotions.

**My respected supervisor, *Professor Dr. Hj. Edy Tonnizam Bin Mohamad***, for his expert guidance, motivation, support and persistent supervision throughout the journey.

**My co-supervisor, *Prof. Dr. Rosli Bin Saad***, for his continue encouragement, support and guidance.

**my families and friends**, for their care, prayers and supports.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With His blessing, I have finally completed this study. But this is not the end, this is just a beginning of my real journey. First and foremost, my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Hj. Edy Tonnizam Bin Mohamad, for his avid provision, enthusiastic support, and enlightening guidance throughout my research journey. Through his proficient guidance and great insights, I was able to overcome all the difficulties that I have encountered during this research. His rigorous approach to academic research, extensive and profundity of knowledge in geological engineering, have momentarily benefited not only for my research, but for my life journey as well. His support and encouragement for me has been greatly appreciated.

I am also very indebted to Professor Dr. Rosli Saad, for his guidance in the field of geophysics. His extensiveness knowledge snarled with the respected thoughts, given the opportunity to carry out the geophysical investigation. I am grateful for his encouragement and enthusiasm throughout the geophysical data collection, analysis and discussion for this study. Not to be forgotten, Dr Nordiana, for her kind supports and motivation. Also, for all geophysics team of USM, thanks for helping me out.

My sincere appreciation also extends to UTM geology laboratory staff, my postgraduate friends and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Just to name a few, Dr Firdaus, Suzana, Maria, Vynod and Nia. Thank you and good luck for your future undertakings.

Last of all, deepest appreciation and warm thanks to my beloved husband, Mohd Azizi bin Zailah, for every single thing he did for me. My parents, in laws, siblings, all the family members and dearest friends (Kak Echer, Aina, Azy, Elly, Fara, Fyza, Mas, Shaz, Syu, Sab and Tiqa) for their encouragement and prayers in all aspects of my life, which keep me going strong for this journey. There are no words that could be expressed to thanks everyone so loved to me.

## ABSTRACT

An accurate and reliable assessment of ground condition is one of the critical aspects in surface excavation work. This issue become more complex when dealing with heterogeneous ground material with various weathering stages. Seismic velocity and electrical resistivity method are among the common tools used to assist the understanding of the subsurface condition. This study aims to investigate the application of the seismic refraction and resistivity method together with the geotechnical assessment for the purpose of surface excavation work. The study was carried out at Iskandar Puteri, Johor namely Legoland (LEGO), SILC Site 1 (SILC 1), SILC Site 2 (SILC 2) and SILC Site 3 (SILC 3). The sites are underlain by a thick residual soil and interbedding of sandstone and shale from various weathering states. The geophysical surveys that were carried out on the same outcrops were then compared. The classification of rock mass was carried out by adopting Rock Mass Rating (RMR) and Q-system. The field results indicate RMR range from 0 to 69 and Q-value of 0 to 16.883 specifies weak to fair rock. Joint spacing was attained with value of 0 – 1.95 m. The laboratory tests were carried out on 144 – 156 samples for dry density, moisture content, point load test and slake durability. Point Load Strength ( $I_{s50}$ ) for the samples ranges from 0 – 6.889 MPa indicates very weak to strong rock. Laboratory evaluation indicates the rock quality deteriorates with increase of weathering. Trial excavation was carried out on 19 panels using Komatsu PC300 - 6. Four boreholes that were drilled then correlated with five resistivity and four seismic velocity profiles. Resistivity value for residual soil indicates value of less than 1000  $\Omega\text{m}$ . Meanwhile for slightly weathered sandstone and shale 1500  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 12000  $\Omega\text{m}$ , moderately weathered zone ranges from 370  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 5000  $\Omega\text{m}$ , highly weathered of 100  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 3000  $\Omega\text{m}$  and completely weathered with 30  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 2000 $\Omega\text{m}$ . Boulder was detected with resistivity value of 5000  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 12000  $\Omega\text{m}$ . Besides that, seismic velocity for residual soil shows value of less than 750 m/s, slightly weathered zone of 1500 m/s – 3000 m/s, highly weathered zone of 100 m/s – 2000 m/s and completely weathered zone with velocity of 500 m/s – 1500 m/s. Boulder was not able to be detected. Resistivity survey provide more reliable results in sensing lithology and saturated zone. Field assessment quantified that when RMR less than 40 and Q less than 1 is dominated by completely weathered shale is categorized as easy excavation ( $> 400 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ ). On the other hand, moderate excavatability ( $100 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} - 400 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ ) yielded when  $40 < \text{RMR} < 60$  and  $1 < Q < 10$  which consists of highly/moderately weathered sandstone/shale and completely weathered sandstone while hard excavation ( $< 100 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ ) was observed when  $60 < \text{RMR} < 70$  and  $10 < Q < 20$  which includes slightly weathered sandstone/shale. The result showed that both Q and RMR exhibit a trend of higher value of rating and commensurate with seismic and resistivity value. The findings of this study contributed the development in excavatability assessment by proposing the resistivity and seismic velocity index for interbedded sedimentary rock mass. The proposed scheme of resistivity and velocity index based on tropically weathered sedimentary rock mass with respect to excavation performance is significant advanced compared to existing assessment.

## ABSTRAK

Penilaian efektif berkaitan profil sub-permukaan bumi merupakan aspek kritikal dalam penilaian kerja pengorekan. Isu ini menjadi semakin kompleks dalam zon yang rencam tahap luluhawanya. Kaedah seismik dan keberintangan elektrik merupakan prosedur yang sering digunakan untuk memahami profil sub-permukaan. Kajian ini bertujuan menilai keupayaan kaedah geofizik sebagai salah satu cara penilaian sub-permukaan sebelum kerja pengorekan sebenar dilakukan. Kajian ini dijalankan di Iskandar Puteri, Johor, melibatkan empat tempat kajian iaitu Legoland (LEGO), SILC Site 1 (SILC1), SILC Site 2 (SILC 2) dan SILC Site 3 (SILC 3). Kawasan kajian diliputi oleh tanah baki dan batu pasir yang berselang-lapis dengan syal daripada tahap luluhawa yang berbeza. Survei geofizik dijalankan pada singkapan yang sama dimana pengelasan batuan dijalankan. Klasifikasi jasad batuan dikelaskan dengan 'Rock Mass Rating (RMR)' dan 'Q-system' mendapati nilai RMR berjulat 0 – 69 manakala Q ialah 0 hingga 16.883 menunjukkan batuan jenis lemah hingga kuat. Nilai jarak antara ketakselanjaran berjulat antara 0 – 1.95 m. Ujian makmal melibatkan 144 – 156 jumlah sampel untuk setiap ujian ketumpatan kering, kandungan kelembapan, kekuatan beban titik dan pemeroian batuan. Indeks titik beban ( $I_{s50}$ ) berjulat antara 0 ke 6.889 MPa menunjukkan tahap kekuatan berbeza. Penilaian di makmal menunjukkan kualiti batuan menurun apabila tahap luluhawa meningkat. Ujian pengorekan langsung menggunakan Komatsu PC300 - 6 dijalankan pada 19 panel. Lima profil keberintangan dan empat profil halaju seismik dikorelasikan dengan empat lubang bor. Nilai keberintangan tanah baki adalah kurang daripada 1000  $\Omega\text{m}$ . Manakala batu pasir dan syal terluluhawa sedikit menunjukkan julat 1500  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 12000  $\Omega\text{m}$ , batuan yang terluluhawa sederhana berjulat 370  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 5000  $\Omega\text{m}$ , terluluhawa tinggi adalah 100  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 3000  $\Omega\text{m}$  dan batuan yang terluluhawa lengkap menunjukkan nilai 30  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 2000  $\Omega\text{m}$ . Batu tongkol pula dapat dikesan dengan nilai 5000  $\Omega\text{m}$  – 12000  $\Omega\text{m}$ . Sementara itu, nilai halaju seismik bagi tanah baki adalah kurang daripada 750 m/s, batuan terluluhawa sedikit 1500 m/s – 3000 m/s, terluluhawa sederhana 500 m/s – 3000m/s, terluluhawa tinggi 100 m/s – 2000 m/s dan zon terluluhawa lengkap 500 m/s – 1500m/s. Batu tongkol tidak dapat dikesan melalui kaedah seismik ini. Survei keberintangan memperlihatkan hasil yang lebih baik dalam mengenalpasti litologi dan zon lembap. Penilaian di lapangan membuktikan bahawa RMR yang kurang daripada 40 dan Q kurang daripada 1 didominasi oleh tanah baki dan syal terluluhawa lengkap, dikategorikan sebagai pengorekan mudah ( $> 400 \text{ m}^3/\text{jam}$ ). Pengorekan sederhana ( $100 \text{ m}^3/\text{jam} - 400 \text{ m}^3/\text{jam}$ ) apabila  $40 < \text{RMR} < 60$  dan  $1 < Q < 10$  melibatkan batu pasir/syal yang terluluhawa tinggi/sederhana. Pengorekan sukar dicerap apabila  $60 < \text{RMR} < 70$  dan  $10 < Q < 20$  melibatkan batu pasir/syal yang terluluhawa sedikit. Hasil kajian ini menyumbang terhadap kemajuan penilaian kebolehkorekan dengan menambah nilai keberintangan dan halaju seismik pada batuan sedimen yang berselang-lapis. Skema nilai keberintangan dan halaju seismik yang dicadang berdasarkan tahap luluhawa batuan sedimen berluluhawa tropika dengan menilai prestasi pengorekan adalah signifikan berbanding dengan penilaian sedia ada.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xvii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxvi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Aim and Objectives	4
1.4	Research Scope	5
1.5	Novelty and Significant of Study	6
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Rock Mass Characterization	11
2.2.1	Tropical Weathering	13
2.2.2	Rock Mass Classification for Excavation Purpose	14
2.2.2.1	Rock Mass Rating (RMR)	15
2.2.2.2	Rock Mass Quality (Q-system)	16
2.2.3	Excavatability and Geomechanical Rock Mass Classification	17

2.3	Excavation Assessment	19
2.3.1	Factor Affecting Excavation	21
2.3.2	Existing Excavation Assessment	23
2.4	Geophysical Characterization	38
2.4.1	Electrical Resistivity Methods	39
2.4.1.1	Theory and Principles	40
2.4.1.2	Types of Array	43
2.4.1.3	Resistivity for Various Weathering Grade	46
2.4.2	Seismic Refraction Methods	46
2.4.2.1	Theory and Basic Principles	48
2.4.2.2	Seismic Velocity for Various Weathering Grade	52
2.4.3	Standard Penetration Test	53
2.4.4	Geophysical and Subsurface Properties	56
2.4.5	Geophysical Measurement and Excavatability Studies	61
2.5	Summary	66
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>69</b>
3.1	Introduction	69
3.2	Frameworks of Research Methodology	70
3.3	Desk Study	72
3.3.1	Site Identification	73
3.3.2	Legoland	74
3.3.3	SILC Site 1 (SILC1)	75
3.3.4	SILC Site 2 (SILC2)	77
3.3.5	SILC Site 3 (SILC3)	78
3.4	Field Works	80
3.4.1	Geological Field Mapping	81
3.4.1.1	Weathering Zone and Profiling	81
3.4.1.2	Discontinuities	85
3.4.2	In-situ Testing	87

3.4.2.1	Schmidt Hammer	87
3.4.3	Geophysical Method	89
3.4.3.1	Resistivity Survey	89
3.4.3.2	Seismic Refraction Survey	91
3.4.3.3	Geophysical Data Processing	93
3.4.4	Excavation Trial	95
3.5	Laboratory Works	96
3.5.1	Physical Properties	97
3.5.1.1	Dry Density	97
3.5.1.2	Moisture Content	99
3.5.2	Index Properties	100
3.5.2.1	Point Load Strength	100
3.5.2.2	Slake Durability Test	103
3.6	Summary	107
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>109</b>
4.1	Introduction	109
4.2	Legoland (LEGO)	110
4.2.1	Geological Field Mapping and Site Observation	111
4.2.2	Laboratory Results	117
4.2.2.1	Dry Density	117
4.2.2.2	Moisture Content	119
4.2.2.3	Point Load Strength	121
4.2.2.4	Slake Durability Index	123
4.2.2.5	Summary of Laboratory Index	126
4.2.3	Rock Mass Classification	128
4.2.4	Geophysical Survey	131
4.2.4.1	2D Resistivity Imaging	132
4.2.4.2	Seismic Refraction Method	135
4.2.5	Excavation Performance	137
4.2.6	Summary of Legoland	139



4.3	SILC1	147
4.3.1	Geological Field Mapping and Site Observation	147
4.3.2	Laboratory Results	153
4.3.2.1	Dry Density	153
4.3.2.2	Moisture Content	155
4.3.2.3	Point Load Strength	157
4.3.2.4	Slake Durability Index	159
4.3.2.5	Summary of Laboratory Index	162
4.3.3	Rock Mass Classification	164
4.3.4	Geophysical Survey	168
4.3.4.1	2D Resistivity Survey	168
4.3.4.2	Seismic Refraction Method	171
4.3.5	Excavation Performance	174
4.3.6	Summary of SILC1	175
4.4	SILC2	185
4.4.1	Resistivity Line 1	187
4.4.2	Resistivity Line 2	190
4.4.3	Resistivity Line 3	193
4.4.4	Resistivity Line 4	196
4.4.5	Resistivity Line 5	199
4.4.6	Summary of SILC2	202
4.5	SILC 3	206
4.5.1	Seismic Velocity Line 1	207
4.5.2	Seismic Velocity Line 2	211
4.5.3	Seismic Velocity Line 3	214
4.5.4	Seismic Velocity Line 4	217
4.5.5	Summary of SILC3	221
4.6	Proposed Excavatability Classification	225
4.7	Concluding Remarks	242

<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>247</b>
5.1	Conclusions	247
5.2	Limitations and Recommendations	248
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>251</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>		<b>277</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of parameters considered for existing excavation assessment	25
Table 2.2	Summary of mechanical and index properties of gypsums, marls and mudstones (Singh <i>et al.</i> , 1987)	30
Table 2.3	Parameters in diggability index by Karpuz (1990)	31
Table 2.4	Diggability classification by Karpuz (1990)	32
Table 2.5	Rippability classification of rock (Basarir and Karpuz, 2004)	34
Table 2.6	Rippability classification of quartzite using D6 (165 HP) ripper dozer (Mohd For <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	35
Table 2.7	Proposed excavation index for sedimentary rock (EISR) by Liang <i>et al.</i> (2016)	37
Table 2.8	Summary of studied layers with estimated ground conditions by Ismail <i>et al.</i> (2018)	38
Table 2.9	Previous research on resistivity values and weathering grade	46
Table 2.10	P- and S- wave velocities of some rocks and other materials (McDowell <i>et al.</i> , 2002)	47
Table 2.11	Previous research on seismic velocity for various weathering grade	52
Table 2.12	Existing studies on relationship between geophysical method and SPT-N	55
Table 2.13	Classification of $V_p$ and SPT by Rose Nadia <i>et al.</i> (2016)	56
Table 2.14	Seismic wave velocity, $V_p$ with rock description (Laric and Robert, 1987)	58
Table 2.15	Relationship between weathering grade and seismic velocity (Forth and Platt-Higgins, 1981)	64
Table 2.16	Summary of test result (Edy Tonnizam <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	64
Table 3.1	Locations and coordinate of the study area	73
Table 3.2	ISRM suggestion for classification and description of rock masses (ISRM, 2007)	82

Table 3.3	Term and description of weathering stage (ISRM, 1981)	83
Table 3.4	Rock type and grain size of the study site	84
Table 3.5	List of equipment of 2D resistivity imaging method	90
Table 3.6	List of equipment of seismic refraction method	92
Table 3.7	Gamble's slake durability classification (Goodman, 1980)	104
Table 4.1	Geological field data of Legoland for onsite observation based on each panel weathering grade	115
Table 4.2	Dry density measurement for Legoland according to weathering state	118
Table 4.3	Quantification of moisture content by weathering state for Legoland	120
Table 4.4	Summary of point load index ( $I_{s50}$ ) measurement by weathering state in Legoland	122
Table 4.5	Quantification of weathering state by $Id_1$ for Legoland	124
Table 4.6	Quantification of weathering state by $Id_2$ for Legoland	125
Table 4.7	Summary of laboratory index test of Legoland (LEGO)	127
Table 4.8	RMR for Legoland	129
Table 4.9	Q-system for Legoland	130
Table 4.10	Summary of rock mass classification measurement at Legoland based on weathering grade	131
Table 4.11	Weathering grade and resistivity value of Legoland	133
Table 4.12	Classification of material and seismic velocity of Legoland	137
Table 4.13	Trial excavation of Legoland (LEGO) by weathering grade	138
Table 4.14	Summary of Legoland measurement	140
Table 4.15	Geological field data of SILC1 for onsite observation based on each panel weathering grade	151
Table 4.16	Dry density measurement for SILC1 based on various weathering grade	154
Table 4.17	Quantification of moisture content by weathering state for SILC1	156
Table 4.18	Summary of point load index ( $I_{s50}$ ) measurement by weathering state in SILC1	158

Table 4.19	Quantification of weathering state by $Id_1$ for SILC1	160
Table 4.20	Quantification of weathering state by $Id_2$ for SILC1	161
Table 4.21	Summary of laboratory index test of SILC1	163
Table 4.22	RMR for SILC1	165
Table 4.23	Q-system for SILC1	166
Table 4.24	Summary of rock mass classification measurement at SILC1 based on weathering grade	167
Table 4.25	Weathering grade and resistivity value of SILC1	169
Table 4.26	Classification of material weathering grade and seismic velocity of SILC1	172
Table 4.27	Production rate of SILC1 by weathering grade	175
Table 4.28	Summary of SILC1 measurement	176
Table 4.29	The comparison between resistivity survey and seismic velocity on material analysis	184
Table 4.30	Resistivity data and borehole descriptions for BH1	189
Table 4.31	Resistivity data and borehole descriptions for BH2	192
Table 4.32	Resistivity data and borehole descriptions for BH3	195
Table 4.33	Resistivity data and borehole descriptions for BH3	198
Table 4.34	Resistivity data and borehole descriptions for BH4	201
Table 4.35	Summary of N value with resistivity ( $\Omega m$ )	204
Table 4.36	Seismic velocity and borehole descriptions for BH1	210
Table 4.37	Seismic velocity and borehole descriptions for BH2	212
Table 4.38	Seismic velocity and borehole descriptions for BH3	215
Table 4.39	Seismic velocity and borehole descriptions for BH4	220
Table 4.40	Summary of N value with seismic velocity	225
Table 4.41	Proposed classification for excavation performances in weathered sedimentary rock (Liang et al., 2016)	225
Table 4.42	Summary of joint spacing measurement with excavation performances for various weathering state of sandstone and shale	230
Table 4.43	The relationship of borehole SPT N-value with geophysical resistivity and seismic velocity	238

Table 4.44 Proposed excavation classification by means of geophysical and geological for various weathering state of sandstone and shale

240

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Classifications of weathered sedimentary rock mass (Ibrahim Komoo, 1995b)	12
Figure 2.2	Weathering classification for rock material and mass modified by Edy Tonnizam <i>et al.</i> (2007)	13
Figure 2.3	Excavation chart by Franklin <i>et al.</i> (1971)	26
Figure 2.4	Weaver's rating chart (1975)	27
Figure 2.5	The relationship of total rippability rating with seismic velocity and tractor selection (Smith, 1986)	29
Figure 2.6	Excavatability assessment chart of the rocks (Pettifer and Fookes 1994)	33
Figure 2.7	Rock quality classification in relation to excavation (Singh and Goel, 1999)	36
Figure 2.8	Electrical resistivity with relation of change in resistance ( $\delta R$ ), length ( $\delta L$ ) and cross sectional area ( $\delta A$ ) by Cardimona (2002)	41
Figure 2.9	A conventional four electrode array to measure the subsurface resistivity (Loke, 1999)	42
Figure 2.10	Current, $I$ is induced between paired electrodes $C_1$ and $C_2$ . Potential difference, $\Delta V$ between paired voltmeter electrodes, $P_1$ and $P_2$ is measured (Anderson <i>et al.</i> , 2008).	43
Figure 2.11	Common resistivity arrays with their geometric factor (Loke, 1999)	44
Figure 2.12	Schematic diagrams and sensitivity pattern for different electrode array in 2D resistivity survey (Dahlin and Zhou, 2004).	45
Figure 2.13	The forward and reverse pole-dipole array (Loke, 1999)	45
Figure 2.14	Generalized ray paths for seismic waves (Burger 1992)	48
Figure 2.15	Compressional and dilatation due to the ground particle motions (Bolt, 2001)	49
Figure 2.16	Particle motion is right angles to the direction of travel (Bolt, 2001)	50
Figure 2.17	Snell's law (Bengt, 1984)	51

Figure 2.18	Resistivity values for some common rocks (after Palacky, 1987)	57
Figure 2.19	Excavation assessment chart recommended by Caterpillar Tractor Co. for CAT D9 type dozer (Caterpillar Tractor Company, 2001)	62
Figure 2.20	Ranges of P-wave velocities and rippabilities in common rocks (Milsom. 2003)	63
Figure 3.1	Research flowchart	71
Figure 3.2	Location of study area (Ng <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	74
Figure 3.3	Study area located at Legoland (LEGO), Iskandar Puteri, Johor (Google earth, 2014)	75
Figure 3.4	The outcrop of Legoland site	75
Figure 3.5	Survey lines of SILC Site 1 with the nearest borehole location (Google earth, 2006)	76
Figure 3.6	The outcrop of SILC 1	77
Figure 3.7	The location of resistivity survey line on the study area (Google earth, 2006)	78
Figure 3.8	The location of seismic refraction survey line on the study area (Google earth, 2006)	79
Figure 3.9	The overview of SILC3 site : (A) The flatten area for new industrial building , (B) The palm oil plantation on the hilly area, (C) & (D) The site clearing overview for SILC3	79
Figure 3.10	Identification of rock by rock colour chart of Munsell (2009)	84
Figure 3.11	Scanlines for the measurement of discontinuities	85
Figure 3.12	Determination of orientation of discontinuity (dip angel and dip direction) by Brunton compass	87
Figure 3.13	The application of Schmidt hammer to measure the surface hardness	88
Figure 3.14	Equipments of 2D resistivity imaging method	90
Figure 3.15	The resistivity survey line of the selected area: (A) On the hilly area, (B) On the reclaimed area	91
Figure 3.16	Equipment of seismic refraction method	92
Figure 3.17	Seismic refraction survey at the study area	93
Figure 3.18	Example of pseudosection produced from RES2DINV	94



Figure 3.19	2D seismic refraction profiles developed in SeisOpt2D software	95
Figure 3.20	The onsite excavation work: (A) Drilling and excavation work for slope at Legoland, (B) The excavation of slope outcrop at SILC1, (C) The excavation of u-drain at SILC2, (D) The installation of blasting work in some hard material, (E) & (F) The mechanism of failure on the rock material	96
Figure 3.21	Regular and irregular geometry having a mass of at least 50g for four studied panels	98
Figure 3.22	The weighted sample before the drying process	100
Figure 3.23	Types of test specimen for point load testing with suggested portions limits (ISRM, 2007)	101
Figure 3.24	The dimensions for point load test hardened steel cones (ISRM, 2007)	102
Figure 3.25	Point load test (A) The apparatus set up with an irregular sample, (B) The failure mechanism of sample	103
Figure 3.26	Critical dimensions of slake durability test equipment (after ISRM, 1981)	105
Figure 3.27	Slake Durability Test apparatus	106
Figure 3.28	Samples used for slake durability: (A) before undergo slaking test, (B) after undergo slaking test	106
Figure 4.1	The lithology and weathering state of studied outcrop at Legoland (LEGO)	112
Figure 4.2	Range plot for dry density for various weathering state of sandstone and shale in Legoland	119
Figure 4.3	Range plot of moisture content versus weathering state for sandstone and shale in Legoland	121
Figure 4.4	Range plot for point load strength ( $Is_{50}$ ) for sandstone and shale by weathering grade in Legoland	123
Figure 4.5	Range plot of slake durability ( $Id_1$ ) for sandstone and shale with weathering state in Legoland	125
Figure 4.6	Range plot of slake durability ( $Id_2$ ) for sandstone and shale with weathering state in Legoland	126
Figure 4.7	Resistivity profile of Legoland	134
Figure 4.8	Seismic profile for Legoland	136
Figure 4.9	Relation between Q-system, RMR and excavation production	142

Figure 4.10	Correlation between resistivity with production performance for Legoland	145
Figure 4.11	Relationship of seismic velocity with production performance in Legoland	146
Figure 4.12	The lithology and weathering state of studied outcrop at SILC1	148
Figure 4.13	Range plot for dry density for various weathering state of sandstone and shale in SILC1	155
Figure 4.14	Range plot of moisture content versus weathering state for sandstone and shale in SILC1	157
Figure 4.15	Range plot of point load strength ( $I_{s50}$ ) versus weathering state for sandstone and shale in SILC	159
Figure 4.16	Range plot of slake durability ( $I_{d1}$ ) for sandstone and shale with weathering state in SILC1	161
Figure 4.17	Range plot of slake durability ( $I_{d2}$ ) for sandstone and shale with weathering state in SILC1	162
Figure 4.18	Resistivity profile for SILC1	170
Figure 4.19	Seismic profile for SILC1	173
Figure 4.20	Relationship between Q-system, RMR and excavation production for SILC1	178
Figure 4.21	Correlation between resistivity with excavation production for SILC1	182
Figure 4.22	Correlation between seismic velocity with excavation production for SILC1	183
Figure 4.23	Location of boreholes and survey line in SILC2 (Google Earth)	186
Figure 4.24	Inversion resistivity model for L1 and BH1	188
Figure 4.25	SPT N-value and resistivity ( $\Omega m$ ) versus depth for BH1 at L1	189
Figure 4.26	Inversion resistivity model for L2 and BH2	191
Figure 4.27	SPT N-value and Resistivity ( $\Omega m$ ) versus depth for BH2 at L2	192
Figure 4.28	Inversion model resistivity of resistivity L3 and BH3	194
Figure 4.29	SPT N-value and Resistivity ( $\Omega m$ ) versus depth (m) for BH3 at Resistivity Line 3	195
Figure 4.30	Inversion model resistivity of Line 4 with BH3	197

Figure 4.31	SPT N-value and Resistivity ( $\Omega\text{m}$ ) versus depth (m) for BH3 at L4	198
Figure 4.32	Inversion model resistivity of Line 5 and BH4	200
Figure 4.33	SPT N-value and Resistivity ( $\Omega\text{m}$ ) versus depth (m) for BH4 at SILC5	201
Figure 4.34	Correlation between Resistivity and N value	203
Figure 4.35	Resistivity between resistivity and SPT N for different N value	205
Figure 4.36	Location of boreholes and survey line (google earth)	207
Figure 4.37	Seismic velocity inversion model for L1 with BH1	209
Figure 4.38	SPT N-value versus seismic velocity (m/s) for BH1 at L1	211
Figure 4.39	Seismic velocity inversion model for L2 with BH2	213
Figure 4.40	SPT N-value versus seismic velocity (m/s) at L2 with BH2	214
Figure 4.41	Seismic velocity inversion model for L3 with BH3	216
Figure 4.42	SPT N-value versus seismic velocity (m/s) for BH3 at L3	217
Figure 4.43	Seismic velocity inversion model for L4 with BH4	219
Figure 4.44	SPT N-value versus Seismic Velocity (m/s) for BH4 at L4	220
Figure 4.45	Correlation between seismic velocity and N value.	221
Figure 4.46	Relationship between seismic velocity and SPT N for different N value	224
Figure 4.47	The correlation of RMR and electrical resistivity on studied sites justify using Ryu <i>et al.</i> (2011)	232
Figure 4.48	The correlation of Q and electrical resistivity on studied sites justify using Ryu <i>et al.</i> (2011)	233
Figure 4.49	The correlation for RMR and seismic velocity for studied sites	234
Figure 4.50	The correlation for Q-value and seismic velocity for studied sites	235
Figure 4.51	The comparison of seismic velocity for studied sites with Caterpillar chart (2007)	236
Figure 4.52	The comparison of electrical resistivity for studied sites with Loke (2007) resistivity value	237

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2-D	-	Two-Dimensional
3-D	-	Three-Dimensional
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BHP	-	Brake Horse Power
CBR	-	California bearing ratio
DC	-	Direct current
EISR	-	Excavation Index for Sedimentary Rock
GPR	-	Ground Penetrating Radar
HP	-	Horse Power
ISRM	-	International Society for Rock Mechanics
JKR	-	Jabatan Kerja Raya
JMG	-	Jabatan Mineral & Geosains
Lbs	-	Pound
LEGO	-	Legoland
P-wave	-	Primary Wave
Q-system	-	Rock Mass Quality
Qr	-	Field Production Rate
RM	-	Ringgit Malaysia
RMR	-	Rock Mass Rating
RQD	-	Rock Quality Designation
RR	-	Rippability Rating
S-wave	-	Secondary Wave
SAS	-	Signal Averaging System
SE	-	Specific Energy
SH	-	Schmidt Hammer
SiLC	-	Southern industry Logistic Cluster
SILC 1	-	SILC Site 1
SILC 2	-	SILC Site 2
SILC 3	-	SILC Site 3
SPT	-	Standard Penetration Test

SPT-N	-	Standard Penetration Test N-value
SV	-	Seismic velocity
UCS	-	Uniaxial Compressive Strength
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
Vs	-	Versus

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\alpha$	-	Alpha
$\rho_a$	-	Apparent resistivity
$\beta$	-	Beta
cm	-	Centimetre
$\delta_R$	-	Change in resistance
$\delta_A$	-	Cross sectional area
I	-	Current
$\theta_{ic}$	-	Critical angle of incidence
$^{\circ} C$	-	Degree Celcius
ft/s	-	Feet per second
$\theta_i$	-	Incidence angle
$R_{oj}$	-	Joint orientation
$R_{dj}$	-	Joint spacing
MPa	-	Megapascal
m	-	Metre
$m^3$	-	Metre cube
mm	-	Millimetre
$\Omega$	-	Ohm
%	-	Percentage
$I_s$	-	Point load strength index
$\Delta V$	-	Potential difference
$Q$	-	Practical excavation rate
$\theta_r$	-	Refracted angle
$\delta L$	-	Resistance length
R	-	Resistivity
s	-	Shear
$I_{d2}$	-	Slake durability second cycle
$C_1$ and $C_2$	-	Two current electrodes
$P_1$ and $P_2$	-	Two potential electrodes
p	-	Value of compressional

$V_p$	-	Velocity of P-waves / Seismic wave velocity
$V_s$	-	Velocity of S-waves
$V_1$	-	Velocity of first layer
$V_2$	-	Velocity of second layer
$V$	-	Voltage

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix I	Rock Mass Rating (RMR) by Bieniawski (1989)	277
Appendix II	Q-system by Barton (2002)	278
Appendix III	Summary of rock mass classification with excavation performances	281



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview

Detailed and reliable assessment of ground condition is one critical aspect in excavation work in order to do a proper ground evaluation. This issue become more fascinating when dealing with heterogeneous zone and intricate weathering stages (Bolton *et al.*, 2010; Hakan and Palmstrom, 2011; Edy Tonnizam *et al.*, 2017). The application of geophysical method widely applied in excavation work by seismic technique lead to the use of others method such as electrical resistivity to ease the site investigation (Soupios *et al.*, 2007; Abidin *et al.*, 2011). The complexity of subsurface conditions and various underlying materials with disreputable mechanical properties often unfavorable for the constructions. This can give rises to difficulties particularly in impending progress or increasing the hazardous nature of the excavation works. Sufficient information of the subsurface features can assist the construction process efficiently.

Disagreements in excavation method are common problems in construction especially the existence of hard material. This hard material primes to complications because its properties often too weak to be blast and too strong to be excavated by conventional method (Mohd For, 1995; Kavvadas, 1998; Kanji, 2014). This problem is particularly acute in tropical region given that thick profile of weathered zone is encountered. In relation to this, there is essential for the development of technology related to the excavation works that is needed for site investigation by adding geophysical methods as a tool. However, the most significant factor in evaluating the excavation assessment are the weathering profile, rock nature and its properties. The complexity of subsurface conditions, existences of boulders, cavities, faults, discontinuities such as bedding, joint, foliation and the inhomogeneity of rocks prominently influence the excavation performances. Geomechanical properties of both

intact rock and rock mass is theoretical thought to be great aspect for excavatability of rock includes weathering grade, strength and discontinuities. Field and laboratory test for instance rock strength, rebound test, durability test and wave velocity are often applied to determine its mechanical properties in order to evaluate its excavatability.

In the meantime, borehole drilling is the most conservative implemented method to acquire subsurface profile and its engineering properties. To establish the requisite number of boreholes, apply at site is difficult and it is bond directly to relative costs of the project. The result obtain from drilling methods does not offer continuous and detailed information of the entire studied area. The samples were taken from a range of depths depends on the subsurface for an amount of distinct points. Drilling only provides representative samples of the site. In tropical region country as Malaysia, inappropriate site investigation and lack of precise ground information lead to non-efficient construction in excavation works, failure and damage of building structure, road and cut slope.

Besides that, effective excavation require precise interpretation of different characteristic for thick weathering profiles typically contains of a numeral of sub classifications or weathering state produced by the weathering of surface rocks in tropical climates. Therefore, by implementing a method that can deliver information of the entire area of the unpredicted ground condition, the site investigation problems can be reduced. Hence, geophysical methods are appointed as a non-destructive technique for the site investigation engage with geotechnical work due the limitations in providing continuous and precise information by borehole method.

Along with that, ground information could be obtained through geotechnical and geophysical methods. Several studies on the application of geophysical methods in engineering and environment purpose are executed all over the world with different geological setting and target definition. The application of geophysics in civil engineering implement the principles and methods of physics in the measurement of subsurface characteristics and properties. The methods are used to determine ground properties and profile for the engineering and development purposes. Note that the important aspect in all geophysical methods is that they are non-invasive and non-

destructive. Geophysical approaches play a significant role in the possession of such knowledge; it provides helpful and cost effective information about subsurface features at the required level of spatial resolution and target definition. Geophysical methods comprise resistivity, seismic, ground penetrating radar, gravity and magnetic to measure ground. The methods that have progressive growth are electrical resistivity imaging or geo-resistivity and seismic refractions method. The techniques can produce continuous image of subsurface profile with a measurement that provides an improvement in accurate and sufficient information for heterogeneous ground.

The combinations of geotechnical and geophysical discipline can be beneficial in classifying the depth of rock layer, detecting voids, cavities and boulders in subsurface works. Since the early application of seismic refraction method to determine depth to bedrock at 1930s, geophysical methods have been discovered as one of the dependable practices for geotechnical evaluation (McDowell *et al.*, 2002; Anderson *et al.*, 2008; Mahvelati *et al.*, 2018). The greatest verdict when applying the method in early stage of site investigation work is that able to discard other site investigation technique and shortlist those with potential values for improving the overall effectiveness of site investigation in term of cost and time consuming. This study focuses on the use of resistivity survey and seismic refraction methods in the study area and the result are then correlate with the geotechnical result and potential relation between the parameters are studies to get better interpretation in term of geophysics and engineering.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

The problems of geological variation, structural complexity, heterogeneous zones and unpredictable weathering states are some examples in the tropics ground condition that lead to difficulties in site clearing earth excavation work. Assessment of rock mass properties are significant, primarily in the pre-construction stage and are a consequence of the geological understanding based on field investigations and the experienced interpretation of accessible results. Regardless of the intricacy and difficulty in determining the engineering properties of rocks, in order to diminish rock

engineering dispute and assign reliable values to them. The state of weathering from the parent rock to the ground surface reflects rock mass weathering profile. It is significantly altered the geotechnical behaviours of rocks. The degree of weathering illustrates the disintegration of the parent rock with depth.

Researchers have made continuous efforts in developing the method for characterisation or description of this weathered profile. The variations of weathering profile from different location, due to rock type and structure, topography and rate of erosion because of regional climate variation, particularly rainfall, are amongst the struggles in attaining broad perception from which to view the weathered profile. Guidance in different engineering purposes of existing rock mass classification essentially convey the development in enhancing the properties considered for excavation assessment. Most classification emphasis on the application in tunneling work. Concerning about weathered rock, the problems of structural complexity of the parent rock, unpredictable variable due to wide range of properties, heterogeneity, anisotropy and major changes in degree of weathering lead to difficulties in the method of excavation in engineering design.

Characterization of rock masses has to some extent been developed by some of the existing classification systems but few of them are of a general character as they are mainly directed towards a specific engineering function or design. In geotechnical engineering applications, the geophysical methods could be beneficial because there are many chambers for improvement and development to cater the engineering purposes. There is a need for better documentation and correlation of geological and geophysical for each grade of weathering profile for surface excavation purposes in order to adopt accurate and economical method in the construction.

### **1.3 Aim and Objectives**

This study aims to investigate the geophysical characteristic of subsurface profile that affecting the performance of surface excavation. In order to obtain reliable

and accurate information on subsurface materials for excavation purposes, this study embark on the following objectives:

- i. To characterize the rock mass and geophysical index using resistivity value and seismic refraction velocity based on state of weathering.
- ii. To evaluate and correlate the resistivity and seismic refraction value for sandstone and shale with respect to surface excavation.
- iii. To propose a surface excavation classification based on resistivity value and seismic refraction velocity for tropically weathered sandstone and shale.

#### **1.4 Research Scope**

The study basically focuses on evaluating resistivity imaging and seismic data as a geophysical tool to map the subsurface profile in non-bedded and bedded rock mass area at few construction sites mainly in Johor Bahru. Special attention is provided in determining resistivity value and seismic velocity of various weathering grade of rock mass and evaluating them with their physical and mechanical properties related to excavation. The study comprises of geophysics field measurement and rock mass observation as well as laboratory testing in a way to investigate any possible correlation between the resistivity value and seismic velocity with the excavation performance in bedded sedimentary rock mass. Resistivity apply pole-dipole array for the assessment of geophysical survey, while seismic velocity adopted in this study is the primary wave (P). Two outcrops dominated by bedded sedimentary rock mass were studied. The geophysical results were validated with existing borehole data. The findings were then synthesized based on identified significant field observation, physical and engineering properties of materials with resistivity value and seismic velocity for the purpose of surface excavation works. Lastly, an inclusive resistivity value and seismic velocity with engineering properties as one of the indirect assessment tools in excavation assessment for tropically weathered rock mass was proposed.

## **1.5 Novelty and Significant of Study**

The economic grow is taking important part in a developed country as in Malaysia. This study contributes to a cost efficient and improved performance of excavation for construction purposes in a variety of materials, particularly in bedded sedimentary rock mass. Resistivity value and seismic velocity for ground material is very advantageous as it increases the interpretation accuracy of investigation site. Besides that, heterogeneity issues on rock mass always a dispute during the construction process, lead to the delay and cost expansion.

A more comprehensive classification should available to ease the assessment of excavation process in order to enhance the economy by the development and effective implementation of geotechnical characterization. Tropical region is characterised by complex subsurface issues such as heterogeneities of ground, thick weathering profile, decrease of strength due to moisture and unclear interface boundary between soil and rock. Subsurface investigation may involve large area and deeper regions of the ground. By comprehending geophysical method in excavation assessment, the cost entail for total investigation work can be effectively sufficient besides saving time consumption on the processes.

Geophysical method covered survey of large areas hence the number of boreholes drilling to investigate the subsurface condition can be diminished. A critical point or location of the borehole drilling will do to impact the geophysical data by relating its properties to the pseudo section inspected. Marrying the geophysical and geotechnical way of assessing ground could provide a simplified new option for surface excavation. By understanding of the ground characteristic cater by seismic and geophysical method, site investigation either for excavation purpose or preliminary design stage could be enhance. Various geophysical method can be applied based on the priority in different ground conditions such as rock type and strength.

By grasping resistivity and seismic velocity of tropically weathered sandstone and shale for excavation purposes, the correlation between both rock mass and geophysical resistivity and seismic velocity with excavation performance are

established. The most common available excavatability chart by Caterpillar (2007) was modified with new range of seismic velocity for tropically weathered sandstone and shale, besides disseminate the excavation performances into three classes which are easy ( $> 400 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ ), moderate ( $100 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$  to  $400 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ ) and hard ( $< 100 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ ). The resistivity chart for excavation was proposed in addition to the new seismic velocity chart, with reverence to excavation performances for both sandstone and shale. The findings of this study contributed the development in excavatability assessment by proposing the resistivity and seismic velocity value for tropically interbedded sedimentary rock mass. The proposed scheme of resistivity and velocity index based on tropically weathered sedimentary rock mass with respect to excavation performance is extensively advanced compared to existing assessment.

- Alel, M. N. A., Saad, R., Abdullah, R. A., & Wei, L. I. (2015). Applicability of Electrical Resistivity Tomography in Subsurface Utilities Engineering. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 76(2).
- Anbazhagan, P., Kumar, A., & Sitharam, T. G. (2013). Seismic site classification and correlation between standard penetration test N value and shear wave velocity for Lucknow City in Indo-Gangetic Basin. *Pure and applied geophysics*, 170(3), 299-318.
- Anbazhagan, P., Uday, A., Moustafa, S. S., & Al-Arifi, N. S. (2016). Correlation of densities with shear wave velocities and SPT N-values. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 13(3), 320-341.
- Andersland, O. B., & Ladanyi, B. (1994). Mechanical Properties of Frozen Soils. In *An Introduction to Frozen Ground Engineering* (pp. 121-150). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Anderson, N. L., Croxton, N., Hoover, R., & Sirles, P. (2008). Geophysical methods commonly employed for geotechnical site characterization. *Transportation Research Circular*, (E-C130).
- Anomohanran, O. (2013). Seismic refraction method: A technique for determining the thickness of stratified substratum. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(8), 857.
- Anuar, U. M., & Nordiana, M. M. (2018). Aquifer Detection Using 2-D Resistivity Method and Porosity Calculation. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 80(6).
- Aoki, H. and Matsukura, Y. (2007). A New Technique for Non-Destructive Field Measurement of Rock-Surface Strength: An Application of the Equotip Hardness Tester to Weathering Studies . *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 32, 1759-1769.
- Arikan, F., Ulusay, R. and Aydin, N. (2007). Characterisation of Weathered Acidic Volcanic Rocks and a Weathering Classification based on a Rating System. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 66(4), (pp. 415-430).
- Arikan, F., & Aydin, N. (2012). Influence of weathering on the engineering properties of dacites in Northeastern Turkey. *ISRN Soil Science*, 2012.
- Asry, Z., Samsudin, A. R., Yaacob, W. Z., & Yaakub, J. (2012). Groundwater investigation using electrical resistivity imaging technique at Sg. Udang, Melaka, Malaysia.



- ASTM. (1990). Standard test method for slake durability of shales and similar weak rocks (D4644). Annual Book of ASTM Standards, vol. 4.08, ASTM, Philadelphia, pp. 863-865.
- ASTM. (2005). Standard test method for determination of rock hardness by Rebound Hammer Method. D 5873-05.
- ASTM. (2008). 4644: Standard Test Method for Slake Durability of Shales and Similar Weak Rocks. *ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.*
- ASTM. (2010). *American Society for Testing and Materials ASTM D2487.* Pennsylvania, United States. ASTM International.
- ASTM D2216-10. (2010). Standard test methods for laboratory determination of water (moisture) content of soil and rock by mass. Annual book of ASTM standards. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia.
- Atkinson, T., (1971). Selection of open pit excavating and loading equipment. *Trans. Ins. of Mining and Metallurgy*, vol:80, pp A.101-129.
- Azman Kassim and Edy Tonnizam Mohammad. (2007). *Laboratory Study of Weathered Rock for Surface Excavation Works.* Technical Report, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor, Malaysia.
- Azwin, I. N., Saad, R., Saidin, M., Nordiana, M. M., Bery, A. A., & Hidayah, I. N. E. (2015). Combined analysis of 2-D electrical resistivity, seismic refraction and geotechnical investigations for Bukit Bunuh complex crater. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 23, No. 1, p. 012013). IOP Publishing.
- Badee Alshameri. (2010). *Engineering Properties of Older Alluvium.* Master thesis of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Badrakia, P., (2016). Estimation of Shear Wave Velocity Using Correlations. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 5(6), pp. 10574-10580.
- Bailey, A. D., (1975). Rock types and seismic velocity versus rippability, Highway Geology Symposium Proceeding, No: 26, pp. 135-142.
- Baiyegunhi, C., Oloniniyi, T. L., & Gwavava, O. (2014). The correlation of dry density and porosity of some rocks from the Karoo Supergroup: A case study of selected rock types between Grahamstown and Queenstown in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN) Vol, 4,* 30-40.

- Balasubramaniam, A., Likitlersuang, S., & Surarak, C. (2016). Long-term behaviour prediction of the Bangkok MRT tunnels using simplified finite-element modelling. *Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication*, 2(42), 1507-1512.
- Barton, N., Lien, R., & Lunde, J. (1974). Engineering classification of rock masses for the design of tunnel support. *Rock mechanics*, 6(4), 189-236.
- Barton, N. (1991). Geotechnical design. *World Tunneling*.
- Barton, N. (2002). Some new Q-value correlations to assist in site characterisation and tunnel design. *International journal of rock mechanics and mining sciences*, 39(2), 185-216.
- Basarir, H. and Karpuz, C. (2004). A rippability classification system for marls in lignite mines. *Journal of Engineering Geology : Vol. 74, Issues 3-4*, 303-318.
- Bell, F. G. (1978). The physical and mechanical properties of the fell sandstones, Northumberland, England. *Engineering Geology*, 12, 1-29.
- Bell, F. G. (2004). *Engineering geology and construction*. CRC Press.
- Bengt, S. (1984). Shallow seismic refraction. Chapman and Hall Ltd. Pp 1-190.
- Bery, A. A., & Saad, R. (2012). Correlation of seismic P-wave velocities with engineering parameters (N value and rock quality) for tropical environmental study. *International Journal of Geosciences*, 3(04), 749.
- Bickel, J. & Kuesel, TR. (eds) (1982). Tunnel Engineering Handbook. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1974). Estimating the strength of rock materials. *Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 74(8), 312-320.
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1984). *Rock mechanics design in mining and tunnelling* (No. Monograph).
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1989). *Engineering rock mass classifications: a complete manual for engineers and geologists in mining, civil, and petroleum engineering*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1993). Classification of rock masses for engineering: the RMR system and future trends. In *Rock Testing and Site Characterization* (pp. 553-573). Pergamon.
- Bolt, B. A. (2001). The nature of earthquake ground motion. In *The seismic design handbook* (pp. 1-45). Springer, Boston, MA.

- Bolton, M. D., Lam, S. Y., & Vardanega, P. J. (May, 2010). Predicting and controlling ground movements around deep excavations. In *Keynote Lecture presented at Geotechnical Challenges in Urban Regeneration: the 11th International Conference of the DFI-EFFC. London* (pp. 30-47).
- Bozdog, T., (1988). Indirect rippability assessment of coal measure rocks, Ms. Thesis, METU, Ankara, Turkey, 86 p.
- Bozzano, F., Gaeta, M., & Marcoccia, S. (2006). Weathering of Valle Ricca stiff and jointed clay. *Engineering Geology*, 84(3-4), 161-182.
- Brady, B. H. G., & Brown, E. T. (2004). *Rock Mechanics for Underground Mining*, 3rd ed, (Kluwer Academic Publishers) 628 p.
- Braybrooke, J. (1988). The State of the Art of Rock Cuttability and Rippability Prediction. *ifth Australia-New Zealand Conference on Geomechanics: Prediction Versus Performance; Preprints of Papers* (pp. 13-42). Barton, ACT: National conference publication (Institution of Engineers, Australia).
- Broch, E., & Franklin, J. A. (1972). The point-load strength test. In *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstracts* (Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 669-676). Pergamon.
- Budhu, M. (2008). *SOIL MECHANICS AND FOUNDATIONS, (With CD)*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Burger, H. R. (1992). *Exploration geophysics of the shallow subsurface* (Vol. 8). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Burton, C. K. (1973). *Geology and mineral resources, Johore Bahru-Kulai area, south Johore*. Ministry of Primary Industries.
- Cai, M., & Horii, H. (1992). A constitutive model of highly jointed rock masses. *Mechanics of Materials*, 13(3), 217-246.
- Cardimona, S. (2002). Electrical resistivity techniques for subsurface investigation. *Department of Geophysics, university of Missouri Rolla-Mo*.
- Carrozzo, M. T., Leucci, G., Margiotta, S., Mazzone, F., & Negri, S. (2008). Integrated geophysical and geological investigations applied to sedimentary rock mass characterization. *Annals of geophysics*, 51(1).
- Caterpillar Tractor Company. (2001). *Caterpillar Performance Handbook*. Preoria, Illinois.
- Caterpillar. (2004). *Caterpillar Performance Handbook Edition (35<sup>th</sup> Ed)*, Preoria, Illinois, USA, CAT publication, Caterpillar Inc.

- Caterpillar. (2008). Caterpillar Performance Handbook Edition (38<sup>th</sup> Ed), Peoria, Illinois, USA, CAT publication, Caterpillar Inc.
- Caterpillar. (January, 2017). Caterpillar Performance Handbook Edition (47<sup>th</sup> Ed), Peoria, Illinois, USA, CAT publication, Caterpillar Inc.
- Ceryan, N., & Usturbelli, Z. H. (2011). The estimating of durability and weathering state of tuff using rock durability indicators: a case study. *International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference: SGEM: Surveying Geology & mining Ecology Management, 1*, 623.
- Ceryan, S. (2012). 2 Weathering Indices for Assessment of Weathering Effect and Classification of Weathered Rocks: A Case Study from NE Turke. In *Earth Sciences* (pp. 19-44). Balikesir Turkey: Geology Engineering Department Balikesir University.
- Cha, Y. H., Kang, J. S., & Jo, C. H. (2006). Application of linear-array microtremor surveys for rock mass classification in urban tunnel design. *Exploration Geophysics, 37*(1), 108-113.
- Chambers, J. E., Kuras, O., Meldrum, P. I., Ogilvy, R. D., & Hollands, J. (2006). Electrical resistivity tomography applied to geologic, hydrogeologic, and engineering investigations at a former waste-disposal site. *Geophysics, 71*(6), B231-B239.
- Chang, C., Zoback, M. D., & Khaksar, A. (2006). Empirical relations between rock strength and physical properties in sedimentary rocks. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 51*(3-4), 223-237.
- Chapman, D. N., Ahn, S. K., & Hunt, D. V. (2007). Investigating ground movements caused by the construction of multiple tunnels in soft ground using laboratory model tests. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 44*(6), 631-643.
- Choi, Y. O. S. O. O. N., & Park, H. D. (2006). Integrating GIS and 3D geostatistical methods for geotechnical characterization of soil properties. *Engineering Geology for Tomorrow's Cities. Geological Society, London*.
- Church, H. K., (1981). *Excavation handbook*, McGraw-Hill, NY, USA.
- Dahlin, T., & Zhou, B. (2003). Properties and effects of measurement errors on 2D resistivity imaging surveying. *Near surface geophysics, 1*(3), 105-117.
- Dahlin, T., & Zhou, B. (2004). A numerical comparison of 2D resistivity imaging with 10 electrode arrays. *Geophysical prospecting, 52*(5), 379-398.

- Deere, D. U., Hendron, A. J., Patton, F. D., & Cording, E. J. (1967). Design of surface and near surface construction in rock, Proceedings of 8th US Symposium, Rock Mechanics. *Failure and Breakage of Rock, American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers, Inc., New York.*
- Department of Agriculture Peninsular Malaysia (1973). Map of Soil Types in Peninsular Malaysia L-40A Series first edition, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur
- Dey, K., & Ghosh, A. K. (2008). Predicting" cuttability" with surface miners-A rockmass classification approach.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Khairul Anuar Kassim and Ibrahim Komoo. (2005a). Challenges of Ripping Works in Weathered Sedimentary Area. *Proceedings 3rd International Conference on Geotechnical Enginnering, Semarang, Indonesia*, 1-13.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Khairul Anuar Kassim and Ibrahim Komoo. (2005b). To Rip or to Blast: An Overview of Existing Excavation Assessment . *International Conference on Engineering and Technology (BICET 2005), Brunei*, 27-36.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad. (2007). *Engineering Properties of Weathered Sedimentary Rock Masses for Surface Excavation Works* (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia).
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Komoo, I., Kassim, K. A., & Gofar, N. (2008). Influence of Moisture Content on the Strength of Weathering Sandstone. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 20(1), 137-144.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Rosli Saad, Muhazian Md Noor, Isa, Mohamed Fauzi Bin Md. Isa, & Ain Naadia Mazlan. (December, 2010). Excavatability Assessment of Weathered Sedimentary Rock Mass Using Seismic Velocity Method. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 1325, No. 1, pp. 132-136). AIP.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Abad, S. V. A. N. K., & Saad, R. (2011). Challenges of excavation by ripping works in weathered sedimentary zone. *Electron. J. Geotech. Eng.*, 16, 1337-1350.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, and Abad, S. V. A. N. K. (2011). "Assessment on Blasting– Induced Rock Slope Instability at Johor, Malaysia." *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering D* 16.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Armaghani, D. J., Momeni, E., & Abad, S. V. A. N. K. (2015). Prediction of the unconfined compressive strength of soft rocks: a PSO-

- based ANN approach. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 74(3), 745-757.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Maybelle Liang and Nurmunirah Akhair. (2015). Effect of Wet Tropical Weathering on the Strength of Sandstone. *Jurnal Teknologi* 76:2, 95-101.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Latifi, N., Arefnia, A., & Isa, M. F. (2016). Effects of moisture content on the strength of tropically weathered granite from Malaysia. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 75(1), 369-390.
- Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Danial Jahed Armaghani, Amir Mahdyar, Ibrahim Komoo, Khairul Anuar Kassim, Arham Abdullah, & Muhd Zaimi Abd Majid. (2017). Utilizing regression models to find functions for determining ripping production based on laboratory tests. *Measurement*, 111, 216-225.
- Eldar Guliyev, Thomas L. Davis. (2007). *Interpretation of Vp/Vs velocity ratio for improved tight gas sandstone reservoir characterization, Rulison field, Colorado*. San Antonio, Texas: Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Elhakim, A. F. (2015). The use of Point Load Test for Dubai weak Calcareous Sandstones. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering* 7, 452-457.
- El-Naqa, A. (1996). Assessment of geomechanical characterization of a rock mass using a seismic geophysical technique. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*, 14(4), 291-305.
- Fookes, P. G. (Ed.). (1997). Tropical residual soils: A Geological Society Engineering Group working party revised report. Geological Society of London.
- Forth, R. A., & Platt-Higgins, P. M. (January, 1981). Methods of investigation of weathered rocks in Hong Kong. In *ISRM International Symposium*. International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering.
- Fowell, R. J. and Johnson, S. T. (1991). Cuttability Assessment Applied to Drag Tool Tunneling Machines. Proceeding 7th International Congress Rock Mechanics. Aachen, 985-990.
- Franklin, J. A., Broch, E., & Walton, G. (1971). Logging the mechanical character of rock.
- Franklin, J. A. and Candra, R. (1972). The Slake Durability Test. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 9, 325-341.

- Gamble, J. C. (1971). Durability-plasticity classification of shales and other argillaceous rocks. *Ph. D. thesis, University of Illinois.*
- Geological Society of London Engineering Group Party. (1977). The Description of Rock Masses for Engineering Purposes, *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology*, Vol.10 : 355-388.
- Gobbett, D. J., Hutchison, C. S., & Burton, C. K. (1973). Geology of the Malay Peninsula.
- Gokceoglu, C., Ulusay, R., & Sonmez, H. (2000). Factors affecting the durability of selected weak and clay-bearing rocks from Turkey, with particular emphasis on the influence of the number of drying and wetting cycles. *Engineering Geology*, 57(3-4), 215-237.
- Gokceoglu, C., Zorlu, K., Ceryan, S., & Nefeslioglu, H. A. (2009). A comparative study on indirect determination of degree of weathering of granites from some physical and strength parameters by two soft computing techniques. *Materials Characterization*, 60(11), 1317-1327.
- Gomaa, A. M., Qu, Q., Maharidge, R., Nelson, S., & Reed, T. (January, 2014). New insights into hydraulic fracturing of shale formations. In *International petroleum technology conference*. International Petroleum Technology Conference.
- Gong, Q., & Zhao, J. (2009). Development of a rock mass characteristics model for TBM penetration rate prediction. *International journal of Rock mechanics and mining sciences*, 46(1), 8-18.
- Goodman, R.E. (1980). Introduction to Rock Mechanics. John Wiley and Sons, N.Y., p. 37.
- Google earth (June 14, 2014). Iskandar, Johor. 1°26'00.54"N, 103°37'44.50"E, Eye alt 505 m. DigitalGlobe 2014. <http://www.earth.google.com>
- Google earth (November 21, 2006). Iskandar, Johor. 1°28'46.86"N, 103°35'04.22"E, Eye alt 1.17 km. DigitalGlobe 2014. <http://www.earth.google.com>
- Google earth (November 21, 2006). Iskandar, Johor. 1°28'30.32"N, 103°35'37.75"E, Eye alt 1.42 km. DigitalGlobe 2013. <http://www.earth.google.com>
- Google earth (November 21, 2006). Iskandar, Johor. 1°28'21.31"N, 103°35'21.31"E, Eye alt 1.63 km. DigitalGlobe 2014. <http://www.earth.google.com>

- Gragg, D.M., Croft, L.A., Bart Asher, and Beckett, D.P. (2006). Subsurface geophysical investigation proposed bridge site for Breathitt Parkway extension over I-24 Christian Country, Kentucky.
- Griffith D.H. & Barker R.D. (1993). Twodimensional resistivity imaging and modelling in areas of complex geology. *J appl Geophys* 29:211–226.
- Guliyev, E., & Davis, T. L. (2007). Interpretation of Vp/Vs velocity ratio for improved tight-gas sandstone reservoir characterization, Rulison Field, Colorado. In *SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 2007* (pp. 1451-1455). Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Gumede, T. R. (2007). Measurement of typical joint characteristics in South African gold mines and the use of these characteristics in the prediction of rock falls. *Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 107(5), 335-344.
- Gupta, A. S., & Rao, S. K. (2001). Weathering indices and their applicability for crystalline rocks. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 60(3), 201-221.
- Gurocak, Z., Alemdag, S., & Zaman, M. M. (2008). Rock slope stability and excavatability assessment of rocks at the Kapikaya dam site, Turkey. *Engineering Geology*, 96(1-2), 17-27.
- Hadjigeorgiou, J., & Poulin, R. (1998). Assessment of ease of excavation of surface mines. *Journal of terramechanics*, 35(3), 137-153.
- Hamidi, J. K., Shahriar, K., Rezai, B., & Rostami, J. (2010). Performance prediction of hard rock TBM using Rock Mass Rating (RMR) system. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 25(4), 333-345.
- Hamzah, U., & Samsudin, A. R. (2006). 2D Seismic Refraction Tomography Survey on Metasediment at a Proposed Development Site in Dengkil, Selangor.
- Harith, Z. Z. T., & Nawawi, M. N. M. (May, 2000). Mapping Faults Using Seismic Refraction and 2-D Resistivity Imaging Technique in Kuala Ketil, Malaysia. In *62nd EAGE Conference & Exhibition*.
- Haryati, A., Rashidi, N. A., Yusof, M., & Mohammad, K. (2017). Correlation Between P-wave Velocity and Strength Index for Shale to Predict Uniaxial Compressive Strength Value. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 103, p. 07017). EDP Sciences.



- Haryati, A., Hayati, A. N., Irwan, R. N., Azman, M. K., & Hilmi, H. M. (2019). Overburden determination for quarry prospecting using seismic refraction: a case study. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 244, No. 1, p. 012033). IOP Publishing.
- Hassani, F. P., Scoble, M. J., & Whittaker, B. N. (1980). Application of the point load index test to strength determination of rock and proposals for a new size-correction chart. In *The 21st US Symposium on Rock Mechanics (USRMS)*. American Rock Mechanics Association.
- Hatta, K. A., Osman, S., & Azahar, S. B. (2015). Correlation of electrical resistivity and SPT N-value from standard penetration test (SPT) of sandy soil. In *Applied Mechanics and Materials* (Vol. 785, pp. 702-706). Trans Tech Publications.
- Hickman, S., Todd, L., Steve, C. Anderson, N.L. and Newton, T. (2000). Geophysical site characterization in support of highway expansion project. International Conference on the Application of Geophysical Technologies to Planning, Design, Construction, and Maintenance of Transportation Facilities, St. Louis, MO.
- Hoek, E., & Brown, E. T. (1980). Empirical strength criterion for rock masses. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 106(ASCE 15715).
- Hoek, E. (1983). Strength of jointed rock masses. *Geotechnique*, 33(3), 187-223.
- Hoek, E., & Brown, E. T. (2019). The Hoek–Brown failure criterion and GSI–2018 edition. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 11(3), 445-463.
- Hudson, J. A. (1999). Technical auditing of rock mechanics modeling and rock engineering design. In *37th US symposium on rock mechanics* (Vol. 1, pp. 183-197).
- Huisman, M., Hack, H. R. G. K., & Nieuwenhuis, J. D. (2006). Predicting rock mass decay in engineering lifetimes: the influence of slope aspect and climate. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 12(1), 39-51.
- Ibrahim Komoo. (1985). *Engineering properties of weathered rock profiles in Peninsular Malaysia*. Institution of Engineers Malaysia.
- Ibrahim Komoo and Jasni Yaakub. (1990). Engineering Properties of Weathered Metamorphic Rocks in Peninsular Malaysia. *Proceedings of the 6th International Congress (IAEG '90)*, (pp. 665-672). Balkema, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

- Ibrahim Komoo. (1995a). *Geologi Kejuruteraan- Perspektif Rantau Tropika Lembap: Kuala Lumpur*. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Ibrahim Komoo. (1995b). *Syarahana Perdana Geologi Kejuruteraan Perspektif Rantau Tropika Lembap*. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Inazaki, T., (2006). Relationship between S-wave velocities and geotechnical properties of alluvial sediments. In *Proceedings of the 19th Symposium on Application Geophysics Engineering to Environmental Problems: Environmental Engineering Geophysical Society*, Seattle, WA, pp. 1075–1085.
- Ismail, M. A. M., Kumar, N. S., Abidin, M. H. Z., & Madun, A. (2018). Rippability Assessment of Weathered Sedimentary Rock Mass using Seismic Refraction Methods. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 995, No. 1, p. 012105). IOP Publishing.
- ISRM. (1981). *Rock Characterization, Testing and Monitoring*. In Brown, E.T. (Ed.), *ISRM Suggested Methods*. Pergamon, Oxford.
- ISRM. (1988). Suggested methods for determining the fracture toughness of rock. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. Geomech. Abstr.*, 25, 71–97.
- ISRM. (2007). *The complete ISRM suggested methods for rock characterization, testing and monitoring: 1974–2006. Kozan, Ankara*.
- Jafri, N. J. S., Ab Rahim, M. A., Zahid, M. Z. A. M., Bawadi, N. F., Ahmad, M. M., Mansor, A. F., & Omar, W. M. S. W. (2018). Assessment of soil compaction properties based on surface wave techniques. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 34, p. 01002). EDP Sciences.
- Joseph, M., Serkan, S. and Paul, H. (2009). A Study on the Effect of Moisture Content on Rock Cutting Performance. *Coal Operators' Conference. Coal 2009*. University of Wollongong & the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. 340-347.
- Joyce, M. D. (1982). *Site investigation practice* (No. Monograph).
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR), (1998). *"Minit Mesyuarat Definition Rock, Unsuitable Material & Concrete Road Kerb."* Mac, Kuala Lumpur.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR), (eds)(2005). *Standard Specification for Building Work*.
- Kamaruzzaman, A.Z. and Ahmad, A.R. (2010). Siasatan seismik di beberapa lokasi kawasan Kundasang, Ranau, Sabah. *Proceedings of Seminar on Engineering and Environmental Geophysics 2010*, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.

- Kanji, M. (2014). Critical Issues in Soft Rock. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*.
- Karaman, K., Ercikdi, B., & Kesimal, A. (2013). The assessment of slope stability and rock excavatability in a limestone quarry. *Earth Sciences Research Journal, 17*(2), 169-181.
- Karaman, K., & Kesimal, A. (2014). A comparative study of Schmidt hammer test methods for estimating the uniaxial compressive strength of rocks. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 74*(2), 507-520.
- Karaman, K., Kaya, A., & Kesimal, A. (2015). Use of the point load index in estimation of the strength rating for the RMR system. *Journal of African Earth Sciences, 106*, 40-49.
- Karpuz, C. (1990). A Classification System for Excavation of Surface Coal Measures. *Mining Science and Technology, 11* (pp. 157-163). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.
- Kausarian, H., Shamsudin, A. R., & Yuskar, Y. (2014). Geotechnical and Rock Mass Characterization Using Seismic Refraction Method at Kajang Rock Quarry, Semenyih, Selangor Darul Ehsan. *and Authors Pages, 13*, 12.
- Kavvas, M. (1998). *Hard soils—soft rocks: modelling the soil behaviour—selection of soil parameters, general report*. Napoli, Italy: Proceedings 2nd international symposium on the geotechnics of hard soils—soft rock.
- Keary, P. and Brooks, M., (1991). An introduction to geophysical exploration: Blackwell scientific Publications, 254 p.
- Kentli, B., & Topal, T. (2004). Assessment of rock slope stability for a segment of the Ankara–Pozantı motorway, Turkey. *Engineering Geology, 74*(1-2), 73-90.
- Kesimal, A., (2017). *Excavatability assessment of rock masses for geotechnical studies*. turkey: Trends and Digital Advances in Engineering Geology.
- Kesimal, A., Karaman, K., Cihangir, F., & Ercikdi, B. (2018). Excavatability Assessment of Rock Masses for Geotechnical Studies. In *Handbook of Research on Trends and Digital Advances in Engineering Geology* (pp. 231-256). IGI Global.
- Kibria, G., & Hossain, M. S. (2012). Investigation of geotechnical parameters affecting electrical resistivity of compacted clays. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 138*(12), 1520-1529.
- Kirsten, H. (1982). A

- Classification System for Excavation in Natural Materials. *Civil Engineer in South Africa: Volume 24, Issue 7*, 293-308.
- Kirar, B., Maheshwari, B. & Muley, P. (2016). Correlation Between Shear Wave Velocity (Vs) and SPT Resistance (N) for Roorkee Region. *International Journal of Geosynthetic and Ground Engineering* 2:9.
- Kirsten, H. A. D. (1982). A classification system for excavating in natural materials. *Civil Engineering= Siviele Ingenieurswese*, 24(7), 293-308.
- Knill, J. L., & Price, D. G. (1972). Seismic evaluation of rock masses. *Proc. 24th Int. Geol. Cong., Canada*, 176-82.
- Komatsu Ltd. (1987). Ripper Operation. Form AP6.
- Kramadibrata, S. (1996). *The influence of rock mass and intact rock properties on the design of surface mines with particular reference to the excavatability of rock* (Doctoral dissertation, Curtin University).
- Kramadibrata, S. (1998). Assessment on the Performance of Pontinous Surface Miners. *Proceedings of the 11th International Symposium on Mine Planning and Equipment Selection*, (pp. 551-556). Canada.
- Kulatilake, P. H. S. W., Malama, B., & Wang, J. (2001). Physical and particle flow modeling of jointed rock block behavior under uniaxial loading. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 38(5), 641-657.
- Lan, H. X., Hu, R. L., Yue, Z. Q., Lee, C. F., & Wang, S. J. (2003). Engineering and geological characteristics of granite weathering profiles in South China. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 21(4), 353-364.
- Lapenna, V., Lorenzo, P., Perrone, A., Piscitelli, S., Sdao, F., & Rizzo, E. (2003). High-resolution geoelectrical tomographies in the study of Giarrossa landslide (southern Italy). *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 62(3), 259-268.
- Laric, V.H. and Robert, J.W. (1987). Shallow seismic refraction methods in exploration and engineering. Pp. 7-10.
- Liang, M., Mohamad, E. T., Khun, M. C., & Alel, M. N. A. (2015). Estimating Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Tropically Weathered Sedimentary Rock Using Indirect Tests. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 72(3).
- Liang, M., Mohamad, E. T., Faradonbeh, R. S., Armaghani, D. J., & Ghoraba, S. (2016). Rock strength assessment based on regression tree technique. *Engineering with Computers*, 32(2), 343-354.

- Liang, M., Mohamad, E. T., Komoo, I., & Chau-Khun, M. (2017). Performance evaluation of existing surface excavation assessment methods on weathered sedimentary rock. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 76(1), 205-218.
- Lim, B. K., & Jones, S. J. (1982). Some applications and problems of the seismic refraction technique in civil engineering projects in Malaysia.
- Liu, Y. C., & Chen, C. S. (2007). A new approach for application of rock mass classification on rock slope stability assessment. *Engineering geology*, 89(1-2), 129-143.
- Liu, Q., Kieffer, D. S., Klima, K., & Brosch, F. J. (2009). A realistic fracture system model for engineering analysis of underground excavations. In *ISRM International Symposium on Rock Mechanics-SINOROCK 2009*. International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering.
- Loke, M. H., & Barker, R. D. (1996). Practical techniques for 3D resistivity surveys and data inversion 1. *Geophysical prospecting*, 44(3), 499-523.
- Loke, M.H., (1997). Electrical imaging surveys for environmental and engineering studies. A practical guide to 2-D and 3-D surveys Penang, Malaysia, 57 pp.
- Loke, M. H., (1999). RES2DINV—rapid 2-D resistivity and IP inversion using the least-squares method. Software manual, 81 pp.
- Loke, M. H., (January, 2001). Constrained time-lapse resistivity imaging inversion. In *Symposium on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems 2001* (pp. EEM7-EEM7). Society of Exploration Geophysicists.
- Loke, M. H., & Lane Jr, J. W. (2004). Inversion of data from electrical resistivity imaging surveys in water-covered areas. *Exploration Geophysics*, 35(4), 266-271.
- Loke, M. H., (2007). RES2DINV ver 3.56: M.H. Loke on [www.geoelectrical.com](http://www.geoelectrical.com).
- MacGregor, F., Fell, R., Mostyn, G. R., Hocking, G., & McNally, G. (1994). The estimation of rock rippability. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 27(2), 123-144.
- Maheswari, R. U., Boominathan, A., & Dodagoudar, G. R. (2009). Use of surface waves in statistical correlations of shear wave velocity and penetration resistance of Chennai soils. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 28(2), 119-137.

- Mahmoud Mostafa Abdou Abdel Naiem. (2013). "Reliability of using standard penetration test (SPT) in predicting properties of silty clay with sand soil." *International Journal of Civil & Structural Engineering* 3, no. 3: 545-556.
- Mahvelati, S., Kordjazi, A., & Coe, J. T. (2018). A review of seismic geophysical testing in Iran for building near-surface velocity models. *The Leading Edge*, 37(1), 68a1-68a10.
- Mavko, G., & Mukerji, T. (1998). Bounds on low-frequency seismic velocities in partially saturated rocks. *Geophysics*, 63(3), 918-924.
- McAuliffe, J. R., Scuderi, L. A., & McFadden, L. D. (2006). Tree-ring record of hillslope erosion and valley floor dynamics: landscape responses to climate variation during the last 400 yr in the Colorado Plateau, northeastern Arizona. *Global and Planetary Change*, 50(3-4), 184-201.
- McCann, D. M., & Fenning, P. J. (1995). Estimation of rippability and excavation conditions from seismic velocity measurements. *Geological Society, London, Engineering Geology Special Publications*, 10(1), 335-343.
- McDowell, P. W. (1993). Seismic investigation for rock engineering. In *Rock Testing and Site Characterization* (pp. 619-634). Pergamon.
- McDowell, P. W., Barker, R. D., Butcher, A. P., Culshaw, M. G., Jackson, P. D., McCann, D. M., ... & Arthur, J. C. R. (2002). *Geophysics in engineering investigations* (Vol. 19). London: Ciria..
- Milsom, J. (2003). *Field geophysics* (Vol. 25). John Wiley and Sons.
- Mohd Firdaus Md Dan, Edy Tonnizam Mohamad, Ibrahim Komoo. (2016). Characteristics of boulders formed in tropical weathered granite: A review. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(8-6).
- Mohd For Mohd Amin. (1995). Classification of Excavated Material Based on Simple Laboratory Testings. *Geological Society Malaysia, Bulletin*. 38: 179-190.
- Mohd For Mohd. Amin and Edy Tonnizam Mohamad. (2003). Excavatability of Hard Materials in ILP, Mersing: Johor Bahru. Internal Report Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Unpublished.
- Mohd For Mohd Amin, Chan Sook Huei & Abdul Ghani Rafek. (2009). Rippability Classification for Quartzite based on Specific Energy and Field Production Rate. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 21(1).

- Moustafa, S. S. (2015). Assessment of Excavatability in Sedimentary Rocks Using Shallow Seismic Refraction Method.
- Moon, V. G. (1993). Microstructural controls on the geomechanical behaviour of ignimbrite. *Engineering Geology*, 35(1-2), 19-31.
- Muftuoglu, Y. V. (1983). A study of factors affecting diggability in British surface coal mines. Ph.D. thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham (unpublished).
- Munsell, C. (2009). Geological rock—color chart. *Munsell Colour*.
- Namdar, A. (2010). Analysis of slope stability using limit equilibrium. *Buletinul Institutului Politehnic din Iasi. Sectia Constructii, Arhitectura*, 56(2), 75.
- Nawawi, M.N.M, Baddrul, H.M.T. and Rosli, S. (2010). Application of geophysical methods in civil engineering: mapping bedrocks and subsurface boulders. Proceedings of Seminar on Engineering and Environmental Geophysics 2010, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
- Nayan, K. A. M., Taha, M. R., Rosyidi, S. A., & Ismail, M. A. (2003). Civil Engineering Application of the Spectral Analysis of Surface Wave (SAWSW) Method.
- Ng, T. F., Tate, R. & Tan, D. (2008). Geological Map of Peninsular Malaysia.
- Nordiana, M. M., Saad, R., Nawawi, M. N. M., Azwin, I. N., & Mohamad, E. T. (2013). Case study: shallow subsurface geology mapping using 2-D resistivity imaging with EHR technique. *APCBEE procedia*, 5, 134-140.
- Nourani, M. H., Moghadder, M. T., & Safari, M. (2017). Classification and assessment of rock mass parameters in Choghart iron mine using P-wave velocity. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 9(2), 318-328.
- Ogunsola, N. O., Olaleye, B. M., & Saliu, M. A. (November, 2017). Effects of Weathering on some Physical and Mechanical Properties of Ewekoro Limestone, South-western Nigeria. *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 4(11).
- Oh, S., & Sun, C. G. (2007). Combined analysis of electrical resistivity and geotechnical SPT blow counts for the safety assessment of fill dam. *Environmental Geology*, 54(1), 31.
- Okewale, I. A., & Coop, M. R. (2017). A study of the effects of weathering on soils derived from decomposed volcanic rocks. *Engineering geology*, 222, 53-71.

- Olona, J., Pulgar, J. A., Fernández-Viejo, G., López-Fernández, C., & González-Cortina, J. M. (2010). Weathering variations in a granitic massif and related geotechnical properties through seismic and electrical resistivity methods. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 8(6), 585-599.
- Othman, A. A. (2005). Construed Geotechnical Characteristics of Foundation Beds by Seismic Measurements. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, Volume 2, Number 2, 126-138.
- Oyediran, I. A., & Falae, P. O. (2018). Integrated Geophysical and Geotechnical Methods for Pre-Foundation Investigations. *J Geol Geophys*, 7(453), 2.
- Palacky, G. J. (1987). Clay mapping using electromagnetic methods. *First Break*, 5(8), 295-306.
- Palmstrom, A. (1995). *RMI-a rock mass characterization system for rock engineering purposes*. na.
- Parasnis, D.S., (1986). Principles of applied geophysics, 4th ed. Chapman and Hall, London, 402 pp.
- Pellerin, L. (2002). Applications of electrical and electromagnetic methods for environmental and geotechnical investigations. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 23(2-3), 101-132.
- Pettifer, G. S. And Fookes, P. G., (1994). A revision of the graphical method for assessing the excavatability of rock. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology*, 27, 145-164.
- Plinninger, R. J., Spaun, G., & Thuro, K. (2002). Predicting tool wear in drill and blast. *Tunnels & Tunneling International Magazine*, 1-5.
- Pokar, M. (1998). Penggunaan kaedah pengimejan elektrik untuk menyiasat tapak-tapak pembuangan sisa. Master thesis School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Price, D. G. (2008). *Engineering geology: principles and practice*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Priest, S. D., & Hudson, J. A. (June, 1981). Estimation of discontinuity spacing and trace length using scanline surveys. In *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstracts* (Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 183-197). Pergamon.
- Rafek, M.B. (1980). Triassic conodonts from the Pavilion beds. Big Bar Creek, central British Columbia: Geological Survey of Canada Paper 80-1C, p. 129-133.



- Rafek, A. G., & Samsudin, A. R. (1989). Results of geoelectrical soundings for mapping of a marine clay along the Tangkak-Pagoh highway Johor, Malaysia. *Exploration Geophysics*, 20(2), 117-120.
- Rawlings, C. G., Barton, N., Smallwood, A., & Davies, N. (January, 1995). Rock mass characterisation using the IQ and RMR systems. In *8th ISRM Congress*. International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering.
- Reynolds, J. M., (1997). *An Introduction to Applied and Environmental Geophysics*, Wiley, NY.
- Riwayat, A. I., Nazri, M. A. A., & Abidin, M. H. Z. (2018a). Application of Electrical Resistivity Method (ERM) in Groundwater Exploration. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 995, No. 1, p. 012094). IOP Publishing.
- Riwayat, A. I., Nazri, M. A. A., & Abidin, M. H. Z. (2018b). Detection of Potential Shallow Aquifer Using Electrical Resistivity Imaging (ERI) at UTHM Campus, Johor Malaysia. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 995, No. 1, p. 012103). IOP Publishing.
- Robert, B.J and Jerome, V.D. (1988). *Principles of engineering geology*. John Wiley & Sons. 1<sup>st</sup> edition.
- Rofiqul Islam. (2005). *Engineering geology and geophysical studies of granite weathering profile at Bukit Fraser, Pahang*. Graduate Thesis. National University of Malaysia.
- Rose Nadia, Rosli Saad, Nordiana Muztaza, Nur Azwin Ismail, Mohd Mokhtar Saidin. (2016). Geotechnical Parameters Study Using Seismic Refraction Tomography. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences & Engineering)* 78:8-6, 93-98.
- Rosli, S. (2003). *Penggunaan kaedah pembiasan seismik dan pengimejan elektrik 2-D untuk mengesan batu bundar*. Master thesis School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Rosli, S. (2009). *Novel protocol of engineering geophysics in urban environments*. Phd. Thesis School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Rosli, S., Nawawi, M. N. M., & Mohamad, E. T. (2012). Groundwater detection in alluvium using 2-D electrical resistivity tomography (ERT). *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 17, 369-376.
- Rucker, M. L. (2000). *Applying the seismic refraction technique to exploration for transportation facilities*.

- Rusnak, J., & Mark, C. (2000). Using the point load test to determine the uniaxial compressive strength of coal measure rock.
- Ryu, H. H., Cho, G. C., Yang, S. D., & Shin, H. K. (2011). Development of Tunnel Electrical Resistivity Prospecting System and its Application. *Geoelectric Monitoring*, 179.
- Santi, P. M. (1998). Improving the jar slake, slake index, and slake durability tests for shales. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 4(3), 385-396.
- Santi, P. M. (2006). Field methods for characterizing weak rock for engineering. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 12(1), 1-11.
- Saptono, S., Kramadibrata, S., & Sulistianto, B. (2013). Using the Schmidt hammer on rock mass characteristic in sedimentary rock at tutupan coal mine. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, 6, 390-395.
- Sass, O. (2006). Determination of the internal structure of alpine talus deposits using different geophysical methods (Lechtaler Alps, Austria). *Geomorphology*, 80(1-2), 45-58.
- Shaaban, H., El-Qady, G., Al-Sayed, E. S., Khozaym, A., Al-Emam, A., & Ghazala, H. (2014). Archaeo-Geophysical Survey around Itay El-Baroud Area, Nile Delta, Egypt. *Archaeological Discovery*, 2(03), 45.
- Shakoor, A., & Barefield, E. H. (2009). Relationship between unconfined compressive strength and degree of saturation for selected sandstones. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 15(1), 29-40.
- Shang, J., Hencher, S. R., & West, L. J. (2016). Tensile strength of geological discontinuities including incipient bedding, rock joints and mineral veins. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 49(11), 4213-4225.
- Shang, J., Hencher, S. R., West, L. J., & Handley, K. (2017). Forensic excavation of rock masses: a technique to investigate discontinuity persistence. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 50(11), 2911-2928.
- Sharif, E. (2011). An application of geophysical technique for assessment of excavatability of destructed rock/intermd. Geomaterial (IGMs). In *First EAGE International Conference on Engineering Geophysics*.
- Shirlaw, J. N., Tan, T. S., & Wong, K. S. (December, 2005). Deep excavations in Singapore marine clay. In *Geotechnical Aspects of Underground Construction in Soft Ground: Proc. of the 5-th Int. Symposium, Amsterdam* (pp. 13-28).

- Shishaye, H. A., & Abdi, S. (2016). Groundwater exploration for water well site locations using geophysical survey methods. *Hydrol. Curr. Res*, 71, 7-226.
- Shobe, C. M., Hancock, G. S., Eppes, M. C., & Small, E. E. (2017). Field evidence for the influence of weathering on rock erodibility and channel form in bedrock rivers. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 42(13), 1997-2012.
- Singh, B., & Goel, R. K. (1999). *Rock mass classification: a practical approach in civil engineering* (Vol. 46). Elsevier.
- Singh, R. N., Denby, B., & Egretli, I. (January, 1987). Development of a new rippability index for coal measures excavations. In *The 28th US Symposium on Rock Mechanics (USRMS)*. American Rock Mechanics Association.
- Sirles, P. C. (2006). *Use of geophysics for transportation projects* (Vol. 357). Transportation Research Board.
- Sjøgren, B., Øfsthus, A., & Sandberg, J. (1979). Seismic classification of rock mass qualities. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 27(2), 409-442.
- Smith, H. J. (1986). Estimating Rippability By Rock Mass Classification. *The 27th U.S. Symposium on Rock Mechanics (USRMS), Tuscaloosa, Alabama*, 443-448.
- Song, J. J. (2006). Estimation of areal frequency and mean trace length of discontinuities observed in non-planar surfaces. *Rock mechanics and rock engineering*, 39(2), 131-146.
- Soupios, P. M., Georgakopoulos, P., Papadopoulos, N., Saltas, V., Andreadakis, A., Vallianatos, F., & Makris, J. P. (2007). Use of engineering geophysics to investigate a site for a building foundation. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 4(1), 94-103.
- Stacey, H. (2007). Evaluation of risk of rock fall accidents in gold mine stopes based on measured joint data. *Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 107(5), 345-350.
- Stille, H., & Palmström, A. (2008). Ground behaviour and rock mass composition in underground excavations. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 23(1), 46-64.
- Storz, H., Storz, W., & Jacobs, F. (2000). Electrical resistivity tomography to investigate geological structures of the earth's upper crust. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 48(3), 455-471.

- Stück, H. L. (2013). *Dimensional sandstones: weathering phenomena, technical properties and numerical modeling of water migration* (Doctoral dissertation, Niedersächsische Staats-und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen).
- Stück, H., Koch, R., & Siegesmund, S. (2013). Petrographical and petrophysical properties of sandstones: statistical analysis as an approach to predict material behaviour and construction suitability. *Environmental earth sciences*, 69(4), 1299-1332.
- Sudha, K., Israil, M., Mittal, S., & Rai, J. (2009). Soil characterization using electrical resistivity tomography and geotechnical investigations. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 67(1), 74-79.
- Suryo, E. A., Gallage, C., Trigunaryyah, B., Mochtar, I. B., & Soemitro, R. (2011). Application of electrical resistivity method to detect deep cracks in unsaturated residual soil slope. In *Proceedings of AP-UNSAT 2011: 5th Asia-Pasific Conference on Unsaturated Soils* (pp. 1-6). Geotechnical Engineering Research and Development Center (GERD) Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Kasetsart University, Thailand.
- Taib, S., & Hasan, A. N. (2002). A meaningful correlation between shallow seismic refraction data to borehole data in site investigation work.
- Tajul Anuar Jamaludin and Mogana, S. (2000). Excavatability Assessment of Weathered Rock Mass- Case Study for Ijok, Selangor and Kemaman, Terengganu. *Warta Geology*, 26(3), 93-94.
- Tajul Anuar Jamaluddin, and Ismail Yusoff. (2003). "Influence of Discontinuity on Overbreaks and Underbreaks in Rock Excavation—Case Study from Beris Dam, Kedah, Malaysia."
- Tassone, A., Santomauro, M., Menichetti, M., Cerredo, M. E., Remesal, M. B., Lippai, H., & Vilas, J. F. (2010). Imaging subsurface lithological and structural features by resistivity tomography: North Beagle Channel (Tierra del Fuego, Argentina). *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Geológicas*, 27(3), 562-572.
- Tating, F., Hack, R., & Jetten, V. (2013). Engineering aspects and time effects of rapid deterioration of sandstone in the tropical environment of Sabah, Malaysia. *Engineering geology*, 159, 20-30.
- Tating, F., Hack, R., & Jetten, V. (2014). Weathering effects on discontinuity properties in sandstone in a tropical environment: case study at Kota Kinabalu,

- Sabah Malaysia. *Bulletin of engineering geology and the environment*, 74(2), 427-441.
- Telford, W. M., Telford, W. M., Geldart, L. P., Sheriff, R. E., & Sheriff, R. E. (1990). *Applied geophysics* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). Cambridge university press, 770 pp.
- Thuro, K., Plinninger, R. J., & Spaun, G. (September, 2002). Drilling, blasting and cutting—is it possible to quantify geological parameters relating to excavatability. In *Proceedings of the 9th congress of the international association for engineering geology and the environment, Durban, South Africa. Engineering geology for developing countries* (pp. 2853-2862).
- Thuro, K., & Plinninger, R. J. (January, 2003). Hard rock tunnel boring, cutting, drilling and blasting: rock parameters for excavatability. In *10th ISRM Congress*. International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering.
- Török, Á., & Vásárhelyi, B. (2010). The influence of fabric and water content on selected rock mechanical parameters of travertine, examples from Hungary. *Engineering Geology*, 115(3-4), 237-245.
- Tsiambaos, G. and Saroglou, H. (2010). Excavatability assessment of rock masses using the Geological Strength Index (GSI). *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, Vol 69, Issue 1, 13-27.
- Ugwu, N. U., Ranganai, R. T., Simon, R. E., & Ogubazghi, G. (2016). Geoelectric Evaluation of Groundwater Potential and Vulnerability of Overburden Aquifers at Onibu-Eja Active Open Dumpsite, Osogbo, Southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 8(03), 311.
- Ulugergerli, E. U., & Uyanik, O. (2007). Statistical correlations between seismic wave velocities and SPT blow counts and the relative density of soils. *Journal of Testing and Evaluation*, 35(2), 187-191.
- Ulusay, R., Arıkan, F., Yöleri, M. F., & Çağlan, D. (1995). Engineering geological characterization of coal mine waste material and an evaluation in the context of back-analysis of spoil pile instabilities in a strip mine, SW Turkey. *Engineering Geology*, 40(1-2), 77-101.
- Uyanik, O. (2010). Compressional and Shear-Wave Velocity Measurements in Unconsolidated the Top-Soil and Comparison of the Results. *International Journal of the Physical Sciences*, Vol.5(7), 1034-1039.

- Vardakos, S. (2004). A rock mass classification tool for personal digital assistants. The Charles E. Via Jr. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. *Geotechnical Engineering Group. Blacksburg, Virginia.*
- Vásárhelyi, B., & Ván, P. (2006). Influence of water content on the strength of rock. *Engineering Geology*, 84(1-2), 70-74.
- Wang, Z. (2001). *Fundamentals of Seismic Rock Physics: Geophysics*. 66(2): 398-412.
- Wasantha, P. L. P., Ranjith, P. G., Haque, A., Kodikara, J., & Bouazza, A. (2011). Implications of joint properties on the strength of a jointed rock mass. In *Advances in Unsaturated Soil, Geo-Hazard, and Geo-Environmental Engineering* (pp. 258-266).
- Weaver, J. M. (1975). Geological Factors Significant in The Assessment of Rippability. *Civil Engineering:South African Institution of Civil Engineer, Volume 17, Issue 12*, 313-316.
- Woodruff, K. D. (1971). *Application of geophysics to highway design in the Piedmont of Delaware*. Newark, DE: Delaware Geological Survey, University of Delaware.
- Wu, F., Liu, T., Liu, J., & Tang, X. (2009). Excavation unloading destruction phenomena in rock dam foundations. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 68(2), 257-262.
- Zafirovski, Z., Peševski, I., & Papić, J. B. (2012). Methodology for extrapolation of rock mass deformability parameters in tunneling. *Facta universitatis-series: Architecture and Civil Engineering*, 10(3), 235-244.
- Zainab Mohamed. (2004). *Engineering Characterisation of Weathered Sedimentary Rock for Engineering Work*. Unpublished Ph.D Dissertation: National University of Malaysia.
- Zainab Mohamed, Abd Ghani Rafek and Ibrahim Komoo. (2007). Characterisation and classification of the physical deterioration of tropically weathered kenny hill rock for civil works. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 12, 16.
- Zainab Mohamed, Masahiro Chigira, Lim Choun Sian, and Ibrahim Komoo. (2011). "Landslides in weathered granitic rocks in Japan and Malaysia."
- Zhao, J., & Gong, Q. M. (2006). Rock mechanics and excavation by tunnel boring machine—issues and challenges. In *Rock Mechanics In Underground Construction: (With CD-ROM)* (pp. 83-96).

- Zhang, L. (2016). Determination and applications of rock quality designation (RQD). *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 8(3), 389-397.
- Zuriati, J. (2010). Penggunaan kaedah pengimejan resistiviti 2-D dan seismik biasan dalam kajian subpermukaan untuk kejuruteraan awam. Master thesis School of Physics, *Universiti Sains Malaysia*.