## SCALE REMOVAL IN WATER PIPELINE WITH A MAGNETIC FIELD

## ATHIRAH BINTI OTHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

> School of Civil Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > AUGUST 2020

### DEDICATION

HAJI OTHMAN BIN MD. JUSOH HAJAH SHOBARIAH BINTI HANAFI \*MY OTHER HALF\* MOHD ARIFF ADAM SITI YUSRINA

This is dedicated specially for,

NURUL FADZNI

ASIAH

LUQMAN RAFIEE

ASMAK

HUD

or their endless support, sacrifices and faith on this Doctor of Philosophy journey of mine, from year September 2014 to August 2020.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Compassionate"

First and foremost, a very special thanks you and appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr Johan bin Sohaili for being the most understanding, spending his valuable time, guidance and encouragement throughout this research.

Not forgetting my supportive parents and family who are always stay by my side and motivate me all the way. For my dearest friends, I am so thankful to have all of you during our study time together. Finally, I wish to extend my sincere thankful to all environmental laboratory technicians for their timely support during my study.

I am greatly indebted to School of Civil Engineering (SKA) for the support and facilities provided to carry out the experimental work. Same goes to the academic and non-academic staff of the faculty for their support, assistance and friendly treatment that facilitated the work. I remain immensely grateful for the financial support by Ministry of Education Malaysia through the scholarship of MyPhD, and also a research grant under GUP Tier 1 entitle of Removal of Scale in Water Pipeline with Magnetic Field; Q. J130000.2522.18H24.

Last but not least, I also owe special thanks to whomever that always been there for me and extended every possible support during this research.

#### ABSTRACT

Magnetic water treatment (MWT) is a green concept of water treatment where the magnetic device is installed to the system. This concept is intended to cater to scale problem as it is the most common problem experienced in water pipeline which causes the reduction of system performance. This leads to the pipeline becoming clogged and causing potential damage. Scale is a soluble salt known as calcium carbonate, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, which usually develop due to the mineral content of the water itself and external factors from surroundings. Calcium carbonate, can be found in almost every type of scale in various water system, is the ingredient of scale. Conventional treatment was used in controlling the scale, but it is harmful to the system and environmentally unfriendly. Thus, water treatment with the application of magnetic field is an alternative for future practice. This study was conducted experimentally in the laboratory where all the samples and variables were installed and conducted in 4 phases. The first phase of this study was aimed to determine the variables of this study. In order to obtain the optimum results, the variables selected were orientation of magnetic devices, the flow rate of water and the intensity of magnetic field under 18 days of operation time. Magnetic devices for treating scale in used water pipes are subjected to different magnetic orientations under inverted and non-inverted, with one control device without magnet. The water flow rate used were 1.0 mL/s, 2.0 mL/s and 3.0 mL/s and the magnet intensity were 0.1 Tesla, 0.3 Tesla and 0.5 Tesla respectively. All these flow rates and magnet intensities were applied and conducted simultaneously under those orientations. These variables were applied in order to achieve the objectives of the next phase of the study, which were water sample characteristics and morphology structure of scale. The final phase for this study was formulating the empirical relationship of scale removal efficiency using Response Surface Methodology (RSM). The results showed that inverted orientation was 28% greater rate of scale removal compared to that of non-inverted which was 20%. For variables of water flow rate, greater flow rate of 3.0 mL/s (78%) gave better results than lower flow rate. Regarding magnetic intensity, the higher the intensity of permanent magnet used, the greater the rate of scale removal. Hence, under inverted orientation with 3.0 mL/s water flow rate and magnetic intensity of 0.5 Tesla, the experiment results of scale removal under MWT was 57% compared to 0.3 Tesla (43%) and 0.1 Tesla (23%). The morphology structure of scale was analysed under Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) resulting in the crystal form of scale ranged from harder crystal (calcite) to softer crystal (vaterite and aragonite). From the analysis of Three Dimension Response Surface Plot, it was found that scale removal efficiency had the effects and interaction towards magnet intensity of 0.5 Tesla, water flow rate of 2.98 mL/s and 18 days of operation time under inverted orientation. Experimental validation demonstrated a scale removal prediction of 37.527 mg/L and the observed results from experiments was 36.9 mg/L representing an error of only 1.7%. The outcome of the study bridges the knowledge of magnetic water treatment and will aid the concept to the future practices in water treatment as our world is now ingeared towards sustainable and environmentally friendly treatment.

#### ABSTRAK

Olahan air magnetik (MWT) adalah olahan air berkonsep hijau di mana peranti magnet dipasang pada sistem. Konsep ini bertujuan untuk mengatasi masalah kerak kerana kebanyakan saluran paip mengalami masalah kerak yang menyebabkan prestasi sistem terganggu. Ini menyebabkan saluran paip tersumbat dan boleh menyebabkan kerosakan. Kerak ialah garam terlarut yang dikenali sebagai kalsium karbonat, CaCO<sub>3</sub> yang terbentuk disebabkan kandungan mineral yang hadir di dalam air itu sendiri dan juga dari faktor keadaan sekeliling. Kalsium karbonat, boleh dijumpai hampir di kesemua jenis kerak dalam pelbagai sistem air. Olahan air konvensional telah dipraktiskan untuk mengawal kerak tetapi kaedah ini mendatangkan bahaya kepada sistem dan tidak mesra alam sekitar. Oleh itu, olahan air dengan menggunakan peranti magnet merupakan satu alternatif yang berpotensi pada masa hadapan. Kajian ini dijalankan secara eksperimen di makmal iaitu semua sampel dan pembolehubah dilaksanakan sepenuhnya di makmal. Kajian ini dimulakan dengan fasa mengenal pasti pembolehubah untuk penyelidikan ini. Untuk mendapatkan hasil eksperimen yang optimum, antara pembolehubah yang dipilih ialah orientasi peranti magnet, kadar aliran air dan keamatan medan magnet dalam tempoh 18 hari masa beroperasi. Peranti magnet yang digunakan adalah tertakluk kepada orientasi magnet yang berbeza, iaitu terbalik dan tidak terbalik, dengan satu peranti kawalan tanpa magnet. Kadar aliran air yang digunakan adalah 1.0 mL/s, 2.0 mL/s dan 3.0 mL/s manakala keamatan magnet yang digunakan adalah 0.1 Tesla, 0.3 Tesla dan 0.5 Tesla. Kesemua kadar aliran air dan keamatan magnet ini digunakan dan dilaksanakan secara serentak di bawah orientasi magnet yang berikut. Pembolehubah ini digunakan supaya objektif untuk fasa seterusnya dalam kajian ini iaitu ciri-ciri sampel air dan struktur morfologi kerak dapat dicapai. Fasa terakhir untuk kajian ini adalah merumuskan hubungan empirikal kecekapan penyingkiran kerak dengan menggunakan kaedah Metodologi Permukaan Tindak Balas (RSM). Hasil eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa orientasi terbalik memberi kadar penyingkiran kerak yang lebih besar iaitu 28% berbanding dengan tidak terbalik dengan 20%. Manakala untuk pembolehubah kadar aliran air, kadar aliran lebih tinggi 3.0 mL/s (78%) memberikan hasil yang lebih baik daripada kadar aliran yang lebih rendah. Diikuti pula dengan pembolehubah keamatan magnet, semakin tinggi keamatan magnet kekal yang digunakan, semakin besar kadar penyingkiran kerak. Oleh itu, di bawah orientasi terbalik dengan kadar aliran air 3.0 mL/s dan keamatan magnet sebanyak 0.5 Tesla, keputusan penyingkiran kerak di bawah MWT adalah 57% berbanding 0.3 Tesla (43%) dan 0.1 Tesla (23%). Struktur morfologi kerak telah dianalis di bawah Mikroskop Elektron Pengimbas Medan (FESEM) dan Difraksi Sinar-X (XRD) yang menunjukkan perubahan kristal kerak daripada kristal yang lebih kuat (kalsit) menjadi kristal yang lebih lemah (vaterit dan aragonit). Hasil analisis Plot Permukaan Tindakbalas Tiga Dimensi, didapati kecekapan penyingkiran kerak mempunyai kesan dan interaksi terhadap keamatan magnet sebanyak 0.5 Tesla, kadar aliran air 2.98 mL/s dan 18 hari waktu operasi di bawah orientasi terbalik. Pengesahan eksperimen menunjukkan penyingkiran kerak yang diramalkan adalah 37.527 mg/L dan hasil yang diperhatikan dari eksperimen adalah 36.9 mg/L di mana ianya berbeza hanya 1.7%. Hasil kajian ini akan menjadi rujukan terhadap pengetahuan tentang olahan air magnetik dan akan membantu konsep ini untuk praktis masa depan dalam sistem olahan air kerana dunia kita kini lebih ke arah lestari dan mesra alam.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## TITLE

19

DECLARATION		iii	
]	DEDI	CATION	ivv
1	ACKI	NOWLEDGEMENT	v
L	ABST	TRACT	vi
1	ABST	TRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS			viii
]	LIST	OF TABLES	xiii
]	LIST	OF FIGURES	xiv
]	LIST	<b>OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xix
]	LIST	OF SYMBOLS	xxi
]	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xxii
CHAPTER	1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	3
	1.3	Objectives	5
	1.4	Scope of Study	6
	1.5	Significance of Study	7
CHAPTER	2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1	Introduction	9
	2.2	Existence and Effects of Scale in Water Pipe	9
,	2.3	Scale Microstructure under their Crystal Forms	12
	2.4	Factors Causing Scale Growth	15

2.4.1	Natural Minerals	15
2.4.2	Water Temperature and Flow	16
2.4.3	Materials of Pipeline and Its Medium	18

2.5 Water Treatment System

2.6	The Existing Water Treatment in Control Scale	20
	2.6.1 Chemical Additives	21
	2.6.2 Ion Exchange	23
	2.6.3 Reverse Osmosis	24
	2.6.4 Mechanical Method in Scale Removal	25
2.7	Drawback of Existing Scale Water Treatment	26
2.8	Introduction of Magnetic Water Treatment	28
	2.8.1 Turbidity	31
	2.8.2 Water pH	32
	2.8.3 Water Hardness	33
2.9	The Concepts of Magnetic Field on Water	34
	2.9.1 Faraday's Law	35
	2.9.2 Lorentz Force	36
	2.9.3 Magnetic Memory	36
	2.9.4 Magnetic Concepts of Colloidal, Ostwald Ripening and Others	37
2.10	Performance of Magnetic Field on Scale	39
	2.10.1 Scale Formation	39
	2.10.2 Scale Removal	40
2.11	Booster of Magnetic Water Treatment	40
	2.11.1 The Criteria of Magnet	41
	2.11.2 The Condition of Water Sample	42
	2.11.3 The Flow Rate of Water	43
2.12	Advantages of Implementing Magnetic Water Treatment	43
2.13	Optimization Process under Response Surface Methodology	48
2.14	Adequacy Checking of the Model	49
2.15	Summary of Research Gap	50
CHAPTER 3	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	53
3.1	Problem Background	47
3.2	Experimental Framework	53
3.3	Experiment Work for Magnetic Water Treatment	56

	3.3.1 Preparation of Experimental Setup	57
	3.3.2 Preparation of Morphology Structure	Analysis 58
3.4	Experimental Materials and Instruments	59
	3.4.1 Water Pipe	59
	3.4.2 Permanent Magnets	60
	3.4.3 Peristaltic Pump	61
	3.4.4 Tubing	62
	3.4.5 Glass Apparatus	63
	3.4.6 Water Bath	64
3.5	The Orientations of Permanent Magnets	65
	3.5.1 Inverted Magnetic Design	67
	3.5.2 Non-Inverted Magnetic Design	68
	3.5.3 Non-Magnetic Design	69
3.6	Formulation of Empirical Relationship of Removal by Experimental Design of Response Methodology	on Scale e Surface 69
3.7	Summary on the Research Materials and Meth	hods 72
CHAPTER 4	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	73
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b> Introduction	<b>73</b> 73
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1 4.2	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS Introduction Relationship of Magnet Orientation on Magnet Exposure	<b>73</b> 73 etic Field 74
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1 4.2	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS Introduction Relationship of Magnet Orientation on Magnet Exposure 4.2.1 The Performance on Water Turbidi Magnet Orientations	73 73 etic Field 74 ty under 74
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1 4.2	<ul> <li>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</li> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Relationship of Magnet Orientation on Magnet Exposure</li> <li>4.2.1 The Performance on Water Turbidi Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.2 The Performance on Water pH under Orientations</li> </ul>	73 73 etic Field 74 ty under 74 r Magnet 77
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1 4.2	<ul> <li>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</li> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Relationship of Magnet Orientation on Magnet Exposure</li> <li>4.2.1 The Performance on Water Turbidit Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.2 The Performance on Water pH under Orientations</li> <li>4.2.3 The Performance on Concentration of Carbonate under Magnet Orientations</li> </ul>	73 73 etic Field 74 ty under 74 r Magnet 77 Calcium 79
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1 4.2	<ul> <li>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</li> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Relationship of Magnet Orientation on Magnet Exposure</li> <li>4.2.1 The Performance on Water Turbidit Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.2 The Performance on Water pH under Orientations</li> <li>4.2.3 The Performance on Concentration of Carbonate under Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.4 Summary of Results on the Variable or Orientations</li> </ul>	73 73 etic Field 74 ty under 74 r Magnet 79 f Magnet 81
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1 4.2	<ul> <li>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</li> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Relationship of Magnet Orientation on Magnet Exposure</li> <li>4.2.1 The Performance on Water Turbidit Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.2 The Performance on Water pH under Orientations</li> <li>4.2.3 The Performance on Concentration of Carbonate under Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.4 Summary of Results on the Variable or Orientations</li> <li>Relationship of Water Flow Rate on Magnet Exposure</li> </ul>	73 73 etic Field 74 ty under 74 r Magnet 79 f Magnet 81 etic Field 84
<b>CHAPTER 4</b> 4.1 4.2	<ul> <li>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</li> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Relationship of Magnet Orientation on Magnet Exposure</li> <li>4.2.1 The Performance on Water Turbidit Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.2 The Performance on Water pH under Orientations</li> <li>4.2.3 The Performance on Concentration of Carbonate under Magnet Orientations</li> <li>4.2.4 Summary of Results on the Variable or Orientations</li> <li>Relationship of Water Flow Rate on Magnet Exposure</li> <li>4.3.1 The Performance on Turbidity under Flow Rate</li> </ul>	73 73 etic Field 74 ty under 74 r Magnet 77 Calcium 79 f Magnet 81 etic Field 84 er Water 84

	4.3.3 The Performance on Concentration of Calcium Carbonate under Water Flow Rate	89
	4.3.4 Summary Between Variables of Permanent Magnet Orientation and Water Flow Rate under Parameter of Water Hardness	92
4.4	Relationship of Magnet Intensity on MWT	94
	4.4.1 The Performance on Water Turbidity under Magnet Intensity	95
	4.4.2 Summary Between Variables of Permanent Magnet Orientation and Intensity under Parameter of Turbidity	97
	4.4.3 The Performance on Concentration of Calcium Carbonate under Magnet Intensity	99
	4.4.4 Summary Between Variables of Permanent Magnet Orientation and Intensity under Parameter of Water Hardness	101
4.5	Effect of Temperature on The Magnetic Field Exposure	103
4.6	Morphology Characteristics of Scale	106
	4.6.1 Visual Observation of Removed Scale	106
	4.6.2 Microscopic Properties of Scale	108
4.7	Conclusion	115
CHAPTER 5	<b>RESPONSE SURFACE METHOD</b>	117
5.1	Introduction	117
5.2	Empirical Relationship Formula for Scale Removal Efficiency	117
5.3	Determination of Suitable Model	119
	5.3.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	124
	5.3.2 Adequacy Checking of the Model	125
	5.3.2.1 Normal Probability Plot	126
	5.3.2.2 Residuals versus Predicted Plot	127
	5.3.2.3 Residuals versus Run Number Plot	128
	5.3.2.4 Actual versus Predicted Plot	129
5.4	Three Dimension Response Surface Plot	130
	5.4.1 Magnet Intensity and Water Flow Rate Variables	130

	5.4.2	Magnet Intensity and Operation Time Variable	133
	5.4.3	Water Flow Rate and Operation Time Variable	136
5.5	5 Exper	imental Condition Optimization	139
5.0	5 Summ	nary of Response Surface Method	140
CHAPTER 6	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	141
6.2	l Concl	usions	141
6.2	2 Recor	nmendations	142
REFERENC	ES		145
LIST OF PU	BLICATIO	ONS	179

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Classification of water hardness (WHO, 2011)	34
Table 2.2	Published research articles of magnetic water treatment regards on scale in previous years	46
Table 3.1	Methods and instruments used for each tests conducted	54
Table 3.2	Values on MWT Factors	71
Table 3.3	The Selected Variables and Conditions on MWT Experiment	72
Table 4.1	The Composition of Water Sample	74
Table 5.1	The Variables and Range Values Used in The Experiments	118
Table 5.2	RSM Results on Scale Removal in Actual and Predicted values	120
Table 5.3	Results of Sequential Model Sum of Squares Test (Type I)	122
Table 5.4	Results of Lack of Fit Test	122
Table 5.5	Results of Model Summary Statistics	123
Table 5.6	ANOVA Results for Scale Removal Efficiency from Magnetic Water Treatment	125
Table 5.7	Predicted and Observed Scale Removal at Optimum MWT Condition	140

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	(a) Typical scale appears as a crust layer (b) combination with corrosion inside the water pipe	10
Figure 2.2	The microstructure of calcite under SEM images showing rhombohedral shape by (a) Dhami <i>et al.</i> , 2013 (b) Omari <i>et al.</i> , 2016; scalenohedral shape by (c) Colucci <i>et al.</i> , 2017 and flower-like shape by (d) Oral and Ercan, 2018	12
Figure 2.3	The microstructure of aragonite under SEM images showing needle-like shape by (a) Chang <i>et al.</i> , 2017 and (b) Omari <i>et al.</i> , 2016	13
Figure 2.4	The microstructure of vaterite under SEM images showing spherical shape by (a) Chang <i>et al.</i> , 2017 and ellipsoidal shape by (b) Oral and Ercan, 2018	14
Figure 2.5	The transformations of crystal phase of scale from the least to the most stable (Sarkar and Mahapatra, 2010)	14
Figure 2.6	Standard processes of water treatment (Edited: Tchobanoglous <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	20
Figure 2.7	Lime and soda were used in water treatment as scaling prevention effort	22
Figure 2.8	The process of ion exchange with sodium, Na2+ resin (Skipton <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	24
Figure 2.9	Schematic diagram of reverse osmosis process (Tchobanoglous <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	25
Figure 2.10	Crystal size, where small size means a large number of crystals and demonstrates an acceleration of nucleation by magnetic field (a) Ordinary water, zero strength of magnet, where the crystal in bigger size and small quantity (b) Ordinary water, 0.19 T where the crystal in smaller size and large quantity (Madsen, 2004)	31
Figure 2.11	Total precipitation ratio in % of the amount of dissolved $CaCO_3$ against flow rate, which indicates lower pH of water was enhancing the total precipitation ratio % of $CaCO_3$ (Alimi <i>et al.</i> , 2007) where the flow rate (dm <sup>3</sup> .mn <sup>-1</sup> ) as decimetre cube per minute	33
Figure 2.12	The orientation of the electric field vector, Ey produced when the flow vector, vz and magnetic field vector Bx is in orthogonal angle (Busch <i>et al.</i> , 1996)	35

Figure 3.1	Research framework of the study illustrated in flowchart	55
Figure 3.2	The schematic drawing of the magnetic water treatment where A=Blank orientation, B=Inverted orientation, C=Non-inverted orientation	57
Figure 3.3	Water pipe made of steel, with (a) diameter of 15mm and	
	(b) length of 450mm	60
Figure 3.4	Permanent magnet made of NdFeB with dimension of $(50x50x10)$ mm	60
Figure 3.5	Gauss meter used in determine the intensity of permanent magnet	61
Figure 3.6	Peristaltic pump of Cole Parmer, USA was used in controlling the flow rate along the experiment	62
Figure 3.7	Two types of tube as (a) tygon and (b) silicone Masterflex were used accordingly to their specific usage throughout the experiment	62
Figure 3.8	Glass apparatus consists of (a) beaker, (b) sample cell for hardness test, (c) pipette and (d) conical flask	63
Figure 3.9	DR6000 for hardness test	64
Figure 3.10	The setup of water sample inside the water bath for controlled temperature	65
Figure 3.11	The schematic drawing of the magnetic water treatment	66
Figure 3.12	The experimental setup of magnetic water treatment	67
Figure 3.13	Inverted magnetic design with (a) schematic diagram and (b) magnetic flux orientation (cross-section view)	68
Figure 3.14	Non-inverted magnetic design with (a) schematic diagram and (b) magnetic flux orientation (cross-section view)	69
Figure 4.1	The visual observation of water sample turbidity (a) before MWT and (b) after 18 days under MWT	75
Figure 4.2	The increment of turbidity level of water sample under inverted, non-inverted and blank orientation (under intensity of 0.1 T and flow rate of 1.0 mL/s)	76
Figure 4.3	The value of water sample pH under inverted, non-inverted and blank orientation (under intensity of 0.1 T and flow rate of 1.0 mL/s)	78
Figure 4.4	The performance of inverted, non-inverted and blank orientation in removing scale in water pipeline (under intensity of 0.1 T and flow rate of 1.0 mL/s)	81

Figure 4.5	Summarization results of all parameters under all orientations	83
Figure 4.6	The level of turbidity in water sample in blank orientation shows higher turbidity under higher flow rate (under intensity of 0.1 T)	85
Figure 4.7	The level of turbidity in water sample under non-inverted orientation shows slightly significant turbidity under different flow rates (under intensity of 0.1T)	86
Figure 4.8	The level of turbidity in water sample under inverted orientation shows significant turbidity under different flow rates (under intensity of 0.1 T)	87
Figure 4.9	Summarization results of turbidity parameter under all flow rates in orientation of inverted, non-inverted and blank	89
Figure 4.10	The concentration of $CaCO_3$ under blank orientation with Q1, Q2 and Q3 results of higher $CaCO_3$ concentration with higher flow rate	90
Figure 4.11	The concentration of $CaCO_3$ under non-inverted orientation with Q1, Q2 and Q3 results of higher $CaCO_3$ concentration with higher flow rate	91
Figure 4.12	The concentration of $CaCO_3$ under inverted orientation with Q1, Q2 and Q3 results of higher $CaCO_3$ concentration with higher flow rate	92
Figure 4.13	Summarization results of CaCO <sub>3</sub> concentration under different orientation of magnetic field with different flow rate. Inverted orientation with flow rate, Q3 results higher CaCO <sub>3</sub> removal due to more nucleation is promoted in the bulk solution with higher flow rate and precipitation rate	94
Figure 4.14	The turbidity of water sample under non-inverted orientation results of higher turbidity level with higher magnetic intensity	96
Figure 4.15	The turbidity of water sample under inverted orientation results of higher turbidity level with higher magnetic intensity	97
Figure 4.16	Each magnet intensities of 0.5 Tesla, 0.3 Tesla and 0.1 Tesla results higher turbidity removal under inverted orientation compared to non-inverted where the highest turbidity removal goes to magnetic intensity of 0.5 Tesla	98
Figure 4.17	The concentration of CaCO <sub>3</sub> under non-inverted orientation results of higher CaCO <sub>3</sub> concentration with higher magnetic intensity which is up to 47% compared to without magnetic field	100

Figure 4.18	The concentration of $CaCO_3$ under inverted orientation results higher $CaCO_3$ concentration with higher magnetic intensity where inverted orientation of 0.5 Tesla removed scale up to 57% compared to without magnetic field	101
Figure 4.19	Summarization results of CaCO <sub>3</sub> concentration under different orientation of magnetic field with different magnetic intensities shows inverted orientation with magnet intensity of 0.5 Tesla results highest CaCO <sub>3</sub> removal compared to non-inverted and lower intensities	102
Figure 4.20	Turbidity of water sample under temperature of 50 °C in 16 days	104
Figure 4.21	pH of water sample under temperature of 50 °C in 16 days	104
Figure 4.22	Hardness of water sample under temperature of 50°C in 16 days	105
Figure 4.23	Visual observation of scale attached in used steel water pipe	107
Figure 4.24	The visual observation of removed scale from used steel water pipe with magnetic field, from left: (a) blank, (b) non- inverted and (c) inverted	107
Figure 4.25	The visual observation of removed scale in closer view after MWT	107
Figure 4.26	Polymorph of calcium carbonate as calcite with (a, b) scalenohedral shape and (c, d) rhombohedral shape of crystal in pipe's wall before MWT under various resolution	109
Figure 4.27	Morphology of calcium carbonate on the pipe's wall after completing MWT with polymoprh of aragonite and vaterite under various resolution	110
Figure 4.28	Morphology of removed scale which accumulated after MWT with spherical shape of crystal form under various resolution	111
Figure 4.29	Morphology of scale (a, b) for 0.5 Tesla under inverted orientation against (c, d) 0.5 Tesla non-inverted orientation and (e) 0.3 Tesla under inverted orientation	113
Figure 4.30	The content of the elements on the removed scale after MWT with 0.5 Tesla, $3.0 \text{ mL/s}$ flow rate with (a) under non-inverted orientation with 20.41% and (b) under inverted orientation with 27.75% where C: CaCO <sub>3</sub>	114
Figure 5.1	Normal Probability Plot of Scale Removal Efficiency	127
Figure 5.2	The Studentized Residuals versus Predicted Response Plot	128
0	1	

Figure 5.3	The Studentized Residuals versus Run Number Plot	129
Figure 5.4	The Predicted versus Actual Plot	130
Figure 5.5	(a) Three Dimension Response Surface Plot (b) Contour Plot of Non-Inverted Orientation for the Variable of Magnetic Intensity and Water Flow Rate on Scale Removal Efficiency	132
Figure 5.6	(a) Three Dimension Response Surface Plot (b) Contour Plot of Inverted Orientation for the Variable of Magnetic Intensity and Water Flow Rate on Scale Removal Efficiency	133
Figure 5.7	(a) Three Dimension Response Surface Plot (b) Contour Plot of Non-Inverted Orientation for the Variable of Magnetic Intensity and Operation Time on Scale Removal Efficiency	135
Figure 5.8	(a) Three Dimension Response Surface Plot (b) Contour Plot of Inverted Orientation for the Variable of Magnetic Intensity and Operation Time on Scale Removal Efficiency	136
Figure 5.9	(a) Three Dimension Response Surface Plot (b) Contour Plot of Non-Inverted Orientation for the Variable of Water Flow Rate and Operation Time on Scale Removal Efficiency	137
Figure 5.10	(a) Three Dimension Response Surface Plot (b) Contour Plot of Inverted Orientation for the Variable of Water Flow Rate and Operation Time on Scale Removal Efficiency	138

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

APHA	-	American Public Health Association
HDPE	-	High Density Polyethylene
PVC	-	Polyvinyl
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscopy
MWT	-	Magnetic Water Treatment
RO	-	Reverse Osmosis
°C	-	Degree Celcius
mg/L	-	milligram per Liter
Т	-	Tesla
NTU	-	Nephelometric Turbidity Units
mmol/L	-	milimoles per Liter
gpg	-	grain per gallon
ppm	-	parts per million
WHO	-	World Health Organization
М	-	Magnetization
χυ	-	measured magnetic susceptibility
Н	-	magnetic exposure
FL	-	Faradays Law
E	-	electric field vector
v	-	fluid linear/flow velocity
В	-	magnetic induction
LF	-	Lorentz Force
q	-	quantity of charged ion
PEX	-	high-density cross-linked polyethylene
ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variances
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
FESEM	-	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
XRD	-	X-Ray Diffraction
mm	-	millimetre
NdFeB	-	Neodymium-Ferrite-Boron

L	-	Length
W	-	Width
Т	-	Thickness
USA	-	United States of America
mL	-	mili Liter
0	-	Degree
Ν	-	North Pole
S	-	South Pole
cm	-	Centimetre
В	-	Blank orientation
NI	-	Non-Inverted orientation
N. I	-	Non-Inverted orientation
INV	-	Inverted Orientation
Q1	-	1.0 mL/s
Q2	-	2.0 mL/s
Q3	-	3.0 mL/s

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

$H_2CO_3$	-	Carbonic Acid
$H_2O$	-	Water
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	-	Calcium Carbonate
$CO_2$	-	Carbon Dioxide
Cu	-	Copper
Zn	-	Zinc
Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub> )	-	Calcium Hydroxide
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	-	Sodium Carbonate
Mg(OH) <sub>2</sub>	-	Magnesium Hydroxide
Na <sup>2+</sup>	-	Sodium ions
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	-	Calcium ions
$Mg^{2+}$	-	Magnesium ions
$\mathrm{H}^+$	-	Hydrogen ions
OH-	-	Hydroxide ions
%	-	Percentage
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	-	Calcium Chloride
CaSO <sub>4</sub>	-	Calcium Sulphate
BaSO <sub>4</sub>	-	Barium Sulphate
Fe	-	Iron

## LIST OF APPENDICES

TITLE	PAGE
ANOVA Analysis for Results Obtained	159
Percentage of Element Content for Scale under XRD Analysis	176
The Optimization Results of MWT from Respond Surface Met	hod 178
	TITLE ANOVA Analysis for Results Obtained Percentage of Element Content for Scale under XRD Analysis The Optimization Results of MWT from Respond Surface Met under CCD Analysis

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Introduction

Water is one of the most crucial resources and is required by every lifeform or living creature in the world. We as human can survive without food for up to 73 days but without water, we only can survive for five days (Popkin et al., 2010). In addition to staying hydrated in order to prevent harm to our body, water is also very important for humans on a daily basis. These situations definitely show the importance of water in our life. The causes of water disruption might due to the water supply problems such as broken water pipeline, interruption in water treatment plant and water pollution. Currently, there is water supply problem in Shah Alam, Malaysia due to the old pipeline system (Mohd, 2019), where 400 km out of total 27 000 km of pipeline were replaced over a 3 year period. The issue of broken pipeline is believed to be due to formation of scale and corrosion which causes brittle and leakage to the pipeline. All of these issues have caused the interruption of water supply especially to the consumer on a daily basis. Thus, the technology and technique concerning water treatment and water supply is continues to be developed and has become a compulsory process to produce a continuous supply of clean water, especially for daily necessities of human life.

Water treatment is an application that consists of few processes in producing clean and safe water, compliance with specific end-uses such as drinking water, water for recreation and irrigation (Khoshravesh *et al.*, 2018). Water treatment is practiced worldwide according to the environment, such as the type of water sources and water pipeline. The problem of water disruption is basically from the lack of management in water supply strategy, poor technology of water treatment and protection of natural water resources. Water pollution basically comes from various factors such as natural sources, human being and industry activities. The other common water issue is

leakage. Although leakage seems to be small matter, it tends to create big problems, especially to humans if the leakage is not handled early. Scale formation is one of the main factors that leads to leakage where its formation is due to the high concentration of minerals from water sources. Formation of scale in water pipeline is a very common problem due to the existence of hardness from natural sources where water is used frequently as a main medium (Alabi *et al.*, 2015). It is not formed because the water is polluted, as this problem also occurred to the treated water. The growth of scale may be generated by many external factors, such as water temperature, which is one of the main factors that enhances the scale growth (Donaldson and Grimes, 1988).

Scale is an assemblage deposit of calcium carbonate, magnesium hydroxide and calcium sulphate (Sohaili *et al.*, 2016) which is normally hard and insulator layer off-white in color. Scale deposits attach in the pipeline wall and tend to reduce the pipeline internal diameter, clogging the pipe and obstructing the flow of fluid especially when the scale is heavily grown. The sources of scale formation come from various factors but the growth is faster with the existence of high temperature and higher water hardness. Hardness is described as minerals dissolved in water specifically calcium and magnesium (Latva *et al.*, 2016).

Water is an excellent solvent, capable to move and make contact in any medium such as soil and rock, which generally make the water hard. Even if the amount of mineral content in the solution is small, the minerals will accumulate and increase the hardness concentration over time. The level of hardness becomes higher with the greater content of calcium and magnesium where it is referred as concentration of multivalent cations dissolved in water. When ionic substances dissolve in water, they tend to split into their own element ions. However, these ions of calcium and magnesium were not remained the same if they were exposed to certain circumstances such as evaporation, where the water becomes saturated and tends to precipitate salt crystal.

Scale in water pipeline can be controlled by implementing magnetic water treatment (MWT). MWT is one of the physical water treatment approaches which known as green technology, environmentally friendly, low cost maintenance and simple treatment compared to others. Application of magnetic field in water pipeline was applied to remove the existing scale in pipeline. Magnetic field was created by permanent magnets incorporated into many water devices and even water conditioning devices. The principle of magnetic technology involves the physics of interaction between a magnetic field and a moving electric charge (ion) as a force is exerted on each ion and causes redirection of the particles. It tends to increase the frequency with which ions of opposite charge collide and combine to form a mineral precipitate or insoluble compound (Gholizadeh *et al.*, 2005). Another theory (Madsen, 2004), which is Pauli exclusion principle, is focused on proton transfer where magnetic field influences proton spin relaxation.

Although wide-ranging studies by many researches had been carried out in order to identify the effectiveness of magnetic field in treating scale, the findings were still lacking and confusing where the exact factors in optimizing the efficiency of MWT were not clear yet. The findings of previous researches have their own limitation which need to be explored further. These issues will be elaborated in Chapter 2. Thus, with the modification of magnetic devices to the water pipeline, this research is expected to cater the scale problem through determination of water parameters changes and identify new potential mechanism of scale removal process under references of previous findings. Lastly, the determination of relationship by empirical formula, which prove the significant factors of MWT effectiveness, as one of the main contributions of this study results.

### **1.2 Problem Statement**

The existence of scale in water pipeline often creates problem in both domestic purposes and industrial activities. The major problem of pipeline in water supply either for general purposes or industrial activities is scale formation especially when it involves the usage of hot water such as water heater, boiler and cooling tower. Scale causes problems of pipe deterioration (Abdelhady *et al.*, 2011), blockage, corrosion and biofilm formation (Latva *et al.*, 2016). These problems caused many difficulties and disruption to the performance system of the pipe and the worst part is the damage

caused to the pipe itself when the scale was heavily grown. It takes a long time and very costly for the maintenance and replacement of the new pipeline. In addition, damaged pipe tends to pollute the environment and the water itself. Pipeline with scale had caused the hardness of water to be higher due to the existence of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  ions from scale. The impact on environment is where the hardness affects fish and other aquatic life due to existence of the metal ions in harder water. Some of the metal ions in the hard water form insoluble precipitates and drop out of solution and are not available to be taken in by the organism. Large amounts of hardness are undesirable mostly for economic or aesthetic reasons. If drinking water source comes from nature, hardness can present problems in the water treatment process. Hardness must also be removed before certain industries can use the water. For this reason, the hardness test is one of the most frequent analysis done by facilities that use water.

Scale often leads to interrupt the water flow inside the pipes for domestic equipment and limit the heat transfer in heat exchanger for industrial plants, leading to technical and economic problems. Mostly, scale growth is enhanced by water temperature and becomes big problem to the industrial and technology activities which implicate heat-transfer, heat exchanger or any that related to water temperature such as water distribution systems boilers and cooling towers. The problem increases as water gets hotter (Donaldson and Grimes, 1988). In many industrial processes that use natural water supplies, scale formation is a common and costly problem (Banejad and Abdosalehi, 2009) due to existing of various minerals that influence scale growth. When any heat-transfer surface becomes scaled, this insulating layer inside the pipeline reduces the efficiency of the equipment, increases fuel requirements and maintenance due to the internal diameter of the pipelines have been decreased (Quinn *et al.*, 1997). This may lead to increasing electric bill of users (Orb, 2007).

A few treatments were implemented in controlling the scale formation and most of them have applied chemical reagents to achieve target. But these treatments are not environmentally friendly, harmful and not economical and generate harmful waste. All the drawbacks of these treatment will be explained more in detail in Chapter 2. Since the drawbacks of these treatments were more critical than it function, extensive research on environmentally friendly approaches in controlling scale formation is required.

If the scale is not either prevented or treated from the early stage, it will accelerate the degradation process of pipeline or lead to complete failure in thermal and hydraulic performance which increases initial and operating cost (Meyer *et al.*, 2000) over time. Also, scale created insulator layer which increases operation and maintenance costs by lowering the flow rate of water and the thermal transfer coefficient in heat exchangers and also increasing the energy consumption of the pumps in drinking water systems (Abdelhady *et al.*, 2011). Formation of scale on heat transfer surfaces is equivalent to coating the surface with an effective insulating material (Glater *et al.*, 1980).

As the world is currently focusing on green approach, especially in main activities such as construction, treatment system, transportation and more, any approaches that are sustainable and biodegradable are preferable. MWT is a physical water treatment that has big potential and environmentally friendly where the entire process does not use any chemicals or produce any harmful waste. Magnetic field has potential in controlling the scale and can be replace the existing scale treatment and solve most of the drawbacks produced by conventional treatments. Hence, this research embarks to investigate the effectiveness of magnetic field on scale removal in water pipeline under several variables in order to enhance the effectiveness of MWT where all the variables were set from previous researches on MWT. The empirical relationship of MWT between each variable will be formulated using the results from MWT experiments.

#### 1.3 Objectives

The aim of this study is to investigate the potential of implementing the magnetic field devices into water pipeline due to scale problem. This aim can be achieved by following objectives:

- i. To evaluate the effects of magnetic orientation, water flow rate, magnetic intensity and water temperature towards removing the existing scale in water pipeline.
- ii. To measure the water characteristics that reflects on the effectiveness under the effects of magnetic exposure.
- iii. To measure the changes of scale molecular structure in their crystal form as reflection of magnetic field exposure
- iv. To formulate as empirical equation among the rate of scale removal under variables of orientation of permanent magnets, water flow rate and magnetic intensity.

### 1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of work for this study can be stipulated as follows:

- i. Used water pipes made from steel were collected in order to performed the tests. The usage of steel pipe in water pipeline is still in demand due to the specialty of steel pipe in temperature resistance which required in most industrial activities. This study was focused on water pipe made of steel, which can be related to any system using steel pipe to convey water such as pipeline system in domestic and industry purposes.
- ii. The permanent magnet used on this study have various strength which is 0.10
   ~ 0.5 Tesla. These permanent magnets have been installed in orientation of inverted, non-inverted and non-magnetic as a control.
- iii. The operating variables selected for this experimental work were flow rate of water  $(1.0 \text{ mL/s} \sim 3 \text{ mL/s})$  and temperature of water  $(27\pm2^{\circ}\text{C} \sim 50^{\circ}\text{C})$ .
- iv. The main idea for enhancing the effectiveness of MWT were to expose the water sample into strong magnetic flux and enhance the contact frequency between water molecules, magnetic flux and scale in the pipe's wall. These

can be achieved by implementing circulation flow, where the water will be able to become the stronger magnetized water.

v. The methods used for analytical parameters were referred from Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (American Public Health Association, APHA, 2005) and conducted in Environmental Engineering Laboratory, School of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

### 1.5 Significance of Study

Regarding on scale control, MWT plays an important role among chemical water conditioning methods (Banejad and Abdosalehi, 2009) because physical water treatment of MWT process was developed to substitute chemical water treatment methods which employ chemical product that is harmful to the environment and human health (Cai et al., 2009). Magnetic treatment has significant effect on water quality of irrigation (Bogatin et al., 1999). In addition to its benefits as non-chemical treatment, it identifies whether the best method to remove the scale is by inverted or non-inverted magnetic field. According to Donaldson and Grimes (1988), magnetic device removed existing calcite scale and further hard scale was not forming. Thus, it can help to recognize scale removal in water pipeline with magnetic field. The findings of this result will introduce a new potential mechanism on scale removal process and at the end of this study, the empirical relationship can be formulated according to this optimum results. A significant contribution of this study is the knowledge and understanding of optimum design of magnetic devices in scale removal, which has not been critically researched nor considered in empirical formula yet. This empirical relationship formula was targeted to be implemented as a new guideline for the future researchers in order to obtain further progressive results.

#### REFERENCES

- AbdelHady, R. S., Younes, M. A., Ibrahim, A. M. and AbdelAziz, M. M. (2011) An Empirical Model for Salt Removal Percentage in Water under the Effect of Different Current Intensities of Current Carrying Coil at Different Flow Rates, *Journal of Advanced Research*, 2, pp. 351-355.
- Alabi, A., Chiesa, M., Garlisi, C., and Palmisano, G. (2015) Advances in Anti-Scale Magnetic Water Treatment, *Environment Science: Water Research & Technology*, 1, pp. 408-425.
- Ali, Y., Samaneh, R., Zohre, R. and Mostafa, J. (2014) Magnetic Water Treatment in Environmental Management A Review of the Recent Advances and Future Perspectives, *Current World Environment*, 9(3), pp. 1008-1016.
- Alimi, F., Mohamed, T., Mohamed, B. A., Claude, G. and George, M. (2007) Influence of Magnetic Field on Calcium Carbonate Precipitation, *Desalination*, 206, pp. 163-168.
- Alimi, F., Tlili, M., Amor, M. B., Maurin, G. and Gabrielli, C. (2009) Effect of magnetic water treatment on calcium carbonate precipitation: Influence of the pipe material, *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, pp. 1327-1332.
- Ambashta, R. D. and Sillanpää, M. (2010) Water Purification Using Magnetic Assistance: A Review, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, pp. 38-49.
- Amor, H. B., Elaoud, A. and Hozayn, M. (2018) Does magnetic field change water pH?, Asian Research Journal of Agriculture, 8(1), pp. 1-7.
- Andrianov, A. and Orlov, E. (2018) The assessment of magnetic water treatment on formation calcium scale on reverse osmosis membranes, *MATEC Web of Conferences*, pp. 1-6.
- Asghar, H., Bushara, Rao, G. P. and Damak, S. General Characteristics of Water Physical. In: Asghar, H., Bushara, Rao, G. P, Damak, S. and Ali, M. M. *Chemical and Biological Aspects of Water*. Abu Dhabi: Encyclopedia of Desalination and Water Resources. pp. 1-7; 2007.

- Azam, M., Jahanzaib, M., Wasin, A. and Hussain, S. (2015) Surface Roughness Modeling using RSM for HSLA Steel by Coated Carbide Tools, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, pp. 1-11.
- Baker, J. S. and Judd, S. J. (1995) Magnetic amelioration of scale formation, Water Research, 30(2), pp. 247-260.
- Banejad, H., Abdosalehi, E. (2009) The Effect of Magnetic Field on Water Hardness Reducing, *Thirteenth International Water Technology Conference, IWTC 13*, pp. 117-128.
- Barret, R. A. and Parsons, S. A. (1998) The Influence of Magnetic Fields on Calcium Carbon Precipitation, *Water Research*, 32(3), pp. 609-612.
- Bashir, M. J. K., Aziz, H. A., Yusoff, M. S., Aziz, S. Q. and Mohajeri, S. (2010) Stabilized Sanitary Landfill Leachate Treatment using Anionic Resin: Treatment Optimization by Response Surface Methodology, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 182, pp. 115-122.
- Behera, S. K., Meena, H., Chakraborty, S. and Meikap, B. C. (2018) Application of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) for Optimization of Leaching Parameters for Ash Reduction from Low-Grade Coal, *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*, 28, pp. 621-629.
- Bezerra, M. A., Santelli, R. E., Oliveira, E. P., Villar, L. S. and Escaleira, L. A. (2008) Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry, *Talanta*, 76(5), pp. 965-977.
- Bilotta, G. S. and Brazier, R. E. (2008) Understanding The Influence of Suspended Solids on Water Quality and Aquatic Biota, *Water Research*, 42, pp. 2849-2861.
- Binnie, C. and Kimber, M. (2009) Basic water treatment, *Technology & Engineering*, pp. 1-319.
- Bogatin, J., Bondarenko, N. P. H., Gak, E. Z., Rokhinson, E. E. and Ananyev, I. P. (1999) Magnetic Treatment of Irrigation Water: Experimental Results and Application Conditions, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 33, pp. 1280-1285.
- Busch, K. W., Gopalakrishnan, S., Busch, M. A. and Tombacz, E. (1996) Magnetohydrodynamic aggregation of cholestrol and polystrene latex suspensions, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 183, pp. 528-538.

- Cai, R., Yang, H., He, J. and Zhu, W. (2009) The Effects of Magnetic Fields on Water Molecular Hydrogen Bonds, *Journal of Molecular Structure*, pp. 15-19.
- Carins, J. (2016) Visual Observation Techniques, Formative Research in Social Marketing, pp. 107-123.
- Chang, M. and Tai, C. Y. (2010) Effect of the magnetic field on the growth rate of aragonite and precipitation of CaCO<sub>3</sub>, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, pp. 1-9.
- Chang, R., Kim, S., Lee, S., Choi, S., Kim, M. and Park, Y. (2017) Calcium carbonate precipitation for CO<sub>2</sub> storage and utilization: A review of the carbonate crystallization and polymorphism, *Energy Research*, 5, pp. 1-12.
- Chaplin, M. (2014) Magnetic and Electric Effects on Water, *Water structure and Science*, pp. 1-5.
- Chaussemier, M., Pourmohtasham, E., Gelus, D., Pecoul, N., Perrot, H., Ledion, J., Cheap-Charpentier, H. and Horner, O. (2015) State art of natural inhibitors of calcium carbonate scaling. A review article, *Desalination*, pp. 47-55.
- Chawla, V., Gurbuxani, P. G. and Bhagure, G. R. (2012) Corrosion of Water Pipes: A Comprehensive Study of Deposits, *Journal of Minerals & Materials Characterization & Engineering*, 11, pp. 479-492.
- Chen, Y. J., Wang, W. M., Wei, M. J., Chen, J. L., He, J. L., Chiang, K. Y. and Wu, C. C. (2012) Effects of Al coagulants sludge characteristics on the efficiency of coagulants recovery by acidification, *Environment Technology*, 33(22), pp. 2525-2530.
- Cho, Y. I., Lee, S., Kim, W. and Suh, S. (2004) Physical Water Treatment for the Mitigation of Mineral Fouling in Cooling-Tower Applications, *Engineering Conferences International*, 4, pp. 1-12.
- Coey, J. M. D. and Cass, S. (2000) Magnetic water treatment, *Journal of Magnetism* and Magnetic Materials, pp. 71-74.
- Colic, M. and Morse, D. (1999) The Elusive Mechanism of the Magnetic Memory of Water, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 154, pp. 167-174.
- Colucci, R. R., Luetscher, M., Forte, E., Guglielmin, M., Lenaz, D., Princivalle, F. and Vita, F. (2017) *Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternari*, pp. 53-59.
- Cuddihy, J. A., Jr., Simoneaux, W. J., Falgout, R. N. and Rauh, J. S. (2005) Boiler Water Treatment and Related Costs of Boiler Operation: An Evaluation of

Boilers in the Louisiana Sugar Industry, *Journal American Society Sugar Cane Technologists*, 25, pp. 17-30.

- Dagne, H., Karthikeyan, R. and Feleke, S. (2019) Waste Energy: Response Surface Methodology for Optimization of Biodiesel Production from Leather Fleshing Waste, *Journal of Energy*, pp. 1-19.
- Das, S., Bhattacharya, A., Haldar, S., Ganguly, A., Gu, S., Ting, Y. P. and Chatterjee,
  P. K. (2015) Optimization of enzymatic saccharification of water hyacinth biomass for bio-ethanol: Comparison between artificial neural network and response surface methodology, *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, 3, pp. 17-28.
- Declet, A., Reyes, E. and Suarez, O. M. (2016) Calcium carbonate precipitation: A review of carbonate crystallization process and application in bioinspired composites, *Reviews on Advanced Materials Science*, 44(1), pp. 87-107.
- Demadis, K. D. (2008) Silica scale inhibition relevant to desalination technologies: progress and recent developments, *In. Desalination Research Progress*, pp. 249-259.
- Dhami, N. K., Reddy, M. S. and Mukherjee, A. (2013) Biomineralization of calcium carbonates and their engineered applications: a review, *Frontier in Microbiology*, 4(314), pp. 1-13.
- Dobersek, D. and Goricanec, D. (2014) An Experimental Evaluated Magnetic Device's Efficiency for Water-Scale Reduction on Electric Heaters, *Energy*, 77, pp. 271-278.
- Donaldson, J. and Grimes, S. (1988) Lifting The Scales from Our Pipes, *New Scientist* 18, pp. 43-46.
- El-Sayed, M. H. (2015) Flow Enhanced Corrosion of Water Injection Pipelines, Engineering Failure Analysis, 50, pp. 1-6.
- Esmaeilnezhad, E., Choi, H. J., Schaffie, M., Gholizadeh, M. and Ranjbar, M. (2017) Characteristics and Applications of magnetized Water as a Green Technology, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 161, pp. 908-921.
- Feng, D., Aldrich, C. and Tan, H. (2000) Removal of Heavy Metal Ions by Carrier Magnetic Separation of Adsorptive Particulates, *Hydrometallurgy*, pp. 359-368.

- Feng, P. X. and Chun, Z. X. (2013) The Magnetization of Water Arising from A Magnetic-Field and Its Applications in Concrete Industry, *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, pp. 1541-1552.
- Gabrielli, C., Jaouhari, R., Maurin, G., and Keddam, M. (2001) Magnetic Water Treatment for Scale Prevention, *Water Resources*, 35, pp. 3349-3259.
- Gal, J. Y., Fovet, Y. and Gache N. (2002) Mechanisms of Scale Formation and Carbon Dioxide Partial Influence. Part I. Elaboration of an Experimental Method and A Scaling Model, *Water Research*, 36, pp. 755-763.
- Garud, R. M., Kore, S. V. and Kulkarni, G. S. (2011) A Short Review on Process and Applications of Reverse Osmosis, Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology, 1, pp. 233-238.
- Gehr, R., Zhai, Z. A., Finch, J. A. and Rao, S. R. (1995) Reduction of soluble mineral concentrations in CaSO<sub>4</sub> saturated water using a magnetic field, *Water Research*, 29(3), pp. 933-940.
- Ghafari, S., Aziz, H. A., Isa, M. H. and Zinatizadeh, A. A. (2009) Application of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) to Optimize Coagulation-flocculation Treatment of Leachate using Poly-aluminum Chloride (PAC) and Alum, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 163, pp. 650-656.
- Ghernaout, D. (2018) Magnetic field generation in the water treatment perspectives: An overview, *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Science*, 5(1), pp. 193-203.
- Gholizadeh, M., Arabshahi, H. and Benam, M. R. (2005) The Effect of Magnetic Field on Scale Prevention in the Industrial Boilers, *International Journal of Applied Chemistry*, pp. 84-89.
- Glater, J., York J. L. and Campbell, K. S. (1980) Scale Formation and Prevention, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed, *Principles of Desalination*; Academic press, Inc.
- Hafid, N., Belaatar, M., Ben-Aazza, S., Hadfi, A., Ezahri, M. and Driouiche, A. (2015)
  Characterization of Scale Formed in Drinking Water and Hot Water Pipes in the Taliouine Downtown-Morocco, *American Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 6, pp. 677-686.
- Hafidi, M. Y. E. and Hafidi, M. E. (2017) Existing Scale Deposits Removal by Magnetic Water Treatment: Theoritical Study and Experiment, *International Journal of Water and Wastewater Treatment*, 3(3), pp. 1-3.

- Harfst, W. F. (2010) Non-chemical water treatment, *Harfst and Association, Inc.* 117(4), pp. 66-69.
- Higashitani, K., Iseri, H., Okuhara, K., Kage, A. and Hatade, S. (1995) Magnetic Effects on Zeta Potential and Diffusivity of Nonmagnetic Colloidal Particles, *Journal of Colloidal and Interface Science*, 172, pp. 383-388.
- Higashitani, K. and Oshitani, J. (1998) Magnetic Effects on Thickness of Adsorbed Layer in Aqueous Solutions Evaluated Directly by Atomic Force Microscope, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 204, pp. 363-368.
- Howard, G. and Bartram, J. *Domestic Water Quantity, Service Level and Health.* Geneva 27. Switzerland: World Health Organization. 2003.
- Hu, J. W., Li, J., Chen, Y., Wang, C. W., Li, Y. and Liu, G. Y (2012) Optimization of Magnetic Coagulation Process for River Water Treatment Using the Response Surface Method, Advanced Materials Research, Advances in Environmental Science and Engineering, 518-523, pp. 3717-3722.
- Huang, Y. F., Ang, S. Y., Lee, K. M. and Lee, T. S. (2015) Research and Practices in Water Quality, *Quality of Water Resources in Malaysia*, IntechOpen Limited, London, UK.
- Hwan, S. Y., Gyu, L. I. and Han, J. (2013) Cultivation and lipid production of yeast cryptococcus curvatus using pretreated waste active sludge supernatant, *Bioresources Technology*, 135, pp. 304-308.
- Ishikawa, S., Ueda, N., Okumura, Y., Iida, Y. and Baba, K. (2007) Recovery of coagulant from water supply plant sludge and its effect on clarification, *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*, pp. 167-172.
- Javanbakht. V. and Ghoreishi, S. M. (2017) Application of response surface methodology for optimization of lead removal from an aqueous solution by a novel superparamagnetic nanocomposite, *Adsorption Science & Technology*, 35, pp. 241-260.
- Jin, P., Robbins, W., and Bota, G. (2017) Effect of Temperature on Scale Formation in High-Temperature Corrosion by Model Naphthenic Acids and Sulfur Compounds under Replenishing Condition, *Energy Fuels*, 31(9), pp. 10222-10232.
- Johan, S. (2003) Kesan medan magnet terhadap pengenapan zarah terampai dalam kumbahan. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

- Jung, K. W. and Ahn, K. H. (2016) Dual Purpose Recovered Coagulant from Drinking Water Treatment Residuals for Adjustment of Initial pH and Coagulation Aid in Electrocoagulation Process, *Environmental Technology*, 37(13), pp. 1605-1617.
- Kaith, B., Jindal, R. and Bhatti, M. S. (2012) Screening and RSM optimization for synthesis of a Gum tragacanth-acrylic acid based device for in situ controlled cetirizine dihydrochloride release, *Soft Matter*, 8(7), pp. 2286-2293.
- Khoshravesh, M., Mirzael, S. M. J., Shirazi, P and Valashedi, R. N. (2018) Evaluation of dripper clogging using magnetic water in drip irrigation, *Applied Water Science*, pp. 1-7.
- Khodaei, B., Rahimi, M., Sobati, M. A., Shahhoseini, S. and Jalali, M. R. (2018) Effect of Operating Pressure on the Performance of Ultrasound-assisted Oxidative Desulfurization (UAOD) using a Horn Type Sonicator: Experimental Investigation and CFD Simulation, *Chemical Engineering & Processing: Process Intensification*, 132, pp. 75-88.
- Körbahti, B. K. and Rauf, M. (2008) Response Surface methodology (RSM) analysis of photoinduced decoloration of toludine blue, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 136(1), pp. 25-30.
- Lakshmanan, R., Rajaraman, P., Okoli, C., Boutonnet, M., Jaras, S. and Rajarao, G.
  K. (2013) Application of Magnetic Nanoparticles for Wastewater Treatment using Response Surface Methodology, *Nanotechnology Conference and Expo*, 3.
- Larson, T. E. and Skold, R. V. (1957) Corrosion and Tuberculation of Cast Iron, *State Water Survey Division*, 49(10), pp. 1292-1302.
- Latva, M., Inkinen, J., Ramo, J., Kaunisto, T., Makinen, R., Ahonen, M., Matilainen, J., and Pehkonen, S. (2016) Studies on The Magnetic Water Treatment in New Pilot Scale Drinking Water System and in Old Existing Real-Life Water System, *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 9, pp. 215-224.
- Lipus, L. C., Acko, B. and Hamler, A. (2011) Electromagnets for high-flow water processing, *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, 50, pp. 952-958.
- MacAdam, J., and Parsons, S. A. (2009) The Effect of Metal Ions on Calcium Carbonate Precipitation and Scale Formation. In: Howlett R.J., Jain L.C., Lee S.H. Sustainability in Energy and Buildings, Springer, pp. 137-146.

- Madsen, H. E. L. (1995) Influence of magnetic field on the precipitation of some inorganic salts, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 152, pp. 94-100.
- Madsen, H. E. L. (2004) Crystallization of Calcium Carbonate in Magnetic Field in Ordinary and Heavy Water, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 267, pp. 251-255.
- Managamuri, U., Vijayalakshmi, M., Poda, S., Ganduri, V. R. K. and Babu, R. S. (2016) Optimization of Culture Conditions by Response Surface Methodology and Unstructured Kinetic Modeling for Bioactive Metabolite Production by Nocardiopsis Litoralis VSM-8, *3 Biotech*, 6(2), pp. 219.
- McCabe, D. J., Hassan, N. M. H., King, W. D., Steimke, J. L., Norato, M. A., Hamm, L. L. and Oji, L. N. (2001) Comprehensive Scale Testing of the Ion Exchange Removal of Cesium and Technetium from Handford Tank Wastes, *WM'01 Conference*, February 25-March 1, Tucson, AZ, pp. 1-13.
- McGuire, M. J. *Drinking Water Chlorination*: A Review of U.S. Disinfection Practices and Issues, Washington: American Chemistry Council, 2016
- Meyer, J. P., Smith, C. and Coetzee, P. P. (2000) Scale Prevention in a Hot-Water Storage Tank with a Magnetic Physical Water Treatment Device, *The ASME-ZSITS International Thermal Science Seminar Bled*, pp. 295-299.
- Moghaddam, S. S., Moghaddam, M. A. and Arami, M. (2010) Coagulation/flocculation process for dye removal using sludge from water treatment plant: optimization through response surface methodology, *Journal Hazard Materials*, 175(1), pp. 651-657.
- Moghadasi, J., Jamialahmadi, M., Muller-Steinhagen, H., Sharif, A. (2004) Formation Damage due to Scale Formation in Porous Media Resulting from Water Injection, SPE International Symposium and Exhibition on Formation Damage Control, February 18-20, pp. 1-11.
- Mohajeri, S., Aziz, H. A., Isa, M. H., Zahed, M. A. and Adlan, M. N. (2010) Statictical Optimization of Process Parameters for Landfill Leachate Treatment using Electro-Fenton Technique, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 176, pp. 749-758.
- Mohd Yunus Yakkub (2019, February 1) Paip lama antara punca gangguan bekalan air di Selangor, *Kosmo Online*, Retrieved May 14, 2019, from http://www.kosmo.com.my/negara/paip-lama-antara-punca-gangguanbekalan-air-di-selangor-1.833747.

Montgomery, D. C. (2017) Design and analysis of experiments, John Wileys & Sons.

- Mosin, O. and Ignatov, I. (2014) Basic concepts of magnetic water treatment, *Nanotechnology Research and Practice*, 4(4), pp. 187-200.
- Mosin, O. and Ignatov, I. (2015) Practical implementation of magnetic water treatment to eliminate scaling salts, *Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing*, pp. 111-125.
- Muryanto, S., Bayuseno, A. P., Ma'mun, H., Usamah, M. and Jotho. (2014) Calcium carbonate scale formation in pipes: effect of flow rates, temperature, and malic acid as additives on the mass and morphology of the scale, *International Conference and Workshop on Chemical Engineering*, pp. 69-76.
- Myers, R. H., Montgomery, D. C. and Anderson-Cook, C. M. (2016) Response Surface Methodology: Process and Product Optimization Using Designed Experiments, John Wiley & Sons.
- Mysliwiec, D., Szczes, A. and Chibowski, S. (2016) Influence of static magnetic field on the kind on the kinetics of calcium carbonate formation, *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, pp. 400-407.
- Nair, A. T. and Ahammed, M. M. (2014) Coagulant Recovery from Water Treatment Plant Sludge and Reuse in Post-Treatment of UASB Reactor Effluent Treating Municipal Wastewater, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(17), pp. 10407-10418.
- Nallusamy, S. and Babu, A. M. (2015) X-ray diffraction and FESEM analysis for mixture of hybrid nano particles in heat transfer applications, *Journal of Nano Research*, pp. 58-67.
- Nergaard, M. and Grimholt, C. (2010) An introduction to scaling causes, problems and solutions, *Natural Gas*, pp. 1-15.
- Noshadi, I., Amin, N. and Parnas, R. S. (2012) Continuous Production of Biodiesel from Waste Cooking Oil in a Reactive Distillation Column Catalyzed by Solid Heteropolyacid: Optimization using Response Surface Methodology (RSM), *Fuel 94*, pp. 156-164.
- Oddo, E. J., Smith, P. J. and Tomason, B. M. (1991) Analysis of and Solutions to the CaCO<sub>3</sub> and CaSO<sub>4</sub> Scaling Problems Encountered in Wells Offshore Indonesia. *The 66<sup>th</sup> Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition of the Society of Petroleum Engineering*, October 6-9, Dallas, TX: SPE 22782, pp. 1-10.

- Omari, M. M. H. A., Rashid, I. S., Qinna, N. A., Jaber, A. M. and Badwan, A. A. (2016) Chapter two – calcium carbonate, *Profiles of drug substances*, *excipients and relted methodology*, 41, pp. 31-132.
- Ooi Theam Yiew (2019) Aluminium Recovery from Water Treatment Residue by leaching Process. Master Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Oral, C. M. and Ercan, B. (2018) Influence of pH on morphology, size and polymorph of room temperature synthesized calcium carbonate particles, *Powder Technology*, 339, pp. 781-788.
- Oral, C. M., Kapusuz, D. and Ercan, B. (2019) Enhanced Vaterite and Aragonite Crystallization at Controlled Ethylene Glycol Concentrations, *Sakarya University Journal of Science*, 23(2), pp. 129-138.
- Orb, M. (2007) Reducing Formation of Scale with Magnetic Descaler, *Republic of Estonia*, Form 11B, pp. 1-29.
- Pangarkar, B. L., Sane, M. G. and Guddad, M. (2011) Reverse Osmosis and Membrane Distillation for Desalination of Groundwater: A Review, *International Scholarly Research Network*, 2011, pp. 1-9.
- Parsons, S. A., Judd, S. J., Stephenson, T., Udol, S. and Wang, B. L. (1997) Magnetically augmented water treatment, *Institution of Chemical Engineers*, 75(2), pp. 98-104.
- Popkin, B. M., D'Anci, K. E. and Rosenberg, I. H. (2010) Water, hydration and health. *Nutrition Reviews*, 68(8), pp. 439-458.
- Quinn, C. J., Craig Molden, T., Charles, H. S. (1997) Magnetic Treatment of Water Prevents Mineral Build-Up, Association for Iron & Steel Technology, pp. 47-53.
- Raisen, E. (1984) The control of scale and corrosion in water systems using magnetic fields. *The International Corrosion Forum, The Protection and Performance* of Materials, 84(117), pp. 1-12.
- Rashidi, S., Yadollahpour, A., Shirali, S. and Rajashekar, G. (2016) Magnetized Water Treatment: Reviewing The Environmental Applications, *International Journal* of Pharmacy and Technology, 8, pp. 11431-11441.
- Roman, N. (2013) Determining The Most Suitable Material for Water Pipes, Bachelor's Thesis, Mikkeli University of Applied Sciences.

- Salman, M. A., Safar, M. and Al-Nuwaibit, G. (2015) The Effect of Magnetic Treatment on Retarding Scaling Deposition, *The Online Journal of Science and Technology*, 5(3), pp. 62-77.
- Sarkar, A and Mahapatra, S. (2010) Synthesis of All Crystalline Phases of Anhydrous Calcium Carbonate, *Crystal Growth Desalination*, 10(5), pp. 2129-2135.
- Simonic, M. and Urbancl, D. (2017) Alternating magnetic field influence on scaling in pump diffusers, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, pp. 445-450.
- Skipton, S. O., Dvorak, B. I. and Niemeyer, M. N. (2014) Drinking Water Treatment: Water Softening (Ion Exchange), Water Resource Management Drinking Water.
- Smith, C., Coetzee, P. P. and Meyer, J. P. (2003) The effectiveness of a magnetic physical water treatment device on scaling in domestic hot-water storage tanks, *Water SA*, 29(30), pp. 231-236.
- Smith, F. (2014) Pipe Processes: Piping Materials, *Further Education and Training Authority*, 2, pp. 1-28.
- Sohaili, J., Shi, H. S., Baloo, L., Zardari, N. H., Ahmad, N. and Muniyandi, S. K. (2016) Removal of scale deposition on pipe walls by using magnetic field treatment and the effects of magnetic strength, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 139, pp. 1393-1399.
- Sorensen, J. S. and Madsen, H. E. L. (2000) The Influence of Magnetism on Precipitation of Calcium Phosphate, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 216, pp. 399-406.
- Stat-Ease (2014) Handbook for experimenters, *Minneapolis*, USA: Stat-Ease Inc.
- Su, Z., Li, X., Yang, Y., Xu, M., Ding, Y. and Zhou, Z. (2015) Optimization of magnetic-seeding coagulation in artificially polluted surface water treatment by response surface methodology, Desalination and Water Treatment, pp.1-12.
- Sun, F., Hu, W., Pei, H., Li, X., Xu, X. and Ma, C. (2015) Evaluation on the dewatering process of cyanobacteria-containing AlCl3 and PACl drinking water sludge, *Separation Purification Technology*, 146, pp. 245-250.
- Tombacz, E., Ma, C., Busch, K. W. and Busch, M. A. (1991) Effect of a Weak Magnetic Field on Hematite Sol in Stationary and Flowing Systems, *Colloid Polymer Science*, 269, pp. 278-289.

- Tavanpour, N., Noshadi, M. and Tavanpour, N. (2016) Scale Formation and Corrosion of Drinking Water Pipes: A Case Study of Drinking Water Distribution System of Shiraz City, *Modern Applied Science*, 10(3), pp. 166-177.
- Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F. L. and Stensel, H. D. Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Reuse, 4<sup>th</sup> ed, Avenue of the Americas, New York: McGraw-Hill, 2004.
- Timm, C. (2015) Theory of Magnetism, *International Max Planck Research School* for Dynamical Processes in Atoms, Molecules and Solids, pp. 1-94.
- Wang, Y., Babchin, A. J., Chernyi, L. T., Chow, R. S. and Sawatzky, R. P. (1997) Rapid Onset of Calcium Carbonate Crystallization under the Influence of a Magnetic Field, *Water Research*, 31(2), pp. 346-350.
- Warren, V. J., and Mark, J. H. (2005) Water Supply and Pollution Control. Upper Saddle River, USA, Pearson Education, Inc.
- Weiss, C. A., Torres-Cancel, K., Moser, R. D., Allison, PG., Gore, E. R., Chandler, M. Q. and Malone, P. G. (2014) Influence of temperature on calcium carbonate polymorph formed from ammonium carbonate and calcium acetate, *Journal of Nanotechnology and Smart Materials*, 1(105), pp 1-6.
- Widiasa, I. N. and Jayanti, R. D. (2017) Case Study of a Small Scale Reverse Osmosis System for Treatment of mIxed Brakish Water and STP Effluent, *Journal of Engineering and Technological Sciences*, 49(2), pp. 193-209.
- Xu, G., Yan, Z., Wang, N. and Wang, N. (2009a) Ferric coagulant recovered from coagulation sludge and its recycle in chemically enhanced primary treatment, *Water Science Technology*, 60(1), pp. 211-219.
- Wissal Ahmed Mahmoud Hassanien (2004) *Physico-Chemical Pre-Treatment for Drinking Water*, PhD Thesis, University of Khartoum.
- Yao, M., Nan, J. and Chen, T. (2014) Effect of particle size distribution on turbidity under various water quality levels during flocculation processes, *Desalination*, 354, pp. 116-124.
- Yavuz, H. and Celebi, S. S. (2000) Effects of Magnetic Field on Activity of Activated Sludge in Wastewater Treatment, *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, pp. 22-27.
- Zaidi, N. S., Sohaili, J., Muda, K. and Sillanpaa, M. (2013) Magnetic Field Application and Its Potential in Water and Wastewater Treatment Systems, *Separation & Purification Reviews*, 43, pp. 206-240.

- Zlotopolski, V. (2017) Magnetic Treatment Reduces Water Usage in Irrigation without Negatively Impacting Yield, Photosynthesis and Nutreint Uptake in Lettuce, *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Science*, 3(5), pp. 117-122.
- Zuniga, O., Benavides, J. A., Ospina-Salazar, D. I., Jimenez, C. O. and Gutierrez, M. A. (2016) Magnetic Treatment of Irrigation Water and Seeds in Agriculture, *Engineering and Competitiveness*, 18(2), pp. 217-231.