# THE EFFECTS OF SYNERGY BETWEEN XANTHAN GUM AND SILICA OXIDE NANOPARTICLE ON OIL RECOVERY FACTOR

# FARHATUL SYAFIQAH BINTI ABU BAKAR

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Petroleum Engineering

> School of Chemical and Energy Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2020

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, all praises to Allah, The Almighty for His Grace, I was able to accomplish my Master Project 2 report. Due to His blessings, the work run smoothly despite the problem arise throughout project drafting period. Therefore, in this section, I would like to express my gratitude to those who have been assisting and guiding me all the way through my thesis and making this semester a meaningful experience.

I would like to thank Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for giving me this opportunity to do my Master's degree here and provide me with a lot of reliable references and extensive research facilities. Secondly, I would like to thank En Roslan, laboratory technician for being tremendously cooperative and accommodating by sharing his piece of mind and thoughts.

Most importantly, I would like to give my utmost gratitude and many thanks to Dr. Shaziera, my understanding supervisor. She always keeps up with me and be extraordinarily helpful in giving me new knowledge and despite her busy schedule, she taught me everything that I should know regarding the research and assisted me in completing my Master Project 2. Lastly, my deepest gratitude to my family and friends for their support as well as to colleagues for being understanding and helpful in giving ideas and reminds me to comply with project submission datelines.

#### ABSTRACT

The oil production has kept depleting due to several factors including the limitations of the conventional methods to recover the oil. This leads to the first problem statement on how to overcome the limitations of typical polymer flooding. Recently, nanoparticles have gained attention for their potential in accessing pores restricted for typical methods and lower down interfacial tension (IFT), which generate a stable emulsion as well as wettability alteration. Besides, the performance of Xanthan Gum (XG) in polymer flooding to enhance the oil recovery is believed to be improved with the presence of Silica Oxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles. However, the effect of this synergy injection on the oil recovery has to be studied. Therefore, the objectives of this study are to evaluate the capability of the synergised XG and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles solutions to improve the solution viscosity, IFT reduction and to analyse the effectiveness of the synergy on recovery factor improvement. The samples were being prepared with 4000 ppm XG and five different concentrations of SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles (1000, 3000, 5000, 7000 and 9000 ppm). The samples were tested for viscosity and IFT reduction to determine the optimum concentration of the synergized solution. Lastly, the flooding test was conducted by using a sand pack to measure the oil recovery factors when different slug ratios of the polymer and brine were injected. All tests were conducted at 27°C. Based on the results obtained, the viscosity of the solution is increased with the increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The viscosity of the solution is increased from 27.185 up to 145.87 cp when 9000 ppm SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were added. The synergy has shown the IFT reduction from 75.5 mN/m to 55 mN/m with the increasing concentrations of the  $SiO_2$  added into polymer solution. Thus, 4000 ppm of XG synergised with 3000 ppm SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles was chosen as the optimum concentration as the IFT reduction is achieved and can be correlated with the viscosity result. There is only a slight viscosity difference is observed when 5000 ppm SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were added as compared to 3000 ppm SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. The oil recovery was increased from 27.5% to 56% by using 4000 ppm XG while, the oil recovery was increased to 57.5% by using the synergized solution with similar slug ratio. The maximum oil recovery was 66.3% by using optimum synergized solution with the highest slug ratio of 50:50 polymer flooding to water slug. In conclusion, these results have proven that SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle is able to helps polymer flooding to improve both sweep and displacement efficiency by viscosity increment and IFT reduction in order to increase the oil recovery.

#### ABSTRAK

Pengeluaran minyak telah disimpan semakin berkurangan disebabkan oleh beberapa faktor termasuk batasan kaedah konvensional untuk mendapatkan semula minyak. Ini membawa kepada pernyataan masalah yang pertama mengenai cara untuk mengatasi batasan polimer banjir biasa. Baru-baru ini, nanopartikel telah mendapat perhatian untuk potensi mereka dalam mengakses liang terhad untuk kaedah yang biasa dan menurunkan ketegangan antara muka (IFT), yang menghasilkan kestabilan emulsi serta pengubahan kebolehbasahan. Selain itu, prestasi Xanthan Gum (XG) dalam polimer banjir untuk meningkatkan pemulihan minyak itu dipercayai dipertingkatkan dengan kehadiran silika oksida (SiO<sub>2</sub>) nanopartikel. Walau bagaimanapun, kesan suntikan sinergi ini kepada pemulihan minyak perlu dikaji. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menilai keupayaan XG dan SiO<sub>2</sub> nanopartikel penyelesaian bersinergi untuk meningkatkan kelikatan penyelesaian, pengurangan IFT dan untuk menganalisis keberkesanan sinergi pada peningkatan faktor pemulihan. Sampel disediakan dengan 4000 ppm XG dan lima kepekatan yang berbeza nanopartikel SiO<sub>2</sub> (1000, 3000, 5000, 7000 dan 9000 ppm). Sampel telah diuji untuk kelikatan dan pengurangan IFT untuk menentukan kepekatan optimum penyelesaian disinergikan. Akhir sekali, ujian banjir telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan pek pasir untuk mengukur faktor pemulihan minyak apabila nisbah slug berbeza daripada polimer dan air garam telah disuntik. Semua ujian telah dijalankan pada 27°C. Berdasarkan keputusan yang diperolehi, kelikatan penyelesaian meningkat dengan kepekatan SiO<sub>2</sub> yang semakin meningkat. sinergi telah menunjukkan pengurangan IFT dari 75.5 mN / m kepada 55 mN / m dengan kepekatan meningkat daripada SiO<sub>2</sub> ditambahkan ke dalam larutan polimer. Oleh itu, 4000 ppm XG bersinergi dengan 3000 nanopartikel ppm SiO<sub>2</sub> dipilih sebagai kepekatan optimum pengurangan IFT dicapai dan boleh dikaitkan dengan keputusan kelikatan. Hanya ada perbezaan kelikatan sedikit diperhatikan apabila 5000 nanopartikel ppm SiO<sub>2</sub> ditambah berbanding 3000 nanopartikel ppm SiO<sub>2</sub>. Faktor pemulihan meningkat daripada 27.5% kepada 56% dengan menggunakan 4000 ppm XG semasa, faktor perolehan meningkat kepada 57.50% dengan menggunakan penyelesaian disinergikan menggunakan nisbah slug yang sama. Faktor-faktor pemulihan maksimum adalah 66,3% dengan menggunakan penyelesaian optimum yang disinergikan dengan nisbah slug tertinggi 50:50 polimer banjir untuk slug air. Kesimpulannya, keputusan ini telah membuktikan bahawa SiO<sub>2</sub> nanopartikel mampu meningkatkan potensi banjir polimer untuk meningkatkan kedua-dua menyapu dan kecekapan anjakan oleh kenaikan kelikatan dan pengurangan IFT untuk meningkatkan faktor penemuan minyak.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	Х
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XV

## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Problem statement	5
1.3	Objectives	6
1.4	Scope	6
1.5	Significance of Study	7

## CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Recovery of Oil		8
	2.1.1	Primary Recovery	8
	2.1.2	Secondary Recovery	13
	2.1.3	Tertiary Recovery/Enhanced Oil Recovery	15
2.2	Chem	ical Flooding	17
	2.2.1	Xanthan Gum Polymer Flooding	18
	2.2.2	Nanoparticles	22
	2.2.3	Types of Nanoparticles	25

2.2.4	Mechanisms of Nanoparticles	29
2.2.5	Silica Oxide (SiO <sub>2</sub> ) Nanoparticles	34

## CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction		39
3.2	2 Chemical / Material Required		39
3.3	3.3 Methodology		40
	3.3.1	Sample Preparation	40
	3.3.2	Viscosity Test	41
	3.3.3	Interfacial Tension Test	42
	3.3.4	Oil Recovery Test	43

## CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1	Viscos	sity Test	46
4.2	2 Interfacial Tension Test		50
4.3	Oil Re	ecovery Test	52
	4.3.1	Permeability Calculation	52
	4.3.2	Porosity Calculation	53

## CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS

5.1	Conclusions	57
5.2	Limitation of The Study	58
5.3	Recommendations	58

### REFERENCES

59

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
NO.		
Table 4.1	Table of viscosity result when various concentrations of	47
	Xanthan gum solution at shear rate of 1 s <sup>-1</sup>	
Table 4.2	Table of viscosity result when various concentrations of	48
	SiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles added into the Xanthan gum solution	
	measured at the shear rate of 1 $s^{-1}$	
Table 4.3	Interfacial tension of oil-fluid interface	51
Table 4.4	Summary of the properties of sand pack model	
Table 4.5	Cumulative oil recovery test data	54
Table 4.6	Cumulative oil recovery for water flooding and polymer	56
	floodings for 4000 ppm XG and 3000 ppm SiO <sub>2</sub>	
	nanoparticles for different slug ratios	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Title	Page
Figure 1.1	Schematic of increase in surface area with decreasing	3
	particle size	
Figure 1.2	Improved permeability due to wettability alteration from	4
	oil-wet to water-wet	
Figure 2.1	Microscopic displacement (E <sub>D</sub> ) in single pore model	11
Figure 2.2	Macroscopic Displacement (Ev) - shows the areal sweep	12
	efficiency, EA shows viscous fingering occur	
Figure 2.3	Schematic of waterflooding	14
Figure 2.4	Water flooding in a water-wet system	14
Figure 2.5	Surfactant/polymer flooding	16
Figure 2.6	Polymer flooding mechanism, which is one of chemical	17
	EOR	
Figure 2.7	Chemical structure of XG polymer (S. Ghoumrassi-Barr et	18
	al, 2015)	
Figure 2.8	Effect of salinity on the polymer viscosity (S. Ghoumrassi-	19
	Barr et al., 2015)	
Figure 2.9	Effect of cation on the viscosity of xanthan solutions (S.	20
	Ghoumrassi-Barr et al., 2015)	
Figure 2.10	Effect of pH on the viscosity of the polymer (S.	20
	Ghoumrassi-Barr et al., 2015)	
Figure 2.11	Viscosity curve of 1000ppm xanthan gum in field water	21
	brine at 68 °C (S. Ghoumrassi-Barr et al., 2015)	
Figure 2.12	Schematic of nanoparticles and also mechanism of	23
	structural disjoining pressure gradient among oil,	
	nanofluids and solid	

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 2.13	Illustration of residual oil at (a) after imbibition process	25
	using brine (b) after nanofluids injection 0.1 wt%	
Figure 2.14	Summary of literature review of nanoparticles	27
Figure 2.15	Summary of literature review of recovery mechanisms of	27
	nanoparticles	
Figure 2.16	Summary of literature review of types of nanoparticles	28
Figure 2.17	Summary of literature review of SiO <sub>2</sub> types of nanoparticles	28
Figure 2.18	Summary of literature review of Polymer-coated types of nanoparticle	29
Figure 2.19	Single pore model	30
Figure 2.20	Structural disjoining pressure gradient at wedge vertex	31
Figure 2.21	Stable emulsion (adsorption of solid particle at oil-water	32
	interface)	
Figure 2.22	Schematic of PNP application through wettability	33
	alteration and mobility control mechanisms	
Figure 2.23	Schematic illustration of nanoparticles and mechanism of	35
	structural disjoining pressure gradient of oil, nanofluid and	
	solid as aqueous phase	
Figure 2.24	Recovery factor improvement by using water flooding	36
	(WF) and nanofluid (NF) with various concentrations	
Figure 2.25	Table of Residual oil saturation (Sor) after water flooding	36
	process and nanofluid flooding with various concentration	
	techniques	
Figure 2.26	Schematic of dispersion of silica nanofluid	37
Figure 2.27	CT-scan results of the core cross-section flooded with $\mathrm{CO}_2$	38
	and 2% NaBr brine (a) and 2% NaBr brine with 5% PEG-	
	coated silica nanoparticles	

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 3.1	Sample of 4000 ppm Xanthan Gum and three different concentrations of SiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles (1000, 3000 and 5000	41
	ppm)	
Figure 3.2	Kruss Tensiometer that is used to measure the interfacial tension (IFT)	42
Figure 3.3	Sand pack model with 32 mm ID and 30.48 cm length. The	44
	grain size used is in the range of 150-250 µm	
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of oil recovery experimental setup	44
Figure 3.5	Flow chart of research methodology	45
Figure 4.1	Viscosity behaviour of 4000 ppm XG + SiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	48
	(SiO $_2$ concentrations of 1000, 3000, 5000, 7000 and 9000	
	ppm)	
Figure 4.2	Graph of Viscosity Vs Shear rates when 4000 ppm Xanthan	49
	Gum synergised with different concentrations of $\mathrm{SiO}_2$	
	nanoparticles	
Figure 4.3	Graph of shear stress vs shear rate showed the behaviour of	49
	the polymer-silica nanoparticles solution as a shear thinning polymer solution	
Figure $\Lambda \Lambda$	The ability for the IFT reduction is existed with the presence	50
1 15010 4.4	of SiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in polymer solution	50
Figure 4.5	Result obtained for IFT reduction test when 4000 ppm	51
0	Xanthan Gum is synergised with different concentrations of	
	SiO <sub>2</sub> solutions	
Figure 4.6	Comparisons of oil recovery acquired after water flooding,	55
	polymer flooding and polymer-nanosilica flooding	
Figure 4.7	Core flooding result when conducted with optimal XG	55
	solution (4000ppm) with 3000 ppm $SiO_2$ nanoparticle for	
	different slug ratio between polymer flooding and water slug	

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EOR	Enhanced oil recovery
Sor	Residual oil saturation
Soi	Initial oil saturation
E <sub>A</sub>	Areal sweep efficiency
EI	Volumetric sweep efficiency
E	Efficiency
E <sub>D</sub>	Displacement efficiency
Ev	Sweep Efficiency
nm	Nanomicron
SiO <sub>2</sub>	Silica oxide
CNT	Carbon nanotube
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Aluminium oxide
TiO <sub>2</sub>	Titanium dioxide
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Iron oxide
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
IFT	Interfacial tension
HTHP	High temperature high pressure
OOIP	Original oil in place
Pc	Capillary pressure
Ca	Capillary effects
RF	Recovery factor
М	Mobility ratio
WAG	Water alternating gas
ILs	Imidazolium and lactam based ionic liquids
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
LHP	Lipophobic And Hydrophilic nanoparticles

HLP	Hydrophobic And Lipophilic nanoparticle
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	Zirconium Dioxide
PNP	Polymer-coated nanoparticles
WF	Water flooding
NF	Nanofluid floodings
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
UV-Vis	Ultraviolet-visible Spectrophotometer
XG	Xanthan Gum

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

μ	Fluid viscosity
υ	Interstitial velocity
γ	Interfacial tension

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 Research Background

S. J. Kulkarni (2017) reported in his study that the oil and gas production are lessening from time to time and this causes an increase in cost. Efficient oil exploration is very crucial in the petroleum and refining industry in order to support the increasing demands for petroleum. There are several factors contributing to the declining of the oil production. Firstly, there are bypassed or residual oil that has been trapped in the pores after primary and secondary recovery. This is because of an improper mobility ratio of the injectant which prone to viscous fingering and resistance of oil to flow. Secondly, the oil is trapped because of the high capillary forces across the interface between water and oil or pressure declining the reservoir and also because of the heterogeneities present in the reservoir (Gbadamosi, Junin, Manan, Yakeen, Agi, Oseh, 2018). The reservoir pressure decline happened because of inadequate water injection or poor injection quality during primary and secondary recovery. The unrecovered oil can be existed in a pore of either swept zone or unswept zone. The oil left in a swept zone due to capillary forces is called as residual oil saturation (Sor). While the oil left in a pore in an unswept zone is called as bypassed oil. Therefore, several techniques are used to recover the remaining oil from the reservoir.

Gbadamosi et al (2018) explained in their study that the recovery of crude oil is categorised into three stages, which are primary recovery, secondary recovery and tertiary recovery. First, primary recovery uses the number of reserves recovered without injected fluid pressure support or in another words, it uses the natural drive energy. The natural energy sources include rock and fluid expansion, solution gas, water influx, gas cap and gravity drainage. Second, the recovery of oil by injection of external fluids such as water and/or gas is called as secondary recovery or also known as Recovery Improvement process. It aims to maintain the pressure and increase the volumetric efficiency. Last but not least, the increased cost and depleting resources have established the enhanced oil recovery (EOR) activities or tertiary recovery. It is used after secondary recovery is no longer economical. It is characterized by the injection of special fluids such as chemical, microbial, miscible gases and/or injection of thermal energy. In some situations, it might be applied after primary recovery along with waterflooding (Green & Willhite, 1998).

EOR activities can be divided into chemical, thermal, gas and microbial. Thermal EOR uses steam or hot fluids or through in-situ combustion in order to reduce the viscosity of the heavy oil. This method is mainly applied in heavy oil reservoir. Meanwhile, gas or miscible gas EOR such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen and hydrocarbon gases is displacing the oil with a fluid that is miscible with the oil at the interface. Microbial EOR however, is an EOR technique that uses microorganisms that lives on the injected nutrition or oil in the reservoir. This microbes will generates several bioproducts such as bio-polymer and bio-surfactant. In contrast, chemical EOR is referring to the process of injecting a specific liquid chemical that changes the physicochemical properties of the displaced/displacing fluid such as IFT.

Polymer flooding is one of the chemical enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods. The polymer flooding has been proven to be suitable for EOR application in Malaysia. Furthermore, it is useful to extract some heavy oil or more viscous oils. It also promotes a more favourable mobility ratio and improve sweep efficiency by blocking and diverting the flow of displacing fluid where the oil is trapped due to reservoir heterogeneity. However, its effectiveness is affected by the chemical and mechanical degradation (Khalilinezhad et. al., 2017).



Figure 1.1 Schematic of increase in surface area with decreasing particle size. (A.O. Gbadamosi et al., 2018)

Therefore, there are extensive studies regarding the usage of nanoparticle materials in Enhance Oil Recover (EOR) or tertiary recovery methods including polymer flooding, which helps the conventional methods to increase the oil production. They are small in size, which is in the range of 1 - 100 nm (Youssif, El-Maghraby, Saleh, Elgibaly, 2018). Figure 1.1 illustrates the increment of the surface area of the particles due to their small size. Their small size causing them to be able to access into the pore spaces where the conventional recovery methods cannot do so (Negin, Ali, Xie, 2016). The nanofluid floodings are concluded to have mechanisms of recovery by wettability alteration, interfacial tension reduction, pickering emulsion formation and stability, structural disjoining pressure and oil viscosity reduction (Gbadamosi et al., 2018). Negin et al. (2016) discussed that there are a few types of nanoparticles such as organic, inorganic, metal oxides and non-silica nanoparticles. Organic nanoparticles are carbon nanoparticles and carbon nanotube (CNT) nanoparticles. However, inorganic nanoparticles can be silica oxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>), while the metal oxides nanoparticles are aluminium oxide (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), TiO<sub>2</sub> and iron oxide (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). Polymer nanoparticles and polymer-coated nanoparticles are examples for non-silica nanoparticles.



Figure 1.2 Improved permeability due to wettability alteration from oil-wet to water-wet (A.O. Gbadamosi, 2018)

Silica nanoparticles, for instance, are cheap and easy to control their chemical behaviour by using surface modification technique (Youssif et al., 2018). Moreover, these nanoparticles are also environmentally friendly, which is another advantage of using this type of nanoparticles in EOR apart from their ability to improve production. Corredor L.M., Husein M.M. & Maini B.B (2019) stated that Xanthan gum (XG) is a high molecular anionic polysaccharide that is formed by *bacterium Xanthomonas campestris* during the process of cellulosic backbone fermentation. This water-soluble polymer has been commonly used in EOR proving that it is able to improve sweep efficiency by controlling the mobility of water, lowering the permeability of water in the swept zones as well as contacting unswept zones. However, the temperature limit for Xanthan gum was reported around 70°C to 90°C.

In another study, they claimed that by adding hydrophilic Silica NP to the heavy crude oil and Xanthan gum (XG) has improved the emulsion stability at all polymer concentrations. It also reduced the IFT and changed the wettability from oil-wet to water-wet and thus improving the recovery of oil between 18% and 20% at 30 and 70°C (Saha R., Uppaluri RV S., Tiwari P., 2018). The wettability alteration from the oil-wet to more water-wet causing the oil to move/flow easier by lowering the capillary forces which retain the oil in the pores as shown in Figure 1.2. Therefore, in comparison to the previous studies, this research aims to study the effect of the synergy

of these nanofluids flooding in terms of viscosity, interfacial tension reduction as well as oil recovery test at room temperature.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Nowadays, there are many reports claimed that oil and gas production is decreasing and the conventional ways of recovering and extracting the reserves are no longer applicable or in other words, inefficient. The polymer flooding have always been reported to have several problems such as mechanical and biological degradation, adsorption and high temperature and salinity effects. The problems arise has initiated this study to find the solutions to several questions. One of the questions is how to overcome the limitations of the conventional polymer flooding? Secondly, what are the effect of this synergy of SiO<sub>2</sub> and Xanthan Gum nanoparticles injection on oil recovery? First, this study was proposed to introduce an enhancement material into conventional polymer flooding. It aims to study the effect of injecting a combination of nanoparticles and polymer flooding to the core to recover the bypassed and residual oil trapped, or particularly to enhance the recovery factor. The nanoparticles used in this study are Silica Oxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles. In order to answer the second problem statement, the synergy of these nanoparticles has been tested for their capability in terms of viscosity increment and interfacial tension (IFT) reduction.

#### 1.3 Objectives

This research is proposed with the objectives as followed:

- To evaluate the capability of the synergised nanoparticle of Xanthan Gum and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle solution to improve the solution viscosity and IFT reduction.
- b) To measure the effectiveness of synergy of Xanthan Gum and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle in improving oil recovery factor.

#### 1.4 Scope

First and foremost, the research objectives were analysed based on the data obtained from the laboratory experiments. Xanthan Gum and Silica Oxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticle were mixed together and injected into a sand pack. The performance of the synergy between the varying concentrations of SiO<sub>2</sub> (1000, 3000, 5000, 7000 and 9000 ppm) and 4000 ppm Xanthan Gum floodings was investigated by studying their ability to increase the viscosity of the displacing fluid (polymer) and minimise the interfacial tension between the phases. Then, the result were calculated and compared to get the optimum concentration of synergised solutions. The optimum solution was tested for flooding test for different slug ratio between polymer injection and water slug (0.1:0.9, 0.2:0.8, 0.3:0.7, 0.4:0.6 and 0.5:0.5 PV). All tests were conducted at room temperature of  $27^{\circ}$ C and pressure at standard 14.7 psia.

## 1.5 Significance of Study

The importance of the study is to find a new solution to help improve the existed Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) methods. In conjunction with that, this study targets to develop a new method that can enhance the production in an environmentally friendly manner. Moreover, it does not have a significant effect on low temperature. It also has a good resistance to mechanical degradation, temperature and high salinity (Jang et al., 2015). Therefore, it is suitable for this study where it was conducted at temperature of 27 °C with 20, 0000 ppm brine concentration. Nanoparticle prevents the degradation and improves the viscosity of polymer in the presence of salt and temperature (Gbadamosi et al., 2019).

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Anssari S., Barifcani A., Wang S., Maxim L., Iglauer S., (2016). Wettability alteration of oil-wet carbonate by silica nanofluid. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 461 (2016), 435-442.
- Ehtesabi H., Ahadian M. M., Taghikhani V., (2014.). Investigation of diffusion and deposition of TiO2 nanoparticles in sandstone rocks for EOR application. 76th EAGE Conference and Exhibition. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- El-hoshoudy A. N., Desouky S. E. M., Al-Sabagh A. M., Betiha M. A., El-Kady M. Y., Mahmoud S. (2016). Evaluation of solution and rheological properties for hydrophobically associated polyacrylamide copolymer as a promised enhanced oil recovery candidate. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, 26(2017), 779-785.
- El-hoshoudy A. N., Desouky S.E.M., Elkady M.Y., Al-Sabagh A.M., Betiha M.A., Mahmoud S, (2016). Hydrophobically associated polymers for wettability alteration and enhanced oil recovery – Article review. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, 26(2017), 757-762.
- Gbadamosi A. O., Junin R., Manan M. A., Yakeen N., Agi A., Oseh J. O, (2018). Recent advances and prospects in polymeric nanofluids application for enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 66(2018), 1-19.
- Gbadamosi A. O., Junin R., Manan M. A., Yakeen N., Agi A., (2019). Hybrid suspension of polymer and nanoparticles for enhanced oil recovery. *Polymer Bulletin*.

- Ghoumrassi- Barr, S., & Aliouche, D. (2015). Characterisation and Rheological Study of Xanthan Polymer for Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) Application. *Offshore Mediterranean Conference*.
- Green, D. W. & Willhite, G. P., (1998). *Enhanced Oil Recovery*. Richardson, Texas: Society of Petroleum Engineer.
- Hendraningrat L., Li S., Torsaeter O., (2013). A coreflood investigation of nanofluid enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 111 (2013), pp 128-138.
- Jang H. Y., Zhang K., Chon, B. H., Choi, H. J., (2015). Enhanced oil recovery performance and viscosity characteristics of polysaccharide xanthan gumsolution. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 21(2015), pp 741-745.
- Joseph-Igbor, B., Orodu, O. D., & Afolabi, R. O. (2016, August 2). Evaluating the Oil Mobilization Properties of Nanoparticles Treated with Arabic Gum and Xanthan Gum for Trapped Oil in Porous Media. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/184332-MS.
- Kazemzadeh,Y..Shojaei S., Riazi M., Sharifi M., (2018). Review on application of nanoparticles for EOR purposes; a critical review of the opportunities and challenges. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.2018.05.022.
- Kulkarni S. J., (2017). An insight into research and studies on enhanced oil recovery (EOR) in petroleum industries. *International Journal of Petroleum and Petrochemical Engineering (IJPPE)*, 3(2), 1-4.
- Mohd T. A. T., Muhayyidin A. H. M., Ghazali N. A., Shahruddin M. Z., Alias N., Arina, S.,Ismail S. N., Ramlee N. A., (2014). Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) foam stability dependence on nanoparticle concentration for enhanced oil recovery. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 548-549 (2014), pp 1876-1880.

- Muggeridge A., Cockin A., Webb K., Frampton H., Collins I., Moulds T., Salino P. (2014). Recovery rates, enhanced oil recovery and technological limits. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A.*, 372: 20120320.
- Mustafa M. A., (2015). Enhanced oil recovery. Faculty of Chemical Engineering, UiTM.
- Negin C., Ali S., Xie Q., (2016). Application of nanotechnology for enhancing oil recovery – A review. *Petroleum*, 2(2016), 324-333.
- Negin C., Ali S., Xie Q., (2017). Most common surfactants employed in chemical enhanced oil recovery. *Petroleum*, 3(2017), 197-211.
- Olajire A. A. (2014). Review of ASP EOR (alkaline surfactant polymer enhanced oil recovery) technology in the petroleum industry: Prospects and challenges. *Energy*, 77(2014), 963-982.
- Petro Industry News (2014). What is the difference between primary, secondary & enhance recovery for oil extraction?. Retrieved from https://www.petro-online.com/news/fuel-for-thought/13/breaking-news/what-is-the-difference-between-primary-secondary-amp-enhanced-recovery-for-oil-extraction/31405 on 17<sup>th</sup> November 2018.
- Radnia H., Rashidi A., Nazar A. R. S., Eskandari M. M., Jalilian M. (2018). A novel nanofluid based on sulfonated graphene for enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 271(2018), 795-806.
- Rezvani H., Khalilnezhad A., Ganji P., Kazemzadeh Y., (2018). How ZrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles improve the oil recovery by affecting the interfacial phenomena in the reservoir conditions?. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 252 (2018), pp 158-168.

- Saha R., Uppaluri R. V. S., Tiwari P., (2018). Silica nanoparticle assisted polymer flooding of heavy crude oil: Emulsification, rheology, and wettability alteration characteristics. *Industrial & Engineerig Chemistry Research*, 2018, 57, 6364-6376.
- Saigal, T.; Yoshikawa, A.; Kloss, D.; Kato, M.; Golas, P. L.; Matyjaszewski, K.; Tilton, R. D. J (2013). Colloid Interface Sci. 2013, 394, 284.
- Sakthivel S., Velusamy S., Nair V. C., Sharma T., Sangwai J. S., (2017). Interfacial tension of crude oil-water system with imidazolium and lactam-based ionic liquids and their evaluation for enhanced oil recovery under high saline environment. *Fuel*, 191(2017), 239-250.
- ShamsiJazeyi, H., Miller, C.A., Wong, M.S., Tour, J.M. and Verduzco, R., 2014. "Polymer-coated nanoparticles for enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 131,(15).
- Solomon, U., Oluwaseun, T., & Olalekan, O. (2015, August 4). Alkaline-Surfactant-Polymer Flooding for Heavy Oil Recovery from Strongly Water Wet Cores Using Sodium Hydroxide, Lauryl Sulphate, Shell Enordet 0242, Gum Arabic and Xanthan Gum. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/178366-MS.
- Suleimanov B. A., Ismailov F. S., Veliyev E.F., (2011). Nanofluid for enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 78 (2011), pp 431-437.
- Tan, L. T., (2016). Study on thermal stability of polymer in different concentration of KCL/formate brine polymer drilling fluid system. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Yousefvand H., Jafari A., (2015). Enhanced oil recovery using polymer/nanosilica. Procedia Materials Science, 11 (2015), pp. 565-570.

- Youssif M. I., El-Maghraby R. M., Saleh S. M., Elgibaly A., (2018). Silica nanofluid flooding for enhanced oil recovery in sandstone rocks. *Journal of Petroleum*, 27(2018), 105-110.
- Zhao M., Lv W., Li Y., Dai C., Wang X., Zhou H., Zou C., Gao M., Zhang Y., Wu Y., (2018). Study on the synergy between silica nanoparticles and surfactants for enhanced oil recovery during spontaneous imbibition. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 261(2018), 373-37.