

STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOUR OF CONCRETE FILLED COLD-FORMED STEEL
CHANNEL WALL PANEL UNDER AXIAL LOADING

NOR MASLINA BINTI MOHSAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise be to Allah, the Most Beneficent and Most Merciful for giving me the opportunity, ability and strength to successfully complete this long and challenging journey. Firstly, I would like to acknowledge my deepest appreciation and recognise all the contribution of Associate Professor Dr. Arizu Bin Sulaiman as my supervisor. Without his patience and support, this thesis might not be completed. A thank you is also due to my co-supervisor, Professor Ir. Dr. Mahmood Bin Md Tahir for his kindness to contribute to the funds of my study.

Special thanks go to my beloved husband, kids, family and friends. Without the love, support and du'a from them, I am not strong enough to continue my study.

Finally, this thesis is dedicated to my late father and my mother, for the vision and determination to educate me. I salute the both of you for the selfless love, care, pain and sacrifice you did to shape my life. This piece of victory is especially for the both of you. May you two be rewarded immensely here and in the hereafter.

ABSTRACT

The Government of Malaysia has promoted the usage of Industrial Building System (IBS) since the early 1960s. However, based on the IBS Roadmap 2003-2010 published by the Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia (CIDB), the factors contributing to the delay of IBS implementation in Malaysia are lack of knowledge among designers and requiring more local researches and developments, technologies and support services. One of the research areas is to develop a new type of load-bearing wall system to overcome the corrosion problem of reinforcement steel in the precast concrete wall panels. The aim of this study is to develop a new type of precast load-bearing wall panel system. The proposed system involved composite cold-formed wall panel (CCFWP) which consists of concrete and cold-formed steel sections, where the steel sections act as reinforcements. The load carrying capacity and the buckling behaviour of individual wall studs, wall frames, and CCFWP were assessed and validated with the relevant code of practices, and data from previous studies. The empirical equation to estimate the load carrying capacity of CCFWP has been proposed. The structural behaviour of individual wall studs, wall frames and CCFWP were investigated using full-scale experiment. Influences of web and flange holes, wall frame arrangements, aspect ratio and slenderness ratio on load carrying capacity of individual wall studs, wall frames, and CCFWP were also studied. It was found that web and flange holes with 150 mm spacing provide adequate compressive strength for the wall studs, and Arrangement 1 with one middle stud is considered as the best arrangement of the wall frame since it yielded higher and consistent results. The increment in ultimate axial strength ratio for CCFWP is 4% when the slenderness ratio of the CCFWP increased from 6 to 13, and the increment in ultimate axial strength ratio is 7.3% when the aspect ratio increased from 1.67 to 3.33. From the validation process, the closest prediction of the ultimate axial load of CCFWP is provided by BS8110. Subsequently, the proposed empirical equation for CCFWP is modified from the empirical equation as in BS 8110 by incorporating the effect of slenderness ratio, aspect ratio, and the contribution of the cold-formed steel.

ABSTRAK

Kerajaan Malaysia telah menggalakkan penggunaan teknologi Sistem Binaan Berindustri (IBS) bermula pada awal tahun 1960-an. Walau bagaimanapun, berdasarkan IBS Roadmap 2003-2010 yang diterbitkan oleh Lembaga Pembangunan Industri Pembinaan Malaysia (CIDB), factor-faktor yang menyumbang untuk penanguhan pelaksanaan IBS di Malaysia adalah kurangnya pengetahuan di kalangan jurutera dan keperluan lebih banyak penyelidikan dan pembangunan, teknologi dan perkhidmatan sokongan. Salah satu bidang kajian adalah membangunkan sistem dinding gelas beban yang baru bagi mengatasi masalah kakisan keluli tetulang dalam panel dinding konkrit pratuang. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan sistem panel dinding gelas beban yang baru. Sistem yang dicadangkan melibatkan panel dinding komposit keluli terbentuk sejuk (CCFWP) yang terdiri daripada konkrit dan keluli terbentuk sejuk yang bertindak sebagai tetulang. Kekuatan dan tingkah laku tiang dinding individu, bingkai dinding daripada keluli terbentuk sejuk dan CCFWP telah dikaji dan disahkan dengan kod amalan yang berkenaan, dan data daripada kajian terdahulu. Persamaan empirikal untuk menganggarkan kapasiti kekuatan menanggung beban bagi CCFWP telah dicadangkan. Ujian berskala penuh telah dilakukan untuk mengkaji kelakuan tiang dinding individu, bingkai dinding dan CCFWP. Pengaruh lubang pada web dan bibir, susunan bingkai dinding, nisbah aspek dan nisbah ketinggian pada kekuatan tiang dinding individu, bingkai dinding dan CCFWP juga telah dikaji. Didapati bahawa lubang pada web dan bibir tiang dinding individu dengan jarak 150 mm memberikan kekuatan mampatan yang mencukupi dan Susunan 1 yang mempunyai satu tiang dinding tengah adalah susunan bingkai dinding terbaik kerana ia memberi hasil yang lebih tinggi dan konsisten. Peningkatan nisbah kekuatan paksi muktamad untuk CCFWP adalah sebanyak 4% apabila nisbah ketinggian CCFWP meningkat dari 6 ke 13, dan peningkatan nisbah kekuatan paksi muktamad adalah sebanyak 7.3% apabila nisbah aspek meningkat dari 1.67 ke 3.33. Daripada proses pengesahan mendapati ramalan terhampir bagi kekuatan beban paksi muktamad CCFWP diberikan oleh BS8110. Seterusnya, persamaan empirikal yang dicadangkan telah diubah dari persamaan empirikal oleh BS8110 dengan mengambil kira kesan nisbah ketinggian, nisbah aspek dan sumbangan kekuatan keluli terbentuk sejuk.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	3
1.3	Objective of the Study	5
1.4	Scope of the Study	6
1.5	Significance of the Study	7
1.6	Thesis Outlines	7
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Cold-Formed Steel	11
2.2.1	Advantages and Disadvantages of Cold-Formed Steel	12
2.2.2	Buckling Failure Modes of Cold-Formed Steel Section under Compression	13
2.3	Code of Practices of Cold-Formed Steel	15

2.4	Reviews of Past Studies on Cold-Formed Steel in Load-Bearing Wall	16
2.5	Reviews of Past Studies on Steel-Concrete Load-bearing Walls	25
2.5.1	Conventional Reinforced Concrete Wall	26
2.5.2	Precast Sandwich Panels	36
2.5.3	Composite wall panels with Cold-Formed Steel	43
2.6	Load-bearing Wall Panels without Steel	49
2.7	Code of Practices of Wall Panels Design	50
2.7.1	American Concrete Institute (ACI 318-08)	50
2.7.1.1	Requirements and Limitations	51
2.7.2	British Standard (BS8110-97)	52
2.7.2.1	Requirements and Limitations	53
2.7.3	Eurocode 2 BS EN 1992	54
2.7.3.1	Requirements and Limitations	56
2.8	Concluding Remarks	56
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	61
3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	Research Material	63
3.2.1	Tensile Coupon Test	63
3.2.2	Concrete Compressive Strength Test	67
3.3	Individual Wall Stud Compression Test	68
3.3.1	Fabrication of Individual Wall Studs Test Specimen	68
3.3.2	Test Set-Up, Instrumentation and Procedure for Individual Wall Studs Compression Test	71
3.4	Wall Frames Test	75
3.4.1	Fabrication of Wall Frames Test Specimen	76
3.4.2	Test Set-up, Instrumentation and Procedure for Cold-Formed Wall Frames Test	80
3.4.3	Analytical Analysis of Individual Wall Stud and Wall Frame	82
3.5	Composite cold-formed Wall Panels (CCFWP) Test	85

3.5.1	Materials and Fabrication of the CCFWP Specimen	85
3.5.2	Test Set-up, Instrumentation and Procedure for the CCFWP Test	91
3.5.3	Pilot Test	96
3.6	Validation by Codes of Practices and Previous Researchers	97
3.7	Summary	98
CHAPTER 4	COLD-FORMED STEEL TESTS: INDIVIDUAL WALL STUDS AND WALL FRAMES TEST	99
4.1	Introduction	100
4.2	Material Properties	99
4.3	Analytical Analysis	104
4.3.1	Section Properties of Cold-Formed Channel Sections	104
4.3.1.1	Gross Cross Section Properties of Plain Lipped Channel Sections	107
4.3.1.2	Net Gross Cross-Section Properties for Perforated Lipped Channel Sections	109
4.3.1.3	Effective Cross-Section Properties of Perforated Lipped Channel Sections	110
4.3.2	Prediction of Design Buckling Resistance of Individual Wall Stud and Wall Frames	117
4.4	Results and Discussion for Individual Wall Studs Compression Test	119
4.4.1	Axial Compressive Strength	119
4.4.2	Axial Buckling Behaviour	122
4.4.3	Comparison with Predicted Buckling Resistance	126
4.5	Results and Discussion for Wall Frames Test	127
4.5.1	Axial Compressive Strength	127
4.5.2	Axial Buckling Behaviour	128
4.5.3	Comparison with Design Method Prediction	136
4.6	Summary	138

CHAPTER 5	FULL-SCALE COMPOSITE COLD-FORMED LOAD-BEARING WALL PANELS TEST	141
5.1	Introduction	141
5.2	Experimental Results and Discussion	142
5.2.1	Ultimate Failure Loads	142
5.2.2	Cracks Patterns and Mode of Failure of CCFWP	153
5.2.3	Load-Horizontal Deflection Characteristics of CCFWP	157
5.2.4	Load-Strain Relationship of CCFWP	162
5.2.5	Behaviour of Cold-Formed Wall Frames Inside the CCFWP	167
5.3	Validation of Experimental Results by Empirical Equations	169
5.3.1	Validation of Experimental Results and Code of Practices	171
5.3.2	Validation of Experimental Results and Previous Research	174
5.4	Proposed Empirical Equation for CCFWP	179
5.5	Suitability of CCFWP as Load-bearing Wall in Low Rise to Medium Rise Building	185
5.6	Summary	185
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	187
6.1	Introduction	187
6.2	Conclusions	188
6.2.1	To assess the load carrying capacity and buckling behaviour of individual wall studs and cold-formed wall frames	188
6.2.2	To validate the performance of the individual wall studs and cold-formed wall frames by comparing the experimental results and predictions by Code of Practice	189
6.2.3	To investigate the structural behaviour of the CCFWP	189
6.2.4	To Validate the Performance of the CCFWP and Propose an Empirical Equation to Estimate the Load Carrying Capacity of the Proposed CCFWP	190

6.3	Recommendations for Future Works	191
	REFERENCES	193
	APPENDICES A-E	199

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Details of full-scale wall frames (Shi et al, 2011)	23
Table 2.2	Detail of wall panels (Saheb and Desayi, 1989)	30
Table 2.3	Summary of detail five stages of testing programme by Doh (2002)	32
Table 2.4	The actual test capacity and capacity predicted by BS8110 (Wright, 1998)	46
Table 2.5	Summarize of the cold-formed steel used in wall panel systems from the previous study	58
Table 2.6	Summarize studies on the concrete load-bearing wall panels from the previous study	59
Table 3.1	Materials used in the research	64
Table 3.2	The geometrical dimension of individual wall stud specimens	70
Table 3.3	The geometrical dimension of the cold-formed wall frames	78
Table 3.4	Detail dimension and properties of CCFWP	87
Table 3.5	Summary of pilot test results	97
Table 4.1	Material properties of tensile coupon test	103
Table 4.2	Width-to-thickness ratio check for cold-formed lipped channel section	105
Table 4.3	Gross cross-sectional properties of plain sections (without holes)	108
Table 4.4	Net gross cross-section properties of the perforated sections	112
Table 4.5	Effective cross-section properties of the perforated sections	115
Table 4.6	Ultimate axial compressive load for individual wall stud	121
Table 4.7	Comparison of axial compressive load and predicted buckling resistance of individual wall studs	126
Table 4.8	The axial buckling behaviour of wall frames	131

Table 4.9	Comparison of axial compressive load and predicted buckling resistance of wall frames	137
Table 5.1	Ultimate failure load of CCFWP	145
Table 5.2	Ultimate axial strength of concrete and steel predicted by BS8110 and Chapter 4	152
Table 5.3	First crack load and crack patterns of the CCFWP	154
Table 5.4	Maximum lateral deflection of CCFWP	158
Table 5.5	Summary of surface and steel (wall studs) strains distribution	166
Table 5.6	Empirical equations proposed by Code of Practices and previous researchers and summary of the parameters considered in the investigation	170
Table 5.7	Comparison of the Code of Practices prediction and experimental results of CCFWP	172
Table 5.8	Comparison of the previous researcher's prediction and experimental results of CCFWP	176
Table 5.9	Comparison of experimental results and prediction valued by equation 5.1	184
Table 5.10	Summary of estimated loads on precast concrete wall	185

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Diagram of cold-formed steel section production (Consulting Engineers Corp., 2019)	12
Figure 2.2	Buckling failure modes of lipped channel under Compression (Hancock, 2003)	15
Figure 2.3	Mid-height channel bridging detail in research programme by Miller and Pekoz (1993)	17
Figure 2.4	Comparison of axial strength predictions method for 2.44 m wall assemblies done by Lee and Miller (2001)	19
Figure 2.5	Geometry of cold-formed steel wall panels; (a) one middle stud, (b) two middle studs (Tian et al, 2004)	20
Figure 2.6	Full-scale wall frame test set-up (Telue and Mahendran, 2001)	21
Figure 2.7	Specimens configuration; a) bare wall with bridging, b) sheathed wall without bridging, c) isolated single column with sheathing (Vieira, Shifferaw and Schafer, 2011)	22
Figure 2.8	Configuration of full-size wall specimen (Pan and Shan, 2011)	24
Figure 2.9	Strapping and blocking details developed by Yu and Chen (2011)	25
Figure 2.10	Test head assembly (a) concentric load, (b) eccentric load (Oberlender, 1973)	27
Figure 2.11	Loading set up for wall models tested by Pillai and Parthasarathy (1977)	29
Figure 2.12	Details of top hinge ends adopted by Saheb and Desayi (1989)	30
Figure 2.13	Test rig design detail (Doh, 2002)	33
Figure 2.14	Detail of top hinge edge (Doh, 2002)	33
Figure 2.15	Experimental set-up (Ruzitah and Hamzah, 2010)	35
Figure 2.16	Sandwich panels connector; (a) 3-D cut FRP bent bar connector, (b) Steel truss connector (Salmon et al, 1997)	37
Figure 2.17	Detail precast sandwich panels (PCSP) specimen (Benayoune et al, 2007)	38

Figure 2.18	Test set-up by Gara et al (2012)	40
Figure 2.19	Shear truss connector; a) Single (Mohamad, 2010), b) Double (Goh, 2015)	41
Figure 2.20	Composite walling systems with cold-formed profile steel sheeting (Wright and Gallocher, 1995)	44
Figure 2.21	Hook connector used as transfer devices (shear key) (Wright, 1998)	45
Figure 2.22	Steel profiled sheeting edge condition; (a) no stopping edge (b) With stops edge (c) with welded stopping edge (Othuman Mydin and Wang, 2011)	47
Figure 2.23	(a) Assemblage, welding and concrete molding of the ELC panels, (b) Cross-section of the ELC panels (Carrasco et al, 2012)	48
Figure 2.24	The arrangement of splints bamboo reinforcement (Himasree et al, 2017)	49
Figure 2.25	Eccentricity in a slender walls considered in BS8110-97 (Doh, 2002)	54
Figure 2.26	Annotation for a plain wall (BSI, 2008)	56
Figure 3.1	Research framework	62
Figure 3.2	Coupon shape and dimension	65
Figure 3.3	The tensile coupon test of cold-formed steel	66
Figure 3.4	The concrete compressive strength test	67
Figure 3.5	The profile dimension of cold-formed channel section	69
Figure 3.6	The spacing and locations of the drilled holes	72
Figure 3.7	Schematic diagram set-up for individual wall stud compression test	73
Figure 3.8	The testing of individual wall studs compression test	74
Figure 3.9	Geometry arrangement of the cold-formed wall frames	76
Figure 3.10	Connection between wall studs and track with two number of self-drilling screw	77
Figure 3.11	Geometry of specimen 2-WF1.9A1H2 (a) Front view, (b) A-A Top view, (c) B-B Side view	79
Figure 3.12	Schematic diagram set-up for cold-formed wall frames test	81
Figure 3.13	Position of LVDTs for specimen 2-WF1.9A1H2	83

Figure 3.14	The testing of the wall frame specimen	84
Figure 3.15	The wall frames ready for casting	88
Figure 3.16	The fabrication and casting process of the CCFWP specimens	90
Figure 3.17	The schematic diagram for the set-up of CCFWP test; (a) Front view, (b) Side view	93
Figure 3.18	Testing of CCFWP	93
Figure 3.19	Detail of the top hinged edge for CCFWP tests	94
Figure 3.20	Locations of LVDT and strain gauge at front surface of the CCFWP	95
Figure 4.1	Failure modes of the coupon samples	102
Figure 4.2	Stress-strain curve for sample no.1 of LC1.55	102
Figure 4.3	Cold-Formed Lipped Channel Section LC1.55 and LC1.90	105
Figure 4.4	Mid-line idealisation dimension of LC1.90	108
Figure 4.5	The most detrimental arrangement of holes	110
Figure 4.6	The effective cross-section dimensions of LC1.9 and LC1.9WFH	115
Figure 4.7	Ultimate axial compressive load - height of wall stud for wall studs with 1.9 mm cross-section thickness and 150 mm holes spacing	121
Figure 4.8	Load - horizontal deflection for individual wall stud	123
Figure 4.9	(a) Flexural buckling, (b) Flexural torsional buckling	124
Figure 4.10	(a) & (b) Local buckling at web, (c) & (d) Local buckling at flange	125
Figure 4.11	The load-displacement graph for 1-WF1.5A1H1 and 1-WF1.9A1H1	130
Figure 4.12	Typical buckling behaviour of wall frame in arrangement 1 (a) overall buckling behaviour of 1-WF1.5A1H1; (b) Local buckling at web and flange.	132
Figure 4.13	Local buckling coincide with hole at web and flange near to the top and bottom support	132
Figure 4.14	The load-displacement graph for 1-WF1.5A2H1 and 1-WF1.9A2H1	133

Figure 4.15	Typical buckling behaviour of wall frame in arrangement 2 (a) overall buckling behaviour of 1-WF1.9A2H1; (b) Bracket in tension.	134
Figure 4.16	The load-displacement graph for 1-WF1.5A3H1 and 1-WF1.9A3H1	135
Figure 4.17	Buckling behaviour of wall frame in arrangement 3 (a) 1-WF1.5A3H1; (b) 1-WF1.9A3H1	135
Figure 5.1	Ultimate failure load and compressive strength of CCFWP	144
Figure 5.2	Influence of drilled holes	144
Figure 5.3	The ultimate strength ratio ($P_u/f_c u L_t$)-height of the CCFWP	146
Figure 5.4	Ultimate failure load-Compressive strength of CCFWP	147
Figure 5.5	Ultimate strength ratio-slenderness ratio (H/t) of CCFWP	148
Figure 5.6	Ultimate strength ratio-aspect ratio (H/L) of CCFWP	149
Figure 5.7	Ultimate strength ratio-percentage of vertical steel reinforcement of CCFWP	150
Figure 5.8	Cracks pattern and the mode of failure of CCFWP, (a) Crack and crush at the upper end of wall panel; (b) Crack pattern on the tension face; (c) Vertical crack at the middle of wall panel; (d) Crack and crush on the side of wall panel; (e) Crack and crush at the bottom of wall panel; (f) Crack and crush on the compression face	156
Figure 5.9	Load-lateral deflection curve of CCFWP	160
Figure 5.10	Deflection profile along the height of CCFWP	161
Figure 5.11	Load-Strain curve for CCFWP with arrangement 3 (A3)	165
Figure 5.12	Behaviour of cold-formed wall frame after testing, (a) track; (b) wall studs, bracing, bracket and drilled holes.	168
Figure 5.13	The ultimate strength ratio of experimental results and Code of Practices prediction-slenderness ratio of the wall panels	174
Figure 5.14	The ultimate strength ratio of experimental results and previous researcher's prediction-slenderness ratio of the wall panels	178
Figure 5.15	The ultimate strength ratio of experimental results and selected empirical equations prediction-slenderness ratio of the wall panels	190

Figure 5.16	The percentage different between the experimental results and the selected equations	181
Figure 5.17	The percentage different between the experimental results and the proposed equation 5.1	183
Figure 5.18	The ultimate strength ratio of experimental results and the proposed equation 5.1-slenderness ratio of the CCFWP	184

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3-D	-	Three dimension
A1	-	Arrangement 1
A2	-	Arrangement 2
A3	-	Arrangement 3
A4	-	Arrangement 4
ACI	-	American Concrete Institute
AISI	-	American Iron and Steel Institute
AS	-	Australian standard
ASD	-	Allowable stress design
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BS	-	British Standard
BS EN	-	British Standard Eurocode
BSI	-	British Standard Institute
CCFWP	-	Composite cold-formedwall panel
c/c	-	Centre to centre
CIDB	-	Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia
CSB	-	Calcium silicate board
DAT	-	Design Assisted by Testing
DSM	-	Direct strength method
EC	-	Eurocode
ECCS	-	European Convention for Constructional Steelwork
ELC	-	Enveloped laminar concrete
eqn	-	Equation
FB	-	Flexural buckling
FEA	-	Finite Element Analysis
FRP	-	Fiber reinforced plastic
GYP	-	Gypsum board
H1	-	Height 1-2920 mm or 2000 mm
H2	-	Height 2-1920 mm or 1500 mm
H3	-	Height 3-1420 mm or 1000 mm

H4	-	Height 4-920 mm
HS	-	High strength
IBS	-	Industrialized Building System
LB	-	Local buckling
LC	-	Lipped channel
LFC	-	Lightweight-foamed concrete
LRFD	-	Load and resistance factor design
LTB	-	Lateral torsional buckling
LVDT	-	Linear Voltage Displacement Transducer
Mh	-	Mid-height
Md	-	Middle
NS	-	Normal strength
OSB	-	Oriented strand board
P	-	Plain
PCSP	-	Precast sandwich panels
Qh	-	Quarter-height
OW	-	One way
R&D	-	Research and development
RC	-	Reinforced concrete
Sd	-	Side
SLS	-	Serviceability limit state
TC	-	Track channel
TW	-	Two way
U.S	-	United State of America
ULS	-	Ultimate limit state
WF	-	Wall frame
WFH	-	Web flanges holes
WH	-	Web holes
WOH	-	Without holes
WASTB	-	Computer program developed by Doh (2002)

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_{eff}	-	Effective cross section area
A_c	-	Gross cross-sectional area
A_g	-	Area of gross cross-section
$A_{g,sh}$	-	Value of A_g for cross-section with sharp corners
A_s	-	Effective second moment area of the edge stiffener
A_{sv}	-	Area of vertical steel
A_{cp}	-	Cross section area of concrete in one pitch of wall
A_{net}	-	Net gross cross-section area
B or b	-	Width
b_p	-	National flat width or plane element
$b_{p,i}$	-	notional flat width of plane element i for a cross-section with sharp corners
b_{eff}	-	Effective width
c	-	lip
D	-	Overall thickness of wall
E	-	Young modulus of the section
e	-	Eccentricity of the load measured at right angles to plane of the wall
e_a	-	an additional eccentricity due to deflections in the wall panel $e_a = (H_{we})^2 / (2500t_w)$
e_{tot}	-	$e_o + e_i$
e_o	-	First order eccentricity
e_i	-	Additional eccentricity including geometrical imperfections
f_{cu}	-	Concrete compressive strength
f_y	-	Tensile strength of the steel
f_{yb}	-	Basic yield strength
h	-	Height of wall panel
H	-	Effective height of wall panel
h_p	-	Overall depth of the cross section of polystyrene

$\frac{h}{t}$	-	Slenderness ratio (height/thickness)
$\frac{h}{L}$	-	Aspec ratio (height/length)
H_{we}	-	Effective height
I_s	-	Effective cross-sectional area of the edge stiffener
I_g	-	Second moment of area of the gross cross-section
$I_{g,sh}$	-	Value of I_g for a cross-section with sharp corners
I_w	-	Warping constant of the gross cross-section
$I_{w,sh}$	-	Value of I_w for a cross-section with sharp corners
k	-	0.8 for wall restrained against rotation at one or both ends
K	-	Spring stiffness per unit length
L	-	Length or width
L_{cr}	-	Buckling length
l_e	-	Effective height of braced wall
m	-	Number of plane elements
$N_{b,Rd}$	-	Design buckling resistance
N_u	-	Design axial strength of wall panel per unit length of wall (N/mm)
N_{Rd}	-	Axial resistance of rectangular cross-section
n	-	Number of curved elements
P_u	-	Ultimate axial compressive load of wall panel
$P_{u,L}$	-	Ultimate axial load of wall panel per unit length
P_{ue}	-	Experimental axial load capacity
P_{uc}	-	Calculation/theoretical/prediction axial load capacity
r_j	-	Internal radius of curved element j
t	-	Thickness of wall panel
t_{cor}	-	Steel core thickness
$\frac{t}{6}$	-	Eccentricity (wall panel thickness/6)
t_w	-	Thickness of wall panel
t_{red}	-	Reduced thickness
$\bar{\lambda}_d$	-	Relative slenderness of the stiffener
$\sigma_{cr,s}$	-	Elastic critical buckling stress

$\bar{\lambda}_{p,red}$	-	Reduced relative slenderness
χ_d	-	Reduction factor
$\bar{\lambda}$	-	Non-dimensional slenderness
χ	-	Reduction factor for the relevant buckling mode
γ_{M1}	-	Partial factor (resistance of member to buckling)
α	-	Imperfection factor
$\eta f_{cd,pl}$	-	Design effective compressive strength
ρ	-	Reduction factor for plate buckling ≤ 1.0
ρ_h	-	Amount of horizontal steel
ρ_v	-	Amount of vertical steel
ϕ	-	Strength reduction coefficient
\emptyset	-	Angle between two plane elements
α	-	Reduction factor to account for profiling of concrete
p	-	Pitch of profiles in wall
Φ	-	A value to determine the reduction factor

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Analysis of Tensile Coupon Test	199
Appendix B	Gross Section Properties, Net Gross Section Properties and Effective Width and Section Properties	208
Appendix C	Design Calculations of Buckling Resistance for Individual Wall Studs and Design Calculations of Buckling Resistance for Wall Frames	258
Appendix D	Load - Horizontal Deflection for Individual Wall Studs and Load - Horizontal Deflection for Wall Frames	273
Appendix E	Load-Lateral Deflection Curves for the First Series of CCFWP, Deflection Profile Along the Height of CCFWP and Load-Strain Curve of CCFWP	279

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Malaysia is known as one of the developing countries in the world with the number of population reaching 32 million in 2017 which is an increase of about 1.3% from 2016 (Bernama, 2017). As the population increases, the demand for facilities including housing areas for shelters, industrial areas for job opportunities and the urban areas also increases. With reverence to this issue, the function of the construction industry can take part in order to consummate these needs. In many countries, the construction industry plays an important role in the economic development. According to RAM Ratings (2016), the recorded growth in the construction of residential buildings at about 11% and the non-residential buildings recorded a 14% expansion from 2014. Therefore, the construction sector in Malaysia has proved to be the sector that ranked first in terms of its development economic in 2015.

Aligned with the growth of the construction industry and demand for housing in Malaysia, therefore the Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia also known as CIDB has initiated the use of the Industrialized Building System (IBS). IBS is a new construction technique that was introduced in Malaysia since the 1960s and the main objective is to solve the problem of shortage of houses. This is due to the available method of construction (conventional) is ineffective and incapable to deal with the incrementing demand of facility in Malaysia especially housing area and industrial area. According to CIDB, IBS can be defined as a construction activities that relate to the utilising of off-site prefabricated construction components and products such as beam, column, floor panel and wall panel. All the components will be transported, arranged and installed on-site (CIDB, 2003). The advantages of IBS

construction technique is that it can reduce the on-site activities that lead to more organized construction site, thus significantly reducing the completion time of construction. Furthermore, the adoption of the IBS construction technique can reduce the use of unskilled workers, less volume of building material on-site, thus reducing wastage and increasing construction site cleanliness.

Based on Rahim and Qureshi (2018), the private sector in Malaysia is still cannot fully accept the use of IBS and CIDB is still in the process of encouraging the use of IBS in this sector. One of the factors that contributing to the delay of its implementation in Malaysia is insufficient knowledge on IBS method among designer (Kamarul et al, 2007). In order to overcome this problem, more local research and development (R&D), technologies and support services are required. One of the areas or components in IBS that can be developed is the load-bearing wall panels, where there is a need to produce an effective and suitable load-bearing wall panel system for IBS construction. Other than CIDB, the involvement of universities (academia), research institutes, contractors, consultants, and companies are critical towards the implementation of IBS.

Nowadays, the used of cold-formed steel in Malaysia construction sector is quite common but only familiar in the roof truss constructions. There are a few factors that limit the usage of cold-formed steel in Malaysia i.e. the market perception that the cold-formed steel is expensive and lack of knowledge among local design engineers and architects on the application and design of cold-formed steel structure. However, the application of cold-formed steel in a developed country is becoming very popular due to several advantages such as speedy construction, high strength to weight ratio, dimensional stability and recycle material (Billah et al, 2019). The application of the cold-formed steel sections is not limited to the interior non-load bearing and curtain walls, storage racks and various types of equipment but getting attention for use as load-bearing wall panel, floor panel and roof truss members in residential and commercial building (Hu, 2008).

The chapter onwards will discuss the new technology of a composite load-bearing wall panel with cold-formed steel section that has a great potential to be further studied and developed in Malaysian's IBS construction industry.

According to ACI 318-08 Clause 2.2, wall is defined as a vertical member used to enclose or separate spaces in a building or structure (Fanella, 2011). Walls as a structural element in the building system contribute to multiple different purposes and sometimes perform two or three roles together. Walls are divided into two types which is a non load-bearing wall and load-bearing wall. A non load-bearing walls usually has the function of dividing space, providing sound insulation and fire resistance requirements for the buildings. There are no others load carried by this wall, but only its own self-weight.

A load-bearing wall or sometimes called as structural walls whose length is not less than four times its thickness may perform the roles in two ways (Fisher, 1972). The first role, a load-bearing wall must be designed to resist the axial or vertical loads applied, in addition to their own self-weight. Second, the stabilising wall is also identified as shear walls are designed to resist the horizontal forces from wind load and earthquake load in the direction parallel to the length of the wall.

Walls can be classified as stocky wall if the effective height (l_e) divided by the thickness (h) of the wall does not exceed 15 and slender wall if $\frac{l_e}{h}$ greater than 15 (BS8110).

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Many low to medium rise buildings are built to have the load-bearing walls as the main supporting elements. The appropriate thickness of the load-bearing walls usually depend on the application and height of building. There is a possibility that an external wall could become unstable and failed if the applied load is greater than the strength of the material used, thus able to cause the collapse of the structure and building. Nowadays, the most popular materials used in the construction of load-bearing walls in buildings are concrete, blocks and bricks.

Traditionally, a brick wall was used as a load-bearing wall in low to medium-rise buildings, for internal walls and cladding of buildings but there are a few limitations when using a brick wall. Beasley (2000) mentioned that there are two broad categories of traditional wall failures which is the stability failures and serviceability failures. Stability failures include complete wall collapse or partial collapse and loose of pieces or crushing while stability failures include water leakage, formation of cracks or aesthetic deterioration to the structures. There are a few relevant factors in deciding the use brick walls from the construction point of view which are the obtainability of the skilled labour, the time of construction and the phasing of the overall building planning. This is because each brick must be mortared and placed by hand and as a form of 'wet' construction, the brick needs time to dry out and as a result slows down the rate of the construction. Other than that, bricks are susceptible to water absorption and when they absorb water they deteriorate quicker than other materials.

In the 20th century, most of the constructions started to change the direction of traditional brick walls to reinforced concrete walls. A few limitations and issues with brick walls were solved by using reinforced concrete walls. Nevertheless, reinforced concrete wall can fail due to inadequate strength thus end up to the mechanical failure, a reduction in durability and corrosion problem. When reinforcement bar corrodes, the oxidation products expand and results in the formation of cracks in the concrete, and finally unbonding the rebar from the concrete. The expansion of corrosion products will result in serious defects in reinforced concrete walls (Kyung et al, 2004).

Extensive studies also have shown that under high axial compressive load ratio, the conventional RC walls will have low ductility and limited deformation capacity when subjected to cyclic lateral loads (Qian et al, 2012).

Therefore, the precast concrete wall panel has been introduced as the newest product in the concrete industry and gaining popularity to replace the conventional brick walls and reinforced concrete walls. There are many advantages of precast concrete wall panels such as lightweight, speed of erection, fire resistance and minimum maintenance. However, the main problems encountered with the precast concrete wall panels is bulging of panels and heat transmission problem (Leabu, 1959). Popovic and Arnold (2000) also mentioned that due to the exposure to freeze-thaw cycles, the surface of precast concrete wall panels will develop cracks and shallow spalls, tend to caused corrosion to the embedded reinforcing steel.

The idea to use a cold-formed steel section as reinforcement in the wall instead of reinforcement bars can overcome the corrosion problem in the precast concrete wall panels. Rondal (2000) and Davies (2000) reported that the production of economic coated steel coils and improving the technology of manufacture for cold-formed steel have given a solution to the architectural and corrosion problems in construction and resulting to the increased use of cold-formed in new structural applications. It is believed that, application of a cold-formed steel can derive benefits to the new composite cold-formed load-bearing wall panel proposed in this study due to its characteristics of high strength to weight ratio and high resistance to corrosion. Therefore, the main intentions of this research are to fulfill the demands for more innovative wall panel construction method and to overcome the shortcomings in the conventional wall construction and problems in precast concrete wall panels.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The aim of this study is to develop a new load-bearing wall panel using concrete and cold-formed channel section. In order to achieve this aim, several objectives are identified as follow:

1. To assess the load carrying capacity and buckling behaviour of individual wall studs and cold-formed wall frames proposed in this study.
2. To validate the performance of the individual wall studs and cold-formed wall frames by comparing the experimental results and predictions by Eurocode 3.
3. To investigate the structural behaviour of the proposed composite cold-formed load-bearing wall panel (CCFWP) by means of the experimental investigation of full-scale tests.
4. To propose an empirical equation to estimate the load carrying capacity of the proposed CCFWP.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The focus of this study is to develop a new type of load-bearing wall panel utilizing concrete and cold-formed channel section as a reinforcement in the wall panel. The scope of this study consists of two phases of experimental investigation. The first phase focuses on testing the cold-formed individual wall studs from lipped channel sections to determine the suitable position and spacing of drilled holes (shear connector) and testing of the wall frames with four different proposed arrangement. The proposed cold-formed wall frames are embedded in composite wall panels and act as the steel reinforcement. The second phase of experimental investigation focuses on testing the full-scale composite cold-formed wall panels (CCFWP) with different height of wall panels. The composite wall panels were prepared with normal strength concrete. All the specimens were tested under axial loading. The behaviour of CCFWP

studies from the experimental results and observations are the load carrying capacity, load-deflection profiles, crack pattern, strain distribution, the efficiency of the wall frame arrangements and the influence of vertical steel.

The experimental results from the first and second phase of testing are validated by comparing with the predictions by Codes of Practices and previous researchers. A suitable empirical equation is proposed to determine the ultimate load carrying capacity of proposed CCFWP under axial compressive load.

1.5 Significance of the Study

Throughout this study, information about the structural behavior of the CCFWP is being provided. The results of this study are very important to provide further justification and important information on the CCFWP in terms of strength, failure mechanism, and deformation capacity under axial compressive loading. The information will assist in the design of CCFWP to be used as a precast wall panel and as an alternative to conventional reinforced concrete walls. An empirical equation proposed in this study is able to predict the ultimate load carrying capacity of CCFWP under axial compressive load. In addition, this study will broaden knowledge in the field of wall panels.

1.6 Thesis Outlines

This thesis consists of six (6) chapters. The outlines of each chapter are depicted as follows:

Chapter 1 - This chapter presents the background of the study, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, scope of the study and significance of the study.

Chapter 2 - This chapter presents the comprehensive review on the area of study including research on cold-formed steel wall frame, load-bearing composite wall panels, discussion on empirical equations developed from previous researchers and code of practices to predict the ultimate axial load of wall.

Chapter 3 - This chapter describes the methodology of the study. The fabrication of the individual wall studs, wall frames and CCFWP specimens. The detail description of the test set-up, instrumentation and procedure of the testing also presented.

Chapter 4 - This chapter presents and discusses the experimental results of individual studs test and wall frames test. The experimental results were verified using the current code of practice.

Chapter 5 - This chapter presents and discusses the experimental results of CCFWP test under axial loading. The theoretical validation of experimental results were performed by using empirical equations proposed by current code of practices and previous researchers. The suitable empirical equation also developed to predict the ultimate axial load capacity for CCFWP.

Chapter 6 - This chapter provides conclusions and recommendation for future works on CCFWP.

REFERENCES

- ACI (2008) *ACI318-08 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary*. American Concrete Institute.
- Anwar Hossain, K. M., and Wright, H. D. (2004) Experimental and theoretical behaviour of composite walling under in-plane shear, *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 60(1), 59–83.
- ASTM (2010) *ASTM E72-10 Standard Test Methods of Conducting Strength Tests of Panels for Building Construction*. United States: ASTM International.
- Beasley, K. J. (2000) Common Failures with Contemporary and Traditional Wall System, *Forensic Engineering (2000)*, 454–461.
- Benayoune, A. (2003) *Precast Concrete Sandwich Panel As a Building System*. PhD Thesis. Universiti Putra Malaysia
- Benayoune, A., Samad, A. A. A., Abang Ali, A. A., and Trikha, D. N. (2007) Response of pre-cast reinforced composite sandwich panels to axial loading, *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(3), pp. 677–685.
- Benayoune, A., Samad, A. A. A., Trikha, D. N., Abang Ali, A. A., and Ashrabov, A. A. (2006) Structural behaviour of eccentrically loaded precast sandwich panels, *Construction and Building Materials*, 20(9), 713–724.
- Benayoune, A., Samad, A. A. A., Trikha, D. N., Ali, A. A. A., and Ellinna, S. H. M. (2008) Flexural behaviour of pre-cast concrete sandwich composite panel – Experimental and theoretical investigations, *Construction and Building Materials*, 22(4), 580–592.
- Bernama (2017) Malaysia's population climbs to 32 million, 2017, July 15. Retrieved
- Billah, M., Islam, M., and Ali, R. B. (2019) Cold formed steel structure : An overview, *World Scientific News 1*, 118(December 2018), 59–73.
- BSI. (1997). *BS 8110-1:1997 Structural use of concrete-Part 1: Code of Practice for Design and Construction*. London: British Standard Institution
- BSI. (2006). *BS EN 1993-1-3 Eurocode 3: Design of Steel structures-Part 1-3: General Rules-Supplementary Rules for Cold-Formed Members and Sheeting*. London: British Standard Institution

- BSI. (2008). *BS EN 1992-1-1 Eurocode 2 - Design of concrete structures. Part 1-1: General Rules and Rules for Buildings*. London: British Standard Institution
- BSI. (2009a). *BS EN ISO 6892-1 Metallic materials - Tensile Testing Part 1: Method of test at ambient temperature*. London: British Standard Institution
- BSI. (2009b). *BS EN 12390-3 Testing Hardened Concrete-Part 3: Compressive Strength of Test Specimens*. London: British Standard Institution
- Carrasco, E. V. M., Rodrigues, E. V, Ribeiro, G. O., Queiroz, G., and De Paula, F. A. (2012) Ultimate compressive strength of Enveloped Laminar Concrete panels, *Construction and Building Materials*, 27(1), 375–381.
- CIDB (2003). Industrialised Building Systems (IBS) Roadmap 2003-2010. *Lembaga Pembangunan Industri Pembinaan Malaysia*. 72. pp. 24
- Consulting Engineers Corp. (2019). Introduction to Cold Formed Steel. Retrived on May 20, 2019, from <http://engineer-cec.com/index.php?sn=327>
- Davies, J. M. (2000). Recent research advances in cold-formed steel structures. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 55(1-3), 267–288.
- Doh, J. (2002). *Studies of Normal and High Strength*. PhD Thesis, Griffith University, Gold Coast.
- Doh, J. H., and Fragomeni, S. (2017) Evaluation of Experimental Work on Concrete Walls in One and Two-Way Action. *Australian Journal of Structural Engineering*, 6(1), 37–52.
- Don Allen. (2008) Cold-Formed Steel Trends, Techniques, and Resources, Insights Magazine. January, 65–66.
- El-Sheikh, A. I., El-Kassas, E. M. A., and Mackie, R. I. (2001). Performance of stiffened and unstiffened cold-formed channel members in axial compression. *Engineering Structures*, 23(10), 1221–1231.
- Fanella, D. A. (2011) *Reinforced Concrete Structures: Analysis and Design*. Washington DC: McGraw Hill Education.
- Fisher, R. (1972) *Walls*. London: The MacMillan Press Ltd.
- Fragomeni, S., and Mendis, P. (1997). Instability Analysis of Normal- and High-Strength Reinforced-Concrete Walls. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 123(5), 680–684.
- Fülöp, L. ., and Dubina, D. (2004). Performance of wall-stud cold-formed shear panels under monotonic and cyclic loading. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 42(2), 339–349.

- Gara, F., Ragni, L., Roia, D. and Dezi, L. (2012) Experimental tests and numerical modelling of wall sandwich panels, *Engineering Structures*, 37(0), 193–204.
- Gardner, L., and Nethercot, D. A. (2011). *Designers' Guide to Eurocode 3: Design of Steel Buildings*. 2nd ed. Institution of Civil Engineers publishing.
- Goh, W. I. (2015). *Structural Behaviour of Precast Lightweight Foamed Concrete Sandwich Panel (PLFP) With Shear Truss Connectors*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia
- Hancock, G. J. (2003). Cold-formed steel structures. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 59(4), 473–487.
- Hancock, G. J., Murray, T. M., and Ellifritt, D. S. (2001). *Cold-Formed Steel Structures to the AISI Specification*. New York. Basel: Marcel Dekkers, Inc.
- Himasree, P. R., Ganesan, N., and Indira, P. V. (2017). Bamboo as a substitute for steel in reinforced composite wall panels. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 80(1).
- Hu, W. K. (2008). *Time and Cost Study on Cold-Formed Steel and Reinforced Concrete Construction - A comparison*. Master Thesis. Universiti Malaysia Serawak.
- Huang, Y., Hamed, E., Chang, Z.-T., and Foster, S. J. (2014). Theoretical and Experimental Investigation of Failure Behavior of One-Way High-Strength Composite wall panels. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 141(5), 04014143.
- Kamarul, B., Mohamad, A., Ghani, M. K., and Hazim, A. (2007). Industrialised Building System: Current Shortcomings And The Vital Role Of R&D. *Master Builders*, 62–65.
- Kyung, J. W., Yokota, M., Leelalerkiet, V., and Ohtsu, M. (2004). Practical Use of Half-Cell Potential Method for NDE of Corrosion of Reinforced Concrete Structure. *Key Engineering Materials*, Volumes 27, 1638–1644.
- Lakkavalli, B. S., and Liu, Y. (2006) Experimental Study of Composite Cold-formed Steel C-section Floor Joists. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 62(10), 995–1006.
- Leabu, V. F. (1959) Problems and Performance of Precast Concrete Wall Panels. *Journal of the American Concrete Institute*, 56(10), 287-298.
- Lee, Y., and Miller, T. H. (2001). Axial Strength Determination For Gypsum-Sheathed, Cold-Formed Steel Wall Stud Composite Panels. *Journal Of Structural Engineering*, 127(6), 608–615.

- Meiyalagan, M., Anbarasu, M., and Sukumar, S. (2010). Investigation on Cold - formed C - section Long Column with Intermediate Stiffener & Corner Lips – Under Axial Compression. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 1, 28–41.
- Miller, T. H., and Pekoz, T. (1993). Behavior of Cold-Formed Steel Wall Stud Assemblies. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 119(2), 641–651.
- Moen, C. D., and Schafer, B. W. (2008) Experiments on Cold-formed Steel Columns with Holes, *Thin-Walled Structures*, 46(10), 1164-1182.
- Mohamad, N. (2010) *The structural Behaviour of Precast Lightweight Foamed Concrete Sandwich Panels as Load Bearing Wall*. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
- Mugahed Amran, Y. H., Abang Ali, A. A., Rashid, R. S. M., Hejazi, F., and Safiee, N. A. (2016). Structural behavior of axially loaded precast foamed concrete sandwich panels. *Construction and Building Materials*, 107, 307–320.
- Oberlender, G. D. (1973). *Strength Investigation Of Precast Reinforced Concrete Load-Bearing Wall Panels*. PhD Thesis, The University of Texas at Arlington.
- Oberlender, G. D., and Everard, N. J. (1977). Investigation of Reinforced Composite wall panels. *ACI Journal Proceeding*, 74(6), 256-263.
- Othuman Mydin, M. A., and Wang, Y. C. (2011). Structural performance of lightweight steel-foamed concrete–steel composite walling system under compression. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 49(1), 66–76.
- Pan, C. L., and Shan, M. Y. (2011). Monotonic shear tests of cold-formed steel wall frames with sheathing. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 49(2), 363–370.
- Pillai, S. U., and Parthasarathy, C. V. (1977). Ultimate strength and design of concrete walls. *Building and Environment*, 12(1), 25–29.
- Popovic, P. L. and Arnold, R. C. (2000) Preventing Failures of Precast Concrete Façade Panels and Their Connections. *Second Forensic Engineering Congres*, 532-539.
- Pu, Y., Godley, M., Beale, R., and Lau, H. (1999). Prediction of Ultimate Capacity of Perforated Lipped Channels. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 125(5), 510–514
- Whittle, J., and Ramseyer, C. (2009). Buckling capacities of axially loaded cold-formed built-up C-channels. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 47, 190–201.

- Qian, J., Jiang, Z., and Ji, X. (2012). Behavior of steel tube-reinforced concrete composite walls subjected to high axial force and cyclic loading. *Engineering Structures*, 36(0), 173–184.
- Rahim, A. A., and Qureshi, S. L. (2018). A Review Of Ibs Implementation In Malaysia And Singapore. *Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Planners*, 16(2), 323– 333.
- RAM Ratings (2016). Malaysia’s construction sector to keep growing. *The Star Online*. 2016, Jun 13.
- Rhodes, J., and Schneider, F. D. (1994) The Compressional Behaviour of Perforated Elements, *Twelfth International Specialty Conference on Cold-formed Steel Structures*. 1994, October 18-19. St. Louis, Missouri. 11-28.
- Robinson, G. P., Palmeri, A., and Austin, S. A. (2013). Design methodologies for one way spanning eccentrically loaded minimally or centrally reinforced pre-cast RC panels. *Engineering Structures*, 56, 1945–1956.
- Rondal, J. (2000). Cold formed steel members and structures General Report, *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. 55, 155–158.
- Ruzitah, S., and Hamzah. S. H. (2010). Structural Behaviour of Steel Fabric Reinforced Composite wall panel Under Eccentric Loading. *International Journal of Civil & Environmental Engineering*, 10(04), 47–62.
- Saheb, S., and Desayi, P. (1989). Ultimate Strength of RC Wall Panels in One-Way In-Plane Action. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 115(10), 2617–2630. .
- Salmon, D. C., Einea, A., Tadros, M. K., and Culp, T. D. (1997). Full Scale Testing concrete sandwich panels. *ACI Structural Journal*, 354–362.
- Schafer, B. W. (2006). Designing Cold-Formed Steel Using The Direct Strength Method. *Eighteenth International Specialty Conference on Cold-Formed Steel Structures: Recent Research and Developments in Cold-Formed Steel Design and Construction*, 2006, October 26-27. Orlando, Florida.
- Seong, T. H. (2008). *Behaviour of Cold Formed Steel Under Axial Compression Force*, Master Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Shi, Y., Zhou, X. H., Yuan, X. L., and Nie, S. F. (2011) Experimental Study on the Axial Behavior of Cold-Formed Thin-Walled Steel Framing Wall Studs. *Advanced Engineering Materials*, Vol. 194–196, 1858–1863.
- Sputo, T., and Beery, K. (2008). Bracing Demand in Axially Loaded Cold-Formed Steel Stud Walls. *Journal of Architectural Engineering*, September, 85–89.

- Telue, Y., and Mahendran, M. (2001) Behaviour of cold-formed steel wall frames lined with plasterboard. *Constructional Steel Research*, 57(4), 435–452.
- Telue, Y., and Mahendran, M. (2004) Behaviour and design of cold-formed steel wall frames lined with plasterboard on both sides. *Engineering Structures*, 26(5), 567–579.
- Tian, Y. S., Wang, J., and Lu, T. J. (2007). Axial load capacity of cold-formed steel wall stud with sheathing. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 45(5), 537–551.
- Tian, Y. S., Wang, J., Lu, T. J. Ã., and Barlow, C. Y. (2004). An experimental study on the axial behaviour of cold-formed steel wall studs and panels. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 42, 557–573.
- Toma, A. (1993) European Design Methods for Cold-formed Steel. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 16(1-4), 275-291.
- Vecchio, F. J., and Mcquade, I. (2011). Towards improved modeling of steel-concrete composite wall elements. *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, 241(8), 2629–2642.
- Vieira, L. C. M., Shifferaw, Y., and Schafer, B. W. (2011) Experiments on Sheathed Cold-formed Steel Studs in Compression. *Constructional Steel Research*, 67(10), 1554–1566.
- Way, A. G. J., and Heywood, M. D. (2012). *Design of Light Steel Sections to Eurocode 3*. Technical Report SCI Document ED 005 (electronic format), The Steel Construction Institute, Berkshire.
- Wright, H. (1998a). The Axial Load Behaviour of Composite Walling. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 45(3), 353–375.
- Wright, H. (1998b). Axial and Bending Behaviour of Composite Walls. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 124(7), 758–764.
- Wright, H. D., and Gallocher, S. C. (1995). The behaviour of composite walling under construction and service loading. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 35(3), 257–273.
- Young, B. and Rasmussen, K. J. R. (1998) Design of Lipped Channel Columns', *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 124(2), 140–148.
- Young, B. and Rasmussen, K. J. R. (1999) Shift of Effective Centroid of Channel Columns, *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 125(5), 1524–531.

Yu, C. and Chen, Y. (2011) Detailing recommendations for 1.83 m wide cold-formed steel shear walls with steel sheathing, *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Elsevier Ltd, 67(1), 93–101.

Yu, W. W. (1999) *Structural Engineering Handbook: Cold-Formed Steel Structures*, CRC Press LLC. Boca Raton.