

SOFT CLAY STABILISATION USING LIGHTWEIGHT AGGREGATE FOR
RAFT AND COLUMN MATRICES

AZHANI ZUKRI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamualaikum w.b.t.

In the name of Allah, the most gracious, the most compassionate. With His blessing, I have finally finished this project. Special thanks to my supervisor, Professor Ir Dr Ramli Nazir for his patience, guidance and support during the execution of this research. Through his expert guidance, I was able to overcome all the obstacles that I encountered in these enduring three years of my research. I wish to thank my Co-supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmad Safuan A. Rashid and Dr Ng Kok Shien for the opportunity to carry out the investigations detailed in this thesis. Their support and encouragement during this research has been greatly appreciated.

I would like to thank LEXCA Sdn Bhd for sponsoring the testing materials for this research. I would also like to thank the technical staff, Kak Rose, En Razali, En, Jaafar, Hanie, Iqbal and Jamal who assisted in the setup of the testing equipment.

I would like to thank my family for their emotional and financial support during this research. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. I will always remember what you all have done to me.

ABSTRACT

The stone column technique has been used as a soft ground stabilisation method over the past 30 years by using partial replacement of the compressible soil with a more competent granular material such as stone aggregate and sand. The column filler material in current practice normally consist of stone aggregates of 20 mm to 75 mm in diameter. It is compacted into a vertical hole generally of 0.6 meter to 1.0 meter diameters and depths of more than 6 time diameter. The major part of this research is to present the behaviour of stone column group beneath large loaded area through three-dimensional finite element (FE) analysis. Instead of normal aggregate for stone columns, Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate (LECA) has the potential to be used as replacement material. By using PLAXIS 3D, LECA columns-raft were modelled as Mohr-Coulomb material and the nonlinear behaviour of soft soil is modelled with Hardening Soil constitutive model. To permit timely analysis in this research and to simulate long term settlement behaviour, drained analysis was adopted to allow for a greater number of sensitivity and parametric analysis to be performed. Parametric study was performed to evaluate the effect of LECA raft thickness (H_r), depth ratio (β), and area replacement ratio (α) on the settlement performance. The obtained results proved that increasing the thickness of replaced soft clay with compacted LECA layer evidently decreases the settlement, where the performance of settlement improvement up to 40%, 60% and 80% for 1.5 m, 2.5 m and 3.5 m depth of LECA replacement, respectively. The settlement ratio of LECA column was found to be reduced as the column length increases until end bearing condition, where the highest settlement ratio of 4 for $\alpha = 0.4$ can be achieved. Higher area replacement ratio results in a higher settlement ratio, which indicating that closer spacing provide better improvement. In addition, the replacement of LECA raft on LECA column (LECA columns-raft) significantly decreases the settlement of the soft ground. For higher α with longer columns and thicker rafts provide better settlement improvement. However, LECA columns-raft performance chart can be referred for economic design. Meanwhile, the bearing capacity of LECA raft was found to be increased with increasing of raft thickness. The research indicates that the most controlling parameter in the prediction of LECA columns-raft bearing capacity (q_u) is the area replacement ratio, where q_u increases considerably with increase of α . The physical modelling was established in laboratory with 1:20 scaling factor to validate numerical analysis. The method can provide even more accurate verification, economical and require less time to perform compare to field testing and full-scale model. The results from physical modelling agree well with numerical prediction where the difference is less than 20% and is considered acceptable. The dimensionless relationship between settlement ratio (S/S_{uc}) against β was plotted for every α and H_r . Five design charts are proposed for practical engineer to predict the settlement of LECA columns-raft and LECA columns under large loaded area. Two design charts are also developed for LECA raft settlement prediction. It can be referred to as early estimation of settlement ratio according to the thickness of replacement. The reliability of design charts is successfully validated using PLAXIS 3D.

ABSTRAK

Teknik tiang batu telah digunakan sebagai kaedah penstabilan tanah lembut sejak 30 tahun yang lalu dengan menggunakan penggantian separa tanah lembut dengan bahan yang lebih kompeten seperti agregat batu dan pasir. Bahan pengisi tiang batu yang digunakan pada masa kini biasanya terdiri daripada agregat batu bergaris pusat 20 mm hingga 75 mm. Ia dipadatkan ke dalam lubang menegak bergaris pusat 0.6 meter hingga 1.0 meter dengan kedalaman melebihi 6 kali garis pusat lajur. Bahagian utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk memperlihatkan tingkah laku sekumpulan tiang batu di bawah tindakan beban yang luas melalui analisis unsur terhingga tiga dimensi (FE). Selain daripada agregat biasa, Agregat Tanah Liat Ringan (LECA) berpotensi untuk digunakan sebagai bahan pengganti dalam tiang batu. Dengan menggunakan perisian komersil 3D PLAXIS, tiang-rakit LECA dimodelkan sebagai bahan 'Mohr-Coulomb' manakala kelakuan tidak linear tanah lembut dimodelkan dengan model 'Hardening Soil'. Untuk membenarkan analisis disiapkan tepat pada masanya dan bagi mensimulasikan tingkah laku mampatan jangka panjang, analisis tersalir digunakan untuk membolehkan lebih banyak analisis parametrik dilakukan. Kajian parametrik telah dilakukan untuk menilai kesan ketebalan rakit LECA (H_r), nisbah kedalaman (β), dan nisbah penggantian kawasan (α) kepada prestasi pemampatan. Hasil yang diperolehi jelas membuktikan bahawa dengan peningkatan ketebalan tanah liat yang diganti dengan lapisan LECA yang padat dapat mengurangkan mampatan, di mana prestasi penambahbaikan mencapai sehingga masing-masing 40%, 60% dan 80% untuk ketebalan 1.5 m, 2.5 m dan 3.5 m penggantian LECA. Nisbah mampatan tiang LECA pula didapati berkurangan dengan peningkatan panjang lajur sehingga mencapai keadaan galas akhir dimana nisbah mampatan tertinggi yang dicapai adalah 4 bagi $\alpha=0.4$. Nisbah penggantian kawasan yang lebih tinggi menghasilkan nisbah mampatan yang lebih tinggi, menunjukkan bahawa jarak dekat antara tiang LECA memberi peningkatan nisbah mampatan yang lebih baik. Di samping itu, didapati tiang-rakit LECA dapat mengurangkan mampatan tanah lembut dengan lebih ketara. Bagi α yang lebih tinggi dengan tiang LECA yang lebih dalam serta rakit yang lebih tebal memberikan peningkatan mampatan yang lebih baik. Sementara itu, kapasiti tekanan galas rakit LECA meningkat dengan peningkatan ketebalan rakit. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa parameter nisbah penggantian kawasan lebih mempengaruhi kapasiti tekanan galas (q_u) tiang-rakit LECA, di mana q_u meningkat dengan peningkatan α . Pemodelan fizikal telah dibina di makmal dengan faktor skala 1:20 untuk mengesahkan analisis berangka. Kaedah ini boleh memberikan pengesahan yang lebih tepat, menjimatkan dan memerlukan hanya sedikit masa untuk dilaksanakan berbanding dengan ujian dilapangan dan pemodelan dengan skala penuh. Nilai pemendapan yang direkodkan dalam pemodelan fizikal hampir sama dengan nilai daripada analisis berangka di mana perbezaannya kurang daripada 20% dan dianggap boleh diterima. Hubungan tak berdimensi antara nisbah penyelesaian (S/S_{uc}) terhadap β telah diplot bagi setiap α dan H_r . Lima carta rekabentuk dicadangkan bagi kegunaan jurutera untuk meramalkan nilai mendapan tiang-rakit LECA dan tiang LECA di bawah beban luas. Dua carta reka bentuk juga dibangunkan bagi meramalkan nilai mendapan rakit LECA sebagai rujukan awal memilih nisbah penyelesaian mengikut ketebalan penggantian. Kebolehpercayaan carta rekabentuk berjaya disahkan menggunakan PLAXIS 3D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xvi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxix
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of Research	4
	1.5 Research Significant	5
	1.6 Limitation of Research	5
	1.7 Organization of Thesis	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Soft Soil	9
	2.3 Lightweight Aggregates	12
	2.4 Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate (LECA)	13
	2.4.1 Properties of Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate	14

2.4.2	Application of Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate	15
2.4.3	Study on Lightweight Aggregate Used in Geotechnical Works	16
2.5	Ground Improvement Technique	18
2.6	Over-excavation and Replacement	21
2.6.1	Design Parameters and Procedures	24
2.6.2	Failure Modes	25
2.6.3	Construction Method	27
2.6.4	Selection of Fill Materials	28
2.6.5	Placement and Compaction	28
2.6.6	Performance Evaluation	29
2.7	Stone Column	29
2.7.1	Stone Column Design	31
2.7.2	Design Considerations General Rules	32
2.7.2.1	Stone Column Diameter, D	32
2.7.2.2	Installation Pattern	33
2.7.2.3	Equivalent Diameter, d_e	34
2.7.2.4	Spacing, s	34
2.7.2.5	Area Replacement Ratio, α	35
2.7.2.6	Suitability of Backfill Materials	36
2.7.2.7	Stress Concentration Factor, n	36
2.7.2.8	Depth of Improvement	37
2.7.2.9	Area of Improvement	38
2.8	Stress Concentrations in Granular Columns and Soil	38
2.8.1	Stress Transfer	40
2.9	Stone Column Failure Mechanisms	42
2.10	Stone Column Construction	44
2.10.1	Vibro-replacement Method	44
2.10.2	Vibro-displacement	49
2.11	Settlement Analysis of Stone Column	52
2.11.1	Empirical Method (Greenwood, 1975)	54

2.11.2	Finite Element Method (Balaam <i>Et Al.</i> , 1977)	55
2.11.3	Equilibrium Method (Aboshi <i>Et Al.</i> , 1979 And Barksdale & Bachus, 1983)	56
2.11.4	Incremental Method (Goughnour And Bayuk, 1979)	59
2.11.5	Elastic Method (Balaam & Booker, 1985)	60
2.11.6	Improvement Factor Method (Priebe, 1995)	61
2.11.7	Han and Ye (2001, 2002)	62
2.11.8	Elasto-Plastic Method (Pulko & Majes, 2005 and Castro & Sagasetta, 2009)	63
2.11.9	Hanna <i>Et Al.</i> (2016)	63
2.11.10	Conclusion	65
2.12	Estimation of Load Capacity of Stone Column	68
2.12.1	Ultimate Bearing Capacity, q_u	69
2.13	Performance Evaluation of Stone Column	75
2.13.1	Soil Sampling and Penetration Tests	75
2.13.2	Plate Loading Tests	75
2.14	Floating Stone Columns	76
2.14.1	Failure Mechanism of Floating Stone Columns Group	77
2.14.2	Research on Floating Column Performance	79
2.14.3	Summary of Studies on Floating Stone Column	83
2.14.4	Settlement Analysis of Floating Column	83
2.14.4.1	Ng and Tan Method (2014)	84
2.14.4.2	Ng and Tan Method (2015)	85
2.14.4.3	Ng Method (2017)	88
2.14.5	Bearing Capacity of Floating Column	91
2.15	Stone Columns-raft	91
2.16	Stone Column Backfills Materials	94
2.16.1	Previous Studies on Stone Column Backfill Materials	94
2.16.2	Summary of Studies on Stone Column Filler Materials	94

2.17	Finite Element (FE) Modelling	97
	2.17.1.1 Material Modelling	98
	2.17.1.2 Elastic Perfectly Plastic Mohr-Coulomb Model (MC)	98
	2.17.1.3 Young's Modulus, E	100
	2.17.1.4 Poisson's Ratio (ν)	101
	2.17.1.5 Cohesion (c)	101
	2.17.1.6 Friction Angle (ϕ°)	101
	2.17.1.7 Dilatancy Angle (ψ°)	102
	2.17.2 Hardening Soil Model (Hsm)	102
	2.17.2.1 Advantages of HS Model	106
	2.17.2.2 Disadvantages of HSM Model	107
	2.17.3 Previous Study on Finite Element Modelling	107
	2.17.3.1 Two Dimensional Analysis	108
	2.17.3.2 Three Dimensional Analysis	113
	2.17.4 Summary of Numerical Studies	117
2.18	Experimental Modelling	117
	2.18.1 Experimental Studies of Stone Columns	118
	2.18.2 Summary of Experimental Studies	120
2.19	Particle Image Velocimetry	122
2.20	Key Design Recommendations	125
2.21	Summary of the Study Gap	127
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	131
3.1	Introduction	131
3.2	Selection of Ground Stabilisation Technique	133
3.3	Research Materials	133
3.4	Determination of Physical and Mechanical Properties of Materials	134
	3.4.1 Consolidated Undrained (Cu) Triaxial Test	135
3.5	Numerical Modelling of Stone Column	137
	3.5.1 Modelling Approach	137

3.5.2	Model Calibration Analyses	137
3.5.2.1	Mesh Sensitivity Analysis	139
3.5.2.2	Influence of Distance to Boundary	140
3.5.3	Type of Analysis	143
3.5.3.1	Undrained Loading Followed by Consolidation Analysis	143
3.5.3.2	Drained Analysis	143
3.5.4	Modelling of Column-Soil Interface	144
3.5.5	Selection of Constitution Model	144
3.5.5.1	Constitutive Model Justification	146
3.5.6	Parametric Study	148
3.5.7	Summary of Modelling Approach	149
3.5.8	Development of Soil Profile and Parameters Selection	150
3.6	Dimensionless Analysis	152
3.7	Physical Modelling	153
3.7.1	Experimental Modelling	154
3.7.2	Type of Chambers	155
3.7.3	Scaling Factor	156
3.7.4	Model Preparation	158
3.7.4.1	1D Chambers	158
3.7.4.2	2D chamber	159
3.7.5	Preparation of Clay Bed	159
3.7.6	LECA Columns and Raft Installation	164
3.7.7	Loading Plate	165
3.8	Particle Image Velocimetry	166
3.8.1	Target Marker	169
3.8.2	PIV Analysis	170
CHAPTER 4	NUMERICAL MODELLING	171
4.1	Introduction	171
4.2	Physical and Mechanical Properties of LECA	171
4.2.1	Physical Properties of LECA	172

4.2.1.1	Water Absorption	173
4.2.2	Mechanical Properties of LECA	176
4.2.2.1	Crushing Resistant of LECA	177
4.2.2.2	Consolidated Undrained (CU) Triaxial Test	179
4.2.3	Summary of LECA Material Properties	181
4.2.4	Construction Control of LECA Aggregate	181
4.3	Finite Element Analysis	182
4.3.1	Settlement Analysis Results for LECA Raft	184
4.3.2	Settlement Analysis of LECA Columns	189
4.3.2.1	Bulging of LECA Columns	198
4.3.3	Settlement Analysis of LECA Columns-raft	200
4.3.3.1	Settlement Efficiency Ratio of LECA Columns-raft	208
4.3.3.2	Settlement Performance of LECA Columns-raft System	211
4.3.4	Bulging of LECA Columns-Raft	217
4.3.5	Bearing Capacity of LECA Columns-raft	220
4.3.5.1	Summary of Ultimate Bearing Capacity of LECA Columns-raft	231
4.3.6	Lateral Deformation of LECA Columns-raft under Footing	231
4.3.7	Summary of Finite Element Analysis	235
CHAPTER 5	PHYSICAL MODELLING	237
5.1	Introduction	237
5.2	Control Model	237
5.2.1	Untreated Condition under Large Loaded Area	238
5.2.2	Untreated Condition under Footing Load	239
5.3	Verification of Settlement Analysis	243
5.3.1	Settlement Analysis under Large Loaded Area	243
5.3.2	Settlement Analysis under Footing Load	247
5.4	Verification of Ultimate Bearing Capacity Analysis	252
5.5	Summary of Physical Modelling	255

CHAPTER 6	DESIGN CHARTS ESTABLISHMENT	257
6.1	Introduction	257
6.2	Settlement Prediction of LECA Columns-raft	257
6.2.1	Validation of Design Chart	262
6.3	Prediction Model of LECA Columns (Without Raft) Settlement Ratio	264
6.4	Prediction Model of LECA Raft	266
6.4.1	LECA Raft Thickness Prediction Chart	267
6.4.2	Validation of Design Chart	271
6.5	Summary	272
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	273
7.1	Introduction	273
7.2	Research Outcomes	273
7.2.1	Outcomes Objective 1	274
7.2.2	Outcomes Objective 2	274
7.2.3	Outcomes Objective 3	275
7.2.4	Outcomes Objective 4	276
7.3	Contributions to Knowledge	277
7.4	Future Works	277
REFERENCES		279
APPENDICES		298
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		299

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Outline for identification of soft ground (Bergado <i>et al.</i> , 1996)	10
Table 2.2	Comparison of index properties of clay in different places	11
Table 2.3	Classification of Ground Improvement Methods	19
Table 2.4	Ground Improvement Methods for Transportation Infrastructure (Schaefer and Berg, 2012)	20
Table 2.5	Possible failure modes of foundation with replaced zone (modified from Lawton, 2001)	26
Table 2.6	Classification of columns (Han and Ye, 2001)	31
Table 2.7	Typical diameters of columns (Han, 2015b)	33
Table 2.8	Suitability of backfill (Brown, 1977)	36
Table 2.9	Example of projects that utilized Vibro-replacement method	48
Table 2.10	Optimum length for stone columns	78
Table 2.11	Descriptive statistics on the correlation drawn in Figure 2.39	80
Table 2.12	Settlement of floating stone column group	89
Table 2.13	Summary of studies on performance of stone column with different filler materials	95
Table 2.14	Summary of key design recommendations of stone column	127
Table 2.15	Summary of study gap	128
Table 3.1	Physical and Mechanical Properties Test of Materials	134
Table 3.2	Normalized error for mesh sensitivity analysis	140
Table 3.3	Normalised error for boundary analysis	142
Table 3.4	Model conditions for model selection evaluation	145
Table 3.5	Constitutive Model justifications	147
Table 3.6	Parametric study	148
Table 3.7	Material parameters used in modelling	151

Table 3.8	Model dimensions	157
Table 3.9	Chamber size (1D)	158
Table 3.10	Value a and b	160
Table 3.11	Procedure of clay bed preparation (1D chamber A)	162
Table 3.12	Procedure of clay bed preparation (1D chamber B)	163
Table 3.13	Procedure of clay bed preparation (1D chamber C)	163
Table 3.14	Procedure of clay bed preparation (1D chamber D)	164
Table 3.15	Procedure of clay bed preparation (2D chamber)	164
Table 3.16	Procedure of PIV analysis	170
Table 4.1	Suitability Number of backfill (Brown, 1977)	173
Table 4.2	Physical properties of LECA recorded from other studies	176
Table 4.3	Crushing resistant and particle breakage of LECA	178
Table 4.4	Strength properties and test on varied manufactured LECA	180
Table 4.5	Materials properties used in numerical modelling	182
Table 4.6	Numerical modelling analysis phases	183
Table 4.7	Settlement improvement percentage compared to normal aggregate	186
Table 4.8	Settlement improvement percentage compared to settlement of untreated soil	186
Table 4.9	Settlement improvement factor for LECA and normal aggregates	187
Table 4.10	Lateral (BL) and vertical (BV) extent of bulging of stone column	200
Table 4.11	Bulging measurement of LECA column for various raft depth ($\alpha=0.1$)	217
Table 4.12	Bulging measurement of LECA column for various raft depth ($\alpha=0.2$)	218
Table 4.13	Failure measurement of LECA column for various raft depth ($\alpha=0.3$)	218
Table 4.14	Failure measurement of LECA column for various raft depth ($\alpha=0.4$)	218
Table 4.15	LECA settlement performance percentage for granular column	235

Table 4.16	LECA settlement performance percentage for granular columns with 1.5m thick raft	236
Table 4.17	LECA settlement performance percentage for granular columns with 2.5m thick raft	236
Table 4.18	LECA settlement performance percentage for granular columns with 3.5m thick raft	236
Table 5.1	Settlement comparison for untreated condition (large loaded area)	238
Table 5.2	Results comparison for control model under footing load	240
Table 5.3	Comparison of settlement magnitude (large loaded area)	246
Table 5.4	Vertical displacement measured with PIV at markers and LVDT on footing in mm	251
Table 5.5	Comparison of settlement magnitude (footing load)	252
Table 5.6	Ultimate bearing capacity comparison	253
Table 6.1	Steps to predict the settlement of LECA columns-raft	260
Table 6.2	Results validation	263
Table 6.3	Procedures to predict the settlement of LECA replacement	268
Table 6.4	Validation of design chart for LECA raft settlement prediction	271

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Design chart for ECS embankment with subgrade thickness 10 feet (Puppala and Archeewa, 2013)	17
Figure 2.2	Available ground improvement methods for different soil types (modified from Schaefer <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	21
Figure 2.3	Typical design section of over-excavation and replacement (modified from Lawton, 2001)	23
Figure 2.4	Possible failure modes of foundation with replaced zone (modified from Lawton, 2001)	25
Figure 2.5	(a) Full and (b) partial replacement under an embankment (modified from Broms, 1979)	28
Figure 2.6	Stone columns installation in (a) Triangular, (b) Square, (c) Hexagonal and (d) Radial patterns (IS 15284 (Part 1): 2003)	34
Figure 2.7	Stress distribution model (Han, 2015a)	39
Figure 2.8	Stress transfer in column-supported embankment (modified from Simon and Schlosser, 2006)	41
Figure 2.9	Possible failure modes of single columns subjected to vertical loads (modified from Han and Ye (1991)	43
Figure 2.10	Failure mechanism of stone columns group (after U.S. department of Transportation, Report No. FHWA/RD-83/026, 1983)	43
Figure 2.11	Basic principle of the vibro-replacement technique	45
Figure 2.12	Soils suitable for vibro-compaction and vibro-replacement (Bauman and Bauer, 1974)	46
Figure 2.13	Range of soils treatable by vibro-replacement (Sayar and Khalilpasha 2013)	47
Figure 2.14	Vibro-replacement method (wet process) (Spears, 2014)	47
Figure 2.15	Vibroflot suspended from a crane (Tysim Piling Equipment Co., Ltd.)	49
Figure 2.16	Top feed stone column installation (BBGE, 2012)	51
Figure 2.17	Bottom Feed stone column installation (BBGE, 2012)	51

Figure 2.18	Unit cell concept (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	53
Figure 2.19	(a) One-dimensional unit cells without and (b) with lateral deformation of column (Han, 2015a)	53
Figure 2.20	Various arrangements of stone column and influence area of each column (a) triangular arrangement; (b) square arrangement; (c) hexagonal arrangement (Balaam and Booker, 1981)	54
Figure 2.21	Settlement diagram for stone columns in uniform soft (after Greenwood, 1970)	55
Figure 2.22	Greenwood curves (modified from Greenwood and Kirsch, 1983)	55
Figure 2.23	Maximum reduction in settlement that can be obtained using stone column (after Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	59
Figure 2.24	Stress concentration ratio versus modulus ratio (modified from Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	59
Figure 2.25	Priebe's basic improvement factor (Priebe 1995)	62
Figure 2.26	Value of a for prediction of settlement	64
Figure 2.27	Value of b for prediction of settlement	65
Figure 2.28	Comparison of settlement reduction ratio between four methods (Okwudili, 2015)	66
Figure 2.29	The comparison of settlement reduction factors according to different analytical methods (Pulko and Majes, 2006)	67
Figure 2.30	Comparison of settlement reduction factors β versus area replacement ratio A_r with some field test results (Pulko and Majes, 2006)	68
Figure 2.31	Variation of N_c versus stone column material friction angle for various native soil friction angles less than 50 kPa (Afshar and Ghazavi, 2014)	70
Figure 2.32	Variation of N_q versus stone column material friction angle for various native soil friction angles (Afshar and Ghazavi, 2014)	70
Figure 2.33	Variation of N_γ versus stone column material friction angle for various native soil friction angle (Afshar and Ghazavi, 2014)	71
Figure 2.34	Vesic cylindrical cavity expansion factors	73
Figure 2.35	Bearing Capacity Factor, N_c (Vitkar, 1978)	74
Figure 2.36	Bearing Capacity Factor, N_γ and N_q (Vitkar, 1978)	74

Figure 2.37	Loading tests of column foundations: (a) individual column test, (b) individual column foundation test, and (c) multiple column composite foundation test	76
Figure 2.38	Failure mechanisms of group columns (Adapted from Wood <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	78
Figure 2.39	Comparison between measured and predicted values of bearing capacity of stone columns (Fattah <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	80
Figure 2.40	Pressure–settlement curve for a footing resting on soft clay treated by single stone column (Fattah <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	81
Figure 2.41	Correction Factor (Ng and Tan, 2014)	84
Figure 2.42	Settlement of homogenous subsoil stratum reinforced with floating stone columns (Ng and Tan, 2015)	85
Figure 2.43	Correction factors for composite stiffness (homogenous soil) (Ng and Tan, 2015)	87
Figure 2.44	Settlement ratio of $\alpha= 0.2$ for small column groups	90
Figure 2.45	Settlement ratio of $\alpha= 0.4$ for small column groups	90
Figure 2.46	Settlement ratio of $\alpha= 0.6$ for small column groups	90
Figure 2.47	Preliminary analysis of numerical modelling flowchart	100
Figure 2.48	Response of the Hardening soil model in a drained triaxial compression test (Burd, 2005)	104
Figure 2.49	Definition of <i>Eoedref</i> in oedometer test results (Burd, 2005)	106
Figure 2.50	Displacement vector of piles movement (White <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	122
Figure 2.51	Contours of piles movement (White <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	123
Figure 2.52	Displacement vector under rigid footing (Rashid, 2011)	124
Figure 3.1	Research Methodology Flowchart	132
Figure 3.2	Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregates (LECA)	133
Figure 3.3	Remoulded sample	136
Figure 3.4	Undrained (CU) Triaxial Test	136
Figure 3.5	Simplified geometrical model for group of stone columns (a) 3D model; (b) Gravel rings; (c) Homogenization; (d) One central column (Castro, 2017)	138
Figure 3.6	Boundary validation analysis	141

Figure 3.7	Schematic of LECA columns-raft	151
Figure 3.8	1D testing chambers for $\alpha = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$ and 0.4	155
Figure 3.9	2D testing chamber	156
Figure 3.10	Texturing process on the kaolin surface	167
Figure 3.11	Preparation of testing on control sample (Untreated condition)	168
Figure 3.12	Excavation of LECA replacement	168
Figure 3.13	LECA replacement	169
Figure 3.14	Target marker	170
Figure 4.1	Particles size distribution of LECA	172
Figure 4.2	Compaction curve of LECA	175
Figure 4.3	Average crushing test results	179
Figure 4.4	Mohr Coulomb plot	179
Figure 4.5	Numerical modelling for settlement evaluation under large loaded area	183
Figure 4.6	Numerical modelling for ultimate bearing capacity evaluation under footing load	184
Figure 4.7	Settlement versus Replacement Depth for Various LECA Density	185
Figure 4.8	Settlement against LECA unit weight for 50kN/m^2 load	185
Figure 4.9	Pressure-settlement behaviour of improved soft clay by replacing 1.5m LECA raft	188
Figure 4.10	Pressure-settlement behaviour of improved soft clay by replacing 2.5m LECA raft	188
Figure 4.11	Pressure-settlement behaviour of improved soft clay by replacing 3.5m LECA raft	188
Figure 4.12	Influence of area replacement ratio on settlement ratio for LECA3	191
Figure 4.13	Influence of area replacement ratio on settlement ratio for LECA5	191
Figure 4.14	Influence of area replacement ratio on settlement ratio for LECA7	192
Figure 4.15	Influence of area replacement ratio on settlement ratio for LECA9	192

Figure 4.16	Influence of LECA unit weight on settlement ratio, S/S_{uc}	193
Figure 4.17	Influence of LECA unit weight on settlement ratio ($\alpha = 0.1$)	193
Figure 4.18	Influence of LECA unit weight on settlement ratio ($\alpha = 0.2$)	194
Figure 4.19	Influence of LECA unit weight on settlement ratio ($\alpha = 0.3$)	194
Figure 4.20	Influence of LECA unit weight on settlement ratio ($\alpha = 0.4$)	195
Figure 4.21	Comparison results for settlement ratio at $\alpha = 0.1$	196
Figure 4.22	Comparison results for settlement ratio at $\alpha = 0.2$	196
Figure 4.23	Comparison results for settlement ratio at $\alpha = 0.3$	196
Figure 4.24	Comparison results for settlement ratio at $\alpha = 0.4$	197
Figure 4.25	Influence of area replacement ratio on settlement improvement factor for various column length for LECA3	198
Figure 4.26	Lateral (BL) and vertical (BV) extent of bulging in LECA columns	199
Figure 4.27	Settlement magnitude of LECA column in unit cell	201
Figure 4.28	Effect of depth ratio on settlement for various columns-raft (LECA3)	203
Figure 4.29	Effect of depth ratio on settlement for various columns-raft (LECA5)	203
Figure 4.30	Effect of depth ratio on settlement for various columns-raft (LECA7)	204
Figure 4.31	Effect of depth ratio on settlement for various columns-raft (LECA9)	204
Figure 4.32	Settlement ratio against depth ratio (LECA3)	206
Figure 4.33	Settlement ratio against depth ratio (LECA5)	206
Figure 4.34	Settlement ratio against depth ratio (LECA7)	207
Figure 4.35	Settlement ratio against depth ratio (LECA9)	207
Figure 4.36	Settlement efficiency for LECA columns-raft (LECA3)	209
Figure 4.37	Settlement efficiency for LECA columns-raft (LECA5)	209
Figure 4.38	Settlement efficiency for LECA columns-raft (LECA7)	210
Figure 4.39	Settlement efficiency for LECA columns-raft (LECA9)	210

Figure 4.40	Performance of LECA columns-raft system diagram	211
Figure 4.41	Performance of LECA columns-raft system ($\alpha=0.1$)	212
Figure 4.42	Performance of LECA columns-raft system ($\alpha=0.2$)	213
Figure 4.43	Performance of LECA columns-raft system ($\alpha=0.3$)	213
Figure 4.44	Performance of LECA columns-raft system ($\alpha=0.4$)	214
Figure 4.45	Performance of LECA columns-raft system	216
Figure 4.46	Bulging in LECA columns-raft	219
Figure 4.47	Bearing capacity of LECA raft	221
Figure 4.48	Bearing capacity ratio of LECA columns	222
Figure 4.49	Bearing capacity of LECA columns-raft	222
Figure 4.50	Ultimate bearing capacity of 3.5m LECA columns with various LECA raft thickness	223
Figure 4.51	Ultimate bearing capacity of 4.2m LECA columns with various LECA raft thickness	224
Figure 4.52	Ultimate bearing capacity of 4.9m LECA columns with various LECA raft thickness	224
Figure 4.53	Ultimate bearing capacity of End Bearing LECA columns with various LECA raft thickness	224
Figure 4.54	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 1.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.1$)	225
Figure 4.55	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 2.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.1$)	225
Figure 4.56	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 3.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.1$)	226
Figure 4.57	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 1.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.2$)	226
Figure 4.58	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 2.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.2$)	227
Figure 4.59	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 3.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.2$)	227
Figure 4.60	Bearing capacity of LECA columns with 1.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.3$)	228
Figure 4.61	Bearing capacity of LECA columns with 2.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.3$)	228

Figure 4.62	Bearing capacity of LECA columns with 3.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.3$)	229
Figure 4.63	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 1.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.4$)	230
Figure 4.64	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 2.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.4$)	230
Figure 4.65	Ultimate bearing capacity of LECA columns with 3.5m raft ($\alpha = 0.4$)	230
Figure 4.66	Deformation vectors (a) and shading (b) of LECA columns-raft (1.5m H_r +4.9m L_c : $\alpha = 0.1$)	232
Figure 4.67	Lateral deformation of LECA columns-raft (1.5m H_r +4.9m L_c : $\alpha = 0.1$)	232
Figure 4.68	Lateral deformation (a), vectors (b) and shading (c) of LECA columns-raft (2.5m H_r +2.1m L_c : $\alpha = 0.1$)	233
Figure 4.69	Vectors (a), lateral deformation (b) and shading (c) of LECA columns with 2.5m H_r ; $\alpha = 0.2$	233
Figure 4.70	Vectors (a), lateral deformation (b) and shading (c) of LECA columns with 1.5m H_r ; $\alpha = 0.3$	233
Figure 4.71	Vectors (a), lateral deformation (b) and shading (c) of LECA columns with 2.5m H_r ; $\alpha = 0.3$	234
Figure 4.72	Vectors (a), lateral deformation (b) and shading (c) of LECA columns with 2.5m H_r ; $\alpha = 0.4$	234
Figure 5.1	Time-settlement plot for control model (Physical Test)	238
Figure 5.2	Ultimate bearing capacity of untreated condition	240
Figure 5.3	Deformation mesh for untreated condition (FE)	241
Figure 5.4	Displacement shading (a) 3D view and (b) Plan view for untreated condition (FE)	241
Figure 5.5	Displacement vector for untreated condition (PIV)	242
Figure 5.6	Displacement vector in untreated condition (FE)	242
Figure 5.7	Settlement Contour for untreated condition (PIV)	243
Figure 5.8	Time-settlement curve for LECA replacement	244
Figure 5.9	Settlement comparison between LECA raft and LECA columns-raft	245
Figure 5.10	Displacement shading in LECA raft under centre of large loaded area	247

Figure 5.11	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in 1.5m thick LECA raft	248
Figure 5.12	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in 1.5m thick LECA raft with 4.9m LECA column: $\alpha=0.1$	248
Figure 5.13	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in 2.5m thick LECA raft with 2.1m LECA column: $\alpha=0.1$	248
Figure 5.14	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in 2.5m thick LECA raft with 3.5m LECA column: $\alpha=0.2$	249
Figure 5.15	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in End bearing LECA column: $\alpha=0.2$	249
Figure 5.16	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in 1.5m thick LECA raft with 4.2m LECA column: $\alpha=0.3$	249
Figure 5.17	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in 2.5m thick LECA raft with 4.9m LECA column: $\alpha=0.3$	250
Figure 5.18	Displacement vector (a) PIV (b) FE in 2.5m thick LECA raft with 4.2m LECA column: $\alpha=0.4$	250
Figure 5.19	Comparison plots of q_u between finite element and physical modelling for LECA raft	254
Figure 5.20	Comparison plots of q_u between numerical and physical modelling for LECA columns-raft	254
Figure 6.1	Design Chart for LECA Columns (without raft)	258
Figure 6.2	Design Chart for LECA Columns-raft ($H_r=1.5m$)	258
Figure 6.3	Design Chart for LECA Columns-raft ($H_r=2.5m$)	259
Figure 6.4	Design Chart for LECA Columns-raft ($H_r=3.5m$)	259
Figure 6.5	Design Chart for LECA Columns	265
Figure 6.6	Prediction Model for LECA Columns	266
Figure 6.7	Prediction Model for LECA Raft	267
Figure 6.8	Design Chart for LECA Raft	268

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LECA	-	Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate
3D	-	Three Dimensional
1D	-	One Dimensional
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
FE	-	Finite Element
UU	-	Unconsolidated Undrained
CU	-	Consolidated Undrained
CD	-	Consolidated Drained
LL	-	Liquid Limit
PIV	-	Particle Image Velocimetry
OCR	-	Over consolidation ratio
MC	-	Mohr Coulomb Model
HSM	-	Hardening Soil Model
BL	-	Lateral bulging
BV	-	Vertical bulging

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A, A_r, A_F	-	Area Improvement Ratio
L_c, L, L	-	Length of column
H_s	-	Depth of Soft Soil
H_r	-	Raft thickness
D, D	-	LECA column diameter
s	-	LECA column spacing
B	-	Width of footing
γ	-	Unit weight
S_r	-	Settlement of LECA raft
S_{cr}	-	Settlement of LECA columns-raft
c_u	-	Undrained shear strength
S_N	-	Suitability Number
S/S_{uc}	-	Settlement ratio
S_{uc}	-	Settlement of end bearing column group
S	-	Settlement of floating column group
P, q	-	Load intensity
K_0	-	Lateral earth pressure
ϕ_c	-	Friction angle of column
β	-	Depth Ratio
η	-	Settlement efficiency ratio
n	-	Stress concentration ratio
d_c	-	Diameter of stone column
γ	-	Unit weight of surrounding soil
E_c	-	Column stiffness
E_s	-	Soil stiffness
ν	-	Poisson ratio
L_c	-	Column length
q_u, q_{ult}	-	Ultimate bearing capacity

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Comparison Of Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate Properties From Various Manufacturers	298

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Most coastal zones in the world, such as Japan, Eastern Canada, Norway, Sweden and other Scandinavian countries, India and Southeast Asian countries lie on soft soil deposits. In Malaysia, soft soil layers are found throughout the country and mostly in coastal areas. Many earlier establishments lay spread in the coastal zone area, for examples Kuala Lumpur, Port Klang, Malacca, Johor Bahru and Penang. In fact, all major towns in Malaysia, and all the 14 state capitals, lie in the coastal zone area. Due to its benefits and resources, even with its restricted areas and reserves, coastal region is vulnerable to various threats such as overutilization or unsustainable use of resources, habitat conversion, siltation and land-based as well as sea-based pollution and contamination. In addition, extensive development projects in many lowland region which encourage mankind activities such as agriculture, industry, housing and infrastructure facilities resulting in a reduction of suitable land for development.

Soft soils usually have low bearing capacity and high compressibility characteristics. Many are sensitive, where the strength can be diminished by mechanical disturbance. These conditions need to be improved to avoid excessive settlement and to ensure the safety and stability of the built infrastructure and other facilities. With the scarcity of suitable land for development, the next available alternative is to expand the development in geotechnical challenging environment such as peat soil, soft soil and highlands. To enable the successful development and construction project on soft soil, it should be stabilized to improve its settlement and strength behaviour.

Presently, various ground improvement methods have been proposed and implemented on soft soils to improve the soil bearing capacity and minimize the

settlement magnitude. In geotechnical engineering, ground improvement means to increase on soil shear strength and reduce soil compressibility and soil permeability through various methods such as Ground improvement, Stabilisation and Reinforcement.

A stone column (also known as granular pile) is a type of soil stabilisation methods used to increase soft soil bearing capacity, stable slope, reduce the settlement of loose fine graded soils, and accelerating the effects of consolidation by improving drainage path for pore water pressure dissipation. The benefits are acquired from partial replacement of soft soils through more efficient materials such as aggregate of stone, sand and other granular materials. Furthermore, stone columns are very permeable and act as vertical drains that facilitate consolidation process in soft soil improvement program. Additionally, stone columns also act as reinforcing materials to enhance the overall strength and stiffness of soft soil. The investigations of stone column behaviour in soft soil has been done by many researchers throughout the world.

The column filler material normally consists of stone aggregates generally between 20 mm to 75 mm, gravel and sand compacted into a vertical hole generally with 0.6 meter to 1.0 meter diameters and 15 meter to 20 meter depth. When columns are formed by granular fill, their load capacities depend largely on the strength of the filler material and the confining stress of the surrounding soil (Bergado *et al.*, 1996) Currently, studies on different substances used as stone column fillers have been conducted to evaluate the performance of stone column as improvement method such as fly ash, Silica-Manganese slag, Pulverized Fuel Ash (PFA) and limestone from quarry.

Lightweight aggregates are selective materials used in projects where excess from subgrade load is a problem. The materials help in reducing the dead load and lateral forces by over half in the assembly on the structure on soft soils. Lightweight aggregate fill is also used to reduce pressure behind retaining walls, to insulate underground structures and utilities, as a sub-base material for concrete and landscape pavers and as a stable drainage medium beneath soils.

1.2 Problem Statement

Soft soil poses serious problems in construction due to its long-term consolidation settlements and low bearing capacity although subjected to moderate load. In Malaysia, soft land deposits extend across the country and mostly in coastal areas. With the scarcity of land suitable for construction, the next option is to pursue development in geotechnical challenging environments such as wetlands or soft and highland areas. The soft soil conditions need to be improved to ensure stability and safety of the built infrastructure and other facilities.

Typical methods of soft ground stabilisation practiced in Malaysia are surface reinforcement, preloading and prefabricated vertical drain, used of piles, chemical stabilisation and stone or sand column. However, the design of stone column is still empirical, it is based on contractor experience and requires field trials before execution. No well-defined guidelines or codes are available. Other than that, the availability and sustainability of construction materials should also be considered. Thus, this work is suggested as part of the ground improvement trial program in looking into the possibilities of replacing normal aggregates of stone column methods with Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate (LECA) as a filler for soft clay ground stabilisation. In addition, LECA aggregate is environmentally friendly since it was produced using easily found raw material which is natural clay soil.

1.3 Objectives

The aim of this research is to evaluate the stone columns in compression behaviour when installed in soft clay. Apart from that, the effect of Lightweight Aggregates used as soil replacement material and column filler also will be examined. The specific objectives of this research are:

- (a) To examine the physical and mechanical properties of Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregates (LECA) used in this research.

- (b) To evaluate the compressibility behaviour of LECA columns-raft installed in soft clay soil through numerical modelling.
- (c) To develop physical modelling in small-scale laboratory tests for verification of numerical modelling.
- (d) To establish design chart for settlement prediction of soft soil treated with LECA as a raft and columns.

1.4 Scope of Research

The primary purpose of this research is to examine the possibility of stabilizing soft clay soils that have caused problems during construction or resulted in poor performance of highway infrastructure in service using LECA aggregates as a soil replacement and stone columns. This research was conducted using numerical analysis and small-scale laboratory tests. The lightweight aggregates used in this study were supplied by LEXCA Sdn. Bhd. while the 'L2B20 kaolin powder used in this study was supplied by Kaolin (M) Sdn. Bhd., based in Selangor, Malaysia.

Laboratory tests in accordance to British Standard (BS) and/or the American Society of Testing Material (ASTM) were used to test the LECA aggregates and kaolin to determine their characteristics. The physical and mechanical properties of LECA aggregate were determined from standard laboratory tests.

Numerical analysis was employed to investigate and evaluate the effect of LECA columns-raft on settlement behaviour under large loaded area. In addition, displacement evaluation through physical modelling and Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) were also done to verify the numerical analysis.

1.5 Research Significant

There are little to no previous studies on Lightweight Aggregate used in soft soil stabilisation works. Lightweight fill is used primarily to reduce the overall weight in service embankment, thereby reducing the permanent stresses on foundations. Lightweight forestry by-products such as bark, woodchip and sawdust wastes from the timber industry have regularly been used as lightweight fills. Shredded waste tyres or bales can also be considered for the lightweight construction technique on soft soil. The most popular lightweight materials are the specifically manufactured lightweight products such as EPS (Expanded-Polystyrene Block Geofom). The use of LECA as soil replacement and stone column as soil improvement method was introduced to solve settlement and stress problem in soft clay soil. Below are some of the major contributions of this research towards knowledge as well as the construction industry:

- (a) Possibility of LECA aggregates used as column filler in soft soil stabilisation since there is no research conducted to study on this matter.
- (b) Reduce settlements in pavements and embankments due to high compressibility of soft soil.
- (c) Reduce time consuming on construction especially in filling work due to easy handling and compaction work of LECA material.

1.6 Limitation of Research

The scope of research is limited to analysis of LECA columns-raft effect on long term settlement behaviour under large loaded area. The numerical analysis was performed on LECA columns installed in the middle of the group, which is constrained laterally by other columns in all directions. Column at the edge of the group was not analysed. LECA columns are assumed to be 'wished in place', where possible smear effects caused by disturbance on the surrounding soil due to column installation effect is neglected. The interaction between soil and LECA column is also considered

smooth. The granular raft is assumed as a rigid smooth layer through which uniform load is applied. The settlement behaviour of various configurations of stone column spacing and length is examined for 50 kN/m² uniform load. Other influenced parameters such as friction angle of column (ϕ_c), load intensity (p) and post installation lateral earth pressure (K_0) on settlement behaviour were not analysed. The diameter column is fixed at 700 mm diameter and the depth of soft soil layer is 10 meter.

1.7 Organization of Thesis

This thesis consists of seven chapters. The explanation of each chapter is as follows:

Chapter 1 generally describes the background of problems associated to the improvement of soft soils and also states the objectives, scope and limitation of the conducted study.

Chapter 2 briefs the review of literature in this study. The review covers the properties of soft clay and lightweight aggregate, and their applications in construction. The stone column method as soft soil stabilisation and numerical modelling implemented in geotechnical analysis also are discussed in details. Similar researches that have been conducted by previous researchers are also reviewed in this chapter.

Chapter 3 describes the research methodology which includes numerical modelling, testing programme and experimental modelling on small scale model tests to study the strength and compressibility of soft clay reinforced with LECA raft and LECA columns-raft. Details on the design of experimental setup, preparation of homogeneous clay samples and the construction of LECA column(s) are also discussed in the chapter.

REFERENCES

- A. Rashid, A. S., Black, J. A., Mohamad, H. and Mohd Noor, N. (2015) 'Behaviour of Weak Soils Reinforced with End-Bearing Soil-Cement Columns Formed by the Deep Mixing Method', *Marine Georesources & Geotechnology*. Taylor & Francis, 33(6), pp. 473–486.
- A. Zahmatkesh, A. J. Choobbasti (2010) 'Settlement Evaluation Of Soft Clay Reinforced By Stone Columns, Considering The Effect Of Soil Compaction', *International Journal of Research & Reviews in Applied Sciences*, 3(2), pp. 159–166.
- Nazaruddin, Hafez and Shakri. (2013) 'Laboratory Study on Bearing Capacity of Treated Stone Column', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 18, pp. 5871–5880.
- Abbas, B. J. (2016) 'The Settlement Evaluation of Improved Soft Clay using Sand Columns and Partial Replacement Technique', *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 5(7), pp. 348–355.
- Abdel, N. and El, H. (2012) 'Improvement of Soft Soils Using Reinforced Sand Over Stone Columns', *Life Science Journal*, 9(2), pp. 269–276.
- Adrian, R. J. (1991) 'Particle-Imaging Techniques for Experimental Fluid Mechanics', *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*. Annual Reviews, 23(1), pp. 261–304.
- Afshar, J. N. and Ghazavi, M. (2014) 'A Simple Analytical Method for Calculation of Bearing Capacity of Stone Column', *International Journal of Civil Engineering, Transaction B: Geotechnical Engineering*, 12(1), pp. 15–25.
- Ahmad, A. S., Black, J. A., Kueh, A. B. H. and Md Noor, N. (2015) 'Behaviour of Weak Soils Reinforced With Soil Cement Columns Formed By The Deep Mixing Method: Rigid And Flexible Footings', *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*. Elsevier Ltd, 68, pp. 262–279.
- Akhitha and Aswathy (2017) 'Strength Improvement of Stone Columns Using Tyre Chips and Aggregates', *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*. Thiruvananthapuram, 5(8), pp. 1–5.

- Alkhorshid, N. R. (2012) Numerical Analysis of Soft Clay Reinforced with Stone Columns, Institute of Graduate Studies and Research. Eastern Mediterranean University.
- Ambily, A. P. and Gandhi, S. R. (2006) 'Effect of Sand Pad Thickness on Load Sharing In Stone Column', in IGC 2006. Chennai, India, pp. 0–1.
- Ambily, A. P. and Gandhi, S. R. (2007) 'Behaviour of Stone Columns Based on Experimental and FEM Analysis', Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 133(4), pp. 405–415.
- Amini, R. (2015) Physical Modelling Of Vibro Stone Column Using Recycled Aggregates, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences. University of Birmingham.
- Andreou, P., Frikha, W., Frank, R., Canou, J., Papadopoulos, V. and Dupla, J.-C. (2008) 'Experimental Study On Sand And Gravel Columns In Clay', Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Ground Improvement, 161(4), pp. 189–198.
- Ardakani, A. and Yazdani, M. (2014) 'The Relation Between Particle Density And Static Elastic Moduli Of Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregates', Applied Clay Science, 93–94, pp. 28–34.
- Arora, S., Kumar, R. and Jain, P. K. (2014) 'Load - Settlement Behaviour Of Granular Pile In Black Cotton Soil', International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology, 7(3), pp. 73–781.
- Baba, K., Nehab, N. and Ouadif, L. (2015) 'Stone Columns in Silty Clay Soil: A Numerical Model', 3rd Turkish Conference on Earthquake Engineering and Seismology. Turkey, pp. 1–10.
- Babu, M. R. D., Shivashankar, R. and Nayak, S. (2013) 'A Critical Review of Construction, Analysis and Behaviour of Stone Columns', Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, 31(1), pp. 1–22.
- Balaam, N. P. (1978) Load-settlement Behaviour of Granular Piles. University of Sydney.
- Balaam, N. P. and Booker, J. R. (1985) 'Effect of Stone Column Yield on Settlement of Rigid Foundations in Stabilized Clay', International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 9(4), pp. 331–351.

- Balaam, N. P., Poulos, H. G. and Brown, P. T. (1977) 'Effect of Stone Column Yield on Settlement of Rigid Foundations in Stabilized Clay', Proceedings of the Fifth Southeast Asian Conference on Soil Engineering. Edited by A. I. of T. Southeast Asian Society of Soil Engineering Canadian International Development Agency. Bangkok Thailand, pp. 81–92.
- Balachowski, L. (2017) 'Physical Modelling of Geotechnical Structures in Ports and Offshore', Polish Maritime Research, 24(SI (93)), pp. 4–9.
- Banadaki, A. D., Ahmad, K. and Ali, N. (2012) 'Initial Settlement of Mat Foundation on Group of Cement Columns in Peat - Numerical Analysis', Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 17, pp. 2243–2253.
- Barksdale, R. D. and Bachus, R. C. (1983) Design and Construction of Stone Columns, FHWA/RD-83/026, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.
- Bergado, D. T., Anderson, L. R., Miura, N. and Balasubramaniam, A. S. (1996) Soft Ground Improvement in Lowland and Other Environments. ASCE Press.
- Bernhardt, M., Tellesbo, H., Justnes, H. and Wiik, K. (2013) 'Mechanical Properties of Lightweight aggregates', Journal of the European Ceramic Society, 33(13–14), pp. 2731–2743.
- Black, J. A., Sivakumar, V. and Bell, A. (2011) 'The Settlement Performance of Stone Column Foundations', Géotechnique, 61(11), pp. 909–922.
- Bora, M. C. and Dash, S. K. (2012) 'Floating Stone Columns In Soft Clay With Unreinforced and Geocell Reinforced Sand Cushion', Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference. Delhi, pp. 1–6.
- Bora, M. and Dash, S. K. (2010) 'Load Deformation Behaviour of Floating Stone Columns in Soft Clay', in Indian Geotechnical Conference-GEOtrendz. Mumbai, pp. 251–254.
- Bora, S. K. D. and Mukul, C. (2013) 'Improved Performance of Soft Clay Foundations Using Stone Columns and Geocell-sand Mattress', Geotextiles and Geomembranes, 41, pp. 26–35.
- Brinkgreve, R. B. J. (2006) PLAXIS 3D FOUNDATION Material Models Manual Version 1.5. Delft, Netherlands.
- Brinkgreve, R. B. J., Kumarswamy, S. and Swolf, W. M. (2015) Plaxis 3D Manual.
- Caldeira, L. M. M. S. and Neves, E. M. das (2015) 'Mechanical Characterization of Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate Materials for Modelling Their

- Geotechnical Behaviour', *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 27(11), p. 04015027.
- Castro, J. (2017) 'Modelling Stone Columns', *Materials*, 10(7), p. 782.
- Castro, J., Karstunen, M. and Sivasithamparam, N. (2014) 'Influence Of Stone Column Installation on Settlement Reduction', *Computers and Geotechnics*, 59, pp. 87–97.
- Castro, J., Karstunen, M., Sivasithamparam, N. and Sagaseta, C. (2013) 'Numerical Analyses of Stone Column Installation in Bothkennar Clay', in *Installation Effects in Geotechnical Engineering*. CRC Press, pp. 212–218.
- Castro, J., Keiser, L., Golias, M. and Weiss, J. (2011) 'Absorption and Desorption Properties of Fine Lightweight Aggregate for Application to Internally Cured Concrete Mixtures', *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 33(10), pp. 1001–1008.
- Chai, J. C., Miura, N., Kirekawa, T. and Hino, T. (2009) 'Settlement Prediction for Soft Ground Improved by Columns', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Ground Improvement*, 163(2), pp. 109–119.
- Chandrawanshi, Kumar, S. and Rakesh Jain, P. K. (2017) 'Settlement Characteristics of Soft Clay Reinforced With Stone Column : An Experimental Small Scale Study', *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET)*, 8(5), pp. 937–948.
- Chen, H. J., Yen, T. and Chen, K. H. (2003) 'Evaluating Elastic Modulus of Lightweight Aggregate', *ACI Materials Journal*, 100(2), pp. 108–113.
- Chin, G. S. S. and T. Y. (2000) 'Subsurface Investigation and Interpretation of Test Result for Foundation Design in Soft Clay', *Seminar on Ground Improvement Soft (SOGISC – 2000)*.
- Comodromos, E. M., Papadopoulou, M. C. and Laloui, L. (2015) 'Contribution to the Design Methodologies of Piled Raft Foundations Under Combined Loadings', *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 53(4), pp. 559–577.
- Council, C. E. D. (2003) 'IS 15284-1 (2003): Design and Construction for Ground Improvement - Guidelines', Part 1: Stone Columns [CED 43: Soil and Foundation Engineering]. New Delhi, India Bureau of Indian Standards, Bureau of Indian Standards, pp. 1–25.
- D Pietraszewska (2000) 'Modelling Embankments on Floating Stone Columns', in *Numerical Methods in Geotechnical Engineering*. CRC Press, pp. 851–856.

- Das, B. M. (2015) Principles of Foundation Engineering, SI. Edited by 7th Edition. USA: Cengage Learning.
- Dath, D. and K., N. (2017) 'A Study on Conventional Aggregate and Gravel as Columnar Inclusions in Clay', in International Conference on Geotechniques for Infrastructure Projects. Thiruvananthapuram, pp. 1–5.
- Dauids, A., Wongso, J., Popovic, D. and McFarlane, A. (2008) 'A Postcar from Dubai - Design and Construction of Some of the Tallest Buildings in the World', CTUBH 2008 8th World Congress, pp. 1–8.
- Deb, K. (2008) 'Modelling of Granular Bed-stone Column-improved Soft Soil', International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 32(10), pp. 1267–1288.
- Deb, K. and Dhar, A. (2011) 'Optimum Design of Stone Column-improved Soft Soil Using Multiobjective Optimization Technique', Computers and Geotechnics, 38, pp. 50–57.
- Dong, C. X., Hieng, W. I. and Ming-Fang, C. (2004) 'Behaviour of Model Rafts Resting on Pile-Reinforced Sand', Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering. American Society of Civil Engineers, 130(2), pp. 129–138.
- Douglas, S. C. and Schaefer, V. R. (2015) 'Stone Columns: Lessons Learned, Settlements, and Future Project Considerations', IFCEE 2015. San Antonio, Texas: American Society of Civil Engineers, pp. 612–626.
- Ellouze, S., Bouassida, M., Bensalem, Z. and Znaidi, M. N. (2017) 'Numerical Analysis of the Installation Effects on The Behaviour of Soft Clay Improved by Stone Columns', Geomechanics and Geoengineering. Taylor & Francis, 12(2), pp. 73–85.
- Elsawy, M. B. D. (2010) Highway Embankment Constructed on Soft Soil Improved by Stone Columns with Geosynthetic Materials, Institute of Geotechnical Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering. Duisburg-Essen University.
- Elshazly, H. A., Hafez, D. H. and Mossaad, M. E. (2008) 'Reliability of Conventional Settlement Evaluation for Circular Foundations on Stone Columns', Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, 26(3 LB-Elshazly2008), p. 323.
- Elwakil, A. Z. and Azzam, W. R. (2016) 'Experimental and Numerical Study of Piled Raft System', Alexandria Engineering Journal, 55(1), pp. 547–560.

- EXCA (2015) Expanded Clay LWA in CEA Lightweight Fill and Thermal Insulation Products For Civil Engineering Applications. Installation and Structural Quality Control on Site.
- F., Leung, K., Soga, Lehane and A. Klar (2010) ‘Role of Linear Elasticity in Pile Group Analysis and Load Test Interpretation’, *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. American Society of Civil Engineers, 136(12), pp. 1686–1694.
- Fattah, M. Y., Al-Neami, M. A. and Shamel Al-Suhaily, A. (2017) ‘Estimation of Bearing Capacity of Floating Group of Stone Columns’, *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*. Elsevier, 20(3), pp. 1166–1172.
- Fattah, M. Y., Zabar, B. S. and Hassan, H. A. (2016) ‘Experimental Analysis of Embankment on Ordinary and Encased Stone Columns’, *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 16(4), p. 04015102.
- Fayed, A. L., Sorour, T. M. and Shehata, H. F. (2018) ‘Soil Testing, Soil Stability and Ground Improvement’, 2.
- Fioravante, V. and Giretti, D. (2010) ‘Contact Versus Noncontact Piled Raft Foundations’, *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. NRC Research Press, 47(11), pp. 1271–1287.
- Fox, Z., Antonio, J. and Carraro, H. (2010) ‘Peak and Critical-State Shear Strength of Mine Waste Rock’, in *Tailings and Mine Waste 2010*, pp. 79–90.
- Gab, M., Schweiger, H. F., Kamrat-Pietraszewska, Daniela and Karstunen, M. (2008) ‘Numerical Analysis of a Floating Stone Column Foundation Using Different Constitutive Models’, *Geotechnics of Soft Soils – Focus on Ground Improvement*, 1, pp. 137–142.
- Gabr, A. K. (2012) ‘The Uncertainties of Using Replacement Soil in Controlling Settlements’, *Journal of American Science*, 8(12), pp. 662–666.
- George, A. G. C. and C, P. K. (2016) ‘Studies On Natural Geotextile Reinforced Quarry Waste Column For Improving Soft Soil’, *International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research*, 5(8).
- Ghazavi, M. and Nazari Afshar, J. (2013) ‘Bearing Capacity of Geosynthetic Encased Stone Columns’, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. Elsevier Ltd, 38, pp. 26–36.
- Gniel, J. and Bouazza, A. (2009) ‘Predicted Site Behaviour Of Geogrid Encased Stone Columns’, *Australian Geomechanics*, 44(2), pp. 11–16.

- Golakiya, H. D. and Lad, M. D. (2015) 'Ground Improvement by Using Stone Columns', *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR)*, 1(11), pp. 133–144.
- Greenwood, D. A. (1970) 'Mechanical Improvement of Soils below Ground Surface', in *Ground Engineering Conference*, Institution of Civil Engineers. July, 7, 2. London: ICE Publishing, pp. 11–22.
- Guétif, Z., Bouassida, M. and Debats, J. M. (2007) 'Improved Soft Clay Characteristics Due to Stone Column Installation', *Computers and Geotechnics*, 34(2), pp. 104–111.
- Gupta, A. K. (2016) 'Effects of Particle Size And Confining Pressure on Breakage Factor of Rockfill Materials Using Medium Triaxial Test', *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 8(3), pp. 378–388.
- Han, J. (2015a) *Principles and Practice of Ground Improvement*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Han, J. (2015b) 'Recent Research and Development of Ground Column Technologies', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Ground Improvement*, 618(G14), pp. 246–264.
- Han, J. and Ye, S.-L. (2001) 'Simplified Method for Consolidation Rate of Stone Column Reinforced Foundations', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 127(7), pp. 597–603.
- Hanna, A., Ayadat, T., Etehad, M. and Cros, C. (2016) 'Settlement of a Group of Stone Columns in Soft Soil', *Science des matériaux (Laboratoire LARHYSS)*, 7(01).
- Hasan, M. and K, S. N. (2016a) '3d Numerical Analysis of Granular Piles with Internal Horizontal Geogrid Strips In Layers', in *Indian Geotechnical Conference*. Chennai, India: IIT Madras, pp. 1–4.
- Hasan, M. and K, S. N. (2016b) 'Experimental and Numerical Analysis of Geosynthetic-Reinforced Floating Granular Piles in Soft Clays', *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 2(3), pp. 1–13.
- Hasan, M., Yusuf, N., Noor Shahrudeen, N. A. and Kassim, A. M. H. (2015) 'Strength of Soft Clay Reinforced with Group Crushed Polypropylene (PP) Columns', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 20(22), pp. 12291–12308.
- Hatefi, M. H. and Veiskarami, M. (2017) 'Application of Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) in Analysis of Retaining Walls', in.

- Hemsley, J. A. (2000) Design Applications of Raft Foundations. Thomas Telford Publishing.
- Huat, B. B. K. (1994) 'Behaviour of Soft Clay Foundation beneath an Embankment', *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 2(2), pp. 215–235.
- Hughes, J. and Withers, N. (1974) 'Reinforcing of Soft Cohesive Soils With Stone Columns', *GROUND ENGINEERING*, 7(3), pp. 42–49.
- Isaac, D. S. and Girish, M. S. (2009) 'Suitability of Different Materials for Stone Column Construction', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 14 M, pp. 1–12.
- Ismail, A., Yee, H. M., Ng, L. K. and Ramli, N. A. (2011) 'Consolidation of Sand and Aggregate as Stone Column Material', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 15, pp. 2705–2711.
- J. Canizal, J. C. A. C. A. D. C. M. M. C. S. (2012) 'Theoretical Analyses of Laboratory Tests of Kaolin Clay Improved With Stone Columns', in Nicolas Denies, N. H. (ed.) *ISSMGE - TC 211 International Symposium on Ground Improvement IS-GI Brussels*. Brussels, Belgium, pp. 373–381.
- J.B., Burland, B.B., Broms. and Mello, V. F. B. (1977) 'Behaviour of Foundations and Structures', in *Proc.9 ICSMFE, Tokyo 2*, pp. 495–546.
- J.T. Shahu (2016) *A Primer on Numerical and Physical Modelling in Geotechnical Engineering*, Technical Committee No. 8. New Delhi.
- Jamal Mohd Amin Jimjali Ahmed, Azmi Abu Kassim, Azmi Jamaludin and Jamilah Jaadil, M. R. T. (1997) 'Prediction and Determination of Undrained Shear Strength of Soft Clay at Bukit Raja', *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 5(1).
- James, M. and Timothy, H. (1985) 'Performance of a Stone Column Foundation', *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. American Society of Civil Engineers, 111(2), pp. 205–223.
- Jayalekshmi S., Harish Paravastu and V, S. (2010) 'Ground Improvement using Stone Columns for Infrastructural Development', *CiSTUP 2010*. Bangalore, pp. 1–9.
- Jian-Feng Chen, L.-Y. L. J.-F. X. S.-Z. F. (2015) 'Failure Mechanism of Geosynthetic-Encased Stone Columns in Soft Soils under Embankment', *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 43, pp. 424–431.
- John M. Pitt, David J. White, Aaron Gaul, K. H. (2003) *Highway Application for Rammed Aggregate Piles in Iowa Soils*.

- Jung, J. B., Sumioka, N., Kusakabe, O. and Moriwaki, T. (1998) 'Numerical Analyses and Model Tests of Composite Ground Improved by Partly Penetrated Sand Compaction Piles', in Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Ground Improvement Techniques. Singapore, pp. 213–220.
- K. Balan, P. K. J. and S. T. (2015) "'Studies on Natural Geotextile Reinforced Quarry Waste Columns for Soft Soil Stabilisation', Indian Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Improvement, 4(11), pp. 3–11.
- Kaolin, S. B. (2015) 'Kaolin'.
- Karim, H. H., Al-qaissy, M. R. and Hameedi, M. K. (2013) 'Numerical Analysis of Piled Raft Foundation on Clayey Soil', Eng. & Tech. Journal, 31(7), pp. 1297–1312.
- Kelly, P. (2014) Soil Structure Interaction and Group Mechanics of Vibrated Stone Column Foundations, Civil and Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering (Sheffield). University of Sheffield.
- Keykhosropur, L., Soroush, A. and Imam, R. (2012) '3D numerical Analyses of Geosynthetic Encased Stone Columns', Geotextiles and Geomembranes. Elsevier Ltd, 35, pp. 61–68.
- Khabbazian, M., Meehan, C. L. and Kaliakin, V. N. (2014) 'Column Supported Embankments with Geosynthetic Encased Columns: Parametric Study', Transportation Infrastructure Geotechnology, 1(3–4), pp. 301–325.
- Killeen, M. (2012) Numerical Modelling of Small Groups of Stone Columns, College of Engineering and Informatics. National University of Ireland, Galway.
- Killeen, M. M. and McCabe, B. A. (2014) 'Settlement Performance of Pad Footings on Soft Clay Supported by Stone Columns: A Numerical Study', Soils and Foundations, 54(4), pp. 760–776.
- Kirsch, K. and Kirsch, F. (2010) Ground Improvement by Deep Vibratory Methods. CRC Press.
- Kumar, T. K. and Thulasi, V. L. (2017) 'Ground Improvement and Load Carrying Capacity Using Stone Columns in Clay Soils', International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 6(2), pp. 2892–2897.
- L. Rao, M. M. (2010) 'Evaluation of Optimum Spacing of Stone Columns', in India Geotechnical Conference, pp. 3–6.

- Lade, P. V (2005) 'Overview of Constitutive Models for Soils', *Soil Constitutive Models*, pp. 1–34.
- Law, S. (2015) *Numerical Modelling of the Behaviour of Stone and Composite Stone Columns in Soft Soils*, School of Energy, Geoscience, Infrastructure and Society. Heriot-Watt University.
- Lawton, E. C. (2001) 'Non Grouting Techniques', in Brown, R. W. (ed.) *Practical Foundation Engineering Handbook*. McGRAW-HILL: New York, San Francisco, Washington, D.C., Auckland, Bogotá, Caracas, Lisbon, London, Madrid, Mexico City, Milan, Montreal, New Delhi, San Juan, Singapore, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto.
- Lehtonen, V. (2015) *Modelling Undrained Shear Strength and Pore Pressure Based on an Effective Stress Soil Model in Limit Equilibrium Method*.
- Lone, U. R., Dar, M. H. and Ahanger, M. Y. (2015) 'Effect Of L/B Ratio Of Stone Column On Bearing Capacity And Relative Settlement Of Sandy Soil (An Experimental Study)', *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET)*, 6(1), pp. 55–61.
- Lutenegger, A. J. and Adams, M. T. (1998) 'Bearing Capacity of Footings on Compacted Sand', *Fourth International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering*, (Paper No. 1.21), pp. 1216–1224.
- M. Mokhtari, B. K. (2012) 'Soft Soil Stabilisation using Stone Columns—A Review', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 17, pp. 1459–1466.
- M.S., Shakri, M.A, Adnan, A.T., Nazaruddin and A, Hafez (2014) 'Effects of Use of PFA on Strength of Stone Column and Sand Column', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 19, pp. 3745–3755.
- Mamat, R. C. (2013) *Engineering Properties Of Batu Pahat Soft Clay Stabilized With Lime, Cement And Bentonite For Subgrade In Road Construction*, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.
- Marina Miranda, Jorge Castro, Cesar Sagaseta, A. D. C. (2017) 'Influence of Geotextile Encasement on the Behaviour of Stone Columns: Laboratory Study', *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 45, pp. 14–22.
- Marsal, R. J. (1969) 'Particle Breakage in Coarse Granular Soils', in *Proc., 7th ICSMFE*. Sociedad Mexicana de Mecanica, Mexico City, pp. 155–165.

- Marto, A., Helmi, F., Latifi, N., Oghabi, M. and Moradi, R. (2013) 'Performance Analysis of Reinforced Stone Columns Using Finite Element Method', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 18 B, pp. 315–323.
- Marto, A., Hyodo, M., Makhtar, A. M. and Hasan, M. (2014) 'Shear Strength Parameters and Consolidation of Clay Reinforced with Single and Group Bottom Ash Columns', *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 39(4), pp. 2641–2654.
- Marto, A., Tanb, C. S., Kasim, F., Moradia, N. Z. M. Y. R. and Roslya, N. A. (2016) 'Bearing Capacity of Soft Clay Installed With Singular and Group Of Encased Bottom Ash Columns', *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences & Engineering)*, 78(7–3), pp. 105–110.
- McKelvey, D., Sivakumar, V., Bell, A. and Graham, J. (2004) 'Modelling Vibrated Stone Columns in Soft Clay', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Geotechnical Engineering*, 157(3), pp. 137–149.
- Mehrannia, N., Kalantary, F. and Ganjian, N. (2018) 'Experimental Study on Soil Improvement with Stone Columns and Granular Blankets', 5, pp. 0–1.
- Miranda, M., Da Costa, A., Castro, J. and Sagaseta, C. (2015) 'Influence of Gravel Density in the Behaviour of Soft Soils Improved With Stone Columns', *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. NRC Research Press, 52(12), pp. 1968–1980.
- Mohamedzein, E.-A., Y., Al-Shibani and Hassan, I. (2011) 'Performance of an Embankment Supported on Soft Soil Reinforced by Stone Columns', *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Ground Improvement*, 164(4), pp. 213–224.
- Mohammed Y. Fattah, Kais T. Shlash, M. Jafar Maki A.-W. (2010) 'Stress Concentration Ratio of Model Stone Columns in Soft Clays', *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 34(1), pp. 1–11.
- Mohanty, P. and Samanta, M. (2015) 'Experimental and Numerical Studies on Response of the Stone Column in Layered Soil', *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 1(3), p. 27.
- Moon, J.S. and Park, W. (2015) 'Analysis of Piled Raft Foundation Behaviour Considering Raft-Pile-Soil Interaction', *Conf. on Advances in Civil, Structural and Mechanical Engineering*. Institute of Research Engineers and Doctors, USA, pp. 64–67.

- Moravia, W. G., Oliveira, C. A. S., Gumieri, A. G. and Vasconcelos, W. L. (2006) 'Caracterização microestrutural da argila expandida para aplicação como agregado em concreto estrutural leve', *Cerâmica*, 52, pp. 193–199.
- Murugesan, S. and Rajagopal, K. (2006) 'Geosynthetic-encased stone columns: Numerical evaluation', *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 24(6), pp. 349–358.
- Najjar, S., H, S. S. and Lattouf, B. (2013) 'The Drained Strength of Soft Clays with Partially Penetrating Sand Columns at Different Area Replacement Ratios', in *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*. Paris, pp. 1–4.
- Najjar, S. S., Sadek, S. and Maakaroun, T. (2010) 'Effect of Sand Columns on the Undrained Load Response of Soft Clays', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 136(9), pp. 1263–1277.
- Nasab, M. J. and Asakereh, A. (2015) 'Numerical Analysis of the Bearing Capacity of Stone Columns Improved Ground', *International Journal of integrative Sciences, Innovation and Technology*, 4(6), pp. 1–5.
- Nasab, M. J. and Asakereh, A. (2016) 'The Effects of Reinforced and Unreinforced Sand Bed over Ground Improved With Stone Columns', 4(I), pp. 243–247.
- Nassaji, F. and Asakereh, A. (2013) 'Effect of Granular Bed on Behaviour of Stone Column Improved', *International Journal of Science and Engineering Investigations*, 2(23), pp. 67–71.
- Nehab, N., Baba, K., Ouadif, L. and Bahi, L. (2016) 'Three-Dimensional Modelling of A Group Of Stone Columns In “Bouregreg Valley” Soft Ground', *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 11(24), pp. 14537–14544.
- Ng, K. S. (2013) *Numerical Study Of Floating Stone Columns*, Civil and Environmental Engineering. National University of Singapore.
- Ng, K. S. (2017) 'Settlement Ratio of Floating Stone Columns for Small and Large Loaded Areas', *Journal of GeoEngineering*, 12(2), pp. 89–96.
- Ng, K. S. (2017) 'Settlement Ratio of Floating Stone Columns for Small and Large Loaded Areas', *Journal of GeoEngineering*, 12(2), pp. 89–96.
- Ng, K. S. and Tan, S. (2014a) 'Floating Stone Columns Design and Analyses', *Soils and Foundations -Tokyo*, pp. 1–27.
- Ng, K. S. and Tan, S. (2014b) 'Parametric Study on the Settlement Improvement Factor Of Stone Column', *ESTEEM Academic Journal*, 10, pp. 55–65.

- Ng, K. S. and Tan, S. (2014c) ‘Stress Transfer Mechanism in 2D and 3D Unit Cell Models for Stone Column Improved Ground’, *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 1(1), p. 3.
- Ng, K. S. and Tan, S. (2015) ‘Settlement Prediction of Stone Column Group’, *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 1(33), pp. 1–13.
- Ng, K. S. and Tan, S. A. (2014) ‘Design and Analyses Of Floating Stone Columns’, *Soils and Foundations*, 54(3), pp. 478–487.
- Oh, E. Y. N., Lin, D. G., Bui, Q. M., Huang, M., Surarak, C. and Balasurbamaniam, A. S. (2009) ‘Numerical Analysis of Piled Raft Foundation in Sandy and Clayey Soils Analyse’, *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, (January 2016), pp. 1159–1162.
- Okwudili, J. (2015) *Ground Improvement Using Stone Columns*. University of Glasgow.
- Ornek, M., Laman, M., Yildiz, A. and Demir, A. (2012a) ‘Numerical Analysis of Circular Footings on Natural Clay Stabilized with a Granular Fill’, *Acta geotechnica Slovenica*, pp. 61–75.
- Ornek, M., Laman, M., Yildiz, A. and Demir, A. (2012b) ‘Numerical Analysis of Circular Footings on Natural Clay Stabilized With a Granular Fill’, *Acta Geotechnica Slovenica*, (June), pp. 61–75.
- Palaniappan, K. E. A. and Prabhu, S. (2013) ‘Improving Soft Clay Soil Using Fly Ash As Material Of Column’, *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, 2(4), pp. 1458–1464.
- Piolo, L. S. and Piolo, I. L. (2004) ‘Production of Expanded-Clay Aggregate For Lightweight Concrete From Non-Self Bloating Clays’, *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 26(6), pp. 639–643.
- Pivarc, J. (2011) ‘Stone Columns – Determination of the Soil Improvement Factor’, *SLOVAK Journal of Civil Engineering*, 19(3), pp. 17–21.
- Pongsivasathit, Ding, S. and Wenqi, J. C. (2013) ‘Consolidation Settlement of Floating-Column-Improved Soft Clayey Deposit’, *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Ground Improvement*, 166(1), pp. 44–58.

- Potts, D. M. (2012) 'Using Numerical Analysis in Geotechnical Engineering Practice', Sociedad Mexicana De Ingeniería Geotécnica, A.C. XXVI RNMSelG. XXVI RNMSelG, Cancún, Quintana Roo.
- Potts, D. M. and Zdravkovic, L. (1999) *Finite Element Analysis in Geotechnical Engineering: Theory*. London, UK: Thomas Telford.
- Prasad, S., Gowri, S. and Satyanarayana, P. V. V (2016) 'Improvement of Soft Soil Performance using Stone Columns Improved with Circular Geogrid Discs', *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(30), pp. 2–6.
- Prasad, S., Gowri, S., Satyanarayana, P. V. V and Harish, Y. (2015) 'Stabilisation of Marine Clays with Geotextile Reinforced Stone Columns Using Silica-Manganese Slag as a Stone Column Material', *International Journal of Computational Engineering Research (IJCER)*, 5(9), pp. 2250–3005.
- Priebe, H. J. (1995) 'The Design of Vibro Replacement'.
- Prieto, J. I. and Stefanovskiy, A. B. (2003) 'Dimensional Analysis of Leakage and Mechanical Power Losses of Kinematic Stirling Engines', *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 217(8), pp. 917–934.
- Pulko, B. and Majes, B. (2006) 'Analytical Method for the Analysis of Stone-Columns according To the Rowe Dilatancy Theory', *Acta geotechnica Slovenica*, pp. 38–45.
- Pulko, B., Majes, B. and Logar, J. (2011) 'Geosynthetic-encased Stone Columns: Analytical Calculation Model', *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 29(1), pp. 29–39.
- Puppala, A. J. and Archeewa, B. C. R. Y. E. (2013) 'Settlement Mitigation Using Light Weight Fill Embankment Systems', *Geo-Congress 2013*. San Diego, California, United States, pp. 582–592.
- R. Ayothiraman, S. S. (2011) 'Use Of Shredded Tyre Chips as Aggregates in Stone Column: An Experimental Study', *Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference*. Kochi, pp. 711–714.
- R.Radhika, Jeyapriya, S. P. and Soundrapandiyan, P. (2015) 'Parametric Study and Numerical Analysis of Piled Raft Foundation on Soft Clay', *International Journal for Research in Emerging Science and Technology*, 2(4), pp. 90–97.

- Rahman, M., Hashim, M. E. and S, R. I. M. S. A. (2013) 'A Numerical Study of Ground Improvement Technique Using Group of Soil-Column on Peat', *Journal of Science and Technology*, pp. 625–634.
- Raj, P. P. (2005) *Ground Improvement Techniques*. New Delhi: Laxmi Publications (P) ltd.
- Rashid, A. S. A. (2011) *Behaviour of Weak Soils Reinforced with Soil Columns Formed by the Deep Mixing Method*. University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom.
- Reddy, V. P., Rao, K. M. and Togati, N. V. V. K. (2015) 'Critical Length and Capacity of Stone Columns Using 23 Factorial Experimentation', *International Journal of Engineering Technology, Management and Applied Sciences*, 30(Special Issue), pp. 167–175.
- Rokade, S. C. S., Jain, P. K. and Kumar, R. (2016) 'Bearing Pressure and Settlement Analysis of Soft Ground Reinforced With Stone Columns', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 21(25), pp. 10081–10094.
- Roshan, A. and Shooshpasha, I. (2014) 'Numerical Analysis of Piled Raft Foundations in Soft Clay', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 19, pp. 4541–4554.
- Rossignolo, J. A., Agnesini, M. V. C. and Morais, J. A. (2003) 'Properties of High-Performance LWAC for Precast Structures With Brazilian Lightweight Aggregates', *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 25(1), pp. 77–82.
- Rumssy, D., Bačinskis, D., Spudulis, E. and Meškėnas, A. (2017) 'Comparison of Material Properties of Lightweight Concrete with Recycled Polyethylene and Expanded Clay Aggregates', *Procedia Engineering*, 172, pp. 937–944.
- Saint-Gobain (2010) *Working with Leca LWA and Water*.
- Saint-Gobain (2011a) *Construction Control of Leca LWA*.
- Saint-Gobain (2011b) *Leca ® LWA- Working Instruction*.
- Salam, S. A. (2007) *The Effect of Replacement Soil on Reducing Settlement of Footing on Deep Soft Clay Using Numerical Approach*. Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.
- Saravanan and Priya, S. (2013) 'Study on Sintered Flyash Aggregate as Columnar Inclusions on Soft Clay', *International Journal of Combined Research & Development*, 1(5).

- Saride, S., Puppala, A. J., Williammee, R. and Sirigiripet, S. K. (2010) 'Use of Lightweight ECS as a Fill Material to Control Approach Embankment Settlements', *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 22(June), pp. 607–617.
- Sarvaiya, H. K. and Solanki, C. H. (2015) 'An Experimental Study on Load Capacity of Floating Stone Column in Soft Soil', *International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology*, 8(6), pp. 965–975.
- Saudi, N. K. S. Al, Rajab, N. A. A., Tanyrbergenova, G. and Gharbawi, A. (2015) 'Sand and Stone Columns in Soft Soil at Different Relative Densities', *Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication*, 2(62), pp. 2121–2126.
- Schanz, T., Vermeer, P. A. and Bonnier, P. G. (2000) 'Formulation and Verification of the Hardening-Soil Model', *Beyond*, pp. 281–290.
- Serridge, C. J. (2006) 'Some Applications of Ground Improvement Techniques in the Urban Environment', *IAEG2006*. London: The Geological Society of London 2006, pp. 1–14.
- Sexton, B. G. and McCabe, B. A. (2015) 'Modelling Stone Column Installation in an Elasto-Viscoplastic Soil', *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. Taylor & Francis, 9(5), pp. 500–512.
- Shafiqh, P., Ghafari, H., Mahmud, H. Bin and Jumaat, M. Z. (2014) 'A Comparison Study Of The Mechanical Properties And Drying Shrinkage Of Oil Palm Shell And Expanded Clay Lightweight Aggregate Concretes', *Materials & Design*, 60, pp. 320–327.
- Shahu, J. T., Madhav, M. R. and Hayashi, S. (2000) 'Analysis of Soft Ground-Granular Pile-Granular Mat System', *Computers and Geotechnics*, 27, pp. 45–62.
- Shaji, A., M., H., R., R. K., Thomas, G. and Anil, A. (2016) 'Stability Analysis Of Soft Clay Stabilized Using Stone Column', *International Conference on "Structural Engineering & Construction Management" SECM-2016*. Kerala, India.
- Sharma, J. S. and Bolton, M. D. (2001) 'Centrifugal and Numerical Modelling Of Reinforced Embankments On Soft Clay Installed With Wick Drains', *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 19(1), pp. 23–44.
- Sheeran, D. E. and Krizek, R. J. (1971) 'Preparation of Homogeneous Soil Samples By Slurry Consolidation', *Journal of Testing and Evaluation*, 6, pp. 356--373.

- Siamak Boudaghpour, S. H. (2008) 'A Study on Light Expanded Clay Aggregate (LECA) in a Geotechnical View and its Application on Greenhouse and Greenroof Cultivation', *International Journal of Geology*, 2(4), pp. 59–63.
- Sithara, P. M., Varghese, R. M. and Jerin, J. (2017) 'Performance of Stone Column under Circular Storage Tanks', *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 6(4), pp. 34–38.
- Spears, D. S. (2014) 'Soil Improvement Using Vibro-Replacement', *Aquila: The FGCU Student Journal*, 1, pp. 1–5.
- Sreechithra, P. and Niranjana, K. (2017) 'Numerical Analysis of Pile Raft System', in *International Conference on Geotechniques for Infrastructure Projects*. Thiruvananthapuram, India, pp. 3–6.
- Srilekha, Y. and Nirisha, S. (2016) 'An Experimental Investigation on the Load Settlement and the Bulging Behaviour of Stone Columns Placed on Highly Plastic Clays', *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(30).
- Strozyk, J. and Tankiewicz, M. (2014) 'The Undrained Shear Strength of Overconsolidated Clays', *Procedia Engineering*, 91(TFoCE), pp. 317–321.
- T., S. J. and R., R. Y. (2011) 'Clayey Soil Reinforced with Stone Column Group: Model Tests and Analyses', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. American Society of Civil Engineers, 137(12), pp. 1265–1274.
- Tan, S. A., Ng, K. S. and Sun, J. (2014) 'Column Group Analyses for Stone Column Reinforced Foundation', in Olson, H. R. E. (ed.) *From Soil Behaviour Fundamentals to Innovations in Geotechnical Engineering*. (ASCE), Reston, pp. 597–608.
- Tandel, Y. k., Solanki, C. H. and Desai, A. K. (2012) 'Numerical Modelling of Encapsulated Stone Column-Reinforced Ground', *International Journal of Civil, Structural, Environmental and Infrastructure Engineering*, 2(1), pp. 82–96.
- Tandel, Y. K., Solanki, C. H. and Desai, A. K. (2013) '3D FE Analysis of an Embankment Construction on GRSC and Proposal of a Design Method', *ISRN Civil Engineering*, pp. 1–11.
- Tandel, Y., Solanki, C., Desai, A. and Jamal, M. (2015) 'Numerical Analyses of Laboratory-modelled Reinforced Stone Column', *Current Science* (00113891), 108(7), pp. 1354–1362.

- Thoidingjam, D. and Devi, K. R. (2017) 'Behaviour of Pile Raft Foundation in Organic Clay', *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(31), pp. 1–4.
- Ti, K. S., Gue See, S., Huat, B. B. ., Noorzai, J. and Saleh, M. (2009) 'A Review of Basic Soil Constitutive Models for Geotechnical Application', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 14, p. 18.
- Tradigo, F., Pisanò, F., di Prisco, C. and Mussi, A. (2014) 'Non-linear Soil-structure Interaction in Disconnected Piled Raft Foundations', *Computers and Geotechnics*. Elsevier Ltd, 63, pp. 121–134.
- Transport Research Lab (2002) Technical Specification for the Use of Lightweight Expanded Clay Aggregate (Optiroc LWA) as a Structural Backfill material.
- Tsuha, C. H. C., Aoki, N., Rault, G., Thorel, L. and Garnier, J. (2012) 'Evaluation of the Efficiencies Of Helical Anchor Plates In Sand By Centrifuge Model Tests', *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. NRC Research Press, 49(9), pp. 1102–1114.
- Tuan, L. V., Zheng, Y. L., Deng, S. X., An, P. V and Lan, H. (2015) 'Numerical Analysis Of The Influence Of Replacement Area Ratio In Foundation Reinforced With Soil Cement Columns', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 20(9), pp. 3821–3828.
- Vahedian, A., Mahini, S. and Aghdai, S. A. (2014) 'A Short State-of-the-Art Review on Construction and Settlement of Soft Clay Soil Reinforced with Stone Column A Short State-of-the-Art Review on Construction and Settlement of Soft Clay Soil Reinforced with Stone Column', *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 6(5), pp. 420–426.
- Valsangkar, A. and Holm, T. A. (1987) 'Model Test on Peat-Geotextile-Lightweight Aggregate System', *Geotextile and Membranes*, 5, pp. 251–260.
- Valsangkar, A. and Holm, T. A. (2001) *Lightweight Aggregate Soil Mechanics: Properties and Application*. Expanded Shale, Clay and Slate Institute.
- Vidhyalakshmi, S., Stalin, V. K. and Palaniappan, K. E. A. (2009) 'Studies on the Behaviour of Flyash Aggregate as Column Material in Soft Clay', *India Geotechnical Society*, pp. 280–283.
- Vinh, L. B. and Khanh, L. B. (2017) 'Study on the Settlement And The Load-Bearing Capacity Of Soft Ground Reinforced By The Stone Columns', *Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication*, 5(2), pp. 124–129.
- Watcharasawe, K., Jongpradist, P. and Kitiyodom, P. (2014) 'Numerical Analyses of Piled Raft Foundation in Soft Soil using 3D-FEM', in *International Conference*

- on Advances in Civil Engineering for Sustainable Development. Thailand: Suranaree University of Technology, pp. 219–224.
- Watan, A. (2000) Quality requirements for Light Weight Clay Aggregates. Present status in Finland. Trondheim, Norway: SCC VIATEK.
- Watts, K. S., Johnson, D., Wood, L. A. and Saadi, A. (2000) ‘An Instrumented Trial Of Vibro Ground Treatment Supporting Strip Foundations In A Variable Fill’, *Géotechnique*, 50(6), pp. 699–708.
- Weber, T. M. and Springman, S. M. (2009) ‘Numerical Modelling Of Stone Columns In Soft Clay Under An Embankment’, *Geotechnics of Soft Soils – Focus on Ground Improvement*, (2006), pp. 305–311.
- White, D. J., Take, W. A. and Bolton, M. D. (2004) ‘Soil Deformation Measurement Using Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) And Photogrammetry’, *Géotechnique*, 53(7), pp. 619–631.
- Wong, I. H., Chang, M. F. and Cao, X. D. (2000) ‘Chapter 17. Raft Foundations With Disconnected Settlement-Reducing Piles’, in *Design applications of raft foundations*. Thomas Telford Publishing, pp. 469–486.
- Wood, D. M., Hu, W. and Nash, D. F. T. (2000) ‘Group Effects in Stone Column Foundations: Model Tests’, *Géotechnique*, 50(6), pp. 689–698.
- Wu, T., Wei, H., Liu, X. and Xing, G. (2016) ‘Factor Influencing the Mechanical Properties Of Lightweight Aggregates Concrete’, *Indian Journal of Engineering and Material Sciences*, 23, pp. 301–311.
- Wulandari, P. S. and Tjandra, D. (2015) ‘Analysis of Piled Raft Foundation on Soft Soil using PLAXIS 2D’, *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 125, pp. 363–367.
- Yildiz, M. (2013) *Determination Of Stress Concentration Factor In Stone Columns By Numerical Modelling*, School of Natural and Applied Sciences. Middle East Technical University.
- Zhu, X. J. (2017) ‘Analysis of the Load Sharing Behaviour and Cushion Failure Mode for a Disconnected Piled Raft’, *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2017.
- Zukri, A. and Ghani, N. (2014) ‘A Study Of Soil Stabilisation By Hydrated Lime at Kampung Kedaik Asal, Rompin, Pahang, Malaysia’, *Advanced Research in Materials and Engineering Applications*, 695, pp. 738–741.