

THIN FILM NANOCOMPOSITE FORWARD OSMOSIS MEMBRANE
INCORPORATED WITH BIMETALLIC OXIDE FOR HEAVY METAL
REMOVAL

SOO KAR WENG

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians and laboratory's staff. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Goh Pei Sean, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Norasikin Binti Othman for her guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for my Master study workplace and partially financial support from School of Postgraduate Studies (SPS) under National Postgraduate Fund (NPF) during my Master studies. Library at UTM also deserve special thanks for provided assistance in supplying the relevant literatures such as online databases, e-journal and e-books.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. Last but not least, I am grateful to all my family member.

ABSTRACT

Heavy metal contamination which has threatened human health is a critical environmental issue that caused by the uncontrolled discharge of heavy metal. Membrane technology has been justified as one of the favourable options in wastewater treatment for contaminants removal due to its high rejection to produce high quality of treated water. Recently, forward osmosis (FO) has emerged as an attractive alternative of conventional approaches such as adsorption and reverse osmosis (RO) for heavy metal removal. The advantages of FO process include lower energy consumption and less fouling tendency. During the separation processes, FO membrane ensures the water pass through the membrane while all the dissolved metal ions could be separated and filtered by the TFN FO membrane. Despite the advantages of FO, the major issue of FO membrane is to confront with interfacial concentration polarization (ICP) which causes the deterioration of flux over time. The reduction of critical ICP issue and increased water flux through the modification of TFC membrane by incorporating functional nanomaterials into the substrate layer of the FO TFC membrane has been proven as a feasible strategy to heighten the performance of FO membrane. In this study, thin film nanocomposite (TFN) FO membranes incorporated with titania nanotubes and magnetite oxide (TNT-Fe₃O₄) hybrid has been successfully fabricated. The TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid nanoparticles was synthesized through hydrothermal and coprecipitation method. In the first stage of this study, different types of nanoparticles i.e. TNT, Fe₃O₄, TNT-Fe₃O₄ were embedded into polysulfone (PSf) substrate. The performance of the TFN FO membranes were evaluated for heavy metal removal using the FO system at active layer facing feed solution (AL-FS) mode. Using the membrane incorporated 0.5 wt% of TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid nanoparticles, the FO water flux of 2.84 L/m².h and 2.54 L/m².h and the rejection of 98.06% and 88.37% were achieved for Cd²⁺ and Pb²⁺ removal, respectively. In the second stage, the effect of different loading of TNT-Fe₃O₄ embedded into PSf substrate was investigated. The loading of TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid plays a role in improving the FO water flux and rejection, particularly with the increasing loading of the nanofiller. The TFN 0.5 membrane exhibited good water permeability (A) with 3.60 L/m².h.bar, salt permeability (B) with 2.33 x10⁻⁸ m/s and 95.94% of salt rejection. TFN 0.5 achieved the small structural parameters with 1.45 mm and 1.60 mm in Cd²⁺ and Pb²⁺ removal, respectively. In addition, the optimized membrane exhibited high flux recovery and rejection which indicated a good quality of the TFN FO membrane. As a conclusion, modification of TFN FO membrane by incorporating TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid as nanofillers in the substrate layer is a promising approach to improve membrane permeability and selectivity.

ABSTRAK

Pencemaran logam berat yang mengancam kesihatan manusia adalah isu kritikal dalam alam sekitar yang disebabkan oleh pelepasan logam berat yang tidak terkawal. Teknologi membran telah diiktirafkan sebagai salah satu pilihan yang menggalakkan dalam rawatan air sisa untuk penyingkiran bahan pencemaran akibat penolakannya yang tinggi untuk menghasilkan air terawat yang berkualiti tinggi. Dalam dekad kebelakangan ini, osmosis hadapan (FO) telah muncul sebagai pendekatan konvensional yang menarik seperti penjerapan dan osmosis berbalik (RO) untuk penyingkiran logam berat. Kelebihan proses FO termasuk penggunaan tenaga yang lebih rendah dan kekurangan kecenderungan terhadap kotoran membran. Semasa proses pemisahan, membran FO memastikan air melalui membran sementara semua ion logam yang terlarut boleh dipisahkan dan ditapis oleh membran TFN FO. Selain daripada kelebihan FO, kepekatan polarisasi dalaman (ICP) adalah isu utama untuk membran FO yang menyebabkan kemerosotan aliran air dari semasa ke semasa. Pengurangan isu kritikal ICP dan peningkatan aliran air (J_v) melalui pengubahsuaian membran TFC dengan menggabungkan bahan nanopartikel ke dalam lapisan substrat membran TFC FO telah terbukti sebagai strategi yang boleh dilaksanakan untuk meningkatkan prestasi membran FO. Dalam kajian ini, membran osmosis hadapan nanokomposit saput tipis (TFN) yang ditubuhkan dengan titania tiub nano dan magnetit oksida (TNT- Fe_3O_4) telah berjaya dihasilkan. Nanopartikel hibrid TNT- Fe_3O_4 disintesis melalui kaedah hidrotermal dan gabung pemendakan. Pada peringkat pertama kajian ini, pelbagai jenis nanopartikel iaitu TNT, Fe_3O_4 , TNT- Fe_3O_4 telah dimasukkan ke dalam substrat polisulfona (PSf). Prestasi membran TFN FO sedang mengkaji penyingkiran logam berat menggunakan sistem FO pada mod AL-FS. J_v FO telah mencapai pada $2.84 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}$ dan $2.54 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}$ dan penolakannya mencapai 98.06% dan 88.37% dalam penyingkiran Cd^{2+} dan Pb^{2+} masing-masing apabila membran mengandungi 0.5% hibrid TNT- Fe_3O_4 . Pada peringkat kedua, kesan pemuatan berbeza TNT- Fe_3O_4 yang dimasukkan ke dalam substrat PSf telah diselidiki. Pemuatan hibrid TNT- Fe_3O_4 memainkan peningkatan yang ketara dalam J_v FO dan penolakan ketika kandungan meningkat. Pada peringkat akhir, semua membran TFN FO disediakan telah menguji dalam sistem RO. Membran TFN 0.5 berbanding dengan membran kawalan menunjukkan kebolehtelapan air yang baik (A) dengan $3.60 \text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}\cdot\text{bar}$, ketelapan garam (B) dengan $2.33 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m/s}$ dan 95.94% penolakan garam. TFN 0.5 mengekalkan parameter struktur kecil dengan 1.45 mm dan 1.60 mm dalam penyingkiran Cd^{2+} dan Pb^{2+} . Di samping itu, membran yang dioptimumkan menunjukkan pemulihan aliran air dan penolakan yang tinggi yang menunjukkan kualiti membran TFN FO yang baik. Kesimpulannya, pengubahsuaian membran TFN FO dengan menggabungkan hibrid TNT- Fe_3O_4 sebagai nanofiller dalam lapisan substrat merupakan pendekatan yang menjanjikan untuk meningkatkan kebolehtelapan dan pemilihan membran.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives of Study	6
	1.4 Scope of Study	7
	1.5 Significance of Study	8
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Heavy Metals Contamination	9
	2.2 Conventional Method for Heavy Metal Removal	10
	2.3 Membrane Technology for Heavy Metal Removal	13
	2.3.1 Ultrafiltration	14
	2.3.2 Nanofiltration	15
	2.3.3 Reverse Osmosis	16
	2.4 Forward Osmosis	17
	2.4.1 Principle of Forward Osmosis	18

2.4.2	Internal Concentration Polarization	20
2.4.3	Forward Osmosis Membrane	23
2.5	Thin Film Composite Membrane	24
2.5.1	Polyamide Layer by Interfacial Polymerization	26
2.5.2	Thin Film Nanocomposite Membrane	28
2.6	Forward Osmosis for Heavy Metal Removal	34
2.7	Nanomaterials	37
2.7.1	Magnetite Oxide	38
2.7.2	Titania Nanotubes	40
2.8	Research gap	43
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	45
3.1	Research Design	45
3.2	Synthesis of Bimetallic Oxide Nanoparticles	47
3.2.1	Materials	47
3.2.2	Synthesis of TNT–Fe ₃ O ₄ Hybrid Nanoparticles	47
3.3	Characterization of Bimetallic Oxide Nanoparticles	48
3.3.1	Morphology Analysis	48
3.3.2	Crystallinity Analysis	49
3.3.3	Zeta Potential Measurement	49
3.4	Fabrication of Forward Osmosis Membrane	49
3.4.1	Membrane Materials	49
3.4.2	Formulation and Preparation of Dope Solution	50
3.4.3	Preparation of Polyamide Rejection Layer	51
3.5	Characterization of Membrane	52
3.5.1	Morphological Analysis	52
3.5.2	Surface Topological Analysis	52
3.5.3	Membrane surface hydrophilicity	53
3.5.4	Membrane Porosity	53
3.5.5	Membrane Surface Charge	53
3.6	Evaluation of Membrane Performance	54
3.6.1	Reverse Osmosis Process	54

	3.6.2 Forward Osmosis Process	55
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	59
4.1	Characterization of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ Hybrid Nanoparticles	59
4.1.1	Morphological Analysis of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ Hybrid Nanoparticles	59
4.1.2	Crystallinity Analysis of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ Hybrid Nanoparticles	61
4.1.3	Zeta Potential Analysis of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ Hybrid Nanoparticles	62
4.2	Characterization of TFN FO Membrane	64
4.2.1	Morphological Analysis of TFN FO Membrane	64
4.2.2	Surface Topological Analysis of TFN FO Membrane	68
4.2.3	Water Contact Angle Analysis of TFN FO Membrane	70
4.2.4	Porosity Analysis of TFN FO Membrane	71
4.2.5	Surface Charge Analysis of TFN FO Membrane	73
4.3	Thin Film Nanocomposite Forward Osmosis Membrane Performance	75
4.3.1	Effect of Various Nanoparticles on TFN FO Membrane	75
4.3.2	Effect of Different Loading of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ on TFN FO Membrane	80
4.3.3	Regeneration Properties of TFN FO Membrane	84
4.3.4	Intrinsic Properties and Structural Parameter of TFN FO Membrane	86
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	89
5.1	Conclusion	89
5.2	Recommendation	92
REFERENCES		93

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	The performance of TFN FO membranes incorporated different nanomaterials in water and wastewater treatment.	32
Table 2.2	The performance of FO membranes for heavy metals removal in terms of water flux and rejection rate.	36
Table 3.1	The composition of dope solution was utilized for the TFN FO membrane preparation.	50
Table 3.2	The composition of dope solution was utilized for the TFN FO membrane with different loading of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ preparation.	51
Table 4.1	Comparison the ratio of J _s /J _v of TFN membranes incorporated TNT, Fe ₃ O ₄ and TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for heavy metals removal.	78
Table 4.2	Comparison the ratio of J _s /J _v of TFN membranes incorporated different loading of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for heavy metals removal.	83
Table 4.3	The concentration of titanium and iron of TFN-0.5 membrane leaching out during each cycle.	86
Table 4.4	Intrinsic properties and structural parameter of TFN FO membrane.	88

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Water flux directions in (a, b) FO and (c, d) RO process.	19
Figure 2.2	Phenomenon of (a) concentrative ICP (AL-DS) and (b) dilutive ICP (AL-FS). C_1 is the bulk draw solution, C_2 is the membrane surface osmotic pressure on the permeate side, C_3 is the effective osmotic pressure of the feed in (AL-DS), C_4 is the membrane surface osmotic pressure on feed side and C_5 is the bulk feed osmotic pressure.	21
Figure 2.3	SEM images of (a) HTI TFC and (b) PFO-100 TFC FO membrane structure.	22
Figure 2.4	Cross-sectional SEM images of (a) CTA FO membrane with a polyester mesh as mechanical support, (b) PSf flat sheet membrane with PET nonwoven support and (c) magnified view of the dense, sponge like morphology near the PA layer.	23
Figure 2.5	Cross-sectional structure of TFC membrane, (i) top polyamide active layer, (ii) microporous interlayer and (iii) nonfabric polyester layer.	25
Figure 2.6	(a) Schematic illustration of the formation of a TFC membrane via IP reaction, (b) chemical structure of IP reaction between MPD and TMC.	27
Figure 2.7	Schematic diagrams presenting typical structure of nanomaterial incorporated PA TFC membranes.	30
Figure 2.8	TEM images of the nanomaterials. (a) TiO_2 , (b) TNTs, (c) TNTs- TiO_2 .	42
Figure 3.1	Research flow chart.	46
Figure 3.2	Schematic diagram of lab scale FO system set up.	56
Figure 4.1	FESEM images with scale (a) 500 nm, (b) 400 nm and EDX analysis of (c) mapping and (d) EDX spectra of synthesized TNT- Fe_3O_4 hybrid nanoparticles.	60
Figure 4.2	TEM images of synthesized TNT- Fe_3O_4 hybrid nanoparticles with the scale (a) 50 nm and (b) 10 nm.	61
Figure 4.3	The XRD patterns of (a) Fe_3O_4 , (b) TNT and (c) TNT- Fe_3O_4 .	62

Figure 4.4	The zeta potential of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ , TNT and Fe ₃ O ₄ vary from pH 0 to pH 10.	63
Figure 4.5	The top surface (a1-d1) and cross-sectional (a2-d2) SEM images of TFC, TFN-TNT, TFN-Fe ₃ O ₄ and TFN-0.5 FO membrane, respectively.	65
Figure 4.6	The top surface (a1-c1) and cross-sectional (a2-c2) SEM images of different loading of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles, TFN-0.1, TFN-0.3 and TFN-0.7 FO membrane, respectively.	67
Figure 4.7	AFM images of TFN FO membrane (a) TFC, (b) TFN-TNT, (c) TFN-Fe ₃ O ₄ , (d) TFN-0.5, (e) TFN-0.1, (f) TFN-0.3 and (g) TFN-0.7.	69
Figure 4.8	Contact angle of Psf nanocomposite substrate embedded different types of nanoparticle and TFN FO membrane.	71
Figure 4.9	Porosity of Psf nanocomposite substrate embedded with different nanoparticles and TFN FO membrane.	73
Figure 4.10	Zeta potential of TFN FO membrane surface charge.	74
Figure 4.11	FO water flux and reverse solute flux of TFN FO membrane incorporated TNT, Fe ₃ O ₄ and TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for cadmium removal.	77
Figure 4.12	FO water flux and reverse solute flux of TFN FO membrane incorporated TNT, Fe ₃ O ₄ and TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for lead removal.	77
Figure 4.13	TFN FO membrane incorporated TNT, Fe ₃ O ₄ and TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for cadmium and lead removal.	79
Figure 4.14	FO water flux and reverse solute flux of TFN FO membrane incorporated different loading of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for cadmium removal.	82
Figure 4.15	FO water flux and reverse solute flux of TFN FO membrane incorporated different loading of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for lead removal.	82
Figure 4.16	TFN FO membrane incorporated different loading of TNT-Fe ₃ O ₄ hybrid nanoparticles for cadmium and lead removal.	84
Figure 4.17	Regeneration properties (a) TFC, (b) TFN-0.5, (c) TFN-Fe ₃ O ₄ and (d) TFN-TNT for cadmium removal.	85

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFM	-	Atomic force microscopy
AL-FS	-	Active layer facing feed solution
DS	-	Draw solution
EDX	-	Energy dispersive spectroscopy
Fe ₃ O ₄	-	Magnetite oxide
FESEM	-	Field emission scanning electronic microscope
FO	-	Forward osmosis
FS	-	Feed solution
HRTEM	-	High resolution transmission electron microscopy
ICP	-	Internal concentration polarization
IP	-	Interfacial polymerization
MPD	-	1,3-phenylenediamine
NF	-	Nanofiltration
PA	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
PSf	-	Polysulfone
PVP	-	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
RO	-	Reverse osmosis
TFC	-	Thin film composite
TFN	-	Thin film nanocomposite
TMC	-	Trimesoyl chloride
TNT	-	Titania nanotubes
XRD	-	X-Ray diffractometer analysis

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Water permeability coefficient (L/m ² .h)
B	-	Salt permeability coefficient (m/s)
J _s	-	Reverse salt flux (g/m ² .h)
J _v	-	Water flux (L/m ² .h)
M	-	Molarity (M)
R	-	Solute rejection (%)
S	-	Membrane structural parameter (mm)
T	-	Temperature (°C)
ΔP	-	Hydraulic pressure difference (bar)
Δπ	-	Osmotic pressure difference (Pa)
wt%	-	Percentage of weight over volume

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Type of Nanoparticles and TFN FO Membrane	107
Appendix B	Preparation of MPD and TMC Solution for Polyamide Layer	108
Appendix C	Calculation for FO Water Flux and Heavy Metal Rejection	109
Appendix D	Calculation for Intrinsic Properties of Membrane A & B	110
Appendix E	Calculation for S Parameter Value at AL-FS	111
Appendix F	Diffusivity of NaCl at Various Concentration	112

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Heavy metal contamination is a critical environmental issue that caused by the uncontrolled discharge of heavy metal which has threatened human health. The electronics, electroplating, machinery manufacturing, and metallurgy industries have produced an extensive amount of wastewater containing heavy metal which are eventually discharged into water bodies. Metal ions tend to penetrate inside human body through accumulation pathway and cause severe health effects and body dysfunction because its unable to be metabolized by human body or decompose easily (Cui et al., 2014). Compared to other toxic substances, heavy metals are stable and cannot be degraded naturally over long period of time. Therefore, the metal ions tend to accumulate in living organisms and bring tremendous ecological and physiological exposure. Thus, heavy metal removal from wastewater becomes a progressively important global issue (Zhu et al., 2015). Salam et al. (2019) reported that the geostatistical distribution and contamination status of heavy metals in the sediment of Perak river. Their study showed the range of heavy metals concentration in downstream areas were Pb (27.6–60.76 $\mu\text{g/g}$), Zn (49.04–160.5 $\mu\text{g/g}$), Cd (2.77–4.02 $\mu\text{g/g}$) and Cu (9.82–59.99 $\mu\text{g/g}$).

Various strategies for efficient heavy metal removal from water have been widely studied to reduce the negative impacts of heavy metal contaminations (Zhao & Liu, 2018). Increasing demand of pure water with low concentration of heavy metals makes it obligatory to effectively eliminate toxic heavy metals from industrial runoffs prior to their release into the ecosystem. Different conventional techniques are accessible for the decontamination of wastewater such as adsorption, ion exchange, chemical precipitation and solvent extraction (Wadhawan et al., 2020). Adsorption using suitable adsorbents is one of the most efficient, effective, fast, simple and

economical method for the remove of metal ions from water and wastewater sources (Vojoudi et al., 2017). Chemical precipitation is one of the most widely used techniques for heavy metal removal from inorganic effluent in industry due to its simple operation (Gunatilake, 2015). Ion exchange process is another widely used approach in removing heavy metal from wastewater with ion exchange resin which has the specific uptake ability to exchange its cations with metals in wastewater, either synthetic or natural solid resin (Zhao et al., 2016a). Solvent extraction has been used for wastewater purification with using ionic liquids have shown good performance as an extractant phase in the separation of heavy metal ions (Platzer et al., 2017).

In the last decades, the development of membrane technology has been justified as one of the favourable options in wastewater treatment for contaminants removal due to its excellent removal efficiency. Membrane technology has been justified as a practicable option in wastewater treatment owing to its low fabrication cost and high rejection to contaminants such as organic compounds (Cui et al., 2014). Abdullah et al. (2019b) reported ultrafiltration (UF), nanofiltration (NF), reverse osmosis (RO) and forward osmosis (FO) are the membrane technologies that commonly used for treating heavy metal contaminated water. In essence, membrane filtration is a pressure driven separation process for heavy metals while it can be enhanced by treating with the membrane (Zhao et al., 2016b). The comparison of the NF and RO performance for treating industrial wastewater containing metal effluents at different operating pressure was reported by Liu et al. (2008a). The results showed that although RO could achieve an excellent metal rejection rate, however its water flux remained very low compared to NF. Nevertheless, both membrane processes require high energy operation and has high fouling tendency, which resulted in reduced productivity and extra operational cost (Abdullah et al., 2019b). The advantages of membrane technology mentioned above are high separation efficiency and excellent heavy metals rejection. However, the limitations in terms of high energy consumption, low water permeability and membrane fouling also hinder the sustainable implementation of membrane technology.

In recent years, FO has attracted a very great interest among researchers for heavy metals removal as it requires a very low energy, low fouling rate, satisfactory pure water permeation and high solute rejection (Cui et al., 2014). Hence, this provides the FO technique a hidden prospect for heavy metal removal in water and wastewater treatment (Liu et al., 2017a). Principally, FO is a membrane process driven by natural osmotic pressure created when draw solution and feed solution with different concentrations separated by a semipermeable membrane (Coday et al., 2014). FO membranes is nearly similar to NF and RO which comprised of substrate and selective thin film layer except does not utilized hydraulic pressure, FO can be potentially for this particular application (Abdullah, Tajuddin, & Yusof, 2019a). Bao et al. (2019) fabricated polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer grafted TFC FO membrane to achieve high NH_4^+ rejection and antifouling capacity in treating domestic wastewater. FO membranes are capable of rejecting most organics and phosphate ions by virtue of the size sieving effect (Chekli et al., 2016). On the other hand, Zhao et al. (2018) investigated the removal of Ni(II) from high salinity wastewater. The removal was carried out by comparing the performance of commercial cellulose triacetate (CTA) FO membrane and polyamide based TFC membrane. Nevertheless, internal concentration polarization (ICP) still remains as a major drawback for FO to be an applicable method for heavy metals removal. Currently, the ideal performance of FO membrane remains unachievable due to the inherent issues related to osmotically driven membranes such as high ICP which restricts the membrane from delivering optimum performance, particularly in terms of water flux and solutes rejection.

The recent development of FO membranes are focused on TFC membrane fabrication and their modification. The substrate structure and morphology significantly influence the degree of ICP within the porous layer; whereas the active layer controls the extent of solute and water fluxes across the membrane (Akther et al., 2019). Although PA-based TFC FO membranes have better performance compared to the cellulose-based membranes, the overall FO performance in terms of ICP, fouling resistance and chlorine tolerance is still an issue (Akther et al., 2015). On the other hand, substrate modification using inorganic nanofiller is one of the most common approaches to address ICP issue (Goh et al., 2019). The presence of nanomaterials within the substrate layer can alter the hydrophilicity and morphology, hence altering the water or solute transport behaviour during the FO process.

Since the first introduction of thin film nanocomposite (TFN), different nanomaterials such as titanium dioxide (TiO_2), silica dioxide (SiO_2), carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene oxide (GO) have been incorporated into TFC FO membranes. The incorporation of GO into TFC substrate enhanced the membrane pore diameter, porosity and hydrophilicity which markedly increased the water permeability and antifouling properties (Sirinupong et al., 2018; Zinadini et al., 2014). The incorporation TiO_2 nanoparticles in the PSf substrate of PA TFC membrane using direct blending to control ICP in the substrate during FO operation (Emadzadeh, Lau, & Ismail, 2013). Magnetite oxide (Fe_3O_4) nanoparticles is used as inorganic nanofiller additive in membrane fabrication due to its numerous advantages, such as low toxicity, good biocompatibility, high surface area and chemical stability. Next, Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles also assisted for decreasing ICP of TFN FO membrane (Darabi et al., 2017). Titania nanotubes (TNTs) has been explored as nanofillers for fabricating TFN FO membranes due to its tubular form provides additional channel for water transport across the membranes. The advantages of TNT owing to hydrophilic properties, good stability, large pore sizes and surface area (Akther et al., 2019).

1.2 Problem Statement

In recent years, the utilization of heavy metal compounds has exponentially increased in various industrial processes. As such, it has also become a critical environmental issue due to heavy metal contamination of water source. Although heavy metal ions could be eliminated from wastewater by several conventional methods including adsorption, chemical precipitation and ion exchange (Atkovska et al., 2018), the major issues with these technologies are their effectiveness, energy consumption and long term sustainability. FO has gained interest as a membrane-based water treatment alternative owing to its low membrane fouling tendency and energy consumption, compared to other membrane techniques (Shibuya et al., 2018). However, the common issue encountered by most TFC FO membranes is the ICP. The diffusion of reverse salt and the intrinsically porous structure of the substrate layer induce ICP. This results in the decrease in the effective driving force and eventually the water flux declines sharply. The most common and effective strategy is by

preparing TFN FO membrane which involves the incorporation of inorganic nanoparticles to alter the membrane substrates in order to reduce ICP phenomenon of TFC FO membranes (Zhang et al., 2018a). The nanoparticles provide their essential properties to address the ICP issues while maintaining the membrane performance (Lakhotia, Mukhopadhyay, & Kumari, 2019).

Currently, the inorganic nanomaterials that have been most widely used for FO membrane modification include zeolite, GO, CNTs, silica and TiO₂ (Akther et al., 2019). These nanomaterials are introduced into polymeric membranes matrices to improve the membrane hydrophilicity and reduce the surface roughness (Daraei et al., 2013). Nevertheless, most of the efforts in FO membrane modification were focused on using single nanomaterial to bring about the desired properties for desalination and wastewater treatment. For instance, TNT has been used to increase the hydrophilicity of the FO membranes in order to improve the flux and reduce fouling tendency. Darabi et al. (2017) were the first added Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in polyethersulfone (PES) substrate to mitigate ICP. The results revealed that the porosity and the hydrophilicity of the PES substrate were improved after addition of Fe₃O₄ leading to reducing in structural parameter (S) and water flux enhancement. As both hydrophilicity and ICP are equally important factors to be considered for a high-performance FO membrane, it is necessary to fabricate a membrane that can simultaneously address both issues. It is expected that the synergistic effect of TNT and Fe₃O₄ nanomaterials simultaneously address ICP and increase hydrophilicity. Hence, this study focused on the synthesis hybrid nanomaterials in order to mitigate ICP issue for water flux improvement as well as achieve high rejection of heavy metal removal in TFN FO membrane.

Based on recent open literature, most of the TFN membranes were prepared for desalination in RO or FO process. The TFN FO membranes that are particularly designed for heavy metal removal is still limited. Despite some works that showed the potential of using FO for heavy metal removal, these works were largely based on commercial membranes and their modifications (Vital et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2016c). As the properties of FO membrane is one of the most crucial factor in determining the efficiency of the process, most emphasis should also be placed on the design and modification of membrane to further enhance the heavy metal removal efficiency.

Hence, this study is aimed to fabricate a TFN FO membrane which is incorporated with bimetallic oxide hybrid nanoparticles. TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid nanoparticles were synthesized via hydrothermal and coprecipitation method. The physio-chemical properties of TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid nanoparticles were investigated. Then, the TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid nanoparticles was embedded into polymer dope solution of polysulfone (PSf) substrate at different loading. The TNT-Fe₃O₄ incorporated PSf was formed by inversion phase and the polyamide selective layer was formed through interfacial polymerization. The physio-chemical properties of the fabricated membrane were evaluated in terms of surface roughness, water permeability and hydrophilicity. Finally, the separation and filtration performance of the TFN FO membranes were evaluated for heavy metals removal in terms of water permeability and separation behaviour.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The main aims of this research to fabricate a TFN FO membrane which consist of bimetallic oxide nanoparticles incorporated into the PSf substrate in order to form a TFN FO membrane for heavy metal removal. In order to solve the above-mentioned problems, the following objectives of have been outlined:

- i. To investigate the physicochemical properties of TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid nanoparticle synthesized through hydrothermal and co-precipitation method.
- ii. To investigate the physicochemical properties of TFN FO membranes incorporated with the TNT, Fe₃O₄ and different loading of TNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid nanoparticle into substrate.
- iii. To study the separation performance of TFN FO membrane for heavy metals removal in terms of flux, rejection and regeneration behaviour.

1.4 Scope of Study

In order to achieve the main objectives of this study, the scopes of the study are identified as below:

- i. Synthesizing the hybrid bimetallic oxide nanoparticles by hydrothermal and coprecipitation method using titanium dioxide (TiO_2) and ferrous sulphate ($\text{FeCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and ferric chloride ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) at molar ratio 1:2. The hybrid bimetallic oxide nanoparticles TNT and Fe_3O_4 was fixed at weight ratio of 1:1.
- ii. Conducting the morphological and physicochemical characterization of TNT– Fe_3O_4 hybrid nanoparticles using high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM), field scanning electron microscope (FESEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and zeta potential.
- iii. Embedding TNT– Fe_3O_4 hybrid nanoparticles into the PSf substrate dope. The loading of TNT– Fe_3O_4 hybrid nanoparticles was varied from 0.1 wt% – 0.7 wt%. The dope formulation was maintained constant at 16.5 wt% polysulfone (PSf), 0.5 wt% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and 83 wt% n-methyl-pyrrolidone (NMP).
- iv. Fabricating PSf substrate via phase inversion and polyamide layer via interfacial polymerization using 2.0 wt% m-phenylenediamine (MPD) and 0.1 wt% of trimesoyl chloride (TMC) as monomers
- v. Conducting the morphological, surface roughness, hydrophilicity and surface charge characterization of the TFN FO membrane by scanning microscope (SEM), atomic force microscope (AFM), water contact angle, porosity and zeta potential, respectively.
- vi. Conducting the cross-flow RO experiment at 1 bar hydraulic pressure.
- vii. Evaluating the intrinsic properties of TFN FO membrane in terms of water permeability, salt permeability and salt rejection in RO system.
- viii. Preparing a 10 mg/L heavy metal feed solution from each $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ and $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ powder.

- ix. Conducting the FO experiment in active layer facing feed solution (AL-FS) orientation with 10 mg/L of $\text{Cd}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ as feed solution and 1 M of NaCl as draw solution.
- x. Evaluating the filtration performance of TFN FO membrane in terms of water flux, reverse solute flux and structure parameter in FO system.

1.5 Significance of Study

The development of a TFN FO membrane has offered significant contribution in water treatment for heavy metals removal due to their multifunctional properties of separation and filtration simultaneously with low energy consumption. Due to the variety of heavy metal pollutants present in wastewater from industry, the suitable nanoparticles must be selected for more efficient heavy metals separation and filtration. Thus, the fabricated membrane incorporated with bifunctional nanomaterials which is TNT- Fe_3O_4 hybrid nanoparticles that that can simultaneously address issues related to ICP and increase water flux. As this is the first attempt of modifying FO membrane for heavy metal removal through FO process, the findings from the correlation studies between the membrane properties and heavy metal removal performance will serve as the guideline for future related studies. With the promising features shown, the TFN FO membrane will be a promising heavy metals separation for water treatment.

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, A. M., Ghosh, S., & Puri, I. K. (2016). Decorating carbon nanotubes with co-precipitated magnetite nanocrystals. *Diamond and Related Materials*, 66, 90-97.
- Abdolali, A., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W., Zhou, J. L., Zhang, J., Liang, S., . . . Liu, Y. (2017). Application of a breakthrough biosorbent for removing heavy metals from synthetic and real wastewaters in a lab-scale continuous fixed-bed column. *Bioresour Technol*, 229, 78-87.
- Abdullah, N., Tajuddin, M. H., & Yusof, N. (2019a). Forward Osmosis (FO) for Removal of Heavy Metals. In *Nanotechnology in Water and Wastewater Treatment* (pp. 177-204): Elsevier.
- Abdullah, N., Yusof, N., Lau, W. J., Jaafar, J., & Ismail, A. F. (2019b). Recent trends of heavy metal removal from water/wastewater by membrane technologies. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 76, 17-38.
- Abdullah, W. N. A. S., Tiandee, S., Lau, W.-J., Aziz, F., & Ismail, A. F. (2019c). Potential Use of Nanofiltration Like-Forward Osmosis Membranes for Copper Ion Removal. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*.
- Ahmad, A. L., Abdulkarim, A. A., Ooi, B. S., & Ismail, S. (2013). Recent development in additives modifications of polyethersulfone membrane for flux enhancement. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 223, 246-267.
- Akther, N., Phuntsho, S., Chen, Y., Ghaffour, N., & Shon, H. K. (2019). Recent advances in nanomaterial-modified polyamide thin-film composite membranes for forward osmosis processes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 584, 20-45.
- Akther, N., Sodiq, A., Giwa, A., Daer, S., Arafat, H. A., & Hasan, S. W. (2015). Recent advancements in forward osmosis desalination: A review. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 281, 502-522.
- Alam, J., Dass, L. A., Ghasemi, M., & Alhoshan, M. (2013). Synthesis and optimization of PES-Fe₃O₄ mixed matrix nanocomposite membrane: Application studies in water purification. *Polymer Composites*, 34(11), 1870-1877.
- Anjum, M., Kumar, R., Abdelbasir, S., & Barakat, M. (2018). Carbon nitride/titania nanotubes composite for photocatalytic degradation of organics in water and sludge: pre-treatment of sludge, anaerobic digestion and biogas production. *Journal of environmental management*, 223, 495-502.
- Arshad, A., Iqbal, J., Ahmad, I., & Israr, M. (2018). Graphene/Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite: Interplay between photo-Fenton type reaction, and carbon purity for the removal of methyl orange. *Ceramics International*, 44(3), 2643-2648.
- Asempour, F., Akbari, S., Bai, D., Emadzadeh, D., Matsuura, T., & Kruczek, B. (2018). Improvement of stability and performance of functionalized halloysite nano

- tubes-based thin film nanocomposite membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 563, 470-480.
- Atkovska, K., Lisichkov, K., Ruseska, G., Dimitrov, A. T., & Grozdanov, A. (2018). REMOVAL OF HEAVY METAL IONS FROM WASTEWATER USING CONVENTIONAL AND NANOSORBENTS: A REVIEW. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Metallurgy*, 53(2).
- Azimi, A., Azari, A., Rezakazemi, M., & Ansarpour, M. (2017). Removal of Heavy Metals from Industrial Wastewaters: A Review. *ChemBioEng Reviews*, 4(1), 37-59.
- Bao, X., Wu, Q., Shi, W., Wang, W., Yu, H., Zhu, Z., . . . Cui, F. (2019). Polyamidoamine dendrimer grafted forward osmosis membrane with superior ammonia selectivity and robust antifouling capacity for domestic wastewater concentration. *Water Res*, 153, 1-10.
- Barakat, M. A., & Schmidt, E. (2010). Polymer-enhanced ultrafiltration process for heavy metals removal from industrial wastewater. *Desalination*, 256(1-3), 90-93.
- Beduk, F. (2016). Superparamagnetic nanomaterial Fe₃O₄-TiO₂ for the removal of As(V) and As(III) from aqueous solutions. *Environ Technol*, 37(14), 1790-1801.
- Bem, V., Neves, M. C., Nunes, M. R., Silvestre, A. J., & Monteiro, O. C. (2012). Influence of the sodium/proton replacement on the structural, morphological and photocatalytic properties of titanate nanotubes. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 232, 50-56.
- Bera, A., Trivedi, J. S., Kumar, S. B., Chandel, A. K. S., Haldar, S., & Jewrajka, S. K. (2018). Anti-organic fouling and anti-biofouling poly(piperazineamide) thin film nanocomposite membranes for low pressure removal of heavy metal ions. *J Hazard Mater*, 343, 86-97.
- Bhateria, R., & Singh, R. (2019). A review on nanotechnological application of magnetic iron oxides for heavy metal removal. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 31.
- Bidsorkhi, H. C., Riazi, H., Emadzadeh, D., Ghanbari, M., Matsuura, T., Lau, W. J., & Ismail, A. F. (2016). Preparation and characterization of a novel highly hydrophilic and antifouling polysulfone/nanoporous TiO₂ nanocomposite membrane. *Nanotechnology*, 27(41), 415706.
- Bolisetty, S., Peydayesh, M., & Mezzenga, R. (2019). Sustainable technologies for water purification from heavy metals: review and analysis. *Chem Soc Rev*, 48(2), 463-487.
- Burakov, A. E., Galunin, E. V., Burakova, I. V., Kucherova, A. E., Agarwal, S., Tkachev, A. G., & Gupta, V. K. (2018). Adsorption of heavy metals on conventional and nanostructured materials for wastewater treatment purposes: A review. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*, 148, 702-712.
- Cadotte, J., Petersen, R., Larson, R., & Erickson, E. (1980). A new thin-film composite seawater reverse osmosis membrane. *Desalination*, 32, 25-31.

- Cath, T., Childress, A., & Elimelech, M. (2006). Forward osmosis: Principles, applications, and recent developments. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 281(1-2), 70-87.
- Chai, P. V., Mahmoudi, E., Teow, Y. H., & Mohammad, A. W. (2017). Preparation of novel polysulfone-Fe₃O₄/GO mixed-matrix membrane for humic acid rejection. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 15, 83-88.
- Cekli, L., Phuntsho, S., Kim, J. E., Kim, J., Choi, J. Y., Choi, J.-S., . . . Shon, H. K. (2016). A comprehensive review of hybrid forward osmosis systems: Performance, applications and future prospects. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 497, 430-449.
- Chen, H., Li, T., Zhang, L., Wang, R., Jiang, F., & Chen, J. (2015). Pb(II) adsorption on magnetic γ -Fe₂O₃/titanate nanotubes composite. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 3(3), 2022-2030.
- Chen, M., Shafer-Peltier, K., Randtke, S. J., & Peltier, E. (2018a). Competitive association of cations with poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) and heavy metal removal from water by PSS-assisted ultrafiltration. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 344, 155-164.
- Chen, P., Cai, Y., Wang, J., Wang, K., Tao, Y., Xue, J., & Wang, H. (2018b). Preparation of protonized titanate nanotubes/Fe₃O₄/TiO₂ ternary composites and dye self-sensitization for visible-light-driven photodegradation of Rhodamine B. *Powder Technology*, 326, 272-280.
- Choi, W., Jeon, S., Kwon, S. J., Park, H., Park, Y.-I., Nam, S.-E., . . . Lee, J.-H. (2017). Thin film composite reverse osmosis membranes prepared via layered interfacial polymerization. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 527, 121-128.
- Chung, T.-S., Luo, L., Wan, C. F., Cui, Y., & Amy, G. (2015). What is next for forward osmosis (FO) and pressure retarded osmosis (PRO). *Separation and Purification Technology*, 156, 856-860.
- Çimen, A., Kılıçel, F., & Arslan, G. (2014). Removal of chromium ions from waste waters using reverse osmosis AG and SWHR membranes. *Russian Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 88(5), 845-850.
- Coday, B. D., Xu, P., Beaudry, E. G., Herron, J., Lampi, K., Hancock, N. T., & Cath, T. Y. (2014). The sweet spot of forward osmosis: Treatment of produced water, drilling wastewater, and other complex and difficult liquid streams. *Desalination*, 333(1), 23-35.
- Cui, Y., Ge, Q., Liu, X.-Y., & Chung, T.-S. (2014). Novel forward osmosis process to effectively remove heavy metal ions. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 467, 188-194.
- Darabi, R. R., Peyravi, M., Jahanshahi, M., & Amiri, A. A. Q. (2017). Decreasing ICP of forward osmosis (TFN-FO) membrane through modifying PES-Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite substrate. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 34(8), 2311-2324.
- Daraei, P., Madaeni, S. S., Ghaemi, N., Khadivi, M. A., Astinchap, B., & Moradian, R. (2013). Fouling resistant mixed matrix polyethersulfone membranes

- blended with magnetic nanoparticles: study of magnetic field induced casting. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 109, 111-121.
- De Luca, A., & Ferrer, B. B. (2017). Nanomaterials for water remediation: synthesis, application and environmental fate. In *Nanotechnologies for environmental remediation* (pp. 25-60): Springer.
- Emadzadeh, D., Lau, W. J., & Ismail, A. F. (2013). Synthesis of thin film nanocomposite forward osmosis membrane with enhancement in water flux without sacrificing salt rejection. *Desalination*, 330, 90-99.
- Emadzadeh, D., Lau, W. J., Matsuura, T., Ismail, A. F., & Rahbari-Sisakht, M. (2014a). Synthesis and characterization of thin film nanocomposite forward osmosis membrane with hydrophilic nanocomposite support to reduce internal concentration polarization. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 449, 74-85.
- Emadzadeh, D., Lau, W. J., Matsuura, T., Rahbari-Sisakht, M., & Ismail, A. F. (2014b). A novel thin film composite forward osmosis membrane prepared from PSf–TiO₂ nanocomposite substrate for water desalination. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 237, 70-80.
- Emadzadeh, D., Lau, W. J., Rahbari-Sisakht, M., Ilbeygi, H., Rana, D., Matsuura, T., & Ismail, A. F. (2015). Synthesis, modification and optimization of titanate nanotubes-polyamide thin film nanocomposite (TFN) membrane for forward osmosis (FO) application. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 281, 243-251.
- Francisco, H., Fermin, G., Ives, K., Patr cia, M., & Luiz, C. (2017). Removal of lead ions from water using a resin of mimosa tannin and carbon nanotubes. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*.
- Gao, J., Sun, S.-P., Zhu, W.-P., & Chung, T.-S. (2014). Chelating polymer modified P84 nanofiltration (NF) hollow fiber membranes for high efficient heavy metal removal. *Water Research*, 63, 252-261.
- Gatos, K., & Leong, Y. (2016). Classification of Nanomaterials and Nanocomposites. *Nanocomposite Materials: Synthesis, Properties and Applications*.
- Ghanbari, M., Emadzadeh, D., Lau, W. J., Matsuura, T., & Ismail, A. F. (2015). Synthesis and characterization of novel thin film nanocomposite reverse osmosis membranes with improved organic fouling properties for water desalination. *RSC Advances*, 5(27), 21268-21276.
- Ghanbari, M., Emadzadeh, D., Lau, W. J., Riazi, H., Almasi, D., & Ismail, A. F. (2016). Minimizing structural parameter of thin film composite forward osmosis membranes using polysulfone/halloysite nanotubes as membrane substrates. *Desalination*, 377, 152-162.
- Ghosh, A. K., & Hoek, E. M. V. (2009). Impacts of support membrane structure and chemistry on polyamide–polysulfone interfacial composite membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 336(1-2), 140-148.
- Goh, Ismail, Ng, & Abdullah. (2019). Recent Progresses of Forward Osmosis Membranes Formulation and Design for Wastewater Treatment. *Water*, 11(10).

- Gopalakrishnan, I., Sugaraj Samuel, R., & Sridharan, K. (2018). Nanomaterials-Based Adsorbents for Water and Wastewater Treatments. In *Emerging Trends of Nanotechnology in Environment and Sustainability* (pp. 89-98).
- Grover, I. S., Singh, S., & Pal, B. (2013). The preparation, surface structure, zeta potential, surface charge density and photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ nanostructures of different shapes. *Applied surface science*, 280, 366-372.
- Gunatilake, S. (2015). Methods of removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. *Methods*, 1(1), 14.
- Homayoonfal, M., Mehrnia, M. R., Shariaty-Niassar, M., Akbari, A., Sarrafzadeh, M. H., & Fauzi Ismail, A. (2014). Fabrication of magnetic nanocomposite membrane for separation of organic contaminant from water. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 54(13), 3603-3609.
- Horst, M. F., Lassalle, V., & Ferreira, M. L. (2015). Nanosized magnetite in low cost materials for remediation of water polluted with toxic metals, azo- and antraquinonic dyes. *Frontiers of Environmental Science & Engineering*, 9(5), 746-769.
- Huang, J., Yuan, F., Zeng, G., Li, X., Gu, Y., Shi, L., . . . Shi, Y. (2017). Influence of pH on heavy metal speciation and removal from wastewater using micellar-enhanced ultrafiltration. *Chemosphere*, 173, 199-206.
- Huang, L.-y., Lee, D.-J., & Lai, J.-Y. (2015). Forward osmosis membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment with phosphorus recovery. *Bioresource technology*, 198, 418-423.
- Huang, Z.-Q., Zheng, F., Zhang, Z., Xu, H.-T., & Zhou, K.-M. (2012). The performance of the PVDF-Fe₃O₄ ultrafiltration membrane and the effect of a parallel magnetic field used during the membrane formation. *Desalination*, 292, 64-72.
- Johnson, D. J., Suwaileh, W. A., Mohammed, A. W., & Hilal, N. (2018). Osmotic's potential: An overview of draw solutes for forward osmosis. *Desalination*, 434, 100-120.
- Kessler, J., & Moody, C. (1976). Drinking water from sea water by forward osmosis. *Desalination*, 18(3), 297-306.
- Kim, B., Gwak, G., & Hong, S. (2017). Review on methodology for determining forward osmosis (FO) membrane characteristics: Water permeability (A), solute permeability (B), and structural parameter (S). *Desalination*, 422, 5-16.
- Klaysom, C., Cath, T. Y., Depuydt, T., & Vankelecom, I. F. (2013). Forward and pressure retarded osmosis: potential solutions for global challenges in energy and water supply. *Chem Soc Rev*, 42(16), 6959-6989.
- Lai, G., Lau, W., Goh, P., Ismail, A., Yusof, N., & Tan, Y. (2016). Graphene oxide incorporated thin film nanocomposite nanofiltration membrane for enhanced salt removal performance. *Desalination*, 387, 14-24.
- Lakhotia, S. R., Mukhopadhyay, M., & Kumari, P. (2019). Iron oxide (FeO) nanoparticles embedded thin-film nanocomposite nanofiltration (NF)

- membrane for water treatment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 211, 98-107.
- Lam, B., Déon, S., Morin-Crini, N., Crini, G., & Fievet, P. (2018). Polymer-enhanced ultrafiltration for heavy metal removal: Influence of chitosan and carboxymethyl cellulose on filtration performances. *Journal of cleaner production*, 171, 927-933.
- Lau, W.-J., Lai, G.-S., Li, J., Gray, S., Hu, Y., Misdan, N., . . . Ismail, A. F. (2019). Development of microporous substrates of polyamide thin film composite membranes for pressure-driven and osmotically-driven membrane processes: A review. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 77, 25-59.
- Lau, W. J., Gray, S., Matsuura, T., Emadzadeh, D., Chen, J. P., & Ismail, A. F. (2015). A review on polyamide thin film nanocomposite (TFN) membranes: History, applications, challenges and approaches. *Water Res*, 80, 306-324.
- Lau, W. J., Ismail, A. F., Goh, P. S., Hilal, N., & Ooi, B. S. (2014). Characterization Methods of Thin Film Composite Nanofiltration Membranes. *Separation & Purification Reviews*, 44(2), 135-156.
- Lee, W. J., Goh, P. S., Lau, W. J., Ong, C. S., & Ismail, A. F. (2019). Antifouling zwitterion embedded forward osmosis thin film composite membrane for highly concentrated oily wastewater treatment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 214, 40-50.
- Leyma, R., Platzer, S., Jirsa, F., Kandioller, W., Krachler, R., & Keppler, B. K. (2016). Novel thiosalicylate-based ionic liquids for heavy metal extractions. *J Hazard Mater*, 314, 164-171.
- Li, J., Wei, M., & Wang, Y. (2017). Substrate matters: The influences of substrate layers on the performances of thin-film composite reverse osmosis membranes. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 25(11), 1676-1684.
- Liu, C., Lei, X., Wang, L., Jia, J., Liang, X., Zhao, X., & Zhu, H. (2017a). Investigation on the removal performances of heavy metal ions with the layer-by-layer assembled forward osmosis membranes. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 327, 60-70.
- Liu, F., Wang, L., Li, D., Liu, Q., & Deng, B. (2019). A review: the effect of the microporous support during interfacial polymerization on the morphology and performances of a thin film composite membrane for liquid purification. *RSC Advances*, 9(61), 35417-35428.
- Liu, F., Zhang, G., Meng, Q., & Zhang, H. (2008a). Performance of Nanofiltration and Reverse Osmosis Membranes in Metal Effluent Treatment. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 16(3), 441-445.
- Liu, T.-Y., Tong, Y., Liu, Z.-H., Lin, H.-H., Lin, Y.-K., Van der Bruggen, B., & Wang, X.-L. (2015). Extracellular polymeric substances removal of dual-layer (PES/PVDF) hollow fiber UF membrane comprising multi-walled carbon nanotubes for preventing RO biofouling. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 148, 57-67.

- Liu, W., Sun, W., Borthwick, A. G. L., & Ni, J. (2013). Comparison on aggregation and sedimentation of titanium dioxide, titanate nanotubes and titanate nanotubes-TiO₂: Influence of pH, ionic strength and natural organic matter. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, *434*, 319-328.
- Liu, X., Wu, J., Liu, C., & Wang, J. (2017b). Removal of cobalt ions from aqueous solution by forward osmosis. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *177*, 8-20.
- Liu, Y., Liu, P., Su, Z., Li, F., & Wen, F. (2008b). Attapulgite-Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles via co-precipitation technique. *Applied surface science*, *255*(5), 2020-2025.
- Lu, P., Liang, S., Qiu, L., Gao, Y., & Wang, Q. (2016). Thin film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes based on layered double hydroxide nanoparticles blended substrates. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *504*, 196-205.
- Lutchmiah, K., Verliefde, A. R., Roest, K., Rietveld, L. C., & Cornelissen, E. R. (2014). Forward osmosis for application in wastewater treatment: a review. *Water Res*, *58*, 179-197.
- Ma, N., Wei, J., Qi, S., Zhao, Y., Gao, Y., & Tang, C. Y. (2013). Nanocomposite substrates for controlling internal concentration polarization in forward osmosis membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *441*, 54-62.
- Ma, X.-H., Yao, Z.-K., Yang, Z., Guo, H., Xu, Z.-L., Tang, C. Y., & Elimelech, M. (2018). Nanofoaming of Polyamide Desalination Membranes To Tune Permeability and Selectivity. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, *5*(2), 123-130.
- Mahandra, H., Singh, R., & Gupta, B. (2017). Liquid-liquid extraction studies on Zn (II) and Cd (II) using phosphonium ionic liquid (Cyphos IL 104) and recovery of zinc from zinc plating mud. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *177*, 281-292.
- Malamis, S., Katsou, E., Takopoulos, K., Demetriou, P., & Loizidou, M. (2012). Assessment of metal removal, biomass activity and RO concentrate treatment in an MBR-RO system. *J Hazard Mater*, *209-210*, 1-8.
- Malar, S., Vikram, S. S., Favas, P. J., & Perumal, V. (2016). Lead heavy metal toxicity induced changes on growth and antioxidative enzymes level in water hyacinths [Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.)]. *Botanical studies*, *55*(1), 54.
- Matsumiya, H., Kato, T., & Hiraide, M. (2014). Ionic liquid-based extraction followed by graphite-furnace atomic absorption spectrometry for the determination of trace heavy metals in high-purity iron metal. *Talanta*, *119*, 505-508.
- Morales-Torres, S., Esteves, C. M. P., Figueiredo, J. L., & Silva, A. M. T. (2016). Thin-film composite forward osmosis membranes based on polysulfone supports blended with nanostructured carbon materials. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *520*, 326-336.
- Ooi, B., Sum, J., Beh, J., Lau, W. J., & Lai, S. (2019). Materials and Engineering Design of Interfacial Polymerized Thin Film Composite Nanofiltration

- Membrane for Industrial Applications. In *Membrane Separation Principles and Applications* (pp. 47-83): Elsevier.
- Padaki, M., Emadzadeh, D., Masturra, T., & Ismail, A. F. (2015). Antifouling properties of novel PSf and TNT composite membrane and study of effect of the flow direction on membrane washing. *Desalination*, *362*, 141-150.
- Park, S.-J., Choi, W., Nam, S.-E., Hong, S., Lee, J. S., & Lee, J.-H. (2017). Fabrication of polyamide thin film composite reverse osmosis membranes via support-free interfacial polymerization. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *526*, 52-59.
- Peng, W., Li, H., Liu, Y., & Song, S. (2017). A review on heavy metal ions adsorption from water by graphene oxide and its composites. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, *230*, 496-504.
- Platzer, S., Kar, M., Leyma, R., Chib, S., Roller, A., Jirsa, F., . . . Keppler, B. K. (2017). Task-specific thioglycolate ionic liquids for heavy metal extraction: Synthesis, extraction efficacies and recycling properties. *J Hazard Mater*, *324*(Pt B), 241-249.
- Qasim, M., Darwish, N. A., Sarp, S., & Hilal, N. (2015). Water desalination by forward (direct) osmosis phenomenon: A comprehensive review. *Desalination*, *374*, 47-69.
- Qi, Y., Zhu, L., Shen, X., Sotto, A., Gao, C., & Shen, J. (2019). Polyethyleneimine-modified original positive charged nanofiltration membrane: Removal of heavy metal ions and dyes. *Separation and Purification Technology*, *222*, 117-124.
- Qiu, M., & He, C. (2019). Efficient removal of heavy metal ions by forward osmosis membrane with a polydopamine modified zeolitic imidazolate framework incorporated selective layer. *J Hazard Mater*, *367*, 339-347.
- Raeisi, Z., Moheb, A., Sadeghi, M., Abdolmaleki, A., & Alibouri, M. (2019). Titanate nanotubes-incorporated poly(vinyl alcohol) mixed matrix membranes for pervaporation separation of water-isopropanol mixtures. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, *145*, 99-111.
- Ramezani Darabi, R., Jahanshahi, M., & Peyravi, M. (2018). A support assisted by photocatalytic Fe₃O₄/ZnO nanocomposite for thin-film forward osmosis membrane. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, *133*, 11-25.
- Ramon, G. Z., Wong, M. C. Y., & Hoek, E. M. V. (2012). Transport through composite membrane, part 1: Is there an optimal support membrane? *Journal of Membrane Science*, *415-416*, 298-305.
- Rastgar, M., Shakeri, A., Bozorg, A., Salehi, H., & Saadattalab, V. (2017). Impact of nanoparticles surface characteristics on pore structure and performance of forward osmosis membranes. *Desalination*, *421*, 179-189.
- Reis, R., Duke, M., Merenda, A., Winther-Jensen, B., Puskar, L., Tobin, M. J., . . . Dumée, L. F. (2017). Customizing the surface charge of thin-film composite membranes by surface plasma thin film polymerization. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *537*, 1-10.

- Renu, Agarwal, M., & Singh, K. (2017). Methodologies for removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater: an overview. *Interdisciplinary Environmental Review*, 18(2), 124-142.
- Rezaei-DashtArzhandi, M., Sarrafzadeh, M., Goh, P., Lau, W., Ismail, A., & Mohamed, M. (2018). Development of novel thin film nanocomposite forward osmosis membranes containing halloysite/graphitic carbon nitride nanoparticles towards enhanced desalination performance. *Desalination*, 447, 18-28.
- RoyChowdhury, A., Datta, R., & Sarkar, D. (2018). Heavy Metal Pollution and Remediation. In *Green Chemistry* (pp. 359-373).
- Sadegh, H., Ali, G. A. M., Gupta, V. K., Makhlof, A. S. H., Shahryari-ghoshekandi, R., Nadagouda, M. N., . . . Megiel, E. (2017). The role of nanomaterials as effective adsorbents and their applications in wastewater treatment. *Journal of Nanostructure in Chemistry*, 7(1), 1-14.
- Saeedi-Jurkuyeh, A., Jafari, A. J., Kalantary, R. R., & Esrafil, A. (2020). A novel synthetic thin-film nanocomposite forward osmosis membrane modified by graphene oxide and polyethylene glycol for heavy metals removal from aqueous solutions. *Reactive and Functional Polymers*, 146.
- Salam, M. A., Paul, S. C., Shaari, F. I., Rak, A. E., Ahmad, R. B., & Kadir, W. R. (2019). Geostatistical Distribution and Contamination Status of Heavy Metals in the Sediment of Perak River, Malaysia. *Hydrology*, 6(2).
- Sankhla, M. S., Kumari, M., Nandan, M., Kumar, R., & Agrawal, P. (2016). Heavy Metals Contamination in Water and their Hazardous Effect on Human Health- A Review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 5(10), 759-766.
- Shaban, M., AbdAllah, H., Said, L., Hamdy, H. S., & Abdel Khalek, A. (2015). Titanium dioxide nanotubes embedded mixed matrix PES membranes characterization and membrane performance. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 95, 307-316.
- Sharma, S., Tiwari, S., Hasan, A., Saxena, V., & Pandey, L. M. (2018). Recent advances in conventional and contemporary methods for remediation of heavy metal-contaminated soils. *3 Biotech*, 8(4), 216.
- Shibuya, M., Park, M. J., Lim, S., Phuntsho, S., Matsuyama, H., & Shon, H. K. (2018). Novel CA/PVDF nanofiber supports strategically designed via coaxial electrospinning for high performance thin-film composite forward osmosis membranes for desalination. *Desalination*, 445, 63-74.
- Singh, S. B. (2017). Iron and Iron Oxide-Based Eco-Nanomaterials for Catalysis and Water Remediation. *Handbook of Ecomaterials*, 1-21.
- Sirinupong, T., Youravong, W., Tirawat, D., Lau, W. J., Lai, G. S., & Ismail, A. F. (2018). Synthesis and characterization of thin film composite membranes made of PSF-TiO₂/GO nanocomposite substrate for forward osmosis applications. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 11(7), 1144-1153.

- Soroush, A., Barzin, J., Barikani, M., & Fathizadeh, M. (2012). Interfacially polymerized polyamide thin film composite membranes: Preparation, characterization and performance evaluation. *Desalination*, 287, 310-316.
- Subramaniam, M. N., Goh, P. S., Abdullah, N., Lau, W. J., Ng, B. C., & Ismail, A. F. (2017). Adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue using high surface area titanate nanotubes (TNT) synthesized via hydrothermal method. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 19(6). doi:10.1007/s11051-017-3920-9
- Subramaniam, M. N., Goh, P. S., Lau, W. J., Ng, B. C., & Ismail, A. F. (2018). AT-POME colour removal through photocatalytic submerged filtration using antifouling PVDF-TNT nanocomposite membrane. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 191, 266-275.
- Sukitpaneemit, P., & Chung, T. S. (2012). High performance thin-film composite forward osmosis hollow fiber membranes with macrovoid-free and highly porous structure for sustainable water production. *Environ Sci Technol*, 46(13), 7358-7365.
- Sum, J. Y., Ahmad, A. L., & Ooi, B. S. (2019). Selective separation of heavy metal ions using amine-rich polyamide TFC membrane. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 76, 277-287.
- Tang, C. Y., Kwon, Y.-N., & Leckie, J. O. (2009). Effect of membrane chemistry and coating layer on physiochemical properties of thin film composite polyamide RO and NF membranes: I. FTIR and XPS characterization of polyamide and coating layer chemistry. *Desalination*, 242(1-3), 149-167.
- Taufik, A., Kalim, I., & Saleh, R. (2015). Preparation, Characterization and Photocatalytic Activity of Multifunctional Fe³O₄/ZnO/CuO Hybrid Nanoparticles. *Materials Science Forum*, 827, 37-42.
- Vardhan, K. H., Kumar, P. S., & Panda, R. C. (2019). A review on heavy metal pollution, toxicity and remedial measures: Current trends and future perspectives. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 290.
- Vareda, J. P., Valente, A. J. M., & Duraes, L. (2019). Assessment of heavy metal pollution from anthropogenic activities and remediation strategies: A review. *J Environ Manage*, 246, 101-118.
- Vital, B., Bartacek, J., Ortega-Bravo, J., & Jeison, D. (2018). Treatment of acid mine drainage by forward osmosis: Heavy metal rejection and reverse flux of draw solution constituents. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 332, 85-91.
- Vojoudi, H., Badiei, A., Bahar, S., Mohammadi Ziarani, G., Faridbod, F., & Ganjali, M. R. (2017). A new nano-sorbent for fast and efficient removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions based on modification of magnetic mesoporous silica nanospheres. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 441, 193-203.
- Vunain, E., Mishra, A., & Mamba, B. (2016). Dendrimers, mesoporous silicas and chitosan-based nanosorbents for the removal of heavy-metal ions: a review. *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 86, 570-586.

- Wadhawan, S., Jain, A., Nayyar, J., & Mehta, S. K. (2020). Role of nanomaterials as adsorbents in heavy metal ion removal from waste water: A review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 33.
- Wan Azelee, I. (2018). *Thin Film Nanocomposite Incorporated With Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube-Titania Nanotube Hybrid For Forward Osmosis Desalination F.* (Ph.D), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Wan Azelee, I., Goh, P. S., Lau, W. J., Ismail, A. F., Rezaei-DashtArzhandi, M., Wong, K. C., & Subramaniam, M. N. (2017). Enhanced desalination of polyamide thin film nanocomposite incorporated with acid treated multiwalled carbon nanotube-titania nanotube hybrid. *Desalination*, 409, 163-170.
- Wang, X., Liu, W., Fu, H., Yi, X. H., Wang, P., Zhao, C., . . . Zheng, W. (2019). Simultaneous Cr(VI) reduction and Cr(III) removal of bifunctional MOF/Titanate nanotube composites. *Environ Pollut*, 249, 502-511.
- Wang, Y.-N., Goh, K., Li, X., Setiawan, L., & Wang, R. (2018). Membranes and processes for forward osmosis-based desalination: Recent advances and future prospects. *Desalination*, 434, 81-99.
- Wei, J., Liu, X., Qiu, C., Wang, R., & Tang, C. Y. (2011). Influence of monomer concentrations on the performance of polyamide-based thin film composite forward osmosis membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 381(1-2), 110-117.
- Wenten, I. G., & Khoiruddin. (2016). Reverse osmosis applications: Prospect and challenges. *Desalination*, 391, 112-125.
- Werber, J. R., Bull, S. K., & Elimelech, M. (2017). Acyl-chloride quenching following interfacial polymerization to modulate the water permeability, selectivity, and surface charge of desalination membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 535, 357-364.
- WHO. (2017). Guidelines for drinking-water quality: first addendum to the fourth edition.
- Widjojo, N., Chung, T.-S., Weber, M., Maletzko, C., & Warzelhan, V. (2011). The role of sulphonated polymer and macrovoid-free structure in the support layer for thin-film composite (TFC) forward osmosis (FO) membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 383(1-2), 214-223.
- Wong, K., Goh, P., & Ismail, A. (2015). Gas separation performance of thin film nanocomposite membranes incorporated with polymethyl methacrylate grafted multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 102, 339-345.
- Wu, D., Huang, Y., Yu, S., Lawless, D., & Feng, X. (2014). Thin film composite nanofiltration membranes assembled layer-by-layer via interfacial polymerization from polyethylenimine and trimesoyl chloride. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 472, 141-153.
- Xiong, L., Chen, C., Chen, Q., & Ni, J. (2011). Adsorption of Pb(II) and Cd(II) from aqueous solutions using titanate nanotubes prepared via hydrothermal method. *J Hazard Mater*, 189(3), 741-748.

- Xiong, Z., Li, S., & Xia, Y. (2016). Highly stable water-soluble magnetic nanoparticles synthesized through combined co-precipitation, surface-modification, and decomposition of a hybrid hydrogel. *New Journal of Chemistry*, 40(12), 9951-9957.
- Xu, J., Cao, Z., Zhang, Y., Yuan, Z., Lou, Z., Xu, X., & Wang, X. (2018). A review of functionalized carbon nanotubes and graphene for heavy metal adsorption from water: Preparation, application, and mechanism. *Chemosphere*, 195, 351-364.
- Xu, W., Chen, Q., & Ge, Q. (2017). Recent advances in forward osmosis (FO) membrane: Chemical modifications on membranes for FO processes. *Desalination*, 419, 101-116.
- Xue, X.-y., Cheng, R., Shi, L., Ma, Z., & Zheng, X. (2016). Nanomaterials for water pollution monitoring and remediation. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 15(1), 23-27.
- Yahaya, N. Z. S., Pauzi, M. Z. M., Mu'ammam Mahpoz, N., Rahman, M. A., Abas, K. H., Ismail, A. F., . . . Jaafar, J. (2019). Forward Osmosis for Desalination Application. In *Membrane Separation Principles and Applications* (pp. 315-337).
- Yang, Z., Guo, H., & Tang, C. Y. (2019). The upper bound of thin-film composite (TFC) polyamide membranes for desalination. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 590.
- You, S., Lu, J., Tang, C. Y., & Wang, X. (2017). Rejection of heavy metals in acidic wastewater by a novel thin-film inorganic forward osmosis membrane. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 320, 532-538.
- Yu, C., Li, H., Zhang, X., Lü, Z., Yu, S., Liu, M., & Gao, C. (2018). Polyamide thin-film composite membrane fabricated through interfacial polymerization coupled with surface amidation for improved reverse osmosis performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 566, 87-95.
- Yuliwati, E., & Ismail, A. (2011). Effect of additives concentration on the surface properties and performance of PVDF ultrafiltration membranes for refinery produced wastewater treatment. *Desalination*, 273(1), 226-234.
- Zhang, X., Shen, L., Guan, C.-Y., Liu, C.-X., Lang, W.-Z., & Wang, Y. (2018a). Construction of SiO₂@ MWNTs incorporated PVDF substrate for reducing internal concentration polarization in forward osmosis. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 564, 328-341.
- Zhang, X., Shen, L., Guan, C.-Y., Liu, C.-X., Lang, W.-Z., & Wang, Y. (2018b). Construction of SiO₂@MWNTs incorporated PVDF substrate for reducing internal concentration polarization in forward osmosis. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 564, 328-341.
- Zhang, X., Tian, J., Ren, Z., Shi, W., Zhang, Z., Xu, Y., . . . Cui, F. (2016). High performance thin-film composite (TFC) forward osmosis (FO) membrane fabricated on novel hydrophilic disulfonated poly (arylene ether sulfone) multiblock copolymer/polysulfone substrate. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 520, 529-539.

- Zhao, M., Xu, Y., Zhang, C., Rong, H., & Zeng, G. (2016a). New trends in removing heavy metals from wastewater. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, *100*(15), 6509-6518.
- Zhao, M., Xu, Y., Zhang, C., Rong, H., & Zeng, G. (2016b). New trends in removing heavy metals from wastewater. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, *100*(15), 6509-6518.
- Zhao, P., Gao, B., Yue, Q., Liu, S., & Shon, H. K. (2016c). The performance of forward osmosis in treating high-salinity wastewater containing heavy metal Ni²⁺. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *288*, 569-576.
- Zhao, X., Li, J., & Liu, C. (2017). A novel TFC-type FO membrane with inserted sublayer of carbon nanotube networks exhibiting the improved separation performance. *Desalination*, *413*, 176-183.
- Zhao, X., & Liu, C. (2018). Efficient removal of heavy metal ions based on the optimized dissolution-diffusion-flow forward osmosis process. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *334*, 1128-1134.
- Zhao, X., & Liu, C. (2019). Efficient removal of heavy metal ions based on the selective hydrophilic channels. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *359*, 1644-1651.
- Zhu, W.-P., Gao, J., Sun, S.-P., Zhang, S., & Chung, T.-S. (2015). Poly(amidoamine) dendrimer (PAMAM) grafted on thin film composite (TFC) nanofiltration (NF) hollow fiber membranes for heavy metal removal. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *487*, 117-126.
- Zinadini, S., Zinatizadeh, A. A., Rahimi, M., Vatanpour, V., & Zangeneh, H. (2014). Preparation of a novel antifouling mixed matrix PES membrane by embedding graphene oxide nanoplates. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *453*, 292-301.
- Zirehpour, A., Rahimpour, A., & Ulbricht, M. (2017). Nano-sized metal organic framework to improve the structural properties and desalination performance of thin film composite forward osmosis membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*, *531*, 59-67.